

Communication

Photostable Polynuclear Ruthenium(II) Photosensitizers Competent for Dehalogenation Photoredox Catalysis at 590 nm

Simon Cerfontaine, Sara A. M. Wehlin, Benjamin Elias, and Ludovic Troian-Gautier

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.0c01503 • Publication Date (Web): 08 Mar 2020

Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on March 9, 2020

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7

8 9

10 11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26 27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

Photostable Polynuclear Ruthenium(II) Photosensitizers Competent for Dehalogenation Photoredox Catalysis at 590 nm

Simon Cerfontaine,[†] Sara A. M. Wehlin,[‡] Benjamin Elias[†]* and Ludovic Troian-Gautier[⊥]*

† Université catholique de Louvain (UCLouvain), Institut de la Matière Condensée et des Nanosciences (IMCN), Molecular Chemistry, Materials and Catalysis (MOST), Place Louis Pasteur 1, bte L4.01.02, 1348 Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium

⊥ Laboratoire de Chimie Organique, Université libre de Bruxelles (ULB), CP 160/06, 50 avenue F.D. Roosevelt, 1050 Brussels, Belgium.

[‡] Department of Chemistry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, 27599-3290, United States

ABSTRACT: Higher nuclearity photosensitizers produced dehalogenation yields greater than 90% in the reported $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ mediated dehalogenation of 4-bromobenzyl-2-chloro-2-phenylacetate to 4-bromobenzyl-2-phenylacetate with orange light in 7 hours, whereas after 72 hours yields of 49% were obtained with $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$. Dinuclear (**D1**), trinuclear (**T1**) and quadrinuclear (**Q1**) ruthenium 2,2'-bipyridine based photosensitizers were synthesized, characterized and investigated for their photoreactivity. Three main factors were shown to lead to increased yields: i) the red-shifted absorbance of polynuclear photosensitizers, ii) the more favorable driving force for electron transfer, characterized by more positive $E_{1/2}(Ru^{2+*/+})$ and iii) the smaller population of the ³MC state (< 0.5 % for **D1**, **T1** and **Q1** vs. 48% for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ at room temperature). Collectively, these results highlight the potential advantages of using polynuclear photosensitizers in phototriggered redox catalysis reactions.

The increased reactivity of sensitizers upon light excitation has contributed to the drastic development of the field of photoredox catalysis, where they have been harnessed to perform challenging organic transformations, difficult to achieve without the use of photoactive catalysts.¹⁻⁷ While some organic sensitizers have been reported, most are based on mononuclear transition metal complexes, including iridium, copper and ruthenium. Iridium(III) complexes are usually highly photostable and can be tuned to achieve a wide range of catalytic reactions.^{5,} ⁸⁻⁹ However, their low molar absorption coefficients in the visible region has limited their widespread application. Copper(I) bipyridine complexes exhibit long-lived Metal-to-Ligand Charge Transfer (MLCT) excited states compatible with photoredox catalysis.¹⁰⁻¹³ Nonetheless, copper complexes are often associated with low molar absorption coefficients (ϵ) in the visible region and Jahn-Teller distortions accompanying changes in the oxidation states, which often leads to ligand-loss or scrambling, particularly problematic for heteroleptic complexes.¹⁴⁻¹⁶ In contrast, ruthenium(II) polypyridyl sensitizers absorb visible light typically with ε greater than 10000 M⁻¹cm⁻¹ and exhibit relatively long-lived excited states.¹⁷⁻²⁰ Larger ε are obtained with polynuclear photosensitizers (PS), which have been known to undergo excited-state electron transfer with catalysts in the presence of sacrificial electron donors or acceptors.^{17, 21-32} Ruthenium complexes exhibit greater stability than Cu complexes, but are typically more prone to ligand-loss than iridium complexes. This is often attributed to population of a metal-centered (³MC) state.³³⁻³⁶ Hence, i) better control of the deactivation pathways, ii) the development of photosensitizers that absorb more visible light, allowing to decrease to amount of photosensitizer, iii) the use of low energy visible light and iv)

the development of stable photosensitizers represent challenges upon which photoredox catalysis could be further improved.

Here, a series of polynuclear photosensitizers was investigated for the reported visible light-induced dehalogenation of 4-bromobenzyl-2-chloro-2-phenylacetate (1) in the presence of a sacrificial electron donor and compared to the performance of the parent compound, $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+,37}$ These dehalogenation reactions are of synthetic and environmental importance.^{3, 11, 38-40} Fundamental understanding was garnered through Stern-Volmer analysis and variable temperature time-resolved photoluminescence spectroscopies. In comparison to $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$, polynuclear complexes were stronger photo-oxidants, produced larger yields with low energy visible light and smaller catalyst loadings. In addition, they exhibited less ligand-loss photochemistry, making them a real alternative to common photoredox catalysts.

The syntheses of the polynuclear photosensitizers (**Figure 1a**) were achieved through coordination of [Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂] to the desired bridging ligand, itself synthesized via transition-metal catalyzed coupling between 4-bromo-2,2'-bipyridine and 4,4'-dibromo-2,2'-bipyridine derivatives (SI). The photosensitizers exhibited ground-state absorption spectra (**Figure 1b**) and steady-state photoluminescence (**Figure 1c-d**) typical for ruthenium(II) polypyridyl complexes. The molar absorption coefficients of the MLCT transition gradually increased from 11,900 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$ for [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ to 54,900 $M^{-1}cm^{-1}$ for Q1, concomitant with increased absorption at longer wavelengths (**Table 1** and **Figure S32**). The excited-state lifetime (**Table 1**) was longest for **D1** (1920 ns) and decreased according to **T1**>**Q1**>[Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺.



Figure 1. a) Structures of photosensitizers [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺, D1, T1 and Q1. b) UV-Vis absorption spectra (solid lines) of the photosensitizers recorded in acetonitrile at room temperature and emission profiles (dashed lines) of the different light sources.⁴¹ Steady-state photoluminescence of the indicated photosensitizers in acetonitrile at room temperature under argon (c) and in butyronitrile at 77K (d).

Table 1. Photophysical and Electrochemical Characterization of the Photosensitizers (PS).

PS	$\epsilon (M^{-1}cm^{-1})^{a}$	$\tau^{\rm b}$	Φ^{c}	$E_{1/2}(L^{0/-})^d$	$E_{1/2}(Ru^{III/II})^d$	E00e	$E_{1/2} (Ru^{2+*/+})^d$
[Ru(bpy) ₃] ²⁺	11900 (450)	1020	0.094	- 1.31	1.30	579	0.83
D1	21800 (480)	1920	0.077	- 0.99	1.33	629	0.98
T1	40100 (485)	1580	0.121	- 0.93	1.38	640	1.01
Q1	54900 (490)	1470	0.098	- 0.83	1.37	644	1.10

^a values in parenthesis indicate the corresponding wavelength in nm. ^b in ns in argon purged DMF. ^c measured in CH₃CN under argon by comparative actinometry using $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$.2PF₆⁻ ($\Phi = 0.018$) in CH₃CN under air as a standard.^{42 d} V vs Ag/AgCl. ^e nm

ACS Paragon Plus Environment

Table 2. Photocatalysis Results with White, Blue, Green and Orange LEDs

	[PS]	Eq. Ru center	White light	Blue	Green	Orange
	(mol%)	(mol%)	(6500K) ^a	(470 nm) ^a	(525 nm) ^a	(590 nm) ^a
[Ru(bpy) ₃] ²⁺	2.50	2.5	77 %	80 % (5h)	78 % (7h)	31 % ^b
D1	1.25	2.5	92 %	91 % (2h)	91 % (2.5h)	91 % (6.5h)
T1	0.83	2.5	91 %	93 % (2h)	93 % (2.5 h)	94 % (7h)
Q1	0.63	2.5	93 %	95% (2h)	96% (2.5h)	95 % (7h)
No PS	0	0	1% ^c			

^aIsolated yields of (2) after column chromatography (time required for full conversion (determined by ¹H NMR)). ^b after 7 hours, 58% of starting material recovered. The yield reached 49% after 72h of irradiation, with 33% of starting material recovered. ^c 96% of starting material recovered.

The photosensitizers were electrochemically characterized in 0.1 M TBAPF₆/DMF electrolytes. The first ligand-centered reductions occurred at -0.99, -0.93 and -0.83 V vs Ag/AgCl for **D1**, **T1** and **Q1** respectively, *i.e.* >300 mV more positive than $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2+}$. The metal centered oxidation events were less influenced by the increased nuclearity, *i.e.* $E_{1/2}(\text{Ru}^{\Pi U \Pi}) = 1.33$, 1.38 and 1.37 V vs Ag/AgCl for **D1**, **T1** and **Q1** respectively. The excited-state reduction potentials were estimated using **equation 1**, with E_{00} , the energy stored in the excited-state, determined through Franck-Condon lineshape analysis of the photoluminescence spectra. These potentials are gathered in **Table 1** and highlight that the polynuclear photosensitizers were more potent photo-oxidants than $[\text{Ru}(\text{bpy})_3]^{2^+}$.⁴³

$$E_{1/2}(Ru^{n*/n-1}) = E_{1/2}(Ru^{n/n-1}) + E_{00}$$
 (Eq. 1)

The photosensitizers were evaluated as photoredox catalysts in the dehalogenation reaction reported by C. Stephenson *et al.* (**Scheme 1**).^{37, 44} This reaction was proposed to occur by photoinduced electron transfer from diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) to $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+*}$, followed by dehalogenation of 4-bromobenzyl-2-chloro-2-phenylacetate to 4-bromobenzyl-2-phenylacetate. Yields around 75% were obtained with $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ as photosensitizer. In this model reaction, the effects of the i) driving force for photoinduced electron transfer ii) impact of multiple ruthenium centers, iii) irradiation wavelength and iv) population of the ³MC state on the overall yield and efficiency were studied.

Scheme 1. Dehalogenation Reaction as Reported by C. Stephenson *et al.*.³⁷



First, the photosensitizers were evaluated in conditions similar to those reported. The overall concentration of each polynuclear photosensitizer was adjusted to 2.5 mol% of ruthenium center. Therefore, the number of absorbed photons was similar in all cases. White light illumination during 24h of a DMF solution containing **1** in the presence of 2 equiv. DIPEA, 1.1 equiv. of Hantzsch ester (HE) and 2.5 mol% of $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ led to the formation of **2** in 77% yield, matching the reported yield.³⁷ Excitingly, with the polynuclear photosensitizers the isolated yields increased to >90% (**Table 2**). As expected, in the absence of any photosensitizer, 96% of starting material was recovered.

The effect of blue, green and orange LED illumination (**Figure 1**) on the dehalogenation reaction was then investigated. Blue light irradiation yielded similar results as white light illumination, which was not surprising considering the spectral overlap between the LED emission profile and the MLCT absorption band of the photosensitizers. Noteworthy, the use of both green, and especially orange LEDs resulted in efficient dehalogenation, with yields >90% with **D1**, **T1** and **Q1**. Albeit, with orange illumination, 7 hours were required compared to the 2-3 hours required with blue or green LEDs. This was in stark contrast to $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$, that only reached 31% yield of **2** after 7 hours orange illumination (**Table 2**).

Given the promising results obtained with the polynuclear complexes, the photosensitizer loading was lowered to 0.1 mol% equivalent of ruthenium center (**Table 3**). This resulted in a decreased yield and incomplete conversion⁴⁵ with $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ after 24 hours, while excellent yields of around 90% were still obtained with **D1**, **T1** and **Q1**.

Table 3. Photocatalysis Results at Lower PS Concentration

PS	[PS] (mol %)	Eq. Ru center (mol %)	White light (6500K) ^a
[Ru(bpy) ₃] ²⁺	0.1	0.1	66 % ^b
D1	0.05	0.1	90 %
T1	0.03	0.1	87 %
Q1	0.023	0.1	91%

^a Isolated yields of (2) after 24h of reaction. ^b Incomplete conversion, 10% starting material recovered.

The proportions of DIPEA and HE were then modified with $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ as photosensitizer in order to investigate factors influencing the conversion and the achievable yields (**Table S1-S2**). Surprisingly, in the absence of DIPEA, but with increasing amounts of HE (**Table S1**, entries 5-7), the reaction reached quantitative conversion and isolated yields around 80% after column chromatography. Similar results were obtained with polynuclear complexes but with yields greater than 90% (**Table S2**). Moreover, without HE, but in the presence of DIPEA, a conversion of 81% was observed, corresponding only to a 33% isolated yield, which showed that the catalytic cycle proceeded partially under these conditions, however with predominant side reactions (**Table S1**, Entry 9).



Table 4. Photophysical Characterization and Excited-State Quenching Rate Constants for the PS

Figure 2. Excited-state deactivation rate constant for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ (a), D1 (b), T1 (c), Q1 (d); (blue) direct radiative and nonradiative rate constants ($k_{dr} + k_{dnr}$), (yellow) thermally activated deactivation via the upper lying ³MLCT, (red) thermally activated deactivation via the ³MC and (dot) experimental data. Variable temperature measurements were performed in argon purged butyronitrile.

The larger yields obtained with the polynuclear photosensitizers, together with the good yield observed in the absence of DIPEA, prompted excited-state quenching experiments (**Figures S33-S34**).⁴⁶⁻⁴⁷ Quenching rate constants (k_q) of 2.53 x10⁶ $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ and 1.32 x10⁶ $M^{-1}s^{-1}$ were determined with [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ and DIPEA or HE respectively (**Table 4**). These quenching rate constants were one order of magnitude larger for **D1**, **T1** and **Q1** than for [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺. Hence, as absorptivity was similar for the four photosensitizers, the larger yields could originate from these larger quenching rate constants. Note that Stern-Volmer experiments do not provide information about the quenching mechanism, *i.e.* electron transfer or energy transfer for example. Nonetheless, the driving force for electron transfer was estimated using **equation 2**,⁴⁸⁻⁴⁹ where $E_{1/2}(D^{+/0})$ is the one-electron redox potential of the electron donor, and *F* is Faraday's constant. **Table 4** highlights that the photoinduced electron transfers to the excited-state polynuclear photosensitizers from HE or DIPEA¹¹ were consistently more favorable than to $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$.

$$\Delta G_{ET} = [E_{1/2}(D^{+/0}) - E_{1/2}(Ru^{n*/n-1})]F \quad (\text{Eq. 2})$$

Additionally, ligand-loss photochemistry was observed for $[Ru(bpy)_3]^{2+}$ during prolonged white light illumination reactions (**Figure S35**). This observation was rationalized through population of the dissociative triplet metal-centered (³MC) state from the ³MLCT excited state.³³⁻³⁶ [Ru(bpy)₂Cl₂] and [Ru(bpy)₂(DMF)₂]²⁺ were unable to perform significant catalysis (**Table S1**, Entries 3-4). Variable temperature time-resolved photoluminescence measurements (**Figures S39-S50**) were

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47 48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58

59

60

performed to estimate the activation energy required to cross from the ³MLCT to the ³MC, as well as the percentage ³MC population at room temperature, **Figure 2** (see SI for additional explanation).⁵⁰⁻⁵¹ Polynuclear complexes exhibited < 0.5% of ³MC state population at room temperature, whereas population reached 48% in [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺.

The results obtained herein were rationalized according to three proposed mechanisms (Scheme 2). In all cases, the photo-reduced ruthenium complexes performed dehalogenation of 1 leading to the corresponding radical. In the absence of HE (Mechanism 1), this radical performed hydrogen atom transfer (HAT) from oxidized DIPEA. In the absence of DIPEA (Mechanism 3), HE served both as excited-state reductant and as HAT reagent.⁵² The intermediate mechanism 2 is similar to the one reported,⁵³ where DIPEA is a reducing agent prone to photooxidation in the presence of excited photosensitizers, whereas HE acts as a HAT reagent.³⁷

Scheme 2. Proposed Reaction Mechanisms.

Mechanism 1: Absence of Hantzsch Ester



Mechanism 2: Hantzsch Ester and DIPEA Present



Mechanism 3: Absence of DIPEA



In conclusion, dinuclear, trinuclear and quadrinuclear ruthenium(II) photosensitizers were synthesized and investigated for a reported light-induced dehalogenation reaction. Altogether, the increased photostability, larger quenching rate constants, shorter reaction time and more favorable driving force for electron transfer contributed to the increased isolated yields for the light-induced dehalogenation reaction of **1** into **2**. Importantly, catalyst loadings were decreased down to 0.02 mol% while still achieving dehalogenation yields greater than 90%. Variable temperature measurements showed that <0.5% of the polynuclear excited-states reached the ³MC, which correlated with increased photostability observed under steady-state illumination. In addition, this reaction proceeded with similar yields when orange illumination was used, whereas [Ru(bpy)₃]²⁺ only partially converted **1** into **2** in 72 hours.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental section, Electrochemistry, Variable temperature time-resolved photoluminescence measurements, Stern-Volmer plots and additional references (PDF).^{50-51, 54-66} The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Benjamin.Elias@uclouvain.be,

Ludovic.Troian.Gautier@ulb.ac.be

Author Contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

L.T.-G. acknowledges the F.R.S.-FNRS for his "Chargé de Recherches" fellowship. S.C. and B.E. gratefully acknowledge the UCLouvain, and the Prix Pierre et Colette Bauchau for financial support. The authors acknowledge Gerald J. Meyer for the scientific generosity and providing access to his equipment. The authors acknowledge Julien De Winter and Pascal Gerbaux for mass spectrometry measurements. Steady-State and time-resolved absorption and photoluminescence experiments were performed using instrumentation in the Alliance for Molecular PhotoElectrode Design for Solar Fuels (AMPED), an Energy Frontier Research Center (EFRC) funded by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), Office of Science, Basic Energy Sciences BES, under Award DE-SC0001011.

REFERENCES

1. Shaw, M. H.; Twilton, J.; MacMillan, D. W. C., Photoredox Catalysis in Organic Chemistry. J. Org. Chem. **2016**, *81*, 6898-6926.

2. Twilton, J.; Le, C.; Zhang, P.; Shaw, M. H.; Evans, R. W.; MacMillan, D. W. C., The merger of transition metal and photocatalysis. *Nat. Rev. Chem* **2017**, *1*, 0052.

3. Romero, N. A.; Nicewicz, D. A., Organic Photoredox Catalysis. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 10075-10166.

4. Monos, T. M.; Stephenson, C. R. J., Photoredox Catalysis of Iridium(III)-Based Photosensitizers. In *Iridium(III) in Optoelectronic*

and Photonics Applications, Zysman-Colman, E., Ed. John Wiley & Sons Ltc.: Chichester, West Sussex, 2017; pp 541-581.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

5. Morton, C. M.; Zhu, Q.; Ripberger, H.; Troian-Gautier, L.; Toa, Z. S. D.; Knowles, R. R.; Alexanian, E. J., C–H Alkylation via Multisite-Proton-Coupled Electron Transfer of an Aliphatic C–H Bond. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, *141*, 13253-13260.

6. Choi, G. J.; Zhu, Q.; Miller, D. C.; Gu, C. J.; Knowles, R. R., Catalytic alkylation of remote C–H bonds enabled by protoncoupled electron transfer. *Nature* **2016**, *539*, 268.

7. Glaser, F.; Wenger, O. S., Recent progress in the development of transition-metal based photoredox catalysts. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *405*, 213129.

8. Kerzig, C.; Guo, X.; Wenger, O. S., Unexpected Hydrated Electron Source for Preparative Visible-Light Driven Photoredox Catalysis. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2019**, *141*, 2122-2127.

9. Xu, B.; Tambar, U. K., Remote Allylation of Unactivated C(sp3)–H Bonds Triggered by Photogenerated Amidyl Radicals. *ACS Catal.* **2019**, *9*, 4627-4631.

10. Wenger, O. S., Photoactive Complexes with Earth-Abundant Metals. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 13522-13533.

11. Michelet, B.; Deldaele, C.; Kajouj, S.; Moucheron, C.; Evano, G., A General Copper Catalyst for Photoredox Transformations of Organic Halides. *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 3576-3579.

12. Lazorski, M. S.; Gest, R. H.; Elliott, C. M., Photoinduced Multistep Charge Separation in a Heteroleptic Cu(I) Bis(phenanthroline)-Based Donor–Chromophore–Acceptor Triad. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 17466-17469.

13. Lazorski, M. S.; Castellano, F. N., Advances in the light conversion properties of Cu(I)-based photosensitizers. *Polyhedron* **2014**, *82*, 57-70.

14. Siddique, Z. A.; Yamamoto, Y.; Ohno, T.; Nozaki, K., Structure-Dependent Photophysical Properties of Singlet and Triplet Metal-to-Ligand Charge Transfer States in Copper(I) Bis(diimine) Compounds. *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 6366-6378.

15. Iwamura, M.; Takeuchi, S.; Tahara, T., Real-Time Observation of the Photoinduced Structural Change of Bis(2,9dimethyl-1,10-phenanthroline)copper(I) by Femtosecond Fluorescence Spectroscopy: A Realistic Potential Curve of the Jahn-Teller Distortion. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2007**, *129*, 5248-5256.

16. Shaw, G. B.; Grant, C. D.; Shirota, H.; Castner, E. W.; Meyer, G. J.; Chen, L. X., Ultrafast Structural Rearrangements in the MLCT Excited State for Copper(I) bis-Phenanthrolines in Solution. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 2147-2160.

17. Troian-Gautier, L.; Moucheron, C., RutheniumII Complexes bearing Fused Polycyclic Ligands: From Fundamental Aspects to Potential Applications. *Molecules* **2014**, *19*, 5028-5087.

18. Troian-Gautier, L.; Turlington, M. D.; Wehlin, S. A. M.; Maurer, A. B.; Brady, M. D.; Swords, W. B.; Meyer, G. J., Halide Photoredox Chemistry. *Chem. Rev.* **2019**, *119*, 4628-4683.

19. Barigelletti, F.; Juris, A.; Balzani, V.; Belser, P.; Von Zelewsky, A., Excited-state properties of complexes of the tris(diimine)ruthenium(2+) ion family. *Inorg. Chem.* **1983**, *22*, 3335-3339.

20. Juris, A.; Balzani, V.; Barigelletti, F.; Campagna, S.; Belser, P.; von Zelewsky, A., Ru(II) polypyridine complexes: photophysics, photochemistry, eletrochemistry, and chemiluminescence. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1988**, *84*, 85-277.

21. Balzani, V.; Bergamini, G.; Ceroni, P.; Marchi, E., Designing light harvesting antennas by luminescent dendrimers. *New J. Chem.* **2011**, *35*, 1944-1954.

22. Bian, Z.-Y.; Sumi, K.; Furue, M.; Sato, S.; Koike, K.; Ishitani, O., A Novel Tripodal Ligand, Tris[(4'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridyl-4-yl)methyl]carbinol and Its Trinuclear RuII/ReI Mixed-Metal Complexes: Synthesis, Emission Properties, and Photocatalytic CO2 Reduction. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 10801-10803.

23. La Ganga, G.; Nastasi, F.; Campagna, S.; Puntoriero, F., Photoinduced water oxidation sensitized by a tetranuclear Ru(ii) dendrimer. *Dalton Trans.* **2009**, 9997-9999.

 La Mazza, E.; Puntoriero, F.; Nastasi, F.; Laramée-Milette,
B.; Hanan, G. S.; Campagna, S., A heptanuclear light-harvesting metalbased antenna dendrimer with six Ru(II)-based chromophores directly powering a single Os(ii)-based energy trap. Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 19238-19241.

25. Leveque, J.; Elias, B.; Moucheron, C.; Kirsch-De Mesmaeker, A., Dendritic Tetranuclear Ru(II) Complexes Based on the Nonsymmetrical PHEHAT Bridging Ligand and Their Building Blocks: Synthesis, Characterization, and Electrochemical and Photophysical Properties. *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 393-400.

26. Natali, M.; Puntoriero, F.; Chiorboli, C.; La Ganga, G.; Sartorel, A.; Bonchio, M.; Campagna, S.; Scandola, F., Working the Other Way Around: Photocatalytic Water Oxidation Triggered by Reductive Quenching of the Photoexcited Chromophore. J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 2371-2379.

27. Puntoriero, F.; La Ganga, G.; Sartorel, A.; Carraro, M.; Scorrano, G.; Bonchio, M.; Campagna, S., Photo-induced water oxidation with tetra-nuclear ruthenium sensitizer and catalyst: A unique 4×4 ruthenium interplay triggering high efficiency with low-energy visible light. *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 4725-4727.

28. Serroni, S.; Campagna, S.; Puntoriero, F.; Di Pietro, C.; McClenaghan, N. D.; Loiseau, F., Dendrimers based on ruthenium(ii) and osmium(II) polypyridine complexes and the approach of using complexes as ligands and complexes as metals. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2001**, *30*, 367-375.

29. Servaty, K.; Moucheron, C.; Kirsch-De Mesmaeker, A., Trinuclear ruthenium dendrons based on bridging PHEHAT and TPAC ligands. *Dalton Trans.* **2011**, *40*, 11704-11711.

30. Stoll, T.; Gennari, M.; Fortage, J.; Castillo, C. E.; Rebarz, M.; Sliwa, M.; Poizat, O.; Odobel, F.; Deronzier, A.; Collomb, M.-N., An Efficient RuII–RhIII–RuII Polypyridyl Photocatalyst for Visible-Light-Driven Hydrogen Production in Aqueous Solution. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 1654-1658.

31. Cancelliere, A. M.; Puntoriero, F.; Serroni, S.; Campagna, S.; Tamaki, Y.; Saito, D.; Ishitani, O., Efficient trinuclear Ru(II)–Re(I) supramolecular photocatalysts for CO2 reduction based on a new trischelating bridging ligand built around a central aromatic ring. *Chem. Sci.* **2020**, *11*, 1556-1563.

32. Li, G.; Zhu, D.; Wang, X.; Su, Z.; Bryce, M. R., Dinuclear metal complexes: multifunctional properties and applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2020**, *49*, 765-838.

33. White, J. K.; Schmehl, R. H.; Turro, C., An overview of photosubstitution reactions of Ru(II) imine complexes and their application in photobiology and photodynamic therapy. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2017**, *454*, 7-20.

34. Luis, E. T.; Iranmanesh, H.; Beves, J. E., Photosubstitution reactions in ruthenium(II) trisdiimine complexes: Implications for photoredox catalysis. *Polyhedron* **2019**, *160*, 1-9.

35. Soupart, A.; Alary, F.; Heully, J.-L.; Elliott, P. I. P.; Dixon, I. M., Recent progress in ligand photorelease reaction mechanisms: Theoretical insights focusing on Ru(II) 3MC states. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2020**, *408*, 213184.

36. Li, G.; Brady, M. D.; Meyer, G. J., Visible Light Driven Bromide Oxidation and Ligand Substitution Photochemistry of a Ru Diimine Complex. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2018**, *140*, 5447-5456.

37. Narayanam, J. M. R.; Tucker, J. W.; Stephenson, C. R. J., Electron-Transfer Photoredox Catalysis: Development of a Tin-Free Reductive Dehalogenation Reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8756-8757.

38. Poelma, S. O.; Burnett, G. L.; Discekici, E. H.; Mattson, K. M.; Treat, N. J.; Luo, Y.; Hudson, Z. M.; Shankel, S. L.; Clark, P. G.; Kramer, J. W.; Hawker, C. J.; Read de Alaniz, J., Chemoselective Radical Dehalogenation and C–C Bond Formation on Aryl Halide Substrates Using Organic Photoredox Catalysts. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 7155-7160.

39. Isley, N. A.; Hageman, M. S.; Lipshutz, B. H., Dehalogenation of functionalized alkyl halides in water at room temperature. *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 893-897.

40. Alonso, F.; Beletskaya, I. P.; Yus, M., Metal-Mediated Reductive Hydrodehalogenation of Organic Halides. *Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *102*, 4009-4092.

41. The emission profile of the different light sources was available from ThorLabs. Lights sources LED-LIU470A, LED-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

59

60

LIU525B and LED-LIU590A were used for 470 nm, 525 nm and 590 nm light irradiation, respectively.

42. Suzuki, K.; Kobayashi, A.; Kaneko, S.; Takehira, K.; Yoshihara, T.; Ishida, H.; Shiina, Y.; Oishi, S.; Tobita, S., Reevaluation of absolute luminescence quantum yields of standard solutions using a spectrometer with an integrating sphere and a back-thinned CCD detector. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2009**, *11*, 9850-9860.

43. Jones, W. E.; Fox, M. A., Determination of Excited-State Redox Potentials by Phase-Modulated Voltammetry. *J. Phys. Chem* **1994**, *98*, 5095-5099.

44. Neumann, M.; Füldner, S.; König, B.; Zeitler, K., Metal-Free, Cooperative Asymmetric Organophotoredox Catalysis with Visible Light. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 951-954.

45. Conversion describes the percentage of starting material that reacted to form either the desired product or other byproducts (including degradation products). The yield describes the percentage of starting material that was converted into the desired product only.

46. Stern, O.; Volmer, M., Über die Abklingungszit der Fluoreszenz. *Phyik. Z.* **1919**, *20*, 183-188.

47. Lakowicz, J. R., *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*. Springer US, New York: 2006.

48. Braslavsky, S. E., Glossary of terms used in photochemistry, 3rd edition (IUPAC Recommendations 2006). In *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 2007; Vol. 79, pp 293-465.

49. Rehm, D.; Weller, A., Kinetics of Fluorescence Quenching by Electron and H-Atom Transfer. *Isr. J. Chem.* **1970**, *8*, 259-271.

50. Hager, G. D.; Crosby, G. A., Charge-transfer exited states of ruthenium(II) complexes. I. Quantum yield and decay measurements. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1975**, *97*, 7031-7037.

51. Van Houten, J.; Watts, R. J., Temperature dependence of the photophysical and photochemical properties of the tris(2,2'-bipyridyl)ruthenium(II) ion in aqueous solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1976**, *98*, 4853-4858.

52. Wang, P.-Z.; Chen, J.-R.; Xiao, W.-J., Hantzsch esters: an emerging versatile class of reagents in photoredox catalyzed organic synthesis. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2019**, *17*, 6936-6951.

53. Nguyen, J. D.; D'Amato, E. M.; Narayanam, J. M. R.; Stephenson, C. R. J., Engaging unactivated alkyl, alkenyl and aryl iodides in visible-light-mediated free radical reactions. *Nature Chem.* **2012**, *4*, 854-859.

54. Troian-Gautier, L.; Beauvilliers, E. E.; Swords, W. B.; Meyer, G. J., Redox Active Ion-Paired Excited States Undergo Dynamic Electron Transfer. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 16815-16826. 55. Motley, T. C.; Troian-Gautier, L.; Brennaman, M. K.; Meyer, G. J., Excited-State Decay Pathways of Tris(bidentate) Cyclometalated Ruthenium(II) Compounds. *Inorg. Chem.* **2017**, *56*, 13579-13592.

56. Kober, E. M.; Caspar, J. V.; Lumpkin, R. S.; Meyer, T. J., Application of the energy gap law to excited-state decay of osmium(II)polypyridine complexes: calculation of relative nonradiative decay rates from emission spectral profiles. *J. Phys. Chem* **1986**, *90*, 3722-3734.

57. Caspar, J. V.; Meyer, T. J., Photochemistry of tris(2,2'bipyridine)ruthenium(2+) ion (Ru(bpy)32+). Solvent effects. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1983**, 105, 5583-5590.

58. Forster, L. S., Thermal relaxation in excited electronic states of d3 and d6 metal complexes. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2002**, *227*, 59-92.

59. Allen, G. H.; White, R. P.; Rillema, D. P.; Meyer, T. J., Synthetic control of excited-state properties. Tris-chelate complexes containing the ligands 2,2'-bipyrazine, 2,2'-bipyridine, and 2,2'-bipyrimidine. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, *106*, 2613-2620.

60. Caspar, J. V.; Westmoreland, T. D.; Allen, G. H.; Bradley, P. G.; Meyer, T. J.; Woodruff, W. H., Molecular and electronic structure in the metal-to-ligand charge-transfer excited states of d6 transition-metal complexes in solution. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1984**, *106*, 3492-3500.

61. Downard, A. J.; Honey, G. E.; Phillips, L. F.; Steel, P. J., Synthesis and properties of a tris(2,2'-bipyridine)ruthenium(II) dimer directly coupled at the C4 carbon. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 2259-2260.

62. Four, P.; Guibe, F., Palladium-catalyzed reaction of tributyltin hydride with acyl chlorides. A mild, selective, and general route to aldehydes. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 4439-4445.

63. Harriman, A.; Izzet, G., Direct observation of the fourth MLCT triplet state in ruthenium(II) tris(2,2'-bipyridine). *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2007**, *9*, 944-948.

64. Takeko, M.-I.; Masahiro, T.; Takayoshi, M.; Tomoko, O., A Remarkably Rapid Synthesis of Ruthenium(II) Polypyridine Complexes by Microwave Irradiation. *Chem. Lett.* **1994**, *23*, 2443-2446.

65. Zalas, M.; Gierczyk, B.; Cegłowski, M.; Schroeder, G., Synthesis of new dendritic antenna-like polypyridine ligands. *Chemical Papers* **2012**, *66*, 733-740.

66. Lay, P. A.; Sargeson, A. M.; Taube, H.; Chou, M. H.; Creutz, C., Cis-Bis(2,2'-Bipyridine-N,N') Complexes of Ruthenium(III)/(II) and Osmium(III)/(II). In *Inorganic Syntheses*, 2007; Vol. 24, pp 291-299.

Journal of the American Chemical Society

