

0957-4166(95)00366-5

Substituent Effects on the Conformational Equilibrium of 1,3,5,7-cis-Tetraoxadecalin Systems: Force Field Calculations Versus Experimental Results.

A. Gil Santos^a and

Reinhard W. Hoffmann*

Fachbereich Chemie der Universität, Hans Meerwein Strasse, D-35032 Marburg (FRG)

Abstract: Conformer populations of a number of 1,3,5,7-cis-tetraoxadecalins have been studied by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. While the unsubstituted 4 prefers the *proximal* conformation, all 4,8 disubstituted derivatives 5 preferred the *distal* conformation. This was most marked for the di-azidomethyl derivative 5g. Force field calculations were shown to reproduce only roughly the trends of the conformer equilibria. Changing the solvent from toluene-D₈ to more polar solvents increases the population of the *proximal* conformer.

Introduction

When flexible molecules are involved in biochemistry or material sciences the conformation of their molecular backbones is one of the important factors that determine the properties of such compounds. Since most of the flexible compounds are multiconformational, an understanding of the factors determining conformer equilibria is desired in order to control conformer populations. A long range goal would be to design flexible molecules with defined shape, i.e. compounds whose backbone adopts one or two preferred conformations¹. An approach toward this goal is to study smaller molecules with high conformational preferences, molecules which could be eventually introduced as building blocks into larger structures in a modular approach.

In this respect biconformational compounds, which populate just two low energy conformations are of interest. To a first approximation their conformer equilibrium is of the simple $A \implies B$ type. By a change of external parameters or by substituent effects it should be possible to control the equilibrium to the point that either conformer A or B is favored. These compounds could be considered as conformational switches: A typical example is given by the system 1 studied by Raban². Compound 1 exists predominantly as the conformer 1a with axial side chains and an equatorial methyl group. Complexation with metal ions "switches" the conformation to 1b, in which the methyl group is now axial. Several such systems based on cyclohexane backbones have been reported³.

Our interest focused on the *cis*-decalin framework, which populates two enantiomeric and therefore isoenergetic conformations⁴. *Cis*-decalin 2 is a typical biconformational structure. Replacement of a CH_2 -

^a Permanent Adress: Dep. de Química da Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia da Universidade Nova de Lisboa, Quinta da Torre, 2825 Monte de Caparica, Portugal



Figure 1. Molecular switching based on the conformer equilibrium of a cyclohexane derivative².

group in *cis*-decalin by heteroatoms should generate structures, in which one of the two backbone conformations is favored. For instance, the *cis*-decahydroquinolin **3** adopts the conformation in which the nitrogen occupies an axial arrangement with respect to the cyclohexane ring⁵. This has to do with the smaller size of an NH versus a CH₂-group. Likewise a *cis*-decalin derivative, which had been studied before⁶ is the 1,3,5,7- tetraoxadecalin **4**.



Figure 2. Conformer equilibrium in cis-decalin derivatives.

The conformer equilibrium of 4 has been reported to lie to > 90% on the side of the *proximal* conformer. This may have to do with a smaller size of the oxygen versus a CH₂ group (cf. the A - values of 2.18 and 7.50 kJ mol⁻¹ respectively⁷), but the major contributor is likely the *gauche* effect⁸. According to this effect a *gauche* arrangement between two vicinal electronegative substituents on a carbon chain is favored with respect to the *anti*-arrangement. The *proximal* conformer **4P** (also called⁶ O-inside conformer) has three such O-C-C-O *gauche* arrangements, the *distal* one **4D** (also called⁶ H-inside conformer) has three O-C-C-O *anti*-arrangements. The anomeric effect⁹ of the O-CH₂-O unit should not effect the conformer equilibrium of **4**, because as far as $n\sigma^*$ -delocalization is concerned, this should be equally possible in either conformer **4P** or **4D**.

In this paper we report on studies to influence the conformer equilibrium of 4 by solvent or substituent effects.

Substituent Effects on the Tetraoxadecalin Conformer Equilibrium

Our idea was to counterbalance the preference of 4 to adopt the *proximal* conformer 4P by substituents in the 4,8-position, vic. 5. These substituents should be placed in such a manner, that they occupy an axial arrangement in the conformer 5P and an equatorial one in 5D. This way the energy of the *proximal* conformer 5P should be raised such that it reaches or surpasses that of the *distal* conformer 5D.



Figure 3.

The simple mannitol acetal **5b** has been briefly examined by Stoddart¹⁰ who concluded from NMR studies that the *distal* conformer **5bD** predominates in chloroform solution. Moreover Nørskov¹¹ studied temperature dependent ¹H NMR spectra of the methyl compound **5a**. He concluded that the *proximal* conformer **5aP** and the *distal* conformer **5aD** are present in a 3.7:1 ratio at room temperature.

Conformer equilibria of various representatives of 5 should be amenable to force field calculations. Recent studies on the parent tetraoxadecalin 4 showed¹² however, that the usual parametrization of the MM3 force field does not adequately reproduce conformer equilibria or bond lengths of the simple tetraoxadecalin and a 2,6-distyryl derivative. For these reasons Fuchs proposed¹² a modified set of parameters, for O-C-C-O units, i. e. those in which the *gauche* effect contributes to the overall stability of the system.

We have carried out force field calculations for several compounds 5 to evaluate the energy of the *proximal* and *distal* conformer both by the MM3 force field implemented in the MACROMODEL program¹³ and using the modified parameters suggested by Fuchs¹². When the side chain R in 5 could adopt several conformations, we picked the arrangement of lowest energy each for the *proximal* and *distal* series. The resulting relative energies of the two conformers were used to calculate the conformer ratio for room temperature assuming a two conformer equilibrium. These results are compiled in table 1.

Change from the original to the modified MM3 parameters raised the energy content calculated for all of the conformers, but it did so in a different manner for the *proximal* and *distal* conformers. Therefore the two sets of parameters resulted in different predictions for the conformer equilibria. The more it was of interest to see, which of these calculations would reproduce the experimental findings better.

R =	R R O Proximal		P P Distal		Prox./Dist. (%)	
	Orig. Par.	Corr. Par.	Orig. Par.	Corr. Par.	Orig. Par.	Corr. Par.
H (4)	28.08	33.62	49.01	49.06	100/0	100/0
CH ₃ (5a)	57.69	63.37	60.29	60.32	74/26	22/78
CH ₂ OH (5b)	94.59	100.22	89.80	92.29	13/87	4/96
CH ₂ OMe (5c)	93.91	99.55	93.91	97.76	50/50	33/67
CH ₂ OPiv (5d)	113.50	119.21	110.23	117.94	21/79	38/62
CH ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ OMe (5e)	144.49	150.51	147.84	151.70	79/21	62/38
CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (5f)	147.22	159.10	158.34	160.97	99/1	68/32
CH ₂ NH ₂ (5h)	75.06	80.67	72.18	72.21	24/76	3/97

Table 1. Relative energy calculated (MM3) for the *proximal* and *distal* conformers (kJ/mol) of 4 and 5. Orig. Par. = Original MM3 parameters. Corr. Par. = Corrected MM3 parameters¹².

The compounds 5c to 5h were prepared from the known¹⁴ mannitol derivative 5b by standard transformations. NMR-spectra of 5 were recorded in toluene-D₈, a rather non polar solvent, in order to approximate the situation of our force field calculations, which pertain to isolated molecules in the gas phase. The ¹H NMR spectra of 5 recorded at room temperature are weighted time averaged spectra over both conformers 5P and 5D. Lowering the temperature to -100 °C¹¹ did not lead to spectra from which the coupling constants of the individual conformers could be derived. Therefore we had to estimate the conformer equilibrium the conformer ratio np/n_D could be derived from the experimental averaged coupling constants J_{exp} if the coupling constants for the individual conformers J_P and J_D are known, according to the equation J_{exp} = (npJ_P + n_DJ_D)/(np + n_D).

Figure 4 shows that $J_{1,2} = J_{3,4}$ should be large in the *distal* conformer **5D** and small in the *proximal* conformer **5P**. As in previous studies in this field¹¹ J_P was taken as 1.2 Hz from the value observed for compound **6**, which exists exclusively as the *proximal* isomer, and J_D was taken as 10.6 Hz, as found for the compound **7**, which exists as a *distal* conformer only. Comparison of the experimental J_{1,2}-values for various derivatives of **5** should then give an indication of the conformer population np/n_D. However, since the molecules **5** are c₂-symmetric systems, the ¹H-NMR-spectra are not of first order. The total spin system of the four spins of H¹ to H⁴ has to be considered. Thus, by simulation of the coupling patterns and the line shapes for each of H¹ and H² coupling constants were derived for the compounds **5** studied. These and the derived *proximal/distal* conformer ratios are recorded in table 2. The ratios derived by the force field calculations are included in table 2 for comparison.

These results show that for all the compounds 5 studied the *distal* conformer predominates in the equilibrium. Thus, the steric effects of the substituents are marked enough to shift the conformer equilibrium to the *distal* side from the *proximal* preference recorded^{6,12} for the unsubstituted tetraoxadecalin 4. For the



simple compounds 5a, 5c, and 5d the agreement between calculated and experimental conformer populations is fair, the corrected MM3-parameters leading to smaller discrepancies. In the case of the more extended R-groups in 5e and 5f, the agreement between calculations and experiments is poor, and again, the deviations with the modified MM3 parameters are smaller. No calculations were possible for the azido compound 5g due to a lack of force field parameters. The azido-methyl- substituent in 5g, however, was the one which led to the largest substituent induced shift of the conformer equilibrium when compared to the unsubstituted tetraoxadecalin 4.



R =	J _{1,2}	J _{2,3}	J _{1,3}	Proxi./Dist. (%)		
				Experim.	Orig. Par.	Corr. Par.
H (4)	1.812			94/6	100/0	100/0
CH ₃ (5a)	9.2	5.7	-0.6	15/85	74/26	22/78
CH ₂ OMe (5c)	8.6	5.4	-0.5	21/79	50/50	33/67
CH ₂ OPiv (5d)	9.6	5.7	-0.6	11/89	21/79	38/62
CH ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ OMe (5e)	8.2	4.2	-0.4	25/75	79/21	62/38
CH2OCH2Ph (5f)	8.3	4.0	-0.6	25/75	99/1	68/32
CH ₂ N ₃ (5g)	10.3	6.6	-0.5	3/97		

 Table 2. Experimental ¹H NMR coupling constants in toluene-D₈ and conformer populations derived thereof as well as from force field calculations.

Solvent- and Hydrogen-bonding Effects on the Tetraoxadecalin Conformer Equilibrium

The hydroxymethyl substituent in **5b** and the aminomethyl substituent in **5h** should be capable of forming intramolecular hydrogen bonds to the acetal oxygens¹⁵. If the strength of such hydrogen bonds is different for the *proximal* and *distal* conformers, intramolecular hydrogen bonding could change the position of the conformer equilibrium. Calculations carried out with the modified MM3-parameters¹² for the hydroxymethyl substituted system **5b** showed that intramolecular hydrogen bonding stabilizes the *distal* conformer by 9.07 kJ mol⁻¹, whereas the *proximal* isomer preferred a non hydrogen bonded conformation.

Thus, the formation of intramolecular hydrogen bonds should shift the conformer equilibrium further to the side of the *distal* conformer.



Distal with H bond 92.29 kJ/mol



Distal without H bond 101.36 kJ/mol



Proximal 100.22 kJ/mol



Distal with H bond 72.21 kJ/mol Without H bond 84.18 kJ/mol



Proximal with H bond 80.67 kJ/mol Without H bond 89.10 kJ/mol

Figure 5. Minima energy conformations for the diol 5b and the diamine 5h. Intramolecular hydrogen bond effect.

For the diamine **5h** calculations suggest intramolecular hydrogen bonding to occur for both the *distal* and the *proximal* conformer. The stabilization due to hydrogen bonding is calculated to be larger for the *distal* conformer. Thus again, hydrogen bonding should shift the conformer equilibrium to the *distal* side according to these calculations. The experimental results for the diamine **5h** in CDCl₃ are shown below and may be compared with the data for the methoxymethyl compound **5c** and for the azido compound **5g** in the same solvent. The hydroxymethyl compound **5b** was not soluble enough in either toluene-Dg or CDCl₃.

The position of the conformer equilibrium of the amine **5h** is inbetween those of the methoxy compound **5c** and the azide **5h**. This does not suggest the operation of a major effect caused by intramolecular hydrogen bonding in **5h**. Also, for the diol **5b**, the calculations suggest a larger stabilization of the *proximal* conformer in the absence of intramolecular hydrogen bonding. However table 3 shows that in methanol, a solvent in which intramolecular hydrogen bonds are not favored, compound **5b** exists predominantly in the *distal* conformation. Thus, the intramolecular hydrogen bonding should not be the factor responsible for the greater

stabilization of the *distal* conformers of these molecules. These results are in line with the fact that the basicity of oxygen atoms in acetals is substantially smaller than that in ethers¹⁶. Accordingly, hydrogen bond formation is not particularly favorable. Not only intramolecular, but also intermolecular hydrogen bonding may affect the position of the conformer equilibria. A change from the non-hydrogen-bonding solvent



Figure 6. Proximal/Distal conformer ratios derived from ¹H NMRcoupling constants.

toluene-D₈ to the weakly hydrogen bonding solvent CDCl₃ lead to small but noticeable effects in the cases of the compounds **5a**, **5c**, **5d**, **5f**, and **5g**, favouring more of the *proximal* conformer in CDCl₃, cf. Table 3.

Such effects should be more marked in going to protic solvents such as methanol or water. The data in table 3 shows that these solvents indeed shift the conformer equilibrium further to the *proximal* side. It would, however, be premature to ascribe this effect to hydrogen bonding alone. In fact, since the *proximal* conformer is more polar than the *distal* one¹² a change to more polar solvents should shift the conformer equilibrium to the *proximal* side. If this would be the main factor contributing to the solvent effect of the conformer equilibrium, a plot of ln P/D versus E_T should be linear (cf. figure 7).

In this context, the large difference in the conformer equilibrium for the diamine **5h** when going from methanol-D₄ to D₂O is surprising. This difference corresponds to an additional stabilization of the *proximal* conformer by 6 kJ mol^{-1} . It could be speculated that the *proximal* conformer is set up to form an internally hydronium ion bridged structure (figure 8) which would not be possible with methanol as solvent.



Figure 7. Solvent effect on the conformer equilibrium for compound 5e.

Whatever the reason behind this effect, the diamine 5h shows a major change in conformer population on going from CDCl₃ to D₂O as solvent.

The purpose of this study was to reveal factors by which the conformer population of a bi-conformational system, such as the tetraoxadecalin 4, can be shifted to either side. We noted that by placing different substituents in the 4,8-position the conformer



Figure 8. Stabilization of the proximal conformation due to intermolecular hydrogen bond formation.

equilibrium can be shifted to the *distal* side. Likewise by adjusting the polarity of the solvent the position of the conformer equilibrium can be fined-tuned due to differential solvation of the conformers.





R =	J1,2	J2,3	J _{1,3}	Prox/Dist (%)	Solvent	
CH ₃ (5a)	9.2	5.7	-0.6	15/85	Toluene-D ₈	
	6.5	4.0	-0.6	44/56	CDCl ₃	
	6.1	4.0	-0.7	48/52	Methanol-D4	
СН ₂ ОН (5b)	7.7	4.9	-0.5	31/69	Methanol-D4	
	6.7	4.3	-0.4	41/59	Acetone-D ₆	
	5.1	3.2	-0.7	59/41	D ₂ O	
CH ₂ OMe (5 c)	8.6	5.4	-0.5	21/79	Toluene-D ₈	
	8.5	5.3	-0.5	22/78	CDCl ₃	
	7.3	4.7	-0.5	35/65	Methanol-D4	
CH ₂ OPiv (5d)	9.6	5.7	-0.6	11/89	Toluene-D ₈	
	8.5	5.2	-0.6	22/78	CDCl ₃	
CH ₂ O(CH ₂) ₂ OMe (5e)	8.2	4.2	-0.4	25/75	Toluene-D ₈	
	8.2	5.2	-0.6	25/75	CDCl ₃	
	7.6	4.8	-0.5	32/68	CD ₃ CN	
	6.7	4.4	-0.5	41/59	Methanol-D ₄	
	4.9	2.8	-1.0	61/39	D ₂ O	
CH ₂ OCH ₂ Ph (5f)	8.3	4.0	-0.6	25/75	Toluene-D ₈	
	7.3	4.6	-0.9	35/65	CDCl ₃	
CH ₂ N ₃ (5g)	10.3	6.6	-0.5	3/97	Toluene-D ₈	
	10.1	6.1	-0.5	5/95	CDCl ₃	
	9.5	5.8	-0.6	12/88	Methanol-D4	
CH2NH2 (5h)	9.4	5.7	-0.5	13/87	CDCl ₃	
	8.4	5.2	-0.5	23/77	Methanol-D ₄	
	3.4	2.1	-1.1	77/23	D ₂ O	

Table 3. Solvent effect on the conformational equilibrium.

Acknowledgment: We would like to thank the European Economic Community for support of this study under the program *Human Capital and Mobility*, contract number ERBCHRXCT930141. We thank Dr. J. Torode for preliminary experiments.

Synthetic aspects

The ether derivatives were prepared by treatment of diol $5b^{14}$ with sodium hydride in DMF, followed by quenching with the corresponding halide. The dimethyl derivative 5a was prepared by reduction of the corresponding ditosylate 8 with superhydride. The diazide 5g was prepared by treating the ditosylate 8 with sodium azide. Reduction of this compound with hydrogen catalyzed by palladium/carbon (10%) afforded the corresponding diamine 5h, in good yield. The characterization of this compound was made by the preparation of the di-boc derivative.



Scheme 1.

Experimental

¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were recorded on a Bruker AC-300 spectrometer at, respectively, 300 MHz or 75 MHz in CDCl₃. ¹H chemical shifts are expressed in δ (ppm) referenced to internal tetramethylsilane. ¹³C chemical shifts are reported in δ (ppm) measured relatively to the center resonance of ¹³CDCl₃. Melting points were measured on a Buchi capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorreted. Elemental analyses were obtained on a Heraus CHN-Rapid. Analytical TLC was performed on Merck 60F₂₅₄ aluminum plates, and compounds were visualized by U.V. irradiation (254 nm), after spraying with 5% w/v dodecamolybdophosphoric acid in ethanol or with iodine. Flash chromatography (FC) was performed on ICN SiliTech 32-63, 60A silica gel. ¹H NMR spectra were simulated with the program CALM 2.0¹⁷. Solvents and reagents were purified as described in ref.¹⁸.

4,8-Di-(methoxymethyl)-1,3,5,7-cis-tetraoxadecalin (5c). To a solution of 5b (0.20 g, 0.97 mmol) in

dry DMF (1.5 ml) under argon and in an ice bath was added sodium hydride (0.11 g, 3.88 mmol, 2.0 eq). After stirring for 1 h, methyl iodide (0.36 ml, 5.84 mmol, 3.0 eq) was added. After 3 h at room temperature, the mixture was poured into 3 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 3 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate; 85/15) affording the title compound as a white crystalline solid (0.22 g, 96%). M.p. 61-62°C, ¹H δ 4.866 (2H, AB d, J -6.3 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.824 (2H, AB d, J = -6.3 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.205 (2H, m, J = 6.3, 2.2, 8.5 Hz, -0.5, 4-H and 8-H); 3.998 (2H, m, J = 8.5, 5.3, -0.5 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.671 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.5, 2.2 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OMe); 3.535 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.5, 6.3 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OMe); 3.356 (6H, s, 2 x MeO), ¹³C δ 88.4 (C-2 and C-6); 72.2 (C-4 and C-8); 72.0 (C-9 and C-10); 66.6 (2 x CH₂OMe); 59.4 (2 x CH₃OCH₂). Found: C, 51.24; H, 7.82. C₁₀H₁₈O₆, 234.25, requires: C, 51.27; H, 7.75%.

4,8-Di-(pivaloyloxymethyl)-1,3,5,7-cis-tetraoxadecalin (5d). To a solution of 5b (0.15 g, 0.73 mmol) and a catalytic amount of DMAP (1 mg) in dry pyridine (1.0 ml) under argon and in an ice bath, was added pivaloyl chloride (0.22 ml, 1.75 mmol, 1.2 eq). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and was poured into 5 ml of water, with stirring. A white solid precipitated which was further purified by crystallization from ethanol/water, to afford 0.25 g (90%) of the title compound. M.p. 102-103°C, ¹H δ 4.847 (2H, AB d, J = -6.5, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.793 (2H, AB d, J = -6.5 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.380 (2H, ABX dd, J = -12.1, 3.3 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OPiv); 4.283 (2H, m, J = 6.5, 3.3, 8.5 Hz, -0.6, 4-H and 8-H); 4.150 (2H, ABX dd, J = -12.1, 6.5 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OPiv); 3.955 (2H, m, J = 8.5, 5.2, -0.6 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 1.161 (18H, s, 2 x C(CH₃)₃), ¹³C δ 178.3 (C=O); 88.3 (C-2 and C-6); 71.0 (C-4 and C-8); 66.7 (C-9 and C-10); 63.0 (2 x CH₂OPiv); 38.8 (C(CH₃)₃); 27.1 (C(CH₃)₃). Found: C, 57.61; H, 8.18. C₁₈H₃₀O₈, 374.43, requires: C, 57.74; H, 8.08%.

4,8-Di-(methoxy-ethoxymethyl)-1,3,5,7-*cis*-tetraoxadecalin (5e). To a solution of **5b** (0.20 g, 0.97 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 ml) under argon and in an ice bath was added sodium hydride (0.084 g, 2.91 mmol, 1.5 eq). The mixture was stirred for 1 h and 2-methoxybromoethane (0.27 ml, 2.91 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added. After 3 h at room temperature, the mixture was poured into 3 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 3 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: ethyl acetate) affording the title compound as a colorless oil (0.29 g, 92%). ¹H δ 4.879 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.829 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.829 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 3.982 (2H, m, J = 8.2, 5.2, -0.6 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.828 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.9, 3.0 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂O(CH₂)₂OMe); 3.631 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.9, 6.5 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂O(CH₂)₂OMe); 3.629 (4H, q, J = 4.1 Hz, 2 x CH₂OC(H₂)₂OMe); 3.526 (4H, q, J = 4.1 Hz, 2 x CH₂OC(H₂)₂OMe); 3.344 (6H, s, 2 x CH₂O(CH₂)₂OCH₃), ¹³C δ 88.4 (C-2 and C-6); 72.6 (C-4 and C-8); 71.8 (C-9 and C-10); 71.0 (2 x CH₂OCH₂CH₂OMe); 70.9 (2 x CH₂OCH₂CH₂OMe); 66.8 (2 x CH₂O(CH₂)₂OMe); 58.9 (2 x CH₃O(CH₂)₂O. Found: C, 51.99; H, 7.96. C₁₄H₂₆O₈, 322.36 requires: C, 52.16; H, 8.13.

4,8-Di-(benzyloxymethyl)-1,3,5,7-*cis*-tetraoxadecalin (5f). To a solution of 5b (0.20 g, 0.97 mmol) in dry DMF (1.5 ml) under argon and in an ice bath was added sodium hydride (0.084 g, 2.91 mmol, 1.5 eq). The mixture was stirred for 1 h and benzyl bromide (0.35 ml, 2.91 mmol, 1.5 eq) was added. After 3 h at room temperature, the mixture was poured into 3 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 3 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate; 85/15) affording the title compound as a

colorless oil (0.36 g, 96%). ¹H δ 7.333 (10H, s, Ar); 4.910 (4H, s, 2-H and 6-H); 4.590 (4H, s, 2 x PhCH₂O); 4.238 (2H, m, J = 6.0, 3.3, 7.3, -0.9 Hz, 4-H and 8-H); 4.052 (2H, m, J = 7.3, 4.6, -0.9 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.806 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.7, 3.3 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OCH₂Ph); 3.673 (2H, ABX dd, J = -10.7, 6.0 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂OCH₂Ph), ¹³C δ 137.6 (Ar); 128.3 (Ar); 127.7 (Ar); 127.6 (Ar); 88.5 (C-2 and C-6); 73.6 (C-4 and C-8); 72.8 (C-9 and C-10); 69.5 (2 x CH₂OCH₂Ph); 67.1 (2 x CH₂OCH₂Ph). Found: C, 68.21; H, 6.64. C₂₂H₂₆O₆, 386.44, requires: C, 68.38; H, 6.78%.

4,8-Di-(tosyloxymethyl)-1,3,5,7-*cis***-tetraoxadecalin (8).** To a solution of **5b** (0.50 g, 2.43 mmol) and a catalytic amount of DMAP (2 mg) in dry pyridine (2.5 ml) under argon and in an ice bath, was added solid tosyl chloride (1.11, 5.82 mmol, 1.2 eq). The mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature and was poured into 10 ml of water, with stirring. A white solid precipitated which was further purified by crystallization from ethanol/petrol ether, to afford 1.15 g (92%) of the title compound. M.p. 154-155°C, ¹H δ 7.773 (4H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar); 7.339 (4H, d, J = 8.5 Hz, Ar); 4.753 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.699 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.282 (2H, m, J = 7.6, 3.3, 9.4, -0.5 Hz, 4-H and 8-H); 4.095 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.5, 7.6 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂Ts); 3.910 (2H, m, J = 9.4, 5.7, -0.5 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 2.430 (6H, s, 2 x CH₃Ph), ¹³C δ 145.2 (Ar); 132.6 (Ar); 129.9 (Ar); 128.0 (Ar); 88.2 (C-2 and C-6); 70.6 (C-4 and C-8); 68.6 (C-9 and C-10); 65.8 (2 x CH₂Ts); 21.6 (H₃CPh). Found: C, 51.48; H, 5.15. C₂₂H₂₆O₈S₂, 514.56, requires: C, 51.35; H, 5.09%.

4,8-Di-(azidomethyl)-1,3,5,7-*cis***-tetraoxadecalin (5g).** To a solution of **8** (0.38 g, 0.74 mmol) in 2 ml of dry DMF under an argon atmosphere, was added 0.48 g (7.4 mmol, 5.0 eq) of sodium azide and the mixture was heated to 80°C during 6 h. The mixture was than cooled, poured into 6 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 5 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate, 80/20), affording the title compound as a white crystalline solid (0.16 g, 87%). M.p. 100-103°C (decomp.), ¹H δ 4.853 (2H, AB d, J = -6.3 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.828 (2H, AB d, J = -6.3 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.268 (2H, m, J = 6.8, 2.8, 10.1, -0.5 Hz, 4-H and 8-H); 4.089 (2H, m, J = 10.1, 6.1, -0.5 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.577 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.3, 2.8 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂N₃); 3.471 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.3, 6.8 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂N₃); 3.471 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.3, 6.8 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂N₃); 3.471 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.3, 6.8 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂N₃); 6.9 (C-9 and C-10); 51.9 (2 x CH₂N₃). Found: C, 37.44; H, 4.87; N, 32.76. C₈H₁₂N₆O₄, 256.22, requires: C, 37.50; H, 4.72; N, 32.80%.

4,8-Di-(aminomethyl)-1,3,5,7-cis-tetraoxadecalin (5h). To a solution of **5g** (0.40 g, 1.56 mmol) in anhydrous ethanol (10 ml) was added 75.5 mg of Pd/C (10%). The mixture was than stirred for 5 h under hydrogen (1 atm). Filtration of the catalyst and solvent evaporation afforded the title compound as a colorless oil (0.31 g, 98%). ¹H δ 4.868 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.772 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.772 (2H, AB d, J = -6.6 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.078 (2H, m, J = 7.6, 3.3, 9.4, -0.5 Hz, 4-H and 8-H); 3.949 (2H, m, J = 9.4, 5.7, -0.5 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.045 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.5, 3.3 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂NH₂); 2.880 (2H, ABX dd, J = -13.5, 7.6 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂NH₂); 1.523 (4H, br, 2 x NH₂), ¹³C δ 88.0 (C-2 and C-6); 74.1 (C-4 and C-8); 67.6 (C-9 and C-10); 43.0 (2 x CH₂NH₂). The di-boc derivative was prepared as follows: To a solution of **5h** (0.20 g, 1.00 mmol), triethylamine (0.40 ml, 2.80 mmol, 1.4 eq) and a catalytic amount of DMAP (1 mg) in dry dichloromethane (2.5 ml) under argon and at room temperature, was added di-(*t*-butyl)-dicarbonate (0.60 ml, 2.80 mmol, 1.4 eq). The mixture was stirred for 4 h, poured into 5 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 4 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate; 7/3) affording the di-boc derivative (0.34 g, 85%) as a white crystalline solid. M.p. 147-148°C, ¹H δ 4.881

(2H, br, 2 x NH); 4.823 (2H, AB d, J = -6.5 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.742 (2H, AB d, J = -6.5 Hz, one of 2-H and one of 6-H); 4.085 (2H, m, J = 7.3, 3.0, 8.8, -0.7 Hz, 4-H and 8-H); 3.851 (2H, m, J = 8.8, 5.0, -0.7 Hz, 9-H and 10-H); 3.582 (2H, m, J = -12.0, 3.0 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂NH₂); 2.880 (2H, m, J = -12.0, 7.3 Hz, 1/2 of 2 x CH₂NH₂); 1.382 (18H, s, (2 x CH₃)₃CO), ¹³C δ 155.9 (2 x C=O); 88.2 (C-2 and C-6); 79.7 (2 x (CH₃)₃CO); 71.9 (C-4 and C-8); 67.7 (C-9 and C-10); 41.5 (2 x CH₂NHBoc). Found: C, 53.25; H, 7.63; N, 6.78. C₁₈H₃₂N₂O₈, 404.46, requires: C, 53.45; H, 7.92; N, 6.93%.

4,8-Dimethyl-1,3,5,7-*cis***-tetraoxadecalin (5a)**. To a solution of **8** (0.20 g, 0.39 mmol) in dry THF (4 ml) under argon was added, at room temperature, a 1M solution of lithium-triethylborhydride (superhydride) (1.25 ml, 1.25 mmol, 1.6eq). The mixture was stirred under reflux during 6 h, cooled, poured into 5 ml of water and extracted with dichloromethane (3 x 5 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered and evaporated. The residue was purified by flash chromatography (eluent: petrol ether/ethyl acetate; 1/1) affording the title compound (61 mg, 90%). The NMR data corresponded to that given in ref. 11 .

References and notes

- 1 Hoffmann, R. W., Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 1992, 31, 1124.
- 2 Raban, M.; Burch, D. L.; Hortelano, E. R.; Durocher, D., J. Org. Chem., 1994, 59, 1283.
- 3 a) Hegetschweiler, K.; Kradolfer, T.; Gramlich, V.; Hancock, R. D., Chem. Eur. J., 1995, 1, 74; b)Ghisletta, M.; Jalett, H.-P.; Gerfin, T.; Gramlich, V.; Hegetschweiler, K., Helv. Chim. Acta, 1992, 75, 2233.
- 4 Gerig. J. T.; Roberts, J. D., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 2791.
- 5 Booth, H.; Bostock, A. H., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin II, 1972, 615.
- 6 Lemieux, R. U.; Howard, J., Can. J. Chem., 1963, 41, 393.
- 7 Nasipuri, D., Stereochemistry of Organic Compounds. Principles and Applications, John Wiley & Sons, New Delhi, 1991, 252.
- 8 a) Wolfe, S., Acc. Chem. Res., 1972, 5, 102; b) Juaristi, E., J. Chem. Ed., 1979, 56, 438.
- 9 a) Salzner U., J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 986; b) Perrin, C. L.; Armstrong, K. B.; Fabian, M. A., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1994, 116, 715.
- 10 Burden, I. J.; Stoddart, J. F., J. Chem. Soc. Perkin I, 1975, 666. For other publications on this subject see: a) Haskins, W. T.; Hann, R. M.; Hudson, C. S., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1943, 65, 67; b) Bernstein, J.; Green, B. S.; Rejtö, M., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1980, 102, 323; c) Senderowitz, H.; Linden, A.; Golender, L.; Abramson, S.; Fuchs, B., Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 9691.
- 11 Nørskov, L.; Jensen, R. B.; Schroll, G., Acta Chem. Scand., 1983, 37 B, 133.
- 12 Senderowitz, H.; Golender, L.; Fuchs, B., Tetrahedron, 1994, 50, 9707.
- 13 Mohamadi, F.; Richards, N. G. J.; Guida, W. C.; Liskamp, R.; Lipton, M.; Caufield, C.; Chang, G.; Hendrickson, T.; Still, W. C., J. Comp. Chem., 1990, 11, 440.
- 14 a) Haworth, W. N.; Wiggins, L. F., J. Chem. Soc. C, 1944, 58; b) Brigl, P.; Grüner, H., Chem. Ber., 1932, 641.
- 15 a) Hoffmann, R. W.; Weidmann, U., Chem. Ber., 1985, 118, 3980; b) Jäger, V.; Buß, V., Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1980, 101; c) Jäger, V.; Schohe, R., Tetrahedron, 1984, 40, 2210.
- a) Kankaanperä, A., Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 1723; b) Kankaanperä, A., Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 1728;
 c) Kankaanperä, A.; Lahti, M., Acta Chem. Scand., 1969, 23, 2465; d) Kagiya, T.; Sumida, Y.; Inoue, T., Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap., 1968, 41, 767.
- 17 CALM is a program for iterative analysis of high resolution NMR-spectra for IBM-PC and compatibles. CALM is based on LAOCOON-type algorithm. This program can be obtained from Brucker, at the address IP 128.32.144.132 in the directory /pub/nmr/ms-dos/calm.zip, by anonymous FTP.
- 18 Perrin, D. D.; Armarego, W. L. F.; Perrin, D. R., Purification of Laboratory Chemicals, 2nd Edition, Pergamon Press, 1980.