ORGANOMETALLICS

Synthesis, Structure, and Coordination Chemistry of Phosphine-Functionalized Imidazole/Imidazolium Salts and Cleavage of a C–P Bond in an NHC–Phosphenium Salt using a Pd(0) Precursor

Vedhagiri Karthik, Vivek Gupta, and Ganapathi Anantharaman*

Department of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, Kanpur 208016, India

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: A simple method involving metal-halogen exchange reaction(s) to prepare various phosphine-functionalized imidazole/imidazolium salts and their coordination chemistry with different metal precursors has been described. Interestingly, the reaction of 1,3-dimethyl-2-(diphenylphosphino)-4-iodoimidazolium iodide (6) with $Pd_2(dba)_3$ in the presence of triphenylphosphine affords a Pd(II)-NHC complex which involves the cleavage of a C-P bond presumably occurring via oxidative addition of Pd(0) to a C-I bond to afford an in situ generated Pd(II) species, which



subsequently reacts with another 1 equiv of 6 through the phosphine center to form an adduct followed by a dephosphinylation reaction.

INTRODUCTION

Phosphines and N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have played a pivotal role in the development of organometallic chemistry, and stitching these two classes of neutral, two-electron σ -donor ligands together would further foster their application as ligands for transition metals which may prove valuable in catalysis. In view of this, several approaches to append a phosphine group to the NHC skeleton have been made^{1,2} and metalation via both functionalities was successfully achieved.² A detailed account of this subject has appeared recently.³ Phosphines attached to the imidazolium (NCN) carbon center are better described as NHC-phosphenium adducts and act as poor donors toward transition metals. The dative and labile nature of the C-P bond is echoed in their reactivity toward weak nucleophiles such as chloride ion, which results in heterolytic cleavage of the C-P bond in such systems to give chlorodiphenylphosphine and free NHC which, although not isolated, has been successfully trapped by Chauvin and coworkers.⁴ A similar reactivity pattern was observed in the coordination sphere of metal complexes (Pd, Rh, Cu, etc.) to afford metal-NHC complexes.^{4,5} Also, cleavage of a C-P bond in NHC-phosphenium salts with an electron-rich metal center such as Pt(0) was reported by Baker and co-workers involving a nonoxidative addition pathway.⁶

Metal-halogen exchange reactions⁷ with diiodoimidazole are particularly handy to achieve functionalization at the backbone position(s) of imidazole and imidazolium salts with mild reagents and conditions. We have recently reported backbonefunctionalized imidazolium salts which act as precursors for synthesizing the metal complexes of both normal and mesoionic carbenes.⁸ In this contribution, we report metalhalogen exchange reaction(s) with 1-methyl-4,5-diiodoimidazole (1) and 1,3-dimethyl-4,5-diiodoimidazolium iodide (5) using chlorodiphenylphosphine as the source of the electrophile to afford a phosphine-functionalized imidazole/imidazolium salt at the backbone position for the former case and at the 2-position for the latter. The coordination chemistry of the aforementioned phosphines (3, 4, and 6) with various metal precursors and, in addition, a rare example of C–P bond cleavage in an NHC–phosphenium salt using a Pd(0) precursor to afford a palladium(II)–carbene complex are discussed.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis and General Characterization of Functionalized Imidazole/Imidazolium Salts and Their Metal **Complexes.** The phosphine-functionalized imidazole(s) **2** and 3 were synthesized starting from 1 using sequential metalhalogen exchange reactions. The synthesis of 2 was previously described,^{8b} and compound 3 was synthesized by subjecting 2 to another metal-halogen exchange reaction followed by addition of chlorodiphenylphosphine. Compound 3, isolated as a colorless solid, is stable to air and moisture and show two doublets at -36.2 and -29.3 ppm in its ³¹P NMR spectrum. Compound 3 on methylation with trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate in dichloromethane gave the corresponding imidazolium salt 4 in moderate yield (Scheme 1). The imidazolium salt 4, with triflate as counteranion, was previously reported by Ruiz and Mesa with a different procedure involving the intermediacy of free carbenes.^{2c} On the other hand, metal-

```
Received: April 23, 2015
```



Scheme 1. Synthesis of Phosphine-Functionalized Imidazole/Imidazolium Salts a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) ⁱPrMgCl, LiCl, THF; (b) PPh₂Cl; (c) (CH₃)₃O(BF₄), DCM; (d) CH₃I, CH₃CN, reflux; (e) PhSSO₂Ph in THF.

halogen exchange reaction with **5**, followed by quenching with electrophile, resulted in the formation of 2-substitued imidazolium salts **6** and **7**, which could be purified by crystallization with methanol. The reaction is envisaged to occur via migration of initially formed carbanion at a backbone center to a more stable NCN carbon center. The products were thoroughly characterized, and their identities were unambiguously established by single-crystal X-ray crystallography (Figures S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information). The C– P bond distance (1.857(8) Å) in **6** is within the range of those observed for similarly known compounds,^{9,5c} and crystals of 7 contain a triiodide counteranion plausibly obtained by aerial oxidation of iodide ion during crystallization.

The coordination chemistry of **3** was explored with different metal precursors preferring different coordination geometries, and all of the complexes were structurally characterized (Scheme 2 and Figure 1). Complexes **8** and **9** (Figure S3 in

Scheme 2. Various Binding Modes of 3 with Metals^a



"Reagents and conditions: (a) NiCl₂·6H₂O, EtOH + DCM; (b) PdCl₂, CH₃CN + DCM; (c) AuCl, DCM; (d) $[Cu(CH_3CN)_4]BF_4$, DCM.

the Supporting Information) are isostructural, with the central metal atom in a square-planar disposition and the fivemembered metallacycle almost coplanar to the imidazole ring with the dihedral angles of 5.337(10) and $5.351(9)^{\circ}$, respectively. The bite angles in 8 and 9 were found to be 90.4 and 88.9°, respectively (Table 1), and the values are comparable to those of diphenylphosphinopropane (dppp).¹⁰ The molecular structure of digold complex 10 shows almost two linear P-Au-Cl bonds which are skewed to show intramolecular aurophilic interactions with a Au···Au distances of 3.060(3) and 3.032(1) Å in two crystallographically independent Au-phosphine complexes in the asymmetric unit. Reaction of 3 with tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) tetrafluor-

oborate in a 2/1 ratio in dichloromethane afforded the homoleptic complex 11, in which copper adopts a highly distorted tetrahedral geometry due to the smaller bite angle of 3. The ³¹P NMR of 11 shows two broad signals at -15.4 and -19.9 ppm, indicating the nonequivalence of two phosphorus centers. Additionally, the molecular ion peak at m/z 963.2128 (M – BF₄⁻)⁺ in the ESI-MS spectrum indicates the stability of 11 in the solution state.

The coordinating properties of 4 are only marginally different in comparison to 3, and noteworthy and confounding is the nonreactivity of 4 toward nickel chloride or palladium chloride under experimental conditions similar to those used for the synthesis of 8 or 9. The ligand 4 is the phosphine analogue of the "Y"-shaped tris(imidazolium) salt reported by Peris and coworkers which afforded homo- and heterodimetallic complexes with different coordination environments.¹¹ Reaction of $\hat{4}$ with cuprous chloride in a 1/1 metal to ligand ratio afforded two products, 12 and 13, in which 13 is the major product; both the complexes were thoroughly characterized (Scheme 3). Complex 12 shows a broad signal centered at -27.4 ppm in its ³¹P NMR spectrum, and all 12 methyl protons appear as a singlet in its ¹H NMR spectrum. The molecular structure of **12** consists of a four-membered chloro-bridged dicopper structure with a Cu-Cu bond distance of 2.916(1) Å, and the dihedral angle between the two CuCl₂ planes in Cu₂Cl₂ is $25.54(5)^{\circ}$ (Figure 2 and Table 2). The bite angle (P-Cu-P) indicates severe distortion from a regular tetrahedral geometry, and the values are comparable to those of DHP (deer-head phosphine, o-phenylenebis(diisopropylphosphine), with a bite angle of $92.44(3)^{\circ}$ ¹² and 12 crystallizes with one CuCl₂ unit and a BF₄ anion. However, the other product 13 was a homoleptic complex similar to 11 with bite angles of $93.26(5)^{\circ}$ (P(1)-Cu-P(2)) and 93.38(5)° (P(3)-Cu-P(4)) and was characterized by a single broad peak at -15.2 ppm in its ³¹P NMR spectrum.

Interestingly, reaction of 4 with tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper-(I) tetrafluoroborate in a 1/1 ratio in dichloromethane afforded complex 14, in which copper is bound to only one bisphosphine ligand and the other two coordinating sites are occupied by acetonitrile molecules. The coordinated acetonitrile molecules are labile and can be substituted by nitrogencontaining linkers to afford discrete systems or coordination polymers. When complex 14 was treated with 2 equiv of linear linker 4,4'-bipyridine (4,4'-bpy), a discrete Cu_4 tetramer (15) was obtained, which was structurally characterized (Figure 3 and Table 3). The asymmetric unit of complex 15 consists of a dinuclear copper complex with two types of Cu centers separated by a distance of 11.088(2) Å, and the tetramer is related by inversion symmetry. The four coordination sites are fulfilled by a bis-phosphine unit and two nitrogen atoms from two different 4,4'-bpy units in Cu(2) (crystallographic numbering), while Cu(1) is bound to two nitrogen donor ligands, namely 4,4'-bpy and acetonitrile molecule, in addition to the bis-phosphine ligand 4.

C–P Bond Cleavage. Baker and co-workers observed the cleavage of a C–P bond when a NHC–phosphenium adduct was treated with $Pt(PPh_3)_3$ to afford a three-coordinate platinum phosphenium complex via a nonoxidative addition pathway,⁶ plausibly with the intermediacy of a NHC–diaminophosphenium–platinum complex.^{4b}



Figure 1. ORTEP diagrams showing 30% probability thermal ellipsoids and selected atom labels for complexes 8 (left), 10 (middle), and 11 (right). Hydrogen atoms, counteranions, and solvent(s) of crystallization have been omitted for clarity.

Table 1. Selected Bond Lengths (Å) and Angles (deg) in Complexes 8-11

8		9		10		11	
N1-C1 N2-C1 C2-C3 N(1)-C(1)-N(2) P(1)-Ni-P(2)	1.335(5) 1.328(5) 1.347(5) 113.7(3) 90.38(4)	N1-C1 N2-C1 C2-C3 N(1)-C(1)-N(2) P(1)-Pd-P(2)	1.364(4) 1.329(4) 1.375(5) 113.0(3) 88.89(3)	N1-C1 N2-C1 C2-C3 N(1)-C(1)-N(2) P(1)-Au(1)-Cl(1)	1.347(13) 1.314(14) 1.364(15) 114.5(10) 175.45(11)	N1-C1 N2-C1 C2-C3 N(1)-C(1)-N(2) P(1)-Cu(1)-P(2)	1.367(11) 1.306(12) 1.382(11) 112.3(7) 93.29(8)
P(1)=NI=P(2)	90.38(4)	P(1) - Pd - P(2)	88.89(3)	P(1) = Au(1) = Cl(1) P(2) = Au(2) = Cl(2)	173.43(11) 172.28(11)	P(1)=Cu(1)=P(2) P(3)=Cu(1)=P(4)	93.29(8) 93.20(7)

Scheme 3. Coordination Chemistry of Phosphine-Functionalized Imidazolium Salt 4^a



^aReagents and conditions: (a) CuCl, THF; (b) [Cu(CH₃CN)₄] BF₄, DCM; (c) 4,4'-bipyridine, CH₃CN.

On the other hand when the NHC–phosphenium iodide **6** was treated with tris(dibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) in the presence of 2 equiv of triphenylphosphine, the palladium– NHC complex **16** was isolated (Scheme 4). In the solid-state structure of **16** (Figure 4), palladium adopts a square-planar geometry with a Pd–C_{carbene} bond length of 1.996(13) Å, which is in the range of those reported in the literature.¹³ The two triphenylphosphine groups coordinated to palladium are trans to each other, consistent with the single sharp peak observed in their ³¹P NMR spectrum.

The donor ability of this NHC ((I)IMe) on the basis of $\delta(P)$ was calculated (TEP 2051 cm⁻¹ (CD₂Cl₂) and 2052 cm⁻¹ (DMSO-*d*₆)) using the TEP equation proposed by Iglesias and

Albrecht,¹⁴ and it is comparable to those of IMe ([Ir(IMe)-(CO)₂Cl]; TEP 2051 cm⁻¹).¹⁵

The reaction was envisaged to occur via an initial oxidative addition¹⁶ of Pd(0) to the C–I bond to afford a Pd^{II}–MIC species (**A**) (MIC = mesoionic carbene) as an intermediate, which subsequently reacts with another 1 equiv of **6** to form an adduct (**B**). The proposed intermediates could not be isolated, although an ion peak corresponding to **A** (m/z 1037.1063) was observed in the crude reaction mixture through ESI-MS recorded in positive mode (Supporting Information). In the presence of water, the Pd–MIC bond in adduct **B** cleaves to afford the imidazolium salt **1**7, and the concomitant nucleophilic attack of hydroxide ion on any one of the possible



Figure 2. ORTEP diagrams showing 30% probability thermal ellipsoids and selected atom labels for complexes 12 (left), 13 (middle), and 14 (right). Hydrogen atoms, counteranions, and solvent(s) of crystallization have been omitted for clarity.

Table 2. Selected Dond Lenguis and Angles in Complexes 12 and	Table	2.	Selected	Bond	Lengths	and Angles	in (Complexes	12	and	1
---	-------	----	----------	------	---------	------------	------	-----------	----	-----	---

	12	13					
bond length (Å)		bond angle (deg)		bond length (Å)		bond angle (deg)	
C(2) - C(3)	1.374(6)	P(1)-Cu(1)-P(2)	92.99(5)	C2-C3	1.360(6)	N(1)-C(1)-N(2)	109.8(5)
Cu(1) - P(1)	2.2705(14)	P(3)-Cu(1)-P(4)	93.51(5)	C31-C32	1.344(7)	N(3)-C(30)-N(4)	110.4(5)
Cu(1) - P(2)	2.2691(14)	Cu(1)-Cl(1)-Cu(2)	77.31(5)	Cu-P(1)	2.2740(14)	P(1)-Cu-P(2)	93.26(5)
Cu(1)-Cl(1)	2.3217(14)	Cu(1)-Cl(2)-Cu(2)	76.94(4)	Cu-P(2)	2.2773(13)	P(3)-Cu-P(4)	93.38(5)
Cu(1)-Cl(2)	2.3414(14)	Cl(1)-Cu(1)-Cl(2)	100.98(5)	Cu-P(3)	2.2721(14)	P(1)-Cu-P(3)	124.72(5)
Cu…Cu	2.9164(10)	Cl(2)-Cu(2)-Cl(1)	100.09(5)	Cu-P(4)	2.2690(15)	P(2)-Cu-P(4)	123.05(5)



Figure 3. ORTEP diagram showing 30% probability thermal ellipsoids and selected atom labels for complex **15**. Hydrogen atoms, counteranion, and solvent(s) of crystallization have been omitted for clarity.

centers $(P/C_{carbene}/Pd)^{4a}$ in **B** led to the cleavage of a C–P bond to afford the Pd–NHC complex 16.

The formation of 17 was supported by ESI-MS (m/z 281.1201), and a signal at -25.1 ppm in the ³¹P NMR spectrum compares well with that of 6 and similarly known

Scheme 4. Formation of Pd-NHC Complex 16^a



^{*a*}Reagents and conditions: (a) $Pd_2(dba)_3$, PPh_3 , DCM; (b) H_2O . Legend: (‡) values in parentheses correspond to ³¹P NMR δ values in ppm recorded in CD_2Cl_2 .

Table 3. Selected Bond Lengths and Angles in Complexes 14 and 15

14				15				
bond length (Å)		bond angle (deg)		bond length (Å)		bond angle (deg)		
N(1)-C(1)	1.322(4)	N(1)-C1-N(1)#	110.5(4)	C2-C3	1.361(6)	N(1)-C(1)-N(2)	110.6(4)	
C2-C2#	1.361(7)	P(1)-Cu-P(1)#	94.11(5)	Cu(1) - P(1)	2.2981(13)	P(1)-Cu(1)-P(2)	93.87(4)	
Cu-P(1)	2.2738(9)	N(2)-Cu-N(3)	112.78(15)	Cu(1) - P(2)	2.2663(13)	N(3)-Cu(1)-N(4)	115.09(15)	
Cu-N(2)	1.957(4)	N(3)-Cu-P(1)	103.40(7)	Cu(1) - N(3)	1.984(4)	N(4)-Cu(1)-P(1)	100.88(11)	
Cu-N(3)	2.006(4)	C(2)-P(1)-Cu(1)	99.94(11)	Cu(1) - N(4)	2.055(3)	N(3)-Cu(1)-P(2)	115.04(11)	



Figure 4. ORTEP diagram showing 30% probability thermal ellipsoids and selected atom labels for complex **16**. Hydrogen atoms, counteranion (I⁻), and solvent of crystallization (dichloromethane) have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): N1-C1 = 1.369(15), N2-C1 = 1.321(16), C2-C3 = 1.33(2), Pd-C1 = 1.996(13), Pd-I2 = 2.6345(11), Pd-P1 = 2.3433(17); N1-C1-N2 = 105.8(11), C1-Pd-I2 = 170.8(4).

compounds.^{9,17} In addition, diphenylphosphinic acid, which could be identified from the crude product mixture using mass spectrometry (m/z 219.0594 (M + H)⁺ (Figure S5 in the Supporting Information) and ³¹P NMR (δ 28.7 ppm (CD₂Cl₂), δ 28.1 ppm (DMSO- d_6)), and palladium black were also observed. When a similar reaction was attempted with imidazolium salt 7, no addition product was detected, indicating the crucial role of the iodide counteranion. The scope of this method to generate a metal–NHC complex with other low-valent metals and detailed mechanistic aspects of the reaction are currently being studied in our laboratory.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have described the synthesis of various phosphine-functionalized imidazole/imidazolium salts using metal-halogen exchange reactions and their coordination chemistry with different metal precursors. Reaction of 4 with various copper(I) precursors afforded different mono- and dinuclear copper complexes (12–14), and reaction of 14 in the presence of a linker such as 4,4'-bpy gave the interesting tetranuclear complex 15. In addition the first example of C–P bond cleavage in an NHC–phosphenium salt (6) using a Pd(0) precursor to afford a Pd–NHC complex (16) is reported.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Procedures. All reactions were carried out under an inert atmosphere of nitrogen using a standard Schlenk line technique, and solvents were dried according to the standard literature procedures and freshly distilled prior to use. ¹H, ¹³C, and ³¹P NMR spectra were recorded on JEOL (400 and 500 MHz) spectrometers in CDCl₃/ CD₂Cl₂/DMSO-*d*₆/CD₃CN as a solvent. The chemical shifts were referenced with respect to TMS and 85% H₃PO₄ for ¹H and ³¹P NMR, respectively. The ESI mass spectra were recorded on a Waters QTOF instrument. IR spectra were recorded in KBr pellets using an FTIR spectrophotometer operating from 400 to 4000 cm⁻¹. The melting points reported are uncorrected. Phenyl benzenethiosulfonate^{18a} and tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) tetrafluoroborate^{18b} were prepared according to the literature procedures, and the former was subjected to azeotropic distillation twice in dry toluene and was stored in a glovebox. All other reagents were used as received; isopropylmagnesium chloride was freshly prepared before use.

Synthesis of 3. To a stirred suspension of 2 (3.24 g, 8.26 mmol) and lithium chloride (0.39 g, 9.1 mmol) in dry THF (25 mL) was added a solution of freshly prepared isopropylmagnesium chloride (9.1 mmol) in THF (7 mL) at -20 °C, and after the cold bath was removed, the reaction mixture was stirred for 1.5 h followed by addition of chlorodiphenylphosphine (1.48 mL, 8.26 mmol) at -20 °C. The reaction mixture was further stirred for 3 h, and then all the volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in DCM, a half-saturated aqueous ammonium chloride solution (40 mL) was added to this solution, the mixture was stirred for a few minutes, and then the layers were separated. The aqueous layer was extracted with DCM (4×30 mL), and the combined organic layers were dried over anhydrous MgSO4 and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness to give an off-white solid. The compound was purified by performing column chromatography (silica gel, 100-200 mesh, eluted using a solvent mixture of 30% ethyl acetate in hexane). Yield: 1.9 g (51%). Mp: 126-130 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₄N₂P₂: C, 74.66; H, 5.37; N, 6.22. Found: C, 74.38; H, 5.50; N, 6.11. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.19 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.26–7.43 (m, 20H, C₆H₅), 7.74 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 34.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 132.0, 132.2, 133.6, 133.8, 134.6, 138.1, 144.7. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃ 202.49 MHz; *δ*, ppm): -36.2 (d), -29.3 (d). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3047 (w, br), 1582 (w), 1479 (m), 1431 (m), 1347 (w), 1318 (w), 1268 (w), 1235 (w), 1194 (m), 1092 (w), 998 (w), 965 (w), 910 (w), 860 (w), 751 (s), 739 (vs), 696 (vs), 543 (m), 525 (w), 510 (w), 495 (m), 458 (m), 438 (w). ESI-MS: 451.1493 (M + $H^{+})^{+}$.

Synthesis of 4. To a stirred solution of 3 (0.98 g, 2.18 mmol) in dry DCM was added trimethyloxonium tetrafluoroborate (0.32 g, 2.18 mmol) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 16 h. After that, all the volatiles were removed under vacuum to afford a white flaky solid, which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel 100-200 mesh, eluted using a solvent mixture of 5% methanol in DCM) to afford the title compound as a white solid. Yield: 0.78 g (65%). Mp: 132–138 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₂₉H₂₇BF₄N₂P₂: C, 63.07; H, 4.93; N, 5.07. Found: C, 62.80; H, 4.74; N, 5.17. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.39 (s, 6H, N-CH₃), 7.28–7.35 (m, 20 H, PPh₂), 9.19 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 36.7, 129.4, 129.8, 131.0, 132.1, 132.2, 132.3, 145.1. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃ 162 MHz; δ, ppm): -29.8. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3439 (w, br), 3160 (w), 3053 (w), 2923 (w), 1571 (w), 1481 (w), 1435 (s), 1284 (w), 1203 (w), 1059 (vs), 849 (w), 795 (w), 743 (vs), 696 (vs), 616 (w), 560 (w), 517 (w), 499 (w), 477 (w), 447 (w). ESI-MS: m/z 465.1646 (M - $BF_{4}^{-})^{+}$.

Synthesis of 5. To a stirred solution of 1 (0.67 g, 2 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (20 mL) was added methyl iodide (0.25 mL, 4 mmol) dropwise at 0 °C. The solution was refluxed for 14 h, during which a colorless precipitate was obtained. The reaction mixture was again cooled to 0–5 °C for complete precipitation. The precipitate obtained after filtration was washed with diethyl ether and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.90 g (95%). Mp: 230–232 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₃H₇I₃N₂: C, 12.62; H, 1.48; N, 5.89. Found: C, 12.80, H, 1.50; N, 5.92. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆ 500 MHz; δ , ppm): 3.78 (s, 6H, CH₃), 9.38 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆ 125 MHz; δ , ppm): 39.4, 95.1, 141.2. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3104 (w), 3017 (m), 2934 (w), 1641 (w), 1549 (s), 1501 (w), 1426 (m), 1202 (s), 1099 (s), 769 (w), 608 (w), 463 (w) cm⁻¹. ESI-MS: 348.8691 (M – I⁻)⁺.

Synthesis of 6. To a stirred suspension of 5 (4.71 g, 9.9 mmol) and lithium chloride (0.46 g, 10.9 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was added a solution of isopropylmagnesium chloride (10.9 mmol) in THF (9 mL) at -20 °C. The cold bath was removed, and the reaction mixture was stirred further for 1 h 15 min, followed by addition of chlorodiphenylphosphine (1.8 mL, 9.9 mmol) at -20 °C, and stirred for another 4 h, after which all volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in DCM, and an aqueous half-saturated ammonium chloride solution (40 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was filtered through a frit containing a pad of Celite, and the organic layer was dried over magnesium sulfate and filtered. The

filtrate was concentrated to give a light brown oil, which was triturated with diethyl ether to give a powdery yellow solid. The solid on washing with cold methanol (three to four times) gave a white solid, which was crystallized in methanol. Yield: 2.8 g (53% excluding crystallization losses). Mp: 150–155 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}I_2N_2P$: C, 38.23; H, 3.21; N, 5.24. Found: C, 38.27; H, 3.10; N, 5.28. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz; δ , ppm): 3.55 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 3.58 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.41–7.53 (m, 10H, PPh₂), 8.20 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 125 MHz; δ , ppm): 36.5, 37.8, 128.1, 129.9, 130.1, 131.6, 131.7, 132.5, 139.9. ³¹P NMR (DMSO-*d*₆ (202.49 MHz; δ , ppm): –23.6. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3049 (m), 1636 (w), 1546 (w), 1468 (m), 1434 (s), 1310 (w), 1198 (m), 1090 (m), 918 (w), 819 (m), 744 (vs), 700 (s), 688 (vs), 668 (m), 627 (w), 536 (m), 506 (s), 455 (w), 433 (m). ESI-MS: 407.0175 (M – I⁻)⁺.

Synthesis of 7. The same procedure as for **6** was used. **5** (5.23 g, 11.0 mmol), LiCl (0.51 g, 12.1 mmol), isopropylmagnesium chloride (12.1 mmol), and phenyl benzenethiosulfonate (11.0 mmol, 2.75 g) in 15 mL of THF were used. The product was crystallized in methanol as brown crystals. Yield: 2.13 g (27%). Mp: 104–110 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₁₁H₁₂I₄N₂S: C, 18.56; H, 1.70; N, 3.93. Found: C, 18.61; H, 1.59; N, 3.96. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz; *δ*, ppm): 3.80 (s, 6H, N-CH₃), 7.40 (br, 5H, SPh), 8.22 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 125 MHz; *δ*, ppm): 37.2, 38.7, 126.1, 129.3, 129.7, 130.6, 130.9, 132.6, 140.0. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3145 (m), 1576 (w), 1543 (w), 1476 (s), 1439 (m), 1427 (m), 1181 (w), 998 (w), 790 (w), 745 (vs), 695 (m), 686 (m), 617 (w), 521 (w), 473 (w). ESI-MS: *m/z* 330.9766 (M – I_3^{-})⁺.

Synthesis of Complex 8. To an ethanolic solution (10 mL) of nickel chloride hexahydrate (0.053 g, 0.22 mmol) was added a dichloromethane (20 mL) solution of 3 (0.10 g, 0.22 mmol). Immediately an intensely red solution was formed, which was stirred further for 1 h. The solution was filtered, and the filtrate was kept for crystallization under slow evaporation to afford red crystals of 8 suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Yield: 0.084 g (65%). Mp: >250 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₂₈H₂₄Cl₂N₂NiP₂: C, 57.98; H, 4.17; N, 4.83. Found: C, 57.60; H, 4.20; N, 4.81. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.19 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.43–8.01 [m, 21H, 2(PPh₂), NCHN]. ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 29.7, 128.6, 128.7, 129.3, 131.6, 132.2, 133.5, 133.6, 134.2. ³¹P NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 162 MHz; δ, ppm): 9.1 (d), 13.9 (d). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3401 (m, br), 1623 (w), 1482 (m), 1434 (s), 1339 (w), 1256 (w), 1216 (m), 1187 (m), 1136 (m), 1096 (s), 1028 (w), 998 (w), 855 (w), 760 (m), 746 (s), 710 (vs), 691 (vs), 620 (w), 583 (vs), 531 (vs), 507 (s), 487 (s). ESI-MS: m/z 575.0400 (M - Cl⁻ + CH₃OH)⁺.

Synthesis of Complex 9. To a suspension of palladium chloride (0.021 g, 0.12 mmol) in acetonitrile (10 mL) was added a dichlormethane solution (15 mL) of 3 (0.054 g, 0.12 mmol). The resulting orange solution was stirred for 1 h and was filtered to give a clear solution, which was kept for crystallization. Slow evaporation led to the formation of yellow crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Yield: (0.060 g, 80%). Mp: >250 °C. Anal. Calcd for C20H24Cl2N2P2Pd: C, 53.57; H, 3.85; N, 4.46. Found: C, 53.87; H, 3.70; N, 4.55. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.25(s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.49–7.84 (m, 20 H, PPh₂), 8.46 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 34.5, 126.6, 129.4, 129.5, 130.0, 130.1, 132.6, 133.3, 133.9, 134.0, 134.2. ³¹P NMR (DMSO-*d*₆ 162 MHz; δ, ppm): 18.1 (d), 22.4 (d). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3441 (w, br), 1481 (m), 1434 (vs), 1338 (w), 1257 (w), 1217 (m), 1187 (w), 1144 (w), 1097 (vs), 997 (w), 983 (w), 857 (w), 761 (w), 746 (s), 711 (vs), 690 (vs), 621 (w), 587 (vs), 532 (vs), 507 (s), 465 (w). ESI-MS: m/z 632.0416 $(M - Cl^- + CH_3CN)^+$

Synthesis of Complex 10. To a stirred solution of 3 (0.15 g, 0.33 mmol) in DCM was added AuCl (0.155 g, 0.66 mmol) as a solid, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h and filtered to give a clear colorless solution. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness under vacuum to afford the title compound as a colorless solid. Yield: 0.29 g (94%). Mp: 165–170 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{28}H_{24}Au_2Cl_2N_2P_2$: C, 36.74; H, 2.64; N, 3.06. Found: C, 36.68; H, 2.59; N, 2.99. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 500 MHz; δ , ppm): 2.96 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 7.47–7.64 (m, 20H, PPh₂), 7.86 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 100 MHz; δ , ppm): 35.4, 129.0, 129.1, 129.8, 130.0, 132.1, 132.6, 133.2, 133.3,

134.5, 134.7. ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN, 162 MHz; δ , ppm): 4.4 (d), 14.5 (d). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3433 (br, w), 3094 (w), 3056 (w), 1586 (w), 1494 (w), 1481 (w), 1436 (s), 1357 (w), 1309 (w), 1252 (w), 1207 (w), 1184 (w), 1127 (w), 1101 (m), 1027 (w), 997 (w), 875 (w), 750 (s), 717 (s), 690 (vs), 634 (w), 619 (w), 578 (vs), 554 (m), 522 (w), 502 (s), 492 (s), 412 (w). ESI-MS: sample does not give a satisfactory ESI-MS analysis.

Synthesis of Complex 11. To a dichloromethane solution of 3 (0.14 g, 0.32 mmol) was added tetrakis(acetonitrile)copper(I) tetrafluoroborate (0.050 g, 0.16 mmol) as a solid, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h followed by filtration with a mediumporosity frit to give a clear solution. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness under vacuum to afford the title compound as a colorless solid. Yield: 0.15 g (93%). Mp: >250 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₅₆H₄₈BCuF₄N₄P₄: C, 63.98; H, 4.60; N, 5.33. Found: C, 64.28; H, 4.57; N, 5.53. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 500 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.05 (s, 6H, N-CH₃), 6.98-7.30 (m, 42H, PPh₂, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 34.2, 128.8, 129.6, 129.8, 130.1, 130.5, 130.6, 130.8, 131.1, 132.2, 132.9, 149.5. ³¹P NMR (CDCl₃ 202.49 MHz; δ, ppm): -15.4 (br), -19.9 (br). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3445 (w, br), 3054 (w), 1586 (w), 1482 (s), 1435 (s), 1330 (w), 1250 (w), 1210 (w), 1185 (w), 1055 (vs), 998 (m), 741 (s), 711 (m), 692 (vs), 558 (s), 528 (s), 511 (m), 498 (m), 479 (m). ESI-MS: m/z 963.2128 (M - BF₄⁻)⁺.

Synthesis of Complexes 12 and 13. To a stirred solution of 4 (0.102 g, 0.185 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added CuCl (0.018 g, 0.185 mmol) as a solid, and the mixture was stirred overnight (12 h), during which a colorless solid precipitated out. The residue and the filtrate were separated, the residue was washed once with THF, and the washings were combined with the filtrate. Slow diffusion of diethyl ether into an acetonitrile solution of the residue gave crystals of 12 in low yield. The filtrate obtained as above was kept for crystallization by slow evaporation, and colorless crystals of 13 were obtained in THF after 3 days. Data for complex 12 are as follows. Yield: 6.3 mg (5%). Mp: >250 °C. Anal. Calcd for C₅₈H₅₄BCl₄Cu₃F₄N₄P₄: C, 51.59; H, 4.03; N, 4.15. Found: C, 51.82, H, 4.09; N, 4.16. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 500 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.23 (s, 12H, N-CH₃), 7.44-7.53 (m, 40H, PPh₂), 8.71 (s, 2H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 125 MHz; δ, ppm): 36.5, 127.3, 129.5, 131.0, 132.6, 146.7. ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN, 202.49 MHz; δ, ppm): -27.4. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3451 (br, w), 3162 (w), 3054 (w), 2250 (w), 1562 (m), 1515 (w), 1483 (m), 1435 (s), 1373 (w), 1208 (w), 1099 (m), 1084 (s), 1059 (s), 916 (w), 855 (w), 791 (w), 748 (vs), 695 (vs), 612 (w), 539 (m), 517 (m), 500 (m), 475 (m). ESI-MS: 1215.1224 $[M - (CuCl_2)^-]^+$. Data for complex 13 are as follows. Yield: 0.10 g (86%). Mp: >250 $^{\circ}C$. Anal. Calcd for $C_{58}H_{54}B_3CuF_{12}N_4P_4$: C, 55.51; H, 4.34; N, 4.46. Found: C, 55.82; H, 4.11; N, 4.20. ¹H NMR (CD₃CN, 400 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.11 (s, 12H, N-CH₃), 7.18-7.41(m, 40H, PPh₂), 8.99 (s, br, 2H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CD₃CN, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 36.4, 129.8, 131.1, 131.2, 131.3, 131.4, 131.6. ³¹P NMR (CD₃CN, 162 MHz; δ, ppm): -15.2 (br). IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3557 (w), 3613 (w), 3400 (m, br), 3145 (w), 3074 (w), 2959 (w), 2861 (w), 1634 (w), 1562 (m), 1482 (m), 1438 (s), 1285 (w), 1213 (m), 1055 (vs, br), 997 (s), 793 (w), 745 (s), 696 (s), 613 (w), 559 (w), 544 (m), 513 (m), 499 (m), 478 (m), 460 (m). ESI-MS: m/z 331.0806 [(M - 3BF₄⁻)³⁺/3].

Synthesis of Complex 14. The imidazolium salt 4 (0.20 g, 0.37 mmol) and [Cu(CH₃CN)₄]BF₄ (0.12 g, 0.37 mmol) were combined in a Schlenk flask, and dichloromethane (20 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3 h and then filtered under nitrogen using a frit. The filtrate, obtained as a clear solution, was concentrated to dryness to give the title compound as a colorless solid. The compound was crystallized by layering an acetonitrile solution of 14 with diethyl ether at 0 °C. Yield: 0.27 g (94%). Mp: 202–207 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C33H33B2CuF8N4P2: C, 50.51; H, 4.24; N, 7.14. Found: C, 50.52; H, 4.22; N, 7.24. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz; δ, ppm): 2.12 [s, 6H, 2(CH₃CN)], 3.37 (s, 6H, N-CH₃), 7.48–7.54 (m, 20H, PPh₂), 8.86 (s, 1H, NCHN). ¹³C NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz; δ , ppm): 2.2, 36.8, 125.7, 130.1, 131.5, 131.9, 132.0, 132.1, 148.0. ³¹P NMR (DMSO- d_{6} 202.49 MHz; δ , ppm): -26.9. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3443 (w, br), 3174 (w), 2964 (w), 2275 (w), 1631 (w), 1568 (w), 1483 (w), 1438 (w), 1262 (s), 1217 (w), 1094 (vs), 1031 (vs), 863

(w), 801 (vs), 745 (w), 695 (m), 613 (w), 559 (w), 500 (w), 481 (w). ESI-MS: m/z 656.1272 [M - (BF₄)⁻ - CH₃CN] ⁺.

Synthesis of Complex 15. To an acetonitrile solution of complex 14 (0.040 g, 0.05 mmol) was added 4,4'-bpy (0.016 g, 0.10 mmol) as a solid, the mixture was stirred for 5 h, and a clear colorless solution was obtained. The reaction mixture was concentrated to one-third of its volume and filtered, and the filtrate was layered with diethyl ether and kept at 0 °C. Pale yellow crystals were obtained after 1 week, which were collected, washed with diethyl ether, and dried under vacuum. Yield: 0.036 g (84%). Mp: 140–145 °C. Anal. Calcd for $C_{151}H_{141}B_8Cu_4F_{32}N_{16}P_8$: C, 53.72; H, 4.21; N, 6.64. Found: C, 53.98; H, 4.30; N, 7.02. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3439 (w, br), 3057 (w), 1605 (m), 1564 (w), 1532 (w), 1484 (w), 1437 (m), 1411 (w), 1285 (w), 1218 (w), 1057 (vs), 914 (w), 809 (m), 747 (m), 697(m), 620 (w), 542 (w), 478 (w).

Synthesis of 16. Pd₂(dba)₃ (0.091 g, 0.10 mmol) and PPh₃ (0.105 g, 0.40 mmol) were combined in a Schlenk flask, and dichloromethane (20 mL) was added. The resulting red mixture was stirred for 10 min under nitrogen, after which it was transferred to a solution of 6 (0.107 g, 0.20 mmol) in dichloromethane through a cannula; the reaction mixture was heated to reflux under nitrogen for 4 h. After it was cooled, the resulting mixture was filtered through a pad of Celite, the filtrate was washed with water $(4 \times 30 \text{ mL})$, and the organic layer was dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness and washed with pentane to afford the title compound as a yellow solid. Slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of 16 afforded single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Yield: 0.105 g (47% with respect to Pd2(dba)3). Mp: 90-95 °C dec. Anal. Calcd for C₄₁H₃₇I₃N₂P₂Pd: C, 44.49; H, 3.37; N, 2.53. Found: C, 44.79; H, 3.43; N, 2.51. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 500 MHz; δ, ppm): 3.03 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 3.35 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 6.92 (s, 1H, C₅H), 7.42-7.55 (m, 30H, PPh₃). ¹³C NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 100 MHz; δ, ppm): 37.7, 38.1, 126.1, 128.9, 129.0, 129.1, 129.2, 129.4, 129.5, 131.6, 131.9, 132.0, 132.6, 133.7, 134.5, carbene carbon signal could not be detected. ³¹P NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 162 MHz; δ , ppm): 18.3. IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 3434 (w, br), 3051 (w), 1649 (w), 1617 (w), 1585 (w), 1572 (w), 1479 (m), 1434 (vs), 1389 (w), 1337 (w), 1310 (w), 1265 (w), 1182 (m), 1095 (s), 1026 (w), 998 (w), 743 (m), 693 (vs), 616 (w), 542 (w), 521 (s), 511 (s), 494 (m), 458 (w), 425 (w). ESI-MS: m/z 978.9639 (M - $I^{-})^{+}$.

X-ray Structure Solution and Refinement.¹⁹ The crystal data were collected on a Bruker Apex Smart diffractometer. Data were collected using graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.71073$ Å). All of the structures were solved by direct methods using SHELXS-97 and refined by full-matrix least squares on F^2 . All hydrogen atoms were included in idealized positions, and a riding model was used. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined with anisotropic displacement parameters. One of the disordered counteranions BF₄⁻ present in the crystal structure of 13 and disordered solvent molecules in 11, 13, and 15 were treated by squeeze refinement using PLATON.²⁰

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Figures, a table, and CIF files giving crystal structure diagrams of **6**, 7, and **9**, isotopic distributions of **16**, NMR spectra, and crystallographic data. The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.organomet.Sb00336.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*G.A.: e-mail, garaman@iitk.ac.in; tel, +91-512-2597517; fax, +91-512-2597436.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the Department of Science and Technology (DST) and the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India. V.K. and V.G. thank the CSIR and University Grants Commission (UGC) for fellowships.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Canac, Y.; Maaliki, C.; Abdellah, I.; Chauvin, R. New J. Chem. 2012, 36, 17-27. (b) Marchenko, A. P.; Koidan, H. N.; Huryeva, A. N.: Zarudnitskii, E. V.: Yurchenko, A. A.: Kostvuk, A. N. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 7141-7145. (c) Edwards, P. G.; Hahn, F. E. Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 10278-10288. (d) Benhamou, L.; Wolf, J.; César, V.; Labande, A.; Poli, R.; Lugan, N.; Lavigne, G. Organometallics 2009, 28, 6981-6993. (e) Lee, C.; Ke, W.; Chan, K.; Lai, C.; Hu, C.; Lee, H. Chem. - Eur. J. 2007, 13, 582-591. (f) Field, L. D.; Messerle, B. A.; Vuong, K. Q.; Turner, P. Organometallics 2005, 24, 4241-4250. (g) Consorti, C. S.; Aydos, G. L. P.; Ebeling, G.; Dupont, J. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 237-240. (h) Dumrath, A.; Wu, X.; Neumann, H.; Spannenberg, A.; Jackstell, R.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8988-8992. (i) Azouri, M.; Andrieu, J.; Picquet, M.; Cattey, H. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 48, 1236-1242. (j) Mendoza-Espinosa, D.; Donnadieu, B.; Bertrand, G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 7264-7265. (k) Majhi, P. K.; Streubel, R. Inorg. Chem. 2012, 51, 10408-10416. (1) Majhi, P. K.; Sauerbrey, S.; Leiendecker, A.; Schnakenburg, G.; Arduengo, A. J., III; Streubel, R. Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 13126-13136. (m) Sauerbrey, S.; Majhi, P. K.; Schnakenburg, G.; Arduengo, A. J., III; Streubel, R. Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 5368-5376. (n) Bates, J. I.; Gates, D. P. Organometallics 2012, 31, 4529-4536. (o) Bronger, R. P. J.; Silva, S. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Dalton Trans. 2004, 1590-1596.

(2) (a) Bates, J. I.; Kennepohl, P.; Gates, D. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2009**, 48, 9844–9847. (b) Mendoza-Espinosa, D.; Donnadieu, B.; Bertrand, G. Chem. - Asian J. **2011**, 6, 1099–1103. (c) Ruiz, J.; Mesa, A. F. Chem. - Eur. J. **2012**, 18, 4485–4488.

(3) Gaillard, S.; Renaud, J. L. Dalton Trans. 2013, 42, 7255-7270.

(4) (a) Abdellah, I.; Lepetit, C.; Canac, Y.; Duhayon, C.; Chauvin, R. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 13095–13108. (b) Maaliki, C.; Lepetit, C.; Canac, Y.; Bijani, C.; Duhayon, C.; Chauvin, R. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 7705–7714.

(5) (a) Newkome, G. R.; Evans, D. W.; Fronczek, F. R. Inorg. Chem.
1987, 26, 3500–3506. (b) Abdellah, I.; Canac, Y.; Mboyi, C. D.; Duhayon, C.; Chauvin, R. J. Organomet. Chem. 2015, 776, 149–152.
(c) Debono, N.; Canac, Y.; Duhayon, C.; Chauvin, R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 2008, 2991–2999.

(6) Hardman, N. J.; Abrams, M. B.; Pribisko, M. A.; Gilbert, T. M.; Martin, R. L.; Kubas, G. J.; Baker, R. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1955–1958.

(7) Knochel, P.; Dohle, W.; Gommermann, N.; Kneisel, F. F.; Kopp, F.; Korn, T.; Sapountzis, I.; Vu, V. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 4302–4320.

(8) (a) Karthik, V.; Bhat, I. A.; Anantharaman, G. Organometallics **2013**, *32*, 7006–7013. (b) Karthik, V.; Gupta, V.; Anantharaman, G. Organometallics **2014**, *33*, 6218–6222.

(9) Kuhn, N.; Göhner, M. Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1999, 625, 1415–1416.

(10) Freixa, Z.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M. Dalton Trans. 2003, 1890–1901.

(11) Gonell, S.; Poyatos, M.; Mata, J. A.; Peris, E. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 5985–5990. (b) Gonell, S.; Poyatos, M.; Mata, J. A.; Peris, E. Organometallics **2012**, 31, 5606–5614.

(12) (a) Liu, S.; Chen, J.; Peng, S.; Hsiao, Y.; Cheng, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1990**, 29, 1169–1172. (b) Baker, R. T.; Calabrese, J. C.; Westcott, S. A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, 498, 109–117.

(13) Mathews, C. J.; Smith, P. J.; Welton, T.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Organometallics **2001**, 20, 3848–3850.

(14) Iglesias, M.; Albrecht, M. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 5213-5215.

(16) Das, R.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Hahn, F. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2014, 53, 1163–1166. (b) Brackemeyer, D.; Hervé, A.; Schulte to Brinke, C.; Jahnke, M. C.; Hahn, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7841–7844. (c) Kösterke, T.; Pape, T.; Hahn, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2011, 133, 2112–2115. (d) Das, R.; Hepp, A.; Daniliuc, C. G.; Hahn, F. E. Organometallics 2014, 33, 6975–6987.

(17) Burford, N.; Cameron, T. S.; Ragogna, P. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 7947–7948.

(18) (a) Trost, B. M.; Massiot, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4405-4412. (b) Kubas, G. J. Inorg. Synth. 1979, 19, 90-92.

(19) (a) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 1997.
(b) Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL Reference Manual: Version 5.1; Bruker AXS: Madison, WI, 1998.

(20) Spek, A. L. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. D: Biol. Crystallogr. 2009, 65, 148–155.