

Self-Masked Aldehyde Inhibitors: A Novel Strategy for Inhibiting Cysteine Proteases

Linfeng Li, Bala C. Chenna, Kai S. Yang, Taylor R. Cole, Zachary T. Goodall, Miriam Giardini, Zahra Moghadamchargari, Elizabeth A. Hernandez, Jana Gomez, Claudia M. Calvet, Jean A. Bernatchez, Drake M. Mellott, Jiyun Zhu, Andrew Rademacher, Diane Thomas, Lauren R. Blankenship, Aleksandra Drelich, Arthur Laganowsky, Chien-Te K. Tseng, Wenshe R. Liu, A. Joshua Wand, Jorge Cruz-Reyes, Jair L. Siqueira-Neto, and Thomas D. Meek*



ABSTRACT: Cysteine proteases comprise an important class of drug targets, especially for infectious diseases such as Chagas disease (cruzain) and COVID-19 (3CL protease, cathepsin L). Peptide aldehydes have proven to be potent inhibitors for all of these proteases. However, the intrinsic, high electrophilicity of the aldehyde group is associated with safety concerns and metabolic instability, limiting the use of aldehyde inhibitors (SMAIs) for cruzain, the major cysteine protease of the causative agent of Chagas disease—*Trypanosoma cruzi*. These SMAIs exerted potent, reversible inhibition of cruzain ($K_i^* = 18$ – 350 nM) while apparently protecting the free aldehyde in cell-based assays. We synthesized prodrugs of the SMAIs that could potentially improve their pharmacokinetic properties. We also elucidated the kinetic and chemical mechanism of SMAIs and applied this strategy to the design of anti-SARS-CoV-2 inhibitors.



INTRODUCTION

Cysteine proteases are prospective drug targets for a variety of diseases caused by viruses (e.g., picornaviruses and coronaviruses),^{1,2} bacteria (e.g., *Salmonella* and *Listeria*),³ and particularly, many species of parasitic protozoa.⁴ One of these, *Trypanosoma cruzi*, is the causative agent of Chagas disease, which affects an estimated 6–7 million people worldwide.⁵ During the life cycle of *T. cruzi*, its major cysteine protease, known as cruzain, plays a multifaceted role involving nutrient processing, morphological transformation, invasion into host cells, and evasion of the immune response.⁶ Consequently, cruzain has been widely studied and also serves as a paradigm for inhibitor design for other cysteine proteases.

Substrate–analogue peptidomimetic compounds containing a suitable electrophilic warhead comprise the most common classes of inhibitors and inactivators of cruzain, as well as other cysteine proteases.⁷ A notable example is K777 (or K11777), a dipeptide analogue containing a vinyl sulfone warhead, which undergoes irreversible thia-Michael addition to the active-site Cys_{25} of cruzain,⁶ and other cysteine proteases including cathepsins K, L, and B (Figure 1A).⁸ However, irreversible binding of small molecules to protein targets is associated with idiosyncratic immunotoxicity,⁹ especially for drugs which require lengthy regimens. Accordingly, an emerging trend is the development of reversible covalent inhibitors which provide potent inhibition of the desired target, but will not permanently inactivate off-target proteins.¹⁰ Among the reversible covalent warheads explored for cruzain to date, an aldehyde group often, if not always, affords outstanding inhibitory potency compared to α -keto amides, nitriles, oximes, heterocycles, and so on.^{11–14} On the other hand, the inherent electrophilicity of aldehydes contributes to their rapid metabolism, immunotoxicities, and untoward drug-drug interactions,¹⁵ which have all but disqualified their use in drug design. Theoretically, these issues can be mitigated by confining the exposure of the aldehyde solely to the germane protein target while eschewing off-target proteins; however, such approaches have been challenging to implement.⁹

Received: April 6, 2021





Figure 1. Inactivator and inhibitors of cysteine proteases. (A) Structure of K777 and its mechanism of covalent inactivation of cysteine proteases. (B) 1,2,4-trioxolane inhibitor **PG4b** undergoes Fe(II)-catalyzed fragmentation to elaborate aldehyde **PG3b**, a potent inhibitor of falcipain, and a radical byproduct. (C) γ -lactol inhibitor **MN3** is a masked aldehyde of **SJA0617**. It exhibits higher transcorneal permeability and releases the free aldehyde to inhibit μ -calpain.

An alternative approach to incorporate aldehydes into drug design is to mask the aldehyde using another functional group. The antimalarial aldehyde inhibitor of falcipain PG3b was "masked" by a 1,2,4-trioxolane structure (Figure 1B), resulting in the prodrug PG4b from which the active aldehyde is liberated upon reaction with Fe(II) inside the vacuoles of the plasmodial parasite.¹⁶ Unfortunately, this clever masked aldehyde resulted in toxicity to the host cells, apparently from the action of free radical byproduct(s). Its utility is also limited by the variable availability of Fe(II) in target cells. The calpain inhibitor MN3 is another type of masked aldehyde in which a homoserine at the P₁ position¹⁷ spontaneously forms a cyclic hemiacetal (Figure 1C). Compared to the potent free aldehyde SJA6017 (with Leu at P_1 , IC₅₀ = 22 nM), protection of the aldehyde in MN3 greatly improved transcorneal permeability despite lower potency against calpain ($IC_{50} = 880 \text{ nM}$).^{18,19} Herein, we describe an unprecedented class of self-masked aldehyde inhibitors (SMAIs) for cruzain, their kinetic and chemical mechanisms of reversible covalent inhibition, and their effects on trypanosomal infection in cell cultures. In addition, we developed prodrugs of these SMAIs to improve their metabolic stability to optimize their pharmacokinetic properties. We adapted this concept to develop a novel inhibitor for the main cysteine protease (3CL protease) of SARS-CoV-2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Design of SMAIs. The dipeptide aldehyde 1 (Cbz-Phe-Phe-H, Figure 2A) was first prepared for use as an intermediate in the synthesis of other protease inhibitors and proved to be an extraordinarily potent inhibitor of cruzain, as discussed below. To modify its P_1 structure to afford a self-masked aldehyde, we added a hydroxyl group to the 2' position of the phenyl ring,

converting the P₁ group to an *ortho*-tyrosine (2, Cbz-Phe-*o*-Tyr-H). The oxygen of the phenol group is in close proximity to the aldehydic carbon permitting a nucleophilic addition reaction to occur, producing a cyclic hemiacetal (δ -lactol) similar to the γ lactol found in calpain inhibitor MN3.¹⁹ We anticipated that a SMAI would remain "locked" in its δ -lactol form before binding to cruzain, after which enzyme catalysis would elaborate the free aldehyde followed by formation of a hemithioacetal adduct with cruzain (Figure 2A). If true, then the potential advantages of SMAIs include: (a) the intramolecular nature of the δ -lactol likely provides sustained protection of the aldehyde outside of an enzyme active site; (b) compared to the 1,2,3-trioxolane masked aldehyde,¹⁶ the cleavage of the hemiacetal does not produce reactive byproducts; and (c) the introduced hydroxyl group on the o-tyrosine sidechain is small enough so as to impose minimal perturbation of the original binding mode of the parent aldehyde 1.

The first question arising from our hypothesis is: could the lactol form of **2** bind to cruzain and remain closed? To preliminarily address this question, we employed molecular modeling using docking methods conforming to the binding of compound **2** in both its noncovalent, lactol form (Figure 2B) and its free aldehyde form which was docked as a hemithioacetal adduct with the catalytic Cys_{25} (Figure 2C). The covalent adduct of **2** is predicted to bind in a manner similar to that of the covalent inactivator K777 as seen in the cruzain-K777 cocrystal structure.²⁰ As expected, the phenoxy substituent of the covalently bound inhibitor **2** is well tolerated in the cruzain active site and may form hydrogen bonds with Gln₁₉, His₁₆₂, or Trp₁₈₄, similar to the sulfone oxygens of K777. Although the Cbz-Phe group in Figure 2B adopts a similar orientation to the open form (Figure 2C), the lactol displays a puckered

pubs.acs.org/jmc



Figure 2. Rationale for the design of SMAIs. (A) In compound **2**, the aldehyde group is expected to be masked by the 2'-phenol group via spontaneous formation of a lactol. It is anticipated that the SMAI will undergo enzyme-catalyzed opening of the lactol ring and subsequently form the hemithioacetal adduct with Cys_{25} . The scheme describes a two-step inhibition mechanism in which rapid formation of an EI complex precedes isomerization to EI*, which slowly converts back to EI. (B) Lactol form of **2** (green) noncovalently docked to cruzain. (C) Opened form of **2** covalently docked to form a hemithioacetal with Cys_{25} . Both structures are superimposed with a covalently bound K777 (white) from the crystal structure (PDB ID: 20Z2).²⁰ Colored dashed lines represent corresponding cruzain—inhibitor interactions.

conformation in the active site. The bound lactol has a poorer binding free energy ($\Delta G_{\text{predicted}} = -5.08 \text{ kcal/mol}$) than that of the hemithioacetal ($\Delta G_{\text{predicted}} = -7.24 \text{ kcal/mol}$), apparently owing to the bicyclic lactol moiety. One may infer that the recognition of the Cbz-Phe scaffold of **2** by cruzain assists in the binding of the lactol group and orients it for enzyme-catalyzed ring-opening to yield the high-affinity aldehyde.

Kinetic Analysis. A series of SMAIs and related compounds 1-12 were prepared and evaluated as inhibitors of cruzain (Table 1). Time-course data for inhibition of cruzain by many of these compounds conformed to the kinetic scheme shown in Figure 2A, that is, initiation of reaction by adding enzyme to substrate and inhibitor led to curvilinear time courses (Figure 3A,C) in which reaction rates demonstrably decreased as the EI complex (characterized by K_i) progressed to the tighter EI* complex (characterized by K_i^*). The observation of time dependence may or may not indicate that covalent bond formation has occurred, but it does reflect that $K_i^* < K_i$ arising from either the formation of a hemithioacetal between the enzyme and inhibitor or a slow isomerization step of EI to EI* not involving covalent bond formation.

We first evaluated cruzain inhibition by time-course data containing fixed concentrations of substrates and variable concentrations of inhibitors for which reactions were initiated by the addition of enzyme. Inhibition of cruzain by the free aldehyde 1 was characterized by downward-concave curvilinear time courses, often referred to as "burst kinetics" (Figure 3A), indicative of time-dependent inhibition for which equilibrium between cruzain and 1 was slowly established over 30 min. Each curve was fitted to eq 1, and the resulting values of k_{obs} were replotted versus inhibitor concentration [1] (Figure 3A, inset). The replot was best fitted to eq 3, implying a lack of saturation of the EI complex by 1 for which $K_i > K_i^*$. The unimolecular rate constant for conversion of EI* back to EI was extremely slow [k_4 = (7.6 ± 0.6) × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹]. The low value of k_4 is likely the reason for the slow onset of inhibition and potency of aldehyde 1.

Unlike aldehyde 1, inhibition of cruzain by 2 exhibited almost linear time courses with only slight curvature observed at early stages (Figure 3B), as was also observed for SMAIs 6-10 (all containing the Cbz-Phe scaffold, Figure S1A). The nominal burst phase was only observed within the first 1-2 min, after which an apparent steady-state reaction was established, indicating a significantly faster rate (greater k_4) for conversion of EI* back to EI than for compound 1. This difference suggests that, compared to a relatively stable hemithioacetal intermediate cruzain-1 species, the reverse reaction of this intermediate for a

pubs.acs.org/jmc

Table 1. Inhibition Data of SMAIs and Related Compounds with Cruzain^{*a,b,c*}

Compound	Structure		Cruzain Inhibition
Number	P ₃ - P ₂	P ₁	$K_i * (nM)^a$
1	Cbz-Phe-		0.44 ± 0.02
2	Cbz-Phe-	HO NO	49 ± 2
3 ^b	NMePip-Phe-	HO,,, A	>100,000
4	Cbz-Phe-	HO HO	>10,000
5	Cbz-Phe-		22 ± 2
6	Cbz-Phe-	HO W O	350 ± 30
7	Cbz-Phe-	HO WO	103 ± 5
8	Cbz-Phe-	HO TO CI	74 ± 10
9	Cbz-Phe-	HOW F	48 ± 2
10	Cbz-Phe-	HO TO CO2Me	18 ± 0.5
11	NMePip-Phe-		0.5 ± 0.2
12	NMePip-Phe-	HO W O	47 ± 2
K777	NMePip-Phe-	SO ₂ Ph	0.2^{c}

^{*a*}To the assay buffer (50 mM MES, 50 mM TAPSO, 1 mM CHAPS, 1 mM Na₂EDTA, 5 mM DTT, 10% DMSO (v/v), and pH 7.5) containing variable concentrations of inhibitors (0.02–20 μ M) and 10 μ M of substrate Cbz-Phe-Arg-AMC ($K_m = 0.9 \ \mu$ M) was added cruzain (final concentration of 0.2 nM), and changes in fluorescence were monitored for 20–60 min. Values of K_i^* ($n \ge 2$) were obtained as described. ^b3 contains two diastereomeric *anti* products, and only one is shown here. ^cReported as an apparent IC₅₀ in ref 48.

SMAI is likely facilitated by the attack of its phenoxy group on the hemithioacetal (Figure 3D). To characterize these inhibitors, we obtained steady-state rates of v_s and v_0 at assay times ≥ 20 min. For instance, upon plotting v_s/v_0 versus [2] (Figure 3B, inset) and fitting using eq 4, we obtained a value of the overall inhibition constant (K_i^*) of 49 ± 2 nM. For free aldehyde 11 and SMAI 12, both of which incorporated the *N*methylpiperazinyl-Phe (NMePip-Phe) scaffold as found in K777, the ratio of their activities as cruzain inhibitors $[K_i^*(12)/K_i^*(11) = 94]$ was almost identical to that of their

D

pubs.acs.org/jmc



Figure 3. Kinetic analysis of inhibition of cruzain by 1, 2, and 12. (A–C) Time courses of cruzain inhibition by 1, 2, and 12. Insets for (A) and (C): the k_{obs} values were obtained by fitting progress curves to eq 1. Replot of k_{obs} vs [I] with the line drawn through data points from fitting to eq 3. 1: $k_4 = (7.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$; 12: $k_4 = (1.8 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Inset for (B): the steady-state rates with (ν_s) and without inhibitor (ν_0) were obtained at $t \ge 20$ min. Plot of ν_s/ν_0 vs [2] with the line drawn through data points from fitting to eq 4. (D) Proposed mechanism of phenoxy-assisted conversion of EI* back to EI.



Figure 4. Rapid dilution assay for SMAIs. (A) Dilution scheme for testing the reversibility of a SMAI. Upon 100-fold dilution, the inhibitor concentration decreases from 10-fold > K_i^{*app} (91% inhibition) to 10-fold < K_i^{*app} (9% inhibition). (B, C) Cruzain activity rapidly recovered from inhibition by **2** and **6**–**10**. (D–F) Cruzain activity recovery showed a significant lag for **1**, **11**, and **12**. All curves were fitted to eq 1 to provide k_{obs} values as listed in Table S1.

Cbz-Phe-containing counterparts 1 and 2 $[K_i^*(2)/K_i^*(1) = 110]$. Similar to aldehyde 1, slow onset of inhibition was observed for 11 (Figure S1B). Surprisingly, unlike SMAIs containing the Cbz-Phe scaffold, 12 also exhibited time-dependent inhibition (Figure 3C).

To explore the reversibility of cruzain inhibition by these compounds, we first preincubated each inhibitor with cruzain followed by a 100-fold rapid dilution accompanying the addition of substrate (Figure 4A). For inhibitors **2** and **6**–**10** (Figure 4B,C), 91% recovery of cruzain activity was observed over the



Figure 5. Studies on the chemical mechanism of SMAI binding to cruzain. (A) Expansion of the superposed ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR spectra of ¹³C-labeled compound **12** with (blue) and without (red) an approximately equimolar concentration of cruzain, which were obtained at 800 MHz (¹H) at 25 °C. (B) Time course of phenylhydrazone formation by treating 0.2 mM **11** (aldehyde) or **12** (lactol) with 1 mM phenylhydrazine, with a control sample containing DMSO. Data for the curve with compound **11** were fitted to Abs_{282 nm} = $a[1-\exp(-k_{obs}t)] + C$ from which a = 0.279 ± 0.002, $k_{obs} = (2.61 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$, and C = 2.63, while fitting the other data to this expression led to negligible values of k_{obs} .

course of minutes, wherein the residual concentration of inhibitor was $0.1 \times K_i^{*app}$, capable of affording 9% inhibition, thereby demonstrating that binding of these inhibitors was fully reversible. In comparison, the activity of cruzain preincubated with aldehydes 1, 11, or SMAI 12 was restored at much slower rates (Figure 4D–F). After fitting these "lag" time courses to eq 1, the calculated residence times ($\tau = 1/k_{obs}$) for compounds 2 and 6-10 ranged from 2.5 to 5.5 min, whereas 1, 11, and 12 exhibited significantly longer residence times of 52, 45, and 26 min, respectively (Table S1). While longer residence times were expected for aldehydes 1 and 11, compound 12 was the only SMAI to effect significant time-dependent inhibition as evidenced by the observation of both burst and lag kinetic time courses, and the rate of conversion of the EI* complex to EI was comparable to that of aldehyde 11. Considering that compound 12 was equipotent to 2, one could infer that the peptidomimetic scaffold of 12 might also affect the adduct formation so that k_3/k_4 remained nearly unchanged for both the SMAI and the free aldehyde. Therefore, a covalent docking study was conducted for 12, which predicted a binding free energy ($\Delta G_{\text{predicted}} = -7.15 \text{ kcal/mol}$) that was close to that of compound 2 ($\Delta G_{\text{predicted}} = -7.24 \text{ kcal/mol}$) as formerly mentioned, which was in accordance with their similar K_i^* values. Interestingly, an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the P₁ phenol group and P₃ carbonyl group has dragged the two moieties away from their binding subsites (Figure S2). The consequence of this conformation is that the phenol group in 12 is less likely to take part in the conversion of EI* back to EI (Figure 3D), leading to slower dissociation from cruzain and its unique lag kinetics compared to 2.

Structure–Activity Relationships of SMAIs. Inhibition constants for all compounds prepared for this study are shown in Table 1. The equipotency of SMAIs 2 and 12 at ~50 nM suggests that their different P₃ sidechains have no impact on their inhibition. We prepared compound 3, an analogue of SMAI 12 in which its ether oxygen was replaced with a methylene group, and the resulting compound containing the stable tetrahydro-naphthol did not inhibit cruzain at $\leq 100 \ \mu$ M, despite its similarity to the chroman-2-ol group of the SMAIs.

Substitution of the aldehyde of compound 2 with a primary alcohol also resulted in the poor inhibitor 4, which corroborates the importance of the formation of carbon—sulfur bonds in the cruzain-SMAI complexes. In the free aldehyde 5, the phenoxy group was methylated, affording an inhibitor that is 50-fold less active than aldehyde 1, implying that a bulkier 2' substituent sterically hinders the formation of the hemithioacetal.

Another potential feature of SMAIs is the ability to tune the reactivity of the phenol group by installing substituents on the benzene ring. We introduced several substituents at the 5' position of the ortho-tyrosine, including electron-donating groups (i.e., -OMe and -Me), weak electron-withdrawing groups (i.e., -F and -Cl), and moderate-to-strong electron withdrawing group (i.e., -CO₂Me). The electron-donating substituents on 6 (K_i^* = 350 nM) and 7 (K_i^* = 100 nM) appear to undermine their potencies, as these inhibitors bind, respectively, 7- and 20-fold more weakly than un-substituted SMAI 2. The stronger electron-withdrawing capability of the ester substituent (10; $K_i^* = 18 \text{ nM}$) provided a 3-fold increase in potency versus 2, suggesting that the 5'-methyl ester facilitates the opening of the lactol ring. In addition to the electronic effect, a steric effect is likely operative. The methoxy group of compound 6 is bulkier than the methyl group of 7, which may contribute to its over 3-fold lower activity. Chlorine (0.79 Å, 8) has a slightly larger radius than fluorine (0.42 Å, 9), and fluorine is similar in size to a hydrogen (0.53 Å, 2) (note: the C-F is longer than the C–H bond so that, at that increased length, the fluorine is effectively the same radius as hydrogen),²¹ consistent with the differences between their K_i^* values. It is interesting that a steric effect apparently dictates the differences in potency between compounds 8 and 9, probably because fluorine and chlorine are only weakly electron-withdrawing. The methyl ester of 10, while comprising the bulkiest substituent among this series of compounds, provided the SMAI of the highest potency in this study, apparently because the electron-withdrawing effect afforded by this large substituent overcomes any steric effect. These results suggested that appropriate substitution of the P₁ phenyl ring in SMAIs could optimize their potencies, and further, may affect the stability of enzyme-bound lactol.

Chemical Mechanism of SMAI Binding to Cruzain. Next, we prepared compound 12 in which the aldehydic carbon was ¹³C-labeled, to be used in a study of ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) to elucidate the chemical specie(s) of 12 that are bound to cruzain. First, 0.4 mM of ¹³C-labeled 12 in phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) was analyzed by HSQC NMR. No discernable peak was present at $\delta(^{13}C) > 180$ ppm, ruling out the existence of minute concentrations of free aldehyde in this aqueous solution (see the Supporting Information for a full-view spectrum).²² The two salient peaks, A and B, occurring near $\delta(^{13}C)$ 90.8 ppm, were consistent with the signal of a carbon occurring in a hemiacetal (Figure 5A, red). These signals could not be assigned to an aldehyde hydrate in view of the fact that analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LC-MS) displayed a molecular ion peak corresponding to the hemiacetal but not the hydrate (Figure S3). We propose that peaks A and B are associated with two δ -lactol anomers spontaneously generated during lactol formation. The ratio of anomer A to anomer B is 1:1.32 based on peak volumes (Table S2), yet the exact stereochemistry cannot be assigned at this point.

To the inhibitor sample was added an approximately stoichiometric amount of cruzain, and a new spectrum was acquired after 1 h (Figure 5A, blue). The apparent hemiacetal peak B was eliminated while a trace of peak A remained, which indicates a slight preference of cruzain for anomer B over A, in consideration of the ratio of integrated volumes (A:B = 1:1.32). The fact that the cross peaks shifted considerably upon addition of cruzain is strongly indicative of hemithioacetal formation. This is because the new signals observed at $\delta(^{13}C)$ 76.2 and 79.7 ppm are very similar to those observed for the formation of a hemithioacetal adduct between N-acetyl-L-phenylalanine- $[2^{-13}C]$ glycinal and papain (δ (¹³C) 75.1 ppm).²³ The broadening of peaks A' and B' also suggested a protein-bound ligand, because of reduced tumbling and the increased relaxation time of the cruzain-bound ¹³C-labeled 12. In addition, mass spectrometric experiments of cruzain preincubated with 12 as well as three SMAIs with the Cbz-Phe- scaffold (2, 7, and 9) clearly revealed the formation of corresponding enzymeinhibitor adducts as indicated by the mass changes relative to apo-cruzain (Figure S4), serving as orthogonal evidence of covalent bond formation.

As phenylhydrazine readily forms phenylhydrazone adducts with aldehydes, we treated 0.2 mM of aldehyde 11 and SMAI 12 with 1 mM phenylhydrazine in the same buffer (lacking DTT) used in the NMR study (Figure 5B) to determine the fraction of free aldehyde found in 12. While aldehyde 11 was rapidly, and apparently, completely converted to phenylhydrazone, 12 remained intact after 2 h. This finding showed that even an excess amount of phenylhydrazine cannot drive the equilibrium of 12 toward the formation of open-form aldehyde, which not only corroborated the chemical stability of lactol, but also indicated that the ring-opening is likely an enzyme-catalyzed process. Therefore, we conclude that SMAI 2 predominantly maintains its lactol form in aqueous solution in the absence of cruzain, while its binding to cruzain apparently promotes opening of its ring followed by the formation of a covalent bond with Cys₂₅.

SMAIs Display Antitrypanosomal Activity. Subspecies of pathogenic *Trypanosoma brucei* have essential cysteine proteases (TbCatL, brucipain, or rhodesain) which are close homologs of cruzain and perform similar biological functions.²⁴ We evaluated our compounds in axenic cultures of the bloodstream forms

(BSFs) of *Trypanosoma brucei brucei* (T. b. brucei), which are found in infected mammals (Table 2, Figure S5). The most

Table 2. Antitrypanosomal Activities of SMAIs and their Prodrugs

compound number	cruzain inhibition K _i * (nM)	T. b. brucei BSFs $EC_{50} (\mu M)^a$	T. cruzi-infected cardiomyoblasts EC_{50} $(\mu M)^a$
1	0.44 ± 0.02	3.3 ± 2.1	0.5 ± 0.4
2	49 ± 2	6.8 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 0.2
6	350 ± 30	2.6 ± 1.1	ND^d
7	103 ± 5	0.5 ± 0.2	5.7 ± 0.6
8	74 ± 10	5.8 ± 3.4	ND
9	48 ± 2	2.7 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.6
10	18 ± 0.5	4.0 ± 0.2	3.5 ± 0.3
12	47 ± 2	0.6 ± 0.1	>20
13 ^b	~1000	3.7 ± 0.2	13 ± 2
14 ^b	>5000	3.1 ± 0.2	ND
15 ^b	>5000	4.0 ± 0.2	10 ± 3
16 ^b	>5000	12 ± 0.1	ND
17 ^b	>5000	14 ± 0.9	ND
K777	0.2 ^c	0.09 ± 0.06	0.04 ± 0.01

^aTrypanocidal activities of compounds in axenic cultures of *T. b. brucei* BSFs and in cardiomyoblasts with *T. cruzi infection* were all measured as EC₅₀ values (half maximal effective concentration), along with SEMs from at least two replicates. Typical test concentrations of inhibitors were 0.5–20 μ M for *T. b. brucei* BSF assays and 0.02–40 μ M for *T. cruzi*-infected cardiomyoblast assays. ^bStructures of 13–17 are shown in Figure 6B. ^cReported as an apparent IC₅₀ in ref 48. ^dND, not determined.

active inhibitors for BSFs, 7 and 12, exhibited respective EC₅₀ values of 0.5 and 0.6 μ M, which are more potent than the antitrypanosomal drug, diminazene (Berenil, EC₅₀ = 0.99 μ M in *T. b. brucei* BSFs).²⁵ Notably, the free aldehyde 1, despite its 100-fold higher potency versus cruzain, was equally or less potent in inhibiting *T. b. brucei* BSFs compared to the SMAIs. Considering that aldehyde 1 is nearly four orders of magnitude less effective versus *T. b. brucei* than versus purified cruzain (EC₅₀/K_i* = 7500), while the average value of EC₅₀/K_i* for all SMAIs is 74, one may speculate that the SMAIs are more accessible to its cellular target(s) than free aldehyde 1 in cell culture media. In this sense, masking of the active aldehyde within the SMAIs affords significant protection of its electrophilic group.

Additionally, aldehyde 1, SMAIs 2, 7, 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 (the latter two compounds are discussed in the next section) were analyzed in a murine cardiomyoblast model of T. cruzi infection in which the disease-relevant amastigote forms of the parasite were evaluated (Table 2, Figure S6). The parent aldehyde 1 was the most potent inhibitor in this series (EC₅₀ = 0.4 μ M), yet it still lost most of its activity considering its subnanomolar activity against cruzain. Compounds 2, 7, 9, and 10 killed *T. cruzi* at EC₅₀ values ranging from $2.9-5.7 \mu$ M, which were similar in potency to benznidazole, a first-line antichagasic drug with an EC₅₀ value of 4.0 \pm 2.0 μ M in this assay (Figure S6). Surprisingly, 12 exhibited much weaker trypanocidal activity in this assay in contrast to its excellent activity in T. b. brucei, probably because its peptidomimetic scaffold, with higher polarity, had difficulty in penetrating the host cell membrane. Apart from 12, these SMAIs were essentially equipotent in axenic cultures of T. b. brucei BSFs and T. cruzi-infected cardiomyoblasts, suggesting that they target a cysteine protease homologue of cruzain in T. b. brucei. Additionally, they exerted



Figure 6. Development and assessment of SMAI prodrugs. (A) Pralnacasan is a SMAI prodrug for VRT-18858, an inhibitor for caspase-1. (B) Proposed metabolic routes of O-derivatized SMAIs to 12. (C) Time course of remaining 13–15 in reactions with or without addition of porcine esterase. (D) High-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) traces of compound 15 in buffer (control). (E) HPLC traces of 15 treated with esterase.

no toxicity against the host cardiomyoblasts at up to 40 μ M where both aldehyde 1 and K777 exhibited significant cytotoxicity (Figure S6). Accordingly, the self-masking of the aldehyde group in SMAIs provides the apparent delivery of these otherwise reactive compounds to trypanosomes harbored within mammalian cardiomyoblasts, with no apparent untoward effects on the host cells. These results encouraged progressing the SMAIs to preclinical analysis, as well as the development of prodrug forms of SMAIs.

Prodrugs of SMAIs. The pharmacokinetic evaluation of compound **12** in mice by intravenous administration indicated a short half-life arising from apparent first-pass metabolism (data not shown). Its analogue, K777, has superior pharmacokinetic properties to **12**, and it was shown that the sites of oxidative metabolism on K777 were largely confined to the *N*-methylpiperazine ring, common to both inhibitors, and the homophenylalanyl sidechain.²⁶ Therefore, metabolism of the cyclic hemiacetal of **12** may be responsible for its rapid clearance in mice.

The cyclic α -keto-acetal prodrug for caspase-1, pralnacasan (VX-740), can be regarded as an SMAI, as it is rapidly converted to the active aldehyde inhibitor (VRT-18858) by plasma

esterases via formation of a hemiacetal intermediate (Figure 6A).²⁷ We designed two types of derivatization of the hemiacetal hydroxyl group, that is, via *O*-acylation and *O*-alkylation (Figure 6B). The *O*-acylated compounds should be hydrolyzed to compound **12** by the action of cellular esterases, which are ubiquitous in the ER lumen of mammalian tissues.²⁸ For the O-alkylated compounds, the enzymatic oxidation is likely to occur by the action of liver cytochrome P450 enzymes, thereby elaborating a new hemiacetal that subsequently collapses to form compound **12**.

To explore this prodrug approach, we prepared *O*-acylated compounds **13**–**15** and *O*-alkylated compounds **16** and **17** (structures shown in Figure 6B). These compounds exhibited negligible inhibition against cruzain, with the exception of **13**, which weakly inhibited cruzain in a time-dependent fashion ($K_i^* = 1 \ \mu M$, Figure S1C). Compounds **13–15** were treated with porcine esterase in buffer (pH 7.5), and the hydrolysis of these prodrugs was monitored by LC–MS (Figure 6C). In control samples without esterase, these compounds largely remained intact. Compound **13** was an exception and degraded about 10% in buffer over 3 h, which likely explains the observed inhibition of cruzain by **13** because of the formation of **12**. Upon addition



Figure 7. Compound **18** is a potential SMAI for SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro}. (A) 2-Pyridone is a surrogate for the P₁ γ -lactam. (B) Structure and activity of compound **18**. (C) Surface representation of the 3CL^{pro}-**18** complex (PDB ID: 7M2P). The 2F₀-F_c electron density map contoured at 1.0 σ indicated C-S bond formation. (D) Interaction between 3CL^{pro} and **18**. Dashed lines depict hydrogen bonds; the oxyanion hole is circled.

of the esterase, compounds 13–15 were all converted to compound 12 at variable rates of reaction with respective halflives of 48, 24, and 16 min (by fitting curves in Figure 6C to eq 6) and displayed a trend of increased hydrolysis with the increasing steric bulk of the acyl groups. A reasonable interpretation is that the main mammalian esterase, carboxylesterase-1 (CES1), has a preference for larger acyl groups.²⁸ Accordingly, modifying the acyl group of *O*-acylated compounds to obtain a suitable half-life can potentially overcome the apparent first-pass metabolism of **12**. As observed for compound **15** (Figure 6D,E), there are two peaks in the chromatograph that presumably correspond to the two anomers, but the conversion of one peak (A, $t_R = 4.38 \text{ min}$) to **12** is faster than the other (B, $t_R = 4.52 \text{ min}$). Peak A is largely eliminated after 30 min, while peak B, though it constitutes a



^{*a*}(i) DBU, DCM, (ii) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH, (iii) TFA, DCM, (iv) Cbz-Phe-OH or NMePip-Phe-OH (**S1**j), DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (v) TBSCl, imidazole, DCM, (vi) K₂CO₃, CH₃I, DMF, (vii) NaBH₄, MeOH, (viii) Dess-Martin periodinane, NaHCO₃, DCM, 0 °C, (ix) TBAF, THF, 0 °C, (x) Et₃N, THF, 0 °C, (xi) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH, (xii) mCPBA, chloroform, (xiii) NaN₃, MeOH/H₂O, 60 °C, (xiv) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH, (xv) NMePip-Phe-OH (**S1**j), DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (xvi) NMePip-Phe-OH (**S1**j), DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (xvi) NMePip-Phe-OH (**S1**j), DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (xvii) NePip-Phe-OH (**S1**j), DIPEA, T3P, DCM, 0 °C. ***S11** contains two diastereomeric anti products, and only one is drawn here, so do **S1m** and **3**.

S1n

smaller proportion of untreated compound, does not decompose completely even after 3 h, suggesting that the anomer in peak A is the more specific substrate for esterase. These results provide a proof of concept that the use of *O*-acylated prodrugs of SMAIs will provide a means to deliver these inhibitors *in vivo*.

Compound 12 was also modified to yield two mixed acetals 16 and 17 (Figure 6B) to yield prodrugs that did not inhibit cruzain. Because cytochrome P450 forms 3A4, 2D6, and 2C9

together account for over 60% of drug-metabolizing P450 isoforms,²⁹ we used these enzymes to conduct *in vitro* assays to determine if **16** and **17** could be transformed to compound **12** as expected. Both compounds were incubated with different CYPs in the presence of a NADPH-regenerating system for up to 4 h. Unfortunately, no transformation to **12** was observed for either compound by any of the CYPs. However, the apparent stability versus these purified P450s does not mean that (an)other

S10

Scheme 2. Synthesis of 13–17^a



^{*a*}(i) acetic/propionic/isobutyric anhydride, Et₃N, DMAP, DCM and (ii) BF₃OEt₂, EtOH/iPrOH.

microsomal oxidase(s) cannot release the active SMAIs from these prodrug forms.

These compounds were tested in cell assays (Table 2). Although less active than their parent compound 12, compounds 13-15 showed promising trypanocidal activity against axenic T. b. brucei comparable to other SMAIs (EC₅₀ = $3-4 \mu$ M). Moreover, 13 and 15 were also tested in the *T. cruzi*infected model. It is notable that they showed moderate anti-T. *cruzi* effects (Table 2, EC₅₀ = 13 and 10 μ M, respectively), which were more potent than 12, indicating that their acyl groups helped them enter host cells probably by increasing the hydrophobicity of these molecules. These results also demonstrated that the O-acylated prodrug forms could well be converted to 12 inside host cells or parasites by esterases. Interestingly, compounds 16 and 17 were able to kill the *T. b. brucei* in spite of lower activity ($K_i^* > 5 \mu M$). Because treatment with P450s did not lead to transformation of these prodrugs, the data implied the existence of either a drug-metabolizing enzyme or another potential activating enzyme in T. b. brucei.

Application of SMAIs to SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro}. The SMAI strategy is not limited to cruzain and may also find application in other cysteine proteases. To this end, we attempted to develop SMAIs for SARS-CoV-2 main protease (3CL^{pro}), a drug target for COVID-19.30 Numerous inhibitors of the homologous cysteine proteases of SARS-CoV, MERS-CoV, and some picornaviruses have shown different levels of inhibition against SARS-CoV-2. Peptidomimetic aldehyde inhibitors of 3CL^{pro} contain a nearly invariant 2-oxo-pyrrolidin-2-yl group (γ lactam) as the P_1 side chain. We propose that 2-pyridone can act as a surrogate for the γ -lactam (Figure 7A). Apart from their similarity in size and heteroatom substitution, the tautomerization of 2-pyridone toward 2-hydroxypyridine has been well characterized.³¹ Although the 2-pyridone tautomer is preferred in aqueous solution, the presence of the C-terminal aldehyde could well form a SMAI with the 2-hydroxypyridine.

A 2-pyridone compound **18** was synthesized (Figure 7B, Scheme 4), and the proton NMR of **18** in organic solvents confirmed the apparent absence of aldehyde, suggesting that it forms a δ -lactol, though it is unclear what the exact species is in aqueous buffers. Compound **18** was such a potent inhibitor of 3CL^{pro} that its K_i^* (9 nM) was a result of apparent titration of the enzyme (40 nM used in the assay). Anti-CoV-2 activity (EC₅₀ = 5 μ M) in SARS-CoV-2-infected A549/ACE2 cells was also demonstrated (Table S3). Interestingly, **18** also inhibited human cathepsin L, which was recently shown to be essential to the penetrance of SARS-CoV-2 into mammalian cells in which it catalyzes essential cleavage of the coronaviral Spike protein.^{8,32} As a result, compound **18** has the potential to be a dual-acting inhibitor for two enzymes which are critical to the infection of human cells by SARS-CoV-2.

We also obtained a high-resolution (1.70 Å) crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro} in complex with **18**. The well-defined electron density (Figure 7C) confirmed the formation of a hemithioacetal of **18** with active-site Cys₁₄₅, and the resulting hydroxyl group of the hemithioacetal is stabilized by an oxyanion hole provided by Gly₁₄₃ and Cys₁₄₅ (Figure 7D). The P₁ 2-pyridone establishes essential binding interactions with 3CL^{pro} analogous to that of the γ -lactam³⁰ in which the carbonyl oxygen of the 2-pyridone accepts two hydrogen bonds from His₁₆₃ and Ser₁₄₄, while the amide nitrogen acts as a hydrogen bond donor to Glu₁₆₆ and Phe₁₄₀. These interactions clearly demonstrate that the γ -lactam can be bioisosterically replaced by the 2-pyridone moiety, which is a potential SMAI substructure. As with cruzain, 3CL^{pro} is apparently capable of catalyzing ring-opening of the putative masked aldehyde of **18**.

Chemistry. The synthesis of SMAIs and their analogues involved the preparation of various P1 building blocks and subsequent coupling with P₃-P₂ scaffolds (Scheme 1). A variety of 5-substituted salicylaldehydes were coupled with N-Boc-2phosphonoglycine trimethyl ester in Horner-Wadsworth-Emmons reactions. The formed double bond in S1a was reduced to afford the Boc-protected methyl ester of substituted ortho-tyrosine (S1b) as a mixture of enantiomers, which was then deprotected and reacted with the carboxylic acids of corresponding P3-P2 fragments, Cbz-Phe-OH or NMePip-Phe-OH. Cbz-Phe-OH was commercially available, while NMePip-Phe-OH (S1i) was simply prepared in two steps including amide coupling and hydrogenolytic cleavage of the benzyl group. The resulting S1d and subsequent intermediates were diastereomers that could be mostly separated on a silica gel column. Because the phenol group is relatively reactive that may interfere with future reactions, a methyl group was originally used for its protection and eventually resulted in compound 5. In our effort to remove the methyl group, we experienced unwanted side reactions because of harsh conditions such as the use of BBr₃. Instead, a TBS group was employed as the protecting group. Next, the methyl ester of *S1e* was successively reduced to alcohol using NaBH₄ (S1f and 4) and oxidized to aldehyde using Dess-Martin periodinane (S1g). Finally, efficient removal of TBS by TBAF exposed the phenol group toward the reaction with aldehyde, leading to *in situ* formation of the lactol ring (S1h). It should be noted that each SMAI was a mixture of anomers that

Scheme 3. Synthesis of ¹³C-labeled 12⁴



^{*a*}(i) 1-methylimidazole, MsCl, DCM, 45 °C, (ii) [1-¹³C] glycine, Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O, KOH, MeOH, 60 °C, (iii) (2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)methanol, cyanomethylenetributylphosphorane (CMBP), toluene, 120 °C, (iv) 8-quinolinol, MeCN/H₂O, 40 °C, (v) Et₃N, Boc anhydride, dioxane/H₂O, (vi) N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine, DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (vii) TFA, DCM; NMePip-Phe-OH, DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (viii) LAH, THF, 0 °C, and (ix) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 18^a



^{*a*}(i) DBU, DCM, (ii) Pd/C, H₂, MeOH, (iii) LiOH, MeOH/H₂O, (iv) N,O-dimethylhydroxylamine, DIPEA, T3P, DCM, (v) TFA, DCM; Cbz-Val-Cha-OH, DIPEA, T3P, DCM, and (vi) LAH, THF, 0 °C.

could be observed by HPLC or NMR (see the Supporting Information). Because the spontaneous interconversion existed in between the anomers, it was neither necessary nor possible to chromatographically isolate them.

The P₁ building block of **3** was synthesized using a different strategy. 1,4-Dihydronaphthalene was subjected to epoxidation (*S1k*) followed by nucleophilic attack by NaN₃ to produce *S11*. The azide was then converted to the amino group which was coupled with NMePip-Phe-OH to yield compound **3**. Notably, **3** contains two diastereomeric *anti* products as the P₁ NH and OH are not on the same side of the ring. The preparation of free aldehyde **11** was similar to other SMAIs, except for that the latter required one more step for TBS removal.

The derivatizations of the hydroxy group of 12 are summarized in Scheme 2. Acylation was achieved by reacting 12 with corresponding acid anhydrides under basic conditions (S2a); alkylation was performed by treating 12 with boron

trifluoride etherate in the presence of corresponding alcohols (*S2b*).

Because ¹³C-lableled *N*-Boc-2-phosphonoglycine trimethyl ester was not purchasable, we adopted a Ni(II) complex-based synthetic route for ¹³C-labeled **12** (Scheme 3).³³ A chiral auxiliary *S3a* (2-(*N*-benzylprolyl)aminobenzophenone, BPB) was synthesized and then treated with $[1-^{13}C]$ glycine and nickel(II) nitrate hexahydrate under strongly alkaline conditions to form the Ni(II) complex (*S3b*), which could increase the acidity of α -protons in the glycine. Next, a Mitsunobu–Tsunoda reaction using CMBP was performed to introduce the side chain onto glycine with high stereoselectivity (*S3c*).³³ We employed 8-quinolinol for the decomplexation to release the ¹³C-labeled benzylated *o*-Tyr (*S3d*). After protecting the amine with Boc (*S3e*), the carboxylic acid was converted to a Weinreb amide (*S3f*). The latter was deprotected and coupled to NMePip-Phe-OH (*S3g*) followed by reduction to aldehyde with an equimolar

amount of lithium aluminum hydride (S3h) and removal of the benzyl-protecting group, resulting in ¹³C-labeled **12**.

Similar to Scheme 1, the 2-pyridone inhibitor 18 was also synthesized from 2-oxo-1,2-dihydro-3-pyridinecarbaldehyde (Scheme 4). After hydrogenation of S4a, the methyl ester of S4b was hydrolyzed (S4c) and converted to Weinreb amide S4d. The incorporation of the Cbz-Val-Cha-scaffold resulted in S4e as diastereomers that could be separated on a silica gel column. It turned out that no protection was needed for the 2-pyridone/ 2-hydroxypyridine, so that the LAH reduction of the Weinreb amide afforded the final product 18. Although there is no apparent aldehydic peak in NMR spectra when using CDCl₃ as the solvent, the two inherent equilibria (lactol/aldehyde and pyridone/hydroxypyridine) have led to greater complexity. The exact species of 18 are dependent on multiple factors including solvents, temperature, pH, and so on, as exemplified by its HPLC-MS data (see the Supporting Information): there are several crowded peaks in HPLC, yet all of which correspond to the mass of 18 (m/z 552.29).

CONCLUSIONS

While the aldehyde group has frequently been employed in chemical probes in drug discovery, rarely has this reactive functionality been advanced in earnest to drug discovery campaigns. However, the masking of aldehydes in drugs and clinical agents has provided a few examples of the successful "masking" of aldehydes for drug discovery. Here, we sequestered the potent free aldehyde inhibitor 1 into an intramolecular masked aldehyde by the introduction of a 2-hydroxyl group onto the P₁ benzyl group, which resulted in a surprisingly stable δ lactol derivative that effected potent yet reversible inhibition of cruzain. The apparent stability of δ -lactol in examples of these SMAIs suggests that the observed potent inhibition of cruzain by these SMAIs most likely arises from cruzain-catalyzed ringopening of the δ -lactol followed by formation of a hemithioacetal. Where evaluated, these self-masked aldehyde inhibitors inhibited axenic and infected cell cultures of trypanosomes with potency equaling or exceeding that of the parent aldehydes. Furthermore, the O-acylation of SMAIs yielded prodrugs that might possess improved metabolic stability and pharmacokinetic properties. Finally, we developed and characterized a novel SMAI of SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro}, suggesting a broad applicability of the SMAI strategy to other cysteine proteases.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Information of Chemistry. Unless otherwise noted, all starting materials, reagents, and solvents were obtained commercially and used without further purification or distillation. Reactions were conducted under an inert atmosphere (Ar or N_2), and reaction progress was monitored by thin layer chromatography (TLC) or HPLC-MS. TLC experiments were performed with silica gel plates on aluminum foil (Sigma-Aldrich, cat. no. 60778). HPLC analysis was conducted on an UltiMate 3000 HPLC system coupled to MS analysis by an ISQ EM single quadrupole mass spectrometer. The typical settings for HPLC-MS were as follows. Column used: Phenomenex Luna 5 μ m C18(2) 100 Å, 4.6 mm \times 50 mm; mobile phase A: water containing 0.1% formic acid (v/v); mobile phase B: MeCN containing 0.1% formic acid (v/v); method: gradient elution at 10-100% B over 6 min, then isocratic elution at 100% B for 2 min; flow rate: 1 mL/min; MS parameters: HESI, vaporizer temperature 350 °C, source CID voltage 20 V, and gas flow: sheath = 40-50 psi, aux = sweep = 2.0 psi. Most compounds were purified by flash column chromatography (FCC) on silica gel (200-300 mesh) with different solvent systems. Some compounds were

purified by semipreparative HPLC (Prep-HPLC) on the same UltiMate 3000 HPLC system, which was connected to a fraction collector. The typical settings for Prep-HPLC were as follows: Column used: Phenomenex Luna 5 μ m C18(2) 100 Å, 21.2 mm × 250 mm; mobile phases A and B were the same as those for analytical HPLC; method: gradient elution at 10–100% B over 25 min, then isocratic elution at 100% B for 5 min; flow rate: 21.2 mL/min. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra of compounds, except for ¹³C-labeled compound **12**, were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE III 400 MHz using tetramethylsilane (TMS, 0.00 ppm) or residual solvent (CDCl₃, 7.26 ppm; CD₃OD, 3.31 ppm; (CD₃)₂SO, 2.50 ppm) as the internal standards. All final compounds used for testing in assays had purities that were determined to be >95% as evaluated by their NMR spectra and/or their LC–MS.

Synthetic Procedures. Detailed procedures and characterization of compounds for all reactions in Schemes 1234 were as follows. Some of them were general procedures, which were described by representative compounds.

Methyl 2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-hydroxy-5methylphenyl)acrylate (S1a, R = Me). To a solution of (\pm) -Boc- α phosphonoglycine trimethyl ester (4.22 g, 14.2 mmol, 1.2 eq) in DCM (20 mL) was added DBU (2.12 mL, 14.2 mmol, 1.2 eq) dropwise at -10 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min. Then 4methylsalicylaldehyde (1.61 g, 11.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DCM (10 mL) was slowly added to the mixture over 10 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight. The resulting mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with EtOAc (100 mL), and washed successively with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (20 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, (20 mL), and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (30-50% EtOAc in hexane, v/v) to give S1a (R = Me, 2.91 g, 80%). ¹H NMR $(400 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{OD}) \delta 1.45 (s, 9\text{H}, \text{Boc}), 2.25 (s, 3\text{H}, \text{PhCH}_3), 3.83 (s, 300)$ 3H, COOCH₃), 6.77 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, olefin), 7.02 (dd, J = 2.2, 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.41 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.53 (s, 1H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 19.22, 27.18, 51.36, 79.97, 115.23, 120.46, 124.58, 128.22, 129.63, 131.02, 153.42, 154.76, 166.73. LC–MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 4.93 min; $C_{11}H_{14}NO_3^+$ [M + H - Boc]⁺, m/z calcd 208.10, found 208.08.

Methyl 2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-hydroxy-5methylphenyl)propanoate (S1b, R = Me). S1a (R = Me, 1.15 g, 3.74 mmol, 1.0 eq) was placed in a two-necked round bottom and charged with N₂ gas. 10% palladium on carbon powder (Pd/C, 110 mg, cat.) was quickly added to the flask followed by addition of MeOH (20 mL). The flask was degassed and backfilled with H₂ for three cycles. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight with a balloon of H₂ for replenishment. The balloon was removed, and the mixture was filtered under reduced pressure. Note that the operation should be rapid, and the Pd/C powder must be kept wet to avoid catching fire and was appropriately disposed in a water-filled, cap-closed container. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure and purified by FCC (30% EtOAc in hexane, then 6% MeOH in DCM) to give *S1b* (R = Me, 1.1 g, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39 (s, 9H, Boc), 2.36 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.79-3.02 (m, 1H, βCH₂), 3.07 (m, 1H, β CH₂), 3.75 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.43 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.54 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.65–6.73 (m, 2H, Ph), 6.73–6.79 (m, 1H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.57, 28.24, 32.84, 51.98, 54.97, 79.50, 113.30, 116.22, 119.28, 127.84, 147.51, 153.95, 155.33, 172.70. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 4.98 min; C₁₆H₂₄NO₅⁺ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 310.16, found 310.14.

Methyl 2-amino-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propanoate TFA Salt (S1c, R = Me). To a suspension of S1b (R = Me, 1.1 g, 3.56 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DCM (6 mL) was added TFA (3 mL) at 0 °C, and the resulting mixture was stirred for 30 min. The mixture was then concentrated with toluene three times to remove most of the residual TFA. The obtained crude product S1c (R = Me) was used without further purification.

Methyl (S)-2-((S)-2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanamido)-3-(2-hydroxy-5-methylphenyl)propanoate (**S1d**, R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$). A mixed suspension of **S1c** (R = Me, ~1.15 g, 3.56 mmol, 1.0 eq), Cbz-Phe-OH (1.07 g, 3.56 mmol, 1.0 eq), and DIPEA

(2.17 mL, 12.46 mmol, 3.5 eq) in DCM (20 mL) was cooled to 0 °C followed by dropwise addition of T3P (>50% in MeCN, 3.53 mL, 5.34 mmol, 1.5 eq). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with EtOAc (75 mL), and washed successively with 5% citric acid (15 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL), and brine (15 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (40% EtOAc in hexane) to give S1d ($R = Me_1 R_1 =$ BnO, 1.11 g, 63% for two steps). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.30 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.83–3.16 (m, 4H, $2 \times \beta$ CH₂), 3.69 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.50 (s, 1H, α CH), 4.75 (s, 1H, α CH), 4.98–5.14 (m, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.70 (s, 1H, OH), 6.68 (t, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.91-7.10 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.22 (dd, J = 20.7, 26.3 Hz, 7H, Ph), 7.33 (s, 3H, Ph), 8.08 (s, 1H, NH). $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.66, 33.10, 38.37, 52.47, 53.19, 56.10, 67.24, 117.11, 124.49, 127.01, 127.88, 128.22, 128.32, 128.42, 128.53, 128.61, 129.25, 130.87, 135.98, 136.14, 153.57, 156.27, 171.72, 172.08. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 5.39$ min; $C_{28}H_{31}N_2O_6^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 491.22, found 491.19.

Methyl (S)-2-((S)-2-((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanamido)-3-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-5-methylphenyl)propanoate (S1e, R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$). A mixed solution of *S1d* (R = Me, R₁ = BnO, 990 mg, 2.02 mmol, 1.0 eq), TBSCl (609 mg, 4.04 mmol, 2.0 eq), and imidazole (412 mg, 6.06 mmol, 3.0 eq) was stirred at room temperature overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of 0.5 M HCl (10 mL) and was stirred for another 15 min. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and was partitioned between EtOAc (50 mL) and water (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) and was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (20% EtOAc in hexane) to give S1e ($R = Me_1 R_1 =$ BnO, $R_2 = TBS$, 897 mg, 73%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.16 (s, 6H, TBS Si(CH₃)₂), 0.93 (s, 9H, TBS C(CH₃)₃), 2.37 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.59-2.87 (m, 2H, β CH₂), 2.89-3.05 (m, 2H, β CH₂), 3.54 (d, J = 4.3Hz, 3H, COOCH₃), 4.36 (d, J = 22.6 Hz, 1H, α CH), 4.64 (q, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, α CH), 4.95 (p, J = 12.1 Hz, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.32–5.55 (m, 1H, NH), 6.56–6.65 (m, 1H, Ph), 6.83–7.02 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.05–7.25 (m, 9H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –3.50, 18.27, 20.53, 25.81, 32.94, 38.57, 52.20, 52.57, 56.08, 66.96, 119.79, 125.91, 126.90, 127.89, 127.95, 128.02, 128.04, 128.07, 128.14, 128.44, 128.47, 128.57, 128.71, 129.28, 129.35, 130.82, 136.25, 136.47, 152.62, 155.90, 170.76, 171.62, 172.00. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 7.23 min; $C_{34}H_{45}N_2O_6{\rm Si}^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 605.30, found 605.24.

Methyl (S)-2-((S)-2-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-3-phenylpropanamido)-3-(2-methoxyphenyl)propanoate (S1e, $R = H, R_1 =$ BnO, $R_2 = Me$). To a solution of S1d (R = H, R₁ = BnO, 172 mg, 0.36 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DMF (2 mL) were successively added K₂CO₃ (100 mg, 0.72 mmol, 2.0 eq) and iodomethane (67 μ L, 1.08 mmol, 3.0 eq) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 20 h during which the system should be kept securely sealed to avoid evaporation of iodomethane. The mixture was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with water extensively $(5 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (30% EtOAc in hexane) to give S1e (R = H, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = Me$, 167 mg, 95%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.02 (qd, J = 5.7, 13.6, 16.9 Hz, 4H, $2 \times \beta CH_2$), 3.61 (s, 3H, COOCH₃), 3.93 (s, 3H, PhOCH₃), 4.48 (s, 1H, αCH), 4.72 (s, 1H, αCH), 4.88–5.12 (m, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.69 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.64–6.88 (m, 2H, Ph), 6.92–7.06 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.08–7.18 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.24 (d, J = 18.6 Hz, 5H, Ph), 7.77 (d, J = 43.8 Hz, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.65, 32.96, 38.40, 52.33, 52.40, 53.70, 56.04, 67.11, 115.84, 120.35, 122.73, 126.88, 126.90, 127.88, 128.16, 128.52, 128.72, 129.30, 131.30, 136.14, 154.71, 156.21, 156.23, 171.98, 172.34. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 5.71$ min; $C_{28}H_{31}N_2O_6^+$ $[M + H]^+$, m/z calcd 491.22, found 491.33.

Benzyl ((S)-1-(((S)-1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-5-methylphenyl)-3-hydroxypropan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2yl)carbamate (S1f, R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$). To a solution of S1e (R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$, 897 mg, 1.48 mmol, 1.0 eq) in MeOH

(10 mL) was added NaBH₄ (1.2 g, 31.7 mmol, >20 eq) in multiple portions every 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for another 2 h and then guenched by addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL). The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and diluted with EtOAc (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL) and was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (35% EtOAc in hexane) to give $S1f(R = Me, R_1 = BnO, R_2 = TBS, 450)$ mg, 53%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.26 (s, 6H, TBS Si(CH₃)₂), 1.03 (s, 9H, TBS C(CH₃)₃), 2.40 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.74 (dt, J = 7.0, 13.1Hz, 2H, β CH₂), 2.97 (dd, J = 6.8, 40.5 Hz, 2H, β CH₂), 3.25–3.60 (m, 2H, CH₂OH), 4.02-4.15 (m, 1H, αCH), 4.39 (s, 1H, αCH), 4.97-5.15 (m, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.69 (dd, J = 7.8, 66.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.54 (dd, J = 6.8, 121.3 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.72-6.81 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.04-7.14 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.15–7.30 (m, 6H, Ph & NH), 7.34 (q, J = 6.2, 7.1 Hz, 4H, Ph). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ –4.05, 18.27, 21.02, 25.87, 31.17, 39.00, 52.34, 56.69, 63.66, 67.03, 119.96, 126.28, 127.00, 127.56, 127.93, 128.03, 128.16, 128.47, 128.65, 129.29, 130.27, 131.00, 136.24, 136.53, 152.38, 155.94, 170.98, 171.35. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 6.90 min; $C_{33}H_{45}N_2O_5Si^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 577.31, found 577.37.

Benzyl ((S)-1-(((S)-1-(2-((tert-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)-5-methylphenyl)-3-oxopropan-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (S1g, R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$). To a solution of S1f (R = Me, R_1 = BnO, R_2 = TBS, 151 mg, .26 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DCM (8 mL) at 0 °C were added Dess-Martin periodinane (133 mg, 0.31 mmol, 1.2 eq) and NaHCO₃ powder (55 mg, 0.65 mmol, 2.5 eq). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched by addition of saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (2 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure, diluted with EtOAc (50 mL), and washed with brine $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$. The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (25% EtOAc in hexane) to give S1g (R = Me, R₁ = BnO, R₂ = TBS, 68 mg, 45%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.24 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 6H, TBS Si(CH₃)₂), 1.01 (s, 9H, TBS C(CH₃)₃), 2.24 (s, 3H, PhCH₃), 2.77–3.20 (m, 4H, $2 \times \beta$ CH₂), 4.51 (q, J = 6.7 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.02–5.15 (m, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.35 (s, 1H, α CH), 6.52 (d, *J* = 5.5 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.71 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.85 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.94 (dd, J = 2.3, 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.16 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.21 - 7.28 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.35 (dt, I = 4.7, 6.9 Hz, 5H, Ph), 9.41 (s, 1H, CHO). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -4.14, 18.28, 20.43, 25.90, 29.92, 38.80, 56.11, 59.57, 67.01, 118.77, 125.68, 127.09, 128.01, 128.16, 128.50, 128.67, 128.91, 129.26, 130.94, 131.91, 136.13, 151.28, 170.96, 198.75. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 6.81 \text{ min}; C_{33}H_{43}N_2O_5Si^+$ [M + H^{+} , m/z calcd 575.29, found 575.36.

Benzyl ((2S)-1-(((3S)-2-hydroxy-6-methylchroman-3-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)carbamate (**S1h**, **7**, R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$). To a solution of S1g (R = Me, R₁ = BnO, R₂ = TBS, 68 mg, 0.12 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF (3 mL) was slowly added 1.0 M TBAF in THF (131 μ L, 0.13 mmol, 1.1 eq) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (35% EtOAc in hexane) to give S1h (R = Me, $R_1 = BnO$, $R_2 = TBS$, i.e., compound 7, 20 mg, 36%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.10–2.18 (m, 3H, PhCH₃), $2.37-3.10 (m, 4H, 2 \times \beta CH_2), 4.10-4.45 (m, 2H, \alpha CH \& NH), 4.71-$ 5.10 (m, 3H, Cbz CH₂ & NH), 5.53 (dd, J = 7.2, 51.9 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.97–6.41 (m, 1H, lactol C(O)OH), 6.59 (td, J = 10.3, 31.2, 32.4 Hz, 2H, Ph), 6.78 (dd, J = 8.2, 18.7 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.98–7.28 (m, 10H, Ph). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3) δ 20.46, 25.96, 39.10, 46.02, 56.24, 67.20, 91.04, 91.93, 116.68, 118.34, 119.01, 119.23, 128.04, 128.12, 128.20, 128.52, 128.67, 128.77, 129.19, 129.32, 129.64, 130.50, 130.63, 136.02, 136.26, 148.52, 156.15, 171.23. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 5.32$ min; $C_{27}H_{29}N_2O_5^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 461.21, found 461.27.

Benzyl (4-methylpiperazine-1-carbonyl)-L-phenylalaninate (S1i). To a solution of 4-methylpiperazine-1-carbonyl chloride hydrochloride (1.365 g, 6.86 mmol, 1.1 eq) in THF (20 mL) at -10 °C was added Et₃N (2.08 mL, 14.96 mmol, 2.4 eq) dropwise. The resulting mixture

was stirred for 15 min, and then a solution of benzyl L-phenylalaninate hydrochloride (1.82 g, 6.23 mmol, 1.0 eq) was added into THF (20 mL) dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight, guenched by addition of water (10 mL), and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc (100 mL) and washed successively with saturated aqueous NH4Cl (20 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (20 mL), and brine (20 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (5-10% MeOH in DCM) to give S1i (1.55 g, 60%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.31 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.37 (t, I = 5.1 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 3.14 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 2H, β CH₂), 3.37 (q, J = 4.9 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 4.87 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 2H, Cbz CH₂), 5.05-5.28 (m, 2H, α CH & NH), 7.02 (dd, J = 2.9, 6.5 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.20–7.27 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.30–7.42 (m, 5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 38.31, 43.69, 46.09, 54.32, 54.59, 67.11, 126.93, 128.44, 128.53, 128.57, 129.38, 135.26, 136.11, 156.46, 172.45. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.42 min; $C_{22}H_{28}N_3O_3^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 382.21, found 382.2.

(4-methylpiperazine-1-carbonyl)-L-phenylalanine (**S1***j*). The compound was prepared from **S1i** in a similar procedure as described for **S1b**. The obtained crude product **S1***j* was used without further purification.

(1*aR*,7*aS*)-1*a*,2,7,7*a*-tetrahydronaphtho[2,3-b]oxirene (**5**1*k*). To a solution of 1,4-dihydronaphthalene (2.09 mg, 16.1 mmol, 1.0 eq) in chloroform (40 mL) was slowly added 70% *m*CPBA (4.74 g, 19.3 mmol, 1.2 eq) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched by addition of 2 M KOH (60 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and diluted with EtOAc (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (3 × 20 mL) and was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (10% EtOAc in hexane) to give *S1k* (2.03 g, 86%) ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.05 (d, *J* = 17.7 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.18 (d, *J* = 16.8 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.29–3.36 (m, 2H, 2 × epoxide CH), 6.95 (dd, *J* = 3.5, 5.6 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.06 (dd, *J* = 3.4, 5.7 Hz, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 29.84, 51.71, 126.57, 129.33, 131.74.

(2R,3R)-3-azido-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol and (2S,3S)-3-azido-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (S1I). To a solution of S1k (2.02 g, 13.8 mmol, 1.0 eq) in a mixture of MeOH (30 mL) and $H_2O(10 \text{ mL})$ were added NaN₃ (1.8 g, 27.7 mmol, 2.0 eq) and NH₄Cl (1.11 g, 20.8 mmol, 1.5 eq). The resulting mixture was heated to 60 °C and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, concentrated under reduced pressure, and diluted with EtOAc (100 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine (3×20) mL) and was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product S1l (2.22 g, 85%) was used without further purification. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.77– 2.86 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.17 (ddd, J = 2.9, 5.8, 16.5 Hz, 2H, CH₂), 3.66 (td, 1H, CH-N₃), 3.87 (td, J = 5.8, 9.4 Hz, 1H, C<u>H</u>-OH), 7.04-7.09 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.09–7.16 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.64, 36.57, 63.62, 70.47, 126.50, 126.68, 128.59, 128.99, 132.62, 133.41.

(2R,3R)-3-amino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol and (2S,3S)-3-amino-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-ol (S1m). The title compound was prepared from S1l in a similar procedure as described for S1b. The obtained crude product S1m was used without further purification.

N-((*S*)-1-(((2*S*,3*S*)-3-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide and *N*-((*S*)-1-(((2*R*,3*R*)-3-hydroxy-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (*3*). The title compound was prepared from *S*1*j* and *S*1*m* in a similar procedure as described for *S*1*d*. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (10% MeOH in DCM) to give compound 3. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) δ 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.20 (q, J = 3.3 Hz, 3H) 2.61 (ddd, J = 6.7, 16.9, 27.1 Hz, 2H), 2.83 (dd, J = 10.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (ddd, J = 4.7, 8.9, 12.8 Hz, 2H), 3.04–3.33 (m, 5H), 3.79 (td, J = 4.8, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 3.93 (p, J = 7.0 Hz, 1H), 4.33 (ddd, J = 4.6, 8.3, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 6.48 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.05–7.10 (m, 3H), 7.13– 7.21 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.27 (m, 3H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 8.15 (s, 1H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 32.84, 35.71, 38.13, 43.63, 45.94, 50.11, 54.62, 56.33, 67.15, 126.12, 126.24, 126.48, 128.35, 128.99, 129.38, 129.73, 134.39, 134.78, 139.14, 157.37, 163.56, 172.89.

4-Methyl-N-((S)-1-oxo-1-(((S)-1-oxo-4-phenylbutan-2-yl)amino)-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)piperazine-1-carboxamide (11). The preparation of S1n, S1o, and 11 was similar to the procedures for S1d, S1f, and S1g, respectively. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.82 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 14.6 Hz, 1H, piperazinyl CH₂), 2.09–2.17 (m, 1H, piperazinyl CH₂), 2.28 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.34 (dt, *J* = 3.8, 7.1 Hz, 4H, piperazinyl CH₂ & homoPhe βCH₂), 2.55 (dt, *J* = 7.9, 27.5 Hz, 2H, homoPhe γCH₂), 3.11 (p, *J* = 5.9, 6.5 Hz, 2H, Phe βCH₂), 3.29–3.39 (m, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 4.33 (td, *J* = 5.3, 7.5 Hz, 1H, homoPhe αCH), 4.64 (q, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Phe αCH), 5.02 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.75 (d, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.08–7.13 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.18–7.25 (m, 5H, Ph), 7.27–7.31 (m, 3H, Ph), 9.43 (s, 1H, CHO). C₂₅H₃₃N₄O₃⁺ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 437.25, found 481.21.

(3S)-3-((S)-2-(4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamido)-3phenylpropanamido)chroman-2-yl acetate (S2a, 13, R1 = Me). To a solution of compound 12 (50 mg, 0.114 mmol, 1.0 eq) in DCM (3 mL) were added acetic anhydride $(32 \mu L, 0.342 \text{ mmol}, 3.0 \text{ eg})$. Et₂N (48 μ L, 0.342 mmol, 3.0 eq), and DMAP (2.8 mg, 0.023 mmol, 0.2 eq). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc (50 mL) and washed successively with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL), and brine (10 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (10% MeOH in DCM) to give S2a (R₁ = Me, i.e., compound 13, 31 mg, 57%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 2.01 (d, J = 23.4 Hz, 3H, CH₃CO), 2.29 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 3H, NCH₃), 2.30–2.38 (m, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 2.69–2.97 (m, 2H, lactol β CH₂), 2.98–3.15 (m, 2H, Phe β CH₂), 3.25–3.41 (m, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 4.49 (dt, $J = 6.9, 13.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \alpha \text{CH}$, 5.18 (dd, J = 7.4, 40.0 Hz, 1H, Phe αCH), 6.12 (dd, J = 2.5, 17.4 Hz, 1H, lactol CH), 6.31–6.54 (m, 1H, NH), 6.82-6.91 (m, 1H, Ph), 6.91-7.07 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.07-7.19 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.19 (s, 3H, Ph & NH), 7.26-7.34 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.99, 26.61, 29.67, 38.69, 43.71, 45.99, 54.48, 56.23, 88.88, 89.33, 117.07, 119.40, 121.89, 127.09, 128.06, 128.70, 128.74, 129.15, 129.20, 136.94, 150.22, 157.02, 169.38, 171.96. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.29 min; $C_{26}H_{22}N_4O_5^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 481.24, found 481.3.

N-((2S)-1-(((3S)-2-ethoxychroman-3-yl)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (**S2b**, **16**, $R_2 = Et$). To a solution of compound 12 (40 mg, 0.091 mmol, 1.0 eq) in EtOH (2 mL) was added BF₃OEt₂ (300 μ L, 2.43 mmol, >20 eq) dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature overnight and quenched by addition of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (2 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and then partitioned between DCM (20 mL) and water (20 mL). The water layer was further washed with DCM (2 \times 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (8% MeOH in DCM) to give S2b ($R_2 = Et$, i.e., compound 16, 18.3 mg, 43%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.00 (t, 3H, OCH₂CH₃), 2.16-2.36 (m, 7H, NCH₃ & 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 2.69 (dd, *J* = 9.2, 14.2 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 2.83–2.95 (m, 1H, β CH₂), 3.10 (dt, *J* = 7.2, 13.4 Hz, 1H, Phe β CH₂), 3.21 (dd, *J* = 4.0, 6.1 Hz, 1H, Phe β CH₂), 3.31 (dt, *J* = 6.0, 11.1 Hz, 3H, OCH2CH3 & piperazinyl CH2), 3.58-3.76 (m, 1H, piperazinyl CH₂), 4.13-4.27 (m, 1H, piperazinyl CH₂), 4.41 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, piperazinyl CH₂), 4.68 (dd, J = 2.3, 49.7 Hz, 1H, α CH), 4.90– $5.15 (m, 1H, Phe \alpha CH), 5.78 (dd, J = 8.9, 24.8 Hz, 1H, lactol CH), 6.73$ (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.80 (q, J = 6.8, 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.90 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.03 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.07–7.30 (m, 5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 14.94, 14.98, 27.08, 39.59, 43.72, 45.10, 46.06, 54.58, 56.30, 64.01, 96.02, 96.34, 116.79, 120.48, 121.16, 126.99, 127.67, 128.65, 128.75, 129.20, 129.33, 136.84, 137.17, 150.58, 150.70, 156.56, 156.68, 171.26, 171.66. LC–MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.53 min; $C_{26}H_{35}N_4O_4^+$ $[M + H]^+$, m/z calcd 467.27, found 467.3.

(S)-N-(2-benzoylphenyl)-1-benzylpyrrolidine-2-carboxamide (**S3a**). To a mixed solution of benzyl-L-proline (1.03 g, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 1-methylimidazole (877 μ L, 11.0 mmol, 2.2 eq) in DCM (10

mL) was added MsCl (387 μ L, 5.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) dropwise at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 10 min, and then was added a solution of 2-aminobenzophenone (888 mg, 4.5 mmol, 0.9 eq) in DCM (10 mL). The reaction mixture was heated to 45 °C and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was quenched by addition of NH₄Cl (15 mL) and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was diluted with EtOAc (75 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (15 mL) and brine (15 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (15-25% EtOAc in hexane) to give $\hat{S3a}$ (1.344 g, 70%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.72–1.85 (m, 2H, Pro γCH₂), 1.91–2.01 (m, 1H, Pro βCH₂), 2.17– 2.31 (m, 1H, Pro β CH₂), 2.40 (td, *J* = 6.8, 9.5 Hz, 1H, Pro δ CH₂), 3.20 $(ddd, J = 2.4, 6.4, 9.1 Hz, 1H, Pro \delta CH_2), 3.31 (dd, J = 4.8, 10.1 Hz, 1H, 1H, 1H)$ Pro α CH), 3.58 (d, I = 12.9 Hz, 1H, Bn CH₂), 3.91 (d, I = 12.9 Hz, 1H, Bn CH₂), 7.07 (td, J = 1.1, 7.6 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.10–7.17 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.33–7.40 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.49 (qd, J = 6.5, 7.9 Hz, 4H, Ph), 7.56–7.61 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.73–7.83 (m, 2H, Ph), 8.57 (dd, J = 1.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H, Ph), 11.50 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 24.18, 31.03, 53.89, 59.87, 68.32, 121.53, 122.19, 125.36, 127.06, 128.16, 128.31, 129.13, 130.10, 132.45, 132.54, 133.35, 138.15, 138.59, 139.22, 174.60, 197.99. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.50 min; $C_{25}H_{25}N_2O_2^+$ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 385.19, found 384.85.

(S,E)-2-(((2-(1-benzylpyrrolidine-2-carboxamido)phenyl)-(phenyl)methylene)amino)acetate-1-¹³C in Complex with Ni(II) (S3b). To a mixed suspension of S3a (860 mg, 2.24 mmol, 1.0 eq), $[1-^{13}C]$ glycine (425 mg, 5.59 mmol, 2.5 eq), and Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (1.30 g, 4.47 mmol, 2.0 eq) in MeOH (7 mL) at 45 °C was added a solution of ground KOH (752 mg, 13.4 mmol, 6.0 eq) in MeOH (3 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h (note that prolonged heating might lead to racemization). The reaction mixture was neutralized by addition of acetic acid (800 μ L) and diluted with water to a volume of 50 mL. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and extracted with DCM (75 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na2SO4, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (20-30% acetone in DCM) to give *S3b* (715 mg, 64%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.07 (dddd, J = 2.4, 5.6, 8.5, 15.1 Hz, 1H, Pro γ CH₂), 2.12– 2.21 (m, 1H, Pro γ CH₂), 2.42 (dddd, J = 8.3, 9.5, 10.7, 13.4 Hz, 1H, Pro β CH₂), 2.57 (dddd, I = 2.6, 6.3, 9.2, 14.4 Hz, 1H, Pro β CH₂), 3.25-3.40 (m, 1H, Pro δCH_2), 3.46 (dd, J = 5.4, 10.7 Hz, 1H, Pro δCH_2), 3.61-3.74 (m, 3H, N-Bn CH₂ & Pro α CH), 3.77 (dd, J = 5.5, 20.1 Hz, 1H, Gly CH₂), 4.48 (d, J = 12.7 Hz, 1H, Gly CH₂), 6.69 (ddd, J = 1.2, 6.9, 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.80 (dd, J = 1.7, 8.3 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.92–7.04 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.05–7.13 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.20 (ddd, J = 1.7, 6.9, 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.28–7.33 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.42 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.52 (ddd, J = 3.4, 7.7, 18.9 Hz, 3H, Ph), 8.01-8.12 (m, 2H, Ph), 8.31 (dd, J = 1.1, 8.6 Hz, 1H, Ph). 13 C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 23.69, 30.76, 57.56, 61.57, 63.16, 69.94, 124.26, 125.16, 126.27, 128.91, 129.11, 129.34, 129.59, 131.73, 132.22, 132.77, 133.17, 133.37, 134.67, 142.62, 143.81, 177.21, 179.27. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.71 min; C_{26}^{13} CH₂₆N₃NiO₃⁺ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 499.14, found 498.83.

(S)-3-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-(((E)-(2-((S)-1-benzylpyrrolidine-2carboxamido)phenyl)(phenyl)methylene)amino)propanoate-1-13C in Complex with Ni(II) (S3c). A mixed slurry of S3b (715 mg, 1.43 mmol, 1.0 eq), (2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)methanol (613 mg, 2.86 mmol, 2.0 eq), and CMBP (750 µL, 2.86 mmol, 2.0 eq) in toluene (5 mL) was heated to 120 °C and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (10% acetone in DCM) to give S3c (417 mg, 40%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.48 - 1.59 (m, 1H, Pro γ CH₂), 1.99 (ddd, J = 6.5, 8.4, 11.1 Hz, 1H, Pro δCH₂), 2.04–2.14 (m, 1H, Pro γCH₂), 2.31 (tdd, J = 2.9, 6.7, 9.7 Hz, 2H, Pro β CH₂), 2.76 (ddd, J = 1.6, 4.4, 13.6 Hz, 1H, *o*-Tyr β CH₂), 2.90–3.05 (m, 1H, Pro δ CH₂), 3.22 (ddd, J = 5.0, 7.2, 13.6 Hz, 1H, o-Tyr β CH₂), 3.33 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 9.3 Hz, 1H, Pro α H), 3.44 (d, *J* = 12.6 Hz, 1H, N-Bn CH₂), 4.18 (q, J = 4.4 Hz, 1H, o-Tyr α CH), 4.23 (d, J = 12.6Hz, 1H, N-Bn CH₂), 4.55 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, OBn CH₂), 4.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, OBn CH₂), 6.14 (dt, J = 1.5, 7.7 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.41 (dd, J = 1.7, 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.63 (ddd, J = 1.2, 6.9, 8.2 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.83–6.94

Ρ

(m, 2H, Ph), 6.96–7.22 (m, 9H, Ph), 7.28 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.33–7.46 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.95–8.08 (m, 2H, Ph), 8.35 (dd, J = 1.1, 8.7 Hz, 1H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 22.35, 25.60, 28.10, 59.19, 63.38, 68.12, 69.68, 71.86, 112.85, 122.38, 123.33, 123.36, 124.10, 127.39, 127.74, 127.80, 128.06, 128.35, 128.51, 128.73, 128.88, 129.20, 129.27, 129.61, 130.06, 130.30, 130.32, 134.10, 134.82, 137.07, 145.11, 155.82, 158.83, 170.83, 175.71. LC–MS: $t_{\rm R} = 4.70$ min; C_{40}^{-13} CH₃₈N₃NiO₄⁺ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 695.22, found 694.96.

(S)-2-amino-3-(2-benzyloxy)phenyl)propanoic-1-13C Acid (S3d). A mixed solution of S3c (522 mg, 0.75 mmol, 1.0 eq) and 8-quinolinol (272 mg, 1.88 mmol, 2.5 eq) in MeCN (10 mL) and H₂O (1 mL) was heated to 40 °C and stirred overnight. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was diluted with DCM (30 mL) and washed with water (3 \times 30 mL). The organic layer containing the retrieved BPB was concentrated and saved for future use. The combined water layers were concentrated to a small volume that was subsequently purified by Prep-HPLC to give S3d (87 mg, 43%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.96 (ddd, I = 2.5, 9.4, 14.3 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 3.49 (ddd, J = 2.9, 4.5, 14.3 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 3.93 (dt, J = 4.7, 9.4 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.20 (s, 2H, OBn CH₂), 6.92 (td, J = 1.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.05 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.24 (dd, J = 6.7, 8.2 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.28–7.34 (m, 1H, Ph), 7.38 (dd, J = 6.6, 8.3 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.45–7.53 (m, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 33.52, 55.91, 71.50, 114.97, 120.74, 124.67, 127.65, 127.97, 128.07, 128.32, 130.64, 139.00, 157.44, 173.03. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 2.70$ min; C_{15}^{13} CH₁₈NO₃⁺ [M + H]⁺, m/z calcd 273.13, found 272.75.

(S)-3-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)propanoic-1-¹³C Acid (S3e). To a solution of S3d (87 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.0 eq) in dioxane (5 mL) and H₂O (5 mL) were added Et₃N (134 μ L, 0.96 mmol, 3.0 eq) and Boc anhydride (84 mg, 0.38 mmol, 1.2 eq) at 0 °C. The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was acidified by addition of 0.1 M HCl to pH 2-3 and then diluted with EtOAc (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product S3e (113 mg, 94%) was used without further purification. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.28 (s, 9H, Boc), 2.74– 3.06 (m, 1H, β CH₂), 3.15 (d, J = 14.5 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 4.33–4.55 (m, 1H, α CH), 5.00 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, OBn CH₂), 6.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.04–7.15 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.21 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.28 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.36 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Ph), 9.86 (s, 1H, COOH). $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 28.30, 29.71, 32.44, 54.81, 70.26, 111.95, 121.12, 127.22, 127.93, 128.46, 128.64, 131.40, 176.93. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 4.28 \text{ min}; C_{20}^{-13} \text{CH}_{25} \text{NNaO}_5^+ [\text{M} + \text{Na}]^+, m/z \text{ calcd } 395.17,$ found 394.83; $C_{15}^{13}CH_{18}NO_3^+$ [M + H - Boc]⁺, m/z calcd 273.13, found 272.82.

Tert-Butyl (S)-(3-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-1-(methoxy(methyl)amino)-1-oxopropan-2-yl-1-¹³C)carbamate (S3f). The title compound was prepared from S3e (113 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 eq) and N,Odimethylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (44.4 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 eq) in a similar procedure as described for S1d. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (25% EtOAc in hexane) to give S3f (136 mg, 100%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.25 (s, 9H, Boc), 2.79–2.96 (m, 2H, o-Tyr β CH₂), 2.99 (d, J = 5.6 Hz, 3H, OCH₃), 3.44 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 4.90 (s, 1H, α CH), 4.99 (d, J = 4.1 Hz, 2H, OBn CH₂), 5.21 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.79 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.00–7.14 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.18–7.32 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.38 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR $(100 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta$ 28.30, 29.66, 32.03, 45.85, 61.26, 70.23, 79.09, 111.68, 120.70, 125.50, 127.52, 127.87, 128.15, 128.54, 131.42, 137.08, 155.20, 157.10, 172.86. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 4.60 min; C_{22}^{13} CH₃₀N₂NaO₅ $[M + Na]^+$, m/z calcd 438.21, found 437.81; $C_{17}^{13}CH_{22}N_2O_5^+$ $[M + H - M_2]^{13}CH_{22}N_2O_5^+$ Boc]⁺, *m/z* calcd 316.17, found 315.73.

N-((S)-1-(((S)-3-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-1-(methoxy(methyl)amino)-1-oxopropan-2-yl-1-¹³C)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (**S3g**). The Boc group of**S3f** (136 mg, 0.33 mmol, 1.0 eq) was first deprotected in a similarprocedure as described for**S1c**. Subsequently, the intermediate productwas coupled to NMePip-Phe-OH (114 mg, 0.39 mmol, 1.2 eq) in asimilar procedure as described for**S1d**. The obtained crude product waspurified by FCC (8% MeOH in DCM) to give**S3g**(107 mg, 55%).¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.27 (s, 3H, piperazinyl NCH₃), 2.30 (dt, *J* = 3.7, 6.5 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 2.96 (dd, *J* = 2.9, 6.3 Hz, 3H, OCH₃), 3.07 (s, 4H, 2 × β CH₂), 3.28 (ddd, *J* = 7.7, 13.5, 17.9 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 3.48 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 4.49 (q, *J* = 6.5 Hz, 1H, α CH), 4.99 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.05 (s, 2H, OBn CH₂), 5.19 (s, 1H, NH), 6.62 (s, 1H, NH), 6.79 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ph), 6.90 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 19.1 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.12–7.25 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.30 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.37 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.46 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H, Ph). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 32.03, 32.92, 38.74, 43.62, 46.03, 49.23, 54.53, 55.10, 61.22, 70.22, 111.82, 120.68, 125.09, 126.70, 127.57, 127.97, 128.30, 128.36, 128.59, 129.68, 131.43, 136.95, 137.02, 156.53, 156.99, 171.19, 171.76. LC–MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 3.72 min; C₃₂¹³CH₄₂N₅O₅⁺ [M + H]⁺, *m*/z calcd 589.32, found 589.02.

N-((S)-1-(((S)-1-(2-(benzyloxy)phenyl)-3-oxopropan-2-yl-3-¹³C)amino)-1-oxo-3-phenylpropan-2-yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (S3h). To a solution of S3g (107 mg, 0.182 mmol, 1.0 eq) in THF (8 mL) at -10 °C was added 2.0 M LAH in THF (218 μ L, 0.218 mmol, 1.2 eq) dropwise. The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h and then quenched using tiny pieces of ice. The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and diluted with EtOAc (50 mL). The organic layer was washed successively with a saturated solution of Rochelle salt (15 mL), NaHCO₃ (15 mL), and brine (15 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product was purified by FCC (10% MeOH in DCM) to give S3h (81 mg, 84%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.28 (s, 3H, piperazinyl NCH₃), 2.31 (t, J = 4.2 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 2.95-3.13 (m, 4H, $2 \times \beta CH_2$), 3.29 (dt, J = 5.2, 9.7 Hz, 4H, $2 \times piperazinyl$ CH₂), 4.52 (m, 2H, α CH & NH), 4.95 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H, α CH), 5.04 (dd, J = 3.6, 10.5 Hz, 2H, OBn CH₂), 6.82 (s, 3H, Ph & NH), 7.10– 7.24 (m, 6H, Ph), 7.29–7.50 (m, 6H, Ph), 9.36 (s, 1H, CHO). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 29.66, 38.59, 43.67, 46.04, 54.52, 55.31, 59.49, 70.25, 97.62, 111.96, 121.04, 126.93, 127.55, 128.19, 128.55, 128.60, 128.73, 129.33, 129.43, 131.61, 136.52, 136.76, 156.39, 156.60, 171.89, 198.37. LC-MS: $t_{\rm R} = 3.76$ min; C_{30}^{13} CH₃₆N₄O₄⁺ [M + H]⁺, *m*/*z* calcd 530.28, found 530.03.

N-((2S)-1-(((3S)-2-hvdroxvchroman-3-vl-2-13C)amino)-1-oxo-3phenylpropan-2-yl)-4-methylpiperazine-1-carboxamide (¹³C-labeled 12). The title compound was prepared from S3h (81 mg, 0.152 mmol, 1.0 eq) in a similar procedure as described for S1b. The obtained crude product was purified by Prep-HPLC to give ¹³C-labeled compound 12 (45 mg, 67%). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 1.28 (s, 1H, lactol OH), 2.45 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.65 (p, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H, 2 × piperazinyl CH₂), 3.03 (dt, *J* = 7.6, 21.2 Hz, 2H, *o*-Tyr βCH₂), 3.40-3.60 (m, 4H, 2 x piperazinyl CH₂), 4.21-4.40 (m, 1H, Phe β CH₂), 4.64 $(dq, J = 7.7, 46.1 Hz, 1H, Phe \beta CH_2), 5.38 (dd, J = 30.9, 170.9 Hz, 1H, Phe \beta CH_2)$ o-Tyr α CH), 6.16 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, Phe α CH), 6.50 (dd, J = 8.0, 141.5Hz, 1H, lactol CH), 6.86-7.08 (m, 3H, Ph), 7.13 (dd, J = 3.0, 6.9 Hz, 1H, Ph), 7.20 (dt, J = 2.3, 6.5 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.25 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H, Ph), 7.71 (s, 2H, Ph & NH), 8.27 (s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃, scanned for only a few times) δ 91.69, 92.25. LC–MS: $t_{\rm R}$ = 2.77 min; $C_{23}^{13}CH_{31}N_4O_4^+[M+H]^+$, m/z calcd 440.24, found 439.94.

In Scheme 4, the preparation of S4a and S4b was similar to the procedures for S1a and S1b, respectively; the preparation of S4d, S4e, and S4f was similar to the procedures for S3f, S3g, and S3h, respectively. Therefore, only the preparation of S4c was described in detail as below.

2-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)-3-(2-oxo-1,2-dihydropyridin-3yl)propanoic Acid (**S4c**). A mixed solution of **S4b** (1.18 g, 4.0 mmol, 1.0 eq) and LiOH (192 mg, 8.0 mmol, 2.0 eq) in MeOH (10 mL) and H₂O (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The reaction mixture was acidified by addition of 0.1 M HCl to pH 2–3 and then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was extracted with DCM (3 × 50 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The obtained crude product **S4c** was used without further purification. ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.39 (s, 9H, Boc), 2.86 (dd, *J* = 8.9, 14.0 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 3.11 (dd, *J* = 4.3, 13.9 Hz, 1H, β CH₂), 4.42 (dd, *J* = 4.3, 8.8 Hz, 1H, α CH), 6.32 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 1H, pyridine), 7.30 (dd, *J* = 2.0, 6.6 Hz, 1H, pyridine), 7.39–7.54 (m, 1H, pyridine).

Enzyme Assays. The preparation of cruzain and 3CL^{pro} was described in previous publications.^{8,34} The evaluation of inhibitors was

modified from our lab protocol and described briefly as follows.³⁵ All enzyme assays were conducted in 96-well, clear bottom, black plates at 25 °C, and fluorescence emanating from product formation was measured using either a SpectraMax M2 (Molecular Devices) or a Synergy HTX (Biotek) microplate reader, with excitation at $\lambda_{ex} = 360$ nm and emission at λ_{em} = 460 nm. For evaluation of inhibitors that exhibited time-dependent time courses ("burst kinetics)," variable concentrations of inhibitor and a fixed concentration of substrate [typically, for cruzain: 10 μ M Cbz-Phe-Arg-AMC ($K_m = 0.9 \mu$ M), for $3CL^{\text{pro}}$: 40 μ M ACC-SAVLQSGFRK(DNP)-NH₂ ($K_{\text{m}} = 26 \,\mu$ M)] were first added into assay buffer [for cruzain: 50 mM MES (pH 7.5), 50 mM TAPSO, 1 mM CHAPS, 1 mM Na2EDTA, 5 mM DTT, and 10% DMSO (v/v); for 3CL^{pro}: 20 mM Tris HCl (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl, 0.1 mM EDTA-Na2, 2 mM DTT, and 10% DMSO (v/v)]. Reactions were started with addition of enzyme (typically, to a final concentration of 0.2 nM for cruzain or 40 nM for 3CL^{pro}), and the time courses were recorded for 0.5-1 h. In a rapid dilution assay, 100X concentrations of the enzyme and inhibitor were preincubated for 1-2 h and then diluted by 100-fold into assay buffer containing standard concentrations of the substrates followed by data collection.

In Vitro Cell Assays. BSF *T. b. brucei* strain SM427 was grown in HM1–9 medium that included fetal bovine serum (10%) and antibiotics (100 U/mL penicillin–streptomycin, Gibco). Inhibitors dissolved in 100% (v/v) DMSO stock solutions were added at final concentrations of 0.5–20 μ M (final concentrations of DMSO were <1% (v/v)). *T. b. brucei* were seeded at ~1 × 10⁶ cells at 37 °C and diluted daily to maintain a log phase of growth for up to 96 h. Treated cells were typically grown for 4 days. After each dilution, the cell cultures were supplemented with inhibitor or DMSO (control) to keep concentrations constant. Cell density was determined and graphed as previously reported.³⁶ Trypanosomes were quantified using a Z2 Coulter Counter, and values of EC₅₀ were determined after 3–4 days of treatment by the interpolation method of Huber and Koella.³⁷

T. cruzi infection was evaluated in C2C12 murine cardiomyoblast cells. Three hundred and eighty four-microwell plates containing C2C12 murine cardiomyoblast cells were infected with 10³ and 10⁴ cells of *T. cruzi* (Ca-I/72), to which was added 3-fold serial dilutions of inhibitor with maximal concentrations of 40 μ M followed by incubation at 37 °C for 2 days. The wells were fixed with 2% PFA in PBS and stained by 5 μ g/mL of DAPI. After >30 min of incubation in a dark environment, the plates were read on an ImageXpress MicroXL microscope (Molecular Devices). The images were analyzed to quantify parasites as well host cells.

Evaluation of the anti-CoV-2 activity of compound **18** using human A549 cells transduced with the ACE2 viral receptor (A549/ACE2) was as described in our recent publication.⁸

Evaluation of SMAI Prodrugs. Esterase from porcine liver was obtained as an ammonium sulfate suspension (Sigma-Aldrich, E2884, 10 U/ μ L). Compounds 13–15 in 100% (v/v) DMSO solutions were diluted by 10-fold into cruzain assay buffer to make 1 mL samples, each containing 2 mM compound. For the experimental sample, 4 μ L of esterase suspension was added to the reaction mixtures (40 U/mL), which was immediately placed on a low-speed orbital shaker in a 37 °C incubator. In the meantime, a negative control (lacking esterase) and a blank control (buffer only) were included. Aliquots (140 μ L) of the reaction mixtures were removed at 0, 15, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 300 min, and reaction mixtures were quenched by the addition of MeCN (260 μ L). The resulting solution was vortexed followed by centrifugation to precipitate the protein, and the resulting compounds in the supernatant were analyzed by HPLC–MS with detection at 270 nm.

Commercially available CYPs 3A4, 2D6, and 2C9 (CypExpress; Sigma-Aldrich, MTOXCE3A4, MTOXCE3D6, and MTOXCE2C9) containing glucose-6-phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) and Mg²⁺ were used to evaluate the stability of acetal prodrugs to these oxidases. The following components were combined in 800 μ L reaction mixtures: 0.5 mM 16 or 17, 5 mM sodium glucose 6-phosphate, 2 mM NADP⁺, cruzain assay buffer (pH 7.5), and 2.5% DMSO (v/v). For the experimental samples, 64 mg of CypExpress P450 as a lyophilized powder was added to the reaction vial (80 mg/mL), which was gently shaken to dissolve the solid, and the mixtures were stirred at 30 °C in an incubator. Sample vials were capped loosely with aluminum foil to allow aeration as well as to reduce evaporation. Additionally, a negative control lacking CypExpress P450 and a blank control containing only buffer were included. Aliquots (65 μ L) were removed from mixtures at 0, 30, 60, 120, 180, and 240 min, and reaction mixtures were quenched with the addition of MeCN (65 μ L). The resulting solution was vortexed followed by centrifugation to precipitate the protein, and the resulting compounds in the supernatant were analyzed by HPLC–MS with detection at 270 nm.

2D NMR Study. Wilmad precision NMR tubes (541-PP-8, thin wall, 800 MHz, 5 mm \times 8 inch) were used for this experiment. The total volume of sample in each tube was 660 μ L, and the final concentrations of the components in the NMR buffer were as follows: 18 mM phosphate (K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄), 45 mM NaCl, 4.5 mM DTT, pH 7.5, and 10% DMSO- d_6 (v/v). A 10 mM stock of ¹³C-labeled 12 in DMSO d_6 was diluted into the NMR buffer to specified concentrations. A control sample contained 0.6 mM of ¹³C-labeled 12, and an experimental sample contained 0.4 mM of ¹³C-labeled 12 and 0.44 mM of cruzain freshly purified by size exclusion chromatography, directly after thawing from a -80 °C stock to minimize autoproteolysis. Both samples were analyzed on a Bruker AVANCE III HD 800 MHz (18.8 Tesla) equipped with a 5 mm triple resonance cryoprobe. ${}^{1}H{}^{-13}C$ HSQC NMR spectra were acquired at 25 °C with 72 scans for the enzyme-free control sample and 128 scans for the experimental sample containing cruzain. Spectral widths were obtained at 9615 and 28,179 Hz in the ¹³C and ¹H dimensions, respectively. Spectra were processed using NMRpipe,³⁸ and cross-peak intensities were analyzed using Sparky³⁹ and MestreNova.⁴⁰

Detection of Aldehyde Content Using Phenylhydrazine. In a 96-well clear microplate, compounds 11 and 12 in DMSO solutions, or pure DMSO as a control, were diluted into the above NMR buffer without addition of DTT to make 250 μ L samples, each containing 0.2 mM of compounds and 10% DMSO (v/v). Upon addition of 1 M phenylhydrazine (0.25 μ L), the formation of phenylhydrazone in these wells was continuously monitored for 2 h by measuring UV absorbance at a maximal wavelength of 282 nm.

X-ray Crystallography. The procedure of crystallization for the $3CL^{pro}$ -18 complex is based on the previous publication.⁴¹ The crystals were obtained at 25 °C from 0.2 M ammonium phosphate dibasic, 17% w/v PEG3350, pH 8.0, with a protein concentration of 14 mg/mL. Soaking was performed to produce $3CL^{pro}$ -18 complex crystals. The crystals were washed three times with reservoir solution plus 0.5 mM inhibitor and 2% DMSO (Inhibitor was dissolved to 25 mM in 100% DMSO). The mixture was incubated at 25 °C for 48 h. The cryoprotectant solution contained mother liquor plus 30% glycerol, 0.5 mM inhibitor, and 2% DMSO. Cryo-protected crystals were fished for data collection.

The 3CL^{pro}-**18** data were collected at 1.70 Å on a Rigaku R-AXIS IV+ + image plate detector. Reflections were indexed, integrated, scaled, and merged to space group *I* 1 2 1 using iMosflm.⁴² The structure was solved by molecular replacement using the Phaser module of the Phenix package using a high-resolution structure of the apo SARS-CoV-2 3CL^{pro} (PDB accession code: 6Y2E) as the search model.^{43,44} The atomic coordinates and geometric restraints for the inhibitor were generated in the CCP4 suite.⁴² The inhibitor was built into the F_o-F_c electron density omit maps using Coot.⁴⁵ Structural refinement was performed with the Real-space Refinement module of the Phenix package. Crystallographic data were summarized in Table S4. All structural figures were generated with PyMOL (https://www.pymol. org).

Molecular Modeling. Docking studies of compound 2 binding to cruzain were carried out using the Schrödinger Suite program package. The receptor file (PDB accession code: 2OZ2) was processed using the Protein Preparation *Wizard* function, and the compound was energetically minimized by the LigPrep function. Noncovalent docking was executed and scored by the Glide module. For covalent docking, a cubic box of 18 Å³ was set to encompass any simulated poses with K777 as a reference ligand at its centroid. The SMARTS pattern for **2** was manually defined as [C;H1] = [O], and Cys₂₅ was checked as the

reactive residue. The binding affinity was scored, and the best three poses were visually inspected.

Kinetic Data Analysis. All time-course data were fitted to eq 1, wherein *P* is the fluorescence generated by formation of AMC or liberated ACC, *C* is the background constant, v_i and v_s are, respectively, initial-state and steady-state reaction rates, *t* is time, and k_{obs} is the observed rate of conversion of the initial inhibited rate to the final inhibited rate.⁴⁶

$$P = v_{\rm s}t + \left(\frac{\nu_i - \nu_{\rm s}}{k_{\rm obs}}\right) (1 - e^{-k_{\rm obs}t}) + C \tag{1}$$

Values of k_{obs} vs [I] were then replotted and fitted to eq 2, wherein k_3 and k_4 represent the respective rates of formation and dissolution of the EI* complex as depicted in Figure 2A.

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_4 + \frac{k_3[I]}{K_i \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_{\rm m}}\right) + [I]}$$
(2)

As described in the main text, the linearity of k_{obs} versus [I] of compounds 1 and 12 arises when $K_i > > K_i^*$. Under these conditions, the concentration of inhibitor required to observe time-dependent inhibition would be much less than the value of K_i for the EI complex. In this case, eq 2 reduces to eq 3, which is a linear function with a slope = k_4/K_i^{*app} and an y-intercept = k_4 . For the rapid dilution assay, [I] was fixed at $0.1 \times K_i^{*app}$, and after dilution, k_4 could be estimated as $k_{obs}/1.1$ according to eq 3.

$$k_{\rm obs} = k_4 \left[1 + \frac{[I]}{K_i^* \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m} \right)} \right]$$
(3)

Overall inhibition constants (K_i^* values) were obtained by fitting v_s/v_0 to eq 4, wherein v_s and v_0 are steady-state reaction rates in the presence and absence of the inhibitor, respectively, [S] and [I] represent the fixed concentration of the substrate and variable inhibitor, and K_m is the Michaelis constant of the substrate.

$$\frac{v_{\rm s}}{v_0} = \frac{1}{1 + [I] / \left[K_i^* \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_{\rm m}} \right) \right]} \tag{4}$$

As a tight-binding inhibitor, the K_i^* values of 1 for cruzain and 18 for 3CL^{pro} were obtained by fitting v_i/v_0 data to eq 5 in which v_i and v_0 are the initial rates obtained with or without the inhibitor, respectively, $[E]_v$, $[I]_v$, [S], K_i^* , and K_{m} are, respectively, concentrations of enzyme, inhibitor, and substrate, and K_i^* and K_{m} are the inhibition and Michaelis constants, respectively.⁴⁷

$$\frac{\nu_i}{\nu_0} = \frac{1}{2[E]_t} \left\{ [E]_t - [I]_t - K_i^* \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m} \right) + \sqrt{\left([E]_t + [I]_t + K_i^* \left(1 + \frac{[S]}{K_m} \right) \right)^2 - 4[E]_t [I]_t} \right\}$$
(5)

Rates of deacylation reactions of SMAI prodrugs 13–15 were obtained upon evaluation of the first-order loss of reagent as fitted to eq 6 in which A_0 and C are the initial and final fraction of the unhydrolyzed compound, respectively, and k is the pseudo-first-order rate constant from which the half-life was $t_{1/2} = \ln(2)/k$.

$$A = (A_0 - C)e^{-kt} + C (6)$$

PDB ID Code. The cocrystal structure of 3CL^{pro}-18 is deposited in Protein Data Bank under the ID code 7M2P. Authors will release the atomic coordinates and experimental data upon article publication.

R

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00628.

Kinetic parameters obtained from rapid dilution assays, details of peaks in ¹H-¹³C HSQC NMR, statistic summary of the cocrystal structure of 3CL^{pro}-**18**, LC-MS of ¹³C-labeled **12**, kinetic analysis of cruzain inhibition by **11** and **13**, growth curves for *T. b. brucei* BSFs, cell viability of *T. cruzi*-infected murine cardiomyoblasts, and NMR spectra of the synthesized compounds (PDF)

Molecular formula strings (CSV)

Model coordinates for 2 bound to cruzain in its free aldehyde form (PDB) and its lactol form (PDB)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Thomas D. Meek – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1931-8073; Phone: +1-979-458-9789; Email: tdmeek@tamu.edu

Authors

Linfeng Li – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; Ocicl.org/0000-0001-6185-4999

Bala C. Chenna – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; o orcid.org/0000-0002-2660-5302

Kai S. Yang – Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-1890-4169

Taylor R. Cole – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Zachary T. Goodall – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Miriam Giardini – Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

Zahra Moghadamchargari – Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Elizabeth A. Hernandez – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Jana Gomez – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Claudia M. Calvet – Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

Jean A. Bernatchez – Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States; Occid.org/0000-0002-8309-1627

Drake M. Mellott – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; orcid.org/0000-0002-8043-8170

Jiyun Zhu – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States Andrew Rademacher – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Diane Thomas – Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States

Lauren R. Blankenship – Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Aleksandra Drelich – Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555, United States

Arthur Laganowsky – Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; orcid.org/0000-0001-5012-5547

Chien-Te K. Tseng – Department of Microbiology and Immunology, University of Texas Medical Branch, Galveston, Texas 77555, United States

Wenshe R. Liu – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; Department of Chemistry, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

A. Joshua Wand – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States; © orcid.org/0000-0001-8341-0782

Jorge Cruz-Reyes – Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas 77843, United States

Jair L. Siqueira-Neto – Skaggs School of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, United States; o orcid.org/0000-0001-9574-8174

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.1c00628

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support for the research was provided by NIH grants R21 AI127634, R01 GM129076, and Texas A&M AgriLife Research.

ABBREVIATIONS

ACC, 7-amino-4-carbamoylmethylcoumarin; AMC, 7-amino-4methylcoumarin; BSF, bloodstream form; CES, carboxylesterase; CHAPS, 3-[3-(cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1propanesulfonate; CMBP, cyanomethylenetributylphosphorane; DIPEA, N,N-diisopropylethylamine; ER, endoplasmic reticulum; FCC, flash column chromatography; hPhe, homophenylalanyl; MES, 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid; NMePip, N-methlypiperazinyl; SMAI, self-masked aldehyde inhibitor; T3P, propylphosphonic anhydride; TAPSO, 3-[Ntris(hydroxymethyl)methylamino]-2-hydroxypropanesulfonic acid

REFERENCES

(1) Bedard, K. M.; Semler, B. L. Regulation of picornavirus gene expression. *Microbes Infect.* 2004, *6*, 702–713.

(2) Morse, J. S.; Lalonde, T.; Xu, S.; Liu, W. R. Learning from the past: Possible urgent prevention and treatment options for severe acute respiratory infections caused by 2019-nCoV. *ChemBioChem* **2020**, *21*, 730–738.

(3) Steele-Mortimer, O. Exploitation of the ubiquitin system by invading bacteria. *Traffic* **2011**, *12*, 162–169.

(4) Sajid, M.; McKerrow, J. H. Cysteine proteases of parasitic organisms. *Mol. Biochem. Parasitol.* **2002**, *120*, 1–21.

(5) Rassi, A., Jr.; Rassi, A.; Marcondes de Rezende, J. American trypanosomiasis (Chagas disease). *Infect. Dis. Clin. North Am.* **2012**, *26*, 275–291.

(6) Branquinha, M. H.; Oliveira, S. S.; Sangenito, L. S.; Sodre, C. L.; Kneipp, L. F.; d'Avila-Levy, C. M.; Santos, A. L. S. Cruzipain: An update on its potential as chemotherapy target against the human pathogen trypanosoma cruzi. *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2015**, *22*, 2225–2235.

(7) Hernandez, A. A.; Roush, W. R. Recent advances in the synthesis, design and selection of cysteine protease inhibitors. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2002**, *6*, 459–465.

(8) Mellott, D.; Tseng, C. T.; Drelich, A.; Fajtova, P.; Chenna, B. C.; Kostomiris, D.; Hsu, J. C.; Zhu, J.; Taylor, Z.; Tat, V.; Katzfuss, A.; Li, L.; Giardini, M. A.; Skinner, D.; Hirata, K.; Beck, S.; Carlin, A. F.; Clark, A. E.; Berreta, L.; Maneval, D.; Frueh, F.; Hurst, B. L.; Wang, H.; Kocurek, K. I.; Raushel, F. M.; O'Donoghue, A.; Siqueira-Neto, J. L.; Meek, T. D.; McKerrow, J. H. *A cysteine protease inhibitor blocks SARS-CoV-2 infection of human and monkey cells.* bioRxiv 2020, 2020.10.23.347534, DOI: 10.1101/2020.10.23.347534.

(9) Gampe, C.; Verma, V. A. Curse or cure? A perspective on the developability of aldehydes as active pharmaceutical ingredients. *J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *63*, 14357–14381.

(10) Bandyopadhyay, A.; Gao, J. Targeting biomolecules with reversible covalent chemistry. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *34*, 110–116.

(11) Choe, Y.; Brinen, L. S.; Price, M. S.; Engel, J. C.; Lange, M.; Grisostomi, C.; Weston, S. G.; Pallai, P. V.; Cheng, H.; Hardy, L. W.; Hartsough, D. S.; McMakin, M.; Tilton, R. F.; Baldino, C. M.; Craik, C. S. Development of alpha-keto-based inhibitors of cruzain, a cysteine protease implicated in chagas disease. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2005**, *13*, 2141–2156.

(12) Scheidt, K. A.; Roush, W. R.; McKerrow, J. H.; Selzer, P. M.; Hansell, E.; Rosenthal, P. J. Structure-based design, synthesis and evaluation of conformationally constrained cysteine protease inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **1998**, *6*, 2477–2494.

(13) Silva, D. G.; Ribeiro, J. F. R.; de Vita, D.; Cianni, L.; Franco, C. H.; Freitas-Junior, L. H.; Moraes, C. B.; Rocha, J. R.; Burtoloso, A. C. B.; Kenny, P. W.; Leitão, A.; Montanari, C. A. A comparative study of warheads for design of cysteine protease inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2017**, *27*, 5031–5035.

(14) Bonatto, V.; Batista, P. H. J.; Cianni, L.; de Vita, D.; Silva, D. G.; Cedron, R.; Tezuka, D. Y.; de Albuquerque, S.; Moraes, C. B.; Franco, C. H.; Lameira, J.; Leitão, A.; Montanari, C. A. On the intrinsic reactivity of highly potent trypanocidal cruzain inhibitors. *RSC Med. Chem.* **2020**, *11*, 1275–1284.

(15) O'Brien, P. J.; Siraki, A. G.; Shangari, N. Aldehyde sources, metabolism, molecular toxicity mechanisms, and possible effects on human health. *Crit. Rev. Toxicol.* **2005**, *35*, 609–662.

(16) Gibbons, P.; Verissimo, E.; Araujo, N. C.; Barton, V.; Nixon, G. L.; Amewu, R. K.; Chadwick, J.; Stocks, P. A.; Biagini, G. A.; Srivastava, A.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Gut, J.; Guedes, R. C.; Moreira, R.; Sharma, R.; Berry, N.; Cristiano, M. L.; Shone, A. E.; Ward, S. A.; O'Neill, P. M. Endoperoxide carbonyl falcipain 2/3 inhibitor hybrids: Toward combination chemotherapy of malaria through a single chemical entity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2010**, *53*, 8202–8206.

(17) Schechter, I.; Berger, A. On the size of the active site in proteases I. Papain. *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.* **1967**, *27*, 157–162.

(18) Cuerrier, D.; Moldoveanu, T.; Inoue, J.; Davies, P. L.; Campbell, R. L. Calpain inhibition by alpha-ketoamide and cyclic hemiacetal inhibitors revealed by X-ray crystallography. *Biochemistry* **2006**, *45*, 7446–7452.

(19) Nakamura, M.; Yamaguchi, M.; Sakai, O.; Inoue, J. Exploration of cornea permeable calpain inhibitors as anticataract agents. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2003**, *11*, 1371–1379.

(20) Kerr, I. D.; Lee, J. H.; Farady, C. J.; Marion, R.; Rickert, M.; Sajid, M.; Pandey, K. C.; Caffrey, C. R.; Legac, J.; Hansell, E.; McKerrow, J.

H.; Craik, C. S.; Rosenthal, P. J.; Brinen, L. S. Vinyl sulfones as antiparasitic agents and a structural basis for drug design. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2009**, *284*, 25697–25703.

pubs.acs.org/jmc

(21) Clementi, E.; Raimondi, D. L.; Reinhardt, W. P. Atomic screening constants from SCF functions. II. Atoms with 37 to 86 electrons. *J. Chem. Phys* **1967**, *47*, 1300–1307.

(22) Clayden, J.; Greeves, N.; Warren, S. G. *Organic chemistry*. Second ed.; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2012.

(23) Mackenzie, N. E.; Grant, S. K.; Scott, A. I.; Malthouse, J. P. ¹³C NMR study of the stereospecificity of the thiohemiacetals formed on inhibition of papain by specific enantiomeric aldehydes. *Biochemistry* **1986**, *25*, 2293–2298.

(24) Abdulla, M. H.; O'Brien, T.; Mackey, Z. B.; Sajid, M.; Grab, D. J.; McKerrow, J. H. RNA interference of trypanosoma brucei cathepsin B and L affects disease progression in a mouse model. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2008**, *2*, No. e298.

(25) Enanga, B.; Ariyanayagam, M. R.; Stewart, M. L.; Barrett, M. P. Activity of megazol, a trypanocidal nitroimidazole, is associated with DNA damage. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* **2003**, *47*, 3368–3370.

(26) Jacobsen, W.; Christians, U.; Benet, L. Z. In vitro evaluation of the disposition of a novel cysteine protease inhibitor. *Drug Metab. Dispos.* **2000**, *28*, 1343–1351.

(27) Charrier, J. D.; Durrant, S. J.; Studley, J.; Lawes, L.; Weber, P. Synthesis and evaluation of novel prodrugs of caspase inhibitors. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2012**, *22*, 485–488.

(28) Wang, D.; Zou, L.; Jin, Q.; Hou, J.; Ge, G.; Yang, L. Human carboxylesterases: A comprehensive review. *Acta Pharm. Sin. B* **2018**, *8*, 699–712.

(29) Zanger, U. M.; Schwab, M. Cytochrome P450 enzymes in drug metabolism: Regulation of gene expression, enzyme activities, and impact of genetic variation. *Pharmacol. Ther.* **2013**, *138*, 103–141.

(30) Fu, L.; Ye, F.; Feng, Y.; Yu, F.; Wang, Q.; Wu, Y.; Zhao, C.; Sun, H.; Huang, B.; Niu, P.; Song, H.; Shi, Y.; Li, X.; Tan, W.; Qi, J.; Gao, G. F. Both boceprevir and GC376 efficaciously inhibit SARS-CoV-2 by targeting its main protease. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 4417.

(31) Frank, J.; Katritzky, A. R. Tautomeric pyridines. Part xv. Pyridone–hydroxypyridine equilibria in solvents of differing polarity. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 2 **1976**, 1428–1431.

(32) Zhao, M.-M.; Yang, W.-L.; Yang, F.-Y.; Zhang, L.; Huang, W.; Hou, W.; Fan, C.; Jin, R.; Feng, Y.; Wang, Y.; Yang, J.-K. Cathepsin L plays a key role in SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans and humanized mice and is a promising target for new drug development. *Sig. Transduct. Target Ther.* **2021**, *6*, 134.

(33) Noisier, A. F. M.; Harris, C. S.; Brimble, M. A. Novel preparation of chiral α -amino acids using the Mitsunobu–Tsunoda reaction. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, *49*, 7744–7746.

(34) Zhai, X.; Meek, T. D. Catalytic mechanism of cruzain from *Trypanosoma cruzi* as determined from solvent kinetic isotope effects of steady-state and pre-steady-state kinetics. *Biochemistry* **2018**, *57*, 3176–3190.

(35) Chenna, B. C.; Li, L.; Mellott, D. M.; Zhai, X.; Siqueira-Neto, J. L.; Calvet Alvarez, C.; Bernatchez, J. A.; Desormeaux, E.; Alvarez Hernandez, E.; Gomez, J.; McKerrow, J. H.; Cruz-Reyes, J.; Meek, T. D. Peptidomimetic vinyl heterocyclic inhibitors of cruzain effect antitrypanosomal activity. *J. Med. Chem.* **2020**, *63*, 3298–3316.

(36) Wang, Z.; Morris, J. C.; Drew, M. E.; Englund, P. T. Inhibition of *Trypanosoma brucei* gene expression by RNA interference using an integratable vector with opposing T7 promoters. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2000**, 275, 40174–40179.

(37) Huber, W.; Koella, J. C. A comparison of three methods of estimating EC_{50} in studies of drug resistance of malaria parasites. *Acta Trop.* **1993**, *55*, 257–261.

(38) Delaglio, F.; Grzesiek, S.; Vuister, G. W.; Zhu, G.; Pfeifer, J.; Bax, A. NMRpipe: A multidimensional spectral processing system based on Unix pipes. *J. Biomol. NMR* **1995**, *6*, 277–293.

(39) Lee, W.; Tonelli, M.; Markley, J. L. NMRFAM-SPARKY: Enhanced software for biomolecular NMR spectroscopy. *Bioinformatics* **2015**, *31*, 1325–1327.

(40) Willcott, M. R. Mestre Nova. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 13180–13180.

(41) Yang, K. S.; Ma, X. R.; Ma, Y.; Alugubelli, Y. R.; Scott, D. A.; Vatansever, E. C.; Drelich, A. K.; Sankaran, B.; Geng, Z. Z.; Blankenship, L. R.; Ward, H. E.; Sheng, Y. J.; Hsu, J. C.; Kratch, K. C.; Zhao, B.; Hayatshahi, H. S.; Liu, J.; Li, P.; Fierke, C. A.; Tseng, C. K.; Xu, S.; Liu, W. R. A quick route to multiple highly potent SARS-CoV-2 Main protease inhibitors. *ChemMedChem* **2021**, *16*, 942–948.

(42) Winn, M. D.; Ballard, C. C.; Cowtan, K. D.; Dodson, E. J.; Emsley, P.; Evans, P. R.; Keegan, R. M.; Krissinel, E. B.; Leslie, A. G. W.; McCoy, A.; McNicholas, S. J.; Murshudov, G. N.; Pannu, N. S.; Potterton, E. A.; Powell, H. R.; Read, R. J.; Vagin, A.; Wilson, K. S. Overview of the CCP4 suite and current developments. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 2011, *67*, 235–242.

(43) Zhang, L.; Lin, D.; Sun, X.; Curth, U.; Drosten, C.; Sauerhering, L.; Becker, S.; Rox, K.; Hilgenfeld, R. Crystal structure of SARS-CoV-2 Main protease provides a basis for design of improved α -ketoamide inhibitors. *Science* **2020**, *368*, 409–412.

(44) Adams, P. D.; Afonine, P. V.; Bunkóczi, G.; Chen, V. B.; Davis, I. W.; Echols, N.; Headd, J. J.; Hung, L.-W.; Kapral, G. J.; Grosse-Kunstleve, R. W.; McCoy, A. J.; Moriarty, N. W.; Oeffner, R.; Read, R. J.; Richardson, D. C.; Richardson, J. S.; Terwilliger, T. C.; Zwart, P. H. PHENIX: A comprehensive python-based system for macromolecular structure solution. *Acta Crystallogr. D* **2010**, *66*, 213–221.

(45) Emsley, P.; Lohkamp, B.; Scott, W. G.; Cowtan, K. Features and development of Coot. *Acta Crystallogr. D* 2010, *66*, 486–501.

(46) Morrison, J. F.; Walsh, C. T. The behavior and significance of slow-binding enzyme inhibitors. *Adv. Enzymol. Relat. Areas Mol. Biol.* **1988**, *61*, 201–301.

(47) Copeland, R. A. Tight binding inhibitors. In *Enzymes: A practical introduction to structure, mechanism, and data analysis,* VCH: New York, 2000; 305–317.

(48) Chen, Y. T.; Brinen, L. S.; Kerr, I. D.; Hansell, E.; Doyle, P. S.; McKerrow, J. H.; Roush, W. R. *In vitro* and *in vivo* studies of the trypanocidal properties of WRR-483 against *Trypanosoma cruzi*. *PLoS Negl. Trop. Dis.* **2010**, *4*, No. e825.