

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Carbohydrate Research



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/carres

Triterpenoid saponins and others glycosides from the stem barks of *Pancovia turbinata* Radlk

Armand Emmanuel Moffi Biang^{a,b}, Lin Marcellin Messi^{a,c,d,*}, Eutrophe Le Doux Kamto^a, Line Made Simo^a, Pierre Lavedan^e, Marc Vedrenne^e, Josephine Ngo Mbing^a, Dieudonné Emmanuel Pegnyemb^a, Mohamed Haddad^d, Olivier Placide Noté^{a,d,**}

^a Laboratoire de Pharmacochimie des Substances Naturelles, Département de Chimie Organique, Faculté de Sciences, Université de Yaoundé I, BP 812, Yaoundé, Cameroon

^b Institut de Recherches Médicales et D'études des Plantes Médicinales Du Cameroun (IMPM), B.P. 13033, Yaoundé, Cameroon

^c Institut de Recherche Agricole pour le Développement (IRAD), BP 2067, Yaoundé, Cameroon

^d UMR 152 Pharma Dev, Université de Toulouse, IRD, UPS, France

^e Institut de Chimie de Toulouse, UPS, France

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Sapindaceae Pancovia turbinata Triterpenoid saponins Farnesyl glycoside NMR

ABSTRACT

In our continuing search of saponins from the plant of Sapindaceae family, phytochemical investigation of the stem barks of *Pancovia turbinata* Radlk., led to the isolation and structural characterization of two new triterpenoid saponins, named turbinatosides A-B (1–2), one new farnesyl glycoside, named turbinoside A (3), one new coumarin glucoside, named panturboside A (4), together with a known saponin (5). The structures of the new compounds were established, using extensive analysis of NMR techniques, mainly 1D NMR (¹H, ¹³C, and DEPT) and 2D NMR (COSY, NOESY, HSQC, HSQC-TOCSY and HMBC) experiments, HRESIMS and by comparison with the literature data, as 3-*O*-*β*-*p*-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-*α*-1-arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*α*-1-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)-*β*-*p*-glucopyranosyl-(2*E*,*6E*)-farnes-1,12-diol (3), and 5-*O*-*β*-*p*-*g*-glucopyranosyl-(3, *s*, well as farnesol glycosides, and represent therefore a valuable contribution to the chemotaxonomy of the Sapindoideae subfamily.

1. Introduction

The Sapindaceae family, mostly distributed in tropical and subtropical regions [1], is described as trees, shrubs and herbaceous plants, containing about 1900 species divided into three subfamilies namely, Sapindoideae, Dodonaeoideae and Aceroideae, and 144 genera [2]. Plants of *Pancovia* genus (Sapindoideae subfamily) are used in Cameroonian's traditional medicine for the treatment of several ailments such as skin diseases, dysentery and rheumatism [3,4]. Previous phytochemical investigations of this genus revealed the presence of ellagic acid derivatives from *P. pedicellaris* with antibacterial potential [5], and ceramide and cerebroside from *P. laurentii* with antiprotozoal properties [6], while triterpenoid saponins are described as major constituents of the whole subfamily [7–16]. *Pancovia turbinata* Radlk. is a small tree, widely distributed in West Africa and in Cameroon [17]. To the best of our knowledge, no ethnomedicinal and phytochemical study are reported for this species. As part of our continuing search of saponins from Cameroonian's Sapindaceae family [15,16], we have investigated the saponins content of the stem barks of *P. turbinata*.

In this paper, we report the isolation and structure characterization

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2021.108393

Received 28 March 2021; Received in revised form 20 June 2021; Accepted 30 June 2021 Available online 10 July 2021 0008-6215/© 2021 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

^{*} Corresponding author. Institut de Recherche Agricole pour le Développement (IRAD), BP 2067, Yaoundé, Cameroon.

^{**} Corresponding author. Laboratoire de Pharmacochimie des Substances Naturelles, Département de Chimie Organique, Faculté de Sciences, Université de Yaoundé I, BP 812, Yaoundé, Cameroon, PO BOX 812

E-mail addresses: linmarcellinmessi@yahoo.fr (L.M. Messi), Oliviernote1@yahoo.fr (O.P. Noté).

of two new triterpenoid saponins (1–2), one new glycoside of farnesyl (3), one new coumarin glucoside (4), together with a known saponin (5) having hederagenin as aglycone (Fig. 1). The structures of the new isolated compounds were established by extensive analysis of 1D and 2D NMR experiments, chemical evidences and mass spectrometry.

2. Results and discussion

The air-dried powdered of stem barks of *P. turbinata* were extracted with aq-EtOH 70% using a sonicator apparatus. After evaporation of the solvent, the resulting dark residue was suspended in water and partitioned against *n*-BuOH saturated with water. The *n*-BuOH phase was then evaporated to dryness affording a brown gum which was submitted to column chromatography (CC) using Diaion HP-20 resin yielding enriched saponins fractions which were submitted to VLC using silica gel to give four main subfractions. Purification of the eluated subfractions

by Semiprep-HPLC afforded two new triterpenoid saponins (1–2), one new glycoside of farnesyl (3), one new coumarin glucoside (4), together with a known saponin (5) having hederagenin as aglycone (Fig. 1).

All the isolated compounds 1–5, were obtained as white amorphous powders. The monosaccharides obtained by acid hydrolysis of each compound were identified by comparison on TLC with authentic samples as arabinose, xylose, rhamnose and glucose. The absolute configurations were determined by UHPLC/MS analysis to be D for glucose and xylose and L for rhamnose and arabinose (See **Experimental Section**) [18]. The ¹H and ¹³C NMR data of the monosaccharide residues were assigned starting, either from the anomeric protons, or from the CH₃-proton doublet of rhamnose unit, by means of COSY, HSQC-TOCSY, HSQC, NOESY, and HMBC spectra obtained for each compound. Data from these above NMR experiments indicated that the sugar residues were in their pyranose form, and relatively large ³J_{H-1}, _{H-2} values 6.3–8.1 Hz of Glc, Xyl and Ara in their pyranose form evidenced a



Fig. 1. Structures of isolated compounds 1-5.

Carbohydrate Research 508 (2021) 108393

 β -anomeric orientation for Glc and Xyl, and an α -anomeric configuration for Ara [19]. The α -anomeric configuration of the L-rhamnose unit was judged by the broad singlet of its anomeric proton and the chemical shift value of C-5 [20].

HR-ESIMS of turbinatoside A (1), indicated a $C_{63}H_{102}O_{30}$ molecular formula, as deduced from the protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 1339.6538 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for $C_{63}H_{103}O_{30}$ 1339.6534). The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR data of 1 displayed resonances due to the aglycone part characteristic for hederagenin unit (Table 1), a common triterpene of triterpene glycosides, which were in full agreement with literature data [13–16,21].

The ¹H NMR spectrum of **1** showed six anomeric protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.81 (d, J = 6.8 Hz), 5.88 (br s), 5.08 (d, J = 7.8 Hz), 4.79 (d, J = 7.6 Hz), 4.92 (d, J = 8.0 Hz), and 6.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz), which correlated with six anomeric carbon atom signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 104.3, 100.9, 105.7, 104.6, 106.1 and 95.2, respectively, in the HSQC spectrum. Complete assignments of each sugar moiety were achieved by extensive analyses of 1D and 2D NMR experiments and UHPLC/MS analysis (see Experimental Section) allowing the characterization of two α -L-arabinopyranosyl (Ara I and Ara II), one α -L-rhamnopyranosyl (Rha), two β -D-glucopyranosyl (Glc I and Glc II), and one β -D-xylopyranosyl (Xyl) units (Table 2). The sequence of the oligosaccharide chain was established from the HMBC and NOESY experiments.

For the sugars chain attached at C-3 of the aglycone, the correlations

observed in the HMBC spectrum between H-1 of Ara I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.81) and C-3 of the aglycone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 80.8), and in the NOESY spectrum between H-1 of Ara I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.81) and H-3 of the hederagenin moiety ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.01), suggested that Ara I was directly attached to C-3 of the aglycone. Moreover, the HMBC correlation observed between H-1 of Rha ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.88) and C-2 of Ara I ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 75.1) established the connectivity between the two sugar units, which was confirmed by the NOESY correlation observed between H-2 of Ara I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.22) and H-1 of Rha ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.88). In addition, the HMBC correlations observed between H-1 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.08) and C-3 of Rha ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.5), confirmed by the NOESY correlation observed between H-3 of Rha ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.44) and H-1 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.08) allowed us to locate Glc I at C-3 of Rha (Fig. 2a). On the other hand, the HMBC correlation observed between H-1 of Ara II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.79) and C-4 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 79.6) established the connectivity between the two sugar units, which was confirmed by the NOESY correlation observed between H-4 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.04) and H-1 of Ara II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.79). Moreover, the HMBC correlation observed between H-1 of Xyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.92) and C-3 of Ara II ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.8), confirmed by the NOESY correlation observed between H-3 of Ara II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.92) and H-1 of Xyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.92) allowed us to locate Xyl at C-3 of Ara II. Thus, the pentasaccharide β -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranosyl(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-arabinopyranoside moiety was established to be linked at C-3 of the aglycone (Fig. 2a).

The observation of the signals ($\delta_{\rm H}/\delta_{\rm C}$ 6.00/95.2) of Glc II, indicated that this sugar should be directly attached to C-28 of the aglycone. This

NMR spectroscopic data (500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C) for the aglycone moieties of compounds 1–4 (δ in ppm and J in Hz)^a.

\mathbf{N}°	1		2		3			4	
	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$	$\delta_{\rm C}$	δ_{H}	$\delta_{\rm C}$	δ_{H}		$\delta_{\rm C}$	δ_{H}
1	38.7	0.91	38.9	0.94	66.1	4.31; 4.59 m		_	_
		1.45		1.48					
2	25.9	1.83	26.1	1.86	121.2	5.64 (br s)		161.6	_
		2.03		2.09					
3	80.8	4.01 (dd, <i>J</i> = 11.4, 5.0)	81.0	4.09 (dd, <i>J</i> = 10.3, 5.6)	141.4	-		110.5	6.23 (d, <i>J</i> = 9.5)
4	43.1	_	43.3	-	40.3	2.05; nd		140.4	8.23 (d, <i>J</i> = 9.5)
5	47.1	1.51	47.3	1.55	27.1	2.14; 2.25		127.3	-
6	17.8	1.20	17.9	1.25	125.1	5.22 (br t, $J = 7.0$)		145.7	-
		1.53		1.57					
7	32.2	1.20	32.3	1.22	135.7	-		145.8	-
		1.60		1.64					
8	39.5	_	39.7	_	40.3	2.11; nd		133.3	-
9	47.8	1.60	48.0	1.65	27.1	2.14; 2.25		145.7	-
10	36.5	_	36.7	_	124.6	5.70 (br t, $J = 7.1$)		102.8	-
11	23.5	1.78 nd	23.6	1.83 nd	136.8	_	-OMe	60.8	3.85 (s)
12	122.5	5.28 m	122.7	5.32 m	68.4	4.31; nd			
13	143.8	_	144.0	_	14.4	1.82 (s)			
14	41.8	_	42.0	_	16.5	1.65 (s)			
15	27.9	0.98	28.1	0.99	17.0	1.70 (s)			
		2.07		2.14					
16	23.0	2.07 nd	23.3	1.81					
				1.97					
17	46.7	_	46.8	_					
18	41.4	2.97 (dd, $J = 13.7, 4.5$)	41.5	3.06 (dd, J = 13.8, 4.6)					
19	45.9	1.11	46.0	1.15					
		1.60		1.65					
20	30.4	_	30.6	_					
21	33.7	1.00	33.8	1.02					
		1.23		1.26					
22	32.3	1.15	32.5	1.19					
		1.48		1.52					
23	63.5	3.64	63.7	3.71					
		3.99		4.07					
24	13.5	0.88 (s)	13.8	0.95 (s)					
25	15.7	0.83 (s)	15.9	0.87 (s)					
26	17.1	0.92 (s)	17.3	0.98 (s)					
27	25.6	1.06 (s)	25.8	1.10 (s)					
28	176.3	_	176.4	_					
29	32.6	0.79 (s)	32.8	0.82 (s)					
30	23.2	0.77 (s)	23.4	0.80 (s)					

Assignments were based on the HMBC, HSQC, COSY and DEPT experiments.

^a Overlapped ¹H NMR signals are reported without designated multiplicity; nd: not determined.

Table 2

NMR spectroscopic data (500 MHz for ¹H and 125 MHz for ¹³C) for the sugar moieties attached at C-3 and C-28 of compounds 1–4 (δ in ppm and J in Hz) in pyridine-d₅.

Position	1		2		3		4		
	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H}$ (J in Hz)	$\delta_{\rm C}$	$\delta_{\rm H} (J \text{ in } Hz)$	
3-O-sugars					1-O-sugars		5-0-sugar		
Ara I					Glc I		Glc		
1	104.3	4.81 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.8)	104.5	4.87 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.6)	101.6	4.78 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.7)	108.3	5.41 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.3)	
2	75.1	4.22	75.2	4.30	78.4	4.15	75.6	4.25	
3	74.1	3.82	74.4	3.86	77.5	4.15	78.2	4.25	
4	69.2	3.95 m	69.4	4.00 m	71.6	4.18	71.5	4.25	
5	65.6	3.54 (brd, J = 11.9); 4 07	65.9	3.59 (Drd, J = 11.5); 4 12	75.4	3.98	79.2	4.01	
		1.07		1.12	67.6	4.32; 4.71 m	62.8	4.32; 4.50 m	
Rha					Rha I				
1	100.9	5.88 (brs)	101.1	5.98 (brs)	102.6	6.13 (d, <i>J</i> = 1.5)			
2	70.9	4.64	71.2	4.72	72.6	4.71			
3	82.5	4.44	82.8	4.54	82.6	3.98			
4	72.2	4.13	72.5	4.23	73.1	4.52			
5	69.1	4.40	69.4	4.48	70.4	4.77			
6	17.8	1.38 (d, $J = 6.1$)	18.1	1.44 (d, $J = 6.2$)	19.1	1.75 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.2)			
Glc I					Glc II				
1	105.7	5.08 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.8)	106.0	5.18 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1)	105.7	4.93 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.9)			
2	74.8	3.81	75.1	3.87	75.3	3.98			
3	75.5	3.94	75.8	4.02	78.6	4.18			
4	79.6	4.04	79.9	4.09	71.4	4.21			
5	76.1	3.67	76.4	3.73	78.8	3.97			
6	60.7	4.21; nd	61.0	4.26; 4.30	62.6	4.31; 4.47 m			
Ara II					Rha II				
1	104.6	4.79 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.6)	105.3	4.80 (d, <i>J</i> = 7.6)	102.6	5.63 (d, <i>J</i> = 1.6)			
2	70.8	4.28	72.5	4.22	72.7	4.62			
3	82.8	3.92	74.1	3.92	72.7	4.73			
4	68.6	4.20 m	70.6	4.10 (d, <i>J</i> = 11)	74.4	4.28			
5	67.0	3.66 (brd, J = 11.8);	67.5	3.69 (brd, $J = 12.2$);	70.5	4.36			
		4.04 (dd, J = 11.8; 3.1)		4.13	191	$1.68 (d_1 J = 6.2)$			
Xvl I					1,711	100 (0,5 012)			
1	106.1	4 92 (d. 8 0)							
2	76.1	3.68							
3	77.3	3 84							
4	70.4	3.88							
5	66.6	3.47 (dd, $J = 12.1$; 2.5);							
		4.10 (dd, $J = 12.1; 1.4$)							
	104.3	4.81 (d, <i>J</i> = 6.8)							
28-O-Sugars Glc II									
1	95.2	6.00 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1)	95.2	6.09 (d, <i>J</i> = 8.1)		-		-	
2	73.5	3.89	73.7	3.97					
3	78.1	3.99	78.40	4.08					
4	70.4	3.99	81.0	4.08					
5	78.6	3.76	78.9	3.84					
6	61.6	4.12; 420	61.8	4.20; 4.29					
Xyl II									
1			105.2	4.89 (d, $J = 7.5$)					
2			74.5	3.75					
3			78.4	4.07					
4			70.4	3.94					
Э			67.0	3.51 (Drd, J = 10.3);					
				4.11					

Assignments were based on the HMBC, HSQC, COSY, TOCSY, NOESY, and DEPT experiments. ^{a)}Overlapped proton NMR signals are reported without designated multiplicity.

was confirmed by the HMBC correlation observed between H-1 of Glc II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.00) and C-28 of the aglycone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 176.3) permitting us to link Glc II to C-28 of hederagenin moiety. Hence, the structure of turbinatoside A (1), was established as 3-*O*- β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-a

turbinatoside B (2), was identical to that obtained for compound 1, suggesting that these compounds were isomers with $C_{63}H_{103}O_{30}$ formula. Extensive 2D NMR analysis of saponin 2 revealed that it has also hederagenin as aglycone. Comparison of the NMR spectra of 2 with those of 1, showed that compound 2 differs from compound 1 only by the substitution pattern of the sugar chains at C-3 and C-28 of the aglycone. Indeed, instead of a pentasaccharide moiety at C-3, and a

The HRESIMS spectrum ($[M + H]^+$ at m/z 1339.6511) of



Fig. 2a. Key HMBC and NOESY correlations observed for Compound 1.

monosaccharide moiety at C-28 of hederagenin moiety, respectively, as observed in compound 1, compound 2 displayed signals for a tetrasaccharide moiety at C-3, and a disaccharide moiety at C-28 of the aglycone, respectively, according to the analysis of 2D NMR experiments (Table 2). The observation of the signal of C-4 of Ara II at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 74.1 (shield, -8.2 ppm) in **2** in compararaison to Ara II ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.8) in **1**, and of C-4 of Glc II at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.0 (deshield, +10.6 ppm) in **2** in comparaison to Glc II ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 70.4) in 1, indicated that Ara II was terminal in 2 and Glc II substituted at its C-4. In addition, the observation of the HMBC correlation observed between H-1 of Xyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.89) and C-4 of Glc II ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 81.0), and confirmed by the NOESY correlation observed between H-1 of Xyl ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.89) and H-4 of Glc II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.08) allowed us to attach Xyl at C-4 of Glc II. Therefore, the sequences of the sugar chains at C-3 and C-28 of hederagenin, established by extensive analysis of 2D NMR experiments, were determined as α -L-arabinopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - α -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - α -L-arabinopyranoside, and β -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranoside, respectively (Fig. 2b). Consequently, the structure of turbinatoside B was established as $3-O-\alpha-1$ arabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-arabinopyranosylhederagenin-28-O- β -D-xylopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ - β -D-glucopyranosyl ester (2).

The HRESIMS (negative-ion mode) of Turbinoside A (**3**) exhibited a protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 855.4222 ([M+H]⁺, calcd for C₃₉H₆₇O₂₀ 855.4297) indicating a molecular formula of C₃₉H₆₆O₂₀. The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **3** revealed the presence of three methyl



b

Fig. 2b. Key HMBC and NOESY correlations observed for Compound 2.

groups at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 1.82 (s, H-13), 1.65 (s, H-14) and 1.70 (s, H-15), showing correlations in the HSQC spectrum with carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 14.4 (C-13), 16.5 (C-14) and 17.0 (C-15), respectively. Three olefinic proton signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.64 (brs, H-2), 5.22 (brt, H-6) and 5.70 (brt, H-10), showing correlations in the HSQC spectrum with carbon atom at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 121.2, 125.1 and 124.6, respectively, were also observed, as well as six methylene carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.1 (C-1), 40.3 (C-4), 27.1 (C-5), 40.3 (C-8), 27.1 (C-9) and 68.4 (C-12). In addition, two oxymethylene proton groups at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.31, 4.59 (m, H-1a, H-1b), and at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.31(m, H-12a), showing correlations in the HSQC spectrum with carbon atom signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.1 (C-1) and $\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.4 (C-12), respectively, were also observed. Furthermore, in the ¹³C NMR spectrum, three other carbon signals were observed as quaternary carbon at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 141.4 (C-3), 135.7 (C-7) and 136.8 (C-11). These resonances, due to the sesquiterpene moiety, are characteristic of farnes-1,12-diol aglycone (Table 1), which was recognized to be (2E, 6E)farnes-1, 12-diol [(2E,6E)-3,7,11-trimethyl-2,6,10-dodecatriene-1,12diol] by ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR analyses using the correlations observed in COSY, HSOC, and HMBC spectra, and was in full agreement with literature data [22,23]. The chemical shifts of methyl carbons, C-14, C-15 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 16.5, 17.0, respectively, and those of two methylenes C-4 and C-8 at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 40.3 and 40.3, respectively, were observed at almost the same resonances as those reported for (2E, 6E)-12-hydroxy-farnesol, while the terminal methylene C-12 appeared at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 68.4 ppm, which is particularly indicative of the E stereochemistry of the double bonds in the 12-hydroxy-all-trans-farnesyl unit was also evidenced [22,24]. The absence of signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum in the region between 30 and 33 ppm allowed us to eliminate the other possible configurations (2E, 6Z), (2Z, 6Z), or (2Z, 6E) for this 12-hydroxy-farnesol unit.

The deshielded shift of C-1 of the 12-hydroxy-farnesol unit ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.1) suggested this carbon as the point of linkage of the sugars chain. The ¹H NMR spectrum of the sugar portion of compound **3** showed four anomeric signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78 (d, *J*=7.7 Hz), 4.93 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 6.13 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz) and 5.63 (d, *J* = 1.6 Hz), which correlated with four anomeric carbon atom resonances at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 101.6, 105.7, 102.6 and 102.6, respectively in the HSQC spectrum (Table 2). Complete assignments of each sugar moiety were achieved by extensive analyses of 1D and 2D NMR experiments and UHPLC/MS analysis (see Experimental Section) allowing the characterization of two *α*-L-rhamnopyranosyl (Rha I and Rha II) and two *β*-D-glucopyranosyl (Glc I and Glc II) units (Table 2).

The sequencing of the sugars chain was achieved by analysis of HMBC and NOESY experiments. HMBC correlations observed between H-1 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78) and C-1 of Aglycone ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 66.1) allowed us to attach Glc I to the agly at its C-1. The HMBC correlations observed between H-1 of Rha I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.13) and C-2 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 78.4) and between H-1 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.63) of Rha II and C-6 of Glc I (δ_{C} 67.6) permitted us to attach Rha I, and Rha II to C-2 and C-6 of Glc I, respectively. In addition, HMBC correlations observed between H-1 of Glc II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.93) and C-3 of Rha I ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 82.6) suggested us to connect Glc II to C-3 of Rha I. These connectivities were supported by NOESY cross-peaks correlations observed between H-1 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.78) and H-1 of Agly ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.59), between H-1 of Rha I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.13) and H-2 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.15), between H-1 of Rha II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.63) and H-6 of Glc I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.71), and between H-1 of Glc II ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 4.93) and H-3 of Rha I ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.98) (Fig. 2c). Hence, the sugar chain at C-1 of the aglycone was established as β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)-[α -Lrhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$]- β -D-glucopyranosyl moiety and the structure of **3** was elucidated as 1-O-{ β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $[\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 6)$]- β -D-glucopyranosyl}-(2E,6E)-farnes-1,12-diol (3).

The HRESIMS (positive-ion mode) of Panturboside (4) exhibited a protonated molecular ion peak at m/z 387.0949 ([M+H]⁺, calcd for C₁₆H₁₉O₁₁ 387.0962) indicating a molecular formula of C₁₆H₁₈O₁₁. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound 4 exhibited sixteen carbon signals, from which, six were suggested to a hexosyl moiety and the remaining ten, assignabled to the aglycone. For the aglycone signals, seven quaternary and two tertiary carbon signals were observed. Among these, one conjugated lacton carbonyl was observed at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 161.6 (C-2), six



Fig. 2c. Key HMBC and NOESY correlations observed for Compound 3.

quaternary aromatic carbon signals at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 102.8 (C-10), 127.3 (C-5), 145.7 (C-9), 145.7 (C-6), 145.8 (C-7) and 133.3 (C-8), and two carbone signals of a conjugated ethylenic group at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 110.5 (C-3) and 140.4 (C-4). The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **4** revealed two olefinic protons as two 1,2-cis-coupled doublets at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.23 (H-3, d, J = 9.5 Hz) and 8.23 (H-4, d, J = 9.5 Hz), an AB system characteristic of the protons H-3 and H-4 of a coumarin skeleton. A singlet of three protons at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.85 (3H, s) was assigned to the protons of a methoxyl group which correlated with a carbone signal at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 60.8. Considering the NMR data, trihydroxycoumarin methylether was suggested as the only plausible structure of the aglycone of 4 (Table 1) [25]. The HMBC correlations observed between H-4 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 8.23) and C-5 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 127.3) of the aglycone and on the other hand between the methoxyl protons ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 3.85) and C-8 ($\delta_{\rm C}$ 133.3) of the aglycone revealed not only the site of glucosidation and the linking position of the methoxyl group (Fig. 2d), but also excluded the C-6 and C-7 positions, and allowed the unambiguous assignment of all other carbons of the aglycone.



d

Fig. 2d. Key HMBC and NOESY correlations observed for Compound 4.

The ¹H NMR spectrum of compound **4** showed one anomeric signal at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 5.41 (d, J = 6.3 Hz), which correlated with one anomeric carbon atom resonance at $\delta_{\rm C}$ 108.3, in the HSQC spectrum (Table 2). Complete assignment of this sugar moiety was achieved by extensive analyses of 1D and 2D NMR experiments and UHPLC/MS analysis (see Experimental Section) allowing the characterization of a β -D-glucopyranosyl (Glc) unit, which was linked at C-5 of the aglycone as argumentated above. Consequently, the structure of **4** was elucidated as 5-*O*- β -D-glucopyranosyl-5,6,7-trihydroxy-8-methoxycoumarin (**4**).

Compound **5** was identified as 3-*O*- β -D-xylopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -Larabinopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 4)- β -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 3)- α -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 \rightarrow 2)- α -L-arabinopyranosylhederagenin, previously isolated from *Blighia unijugata*, by comparison of its spectra data with those reported in the literature [14].

3. Conclusion

Our findings highlight the presence of three main classes of secondary metabolites often described in the Sapindaceae family, namely saponins, farnesyl glycosides and coumarin derivatives. The isolated saponins **1–2**, **5** are all hederagenin glycosides, sharing the $-{}^{3}$ Rha $-{}^{2}$ Ar $a-{}^{3}$ hederagenin oligosaccharidic sequence most often encountered in the saponins from Sapindoideae [7–14].

Concerning the farnesyl glycosides, previous studies from the Sapindoideae subfamily revealed their presence in *Sapindus mukurossi* [21], *Sapindus delavayi* [22], *Guioa crenulate* [26], and recently in *Eriocoelum microspermum* [13]. It is worthy to note that our farnesyl glycoside (**3**) is a 12- hydroxyl-(2E,6E)-farnesol glycoside, so far isolated only from *Sapindus mukurossi* and *Sapindus delavayi*.

In the case of coumarin glucoside (4), we have observed that coumarin derivatives are common in Sapindoideae subfamily. They have been previously identified from *Xanthoceras sorbifolia* [27], *Eurycorymbus cavaleriei* [28], *Cardiospermum corundum* [29], and recently from *Paullinia pinnata* [30]. Panturboside A (4) is to the best of our knowledge, the first report on a trihydroxycoumarin methyl ether glycoside, so far isolated from Sapindoideae subfamily. Our findings represent therefore a valuable contribution to the chemotaxonomy of Sapindoideae subfamily.

4. Experimental Section

4.1. General experimental procedures

Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter. NMR experiments were performed at 298 K in pyridine-d5 and DMSO-d₆ on a Bruker AVANCE 500 spectrometer equipped with a 5 mm Zgradient TCI cryoprobe. HRESIMS spectra were recorded using a UHPLC-DAD-LTQ Orbitrap XL instrument (Thermo Fisher Scientific, UK) equipped with an electrospray ionization source (ESI). Semipreparative high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) was carried out using a LaChrom Merck Hitachi system consisting of a LaChrom L-7100 pump, an L-7455 DAD, and a D-7000 interface and employing a Phenomenex Luna 5 μ m C18(2) 100 Å, 250 \times 10 mm² column. Vacuumliquid chromatography (VLC) was carried out using RP-18 silica gel 60 (25-40 µm). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on precoated silica gel plates (60 F254, Merck) using the system solvent n-BuOH-AcOH-H₂O, 60:15:25 as eluent. The spray reagent for saponins was vanillin reagent (10% mixture of conc. H₂SO₄ soln. and 1% vanillin in EtOH).

4.2. Plant material

The barks of *Pancovia turbinata* Radlk. were harvested at Nkolbisson, Yaoundé peripheral quarter, in Cameroon in October 2016 under the guidance of Mr. Victor Nana, botanist of the National Herbarium of Cameroon (NHC), where a voucher specimen (37637/HNC) was

deposited.

4.3. Extraction and isolation

The air-dried, powdered stems barks of P. turbinata (300 g) were extracted with aq-EtOH 70% using a sonicateur apparatus for 1 h three times. The resulting hydroalcoholic solution was then evaporated to dryness under reduce pressure to yield brown residue (25.4 g). This residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (300 mL), and the insoluble residue (19.52 g) was suspended in 10 mL of H₂O and partitioned in with n-BuOH sat. H₂O (3 x 300 mL). The n-BuOH soluble phase was evaporated to dryness affording 5.36 g of brown gum residue. Part of this residue (3 g) was suspended in 100 mL of water and then submitted to column chromatography (CC) using Diaion HP-20 resin, eluting with H₂O, 50% MeOH, 70% MeOH, 80% MeOH, 90% MeOH, and 100% MeOH, successively giving four main fractions (PTE1-PTE4) after TLC monitoring. The 80%-100% MeOH fraction (PTE4) was evaporated to dryness yielding a crude saponin mixture (1.8 g) that was then submitted to VLC using silica gel 60 (15–40 μ m), eluted with CH₂Cl₂–MeOH (80:20) and CH₂Cl₂-MeOH-H₂O (70:30:5, 60:32:6.5) to give four main subfractions (PTE41- PTE44). Subfraction PTE43 (296.7 mg) was purified by semi preparative HPLC using gradient system of CH₃CN-H₂O (30 mL/min) to yield compounds 1 (*t*_R, 16.8 min, 6.2 mg), 2 (*t*_R, 19.3 min, 9.8 mg), and 5 (t_R, 12.5 min, 4.2 mg), while subfraction PTE44 yielded compounds 3 (*t*_R, 10.5 min, 3.7 mg) and **4** (*t*_R, 11.6 min, 5.3 mg).

4.3.1. Turbinatoside A (1)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}$ _D -43.7 (c 0.01, MeOH); ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (C₅D₅N, 125 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z: 1339.6538 $[M + H]^+$ (calcd for C₆₃H₁₀₃O₃₀, 1339.6635).

4.3.2. Turbinatoside B (2)

White amorphous powder; $[\alpha]^{25}_{D}$ –18.5 (c 0.01, MeOH); ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (C₅D₅N, 125 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z: 1339.6511 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₆₃H₁₀₃O₃₀, 1339.6635).

4.3.3. Turbinoside A (3)

White amorphous powder; $\left[\alpha\right]^{25}{}_{D}$ –27.2 (c 0.01, MeOH); ^{1}H NMR (C₅D₅N, 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (C₅D₅N, 125 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z: 855.4222 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₃₉H₆₇O₂₀, 855.4297).

4.3.4. Panturboside A (4)

White amorphous powder; ¹H NMR (C₅D₅N, 500 MHz) and ¹³C NMR (C5D5N, 125 MHz) data, see Tables 1 and 2; HRESIMS m/z: 387.0949 [M + H]⁺ (calcd for C₁₆H₁₉O₁₁, 387.0962).

4.4. Acid hydrolysis of isolated compounds

Each compound (2 mg) was refluxed in 2 N aqueous CF₃COOH (3 mL) for 2 h at 100 $^\circ$ C. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 x 5 mL). The combined CH2Cl2 extracts were washed with water to give after evaporation the aglycone moiety. After extraction, the aqueous layer was repeatedly evaporated to dryness with MeOH until it reached a neutral pH. The sugars were first analyzed by TLC over silica gel (CHCl3-MeOH-H2O, 8:5:1) by comparison with standard samples. The following sugars were detected: glucose, arabinose, xylose and rhamnose. Furthermore, the absolute configuration of the sugar moiety was determined as previously described by Wang et al. (2012), with slight modifications [18]. Briefly, the residue of sugars was dissolved in 120 µL of a solution of L-cysteine methyl ester in pyridine (0.3 M) and incubated for 1 h at 90 °C. Then 160 µL of a solution of phenyl isothiocyanate in pyridine (0.69 M) was added, and incubated for 1 h at 90 °C. The reaction mixture was allowed

to cool and diluted 20-fold with CH₃CN and filtered before UHPLC/MS analysis. Each sugar standard (2 mg of L-glucose, D-glucose, L-rhamnose, D-rhamnose, L-xylose, D-xylose, L-arabinose and D-arabinose) was subjected to the same protocol, with 240 µL of the L-cysteine methyl ester solution and 320 µL of the phenyl isothiocyanate solution. The reaction mixtures were diluted 60-fold with CH₃CN and filtered before UHPLC/MS analysis. The observed retention times were 11.63, 15.17, 13.91 and 12.76 min for the samples obtained from 1-2, 11.63 and 15.17 from 3, to 11.63 for the sample obtained from 4, respectively. These retention times were similar with those observed for D-glucose (11.64), L-rhamnose (15.19 min), D-xylose (13.93 min) and L-arabinose (12.77 min).

Declaration of competing interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Agence Universitaire de la Francophonie (AUF) and UMR 152 Pharma-DEV IRD/UPS for the financial support. The authors are grateful to Mr. Victor Nana of the National Herbarium of Cameroon (NHC) for the identification and collection of plant.

References

- [1] X. Zu, M. Deng, Springer. Dordrecht vol. 2, 2017, pp. 683-686.
- [2] I.V. APG, Bot. J. Linn. Soc. (2016).
- [3] A. Aubreville, J.F. Leroy, Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, vol. 1, 1973
- [4] H.M. Burkhill, Royal Botanical Garden: Kew: London, UK, 2000.
- [5] R.F. Soh, J.K. Bankeu, B.N. Lenta, B.M. Mba'ninga, S. Ngouela, E. Tsamo, N. Sewald, Z. Naturforsch. B Chem. Sci. 64b (2009) 1070-1076.
- [6] F. Tantangmo, B.N. Lenta, S. Ngouela, L.M. Kamdem, B. Weniger, E. Tsamo A. Lobstein, C. Vonthron-Senecheau, Helv. Chim. Acta 93 (2010) 2210-2217
- [7] E.P. Mazzola, A. Parkinson, E.J. Kennelly, B. Coxon, L.S. Einbond, D.I. Freedberg, Carbohydr. Res. 346 (2011) 759-768.
- [8] C. Lavaud, C. Sayagh, F. Humbert, I. Pouny, C. Delaude, Carbohydr. Res. 402 (2015) 225-231.
- [9] A.E. Mostafa, A.A. El-Hela, A.-E.I. Mohammad, S.J. Cutler, S.A. Ross, Phytochem. Lett. 17 (2016) 213-218.
- [10] D. Wang, B. Yu, C. Chen, J. Duan, D. Di, X. Xiong, Y. Yang, H. Gao, Nat. Prod. Res. 32 (2018) 997–1003.
- [11] L.D. Freitas, D. Jimenez, S. Pimentel, A.-C. Mitaine-Offer, L. Pouységu, S. Quideau, T. Paululat, F. Gonzalez-Mujica, F.L.B. Rojas, M. Rodríguez, M.-A. Lacaille-Dubois, Phytochemistry 141 (2017) 105-113.
- [12] Q. Hu, Y.-Y. Chen, Q.-Y. Jiao, A. Khan, F. Li, D.-F. Han, G.-D. Cao, H.-X. Lou, Phytochemistry 147 (2018) 1-8.
- [13] D. Pertuit, A.-C. Mitaine-Offer, T. Miyamoto, C. Tanaka, C. Delaude, M.-A. Lacaille-Dubois, Phytochemistry 152 (2018) 182–190.
- [14] B. Petit, A.-C. Mitaine-Offer, C. Delaude, T. Miyamoto, C. Tanaka, M.-A. Lacaille-Dubois, Phytochemistry 162 (2019) 260-269.
- [15] A.E.M. Biang, E.L.D. Kamto, L.M. Simo, C. Antheaume, P. Lavedan, M. Vedrenne, O.P. Note, D.E. Pegnyemb, J.N. Mbing, M. Haddad, Phytochem. Lett. 37 (2020) 37-41.
- [16] L.M. Messi, O.P. Noté, J.N. Mbing, M. Vansteelandt, P. Lavedan, M. Vedrenne, D. E. Pegnyemb, M. Haddad, Carbohydr. Res. 495 (2020) 108092.
- [17] T.O. Adeyemi, O.T. Ogundipe, IJBC 4 (2012) 358-363.
- [18] Y.H. Wang, B. Avula, X. Fu, M. Wang, I.A. Khan, Planta Med. 78 (2012) 834-837, https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1298432.
- [19] H. Ishii, I. Kitagawa, K. Matsushita, K. Shirakawa, K. Tori, T. Tozyo, M. Yoshikawa, Y. Yoshimura, Tetrahedron Lett. 22 (1981) 1529-1532.
- [20] J.G. Luo, L. Ma, L.Y. Kong, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 16 (2008) 2912–2920.
 [21] K.Y. Jung, K.H. Son, J.C. Do, Arch Pharm. Res. (Seoul) 16 (1993) 32–35.
- [22] R. Kasai, H. Fujino, T. Kuzuki, W.H. Wong, C. Goto, N. Yata, O. Tnaka, F. Yasuhara, S. Yamaguchi, Phytochemistry 25 (1986) 871-876.
- [23] W.-H. Wong, R. Kasai, W. Choshi, Y. Nakagawa, K. Mizutani, K. Ohtani, O. Tanaka, Phytochemistry 30 (1991) 2699-2702.
- [24] A. Numata, S. Kanbara, C. Takahashi, R. Fujiki, M. Yoneda, Y. Usami, E. Fujita, Phytochemistry 31 (1992) 1209-1213.
- [25] M. Jung, H.D. Zinsmeister, H. Geiger, Z. Naturforsch. C Biosci. 49 (1994) 697–702. [26] A. Alabdul Magid, L. Voutquenne-Nazabadioko, M. Litaudon, C. Lavaud, Phytochemistry 66 (2005) 2714–2718.
- [27] Z. Li, X. Li, D. Li, L. Gao, J. Xu, Y. Wang, Fitoterapia 78 (2007) 605-606.

A.E. Moffi Biang et al.

- [28] L. Cheng, X. Zhang, M. Zhang, P. Zhang, Z. Song, Z. Ma, Y. Cheng, H. Qu, J. Chromatogr. A 1216 (2009) 4859–4867.
 [29] F.L. Silva, P.R.H. Moreno, R. Braz-Filho, J.F. Tavares, J.M. Barbosa-Filho, Biochem. Systemat. Ecol. 57 (2014) 137–140.
- [30] V. Spiegler, Molecules 25 (2020) 2287, https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules25102287.