

pubs.acs.org/jmc

# Generation of Highly Selective, Potent, and Covalent G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 5 Inhibitors

Rachel A. Rowlands,<sup> $\parallel$ </sup> Qiuyan Chen,<sup> $\parallel$ </sup> Renee A. Bouley, Larisa V. Avramova, John J. G. Tesmer,<sup>\*</sup> and Andrew D. White<sup>\*</sup>



**ABSTRACT:** The ability of G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) kinases (GRKs) to regulate the desensitization of GPCRs has made GRK2 and GRK5 attractive targets for treating diseases such as heart failure and cancer. Previously, our work showed that Cys474, a GRK5 subfamily-specific residue located on a flexible loop adjacent to the active site, can be used as a covalent handle to achieve selective inhibition of GRK5 over GRK2 subfamily members. However, the potency of the most selective inhibitors remained modest. Herein, we describe a successful campaign to adapt an indolinone scaffold with covalent warheads, resulting in a series of 2-haloacetyl-containing compounds that react quickly and exhibit three orders of magnitude selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2 and low nanomolar potency. They however retain a similar selectivity profile across the kinome as the core scaffold, which was based on Sunitinib.

# ■ INTRODUCTION

G protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) kinases (GRKs) selectively recognize and phosphorylate activated GPCRs, leading to their desensitization and internalization, a process critical for maintaining cellular homeostasis. The seven human GRKs (GRK1-GRK7) are classified via structural and sequence similarity into three subfamilies: GRK1 (GRK1 and GRK7), GRK2 (GRK2 and GRK3), and GRK4 (GRK4, GRK5, and GRK6).<sup>1</sup> GRK1 and GRK7 are found primarily in the retina and GRK4 in the testes, whereas GRK2, GRK3, GRK5, and GRK6 are more ubiquitously expressed. Of these kinases, GRK2 and GRK5 are the two isoforms with the highest concentration in cardiovascular tissue. Because they are overexpressed in the diseased heart and their inhibition or ablation has been shown to prevent heart failure and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, they have become important therapeutic targets.<sup>2,3</sup> GRK2 and GRK5 are also potential targets for treatment of cancer<sup>4,5</sup> and other pathophysiological conditions.<sup>1</sup> GRK5 is further unique among GRKs because it undergoes Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulin-dependent nuclear localization, where it phosphorylates histone deacetylase 5 (HDAC5), inducing an increase in transcription of associated genes.<sup>6</sup> In studies where GRK5 was knocked down, cardiomyocytes were protected from hypertrophic cardiomyopathy.<sup>7</sup> The influence of GRK5 in progressive heart failure and hypertrophic cardiomyopathy however remains unclear, in part because GRK2 can also mediate hypertrophic responses<sup>8</sup> and there are few compounds known to have clear GRK5 selectivity that could be used to test mechanistic hypotheses in physiologically relevant cells or animals.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Previously, we developed a set of GRK5/6-selective pyrrolopyrimidine inhibitors using a covalent strategy.<sup>9</sup> This effort established that Cys474, located on a loop known as the active site tether (AST) that packs over the ATP binding site in AGC kinases, can serve as a covalent handle to achieve

Received: August 31, 2020 Published: January 4, 2021





GRK5 selectivity. However, the affinities of the best compounds were low micromolar at best. Because the intrinsic affinity of the compound plays an important role in dictating the concentration of the protein-inhibitor covalent complex, which must persist long enough for a covalent reaction to occur,<sup>10</sup> the discovery of a more intrinsically potent scaffold for GRK5 inhibition was prioritized. To this end, we considered a set of known GRK5 modulators derived from an indolinone scaffold present in the FDA-approved receptor tyrosine kinase (RTK) inhibitor Sunitinib (1) (Figure 1), a compound that



Figure 1. Lead compounds 1 and 5a with design strategy.

targets both GRK5 and multiple RTKs including the plateletderived growth factor receptor and vascular endothelial growth factor receptor.<sup>4,11</sup> We focused on Ullrich-57 (5a), which was reported to have low nanomolar activity against GRK5 (GRK5  $IC_{50} = \langle 0.1 \ \mu M \rangle$ , although its selectivity was not reported.<sup>12</sup> We independently synthesized 5a and also tested its parent compound, Sunitinib, and showed that 5a has orders of magnitude more potency against GRK5 than our previous pyrrolopyrimidine scaffold and that both exhibit one to two orders of magnitude selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2 (Figure 1 and Table 1). Modeling the 5a complex with GRK5 was performed by docking in the program MOE. The highest scoring pose predicted that 5a would bind in the active site of GRK5 in a fashion that would allow the formation of three hydrogen bonds with the hinge, which would consequently project its diethylamine moiety toward the AST loop (Figure 2A). We hypothesized that replacing the diethylamine arm of the scaffold with thiol reactive warheads could allow for covalent attachment to Cys474 and generation of even more selective and potent covalent GRK5 inhibitors. Thus, the goal for our first series of compounds was to identify a covalent warhead that affords the highest incorporation and the most selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2. As a control, potency against the canonical AGC kinase protein kinase A (PKA) was also evaluated; however, all but one of our compounds had negligible effects against this enzyme.

Synthesis for this series began with an amide coupling to give common intermediate 3 (Scheme 1). In a convergent line of synthesis, a secondary amide coupling with the starting material 6 gave intermediates 4a-4e. Combining the two lines of synthesis, Knoevenagel condensation yielded compounds 5a-5f, wherein 5a and 5b represent the (R) and (S)

pubs.acs.org/jmc

enantiomers of Ullrich-57. We found that the (S)-enantiomer **5b** was over 1000-fold less potent (Table 1), indicating that the (R)-enantiomer (**5a**) places the methyl and benzyl pendants in a more ideal position. The structural rationale for this based on the dock remains elusive because of unknowns about the overall conformation of the GRK5 kinase domain (see Figure 2). All other compounds were therefore synthesized with the same stereoconfiguration as **5a**.

Initial diversification tested a series of alkenyl or alkynyl amines as covalent modifiers. The propargyl analogue, 5c, demonstrated similar potency to 5a (GRK5  $IC_{so} = 21 \text{ nM}$ ) but exhibited over a magnitude higher selectivity over GRK2 (2100-fold). We speculate that this high level of selectivity is due to a potential clash of the propargyl warhead with the GRK2 AST and/or large lobe, given the predicted vector for the alkynyl and alkenyl groups (Figure 2A). For all other amide linked compounds with an alkenyl or alkynyl warhead (5d-5f), the selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2 remained between 330 and 1400 fold, but in each case, the  $IC_{50}$  for GRK5 was higher than that of 5c (Table 1). Linker length also contributed to GRK5 affinity, as demonstrated by a comparison of 5c with 5e, and 5d with 5f, wherein the latter compounds have homologated alkenyl and alkynyl warheads and somewhat less potency. Thus, a single methylene linker to the reactive center seems optimal for maintaining GRK5 activity among these trial compounds.

By reversing the warhead amide, the covalent warheads used in our previous work<sup>9</sup> became synthetically accessible. To accomplish this, Knoevenagel condensation with 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1-pyrrolocarbaldehyde vielded a common intermediate, 7a (Scheme 2). 7a was itself tested for inhibitory activity because it possesses the ability to release nitric oxide, a known vasodilator, rendering it a possible dual mechanism compound.<sup>13</sup> The nitro group was however poorly tolerated (GRK5  $IC_{50} = 730 \text{ nM}$ ) and only 10-fold selective for GRK5. A zinc-catalyzed reduction of the nitro group of 7a to the free amine 8a allowed for rapid derivatization through amide coupling to yield final compounds 9a-9h (Scheme 2). 9a, which features a 2-butynyl acid warhead that reacted with Cys474 in our prior study,<sup>9</sup> exhibited low micromolar potency (Table 1). The commonly used acrylamide and vinyl sulfonamide variants, 9b and 9c, respectively, showed high nanomolar activity against GRK5 and retained >100-fold selectivity over GRK2. The 2-chloroacetylamido-containing compound **9e** showed moderate potency (GRK5  $IC_{50} = 220$ nM) but 1500-fold selectivity over GRK2. Because 5a had low nanomolar potency, we introduced a similar reactive appendage, dimethylaminobutenoic acid, in 9f. This compound showed an increased IC<sub>50</sub> (360 nM, thus 24-fold higher than 5a), but only 50-fold selectivity over GRK2. The 2bromoacetylamido compound 9g was found to have a greater potency against GRK5 ( $IC_{50} = 8.6$  nM) than its chloro analog 9e, while retaining a high level of selectivity against GRK2 (1400-fold). Thus, we concluded that the bromo group of 9g must be better at filling a lipophilic pocket than the chloro group, but it was not possible to model this because the structure of the AST loop is uncertain in our GRK5/6 overlay model (Figure 2). It was also observed that 9g took longer to fully engage Cys474 within the 30 min incubation time frame (Figure 3). 9h was also potent against GRK5 ( $IC_{50} = 80 \text{ nM}$ ), whereas compounds 10a and 10b exhibited only moderate potency.

# Table 1. IC<sub>50</sub> Values ( $\mu$ M ± SD) and Reactivity of Indolinone Compounds<sup>a</sup>



Compound		R <sub>1</sub>	GRK5	GRK2	GRK2/ GRK5	РКА	Adduct by MS <sup>b</sup>
Sunitinib	1	N N Et	$0.83 \pm 0.7$ (3)	$\begin{array}{c} 130\pm200\\(3)\end{array}$	150	ND	NA
CCG 271421	5a°	N N Et	$0.015 \pm 0.02$ (7)	$1.1 \pm 0.7$ (4)	74	>250 (2)	NA
CCG 273262	5b <sup>c</sup>	out N N Et N Et	$\begin{array}{c} 1.30\pm0.1\\(3)\end{array}$	$44 \pm 18$ (3)	32	ND	NA
CCG 271423	5c	O H H	$0.021 \pm 0.01$ (7)	$44 \pm 40^{b}$ (6)	2100	ND	>30 min
CCG 271424	5d	O M H	$\begin{array}{c} 0.048 \pm 0.008 \\ (3) \end{array}$	$22 \pm 10$ (3)	460	>250 (2)	>30 min
CCG 271441	5e	O H H	$\begin{array}{c} 0.091\pm 0.04\\(3)\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 130\pm50\\(3)\end{array}$	1400	>250 (2)	>30 min
CCG 271442	5f	O H H	$1.94 \pm 0.05$ (2)	$630 \pm 200$ (2)	330	ND	>30 min
CCG 273183	7a	NO <sub>2</sub>	$0.73 \pm 0.5$ (3)	$7.2 \pm 3$ (3)	10.	ND	ND
CCG 273180	9a	D H C H	$2.5 \pm 0.8$ (3)	$150 \pm 30$ (3)	61	ND	>3 hr
CCG 273181	9b	O T T T	$0.81 \pm 0.7$ (4)	$87 \pm 30$ (3)	110	ND	>3 hr
CCG 273182	9c	O=0=0 N=O	$0.74 \pm 0.6$ (5)	$280 \pm 110$ (3)	370	ND	100% 3 hr
CCG 273220	9e	o CI	$0.22 \pm 0.04$ (3)	$350 \pm 100$ (2)	1500	>250 (2)	90% 30 min
CCG 273221	9f	N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	$0.36 \pm 0.2$ (3)	$17 \pm 10$ (2)	47	ND	>30 min
CCG 273463	9g	of N Br	$0.0086 \pm 0.003$ (7)	$12 \pm 20$ (3)	1400	>250 (2)	70% 30 min
CCG 273464	9h	P CI	$0.08 \pm 0.03$ (3)	$6.7 \pm 5$ (3)	83	>250 (2)	>30 min
CCG 273240	10a	HR HC	$0.28 \pm 0.1$ (3)	$120 \pm 80$ (2)	430	>250 (2)	>30 min
CCG 273462	10b	PH N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N N	$0.74 \pm 0.2$ (3)	>250 (5)	>340	ND	>30 min
CCG 215022		-	$0.28 \pm 0.1$ (6)	ND	-	>250 (2)	NA
paroxetine		-	ND	$0.78 \pm 0.3$ (3)	-	$850 \pm 400$ (4)	NA

"All data were fit to a log([inhibitor]) versus response model with variable slope and automatic outlier rejection in GraphPad Prism. Curves that had  $R^2$  values less than 0.8 after fitting were omitted. ND, not determined. NA, not applicable. Values in parentheses indicate the number of independent experimental curves. CCG215022 and paroxetine are positive controls for GRK5 and GRK2, respectively. <sup>b</sup>Incubation time needed to observe adduct formation with GRK5 by intact mass MS. <sup>c</sup>5a and 5b are the (R) and (S) enantiomers of Ullrich-57, respectively.

We evaluated adduct formation in this series at 30 min and 3 h by intact mass spectrometry (MS) (Table 1, Figure 3, and Figure S1). Only a few compounds exhibited a signal for GRK5 at the 3 h timepoint. **5c**, which exhibited similar potency to the parent compound **5a** and over 2000-fold selectivity against GRK2, was surprisingly not covalent under our conditions. Neither were compounds **5d**-**5f**. **9a** and **9b** were likewise unreactive at the 30 min timepoint. The vinyl sulfonamide **9c** was able to react after a 3 h incubation (Figure S1) despite its moderate affinity (740 nM). The chloroacetyl **9e** however had nearly complete covalent engagement by 30 min (Figure 3A). **9e** was unreactive against GRK5-C474S, consistent with Cys474 being the covalent handle (Figure 3D). Its bromoacetyl analog **9g** also rapidly reacted with GRK5 (Figure 3B), but **9h**, **10a**, and **10b** were unreactive at 30 min. Based on the sum of the data, we rationalize that compounds in the **5c**-**5f** series were unreactive because of constraints placed on the warhead by increased hydrogen bond interactions with the GRK5 hinge relative to **9c**, **9e**, and **9g** where the attaching amide was flipped, affording a different



Figure 2. Docking models of lead compound 5a and the most potent derivative 9j and their comparison with the GRK5·CCG215022 complex. (A) 5a, with pink carbons, red oxygens, and blue nitrogens docked using the program MOE into the structure of GRK5 (gray, PDB ID 4WNK), with the addition of the AST loop from GRK6 (purple, PDB ID 3NYN) because this element was disordered in the 4WNK structure and contains the target Cys474 residue. The diamine moiety extends toward the AST loop where Cys474 is located. Three hydrogen bonds, shown as dashed lines, are formed with the hinge of the kinase domain. None of the compounds in the 5a-5f series were able to form adducts despite some that demonstrated high potency. (B) 9j (yellow carbons) wherein the amide functionality in the covalent warhead was flipped relative to 5a and therefore forms one less hydrogen bond with the hinge. Being less constrained, we hypothesize that this modification allows the warhead to leave the hinge region along a different vector that leads to less steric collisions with the AST and to closer proximity with Cys474. Consequently, compounds in the 9a series show adduct formation. Bromine is colored green. (C) Comparison of the fluorophenyl groups of 9j and CCG215022 (from 4WNK). The fluorine atom is colored cyan. Both ligands are modeled to form a hydrogen bond with Asp329, an invariant catalytic residue in protein kinases. However, it is unclear whether this region of the scaffold is docked correctly because the conformation of the GRK5 kinase domain, and in particular its P loop and AST, is not known. The SAR in Table 2 is best explained with the fluorophenyl packing under the P loop as it does in the CCG215022 complex.





vector for the attached warhead and less conformational constraints (Figure 2A,B).

At this point, **9e** and **9g** provided the most reactivity combined with the most selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2 (Table 1). Therefore, we initialized structure–activity relationships (SAR) around indolinone scaffolds bearing 2-haloacetylamido warheads (Schemes 3 and 4 and Table 2). Benzyl and pyridyl pendants in the R<sub>1</sub> position (Table 2) were explored first. Overall, in terms of potency, GRK5 seemed to have a strong preference for smaller electron-withdrawing substituents in the *para*-position of the benzyl groups: F (**9**j) > Cl (**90**) > H (**9e**) > CH<sub>3</sub> (**9n**). The MOE docked models do not explain this preference, but such behavior would be expected if the benzyl pendant packs instead under the P loop of the active site. In fact, most known GRK inhibitors, including CCG215022, tend to have benzyl groups that pack in this site (Figure 2C).<sup>14</sup> The enhancement in potency of 3-Me (9i) is large compared to 9e, suggesting that a 3-Me, 4-F analog would be even more potent. However, a lack of appropriate chemical precursors prevented us from studying such combinations. We note that we took advantage of the *meta*-position of the fluorobenzyl group of paroxetine to make a highly successful series of GRK2 selective inhibitors.<sup>15</sup> The position of the nitrogen in pyridyl pendants also appeared to make a small difference. In 9k, the potency was 3.5-fold higher than that of 9l with an *ortho*-nitrogen, suggesting once again that the *para*-nitrogen in 9k fulfills an electronic deficiency. 9j, with a 4-fluoro substituent, had 55-fold more potency (IC<sub>50</sub> =

#### Scheme 2. General Synthetic Route to 9a-9h and 10a and 10b



Figure 3. Intact protein MS for compounds 9e, 9g, and 9j. (A) GRK5 (blue) and GRK5+9e (black) incubated for 30 min. 9e demonstrated full labeling of GRK5. (B) GRK5 and GRK5+9g (pink) incubated for 30 min. 9g only labeled 50% of GRK5. (C) GRK5 and GRK5+9j (red) incubated for 30 min. 9j fully labeled GRK5 in this timeframe. (D) GRK5-C474S mutant (purple) and GRK5-C474S+9e (teal) incubated for 30 min. 9e did not label GRK5-C474S, indicating that 9e and related compounds are engaging Cys474.

4 nM) relative to the parent compound **9e**. It was also able to rapidly form a covalent interaction with Cys474 within 30 min (Figure 3C), and **9j** was thus the most intriguing lead of the

second series (Table 2 and Figure 2C). When the 4-fluoro substituent was maintained and a 2-bromoacetylamido warhead was used, the resulting compound, **9p**, showed slightly

#### Scheme 3. General Synthetic Route to 9i-9p



Scheme 4. General Synthetic Route to 9q-9t



lower potency ( $IC_{50} = 15$  nM) than 9j or the des-fluoro compound 9g (Table 1). This is different than expected from comparison of 9g and 9e (Table 1), where the bromo substitution rendered much higher potency. The structural explanation for this is unclear.

Given the profound effects of chirality on activity exhibited by compounds **5a** and **5b**, we also expanded SAR around the benzylic position of the scaffold ( $R_2$  in Table 2). When the stereocenter was removed (**9q**), there was a small increase in potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 130 nM) relative to the parent compound **9e** (less than 2-fold). However, there were also similar increases in potency for **9s** (GRK5 IC<sub>50</sub> = 87 nM) and **9t** (GRK5 IC<sub>50</sub> = 95 nM). The geminal dimethyl of **9r** however had greatly reduced potency (IC<sub>50</sub> = 12  $\mu$ M), but the poor solubility of this compound under our assay conditions may have artifactually increased IC<sub>50</sub> measurements. Therefore, we concluded that the benzylic position (R<sub>2</sub> in Table 2) is fairly insensitive to modification, at least when the groups concerned are the size of isopropyl or smaller. Accordingly, when **9q**, **9r**, and **9s** were incubated for 8 h, covalent interaction with GRK5 was observed as in **9e** (Figure S2). It is not clear why **9t** did not also react.

Finally, although the indolinone scaffold offers high potency and selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2, there were a few anticipated drawbacks in terms of its pharmacokinetic properties. First, **9a-9t** are less soluble due to the number Table 2. IC<sub>50</sub> Values ( $\mu$ M ± SD) and Reactivity of Indolinone Variants with a Haloacetylamido Warhead<sup>a</sup>



Compound		$\mathbf{R}_1$	<b>R</b> <sub>2</sub>	GRK5	GRK2	GRK2/ GRK5	РКА	Adduct by MS <sup>b</sup>
CCG 273261	9i	3-MeBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.029 \pm 0.03$ (5)	$11 \pm 6$ (3)	360	>250 (6)	>30 min
CCG 273441	9j	4-FBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.0038 \pm 0.001$ (7)	$4.8 \pm 3$ (3)	1300	>250 (6)	90% 30 min
CCG 273442	9k	4-Py	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.13 \pm 0.05$ (3)	$1.7 \pm 2$ (3)	13	ND	>30 min
CCG 273443	91	2-Py	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.45 \pm 0.1$ (3)	$9.6 \pm 5$ (3)	21	ND	>30 min
CCG 273444	9m	3-ClBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.14 \pm 0.04$ (3)	$19 \pm 10$ (3)	140	>250 (6)	>30 min
CCG 273445	9n	4-MeBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.78 \pm 0.3$ (3)	$2.1 \pm 1$ (5)	3	ND	>30 min
CCG 273583	90	4-ClBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.11 \pm 0.05$ (3)	$0.70 \pm 0.2$ (3)	7	ND	50% 8 hr
CCG 359090 <sup>c</sup>	9р	4-FBn	(R)-CH <sub>3</sub>	$0.015 \pm 0.007$ (3)	$3.6 \pm 2$ (3)	230	ND	ND
CCG 273561	9q	Bn	Н	$0.13 \pm 0.09$ (4)	$13 \pm 3$ (3)	99	>250 (6)	50% 8 hr
CCG 273562 <sup>d</sup>	9r	Bn	Gem- (CH <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	$12 \pm 5$ (3)	$190 \pm 70$ (2)	15	ND	50% 8 hr
CCG 273564	9s	Bn	(R)-iPr	$0.087 \pm 0.02 \\ (3)$	$23 \pm 20$ (4)	260	>250 (6)	90% 8 hr
CCG 273563	9t	Bn	and a start	$0.095 \pm 0.03 \\ (3)$	$15 \pm 7$ (3)	160	69±10 (6)	> 8 hr

<sup>*a*</sup>Data were fit to a log([inhibitor]) versus response model with variable slope and automatic outlier rejection in GraphPad Prism. Curves with  $R^2$  values < 0.8 after fitting were omitted. ND, not determined. Numbers in parentheses indicate the number of independent experimental curves. <sup>*b*</sup>Incubation time needed to observe adduct formation with GRK5 by intact mass MS. <sup>*c*</sup>Same as **9** but with a 2-bromoacteylamido warhead. <sup>*d*</sup>IC<sub>50</sub> estimates for this compound for GRK5 and GRK2 are likely high due to its poor solubility in our assay system.

compound	l	GRK5 IC <sub>50</sub> ( $\mu$ M)	GRK5-C474S $IC_{50}$ ( $\mu M$ )	GRK5-C474S/GRK5	$K_{\rm I}~(\mu{ m M})$	$k_{\rm inact} \ ({\rm min}^{-1})$		
CCG273220	9e	$0.22 \pm 0.04 (3)$	$0.60 \pm 0.2 (5)$	3	$1.0 \pm 0.3 (3)$	>1.0 ± 0.05 (3)		
CCG273463	9g	$0.0086 \pm 0.003$ (7)	$0.044 \pm 0.02 (5)$	5	$0.11 \pm 0.03 (3)$	>1.0 ± 0.03 (3)		
CCG273441	9j	$0.0038 \pm 0.001$ (7)	$0.019 \pm 0.007 (5)$	5	$0.019 \pm 0.01 (3)$	>0.9 ± 0.02 (3)		
CCG215022		$0.28 \pm 0.01$ (6)	$0.22 \pm 0.05 (5)$	0.8	n/a	n/a		
<sup><math>a</math></sup> Errors correspond to standard deviation, with the number of replicates given in parentheses. $n/a$ , not applicable.								

of aromatic rings and their rigid, linear conformation. The pyridyl pendants of 9k and 9l were a first attempt to address this issue. 9l was found to improve solubility 3.5-fold over 9e to 125  $\mu$ g/mL (Table S1), which is still ~3-fold less than reported for Sunitinib (350  $\mu$ g/mL). Metabolic liability was also a concern because of the high lipophilicity of our compounds and thus their potential metabolism by CYP3A4. Pyridyl pendants are also known to limit metabolic liability relative to benzyl rings, as are fluorine-containing pendants, as in 9j (Figure S4). We indeed found that 9j indeed had a longer half-life (HLM  $t_{1/2} = 18.9$  min) compared to the des-fluoro compound 9e (HLM  $t_{1/2} = 13.3$  min). However, there was an opposite trend in MLMs. Limited commercial availability of fluorine-substituted benzylic amines from the chiral pool

however limited our ability to explore the additional *ortho-* and *meta-*substitution patterns.

Ullrich-57 (**5a**) is already a potent (15 nM) and moderately selective GRK5 inhibitor relative to GRK2 (74-fold). Our overarching goal here was to ascertain whether potency and selectivity could be improved by covalent modification of a residue unique to the GRK5 subfamily of kinases. Interestingly, although **9e**, **9g**, and **9i–9t** all had 2-haloacetylamido warheads, only a few were able to label Cys474 within a 30 min time period. The absence of reactivity could be explained by the higher IC<sub>50</sub> exhibited by many of these analogs. Those compounds with lower affinity for GRK5, for example, **9c**, will bind in the active site of GRK5 more transiently than **9e**, resulting in a longer incubation period being needed to detect covalent engagement (Figure S1). **9e**, **9g**, and **9j** were not only

pubs.acs.org/jmc



**Figure 4.** Kinetic analysis for (A, B) **9e**, (C, D) **9g**, and (E, F) **9j**. Extracted values for  $K_1$  and  $k_{inact}$  from fitting the plots on the right are given in Table 3. Data points represent three measurements with error bars indicating standard deviation. Because the time courses (left panels) were not linear, only the 1 min time point was used to estimate  $k_{obs}$ .



**Figure 5.** Kinome-wide selectivity panel for **9g** at concentrations of (a) 1  $\mu$ M, (b) 0.1  $\mu$ M, and (c) 0.01  $\mu$ M. Locations of GRK5 and GRK6 are denoted by arrows. A clear dose response is evident, with fewer kinases inhibited at the lowest concentration of **9g**. Illustration reproduced courtesy of Cell Signaling Technology, Inc. (www.cellsignal.com).

the most efficacious at modifying GRK5 (Figure 3), but also they spanned a range of IC<sub>50</sub> values (220 to 4 nM) and exhibited selectivity for GRK5 over GRK2 by over three orders of magnitude, 20-fold more than that exhibited by the noncovalent compound **5a**. We therefore performed a detailed kinetic analysis on these compounds to gauge the impact of their reactivity on measured potency and selectivity. We first showed that all three compounds exhibited 3–5-fold less potency against GRK5-C474S (Table 3), whereas our control compound CCG215022 was essentially unchanged. We then determined  $K_{\rm I}$  and  $k_{\rm inact}$  for these compounds (Figure 4 and Table 3), which showed that **9e**, **9g**, and **9j** fully reacted with GRK5 before the first time point (hence  $k_{\rm inact} > 1 \min^{-1}$ ) and that  $K_{\rm I}$  scaled with the observed IC<sub>50</sub> values reported for these compounds (Tables 1 and 2). This shared reactivity is consistent with the fact that they all contain haloacetyl warheads. The results further suggest that a direct comparison of the SAR among compounds in Table 2 along with **9e** and **9g** from Table 1 is reasonable. It also implies that in this situation where the reactive cysteine is on a flexible loop near the ligand binding site,  $K_{\rm I}$  dominates the IC<sub>50</sub> measurements. More reactive warheads like 2-haloacetyls may also be required to take advantage of a more transiently associated cysteine side chain given the anticipated conformational variability of the AST loop.

Having confirmed that 5c and 9g were potent and highly GRK5 selective relative to GRK2, we explored their kinomewide selectivity. When tested at 1  $\mu$ M (~1000-fold higher than their IC<sub>50</sub> values), **5c** inhibits GRK5 at 92% and GRK6 at 94%, whereas 9g inhibits GRK5 at 93% and GRK6 at 97%. GRK3, a close homolog of GRK2, was inhibited at only 21%. Both compounds had many off-target effects across the kinome (Figure 5 and Figure S3), which is not surprising because the indolinone series is derived from Sunitinib, which can similarly inhibit the activity of many RTKs. We confirmed that selectivity improved at lower concentrations by testing 9g at 100 and 10 nM (Figure 4). 9g however continued to inhibit a small number of tyrosine kinases and Ca<sup>2+</sup>/calmodulindependent protein kinases at the lowest dose, at which GRK5 was 50% inhibited, consistent with the IC<sub>50</sub> value measured in our assays (Table 1).

## CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have developed a potent series of covalent inhibitors based on the indolinone scaffold that show higher potency and three orders of magnitude selectivity for GRK5 over the GRK2 subfamily, improving on the potency and selectivity of the parent compound Ullrich-57 (4- and 74-fold, respectively). Our MS and kinetic results further suggested that their ability to covalently engage a cysteine unique to the GRK5 subfamily, which contributes 3-5-fold to their IC<sub>50</sub> values under our assay conditions, is responsible for these improved characteristics. The compounds thus set the stage for cell-based assays that would allow one to tease apart the roles of GRK5 versus GRK2 in cellular processes linked to cardiovascular disease and cancer. They also set the stage for a future generation of GRK5 subfamily selective therapeutics that would address potential toxicity issues associated with the use of strongly reactive 2-haloacetyls and further improve selectivity versus other subfamilies of protein kinases as well as their moderate solubility and metabolic stability. Such would facilitate their transition into in vivo trials. While this paper was under review, a new GRK5 inhibitor, KR-39038, with 20 nM IC<sub>50</sub> was reported, although experiments addressing its selectivity versus GRK2 and other kinases and its toxicology were not provided.<sup>16</sup> This compound showed mild positive effects in a rodent model of hypertrophy but exhibited low bioavailability and a short half-life (<1 h). These results reinforce the potential utility of covalent GRK5 inhibitors that could have long-lasting effects even if the circulating compound is rapidly cleared, which would in turn mitigate off-target toxicity.10

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Chemistry. All reagents from commercial sources were used without further purification unless otherwise noted. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were taken in DMSO- $d_6$ , MeOD, or CDCl<sub>3</sub> at room temperature on Varian MR 400 MHz, Varian Vnmrs 500 MHz, and Varian Vnmrs 700 MHz instruments. The reported chemical shifts for the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded in parts per million (ppm) on the  $\delta$  scale from an internal tetramethylsilane standard (0.0 ppm). Smallmolecule mass spectrometry data was measured using a Waters Corporation Micromass LCT or Agilent6230 Q-TOF instrument. HPLC was used to determine purity of compounds on an Agilent 1100 series with an Agilent Zorbax Eclipse Plus-C18 column. A gradient of 10-90% acetonitrile/water over 6 min followed by 90% acetonitrile/water for 7 min was used with detection at 254 nm. Purity of all compounds was >95% as determined by HPLC. The Sunitinib scaffold is well known to photoisomerize in solution,<sup>1</sup> and

thus our compounds represent a 70:30 mix of active versus inactive isomers. All figures in the paper depict the active isomer.

**Intact Protein MS and Tandem MS/MS.** Intact protein MS was acquired with a Phenomenex C4 column paired with an Agilent 6545 Q-TOF LC/MS. For intact MS and tandem MS, all samples were prepared with 20  $\mu$ M GRK in assay buffer (see below) and 1 mM compound and incubated at 4 °C for 3 h before being quenched with 1.0  $\mu$ L of formic acid. In tandem MS/MS, we chose Glu-C as the restricting enzyme to avoid small fragments with mass-to-charge ratios below the limit of detection. All samples were digested with Glu-C sequencing enzyme, procured from Sigma Aldrich (Roche Life Sciences subsidiary), and used without further purification. MS/MS experiments were run on a nano-LC (Dionex RSLC-nano) with an Orbitrap Fusion Tribrid ETD mass spectrometer. This work was conducted by the Proteomics Resource Facility at the University of Michigan.

**Structural Models and Docking.** GRK5 (PDB ID 4WNK)<sup>18</sup> and GRK6 (PDB ID 3NYN)<sup>19</sup> were loaded into Molecular Operating Environment 2018.01 (Molecular Operating Environment (MOE), 2018.01; Chemical Computing Group ULC, 1010 Sherbrooke St. West, Suite #910, Montreal, QC, Canada, H3A 2R7, 2018), and the proteins were prepared using the QuickPrep function. The sequences of both proteins were aligned and used to create a superposition of the two proteins and a hybrid structure with the kinase domain of GRK5 and the AST region of GRK6 was created for docking analysis (Figure 1A). The highest scoring docked pose for each compound in Tables 1 and 2 are provided in the Supporting Information.

Inhibition Assays. For compounds 5a-9t, IC<sub>50</sub> values for human GRK5 and bovine GRK2 were determined using a radiometric assay, described as follows. GRK (50 nM) was incubated for 3-5 min with 500 nM porcine brain tubulin (PurSolutions) and 0.01-50  $\mu$ M inhibitor in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.025% dodecylmaltoside (DDM), and 1% DMSO prior to initiation with the addition of 5  $\mu$ M ATP supplemented with radioactive [ $\gamma$ -<sup>32</sup>P]-ATP (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Reactions were quenched at 8 min by addition of 5  $\mu$ L of 4X SDS gel loading dye to the 10  $\mu$ L reactions. Samples (12  $\mu$ L) were separated on a 4–15% Criterion TGX precast gel (Bio-Rad). For potent inhibitors with low nanomolar  $IC_{50}$  the inhibitor concentration was adjusted to approximately  $0-50 \times [IC_{50}]$ , which was estimated from the first run to get more accurate measurements. Gels were dried, exposed to a storage phosphor screen overnight, and scanned using a Personal Molecular Imager (Bio-Rad). Bands corresponding to phosphorylated tubulin were quantified using ImageQuant, plotted as a function of log[inhibitor], and fit to the four-parameter log(inhibitor) vs response model in GraphPad Prism 7.03 to determine the  $IC_{50}$ , mean, and standard deviation values. Outliers were eliminated automatically at a 1% Q value. Experiments were performed at least three times.

PKA inhibition assays were performed with the ADP-Glo system (Promega Corporation) according to the manufacturer's instructions. PKA (500 nM) was incubated with 1  $\mu$ g of CREBtide (KRREILSRRPSYR) (Genscript Corporation) substrate, 50  $\mu$ M ATP, and inhibitor for 30 min in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.025% dodecylmaltoside (DDM), and 4% DMSO. The concentration range of each inhibitor varies depending on its solubility at 4% DMSO with the highest concentration from 100 to 500  $\mu$ M. After the initial reaction, ADP-Glo reagent was added to the reaction and allowed to incubate for an additional 40 min. Last, the kinase detection reagent was added and allowed to incubate for 30 min, and luminescence was measured with a FlexStation 3 Multimode Microplate Reader (Molecular Devices). All data was analyzed in the same way as in the GRK inhibition assay. Experiments were performed three times in duplicate.

Standard control compounds are run during each assay to assess consistency across time, experimenters, and subtle changes in assay conditions that are sometimes required to keep compounds soluble and dispersed (*e.g.*, through addition of DDM or 3% DMSO). Paroxetine was used as a control for GRK2<sup>20</sup> and PKA and CCG215022 for GRK5.<sup>18</sup>

Covalent Inhibition Kinetic Analysis. For the covalent inhibitors 9e, 9g, and 9i,  $K_{\rm I}$  and  $k_{\rm inact}$  were determined using a radiometric assay as follows. The reactions contained 50 nM GRK5 and 5 µM porcine brain tubulin (PurSolutions) in 20 mM HEPES (pH 7.0), 2 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 0.025% DDM, and 1% DMSO. Inhibitors at different concentrations (0.02–1  $\mu$ M for 9j and 9g, and 0.3–20  $\mu$ M for 9e) were incubated with the reaction mix for 30 s prior to initiation with the addition of 5  $\mu$ M ATP supplemented with radioactive  $[\gamma^{-32}P]$ -ATP (PerkinElmer Life Sciences). Reactions were quenched at 1, 2, and 5 min by addition of 10  $\mu$ L of 4X SDS gel loading dye to the 10  $\mu$ L reactions. Samples (12  $\mu$ L) were separated on a 4-15% Criterion TGX precast gel (Bio-Rad). Gels were dried, exposed to a storage phosphor screen overnight, and scanned using a Personal Molecular Imager (Bio-Rad). Bands corresponding to phosphorylated tubulin were quantified using ImageQuant, background-corrected, normalized to the intensity level of phosphorylation tubulin in the absence of any inhibitor, and plotted as a function of time. Because the inactivation process became nonlinear after the first time point, the observed inactivation rate  $(k_{obs})$  was estimated using the 1 min time point. The  $k_{obs}$  values were replotted against inhibitor concentration and fitted to the equation,  $k_{obs} = k_{inact}[I]/(K_I + [I])$ , to obtain  $k_{\text{inact}}$  and  $K_{\text{I}}$  in GraphPad Prism 7.03. Experiments were performed three times.

Thermodynamic Solubility and Microsomal Stability. Thermodynamic solubility for compounds was determined by Analiza Inc. (Cleveland OH, analiza.com) using a miniaturized shake-flask solubility assay. Microsomal stability was determined by the Pharmacokinetics and Mass Spectrometry Core at the University of Michigan. Compounds 9c, 9e, and 9j were dissolved in DMSO (1 mM) and then further diluted to 100  $\mu$ M with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (with 3.3 mM MgCl<sub>2</sub>). Microsomes (20 mg/mL) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (with 3.3 mM MgCl) were dosed with 20  $\mu$ L of NADPH (4 mg in 240 µL of 0.1 M phosphate buffer) and incubated at 37 °C for 3 min. Microsomes were then dosed with 4  $\mu$ L of 100  $\mu$ M of 9c, 9e, and 9j. At the following time points (0, 5, 10, 15, 30, 45, and 60 min), the reaction solutions were stopped with cold acetonitrile containing 25 nM CE302 as an internal standard. The incubation solution was centrifuged at 3500 rpm for 10 min to precipitate protein. The supernatant was used for LC/MS/MS analysis.

Chemical Synthesis and Validation. (R)-2-Oxo-N-(1phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3). To a round-bottom flask was added 297.7 mg (1.69 mmol) of 2-oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid, dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.244 mL (1.88 mmol) of (S)-1-phenylethan-1-amine, 0.300 mL (1.69 mmol) of DIPEA, and 746.1 mg (1.95 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layer was washed with brine (2 × 50 mL) and then dried over MgSO4. Purified by column chromatography (0-15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 401.9 mg, 84%. Molecular formula: C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 280.12 ESI-MS found: 281.1283 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.198. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.62 (s, 1H), 8.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.39-7.35 (m, 2H), 7.31 (dd, J = 8.4, 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 1H), 6.88-6.83 (m, 1H), 5.15 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.46 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  177.17, 165.76, 146.85, 145.65, 129.29, 128.67, 126.52, 126.06, 108.90, 48.85, 36.09, 22.84.

(S)-2-Oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**3a**). To a round-bottom flask was added 201.8 mg (1.13 mmol) of 2-oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.160 mL (1.24 mmol) of (S)-1-phenylethan-1-amine, 0.200 mL (1.13 mmol) of DIPEA, and 492.4 mg (1.30 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine ( $2 \times 50$  mL) and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 280 mg, 84%. Molecular formula: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 280.12 ESI-MS

found: 281.0903 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.249. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.67 (s, 1H), 8.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.38 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.20 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.46 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  176.83, 165.50, 146.49, 145.22, 128.27, 127.77, 127.54, 126.60, 126.14, 125.64, 123.69, 108.62, 48.52, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 35.70, 22.40.

(R)-2-Oxo-N-(1-(m-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3b). To a round-bottom flask was added 236.1 mg (1.33 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid, dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.200 mL (1.47 mmol) of (R)-1-(m-tolyl)ethan-1-amine, 0.300 mL (1.73 mmol) of DIPEA, and 510.2 mg (1.33 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 125.6 mg, 31%. Molecular formula: C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 294.14 ESI-MS found: 295.0167 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.395. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.61 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.18 (p, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.02 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.11 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.44 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.62, 165.16, 146.32, 145.07, 137.11, 128.06, 127.65, 127.48, 127.10, 126.66, 125.53, 123.51, 123.09, 108.36, 48.29, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 38.22, 35.57, 22.34, 21.11.

(R)-N-(1-(4-Fluorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (3c). To a round-bottom flask was added 246.6 mg (1.41 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid, dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.200 mL (1.55 mmol) of (R)-1-(4fluorophenyl)ethan-1-amine, 0.25 mL (1.41 mmol) of DIPEA, and 667.1 mg (1.61 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over  $MgSO_4$  Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 315 mg, 71%. Molecular formula: C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 298.11 ESI-MS found: 299.1216 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.414. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.61 (s, 1H), 8.62 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.43-7.38 (m, 2H), 7.16-7.08 (m, 2H), 6.87-6.82 (m, 1H), 5.14 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.45 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  176.84, 165.47, 160.34, 146.46, 141.34, 128.06, 128.01, 127.77, 127.49, 125.69, 123.63, 114.99, 114.87, 108.55, 47.90, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 38.33, 35.67, 22.35.

(R)-2-Oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3d). To a round-bottom flask was added 248.1 mg (1.41 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.200 mL (1.55 mmol) of (R)-1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethan-1-amine, 0.25 mL (1.41 mmol) of DIPEA, and 652.4 mg (1.61 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 200 mg, 48%. Molecular formula: C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 281.12 ESI-MS found: 282.1236 [M + 1] HPLC: 2.378. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.64 (s, 1H), 8.74 (dd, J = 7.6, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 8.55–8.52 (m, 2H), 7.79 (dd, J = 7.5, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.89–6.85 (m, 1H), 5.14 (td, J = 7.3, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (s, 2H), 3.46-3.41 (m, 3H), 1.47 (dd, J = 7.2, 2.3 Hz, 3H), 1.07–1.03 (m, 3H).  $^{13}$ C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 176.63, 165.65, 155.16, 148.63, 146.56, 127.76, 127.03, 125.62, 123.57, 121.58, 108.43, 56.00, 47.92, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 35.56, 21.45, 18.54.

(R)-2-Oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3e). To a round-bottom flask was added 251.1 mg (1.41 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.200 mL (1.55 mmol) of (R)-1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethan-1-amine, 0.25 mL (1.41 mmol) of DIPEA, and 625.1 mg (1.62 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 165 mg, 39%. Molecular formula: C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 281.12 ESI-MS found: 282.1236 [M + 1] HPLC: 2.111. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.62 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 8.63 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 8.51 (t, J = 5.3 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 7.74 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H),7.38 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (q, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 6.86 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 3H), 1.49 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  177.27, 166.16, 163.50, 149.12, 137.26, 128.18, 127.79, 126.11, 124.08, 122.46, 120.59, 108.98, 50.76, 40.22, 40.10, 39.98, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 36.07, 21.45

(R)-N-(1-(3-Chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (3f). To a round-bottom flask was added 251.1 mg (1.41 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.200 mL (1.55 mmol) of (R)-1-(3chlorophenyl)ethan-1-amine, 0.25 mL (1.41 mmol) of DIPEA, and 717.7 mg (1.91 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na $_2\text{CO}_3$ , and extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  100 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 423.3 mg, 91%. Molecular formula: C17H15ClN2O2 ESI-MS calc: 314.08 ESI-MS found: 315.0438 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.730. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.64–10.57 (m, 1H), 8.65 (dd, J = 7.5, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.78–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.33 (dt, J = 6.7, 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (dp, J = 6.4, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.15-5.06 (m, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.45-1.42 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.91, 165.61, 148.09, 146.73, 133.15, 130.39, 127.97, 126.74, 126.14, 125.88, 125.13, 123.78, 108.70, 56.27, 48.40, 40.12, 40.00, 39.88, 39.76, 39.64, 39.52, 39.40, 35.83, 22.43, 18.80.

(R)-2-Oxo-N-(1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3g). To a round-bottom flask was added 244.2 mg (1.41 mmol) of 2oxoindoline-5-carboxylic acid dissolved in 7 mL of dry DMF. To this dark red solution were added 0.220 mL (1.55 mmol) of (R)-1-(3chlorophenyl)ethan-1-amine, 0.25 mL (1.41 mmol) of DIPEA, and 622.1 mg (1.62 mmol) of HATU. The resultant dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h, then added 200 mL of sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 100$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Purified by column chromatography (0–15% DCM/MeOH) to give the desired product as a strawberry pink solid. Yield: 300.8 mg, 69%. Molecular formula: C18H18N2O2 ESI-MS calc: 294.14 ESI-MS found: 317 [M + Na] HPLC: 5.556. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.61 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 6.85 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.44 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  176.63, 165.17, 146.30, 142.10, 135.44, 128.66, 127.64, 127.54, 125.93, 125.51, 123.51, 108.36, 48.03, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 35.57, 22.29, 20.59.

(*R*)-*N*-(1-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**3h**). Prepared using the protocol described for 3. Yields a strawberry pink solid, 471.0 mg, quantitative yield. Molecular formula:  $C_{17}H_{15}ClN_2O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 314.08 ESI-MS found: 337.0717 [M + Na] HPLC: 5.682. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.61 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.42–7.34 (m, 4H), 6.85 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (p, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.45 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  176.61, 165.29, 146.40, 144.17, 130.98, 128.09, 127.92, 127.67, 127.28, 125.55, 123.49, 108.37, 47.87, 40.00, 39.83, 39.67, 39.50, 39.33, 39.17, 39.00, 35.55, 22.09.

*N-Benzyl-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide* (3*i*). Prepared with protocol described for 3. Yields a strawberry pink solid, 174.5 mg, 56%. Molecular formula: C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 266.11 ESI-MS found: 267.2180 [M + 1] HPLC: 4.822. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.61 (s, 1H), 8.87 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 7.79–7.75 (m, 2H), 7.34–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.23 (dt, *J* = 7.2, 4.3 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, *J* = 5.9 Hz, 2H), 3.53 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.60, 165.95, 146.42, 139.88, 128.65, 128.19, 128.12, 127.70, 127.59, 127.47, 127.29, 127.11, 126.61, 125.66, 123.45, 108.44, 47.80, 45.00, 42.52, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 38.22, 35.57.

2-Oxo-N-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (3j). Prepared using the protocol described for **3**. Yields a strawberry pink solid, 210.8 mg, 48%. Molecular formula:  $C_{18}H_{18}N_2O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 294.14 ESI-MS found: 295.1456 [M + 1], 317.1275 [M + Na] HPLC: 5.199. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.60 (s, 1H), 8.23 (s, 1H), 7.74 (s, 1H), 7.74–7.71 (m, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.26 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.15 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (s, 2H), 1.65 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 176.64, 165.50, 148.19, 146.15, 128.51, 128.35, 127.82, 1s27.67, 125.59, 125.37, 124.81, 124.61, 123.65, 108.26, 55.22, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 35.59, 29.66.

(*R*)-*N*-(2-*Methyl*-1-*phenylpropyl*)-2-*oxoindoline-5-carboxamide* (**3***k*). Prepared using the protocol described for 3. Yields a strawberry pink solid, 389.9 mg, quantitative yield. Molecular formula:  $C_{19}H_{20}N_2O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 308.15 ESI-MS found: 309.2172 HPLC: 5.793. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  10.44 (s, 1H), 8.37 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.58 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (s, 2H), 1.06 (d, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 0.83 (d, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 0.53 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  176.69, 165.65, 164.62, 162.32, 146.32, 143.33, 128.02, 127.75, 127.70, 127.41, 126.61, 125.54, 123.53, 108.43, 59.92, 56.08, 41.67, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 38.23, 35.78, 35.62, 32.55, 30.77, 20.09, 19.90, 18.56.

2-Oxo-N-(3-phenyloxetan-3-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (31). Prepared using the protocol described for 3. Yields a strawberry pink solid, 62.1 mg, 31%. Molecular formula:  $C_{18}H_{16}N_2O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 308.12 ESI-MS found: 309.1235 HPLC: 4.601. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 10.49 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 9.16 (d, *J* = 4.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.60 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 2H), 7.10 (tdd, *J* = 7.3, 4.9, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.74–6.70 (m, 1H), 4.82 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 4.59 (dd, *J* = 6.7, 4.8 Hz, 2H), 3.38 (d, *J* = 4.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 176.66, 165.18, 146.78, 143.10, 129.97, 128.28, 128.17, 127.72, 126.91, 126.72, 126.06, 125.80, 125.41, 124.81, 123.53, 120.11, 108.72, 108.56, 81.89, 58.27, 53.49, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 38.23, 35.58, 35.52, 30.66, 14.07.

N-(2-(Diethylamino)ethyl)-5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3carboxamide (4a). To a round-bottom flask were added 200.9 mg (1.20 mmol) of 5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, 365.8 mg (1.79 mmol) of EDCI, 277.7 mg (1.79 mmol) of HOBt, 7 mL of dry DMF, 0.200 mL (1.44 mmol) of N1,N1-diethylethane-1,2diamine, and 0.34 mL (2.39 mmol) of TEA. The dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h before being quenched with water and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic layer was washed with brine  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and then with 10% citric acid (3  $\times$  50 mL), drawing the desired product into the water layer. The aqueous layer was basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, bringing the pH up to 10, and then extracted with DCM ( $4 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic layers were dried over  $\mathrm{MgSO}_4$  and then evaporated onto a silica gel and purified by column chromatography (5-15% MeOH/DCM). The solvent was removed under pressure to give a yellow solid. Result: light yellow solid, 90 mg, 27%. Molecular formula: C14H23N3O2, ESI-MS calc: 265.18 ESI-MS found: 266.1749 HPLC: 2.681. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.85 (s, 1H), 9.54 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 2H), 2.34 (d, J = 20.8 Hz, 7H), 0.99 (s, 6H).

5-Formyl-2,4-dimethyl-N-(prop-2-yn-1-yl)-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (4b). To a round-bottom flask were added 199.4 mg (1.20 mmol) of 5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, 688.0 mg (1.79 mmol) of HATU, 6 mL of dry DMF, 0.100 mL (1.44 mmol) of propargylamine, and 0.34 mL (2.39 mmol) of TEA. The dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h before being quenched with water and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30)$ mL). The combined organic layers were taken and washed with brine  $(2 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and then with 10% citric acid  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ , taking the desired product into the aqueous layer. The aqueous layer was basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, bringing the pH up to 10, and then extracted with DCM ( $4 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed to give the final product as a light orange oil. Result: light orange oil, 61 mg, 25%. Molecular formula: C11H12N2O2 ESI-MS calc: 204.09 ESI-MS found: 205.0873 [M + 1], 242.1167 [M + K] HPLC: 3.625. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.87 (s, 1H), 9.55 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 16H), 3.97 (ddd, J = 12.7, 5.7, 2.5 Hz, 3H), 3.08 (t, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 177.82, 164.77, 139.11, 138.51, 128.26, 119.20, 89.92, 82.16, 72.95, 55.38, 40.24, 38.71, 28.42, 12.90, 10.00.

N-Allyl-5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (4c). To a round-bottom flask were added 204.4 mg (1.20 mmol) of 5formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, 350.3 mg of EDCI (1.79 mmol), 275.3 mg (1.79 mmol) of HOBt, 6 mL of dry DMF, 0.110 mL (1.44 mmol) of allylamine, and 0.34 mL (2.39 mmol) of TEA. The dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h before being quenched with water and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . Combined organic layers were taken and washed with LiCl  $(3 \times 20 \text{ mL})$  and then with 10% citric acid  $(3 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ , drawing the desired product into the aqueous layer. The aqueous layer was basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, bringing the pH up to 8, and then extracted with DCM ( $4 \times 50$  mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed to give a yellow solid. Result: light yellow solid, 64 mg, 25%. Molecular formula: C<sub>11</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 206.11 ESI-MS: 246.1621 [M+ MeCN] HPLC: 3.885. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.38 (s, 1H), 9.54 (s, 1H),8.17 (s, 1H), 6.01 (tt, J = 10.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 5.87 (ddt, J = 16.4, 10.6, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 5.18 (t, J = 15.7 Hz, 3H), 5.08 (dd, J = 16.5, 10.2 Hz, 3H), 4.14 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H), 2.31 (s, 5H), 2.23 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  161.78, 150.29, 135.45, 135.21, 115.01, 114.35, 114.20, 40.54, 40.50, 39.50, 39.33, 39.17, 39.00, 38.83, 38.67, 38.50, 35.26, 30.25, 11.95, 9.27.

N-(But-3-yn-1-yl)-5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (4d). To a round-bottom flask were added 199.6 mg (1.20 mmol) of 5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, 684.3 mg (1.79 mmol) of HATU, 6 mL of dry DMF, 0.100 mL (1.44 mmol) of 1-amino-3-butyne, and 0.30 mL (2.39 mmol) of DIPEA. The dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h before being quenched with water and extracted with DCM  $(3 \times 30)$ mL). The combined organic layers were washed with LiCl ( $2 \times 20$ mL) and then with 10% citric acid ( $3 \times 50$  mL), drawing the desired product into the water layer. The aqueous layer was basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, bringing the pH up to 10, and then extracted with DCM (4  $\times$  50 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the final product as a yellow solid. Result: light yellow solid, 99.6 mg, 38.1%. Molecular formula: C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 218.11 ESI-MS found: 219.1129 HPLC: 3.997. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.83 (s, 1H), 2.83 (s, 1H), 2.69 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 3H), 2.37 (s, 4H), 2.32 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 178.94, 164.54, 160.23, 152.56, 147.04, 146.58, 126.55, 115.31, 88.48, 82.43, 72.02, 55.77, 37.85, 18.85, 13.97, 10.55.

*N*-(*But-3-en-1-yl*)-5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxamide (**4e**). To a round-bottom flask were added 197.8 mg (1.20 mmol) of 5-formyl-2,4-dimethyl-1H-pyrrole-3-carboxylic acid, 685.8 mg (1.79 mmol) of HATU, 6 mL of dry DMF, 107.1 mg (1.44 mmol) of but-3-en-1-amine, and 0.45 mL (2.40 mmol) of DIPEA. The dark red solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h before being quenched with water and extracted with DCM ( $3 \times 30$  mL). pubs.acs.org/jmc

The combined organic layers were washed with brine (2 × 20 mL) and then with 10% citric acid (3 × 50 mL), drawing the desired material in to the aqueous layer. The aqueous layer was basified with Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, bringing the pH up to 10, and then extracted with DCM (4 × 50 mL). The combined organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. Result: light orange oil, 106.1 mg, 37.2%. Molecular formula:  $C_{12}H_{16}N_2O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 220.12 MS: 221.1304 HPLC: 5.554. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.77 (s, 1H), 8.84 (d, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 8.73 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.95 (s, 4H), 7.66 (dd, *J* = 8.7, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 5.12–4.99 (m, 1H), 2.59 (s, 7H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  179.37, 165.02, 162.73, 152.99, 146.27, 140.66, 134.88, 130.23, 122.09, 36.21, 31.20, 14.39, 10.98.

(R,Z)-3-((4-((2-(Diethylamino)ethyl)carbamoyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1Hpyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5a). To a dried sealed tube were added 97.9 mg (0.371 mmol) of (3) and 107.0 mg (0.357 mmol) of (4a), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.5 mL). To this solution were added two drops of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 38.3 mg, 22%. Molecular formula:  $C_{31}H_{37}N_5O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 527.29 ESI-MS found: 528.2955 HPLC: 5.621. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  13.59 (s, 1H), 11.13 (s, 1H), 8.59 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 7.44 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 7H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 169.90, 165.95, 164.71, 145.11, 140.64, 136.48, 129.93, 128.30, 127.78, 126.64, 126.37, 126.18, 125.86, 125.28, 124.09, 120.76, 117.69, 114.37, 108.94, 51.72, 48.55, 46.59, 45.44, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 37.06, 22.35, 13.40, 11.92, 10.79.

(S,Z)-3-((4-((2-(Diethylamino)ethyl)carbamoyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1Hpyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5b). To a dried sealed tube were added 91.2 mg (0.325 mmol) of (3a) and 79.9 mg (0.299 mmol) of (4a), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (2.5 mL). To this solution were added two drops of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 38.3 mg, 22%. Molecular formula: C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>37</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 527.29 ESI MS found: 528.2043 HPLC: 5.753. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.59 (s, 1H), 11.16 (s, 1H), 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.75–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.48 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 8H), 2.37 (s, 1H), 2.32 (s, 1H)1H), 2.08 (s, 1H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H), 0.99 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 8H).  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.28, 147.82, 141.01, 130.32, 128.66, 127.23, 126.98, 126.61, 126.26, 125.66, 124.60, 118.30, 106.84, 102.36, 48.92, 47.02, 22.80, 17.46, 13.83, 11.24, 9.18.

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-(prop-2-yn-1-ylcarbamoyl)-1H-pyrrol-2yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5c). To a dried sealed tube were added 75.1 mg (0.27 mmol) of (3) and 50 mg (0.28 mmol) of (4b), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (1.8 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and an orange solid was filtered off. The solid was rinsed with cold EtOH to give the final product. Yield: bright orange solid, 58.6 mg, 46%. Molecular formula: C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 466.20 ESI-MS found: 467.2037 HPLC: 6.360. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.61 (s, 1H), 11.15 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (s, 1H), 8.06 (t, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (q, J = 5.8, 3.9 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 3.14–3.08 (m, 1H), 2.44 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 7H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  $170.30, \ 166.26, \ 145.52, \ 141.06, \ 136.92, \ 130.46, \ 128.67, \ 128.29,$ 127.00, 126.82, 126.58, 126.28, 124.49, 120.45, 118.23, 115.03, 109.28, 82.17, 73.04, 48.87, 28.49, 22.77, 13.76, 11.13.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(Allylcarbamoyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5d). To a dried sealed tube were added 53.6 mg (0.27 mmol) of (3) and 50 mg (0.28 mmol) of (4c), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3 mL). To this solution were added two drops (0.05 mL) of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then an orange solid was filtered off. The solid was washed with cold EtOH to give the final product. Result: orange solid, 79.5 mg, 63%. Molecular formula: C28H28N4O3 ESI-MS calc: 468.22 ESI-MS found: 469.2224 HPLC: 6.450. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.60 (s, 1H), 11.14 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.73–7.68 (m, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.90 (ddt, *J* = 15.7, 10.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.22–5.18 (m, 2H), 5.10 (dd, *J* = 10.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.87 (t, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.50, 145.29, 135.97, 130.84, 129.65, 128.44, 128.02, 126.34, 115.20, 108.42, 103.80, 91.16, 59.93, 22.53, 13.55, 10.93.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(But-3-yn-1-ylcarbamoyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2vl)methylene)-2-oxó-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5e). To a dried sealed tube were added 99.9 mg (0.357 mmol) of (3)and 82 mg of (4d), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.5 mL). To this solution were added two drops (0.05 mL) of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the solution was cooled to room temperature and then an orange solid was filtered off. The solid was washed with cold EtOH to give the final product. Yield: orange solid, 19 mg, 10%. Molecular formula: C29H28N4O3 ESI-MS calc: 480.22 ESI-MS found: 481.2226 HPLC: 6.444. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.60 (s, 1H), 11.15 (s, 1H), 8.62 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.79 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.86 (t, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 6H), 2.42 (td, J = 7.1, 2.4 Hz, 2H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.83, 165.81, 164.71, 145.08, 140.56, 136.34, 130.00, 128.23, 127.80, 126.55, 126.12, 125.79, 125.18, 124.08, 120.61, 117.76, 82.50, 72.12, 48.42, 37.95, 22.33, 13.33, 10.70.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(But-3-en-1-ylcarbamoyl)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (5f). To a dried sealed tube were added 94.8 mg (0.27 mmol) of (3) and 87.2 mg (0.28 mmol) of (4e), all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (2.2 mL). To this solution were added two drops (0.07 mL) of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the solution was cooled to room temperature and then purified by column chromatography. Fractions were collected, and the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 103 mg, 59%. Molecular formula: C<sub>29</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 482.23 ESI-MS found: 483.2382 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.720. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.54 (s, 1H), 11.13 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.27-8.18 (m, 2H), 7.73-7.71 (m, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 3H), 7.35-7.31 (m, 4H), 7.25-7.21 (m, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.43 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 7H), 1.63-1.59 (m, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) & 169.79, 165.81, 165.04, 145.07, 140.57, 133.90, 128.74, 128.19, 127.78, 126.52, 126.11, 125.22, 123.96, 120.71, 117.70, 116.21, 114.09, 108.74, 48.41, 35.77, 33.74, 22.26, 12.40, 10.15.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-*N*-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**7a**). To a dried sealed tube were added 91.2 mg (0.892 mmol) of (3) and 79.9 mg (1.39 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (2.5 mL). To this solution were added two drops (0.05 mL) of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and an orange solid was filtered off. The solid was washed with cold EtOH to give the desired product. Result: orange solid, 269.3 mg, 69%. Molecular formula:  $C_{24}H_{22}N_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 430.16 ESI-MS found: 431.1715 HPLC: 7.400. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (d, J = 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.90, 165.69, 144.98, 142.47, 136.80, 132.12, 130.48, 129.11, 128.20, 127.74, 126.10, 125.56, 123.33, 121.76, 115.38, 53.66, 22.28, 18.23, 10.50.

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(m-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (7b). To a dried sealed tube were added 200 mg (0.679 mmol) of (3b) and 139.6 mg (0.815 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (6.0 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as a lemon yellow solid. Yield: lemon yellow solid, 100 mg, 29.8%. Molecular formula: C25H24N4O4 ESI-MS calc: 444.18 ESI-MS found: 445.1862 [M + 1] HPLC: 7.732. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.34 (s, 1H), 8.58 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.33 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.23-7.18 (m, 3H), 7.03 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 169.83, 165.49, 144.90, 141.26, 137.14, 136.75, 133.66, 128.34, 128.09, 127.51, 127.15, 126.74, 125.07, 124.71, 124.39, 123.59, 123.17, 119.39, 118.96, 109.09, 48.36, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 22.26, 21.11, 14.76, 11.21

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (7c). To a dried sealed tube were added 128 mg (0.429 mmol) of (3c) and 101.3 mg (0.60 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.0 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 96.3 mg, 50%. Molecular formula: C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>21</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ESI-MS calc: 448.15 ESI-MS found: 449.1619 [M + 1] HPLC: 7.422. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.37 (s, 1H), 8.64 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.35 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47-7.44 (m, 2H), 7.18-7.14 (m, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.85, 165.62, 136.79, 133.69, 128.31, 128.02, 127.97, 124.71, 123.71, 119.03, 114.88, 114.76, 50.22, 47.86, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 22.26, 14.78, 11.22.

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (7d). To a dried sealed tube were added 100 mg (0.455 mmol) of (3d) and 77.1 mg (0.459 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.0 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as a yellow solid. Yield: yellow solid, 48.0 mg, 31.3%. Molecular formula: C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ESI-MS calc: 431.16 ESI-MS found: 432.1656 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.496. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.36 (s, 1H), 8.73 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.52–8.50 (m, 2H), 8.36 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (s, 1H), 7.77 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (d, J = 5.2 Hz, 2H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (s, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.11, 166.24, 153.97, 149.80, 137.07, 135.63, 128.31, 127.80, 125.45, 124.98, 124.02, 121.55, 119.37, 109.42, 48.18, 40.12, 40.00, 39.88, 39.76, 39.64, 39.52, 39.40, 21.78, 15.04. 11.48.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**7e**). To a dried sealed tube were added 165 mg (0.587 mmol) of (**3e**) and 122.8 mg (0.762 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.0 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as a yellow solid. Yield: yellow solid, 116 mg, 34%. Molecular formula:  $C_{23}H_{21}N_5O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 431.16 ESI-MS found: 432.0558 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.49. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.36 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.65–8.58 (m, 1H), 8.55–8.47 (m, 1H), 8.39 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (ddd, J = 33.0, 15.8, 7.2 Hz, 3H), 7.42 (q, J = 10.5, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (dd, J = 12.7, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (q, J = 8.8, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.66–2.60 (m, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 1.56–1.49 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.21, 165.90, 148.79, 136.83, 128.23, 124.86, 123.81, 120.44, 119.09, 109.32, 58.91, 50.44, 40.00, 39.88, 39.76, 39.74, 39.64, 39.62, 39.52, 39.40, 39.28, 21.09, 11.38.

(R,Z)-N-(1-(3-Chlorophenyl)ethyl)-3-((3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1Hpvrrol-2-vl)methylene)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (7f). To a dried sealed tube were added 242.2 mg (0.769 mmol) of (3f) and 150.1 mg (0.892 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (6.0 mL). To this solution were added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 46 mg, 16%. Molecular formula: C24H21ClN4O4 ESI-MS calc: 464.13 ESI-MS found: 465.1318 HPLC: 7.699. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 11.38 (s, 1H), 8.68 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.36 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (dt, J = 6.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (s, 3H), 2.60 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 165.88, 147.85, 144.31, 138.20, 130.27, 126.64, 126.10, 125.34, 125.04, 123.98, 119.28, 109.31, 102.54, 48.41, 40.00, 39.88, 39.76, 39.64, 39.52, 39.40, 39.28, 22.27, 14.93.

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (7g). To a dried sealed tube were added 253.9 mg (0.862 mmol) of (3g) and 140.9 mg (0.837 mmol) of 3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrole-2-carbaldehyde, all of which were dissolved in abs. EtOH (3.0 mL). To this solution was added 0.05 mL of piperidine and heated to reflux (95 °C) for 4 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the product was filtered off as an orange solid. Yield: orange solid, 76.1 mg, 25%. Molecular formula: C25H24N4O4 ESI-MS calc: 444.18 ESI-MS found: 445.1864 [M + 1] HPLC: 7.662. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.73 (s, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 8.35 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.76 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H), 7.14 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 3H), 6.96 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H),5.17 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 6H), 2.28 (s, 5H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.11, 165.77, 155.70, 142.17, 141.51, 137.04, 135.76, 135.76, 128.94, 126.27, 125.36, 119.23, 109.39, 52.91, 40.12, 40.00, 39.88, 39.76, 39.64, 39.52, 39.40, 22.49, 20.86, 15.04, 11.49, 10.19.

(*Z*)-*N*-Benzyl-3-((3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1*H*-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (7i). Prepared using the protocol described for 7a. Yields an orange solid, 150 mg, 55%. Molecular formula:  $C_{23}H_{20}N_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 416.15 ESI-MS found: 417.2934, HPLC: 7.158. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.44–11.06 (m, 1H), 8.84 (t, *J* = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 8.34 (s, 1H), 7.76 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 5.6 Hz, 4H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, *J* = 5.7 Hz, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.75, 166.10, 141.33, 139.82, 136.69, 133.57, 128.23, 127.89, 127.37, 127.18, 126.67, 124.95, 124.69, 124.44, 123.25, 119.29, 118.57, 109.16, 42.62, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 14.73, 11.11.

(*Z*)-3-((*3*,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (*7j*). Prepared using the protocol described for 7a. Yields an orange solid, 76.1 mg, 32.1%. Molecular formula:  $C_{25}H_{24}N_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 444.18 ESI-MS found: 445.2680 [M + 1] HPLC: 7.713. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 11.32 (s, 1H), 8.31 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 8.25 (s, 1H), 7.80 (s, 1H), 7.72 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.42–7.39 (m, 2H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 2.61 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 1.70 (s, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.84, 165.70, 148.16, 141.11, 136.71, 133.63, 129.19, 127.83, 127.58, 125.62, 125.05, 124.73, 124.69, 124.29, 123.61, 119.44, 119.02, pubs.acs.org/jmc

108.96, 55.31, 39.86, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.14, 29.65, 14.76, 11.21.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-*N*-(2methyl-1-phenylpropyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (7k). Prepared using the protocol described for 7a. Yields an orange solid, 144.6 mg, 64.8%. Molecular formula:  $C_{26}H_{26}N_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 458.20 ESI-MS found: 459.2109 HPLC: 7.896. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.32 (s, 1H), 8.56 (p, *J* = 9.3, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 8.29 (dq, *J* = 13.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.88–7.63 (m, 2H), 7.48–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.22 (qd, *J* = 14.5, 9.4, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (tt, *J* = 14.2, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79– 4.64 (m, 1H), 2.64–2.58 (m, 3H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 1.05 (tt, *J* = 13.4, 8.0 Hz, 3H), 0.75 (dp, *J* = 25.8, 6.7 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.69, 165.86, 143.12, 141.05, 127.89, 127.29, 127.17, 126.47, 125.00, 124.61, 124.32, 123.62, 119.24, 119.05, 108.85, 59.80, 39.74, 39.62, 39.50, 39.38, 39.26, 39.15, 39.03, 32.40, 19.97, 19.82, 14.64, 11.05.

(*Z*)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-nitro-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(3-phenyloxetan-3-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**7**). Prepared using the protocol described for **7a**. Yields an orange solid, 47 mg, 42%. Molecular formula:  $C_{25}H_{22}N_4O_5$  ESI-MS calc: 458.16 ESI-MS found: 459.1656 HPLC: 6.923. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  11.39 (s, 1H), 9.36 (s, 1H), 8.40 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.81–7.77 (m, 1H), 7.59–7.55 (m, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 5.04 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.81 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (d, *J* = 2.0 Hz, 3H), 2.58 (d, *J* = 2.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.85, 165.50, 142.99, 141.58, 136.84, 133.69, 127.65, 127.44, 126.92, 125.26, 124.89, 124.72, 124.58, 123.78, 119.24, 109.26, 81.88, 58.33, 14.77, 11.15.

(*R*,*Z*)-*N*-(1-(4-Chlorophenyl)ethyl)-3-((3,5-dimethyl-4-nitro-1*H*-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**7**k). Prepared using the protocol described for 7a. Yields an orange solid, 213.3 mg, 96%. Molecular formula:  $C_{24}H_{21}ClN_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 464.13 ESI-MS found: 465.1318 [M + 1] HPLC: 7.795. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.34 (s, 1H), 8.65 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.35–8.30 (m, 1H), 7.79 (s, 1H), 7.75 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.39 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 3H), 6.94 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (p, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (s, 3H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 1.49 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.83, 165.67, 144.05, 141.32, 136.76, 133.66, 131.02, 128.18, 128.10, 128.00, 127.49, 125.11, 124.70, 124.41, 123.63, 119.35, 118.98, 109.10, 48.00, 40.00, 39.83, 39.67, 39.50, 39.34, 39.17, 39.00, 22.07, 14.76, 11.21.

(R,Z)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (8a). To a flask were added 90.7 mg of (7a) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 226.8 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na2CO3. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc  $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and then washed with water and brine  $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . The organic layer was dried over MgSO4, and then solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. Because the free amine is very reactive, it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: orange solid, 66.7 mg, 79.0%. Molecular formula:  $C_{24}H_{24}N_4O_2$ ESI-MS calc: 400.19 ESI-MS found: 401.1955 HPLC: 5.177. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.45 (s, 1H), 10.88 (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (q, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 2H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2oxo-*N*-(1-(*m*-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8b**). To a flask were added 86.4 mg of (7b) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 210.9 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 × 30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. Note that the free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 86.6 mg, 94%. Molecular formula:  $C_{25}H_{26}N_4O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 414.21 ESI-MS found: 415.2120 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.627.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**8**c). To a flask were added 96.3 mg of (7c) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 295 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 x 30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. The free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 96 mg, 100%. Molecular formula: C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>23</sub>FN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 418.18 ESI-MS found: 419.1938 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.713.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8d**). To a flask were added 48.0 mg of (7d) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 208 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 ×30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. The free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 41.5 mg, 89%. Molecular formula:  $C_{23}H_{23}N_5O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 401.19 ESI-MS found: 402.1920 [M + 1] HPLC: 3.928.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8e**). To a flask were added 73.9 mg of (7e) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 289 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 × 30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. The free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 73.8 mg, 100%. Molecular formula:  $C_{23}H_{23}N_5O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 401.19 ESI-MS found: 402.1450 [M + 1] HPLC: 3.920.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(3-chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**8f**). To a flask were added 46.0 mg of (7f) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To this slurry were added 170.1 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 1.4 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 × 30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. The free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 40 mg, 95%. Molecular formula:  $C_{24}H_{23}CIN_4O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 434.15 ESI-MS found: 435.1569 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.556.

(R,Z)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8g**). To a flask were added 76.1 mg of (7g) and 5 mL of 2:1 EtOH/EtOAc. To

this slurry were added 314.4 mg (14 equiv) of Zn powder and 2.0 mL (150 equiv) of AcOH. The turbid orange solution was allowed to stir at 50 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then EtOAc was added before basifying with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>. The basified aqueous layer was extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL) and then washed with water and brine (1 × 30 mL). The organic layer was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product as a red solid. The free amine is very reactive, so it was moved forward without further characterization. Result: red solid, 220 mg, 100%. Molecular formula: C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 414.21 ESI-MS found: 415.2119 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.573.

(R,Z)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**8h**). Synthesized using protocol described for**8a**. Yields a red solid, 36.1 mg, 48%. Molecular formula: C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>23</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>2</sub> ESI-MS calc: 434.15 ESI-MS found: 435.15612 HPLC: 5.398.

(Z)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-benzyl-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**8***i*). Synthesized using protocol described for **8a**. Yields a red solid, 210 mg, quantitative yield. Molecular formula:  $C_{23}H_{22}N_4O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 386.17 ESI-MS found: 387.1810 HPLC: 5.129.

(*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(2-phenylpropan-2-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8***j*). Synthesized using protocol described for **8a**. Yields a red solid, 307 mg, quantitative yield. Molecular formula:  $C_{25}H_{26}N_4O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 414.21 ESI-MS found: 415.2119 [M + 1] HPLC: 5.245.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylpropyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**8**k). Synthesized using protocol described for **8a**. Yields a red solid, 220 mg, quantitative yield. Molecular formula:  $C_{26}H_{28}N_4O_2$  ESI-MS calc: 428.22 ESI-MS found: 429.2201 HPLC: 5.497.

(Z)-3-((4-Amino-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(3-phenyloxetan-3-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**8**I). Synthesized using protocol described for **8a**. Yields a red solid, 20.6 mg, 47%. Molecular formula:  $C_{25}H_{24}N_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 428.18 ESI-MS found: 429.1494 HPLC: 4.710.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(But-2-ynamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9a). To a flask were added 22.3 mg (0.37 mmol) of butynoic acid, 157.5 mg (0.37 mmol) of HATU, cat. DMAP, and 50 mg (0.19 mmol) of 8a dissolved in 1 mL of DMF. To this bright red solution was added 0.25 mL (1.3 mmol) of DIPEA, and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, washed with sat. LiCl, and then dried over MgSO4. The crude material was purified by preparatory TLC with 50% acetone/hexanes. The desired band was collected, the material was rinsed off the silica gel with acetone, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give the desired product. Result: yellow solid, 17.5 mg, 20%. Molecular formula: C<sub>28</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 466.20 ESI-MS found: 467.2071 HPLC: 6.336. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.53 (s, 1H), 11.07 (s, 1H), 9.78 (s, 1H), 8.86 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H), 8.68 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.60 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.65-7.62 (m, 3H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 5H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 7H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (s, 3H), 5.21–5.17 (m, 1H), 2.19 (s, 4H), 2.17 (s, 4H), 2.03 (s, 3H), 1.52 (s, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.28, 167.22, 165.79, 149.50, 148.74, 145.19, 139.73, 135.08, 130.24, 128.98, 128.19, 127.41, 126.55, 125.97, 125.02, 122.58, 120.62, 117.41, 112.97, 55.89, 22.67, 12.09, 9.59, 3.60.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-Acrylamido-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**9b**). To a roundbottom flask that contained **8a** (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) were added 0.01 mL (0.12 mmol) of acrylic acid, 58.8 mg (0.12 mmol) of HATU, cat. DMAP, and 0.50 mL (0.87 mmol) of TEA. All materials were dissolved in 2 mL of DMF and sonicated to give a homogeneous solution. The solution was allowed to stir at 56 °C for 2 h. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with sat. LiCl and then extracted with EtOAc (3 × 30 mL). The combined organic layers were then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and the material was purified by preparatory TLC (50% acetone/hexanes) collecting the baseline product, which was washed off the silica gel with acetone. The solvent was removed to give the final product as an orange solid (13.9 mg, 24%). Molecular formula:  $C_{27}H_{26}N_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 454.20 ESI-MS found: 455.1632 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.147. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  13.53 (s, 1H), 11.07 (s, 1H), 9.41 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, *J* = 9.5 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 5H), 7.33 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 5H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 6.45 (dd, *J* = 17.1, 10.3 Hz, 1H), 6.23–6.19 (m, 1H), 5.72 (dd, *J* = 10.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  180.81, 169.69, 169.00, 167.87, 165.86, 153.99, 145.07, 141.22, 140.27, 136.23, 131.78, 129.29, 128.18, 127.56, 126.86, 126.49, 126.09, 124.16, 120.41, 109.40, 108.64, 53.84, 22.28.

(R,Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-(vinylsulfonamido)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9c). Step 1: To a dried flask were added 0.03 mL (0.12 mmol) of vinyl sulfonic acid, two drops of DMF, and 2 mL of DCM. The solution was cooled to 0 °C, and then 0.02 mL (0.14 mmol) of oxalyl chloride was added in one portion. The solution was warmed to room temperature and allowed to stir until complete (1 h). Once complete, then the solvent was removed, and the resultant clear oil was rinsed with DCM  $(3 \times 15 \text{ mL})$  and dried under high pressure until ready for step 2. Step 2: To the flask holding the acid chloride was added 8a (50 mg, 0.12 mmol) dissolved in 3 mL of THF. To this murky orange solution was added 0.50 mL (7 equiv) of TEA, and the solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h. Once complete, the solvent was removed under pressure and a yellow residue was brought back up in EtOAc. The organic layer was washed with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and then brine  $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$ . It was purified using a preparatory TLC plate (40% acetone/hexanes), collecting secondary spot (Rf = 0.2-0.3). The material was rinsed off silica with acetone, and the solvent was removed to give a dark orange solid as the desired product. Result: orange solid, 16 mg, 27%. Molecular formula: C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S ESI-MS calc: 490.17 ESI-MS found: 491.1249 HPLC: 6.43. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.50 (s, 1H), 11.38 (s, 1H), 11.09 (s, 1H), 8.98 (s, 1H), 8.64-8.57 (m, 2H), 8.36 (s, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.77 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.70 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 5H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 5H), 7.21 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (dd, J = 16.5, 9.8 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 4H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.90, 165.56, 145.07, 141.33, 136.84, 133.74, 128.32, 128.19, 127.65, 126.53, 126.15, 125.20, 124.79, 124.46, 123.83, 119.49, 119.12, 109.21, 48.52, 45.44, 39.88, 39.76, 39.64, 39.52, 39.40, 39.28, 39.16, 22.33, 14.83, 11.29, 8.63.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Cyanoacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9d). To a round-bottom flask were added 20.2 mg of 8a (0.05 mmol), 6.7 mg (0.075 mmol) of cyanoacetic acid, and 24.6 mg (0.1 mmol) of DMTMM. All materials were brought up in 2 mL of DMF, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with sat. NaCl and extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  50 mL). The organic layer was washed with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and brine  $(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under pressure, evaporating the material onto a silica gel. It was purified by column chromatography (5-100% acetone/hexanes). The column was flushed with 7 N NH<sub>3</sub> in MeOH to yield the final product as a bright orange solid. Result: orange solid, 10 mg, 43%. Molecular formula: C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>25</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 467.20 ESI-MS found: 468.1297 HPLC: 6.100, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.51 (s, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 2H), 2.20 (dd, J = 12.9, 10.8 Hz, 6H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, H).

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**9e**). To a dried round-bottom flask was added **8a** (60 mg, 0.15 mmol) pubs.acs.org/jmc

dissolved in 3 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.01 mL (0.18 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, it was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The solvent was removed, and the crude material was purified using a prep plate (50% acetone/hexanes) collecting the major product. The desired product was rinsed off of a silica gel, and then the solvent was removed to give a bright yellow solid. The yellow solid was washed with DCM and sonicated to give a red solid. The red solid was then pulped in water/acetone (30:1) to give the final product. Result: red solid, 25.1 mg, 35%. Molecular formula: C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 476.16 ESI-MS found: 477.0999 HPLC: 6.388. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.08 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, -1H), 2.20 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 7H), 2.08 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  169.67, 168.51, 156.78, 145.12, 140.30, 131.64, 129.98, 128.13, 127.50, 126.89, 126.44, 126.11, 124.45, 122.62, 121.01, 117.42, 116.93, 112.94, 48.39, 42.71, 22.29, 11.67, 9.24.

(Z)-3-((4-((E)-4-(Dimethylamino)but-2-enamido)-3.5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)indoline-5carboxamide (9f). To a round-bottom flask were added 8a (50 mg, 0.12 mmol), 20.2 mg (0.12 mmol) of N,N-dimethylaminobutenoic acid, 36 mg (0.12 mmol) of DMTMM, and 0.20 mL (0.24 mmol) of TEA. All materials were brought up in 2 mL of DMF, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with sat. NaCl and extracted with EtOAc (3  $\times$  50 mL). The organic layer was washed with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and brine  $(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$  and then dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>. The solvent was removed under pressure, evaporating the material onto a silica gel. It was purified by column chromatography (5-100% acetone/hexanes). The column was flushed with 7 N NH<sub>3</sub> in MeOH to yield the final product as a bright yellow oil. Result: yellow oil, 13 mg, 20%. Molecular formula: C<sub>30</sub>H<sub>33</sub>N<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 511.26 ESI-MS found: 512.2110 [M + 1], 534.1905 [M + Na] HPLC: 5.424. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.56 (s, 1H), 11.06 (s, 2H), 9.29 (s, 2H), 8.59 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 8.21 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 2H), 7.68 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (s, 2H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 4H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.71-6.64 (m, 1H), 6.27 (d, J = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21–5.17 (m, 1H), 3.05 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.09, 166.24, 163.98, 145.47, 141.00, 140.66, 132.25, 128.68, 128.55, 127.91, 127.30, 126.87, 126.48, 126.08, 125.81, 125.74, 125.61, 124.86, 124.52, 122.19, 117.61, 112.99, 109.03, 62.41, 48.96, 25.82, 22.64, 14.44, 12.32, 9.77.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Bromoacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9q). To a round-bottom flask were added 83.7 mg of 8a (0.21 mmol), 60.1 mg (0.41 mmol) of bromoacetic acid, and 100 mg (0.25 mmol) of DMTMM. All materials were brought up in 2 mL of DMF, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 1.5 h. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with brine and then extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic layer was dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and then the solvent was removed under pressure to give a dark orange solid. The solid was then dissolved in DCM, and the resultant solution was sonicated to give a dark red precipitate. The solid was filtered off and rinsed with excess cold DCM to give the final product. Result: red solid, 42.9 mg, 39%. Molecular formula: C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>25</sub>BrN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 520.11 ESI-MS found: 523.1164 HPLC: 6.397. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), 9.60 (s, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (s, 2H), 2.20 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 6H), 1.51 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 169.68, 165.82, 165.54, 145.05, 140.30, 131.64, 128.15, 127.59,

pubs.acs.org/jmc

126.78, 126.47, 126.07, 125.76, 125.33, 124.44, 124.13, 121.01, 117.35, 112.95, 108.62, 48.34, 29.33, 22.26, 11.61, 9.14.

(Z)-3-((4-(3-Chloro-2-hydroxypropanamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1Hpyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9h). To a round-bottom flask were added 80 mg of 8a (0.20 mmol), 31.1 mg (0.26 mmol) of 3-chloro-2-hydroxypropionic acid, and 81.8 mg (0.32 mmol) of DMTMM. All materials were brought up in 2 mL of DMF, and the resulting solution was allowed to stir at room temperature for 12 h. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with sat. NaCl and extracted with EtOAc ( $3 \times 50$  mL). The organic layer was washed with sat. Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> and brine  $(1 \times 50 \text{ mL})$ and then dried over MgSO4. The solvent was removed under pressure, evaporating the material onto a silica gel. It was purified by column chromatography (5-100% acetone/hexanes). Result: orange solid, 72.8 mg, 58%. Molecular formula: C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>27</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ESI-MS calc: 506.17 ESI-MS found: 507.1809 [M + 1], 540.2377 [M + H + MeOH] HPLC: 6.043. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.58-13.42 (m, 1H), 11.07 (d, I = 4.9 Hz, 1H), 8.60 (d, I = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.23-8.19 (m, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.69-7.67 (m, 1H), 7.64 (d, J = 5.9 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dt, J = 8.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.74 (s, 1H), 2.21–2.18 (m, 4H), 2.16 (s, 2H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 172.44, 166.31, 162.74, 145.53, 128.63, 127.95, 126.94, 126.54, 125.88, 124.64, 121.80, 117.71, 115.92, 109.07, 107.36, 55.41, 48.82, 22.73. 21.51. 9.74.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(m-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9i). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 86.6 mg of 8b (0.209 mmol) dissolved in 3 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C and 0.04 mL (0.30 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The solvent was removed, and the crude material was purified using a prep plate (50% acetone/hexanes) collecting the major product. The desired product was rinsed off of a silica gel, and then the solvent was removed to give a bright yellow solid. The yellow solid was washed with DCM and sonicated to give a red solid. The red solid was then pulped in water/acetone (30:1) to give the final product. Result: red solid, 57.2 mg, 55%. Molecular formula: C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>27</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 490.18 ESI-MS found: 491.1133 HPLC: 6.68. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.07 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.56 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (s, 1H), 7.74-7.62 (m, 2H), 7.24-7.15 (m, 4H), 7.03 (s, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (s, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.20 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 6H), 1.49 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 170.18, 169.06, 166.24, 165.84, 145.51, 140.80, 137.63, 132.18, 128.59, 128.10, 127.62, 127.24, 126.26, 125.83, 124.93, 123.68, 121.47, 117.93, 113.45, 109.13, 48.80, 43.22, 22.79, 21.62, 12.15, 9.70.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (9j). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 96.0 mg of 8c (0.23 mmol) dissolved in 4 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.02 mL (0.25 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The solvent was removed, and the crude material was purified using a prep plate (50% acetone/hexanes) collecting the major product. The desired product was rinsed off of a silica gel, and then the solvent was removed to give a bright yellow solid. The yellow solid was washed with DCM and sonicated to give a red solid. The red solid was then pulped in water/acetone (30:1) to give the final product. Result: red solid, 46.5 mg, 41%. Molecular formula: C26H24FClN4O3 ESI-MS calc: 494.15 ESI-MS found: 495.0480 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.439. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.52 (s, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), 9.54 (s, 1H), 8.63 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.23 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.46-7.43 (m, 2H), 7.15 (tt, J = 9.9, 3.2 Hz, 3H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.28–4.26 (m, 4H), 2.20 (d, J = 10.1 Hz, 6H), 1.50 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 

170.20, 169.07, 166.36, 165.86, 162.12, 160.75, 141.78, 141.76, 140.85, 132.22, 128.54, 128.49, 128.41, 128.02, 127.42, 126.27, 125.87, 124.96, 124.70, 121.50, 117.90, 115.38, 115.26, 113.44, 109.15, 48.33, 43.23, 41.97, 40.37, 40.25, 40.13, 40.01, 39.89, 39.77, 39.65, 36.07, 29.51, 22.78, 12.16, 9.72.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-4-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9k). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 41.5 mg of 8d (0.103 mmol) dissolved in 2 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.01 mL (0.103 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with EtOAc. The solvent was removed, and the crude material was purified using a prep plate (50% acetone/hexanes) collecting the major product as the desired product. Result: red solid, 6.3 mg, 13%. Molecular formula: C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 477.16 ESI-MS found: 478.1734 [M + H] HPLC: 4.363. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 13.51 (s, 1H), 11.15 (s, 1H), 10.56 (s, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.73 (s, 1H), 8.48 (s, 2H), 7.75 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 6.96 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.27 (s, 2H), 2.21 (s, 6H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  168.53, 166.49, 165.34, 154.01, 141.60, 140.65, 130.38, 129.30, 129.05, 127.97, 124.37, 123.04, 122.68, 121.09, 119.53, 118.36, 108.73, 54.90, 42.72, 21.66, 10.38, 8.77.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(pyridin-2-yl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (91). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 73.8 mg of 8e (0.18 mmol) dissolved in 4 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.04 mL (0.50 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the solvent was removed under pressure and then DCM was added to the dark red residue. The DCM solution was sonicated to give a dark red precipitate. The solid was filtered off and rinsed with THF and DCM to give the desired product as a dark red solid. Result: red solid, 37.1 mg, 42%. Molecular formula: C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClN<sub>5</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 477.16 ESI-MS found: 478.1547 [M + 1] HPLC: 4.743. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.28 (t, J = 13.6 Hz, 1H), 11.02 (q, J = 7.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 9.86 (s, 1H), 8.98 (d, J = 52.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 8.42 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (q, J = 9.9, 9.4 Hz, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (s, 2H), 7.58 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (dt, J = 27.4, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (p, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.23-5.16 (m, 1H), 4.03 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.92 (d, J = 16.9Hz, 14H), 2.26 (d, J = 10.2 Hz, 7H), 2.17 (dt, J = 18.1, 8.8 Hz, 4H), 1.97 (q, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 1.48–1.40 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) & 169.75, 166.56, 165.36, 159.41, 145.67, 142.08, 141.13, 140.72, 131.91, 128.62, 127.17, 126.78, 126.44, 125.11, 124.85, 124.26, 123.99, 121.10, 118.54, 52.68, 42.73, 20.48, 11.23, 8.81.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(3-chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (9m). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 40 mg of 8f (0.16 mmol) dissolved in 4 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.01 mL (0.18 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the solvent was removed under pressure and then DCM was added to the dark red residue. The DCM solution was sonicated to give a dark red precipitate. The solid was filtered off and rinsed with THF and DCM to give the desired product as a dark red solid. Result: red solid, 23.4 mg, 28%. Molecular formula: C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>3</sub> ESI-MS calc: 510.12 ESI-MS found: 511.1579 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.742. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.09 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.66 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.47-7.45 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.36 (m, 2H), 7.29 (dq, J = 6.2, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (p, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.20 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 6H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 169.51, 165.17, 147.64, 140.23, 132.71, 131.57, 129.96, 127.20, 126.77, 126.30, 125.79, 125.55, 125.23, 124.73, 124.28, 120.82, 117.27, 112.71, 48.03, 42.55, 39.83, 39.67, 39.50, 39.33, 39.17, 39.00, 38.83, 21.96, 11.48, 9.02.

(R,Z)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(1-(p-tolyl)ethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (9n). To a dried round-bottom flask was added 93 mg of 8g (0.19 mmol) dissolved in 5 mL of THF. The yellow solution was cooled to 0 °C, and 0.1 mL (1.3 mmol) of chloroacetylchloride was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to stir at 0 °C for 30 min. Once complete by TLC, the solvent was removed under pressure and then DCM was added to the dark red residue. The DCM solution was sonicated to give a dark red precipitate. The solid was filtered off and rinsed with THF and DCM to give the desired product as a dark red solid. Result: red solid, 73.6 mg, 77%. Molecular formula:  $C_{27}H_{27}ClN_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 490.18 ESI-MS found: 473.05 [M-Cl] HPLC: 6.625. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{DMSO-}d_6) \delta 13.51 (s, 1H), 11.06 (s, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H),$ 8.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.71-7.65 (m, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.27 (t, J = 10.7 Hz, 4H), 7.12 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 6H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.18–5.12 (m, 2H), 2.26 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 8H), 1.48 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 5 H).

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-*N*-(1-(4-chlorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**90**). Synthesized using the protocol described in **8h**. Yields a brown solid, 43.5 mg, 100%. Molecular formula:  $C_{26}H_{24}Cl_2N_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 510.12 ESI-MS found: 511.12917 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.723. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (499 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.08 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.64 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 5.3 Hz, 2H), 8.20 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (dt, *J* = 8.0, 2.1 Hz, 2H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 4H), 7.40–7.37 (m, 5H), 6.93 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dq, *J* = 13.7, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, *J* = 2.8 Hz, 2H), 2.20 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 6H), 1.49 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.31, 169.68, 165.92, 165.35, 144.15, 140.36, 131.73, 130.99, 128.11, 128.01, 127.44, 126.92, 126.09, 125.76, 125.35, 124.44, 123.36, 122.09, 121.43, 120.99, 120.43, 117.37, 108.64, 55.81, 42.72, 22.10, 11.65, 9.21.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-(2-Bromoacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(1-(4-fluorophenyl)ethyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**9p**). This material was prepared using the protocol described for **8c**. Yields a bright orange solid, 13.7 mg, 23%. HRMS: 541.1106 [M + 1, Br<sup>81</sup>] HPLC: 6.449. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), 9.60 (s, 1H), 8.61 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.68 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.44 (t, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 7.17–7.13 (m, 2H), 6.93 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (q, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 2.22– 2.18 (m, 6H), 1.51–1.48 (m, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ 170.07, 167.64, 166.24, 162.00, 155.00, 144.70, 140.72, 132.05, 129.71, 128.41, 127.92, 124.56, 122.76, 121.42, 117.77, 115.96, 115.26, 109.01, 48.19, 29.73, 22.66, 12.01, 9.54.

(Z)-N-Benzyl-3-((4-(2-chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (9q). Synthesized using protocol described for 9i. Yields an orange solid, 18.2 mg, 21%. Molecular formula: C25H23ClN4O3 ESI-MS calc: 462.15 ESI-MS found: 463.1529 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.177. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.49 (s, 1H), 11.08 (s, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H), 8.85 (dt, J = 12.4, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 8.26 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (dd, J = 8.2, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.35-7.32 (m, 4H), 7.24 (tt, J = 6.2, 3.0 Hz, 2H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.51 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) & 170.18, 166.91, 165.85, 140.89, 140.42, 132.22, 128.74, 128.72, 128.70, 127.98, 127.78, 127.68, 127.67, 127.62, 127.38, 127.15, 126.21, 125.93, 124.95, 124.54, 123.95, 121.48, 117.63, 113.41, 109.28, 68.98, 56.32, 43.22, 43.09, 43.03, 40.37, 40.25, 40.13, 40.01, 39.89, 39.77, 39.65, 36.08, 32.59, 30.08, 12.14, 11.67, 9.92, 9.65.

(*Z*)-3-((4-(2-*Chloroacetamido*)-3,5-*dimethyl*-1*H*-*pyrrol*-2-*yl*)*methylene*)-2-*oxo*-*N*-(2-*phenylpropan*-2-*yl*)*indoline*-5-*carboxamide* (**9***r*). Synthesized using the protocol described for **9i**. Yields a brown solid, 14.2 mg, 15%. Molecular formula:  $C_{27}H_{27}ClN_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 490.18 ESI-MS found: 491.1832 [M + 1], 513.1656 [M + Na] HPLC: 6.63. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.06 (s, 1H), 9.53 (s, 1H), 8.24 (s, 1H), 8.19 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.64 (dd, *J* = 8.1, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (dd, *J* = 7.9, 1.8 Hz, 3H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.16 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 6.3 Hz, 1H), 6.91 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.20 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 6H), 1.69 (s, 6H).  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.12, 166.37, 165.75, 148.67, 143.56, 140.59, 132.03, 128.86, 128.24, 127.26, 126.00, 125.65, 125.11, 124.86, 124.66, 121.37, 117.90, 108.94, 55.69, 43.14, 30.13, 12.07, 9.61.

(*R*,*Z*)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-N-(2-methyl-1-phenylpropyl)-2-oxoindoline-5-carboxamide (**95**). Synthesized using the protocol described for **9i**. Yields an orange solid, 5.5 mg, 5.8%. Molecular formula:  $C_{28}H_{29}CIN_4O_3$  ESI-MS calc: 504.19 ESI-MS found: 505.1997 HPLC: 6.803. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.06 (s, 1H), 9.52 (s, 1H), 8.53 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67-7.62 (m, 2H), 7.43-7.40 (m, 2H), 7.32 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.69 (t, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 4.26 (s, 2H), 2.20 (d, *J* = 10.3 Hz, 6H), 1.04 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 0.73 (d, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.14, 166.69, 165.80, 151.43, 143.78, 140.68, 132.14, 128.47, 128.45, 127.89, 127.78, 127.38, 127.05, 125.84, 124.90, 124.74, 121.43, 118.01, 108.97, 60.35, 43.19, 32.97, 20.45, 12.12, 9.62.

(*Z*)-3-((4-(2-Chloroacetamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-(3-phenyloxetan-3-yl)indoline-5-carboxamide (**9t**). Synthesized using the protocol described for **9i**. Yields a red solid, 5.0 mg, 21%. Molecular formula:  $C_{27}H_{25}ClN_4O_4$  ESI-MS calc: 504.16 ESI-MS found: 505.1619 HPLC: 5.332. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.52 (s, 1H), 11.28 (s, 1H), 9.54 (d, *J* = 13.2 Hz, 1H), 8.43 (s, 1H), 7.84 (s, 2H), 7.77 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, *J* = 9.1 Hz, 4H), 7.39 (dt, *J* = 14.7, 7.8 Hz, 5H), 7.31 (dd, *J* = 16.7, 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.04 (s, 2H), 4.85 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.45 (t, *J* = 9.9 Hz, 2H), 4.27 (d, *J* = 3.1 Hz, 2H), 2.22 (d, *J* = 4.8 Hz, 6H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  170.16, 167.57, 165.90, 154.45, 143.80, 130.21, 129.17, 129.09, 129.04, 128.64, 126.55, 126.07, 125.78, 125.47, 125.31, 122.08, 121.39, 120.35, 115.60, 110.34, 78.59, 72.40, 43.21, 11.78, 9.23.

(Z)-3-((4-((E)-2-Cyano-4,4-dimethylpent-2-enamido)-3,5-dimethyl-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (10a). To a sealed tube were added 30 mg of 9g (0.064 mmol), 0.05 mL of pivaldehyde (0.45 mmol), and 0.10 mL of piperidine (1.0 mmol). All materials were then dissolved in 3 mL of abs. EtOH. The solution was then heated to 75  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 12 h. Once complete, the reaction was cooled to room temperature and then the solvent was removed under pressure, evaporating the crude material onto a silica gel. The material was purified by column chromatography (50% acetone/hexanes) to give the desired product. Yields a yellow solid, 14 mg, 41%. MS: 536.1375 [M + 1], 558 [M + Na] HPLC: 7.69. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (700 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  13.54 (s, 1H), 11.09 (s, 1H), 9.57 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H), 8.60 (s, 2H), 8.22 (s, 1H), 7.95 (s, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (s, 1H), 2.22–2.17 (m, 6H), 1.50 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 4H), 1.15 (d, J = 9.5 Hz, 9H), 1.04 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (176 MHz, DMSO) δ 170.08, 167.90, 166.20, 160.95, 153.74, 145.51, 141.02, 140.65, 135.87, 132.53, 128.55, 128.02, 126.86, 126.46, 124.51, 123.07, 120.43, 117.75, 115.67, 98.56, 56.19, 28.98, 25.24, 22.64, 12.00, 9.70.

(Z)-3-((3,5-Dimethyl-4-(oxirane-2-carboxamido)-1H-pyrrol-2-yl)methylene)-2-oxo-N-((R)-1-phenylethyl)indoline-5-carboxamide (10b). To a flask were added 36.4 mg of 9h and 47.2 mg of  $K_2CO_3$ . All materials were brought up in 3.0 mL of acetone and 1 mL of MeCN, and then the reaction was refluxed (60 °C) for 30 min. After 30 min, the reaction was heated to 70 °C for 1 h, with cat. KI to push the reaction to completion. Once complete, the reaction was quenched with water  $(1 \times 30 \text{ mL})$  and then extracted with EtOAc  $(2 \times 25 \text{ mL})$ . The combined organic layers were dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and then purified by column chromatography (25-100% acetone/ hexanes). The desired fractions were collected, and the solvent was removed in vacuo, giving the product as a yellow solid. Result: yellow solid, 3.0 mg, 6%. Molecular formula: C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>26</sub>ClN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>4</sub> ESI-MS calc: 470.20 ESI-MS found: 471.2158 [M + 1] HPLC: 6.591. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(700 \text{ MHz}, \text{ acetone-} d_6) \delta 13.62 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 10.01 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.24-8.22 \text{ (m, 10.1)}$ 2H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (dt, J = 8.1, 2.2 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.46 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 3H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 3H), 7.23 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (dd, J = 8.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 3.91

(s, 2H), 3.79 (s, 2H), 2.30 (s, 2H), 2.25 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 3H), 2.19 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2H), 2.14 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 3H), 1.56 (dd, J = 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$  171.84, 169.70, 167.77, 152.56, 145.07, 140.26, 132.32, 128.18, 127.58, 127.45, 126.50, 126.09, 124.43, 121.76, 120.08, 118.18, 108.61, 54.27, 54.08, 48.37, 22.28, 11.87, 9.44.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c01522.

Kinase panel collated data (XLS)

9c forming a covalent adduct with GRK5, benzylic analogs forming a covalent adduct after 8 h incubation, kinome panel for 5c, and thermodynamic solubility of indolinone series analogs (PDF)

Molecular strings file (CSV)

Coordinates for docked ligand complex 4WNK (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 3NYN (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 271421 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 271423 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 271441 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 271442 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273180 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273181 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273182 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273183 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273220 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273221 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273261 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273441 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273442 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273443 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273444 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273445 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273462 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273463 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273464 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273561 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273562 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273563 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273564 (CIF) Coordinates for docked ligand complex 273583 (CIF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

## **Corresponding Authors**

- John J. G. Tesmer Departments of Biological Sciences and of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States;
  orcid.org/0000-0003-1125-3727; Phone: 765-494-1807; Email: jtesmer@purdue.edu
- Andrew D. White Vahlteich Medicinal Chemistry Core, College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States; Phone: 734-647-7374; Email: whitandd@umich.edu

#### Authors

- Rachel A. Rowlands Vahlteich Medicinal Chemistry Core, College of Pharmacy, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States
- Qiuyan Chen Departments of Biological Sciences and of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

- **Renee A. Bouley** Life Sciences Institute, Departments of Pharmacology and Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, United States
- Larisa V. Avramova Departments of Biological Sciences and of Medicinal Chemistry and Molecular Pharmacology, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.0c01522

## **Author Contributions**

R.A.R. and Q.C. made equal contributions.

## **Author Contributions**

The manuscript was written primarily by R.A.R., Q.C., and J.J.G.T. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript. R.A.R. synthesized all compounds and performed MS experiments. Q.C. performed covalent kinetic analysis, and Q.C., L.A., and R.A.B. determined  $IC_{50}$  values for GRK2, GRK5, GRK5-C474S, and PKA. R.A.B. expressed and purified GRK5 and GRK5-C474S. J.J.G.T., Q.C., and L.A. analyzed  $IC_{50}$  data.

## Funding

This work was supported by NIH grants HL071818, HL122416, and CA254402 and the Walther Cancer Foundation (to J.J.G.T.), the American Heart Association grants 19POST34450193 (to Q.C.) and 18POST33960047 (to R.A.B.), and a pilot grant from the University of Michigan Center for Discovery of New Medicines (to A.D.W.).

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Venky Bashar and Kevin Conlon, of the University of Michigan Proteomic Resource Facility for assistance with tandem mass spectrometry efforts.

## ABBREVIATIONS

AST, active site tether; GPCR, G protein-coupled receptor; GRK, G protein-coupled receptor kinase; P-loop, phosphatebinding loop; MS, mass spectrometry; RTK, receptor tyrosine kinase; SAR, structure-activity relationship.

#### REFERENCES

(1) Gurevich, E. V.; Tesmer, J. J. G.; Mushegian, A.; Gurevich, V. V. G protein-coupled receptor kinases: more than just kinases and not only for GPCRs. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2012**, *133*, 40–69.

(2) Lieu, M.; Koch, W. J. GRK2 and GRK5 as therapeutic targets and their role in maladaptive and pathological cardiac hypertrophy. *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets* **2019**, *23*, 201–214.

(3) Schumacher-Bass, S. M.; Traynham, C. J.; Koch, W. J. G proteincoupled Receptor Kinase 2 as a Therapeutic Target for Heart Failure. *Drug Discovery Today: Ther Strategies* **2012**, *9*, e155–e162.

(4) Sommer, A.-K.; Falcenberg, M.; Ljepoja, B.; Fröhlich, T.; Arnold, G. J.; Wagner, E.; Roidl, A. Downregulation of GRK5 hampers the migration of breast cancer cells. *Sci. Rep.* **2019**, *9*, 15548.

(5) Nogués, L.; Palacios-García, J.; Reglero, C.; Rivas, V.; Neves, M.; Ribas, C.; Penela, P.; Mayor, F., Jr. G protein-coupled receptor kinases (GRKs) in tumorigenesis and cancer progression: GPCR regulators and signaling hubs. *Semin. Cancer Biol.* **2018**, *48*, 78–90.

(6) Martini, J. S.; Raake, P.; Vinge, L. E.; DeGeorge, B. R., Jr.; Chuprun, J. K.; Harris, D. M.; Gao, E.; Eckhart, A. D.; Pitcher, J. A.; Koch, W. J. Uncovering G protein-coupled receptor kinase-5 as a

Article

histone deacetylase kinase in the nucleus of cardiomyocytes. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 2008, 105, 12457–12462.

(7) Gold, J. I.; Gao, E.; Shang, X.; Premont, R. T.; Koch, W. J. Determining the absolute requirement of G protein-coupled receptor kinase 5 for pathological cardiac hypertrophy: short communication. *Circ. Res.* **2012**, *111*, 1048–1053.

(8) Schlegel, P.; Reinkober, J.; Meinhardt, E.; Tscheschner, H.; Gao, E.; Schumacher, S. M.; Yuan, A.; Backs, J.; Most, P.; Wieland, T.; Koch, W. J.; Katus, H. A.; Raake, P. W. G protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 promotes cardiac hypertrophy. *PLoS One* **2017**, *12*, No. e0182110.

(9) Rowlands, R. A.; Cato, M. C.; Waldschmidt, H. V.; Bouley, R. A.; Chen, Q.; Avramova, L.; Larsen, S. D.; Tesmer, J. J. G.; White, A. D. Structure-Based Design of Selective, Covalent G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 5 Inhibitors. *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.* **2019**, *10*, 1628– 1634.

(10) Singh, J.; Petter, R. C.; Baillie, T. A.; Whitty, A. The resurgence of covalent drugs. *Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery* **2011**, *10*, 307–317.

(11) O'Farrell, A. M.; Abrams, T. J.; Yuen, H. A.; Ngai, T. J.; Louie, S. G.; Yee, K. W. H.; Wong, L. M.; Hong, W.; Lee, L. B.; Town, A.; Smolich, B. D.; Manning, W. C.; Murray, L. J.; Heinrich, M. C.; Cherrington, J. M. SU11248 is a novel FLT3 tyrosine kinase inhibitor with potent activity in vitro and in vivo. *Blood* **2003**, *101*, 3597–3605.

(12) Ullrich, A.; Falcenberg, M.; Örfi, Z.; Kéri, G.; ÖRFI, L.; Horváth, Z.; Szokol, B.; Dobos, J.; Nemes, Z. Indolinone Derivatives as GRK5 Modulators. WO2015022437 A1, February 19, 2015.

(13) Chen, K.; Pittman, R. N.; Popel, A. S. Nitric oxide in the vasculature: where does it come from and where does it go? A quantitative perspective. *Antioxid. Redox Signaling* **2008**, *10*, 1185–1198.

(14) Homan, K. T.; Tesmer, J. J. G. Molecular basis for small molecule inhibition of g protein-coupled receptor kinases. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2015**, *10*, 246–256.

(15) Waldschmidt, H. V.; Homan, K. T.; Cato, M. C.; Cruz-Rodríguez, O.; Cannavo, A.; Wilson, M. W.; Song, J.; Cheung, J. Y.; Koch, W. J.; Tesmer, J. J. G.; Larsen, S. D. Structure-Based Design of Highly Selective and Potent G Protein-Coupled Receptor Kinase 2 Inhibitors Based on Paroxetine. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, 3052.

(16) Lee, J. H.; Seo, H. W.; Ryu, J. Y.; Lim, C. J.; Yi, K. Y.; Oh, K. S.; Lee, B. H. KR-39038, a Novel GRK5 Inhibitor, Attenuates Cardiac Hypertrophy and Improves Cardiac Function in Heart Failure. *Biomol. Ther.* **2020**, *28*, 482–489.

(17) Ngai, M. H.; So, C. L.; Sullivan, M. B.; Ho, H. K.; Chai, C. L. L. Photoinduced Isomerization and Hepatoxicities of Semaxanib, Sunitinib and Related 3-Substituted Indolin-2-ones. *ChemMedChem* **2016**, *11*, 72–80.

(18) Homan, K. T.; Waldschmidt, H. V.; Glukhova, A.; Cannavo, A.; Song, J.; Cheung, J. Y.; Koch, W. J.; Larsen, S. D.; Tesmer, J. J. Crystal Structure of G Protein-coupled Receptor Kinase 5 in Complex with a Rationally Designed Inhibitor. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2015**, *290*, 20649– 20659.

(19) Boguth, C. A.; Singh, P.; Huang, C.-c.; Tesmer, J. J. G. Molecular basis for activation of G protein-coupled receptor kinases. *EMBO J.* **2010**, *29*, 3249–3259.

(20) Thal, D. M.; Homan, K. T.; Chen, J.; Wu, E. K.; Hinkle, P. M.; Huang, Z. M.; Chuprun, J. K.; Song, J.; Gao, E.; Cheung, J. Y.; Sklar, L. A.; Koch, W. J.; Tesmer, J. J. G. Paroxetine is a direct inhibitor of g protein-coupled receptor kinase 2 and increases myocardial contractility. *ACS Chem. Biol.* **2012**, *7*, 1830–1839.