An Efficient Catalyst System for Palladium-Catalyzed Borylation of Aryl Halides with Pinacolborane

Miki Murata,* Tomoko Sambommatsu, Shinji Watanabe, Yuzuru Masuda

Department of Materials Science, Kitami Institute of Technology, Kitami 090-8507, Japan Fax +81(157)264973; E-mail: muratamk@mail.kitami-it.ac.jp Received 25 April 2006

Abstract: The combination of Pd(dba)₂ and bis(2-di-*tert*-butylphosphinophenyl)ether has proven to be efficient for the crosscoupling of pinacolborane with aryl bromides and chlorides. The substrate scope is broad and the present system enables the synthesis of *ortho-*, *meta-*, and *para-substituted electron-rich and -defi*cient arylboronates.

Key words: boron, palladium, catalysis, cross-coupling, ligands

Arylboronic acids and their esters are useful intermediates in organic synthesis, particularly with reactions involving carbon-carbon bond formation through the palladiumcatalyzed Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction.¹ Various methods are now available for their preparation. Above all, the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling reactions of tetra(alkoxo)diborons² or dialkoxyboranes³⁻⁸ with organic electrophiles have emerged as a general and powerful method for carbon-boron bond formation. The borylation using dialkoxyborane, such as pinacolborane (1), is an atom-economical method, more economically profitable as **1**, and cheaper than bis(pinacolato)diboron. We demonstrated the first examples of the palladium-catalyzed borylation of aryl halides 2 with 1 to provide arylboronates **3** (Equation 1).³ Although our original procedure using PdCl₂(dppf) was found to work effectively for most aryl iodides, aryl bromides were often problematic with undesirable reduced arenes 4. Recently, the Buchwald phosphine ligand⁵ and DPEphos⁸ have been utilized as efficient supporting ligands for the palladiumcatalyzed borylation of aryl bromides. Whereas the scope of the aryl halides 2 in the palladium-catalyzed borylation has been improved, these catalyst systems still had some drawbacks, for example the reactions of electron-deficient or ortho, ortho'-disubstituted bromides produced only



Equation 1 Palladium-catalyzed borylation using pinacolborane

SYNLETT 2006, No. 12, pp 1867–1870 Advanced online publication: 24.07.2006 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-947365; Art ID: U05006ST © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York poor yields, and no example of the borylation of aryl chlorides was provided. In this paper, we wish to report a general protocol for the palladium-catalyzed borylation of aryl halides **2** using **1**. The present catalyst system using a bulky and electron-rich bis-phosphine ligand overcomes many limitations of the previous methods.

An initial screening was performed using several phosphine ligands L1–L8 (Figure 1) for the coupling reaction of 1 and ethyl 4-bromobenzoate (2a). These results are summarized in Table 1. The treatment of 2a (1 equiv) with 1 (2 equiv) and Et_3N (3 equiv) in the presence of 5 mol% of Pd(dba)₂ and the phosphine ligand (Pd:P = 1:2) in dioxane at 80 °C was found to lead to the corresponding arylboronate 3a. The formation of ethyl benzoate (4a) by reduction of the starting 2a was the major side reaction. For the electron-deficient 2a, the use of ligands L1, DPPF (L3), and DPEphos (L6), which have been utilized for the borylation of aryl iodides and electron-rich aryl bromides, did not provide the desired product 3a as expected (entries 1, 3, 6).^{3,5,8} Recently, it has been revealed that DiPPF $(L4)^9$ and DtBPF $(L5)^{10}$ were effective ligands for other palladium-catalyzed carbon-heteroatom bond forming processes. Bearing these reports in mind, we postulated that bis-phosphines having dialkylphosphino groups together with large bite angles created by backbones, such as L4 and L5, could be efficient supporting ligands for the borylation. We then examined the chelating alkylphosphines. Employing L4 and *i*-Pr-DPEphos (L7), however, gave poor yields of **3a** due to the extensive formation of 4a (entries 4 and 7). Among the many trials using electron-rich and sterically hindered bidentate phosphines, we were pleased to observe that the use of t-Bu-DPEphos (L8) remarkably improved the yield and selectivity, although the formation of 4a could not be completely suppressed (entry 8).¹¹



Figure 1 Phosphine ligands

Table 1Screening of Ligands for Borylation of Ethyl 4-Bromobenzoate $(2a)^a$

Entry	Ligand	Yield (%	Yield (%) ^b		
		3a	4 a		
1 ^c	L1	0	0		
2 ^c	L2	6	44		
3	L3, DPPF	0	40		
4	L4, DiPPF	11	80		
5	L5, DtBPF	75	15		
6	L6, DPEphos	0	46		
7	L7, <i>i</i> -Pr-DPEphos	15	62		
8	L8, t-Bu-DPEphos	84	16		

^a Reaction conditions: **2a** (0.5 mmol), **1** (1.0 mmol), Et_3N (1.5 mmol), Pd(dba)₂ (25 mmol), ligand (25 mmol), in dioxane (2 mL) at 80 °C for 6 h

^b GC yields are based on **2a**.

^c 50 mmol of phosphine ligand was used.

After optimization of the reaction conditions, we investigated the scope of the borylation of the aryl halides 2 using 1. These results are presented in Table 2. For all the cases listed in Table 2, small amounts of reduced by-products 4 were produced, but their isolation was very easy. The present process was extremely tolerant of a variety of common functional groups. Thus, the presence of functional groups, such as an ester (Table 1), ketone carbonyl (Table 2, entry 2), cyano (entry 3), and nitro groups (entry 4), in the starting 2 did not interfere with the outcome of the present reaction. The use of the catalyst derived from $Pd(dba)_2$ and **L8** demonstrated a good deal of generality, and the differences in the yields among the electronic characteristics of the substituent on the aryl bromides 2 (X = Br) were not particularly large. In addition, the sterically hindered bromides 2g-i also gave the corresponding arylboronates 3 in high yields (entries 6–8). It is noteworthy that the reactions of the ortho, ortho'-disubstituted bromides 2h and 2i, which were problematic substrates in previous catalyst systems,^{5,8} proceeded in high yields (entries 7 and 8). The present system enables the synthesis of ortho-, meta-, and para-substituted electronrich and -deficient arylboronates 3 from the corresponding bromides 2.

Although aryl chlorides are less reactive for the palladium-catalyzed processes than their bromide and iodide counterparts, these substrates are often more readily available from commercial sources and less expensive.¹² Thus, we then turned our attention toward the application of aryl chlorides **2** (X = Cl) for the palladium-catalyzed borylation using **1**. The present catalyst system also proved to be highly efficient for the electron-rich aryl chlorides **2j** and **2k**, although the reaction temperature of 120 °C was required (entries 9 and 10). To the best of our knowledge, this is the first example of the selective borylation of aryl chlorides with **1**. However, several attempts at the borylation of the electron-deficient aryl chloride **2l** were unsuccessful as the presence of electronwithdrawing substituents remarkably increased the yield of **4a** (entry 11).

To verify the feasibility of the reaction on a common laboratory scale, the borylation of 2a was repeated on a 5mmol scale (see the experimental section). In this case, the amounts of 1, the catalyst, and the solvent were lowered. The reducing the amount of the reagents would be desirable to limit the amount of waste generation from this procedure, especially if the borylation was performed on a preparative scale. Actually, the present system was applied to the larger scale synthesis of 3a without any difficulty.

In conclusion, we have developed a general method for the borylation of aryl halides 2 with pinacolborane 1 using $Pd(dba)_2/t$ -Bu-DPEphos (L8) as the catalyst. The substrate scope was significantly broad and included electron-deficient and sterically hindered aryl bromides and electron-rich aryl chlorides. This catalyst system has the widest substrate scope of any reported to date. Further investigations to broaden the scope of the organic electrophiles are currently underway in our laboratory.

Palladium-Catalyzed Borylation of Aryl Halides; General Procedure (Table 1 and Table 2)

In a glove box, $Pd(dba)_2$ (25 µmol) and *t*-Bu-DPEphos (**L8**, 25 µmol) were placed in a screw-capped vial, and dissolved in 2 mL of 1,4-dioxane. After being stirred for 30 min, Et₃N (1.5 mmol), the aryl halide **2** (0.50 mmol), and pinacolborane (**1**, 1.0 mmol) were successively added. The vial was sealed with a cap and removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was then stirred at 80–100 °C for 6 h. After the reaction, the mixture was diluted with Et₂O, washed with brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by Kugelrohr distillation to give the desired arylboronate **3**. The products **3a–c**, **3e**³ and **3h**⁵ were identified by comparison of their ¹H NMR data with those reported in the literature. Other boronates **3d,f,g,i,j** were characterized by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR spectroscopy and HRMS analysis.¹³

Large-Scale Preparation of Arylboronates; General Procedure

A flask with a septum inlet was charged with Pd(dba)₂ (28 mg, 0.05 mmol), **L8** (25 mg, 0.05 mmol), and a magnetic stirring bar, and then flushed with nitrogen. Dioxane (10 mL), Et₃N (2.0 mL, 14 mmol), **2a** (1.14 g, 4.97 mmol), and **1** (0.87 mL, 6 mmol) were added by syringe. After being stirred for 16 h at 80 °C, the mixture was extracted with Et₂O (10 mL). The extract was washed with brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. The residue was purified by Kugelrohr distillation to give 1.11 g (81% yield) of **3a**.

Entry	Aryl Halide 2	Product 3	Condition	Yield (%) ^a
1	Br-OMe	PinB-OMe	100 °C, 6 h	91
	2b	3b		
2	Br Ac	PinB-Ac	80 °C, 6 h	78
	2c	3c		
3	Br-	PinB	80 °C, 6 h	79
	2d	3d		
4	Br-NO ₂	PinB	80 °C, 2 h	76
	2e	3e		
5	Br-CF3	PinB-CF3	80 °C, 6 h	82
	2f	3f		
6	Br-	PinB-	100 °C, 6 h	86
	2g	3g		
	Me	Me		
7	Br	PinB	100 °C, 6 h	82
	Me 2h	Me 3h		
	<i>i-</i> Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr		
8	Br	PinB	100 °C, 6 h	72
	<i>i</i> -Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr		
	21	3i		
9	CI	PinB	120 °C, 24 h	81
	2j	3ј		
10	CI-OMe	3b	120 °C, 24 h	86
	2k			
11	CI-CO ₂ Et	3a	120 °C, 24 h	10 ^b
	21			

Table 2	Palladium-Catalyzed	Borylation of	f Representative 2 v	with 1
---------	---------------------	---------------	----------------------	--------

^a Isolated yields are based on 2.
 ^b GC yield. The GC analysis also indicated the formation of 4a in 87% yield.

References and Notes

- For reviews of the Suzuki coupling, see: (a) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. *Chem. Rev.* **1995**, *95*, 2457. (b) Miyaura, N. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *6*, 187. (c) Miyaura, N. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **2002**, *219*, 11.
- (2) For reviews of the Miyaura borylation, see: (a) Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 611, 392.
 (b) Ishiyama, T.; Miyaura, N. Chem. Rec. 2004, 3, 271.
- (3) (a) Murata, M.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 6458. (b) Murata, M.; Oyama, T.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 164.
- (4) (a) Murata, M.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2000**, *41*, 5877. (b) Murata, M.; Oyama, T.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. *Synthesis* **2000**, 778. (c) Murata, M.; Oyama, T.; Watanabe, S.; Masuda, Y. *Synth. Commun.* **2002**, *32*, 2513.
- (5) Baudoin, O.; Guénard, D.; Guéritte, F. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 65, 9268.
- (6) Doux, M.; Mézailles, N.; Melaimi, M.; Ricard, L.; LeFloch, P. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1566.
- (7) Wolan, A.; Zaidlewicz, M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2003, 1, 3274.
- (8) Broutin, P.-E.; Čerňa, I.; Campaniello, M.; Leroux, F.; Colobert, F. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 4419.
- (9) Murata, M.; Buchwald, S. L. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 7397.
- (10) Hamann, B. C.; Hartwig, J. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, 120, 7369.
- (11) *t*-Bu-DPEphos (L8) was prepared from diphenylether and (*t*-Bu)₂PCl by a similar procedure for the preparation of DPEphos. See: Kranenburg, M.; Vanderburgt, Y. E. M.; Kamer, P. C. J.; van Leeuwen, P. W. N. M.; Goubitz, K.; Fraanje, J. *Organometallics* 1995, *14*, 3081.

- (12) For a review of the palladium-catalyzed couplings of aryl chlorides, see: Littke, A. F.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 4176.
- (13) Compound **3d**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.35$ (s, 12 H), 7.47 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.73 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.01 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 8.09 (s, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 24.81, 84.46,$ 111.95, 118.79, 128.36, 134.37, 138.37, 138.73. HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₃H₁₆BNO₂ [M⁺]: 229.1274; found: 229.1312. IR (KBr): 2228 cm⁻¹. Compound **3f**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.36$ (s, 12 H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR $(CDCl_3): \delta = 24.98, 84.25, 124.29 (q, J = 4 Hz), 124.13 (q, J =$ *J* = 272 Hz), 132.80 (q, *J* = 32 Hz), 135.21. HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₁₃H₁₆BF₃O₂ [M⁺]: 272.1196; found: 272.1229. Compound **3g**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.21$ (s, 12 H), 7.30– 7.50 (m, 8 H), 7.71 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 24.55, 83.69, 126.23, 126.79, 127.74, 128.92, 129.08,$ 130.03, 134.40, 143.19, 147.46. HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₁₈H₂₁BO₂ [M⁺]: 280.1635; found: 280.1629. Compound **3i**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.21$ (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6 H), 1.25 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 12 H), 1.37 (s, 12 H), 2.84 (sept, J = 6.7 Hz, 1 H), 2.98 (sept, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.93 (s, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): δ = 24.01, 24.55, 24.98, 34.00, 34.49, 83.61, 119.63, 149.72, 151.86. HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₂₁H₃₅BO₂ [M⁺]: 330.2730; found: 330.2773. Compound **3j**: ¹H NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.32$ (s, 12 H), 2.86 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 3 H), 3.92 (br s, 1 H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2 H), 7.65 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2 H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃): $\delta = 24.79$, 30.22, 83.13, 111.38, 136.26, 151.74. HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for C₁₃H₂₀BNO₂ [M⁺]: 233.1587; found: 233.1611.
 - IR (KBr): 3425, 3401, 3375 cm⁻¹.