Diastereoselective intramolecular photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions of tethered L-(+)-valinol derived tetrahydrophthalimides

Kevin I. Booker-Milburn,* Sirin Gulten and Andrew Sharpe

School of Chemical Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, Norfolk, England, UK NR4 7TJ

Intramolecular photochemical [2 + 2] cycloaddition of allyl alcohol linked to amino acid derived 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalimides, either *via* a carbonate or silicon tether, gives the corresponding cyclobutanes in excellent yield with diastereoselectivities as high as 8:1.

Asymmetric [2 + 2] photocycloaddition using chiral auxiliaries and chiral additives is far less developed than other areas of asymmetric synthesis. Although a number of systems have been studied,¹ there exist very few methods for the preparation of enantiomerically pure cyclobutanes compared to the corresponding thermal [4 + 2] and [3 + 2] cycloaddition reactions. Both Fleming² and Crimmins³ have reported the use of silicon tethers in controlling regio- and stereo-selectivity in [2 + 2]photocycloadditions. Very recently Piva and Pete⁴ reported some promising results using chiral hydroxy acids as spacers for controlling asymmetric intramolecular [2 + 2] photocycloadditions. We now disclose our own results in this area involving the diastereoselective intramolecular [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions of alkenols tethered to L-(+)-valinol derived tetrahydrophthalimides.

We recently reported⁵ that 3,4,5,6-tetrahydrophthalic anhydride (THPA, **1**) and the corresponding imide (THPI, **2**) underwent efficient intermolecular photocycloaddition with alkenols to give the corresponding cyclobutanes in excellent yields with diastereoselectivities as high as 10:1. The major isomer in all cases was the *exo* isomer **3** which, due to the absence of any absolute stereochemical control, was formed as a racemic mixture (only one enantiomer drawn for clarity). We then elected to study the cycloaddition reactions of alkenols linked to THPI derivatives in order to achieve two goals: (i) the selective formation of the *endo* isomer **4** and (ii) by the use of chiral linkers, control the absolute stereochemistry of **4**. If the cycloadducts **6** or **7** could be formed selectively from **5** then cleavage of the tether (X) would lead to the synthesis of enantiopure *endo* cycloadducts (Scheme 1).

Initially we investigated the use of ethanolamine as a linker and found that heating THPA with ethanolamine in toluene gave the tetrahydrophthalimide derivative **8** in 96% yield. Reaction of **8** with allyl chloroformate gave the carbonate tethered tetrahydrophthalimide **9** in good yield (84%). Irradiation[†] of **9** in MeCN for just 90 min resulted in the exclusive formation of the (\pm)-*endo* cycloadduct **10** in excellent yield



Scheme 1

(95%, only one enantiomer drawn for clarity). Hydrolysis to the diol **11**, followed by NOE studies, confirmed the highly selective *endo* mode of cycloaddition obtained by use of a carbonate tether. Synthesis of the silicon tethered² variant **12** (X = SiPrⁱ₂) was achieved by treatment of **8** with 2 equiv. of Cl₂SiPrⁱ₂ followed by an excess of allyl alcohol (53% overall). Irradiation of **12** again gave the (±)-*endo* cycloadduct **13** as the sole product in 74% yield. Treatment of **13** with Bu₄NF yielded the diol **11** in excellent yield (82%) (Scheme 2).

With the exo/endo selectivity problem solved we then turned our attention to the use of tethered chiral ethanolamines to control the diastereoselectivity of the endo selective cycloadditions and ultimately the enantioselectivity upon removal of the chiral ethanolamine. Treatment of 1 with L-(+)-valinol gave the tetrahydrophthalimide 14 in excellent yield (95%). Irradiation of 14 with allyl alcohol gave rise to a complex mixture (75%) of four cycloadducts which by NMR spectroscopy appeared to be the various possible exo and endo diastereoisomers of 15. This was not too surprising as it would be expected that there would be little stereochemical control exerted by the valinol unit during an intermolecular photocycloaddition. Reaction of 14 with allyl chloroformate as before gave the carbonate 16 in moderate yield (61%). Irradiation of 16 gave two cycloadducts (17 and 18) which upon hydrolysis (74%) were shown by ¹H NMR spectroscopy to be an inseparable 1:1 mixture[‡] of the diastereomeric diols 19 and 20. Although this result was disappointing in terms of asymmetric induction, the overall yield in the photocycloaddition step was high (90%) and the irradiation times were short (60 min), thus indicating that the basic photocycloaddition was not hampered by the introduction of a chiral centre in the linker. Synthesis of the SiPri₂ tethered variant was carried out as before to give 21 in 71% yield. Irradiation (90 min) of 21 gave a mixture of the cycloadducts 22 and 23 (74%) which, upon treatment with Bu_4NF , gave the diols 19 and 20 as an inseparable mixture of diastereoisomers (87%) in a ratio of 2:1 although it was not possible to assign which was the major isomer. Encouraged by these results we decided



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: i, ethanolamine, toluene, heat, 96%; ii, allyl chloroformate, pyridine, THF, 0 °C, 84% for 9; iii, Cl₂SiPri₂ (2 equiv.), Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, then allyl alcohol (4 equiv.), 53% for 12; iv, *hv*, MeCN, 95% for 10, 74% for 13; v, KOH (1.2 equiv.), EtOH–H₂O (1:1), 64% from 10; vi, Bu₄NF, THF, 82% from 13

Chem. Commun., 1997 1385

to try and increase the diastereoselectivity of the cycloaddition by changing the nature of the groups on silicon. Thus treatment of **14** with Cl₂SiPh₂ in DMF followed by treatment with an excess of allyl alcohol gave the SiPh₂ tethered variant **24** in 60% overall yield. Irradiation (60 min) of **24** gave an excellent yield (82%) of the two cycloadducts **25** and **26** which, upon treatment with Bu₄NF, gave the diastereomeric diols **19** and **20** in 97% yield and in a very pleasing ratio of 8:1. Again it was not possible to assign which was the major diastereoisomer, although it was the same as that obtained with the diisopropyl tether (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: i, L-(+)-valinol, toluene, heat, 95%; ii, hv, allyl alcohol, MeCN, 6 h, 75%; iii, allyl chloroformate, pyridine, THF, 3 h, 0 °C, 61% for 16; iv, Cl₂SiPi₂, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, then allyl alcohol (4 equiv.), 71% for 21; v, Cl₂SiPh₂ (2 equiv.), Et₃N, DMF, then allyl alcohol (4 equiv.), 60% for 24; vi, hv, MeCN, 60–90 min, 90% for 17/18, 74% for 22/23, 82% for 25/26; vii, KOH (1.2 equiv.), EtOH-H₂O (1:1), 74% from 17/18; viii, Bu₄NF, THF, 87% from 22/23, 97% from 25/26



Scheme 4 Reagents and conditions: i, (*R*)-(-)-2-phenylglycinol, toluene, heat, 97%; ii, Cl₂SiPh₂ (2 equiv.), Et₃N, MeCN, then allyl alcohol (4 equiv.), 46%; iii, Cl₂SiPh₂ (2 equiv.), Et₃N, MeCN, then prop-2-yn-1-ol (4 equiv.), 58%; iv, *hv*, MeCN, 5–6 h, then Bu₄NF, THF, 83% overall for **28/29** and 38% overall for **31/32**

In order to study the effects of other chiral ethanolamines, **1** was treated with (R)-(-)-2-phenylglycinol to give the corresponding tetrahydrophthalimide (97%). Treatment of this with Cl₂SiPh₂ in DMF followed by an excess of allyl alcohol gave the $SiPh_2$ tethered phthalimide 27 in 46% overall yield. Irradiation gave a high yield of cycloaddition products (89%) which, upon cleavage with Bu₄NF (93%), afforded the diastereoisomeric diols 28 and 29 in a ratio of 3:1. This clearly demonstrates that the valinol unit is superior to phenylglycinol in controlling diastereoselectivity during photocycloaddition. Finally, treatment of the valinol derivative 14 with Cl₂SiPh₂ followed by treatment with an excess of prop-2-ynyl alcohol gave the SiPh₂ tethered alkynol variant 30 in moderate overall yield (58%). Irradiation of 30 gave a 46% yield of the corresponding cyclobutenes which, upon desilylation with Bu_4NF (81%), gave the diastereoisometric diols 31 and 32 in a ratio of 4:1. Although the ratio was not as good as in the allyl alcohol case (24) this is, to the best of our knowledge, the first example of this type of diastereoselective cyclobutene formation (Scheme 4).

In summary, L-(+)-valinol derived tetrahydrophthalimides containing silicon tethered alkenol and alkynol units have been shown to undergo efficient, diastereoselective intramolecular [2 + 2] photocycloadditions. Present studies are concerned with the assignment of the absolute configuration of the major diastereoisomer from these cycloadditions in order gain some information about the transition state, and hopefully increase the diastereoselectivity by investigating different reaction conditions. We are also investigating the efficient hydrolytic removal of the valinol linker so that ultimately it can be used as a true chiral auxiliary in the enantioselective, photochemical synthesis of highly substituted cyclo-butanes and -butenes.

We would like to thank the Canakkale Onsekiz Mart University, Turkey (S. G.) and the EPSRC (GR/K15985) (A. S.) for financial support of this work.

Footnotes

* E-mail: k.booker-milburn@uea.ac.uk

† Glassware consisted of a standard, water cooled, pyrex immersion well photochemical apparatus of 100 ml reaction volume. All reactions were carried out in dry, degassed MeCN (100 ml) with concentrations of between 0.01–0.036 M in substrate. Irradiations were performed using a 125 W medium-pressure mercury vapour lamp obtained from Osram HQL (MBF-U) bulbs. All new compounds were fully characterised by IR, ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy, and either elemental analysis or HRMS.

[‡] The diastereoisomeric ratio was readily ascertained by ¹H NMR spectroscopy from comparison of the integrals of the two pairs of diastereotopic Me groups present in the various mixtures of **19** and **20**.

References

- B. S. Green, Y. Rabinsohn and M. Rejtö, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1975, **45**, 115;
 B. S. Green, A. T. Hagler, Y. Rabinsohn and M. Rejtö, *Isr. J. Chem.*, 1976/77, **15**, 124; G. L. Lange, C. Decicco and M. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1987, **28**, 2833; A. I. Meyers and S. A. Fleming, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1986, **108**, 306; H. Herzog, H. D. Koch, H. D. Scharf and J. Runsink, *Tetrahedron*, 1986, **42**, 3547; M. Demuth, A. Palomer, H. D. Sluma, A. K. Dey, C. Kruger and Y. H. Tsay, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1986, **25**, 1117; M. Sato, K. Takayama, Y. Abe, T. Furuya, N. Inukai and C. Kaneko, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1990, **38**, 336; D. Haag and H. D. Scharf, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1966, **61**, 6127; M. T. Crimmins and T. L. Reinhold, *Org. React.*, 1993, **44**, 297.
- S. A. Fleming and S. C. Ward, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, 33, 1013;
 C. L. Bradford, S. A. Fleming and S. C. Ward, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, 36, 4189.
- 3 M. T. Crimmins and L. E. Guise, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 1657.
- 4 S. Faure, S. Piva-Le Blanc, O. Piva and J.-P. Pete, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1997, **38**, 1045.
- 5 K. I. Booker-Milburn, J. K. Cowell and L. J. Harris, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 3883; K. I. Booker-Milburn, F. Delgado Jiménez and A. Sharpe, *Synlett*, 1995, 735; K. I. Booker-Milburn, J. K. Cowell, A. Sharpe and F. Delgado Jiménez, *Chem. Commun.*, 1996, 249.

Received in Liverpool, UK, 8th April 1997; 7/02386C