View Article Online

# Dalton Transactions

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: T. Gunnlaugsson, O. Kotova, S. Comby, K. Pandurangan, F. Stomeo, J. E. O'Brien, M. Feeney, R. Peacock and C. McCoy, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, DOI: 10.1039/C8DT02753F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/dalton

Published on 09 August 2018. Downloaded by Kaohsiung Medical University on 8/10/2018 1:55:54 PM

### Journal Name

## ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

# The effect of the linker size in C<sub>2</sub>-symmetrical chiral ligands on the self-assembly formation of luminescent triple-stranded *di*-metallic Eu(III) helicates in solution

Oxana Kotova,\*<sup>a</sup> Steve Comby,<sup>a</sup> Komala Pandurangan,<sup>a</sup> Floriana Stomeo,<sup>a</sup> John E. O'Brien,<sup>a</sup> Martin Feeney,<sup>a</sup> Robert D. Peacock,<sup>b</sup> Colin P. McCoy<sup>c</sup> and Thorfinnur Gunnlaugsson\*<sup>a</sup>

Chiral lanthanide-based supramolecular structures have gained significant importance in view of their application in imaging, sensing and other functional purposes. We have designed chiral  $C_2$ -symmetrical ligands (L) based on the use of two 2,6-pyridine-dicarboxylic-amide moieties (**pda**), that differ from one another by the nature of the diamine spacer groups (from 1,3-phenylenedimethanamine (1(*S*,*S*), 2(*R*,*R*)) and benzene-1,3-diamine (3(*S*,*S*), 4(*R*,*R*)) to much bulkier 4,4'- (cyclohexane-1,1-diyl)bis(2,6-dimethylaniline) (5(*S*,*S*), 6(*R*,*R*))) between these two **pda** units. The self-assembly between L and Eu(III) ions were investigated in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution at low concentration whereby the changes in the absorbance, fluorescence and Eu(III)-centred emission spectra allowed us to model the binding equilibria occurring in the solution to the presence of [**Eu:L**<sub>2</sub>], [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] assemblies and reveal their high binding constant values. The self-assembly in solution were also studied at higher concentration by following the changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of the ligands upon Eu(III) addition, as well as by using MALDI-MS of the isolated solid state complexes. The chiroptical properties of the ligands were used in order to study the structural changes upon self-assembly between the ligands and Eu(III) ions using circular dichroism (CD) and circularly polarised luminescence (CPL) spectroscopies. The photophysical properties of [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] complexes were evaluated in solution and showed the decrease of luminescence quantum yield when going from smaller to bulkier linkers from ~5.8% to ~3.2-2.6 %. While mass-spectrometry revealed the possible formation of trinucler assemblies such as [**Eu**<sub>3</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] and [**Eu**<sub>3</sub>:**L**<sub>2</sub>].

#### Introduction

The design of self-assembled lanthanide (Ln) complexes with triple-stranded *di*-metallic helical motif is inspired by architecture, mechanics and structural features of biological macromolecules and driven by possible application of such systems thanks to unique magnetic and spectroscopic properties of the metal centres.[1] The first *di*-metallic lanthanide helicates were reported by Piguet *et al.*[2] and since then, the structural and thermodynamic parameters of such systems were investigated[3] moving towards nd-4f helicates[4], polymeric helical structures[5], and water soluble systems for imaging applications[6]. Such systems were also developed as sensors for adenosine monophosphate, fluoride[7] and chiral helical structures; which we also investigate within our research group.[8] The design of the

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

ligands is crucial in order to achieve lanthanide complexes with triple-stranded *di*-metallic helical motif and modifications of ligand design have been recently proven successful in moving from triple stranded helicates towards achieving 3D helical structures, [9] pentanuclear lanthanide helicates, [10] tetranuclear tetrahedrons and octanuclear cubes, [11] or cages [12]. Recently, another interesting approach has been shown where one can move from the use of dinuclear *bis*- $\beta$ -diketonate lanthanide complexes, [13] to D<sub>2</sub>-symmetrical alternating circular helicate, by simply combining achiral *bis*- $\beta$ -diketonate and chiral Pybox ligands within a single ligand



Scheme 1. Structural formulas of the ligands 1-6.

J. Name., 2013, **00**, 1-3 | **1** 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> School of Chemistry, Trinity Biomedical Sciences Institute (TBSI), Trinity College Dublin, Dublin 2, Ireland. E-mail: <u>kotovao@tcd.ie</u>, <u>aunnlaut@tcd.ie</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> School of Chemistry, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G 12 8QQ, Scotland, United Kingdom.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> School of Pharmacy, Queen's University Belfast, 97 Lisburn Road, Belfast, BT9 7BL, Northern Ireland, U.K.

<sup>+</sup> Footnotes relating to the title and/or authors should appear here.

**Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscrip** 

DOI: 10.1039/C8DT02753F Journal Name



Figure 1. The changes in the absorption spectrum of ligands (A) 4(R,R) (c = 7.84×10<sup>-6</sup> M) or (B) 5(S,S) (c = 1.00×10<sup>-5</sup> M) upon addition of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0→4 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at 25 °C.

structure.[14] In our research group, we have based our ligand design on connecting two chiral half-helicate ligands either (S) or (R) 6-(1-(naphthalen-1-yl)ethylcarbamoyl)picolinic acid with diamine spacers such as 4,4'-methylenedianiline,[8a] 3,3'methylenedianiline [8b] or smaller 1,3-phenylenedimethanamine.[8c] The interaction of these ligands with lanthanide ions in solution resulted in the formation of enantiomerically pure luminescent triple-stranded di-metallic Ln(III) helicates. The use of 2,6- pyridinedicarboxamide (pda) binding unit is very appealing for the formation of various types of Ln(III) bundles[8g] including highly novel systems such as molecular trefoil knots,[15a-15b] elegantly developed by Leigh and co-workers, that can be used in asymmetric catalysis.[15c] This concept was recently expanded for Zn(II) systems where trefoil knots were formed from trimeric circular helicates,[15d] and by securing a supramolecular architecture by tying a stopper in mechanically interlocked molecules[15e].

In this work we continue our helicate endeavour with Eu(III) and investigate the effect of the spacer size on the formation of lanthanide self-assembly structures in solution by comparing the system published earlier (ligands 1-2) [8c] with

the new ligands where shorter benzene-1,3-diamine (ligands **3–4**, Scheme 1) or much bulkier spacer such as 4,4'- (cyclohexane-1,1-diyl)bis(2,6-dimethylaniline) (ligands **5–6**) were utilised (Scheme 1).

The structural changes of the ligand upon self-assembly with Eu(III) in solution were accessed with the aid of spectroscopic techniques by monitoring the changes in the absorbance, fluorescence and Eu(III)-centred emission of the ligand upon metal addition at low concentration, as well as circular dichroism (CD) and circularly polarised luminescence (CPL) spectroscopies, in addition to <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectroscopy at high ligand concentrations.

#### **Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis of ligands 1-6** The ligands were synthesised in four steps (Scheme S1, SI) starting from 2,6-pyridine-dicarboxylic acid through protecting one of the carboxylic acids by reacting it with benzyl bromide. The remaining carboxylic acid group, of resulting compound **16** (see ESI, Scheme S1) was coupled with (*S*) or (*R*) 1- (naphthalen-1-yl)ethanamine using N-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)-N'-



**Figure 2.** (A) The changes in the delayed Eu(III)-centred emission spectrum of 4(R,R) (c = 7.84×10<sup>-6</sup> M) upon addition of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0 $\rightarrow$ 4 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at T = 25 °C,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 281 nm (total decay time = 0.02 s; delay time = 0.1 ms); (B) experimental binding isotherms for the same titration and their corresponding fits by means of SPECFIT program.

This journal is  $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

<sup>2 |</sup> J. Name., 2012, 00, 1-3

Published on 09 August 2018. Downloaded by Kaohsiung Medical University on 8/10/2018 1:55:54 PM

Journal Name

**Table 1.** Binding constants ( $\log\beta_{M:L}$ ) obtained by fitting the changes in absorption, fluorescence and Eu(III)-centred emission spectra of **3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*), **5**(*S*,*S*) or **6**(*R*,*R*) upon addition of Eu(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution (25 °C). All titrations were done at least in triplicates.

Absorbance			Fluorescence			Eu(III)-centred emission		
logβ <sub>1:2</sub>	logβ <sub>2:3</sub>	logβ <sub>2:2</sub>	logβ <sub>1:2</sub>	logβ <sub>2:3</sub>	logβ <sub>2:2</sub>	logβ <sub>1:2</sub>	logβ <sub>2:3</sub>	logβ <sub>2:2</sub>
13.7*	26.7±0.2	19.6±0.2	13.3±0.4	26.6±0.5	19.6±0.4	13.2±0.4	27.9±0.2	21.2±0.1
12.5±0.4	26.4±0.3	19.1±0.2	13.2*	27.1±0.2	20.7±0.1	13.2±0.1	26.6±0.2	20.7±0.1
12.7±0.1	25.5±0.3	18.1±0.2	14.3±0.3	26.2±0.3	19.2±0.2	13.9±0.2	26.2±0.4	19.1±0.1
12.9±0.1	25.4±0.3	19.3±0.2	15.0±0.2	28.5±0.3	20.3±0.3	13.9±0.2	25.8±0.6	19.9±0.3
	<b>logβ</b> <sub>1:2</sub> 13.7* 12.5±0.4 12.7±0.1 12.9±0.1	Absorbance           logβ1:2         logβ2:3           13.7*         26.7±0.2           12.5±0.4         26.4±0.3           12.7±0.1         25.5±0.3           12.9±0.1         25.4±0.3	Absorbance           logβ1:2         logβ2:3         logβ2:2           13.7*         26.7±0.2         19.6±0.2           12.5±0.4         26.4±0.3         19.1±0.2           12.7±0.1         25.5±0.3         18.1±0.2           12.9±0.1         25.4±0.3         19.3±0.2	Absorbance         Iogβ <sub>1:2</sub> Iogβ <sub>2:3</sub> Iogβ <sub>2:4</sub> Iogβ <sub>1:4</sub> 13.7*         26.7±0.2         19.6±0.2         13.3±0.4           12.5±0.4         26.4±0.3         19.1±0.2         13.2*           12.7±0.1         25.5±0.3         18.1±0.2         14.3±0.3           12.9±0.1         25.4±0.3         19.3±0.2         15.0±0.2	Absorbance         Fluorescence           logβ12         logβ23         logβ22         logβ12         logβ23           13.7*         26.7±0.2         19.6±0.2         13.3±0.4         26.6±0.5           12.5±0.4         26.4±0.3         19.1±0.2         13.2*         27.1±0.2           12.7±0.1         25.5±0.3         18.1±0.2         14.3±0.3         26.2±0.3           12.9±0.1         25.4±0.3         19.3±0.2         15.0±0.2         28.5±0.3	Absorbance         Iogβ <sub>12</sub> logβ <sub>23</sub> logβ <sub>22</sub> logβ <sub>12</sub> logβ <sub>23</sub> logβ <sub>24</sub> logβ <sub>25</sub> logβ <sub>26</sub> logβ <sub>26</sub> logβ <sub>27</sub> logβ <sub>26</sub> <thlog< th="">         logβ<sub>26</sub>         logβ<sub>26</sub> <thlog< th="">         logβ<sub>26</sub>         logβ</thlog<></thlog<>	Horsebace         Elucrescence         Elufility           logβ12         logβ23         logβ22         logβ12         logβ23         logβ23 <td< td=""><td>bsorbance         Elurescence         Elu(II)-centred entric           logβ12         logβ23         logβ22         logβ12         logβ23         logβ23</td></td<>	bsorbance         Elurescence         Elu(II)-centred entric           logβ12         logβ23         logβ22         logβ12         logβ23         logβ23

\* - values were fixed to allow convergence of the experimental data to the proposed model

ethylcarbodiimide (EDCI), 1-hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) as coupling reagents in dichloromethane resulting in the formation of compounds 12, 13 (Scheme S1, SI), followed by de-protection using hydrogenation in the presence of or Pd/C as a catalyst. These socalled "half-helicate" compounds (either 10 or 11, Scheme S1, SI) were then coupled with the desired "spacer" diamines (Scheme 1) using the same peptide coupling reagents as before, in the presence of trimethylamine and 4-dimethylaminopyridine as catalyst. It has to be noted that 1,3-phenylenediamine linker (8) was obtained from commercial sources while 4,4'-(cyclohexane-1,1-diyl)bis(2,6dimethylaniline) linker was synthesised following the procedures reported previously by Hunter et al.[17] Ligands 3-6 (Scheme 1) were obtained in ~20-25 % yield and their composition was confirmed by a combination of <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C and heteronuclear single quantum correlation (HSQC) NMR experiments along with electrospray mass-spectrometry (ESI-MS), IR and elemental analysis (see SI, Figures S1-S14).

Self-assembly studies between chiral ligands 3–6 and Eu(III) ions in solution, formation of helical assemblies at low concentration using UV-Vis and luminescence spectroscopy Initially, the absorption spectra of the ligands developed herein, were evaluated in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution (Figure S15). The same trend was seen for all, where two main absorption bands centred at *ca.* 223 nm and 280 nm were observed, these being assigned to  $\pi \rightarrow \pi^*$  and  $n \rightarrow \pi^*$  transitions within ligands structure ( $\varepsilon_{280}(\mathbf{3}(S,S)) = 34553 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{280}(\mathbf{4}(R,R)) = 34963 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{280}(\mathbf{5}(S,S)) = 23260 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$  and  $\varepsilon_{280}(\mathbf{6}(R,R)) = 23358 \text{ M}^{-1} \cdot \text{cm}^{-1}$ ).

The self-assembly studies between the ligands 3-6 and Eu(III) ions in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution were next monitored by following the changes in the absorption, fluorescence and Eu(III)-centred emission spectra of each ligand upon gradual addition of metal ions ( $0 \rightarrow 4$  equivalents) (Figure 1). The changes in the titration profiles for the pair of enantiomers 3(S,S), 4(R,R) and 5(S,S), 6(R,R) followed the same trends, and therefore, we will only discuss one of each sets of enantiomers herein. The changes in the absorption spectra of 4(R,R)and 5(S,S) are shown in Figure 1A,B; the long absorption wavelength being red shifted upon complexation of these ligands with Eu(III). The changes observed for 3(S,S) and 6(R,R) upon addition of Eu(III) ( $0 \rightarrow 4$  equiv.) were to greater extend identical; the main differences being the observation of a less decrease in the absorbance centred at 222 nm between the additions of  $0 \rightarrow 0.50$ equivalents of Eu(III), and higher hyperchromicity of the band centred at 334 nm in the case of 5(S,S) and 6(R,R) compared to  $\mathbf{3}(S,S)$  and  $\mathbf{4}(R,R)$  (Figure 1A,B). This can reflect the differences in the conformational changes between the self-assembly processes in solution. In both cases the changes in the absorption bands of the ligand occurred until addition of 0.67 equivalents of Eu(III) when the plateau has been reached (Figures S16, S17, S21, S24).

Excitation into the naphthalene bands at  $\lambda_{ex} = 281$  nm resulted in a weak ligand-centred emission with the maximum at ~ 425-460 nm for all the ligands (Figure S18, S19, S22, S25). Upon addition of Eu(III) (0 $\rightarrow$ 0.67 equivalents) an enhancement was seen in the ligand-centred emission of the benzene-1,3-diamine linker based ligands **3**(*S*,*S*) and **4**(*R*,*R*), while after the addition of 0.67 equivalents of Eu(III), a gradual decrease in the ligand-centred



Figure 3. Circular dichroism spectra of the ligands (A) L = 3(S,S), 4(R,R) (c =  $1.43 \times 10^{-5}$  M) and (B) L = 5(S,S), 6(R,R) (c =  $1.40 \times 10^{-5}$  M) together with their corresponding Eu<sub>2</sub>L<sub>3</sub> complexes (c<sub>Eu2L3</sub> =  $4.77 \times 10^{-6}$  M) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

emission was observed. Concomitantly, the Eu(III)-centred emission

**Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript** 

DOI: 10.1039/C8DT02753F Journal Name

was observed, indicating the successful population of the lanthanide excited state, through sensitisation of the naphthalene antennae, with the maximum of luminescence intensity being achieved upon the addition of ca. 0.70 equivalents of Eu(III). Upon further additions, a decrease in the lanthanide luminescence was observed until it reached plateau after the addition of ca. 1.25 equivalents (Figure S18, S22). The case of increasing ligand centred emission upon complexation with Eu(III) is unusual and suggests the presence of strong interaction between the ligands as well as decrease of vibration quenching effects within ligand structure occurring upon complexation.[16] In the case of 5(S,S) and 6(R,R)(with 4,4'-(cyclohexane-1,1-diyl)bis(2,6-dimethylaniline linker)[17] the weak ligand centred fluorescence decreased upon complexation with Eu(III). At the same time, the Eu(III)-centred emission appears; reaching maximum upon addition of 0.67 equivalents of Eu(III). After this point, the ligand-centred emission is gradually enhanced, while Eu(III)-centred emission decreased until 4 equivalents of the ion added (Figure S19, S25). The changes in the delayed (0.10 ms) Eu(III)-centred emission were following the same trend as these observed in the fluorescence spectra earlier (Figure 2, S20, S23, S26). The ratio between the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{5}F_{1}$  transitions for these two sets of diamine linkers varies significantly, suggesting discrepancy in the coordination environment of Eu(III) ion. Interestingly, the ratio between the species formed in solution also varies, which is a sign that different self-assembly processes are occurring in solution for these two types of ligands. However, in both cases, the presence of  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{0}$  band is observed, this suggesting the formation of species where the Eu(III) ions are within a site symmetry of  $C_{nv}$ ,  $C_n$  or  $C_s$ .[18] In contrast to these titrations, the titration profile for 3(S,S) and 4(R,R) (with benzene-1,3-diamine linker) upon titration with Eu(III) corresponds closer to the behaviour previously observed for 1(S,S)and 2(R,R) (with 1,3-phenylenedimethanamine).[8c] In all the cases the shape of the excitation spectra with  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  at 280 nm recorded during the course of the titrations ( $\lambda_{em}$  = 616 nm; Figure S27) confirmed that the population of the Eu(III) excited state occurs via to the "antenna effect" where the energy absorbed by the ligands is transferred onto the metal centres from where the characteristic sharp line-like emission occurs. During the course of the titration the lifetimes of the  ${}^{5}D_{0}$  level of Eu(III) were recorded upon the addition of 0.67 eq. and these results were then best fitted to

mono-exponential decays with the average values of ~1.50–1.70 ms suggesting the formation of fully saturated metal coordination spheres (Figure S28).[8a,b; 19a,b]

The changes observed during the course of these titrations were analysed by fitting the data using non-linear regression analysis program SPECFIT.[20] In all the cases, the factor analysis suggested the formation of three additional species along with the initial ligand being present in solution. The stability constants were determined (as  $\log\beta_{ML}$ ) for the binding models [**Eu:L**<sub>2</sub>], [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>2</sub>] and [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] (Table 1). The values of the binding constants between the enantiomers of the same ligand closely corresponded to each other, and were found to be higher for the ligands consisting of smaller linker groups such as (**1**(*S*,*S*), **2**(*R*,*R*))[8c] and (**3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*)) compared to (**5**(*S*,*S*), **6**(*R*,*R*)) (Table 1). The speciation distribution diagram for these titrations also revealed that the yield of [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] species at 0.67 equiv. of Eu(III) decreased from 80.2% for **1**(*S*,*S*), **2**(*R*,*R*)[8c] and 82.5% for **3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*) to 72.2% for **5**(*S*,*S*), **6**(*R*,*R*), Figure S29.

**Circular dichroism (CD) and circularly polarised luminescence (CPL) for [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>] assemblies in solution** The chiral nature of the ligands was probed using CD spectroscopy, and the Cotton effects in the spectra of corresponding pair of enantiomers (*e.g.* **3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*) and **5**(*S*,*S*), **6**(*R*,*R*)) appeared as mirror images to each other, Figure 3. For **3**(*S*,*S*), three positive CD signals were observed with the maxima at *ca.* 296, 229, 208 nm along with two negative CD signals at 271 and 219 nm. The signals in the CD spectra of **5**(*S*,*S*) were similar to these observed for **3**(*S*,*S*) with the positive signals observed at 285, 230 and 209 nm, correspondingly, while showing only one negative signal at 223 nm. The shape of the CD spectra observed here closely corresponds to similar structures previously developed.[8a,b]

The corresponding  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  were then formed in solution through self-assembly of 1 equiv. of the corresponding ligand with 0.67 equiv. of Eu(III). Each solution was then equilibrated for 15 minutes to ensure the formation of the desired  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  helical assemblies in solution (Figure 3). Again the spectra corresponding to each pair of enantiomers appeared as mirror image to one another suggesting enantiomeric purity of the corresponding Eu(III) species (although this might also represent a minor contribution from other stoichiometries as outlined above). The CD spectra of the



Figure 4. The changes in the CD spectra of (A) 5(S,S) (c = 1.40×10<sup>-5</sup> M) and (B) 6(R,R) (c = 1.34×10<sup>-5</sup> M) upon addition of Eu(OTf)<sub>3</sub> (0→4 equiv.) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

DOI: 10.1039/C8DT02753F ARTICLE

**Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript** 



Figure 5. Circularly polarised luminescence spectra and total luminescence emission of  $Eu_2L_3$  complexes in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (c = 3.33×10<sup>-5</sup> M) where L is (A) 3(*S*,*S*), 4(*R*,*R*) and (B) L = 5(*S*,*S*), 6(*R*,*R*) ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 281 nm).

complexes appeared significantly different to those of the ligands. For example, for  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  with L = 3(S,S) (Figure 3A) the first negative Cotton effect band was observed at 325 nm while second positive Cotton effect band at 295 nm and an amplitude of 4.6 mdeg showing negative chirality. The following positive Cotton effect centred at 227 nm and negative Cotton effect at 214 appeared with large amplitude of 115 mdeg. The presence of sinusoid-like shape of the CD spectra for [Eu2:L3] suggests the appearance of possible mixing between electric- and magnetic-dipole allowed transitions (most likely exciton coupling) between the chromophores that has been brought in one another close proximity due to the formation of the Eu(III) assemblies.[21] Similarly, for [Eu2:L3] complexes where L = 5(S,S) (Figure 3B), the first negative Cotton effect was observed at 340 nm, with the following positive Cotton effect being observed at 295 nm and the amplitude of 3 mdeg. The latter positive Cotton effect then evolved into the next positive CD band centred at 227 nm with the following negative Cotton effect at 212 nm and the amplitude of 245 mdeg. Similarities in the shape of the CD spectra between  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  (L = 3(S,S), 4(R,R); Figure 3A) and (L = 5(S,S), 6(R,R); Figure 3B) suggests similar geometrical character of the assemblies being formed in CH<sub>3</sub>CN.

The changes in the CD spectra can be used to correlate the nonlinear enhancement of the chiroptical response to the nonlinearity dependence on point chirality for tetrahedral Eu(III) chiral cage structures or used to monitor anion sensing events for different anions in solution.[7b,12c] Furthermore, in our previous work, [22] we have shown that the changes in the CD spectra of chiral ligands titrated with Ln(III) ions can be used not only to

monitor the structural/conformational changes occurring upon complexation/self-assembly formation, but also used to evaluate the binding affinities between the ligands and the ions in solution by fitting the data using non-linear regression analysis. With this in mind, we monitored the changes in the CD spectra of the ligands L = **5**(*S*,*S*) and **6**(*R*,*R*), upon addition of Eu(III) ( $0 \rightarrow 4$  equiv.). From these titrations, Figure 4, it was clear that a significant structural conformations occurred upon formation of the helicates in solution, and the presence of a kinetic effects was also observed.[23] As previously observed for CD spectra of the ligands and their assemblies with Eu(III) (Figure 3) the titrations profile for 5(S,S) was a mirror image to that observed for 6(R,R) (Figure 4), and the binding isotherms (Figure S30) reveal the main changes in the spectra happening until the addition of 0.67 equiv. of Eu(III) (Table 1), supporting the formation of the bi-metallic triple-stranded helicates in solution.

Finally, the CPL spectra of [Eu2:L3] assemblies were recorded in CH<sub>3</sub>CN solution, where the Eu(III) centred emission was monitored upon ligand excitation (Figure 5). This clearly revealed the chiral nature of the Eu(III) centres; each pair of enantiomers resulted in CPL spectra that were mirror images opposite in signs and equal in magnitudes. The absolute configuration of Eu(III) centres can be tentatively assigned by comparing these results to the CPL spectra of previously published mononuclear Eu(III) complexes, where the absolute configuration was determined by using X-ray crystallography.[19] As such, the [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>] self-assemblies where L = **3**(*S*,*S*), **5**(*S*,*S*) can be assigned as being  $\Delta$ , $\Delta$  around the two metal ions, while for L = 4(R,R), 6(R,R), the assignment of the absolute

Table 2. Dissymmetry factor values (g <sub>lum</sub> ) determined from the spectra depicted on Figure 3.								
	Transition	λ, nm	g <sub>lum</sub> ( <b>Eu<sub>2</sub>(3</b> ( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> ) <b>)</b> <sub>3</sub> )	g <sub>lum</sub> ( <b>Eu<sub>2</sub>(4</b> ( <i>R</i> , <i>R</i> )) <sub>3</sub> )	g <sub>lum</sub> ( <b>Eu<sub>2</sub>(5</b> ( <i>S</i> , <i>S</i> )) <sub>3</sub> )	g <sub>lum</sub> (Eu <sub>2</sub> (6(R,R)) <sub>3</sub> )		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$	595	0.27	-0.27	0.17	-0.17		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$	603	0.19	-0.27	0.18	-0.16		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$	620	-0.27	0.28	-0.21	0.20		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{3}$	654	0.43	-0.50	0.20	-0.26		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{3}$	658	0.57	-0.61	0.32	-0.32		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$	696	-0.06	0.06	-0.03	0.05		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$	700	-0.08	0.09	-0.06	0.05		
	${}^{5}D_{0}\rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$	704	-0.08	0.09	-0.07	0.10		
	${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$	710	0.53	-0.52	0.45	0.46		

**Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript** 

able 3. Overall europium-centred luminescence	e quantum yields and sensitisation efficience	cies for [Eu <sub>2</sub> L <sub>3</sub> ] helicate complexes recorded in CH <sub>3</sub> CN.
---	---	---

Eu <sub>2</sub> L <sub>3</sub>	τ <sub>obs</sub> , ms	Φ <sub>tot</sub> , %	τ <sub>R</sub> , ms	$\mathbf{\Phi}^{Ln}_{Ln}$ %	η <sub>sens</sub> , %
$\mathbf{L} = 1(S,S)$	1.44±0.01	5.8±0.1	6.9±0.4	21.0±0.5	27.6
$\mathbf{L} = 4(R,R)$	1.48±0.01	3.2±0.5	10.4±0.7	14.3±0.8	22.4
L = 5(S,S)	1.43±0.03	2.6±0.1	9.4±0.8	15.3±0.9	17.0

 $\eta_{sens}$  – antenna-to-ion energy transfer efficiencies,  $\tau_{obs}$  – the observed luminescence lifetime,  $\Phi_{tot}$  – the experimental overall luminescence quantum yield ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 279 nm),  $\tau_R$  – the radiative lifetime,  $\Phi^{Ln}_{Ln}$  – the intrinsic quantum yield of lanthanide.

configuration is  $\Lambda,\Lambda.$  The dissymmetry factors (g\_{lum}) are listed in Table 2 and represented in the g-value plots on Figure S31. The  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{1}$  transition these were determined to be of similar values observed for other reported mono-metallic complexes and dimetallic helicates [19a,b, 12c]. The exception, however, being the bulkier ligands 5(S,S) and 6(R,R) which gave lower  $g_{lum}$  values. The  $g_{lum}$  values determined for the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  transitions were also found to be similar to the mono-metallic Eu(III) "Trinity Sliotar" bundles, previously developed in our group.[19a] In comparison to these results, the L = 3(S,S), 4(R,R) assemblies showed relatively high g<sub>lum</sub> values for the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{\prime}F_{3}$  transition; being 0.40–0.60, which is among the highest reported for this kind of structures. Additionally reasonably high  $g_{lum}$  values were observed for the  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{4}$ transition; being 0.45–0.53 for [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>] (L = 3(S,S), 4(R,R)) and ~0.45 for  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  (L = 5(S,S), 6(R,R)) (Table 2). Such relatively high  $g_{lum}({}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow F_{3})$  values might be a sign of strong J-mixing and a strong crystal-field perturbation in these triple-stranded helicates.[18b]

Photophysical properties of [Eu2:L3] assemblies in solution The photophysical properties of the triple stranded di-metallic helicates [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>], were monitored in solution upon the self-assembly formation in real time. These were seen to be close to identical for each pairs of enantiomers, therefore we report herein, only the photophysical results for one of each enantiomers (Table 3, Figure S32). For these complexes, the lifetimes were recorded in solution by monitoring the decay of the Eu(III)  ${}^{5}D_{0} \rightarrow {}^{7}F_{2}$  band upon ligand excitation at 279 nm. In all the cases, the lifetime decay curves were best fitted to mono-exponential decay using Origin9.1®; the values being practically the same, ca. 1.45 ms, for all the complexes (Scheme 1), which also corresponds to the life-time values obtained for the addition of 0.67 equivalents of metal ion to the solution. This suggest the presence of one type of coordination environment around Eu(III) ion in solution (Table 3, Figure S33). The total quantum yield ( $\Phi_{tot}$ ) of the Eu(III) emission was also determined for these self-assembly species. The results showed that  $\Phi_{tot}$  was two

times higher for  $[Eu_2(1(S,S))_3]$  than  $[Eu_2(4(R,R))_3]$  and  $[Eu_2(5(S,S))_3]$ . The values of the radiative lifetime  $(\tau_R)$ , the intrinsic quantum yield  $(\Phi^{Ln}_{Ln})$  and the antenna-to-ion energy transfer efficiencies  $(\eta_{sens})$  calculated for Eu(III) assemblies using their emission spectra, the observed luminescence lifetime  $(\tau_{obs})$ , and  $\Phi_{tot}$  suggests that non-radiative processes was most apparent for L = 1(S,S). However, as the same system reveals the highest  $\Phi^{Ln}_{Ln}$  and  $\eta_{sens}$  in comparison to the other two systems means that the radiative processes in this case compete well with non-radiative ones. This can be due to the better sensitisation efficiency thanks to the ligand structure allowing the position of triplet state level relative to Eu(III) levels as well as better geometrical arrangement of the ligand around the metal ion.[1, 25]

Mass-spectrometry analysis of [Eu2:L3] assemblies Massspectrometry analyses were performed using [Eu2:L3] complexes formed under thermodynamic control by reacting 1 equiv. of the respective L with 0.67 equiv. of  $Eu(ClO_4)_3$  (50 wt. % in water) in CH<sub>3</sub>OH at 65 °C under microwave irradiation for 2 hours. The complexes were precipitated out of solution using ether diffusion, dried under vacuum before being subjected to matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionisation mass-spectrometry (MALDI-MS) with DCTB used as matrix (Figures 6, S34-S37). For the Eu(III) samples investigated in this work the presence of [Eu:L], [Eu:L2], [Eu:L2],  $[Eu_2:L_2]$  species was observed. At the same time  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  species were only observed for the samples with ligands L = 3(S,S) and 4(R,R). The presence of tri-metallic species was also observed for complexes with L = 3(S,S) [Eu<sub>3</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>]. The samples with L = 6(R,R) also resulted in the assignment of a [Eu<sub>3</sub>:L<sub>2</sub>] species, suggesting possible formation of more complex systems, bus such higher order systems have been reported in the literature by others[12], believed to be due to supramolecular cooperativity effects.[23b, 261. Unfortunately, we were unable to get reliable MS or HRMS results when using samples from the various spectroscopic titrations discussed above, this most likely being due to low concentrations of



Figure 6. MALDI-MS spectra of Eu(III) complexes with (A) L = 3(S,S), (B) L = 6(R,R).

Journal Name



Figure 7. The changes in H NMR of 4(R,R) (c = 1.00 × 10 M) upon addition of Eu(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub> (0 $\rightarrow$ 1.99 equiv.) in CD<sub>3</sub>CN (600 MHz, 1 =

these assemblies in these samples.

<sup>1</sup>H NMR study of the self-assembly between L and Eu(III) We also investigated the formation of these helicates in solution by observing the changes in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR of the ligands. Unlike commonly seen for many other lanthanide complexes (such as cyclen based macrocyclic complexes), the changes in the NMR of the systems studied here upon addition of the lanthanides, occurred over relatively narrow range, and with less signal broadening, allowing for the formation of these assemblies to be monitored in real-time. The solutions of 4(R,R) and 6(R,R) (c = 1.00  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> M) were titrated against gradual addition of Eu(CF<sub>3</sub>SO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>  $(0\rightarrow 1.99 \text{ or } 0\rightarrow 1.11 \text{ equiv., correspondingly})$  in CD<sub>3</sub>CN. In case of 4(R,R) (Figure 7, S38), noticeable changes started to occur upon addition of 0.22 equiv. of Eu(III), and these continued until the addition of 0.66 equiv., after which no significant changes were observed within the aromatic region. This confirming the successful formation of the [Eu2:43] in solution at these concentrations. Furthermore, we also observed that a resonance assigned to water, that was present in the solution of 4(R,R) at 2.13 ppm, experienced a significant upfield shift to 1.74 ppm (Figure S39) suggesting a possible encapsulation of water within the helicate. As we anticipate a full saturation around the two lanthanide ions (by the three ligand strands), it is possible that the water molecule is located within a cavity, that is generated at the centre of the structure where the three bridges are located. However, in the absence of a crystal structure of this [Eu2:L3] assembly, this cannot be fully determined. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum was recorded for Eu(III) complex with L = 3(S,S) synthesised under thermodynamic control and its shape closely resembles the spectrum observed for 0.66 equiv. addition of Eu(III) ion to the ligand during the titration (Figure S40) therefore confirming the formation of [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>] in both cases

together with MALDI-MS data. In contrast to these results, then upon addition of  $Eu(CF_3SO_3)_3$  to G(R,R) significant broadening was observed for most of the characteristic signals in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum beyond the addition of 0.44 equiv. of Eu(III), making it impossible to distinguish between these signals (Figure S41). However, similarly to the aforementioned titration it was possible to follow the changes of the water peak where it started to shift upon addition of 0.66 equiv. of Eu(III) due to the possible encapsulation within [Eun:Lm] assemblies (Figure S42). The broadening of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra during the self-assembly process between 6(R,R) and Eu(III) occurs most likely due to the similar exchange rate between metal and ligand during complexation compared to the NMR time scale, which could be directly related to the size of the central spacer unit.[27] The signals in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of Eu(III) complex with L = 5(S,S) made under thermodynamic control revealed broad signals similarly to the titration data and along with the exchange rate this can occur due to the steric hindrance of the ligand during the assembly processes (Figure S43). However, as the solution of the complex was heated up to 40 °C and then cooled down to 22 °C it became more resolved (Figure S44) as heating increased the reaction rate shifting the equilibrium from L and Eu(III) towards the formation of highly symmetrical  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  (L = 5(S,S)) species.[27]

#### Conclusions

In conclusion we have synthesised four new ligands by coupling the diamine linker of various size with two chiral half-helicate ligands. The titrations of these at low concentration upon addition of Eu(III) in CH<sub>3</sub>CN allowed us to determine the binding constants values for [Eu:L], [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>2</sub>] and [Eu<sub>2</sub>:L<sub>3</sub>] self-

Published on 09 August 2018. Downloaded by Kaohsiung Medical University on 8/10/2018 1:55:54 PM

assembly species. Lower values of the binding constants were found for the systems with the bulkier spacer **5**(*S*,*S*), **6**(*R*,*R*) as the resulting structures were most likely less rigid in comparison to **3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*). The conformational changes of these chiral ligands upon complexation with Eu(III) ions were monitored by following the changes in the CD spectra. Recording CPL spectra for [**Eu**<sub>2</sub>:**L**<sub>3</sub>] allowed us to assign absolute configuration of Eu(III) centres and calculate the dissymmetry factor values.

The luminescence quantum yields between  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  with L = 4(R,R) and 5(S,S) assemblies were surprisingly similar with the highest quantum yield being observed for Eu(III) complex with 1,3-phenylenedimethanamine linker (L = 1(S,S)).

The mass-spectrometry analysis of the solid complexes shown the presence of  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  assemblies. However, the results revealed the presence of  $[Eu_3:L_2]$  and  $[Eu_3:L_3]$ assemblies suggesting the presence of more complicated species in the solid state at high concentration. <sup>1</sup>H NMR titration study performed at higher concentration than spectroscopical studies confirmed the formation of highly symmetrical  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  helical species in solution for the systems with L = **3**(*S*,*S*), **4**(*R*,*R*) while indicating slower kinetics of the complexes formation for the ligands with bulkier spacer L = **5**(*S*,*S*), **6**(*R*,*R*).

In summary, the formation of chiral, luminescent  $[Eu_2:L_3]$  assemblies with high stability constants has been demonstrated in solution, while the composition of the assemblies in the solid state was more complex. The diversity of these systems allowed us to investigate their formation using various techniques and help their development towards materials for the applications in electronics for virtual reality applications (3D screens) and in medical diagnostic. We are exploring these avenues in greater details.

#### **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### Acknowledgements

Authors would like to thank Dr. Ann Connolly (UCD) for providing the results of elemental analysis and Science Foundation Ireland (SFI) for financial support for a PI 2013 13/IA/1865 grant (TG).

#### Notes and references

 (a) C. Piguet, J.-C. G. Bünzli, in Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, ed. K.A. Gschneidner, J.-C. Bünzli, V. Pecharsky, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2010, vol. 40, ch. 247, pp. 301-553; (b) S.J. Bradberry, A.J. Savyasachi, M. Martínez-Calvo, T. Gunnlaugsson, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2014, 273-274, 226-241; (c) D.E. Barry, D.F. Caffrey, T. Gunnlaugsson, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2016, 45, 3244-3274; (d) C. Lincheneau, F. Stomeo, S. Comby, T. Gunnlaugsson, Aust. J. Chem., 2011, 64, 1315-1326; (e) J.-C. G. Bünzli, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 2017, 5058-5063; (f) J.-C. G. Bünzli, Handbook on the Physics and Chemistry of Rare Earths, eds.: J.-C. G. Bünzli, V. K. Pecharsky, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2016, vol. 50, ch. 287, pp. 141-176.

- 2 (a) C. Piguet, A.F. Williams, G. Bernardinelli, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1992, **31**, 1622-1624; (b) Y. Xing, Y. Li, L. Yan, R. Yang, Gaodeng Xuexiao Huaxue Xuebao, 1992, **13(1)**, 14-17.
- 3 (a) D. Zare, Y. Suffren, H. Nozary, A. Hauser, C. Piguet, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2017, 56(46), 14612-14617; (b) T.N.Y. Hoang, Z. Wang, L. Babel, H. Nozary, M. Borkovec, I. Szilagyi and C. Piguet, Dalton Trans., 2015, 44, 13250-13260.
- 4 (a) M. Cantuel, G. Bernardinelli, G. Muller, J.P. Riehl, C. Piguet, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, 43, 1840-1849; (b) L. Wang, C. Xu, Q. Han, X. Tang, P. Zhou, R. Zhang, G. Gao, B. Xu, W. Qin, W. Liu, *Chem. Commun.*, 54, 2212-2215.
- 5 S.N. Semenov, A.Yu. Rogachev, S.V. Eliseeva, C. Pettinari, F. Marchetti, A.A. Drozdov, S.I. Troyanov, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 1992-1994.
- 6 (a) C.D.B. Vandevyver, A.-S. Chauvin, S. Comby and J.-C.G. Bünzli, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 1716-1718; (b) V. Fernandez-Moreira, B. Song, V. Sivagnanam, A.-S. Chauvin, C.D.B. Vandevyver, M. Gijs, I. Hemmilä, H.-A. Lehr, J.-C. G. Bünzli, *Analyst*, 2010, **135**, 42-52.
- 7 (a) J. Shoo, R. Arunachalam, P.S. Subramanian, E. Suresh, A. Valkonen, K. Rissanen, M. Albrecht, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 9625-9629; (b) W. Chen, X. Tang, W. Dou, B. Wang, L. Guo, Z. Ju, W. Liu, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 9804-9811.
- 8 (a) F. Stomeo, C. Lincheneau, J.P. Leonard, J.E. O'Brien, R.D. Peacock, C.P. McCoy, T. Gunnlaugsson, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 9636-9637; (b) C. Lincheneau, R.D. Peacock, T. Gunnlaugsson, Chem. Asian J., 2010, 5, 500-504; (c) S. Comby, F. Stomeo, C.P. McCoy, T. Gunnlaugsson, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2009, 92, 2461-2473; (d) T. Zhang, G.-L. Zhang, L.-P. Zhou, X.-Q. Guo, Q.-F. Sun, Tetrahedon: Asymmetry, 2017, 28, 550-554; (e) C.-T. Yeung, W.T.K. Chan, S.-C. Yan, K.-L. Yu, K.-H. Yim, W.-T. Wong, G.-L. Law, Chem. Commun., 2015, 51, 592-595; (f) M. Albrecht, S. Schmid, S. Dehn, C. Wickleder, S. Zhang, A.P. Bassett, Z. Pikramenou, R. Fröhlich, New J. Chem., 2007, 31, 1755-1762; (g) C. Lincheneau, B. Jean-Denis, T. Gunnlaugsson, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 2857-2860.
- 9 S. Zebret, E. Vögele, T. Klumpler, J. Hamacek, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, 21, 6695-6699.
- 10 B.E. Aroussi, S. Zebret, C. Besnard, P. Perrottet, J. Hamacek, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **133**, 10764-10.
- 11 X.-Z. Li, L.-P. Zhou, L.-L. Yan, D.-Q. Yuan, C.-S. Lin, Q.-F. Sun, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, **139(24)**, 8237-8244.
- 12 (a) C.-L. Liu, L.-P. Zhou, D. Tripathy, Q.-F. Sun, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 2459-2462; (b) L.-L. Yan, C.-H. Tan, G.-L. Zhang, L.-P. Zhou, J.-C. G. Bünzli, Q.-F. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 8550-8555; (c) C.-T. Yeung, K.-H. Yim, H.-Y. Wong, R. Pal, W.-S. Lo, S.-C. Yan, M.Y.-M. Wong, D. Yufit, D.E. Smiles, L.J. McCormick, S.J. Teat, D.K. Shuh, W.-T. Wong, G.-L. Law, *Nat. Commun.*, **8**:1128.
- (a) A.P. Bassett, S.W. Magennis, P.B. Glover, D.J. Lewis, N. Spencer, S. Parsons, R.M. Williams, L. De Cola, Z. Picramenou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 9413-9424; (b) J. Shi, Y. Hou, W. Chu, X. Shi, H. Gu, B. Wang, Z. Sun, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2013, **52**, 5013-5022.
- 14 T.Y. Bing, T. Kawai, J. Yuasa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 3683-3689.
- (a) J.-F. Ayme, G. Gil-Ramírez, D.A. Leigh, J.-F. Lemonnier, A. Markevicius, C.A. Muryn, G. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 13142-13145; (b) G. Zhang, G. Gil-Ramírez, A. Markevicius, C. Browne, I.J. Vitorica-Yrezabal, D.A. Leigh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2015, 137, 10437-10442; (c) G. Gil-Ramírez, S. Hoekman, M.O. Kitching, D.A. Leigh, I.J. Vitorica-Yrezabal, G. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 13159-13162; (d) L. Zhang, D.P. August, J. Zhong, G.F.S. Whitehead, I.J. Vitorica-Yrezabal, D.A. Leigh, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 4982-

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

Journal Name

4985; (e) D.A. Leigh, L. Pirvu, F. Schaufelberger, D.J. Tetlow, L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2018, doi: doi.org/10.1002/anie.201803871.

- 16 J.R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Springer, Third Edition, 2006, p. 954.
- (a) C. Hunter, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1991, 749-751;
   (b) C. Hunter, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 5303-5311.
- (a) K. Binnemans, C. Görller-Warland, J. Rare Earths, 1996, 14, 173-180; (b) K. Binnemans, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2015, 295, 1-45.
- 19 (a) J. P. Leonard, P. Jensen, T. McCabe, J. E. O\_Brien, R. D. Peacock, P. E. Kruger, T. Gunnlaugsson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, 129, 10986-10987; (b) O. Kotova, J.A. Kitchen, C. Lincheneau, R.D. Peacock, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2013, **19**, 16181 16186.
- 20 (a) H. Gampp, M. Maeder, C. J. Meyer and A.D. Zuberbühler, *Talanta*, 1986, **33**, 943-951; (b) H. Gampp, M. Maeder, C. J. Meyer and A. D. Zuberbühler, *Talanta*, 1985, **23**, 1133-1139.
- 21 (a) R.V. Person, K. Monde, H.-U. Humpf, N. Berova, K. Nakanishi, *Chirality*, 1995, **7**, 128-135; (b) G.A. Hembury, V.V. Borovkov, Y. Inoue, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, 1-73; (c) N. Berova, L. Di Bari, G. Pescitelli, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 914-931.
- (a) O. Kotova, S. Blasco, B. Twamley, J. O'Brien, R.D. Peacock, J.A. Kitchen, M. Martínez-Calvo, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 457-471; (b) A. Galanti, O. Kotova, S. Blasco, C.J. Johnson, R.D. Peacock, S. Mills, J.J. Boland, M. Albrecht, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 9709-9723; (c) J.P. Byrne, M. Martínez-Calvo, R.D. Peacock, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 486-490; (d) S.J. Bradberry, A.J. Savyasachi, R.D. Peacock, T. Gunnlaugsson, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2015, **185**, 413-431.
- 23 (a) N. Fatin-Rouge, S. Blanc, A. Pfeil, A. Rigault, A.-M. Albrecht-Gary, J.-M. Lehn, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2001, 84, 1694-1711; (b) C.A. Hunter, H.L. Anderson, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, 48, 7488-7499; (c) G. Ercolani, L. Schiaffino, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 1762-1768; (d) J. Hamacek, S. Blanc, M. Elhabiri, E. Leize, A. Van Dorsselaer, C. Piguet, A.-M. Albrecht-Gary, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, 125, 1541-1550.
- 24 M. H. V. Werts, R. T. F. Jukes, J. W. Verhoven, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, 4, 1542–1548.
- 25 J.-C. G. Bünzli, S.V. Eliseeva, in Lanthanide Luminescence: Photophysical, Analytical and Biological Aspects, ed. P. Hänninen, H. Härmä, Springer Ser. Fluoresc., 2010, pp. 1-46.
- 26 (a) A.V. Davis, R.M. Yeh, K.N. Raymond, *PNAS*, 2002, 8, 4793-4796; (b) G. Ercolani, L. Schiaffino, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 1762-1768.
- 27 Analytical Methods in Supramolecular Chemistry, ed. C.A. Schalley, 2007, p. 484.