



### **Accepted Article**

**Title:** Diastereoselective Spirocyclization via Intramolecular C(sp3)-H Bond Functionalization Triggered by Sequential [1,5]-Hydride Shift/Cyclization Process: Approach to Spiro-tetrahydroquinolines

Authors: Arup Bhowmik, Sumit Das, Writhabrata Sarkar, K. M. Saidalavi, Aniket Mishra, Anupama Roy, and Indubhusan Deb

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.202001011

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.202001011

10.1002/adsc.202001011

FULL PAPER

## Diastereoselective Spirocyclization via Intramolecular C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Bond Functionalization Triggered by Sequential [1,5]-Hydride Shift/Cyclization Process: Approach to Spirotetrahydroquinolines

Arup Bhowmik,<sup>a</sup> Sumit Das<sup>a,b</sup> Writhabrata Sarkar,<sup>a</sup> K. M. Saidalavi,<sup>a</sup> Aniket Mishra,<sup>a</sup> Anupama Roy,<sup>a</sup> and Indubhusan Deb<sup>a</sup>\*

<sup>a</sup>Organic and Medicinal Chemistry Division, Indian Institute of Chemical Biology, 4-Raja S. C. Mullick Road, Jadavpur, Kolkata 700032, India. <sup>b</sup>Academy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR). <u>indubhusandeb@iicb.res.in</u>& <u>indubhusandeb@gmail.com</u>

Received:((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.

**Abstract:** A direct synthesis of spiro[5.5]and [5.4]tetrahydroquinolines has been developed through C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H bond functionalization triggered by sequential [1,5]- hydride shift/cyclization sequence using *ortho* amino benzaldehydes and active methylene compounds such as 2-coumaranone, 4hydroxycoumarin, 3-coumaranone, and 3-isochromanone. This protocol provides a Lewis acid catalyst-free straight forward one-pot reaction in cases of 2-coumaranone and 4hydroxycoumarin, Lewis acid-catalyzed stepwise reaction for 3-coumaranone and 3-isochromanone to access a wide range of spiro-heterocycles in excellent to good yields and diastereoselectivity.

# **Keywords:**[1,5]-hydride shift reaction; Lewis acid catalyst; Spirocycles; tetrahydroisoquinoline; 2-coumaranone; 3-isochromanone.

#### Introduction

Coumaranone containing scaffolds have drawn much attention since aeons due to their presence in many biologically relevant molecules such as naturally occurring isoaurones(**I**),<sup>[1]</sup> isoaurostatin<sup>[2]</sup> marginalin<sup>[3]</sup> pterocarposide<sup>[4]</sup> radulifolin-**B**,<sup>[5]</sup> Commiphoranes (**III**),<sup>[6]</sup> aurones<sup>[7]</sup> and spirocyclic Griseofulvin analogues (**IV**)<sup>[8]</sup> an orally active antimycotic drug (Figure 1).



Figure 1. Representative example of relevant bioactive molecules

Various spirocyclic 2-coumaranones (II), benzofuran-3-one (V), 3-isochromanone (VI)<sup>[8b,d-j]</sup> derivatives, and isoaurones, aurones are well known pharmaceutically important compounds and natural products to exhibit excellent activity against different biological targets.<sup>[9-10]</sup> There has been enormous progress in the area of spirocyclic chemistry,<sup>[11]</sup> however the development of a highly stereoselective and atom-economic method for the construction of spirocyclic scaffold containing 2-coumaranones fused with *N*-heterocycles has hardly been explored.<sup>[12]</sup> Moreover, the presence of tetrahydroisoquinoline (THIQ) moiety, a powerful building block has given another dimension to construct a variety of bioactive molecules.<sup>[13]</sup> Recently, hydride transfer followed by cyclization sequence has become an attractive complementary approach for  $C(sp^3)$ -H bond functionalization. After groundbreaking findings by Reinhoudt,<sup>[14]</sup> the hydride shift reaction has been popularized mainly by Seidel,<sup>[15]</sup> Sames,<sup>[16]</sup> Akiyama,<sup>[17]</sup> Maulide,<sup>[18]</sup> and Li.<sup>[19]</sup>Over the past few Seidel,<sup>[15]</sup> decades, substantial efforts have been made by various research groups to develop varieties of hydride transfer/cyclization cascade reactions for the construction of structurally diverse complex molecules.<sup>[20]</sup> Yet, no protocol is available to

integrate these two alluring bioactive scaffolds till date, and 2-coumaranone and 3-isochromanone have not been used in hydride shift reaction cascade. Taking into account the importance of building blocks coumaranone, isochromanone, and 4hydroxycoumarin scaffolds and in continuation to our ongoing interests toward the synthesis of densely functionalized (spiro) heterocycles via C-H bond activation,<sup>[21]</sup> we, herein report a straight forward and practical approach towards the synthesis of spiro-Nheterocycles via olefination, [1,5]-hydride transfer followed by cyclization strategy using corresponding aldehyde and coumaranone in one-pot operation.

#### **Results and Discussion**

We commenced the study by taking 1.3 equiv of 2coumaranone and equiv of (2)Т tetrahydroisoquinoline substituted benzaldehyde (1a) as the representative substrate using piperidine (20 mol %) as a catalyst in toluene at 120 °C for 24 h. To our delight, the desired spiro[5.4]cyclic product as a major diastereomer was 3a obtained spontaneously in 75% isolated yield in one-pot via olefin intermediate followed by [1,5]-hydride shift/cyclization sequence (Table 1, entry 1).

**Table 1**. Optimization of reaction condition<sup>[a]</sup>

(0.2 mm	H N ol, 1.0 equiv) 1a	+ (1.3 equiv) 2	Piperidine (x mol %) Solvent Temp, time		Ja 3a
entry	Piperid	Solvent	Temp	time	yield
	ine (x	(6 mL)	(°C)	(h)	(%) of
	mol %)				3a <sup>[b]</sup>
1	20	Toluene	120	24	75
2	20	Toluene	120	5	77
3	20	<i>m</i> -Xylene	150	5	71
4	20	Chlorobe	150	5	60
		nzene			
5	20	DMF	150	5	71
6	20	DMSO	150	5	65
7	20	DMAc	150	5	83
8	20	$H_2O$	100	12	40
9	20	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	100	12	40
10	10	DMAc	150	5	75
11	30	DMAc	150	5	79
12 <sup>[c]</sup>	20	DMAc	150	5	75
13	-	DMAc	150	6/5	70
f 1				-	

<sup>[a]</sup>The reaction was carried out using 0.2 mmol of **1a** (1equiv) with 2-Coumaranone **2** (1.3 equiv) in presence of 20 mol % of piperidine. <sup>[b]</sup>Isolated yield. <sup>[c]</sup>Using 1.4 equiv of 2-coumaranone **2**.

A similar result was observed when the reaction time was reduced to 5 h (Table 1, entry 2). This result encouraged us to screen various solvents with moderate to high boiling points such as *m*-xylene, chlorobenzene, DMF, DMSO, DMAc, H<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>3</sub>CN (Table 1, entries 3-9) to elevate the reaction efficacy and DMAc was found to be more effective compared to others furnishing the product **3a** in 83% yield (Table 1, entry 7). Decreasing the loading of catalyst gave a diminished yield of 75% of **3a** (Table 1, entry 10) whereas increasing the loading of the catalyst showed almost similar results (Table 1, entry 11). Increasing the amount of 2-coumaranone (1.4 equiv) gave a slightly lowered yield of 75% of **3a** (Table 1, entry 12). A control experiment was conducted in the absence of piperidine and the formation of the product was observed and this result suggested that the piperidine is not essential for the reaction (Table 1, entry 13). However, a catalytic amount of piperidin accelerated the reaction to improve the yield of the product exquisitely.

With the standard conditions in hand, we investigated the scope of the cyclization reaction using 2-coumaranone 2 and the diverse *ortho*-amino benzaldehydes 1 as hydride donors (Scheme 1). In various substituted general. ortho-amino benzaldehydes are compatible with this reaction. Chloro substituted amino benzaldehyde produced the desired product 3b in 98% yield with excellent diastereoselectivity (dr: 40:1). The dimethoxy tetrahydroisoquinoline containing substituted corresponding aldehyde 1c underwent the reaction. smoothly to afford 3c in excellent yield with 25:1 diastereoselectivity. THIQ substituted 3-brom pyridine 3-carboxaldehyde reacted well and delivered the product 3d in excellent yield (98%) with excellent selectivity (dr: >40:1). Besides tetrahydroisoquinoline, several benzo-fused cyclic amines reacted well to provide molecular complexity. Tetrahvdro-1Hbenzo[c]azepane substituted aldehyde provided the single diastereomer of 3e in moderate yield. However, dihydroisoindoline substituted aldehyde 1f provided the product **3f** with moderate yield and selectivity. Apart from benzylamine, several cyclic and acyclic alkyl amines ( $\alpha$ -CH<sub>2</sub> with respect to N) containing substrate underwent smooth hydride shift reaction. Pyrrolidine substituted aldehydes performed very well and produced **3g**, **3h**, and **3i** in moderate to good yields with excellent selectivity. Excellent diastereoselectivity was observed most probably due to high-temperature reaction conditions ana presumably due to the formation of thermodynamic control product as a major diastereomer over the other.

Piperidine, azepane containing aldehydes afforded the products 3j-k in excellent yields with poor diastereoselectivity. The slow rate of reaction was observed in the case of *N*-phenyl piperazine and morpholine substituted aldehyde. However, these two substrates provided the products as single diastereomer (**3l** and **3m**) for both cases. *N*, *N*dibenzyl, and *N*, *N*-diethyl substituted aldehydes (**1n**  and **10**) reacted well to provide the desired products **3n** and **3o** in good yield with poor dr. *N*-methyl-*N*-cyclohexyl and *N*, *N*-dimethyl substituted aldehydes generated the spirocyclic products **3p** and **3q** as single isomer in 83% and 64% yields respectively.



<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: all reactions were performed with a mixture of 1 (0.2 mmol), 2-coumaranone 2 (1.3 equiv) in DMAc (6 mL, 0.034M), dr given is calculated by 1H NMR.

Scheme 1. Scope of one-pot strategy for spiroheterocycles<sup>[a]</sup>

The substrate scope was further extended by taking another active partner 4-hydroxycoumarin 4, as it is scarcely studied, reacting with various *ortho*-amino benzaldehydes 1 under a standard condition in one pot (Scheme 2) and gave the products **5a-b** in good to excellent yield with good diastereoselectivity.



<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: all reactions were performed with a mixture of **1** (0.2 mmol), 4-hydroxycoumarine **4** (1.3 equiv) in DMAc (6 mL, 0.034M). dr given is calculated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Scheme 2. Scope of cyclization of 4-hydroxycoumarine<sup>[a]</sup>

Then, the reactions were carried out with pyrrolidine, azepane substituted aldehydes, and afforded the products **5c-e** in moderate to good yield with poor diastereoselectivity.

On the basis of the above one-pot process, we turned our attention to the use of 3-coumaranone as another reacting partner for the synthesis of spiro heterocycles. Unfortunately, attempts towards the one-pot olefination followed by [1,5]-hydride transfer process using THIQ aldehyde 1 and 3-coumaranone 6 under various conditions including different solvents, temperature, Lewis acids remained unsuccessful. Hence, various olefins 7 were prepared, and the hydride shift followed by cyclization reaction was thoroughly optimized using 7a as a model substrate under different conditions (see SI, Table S2).



several ope of sing 10 reflux taining

<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: all reactions were performed using olefin **7** (0.2 mmol), DCE (2 mL, 0.1M). dr given is calculated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

Scheme 3. Scope of cyclization of olefins 7<sup>[a]</sup>

After performing the reaction under several parameters, we decided to explore the scope of substrates under the most efficient condition using 10 mol % Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub> as a catalyst in DCE at reflux condition (Scheme 3). 3-Coumaranone containing THIQ substituted various olefins 7a-d underwent smooth reaction to provide the desired cyclic products **8a-d** in good to excellent yields with high diastereoselectivity (84-93%). The structure of major diastereomer 8d was confirmed by single-crystal Xray analysis. To broaden the generality of the reaction, pyrrolidine, piperidine and morpholine substituted olefins 7e-g, 7h and 7i were used and successfully afforded the products 8e-g, 8h, and 8i in excellent yields with excellent selectivity. Formation of the product 8j with excellent yield (99%) was observed in the case of azepane substituted substrate 7j. Dimethyl substituted substrate 7k afforded the product 8k in good yield. Next, the reactions were

carried out with methoxy and hydroxy-substituted 3coumaranone containing olefins **71-o** and we obtained the products **81-o** in moderate to good yields and diastereoselectivity.

To further expand the scope of the reaction, for emphasizing this methodology mainly, we introduced another building block surrogate 3-isochromanone. This important pharmacophore was examined to construct a new class of spiro-heterocycles containing THIQ and 3-isochromanone moieties altogether. Despite their potential biological activities, no protocol is available to integrate these two date. Delightfully, pharmacophores to 3isochromanone substituted olefins 9a reacted to furnish the product 10a as a single diastereomer in 80% yield in presence of 20 mol % of Yb(OTf)<sub>3</sub> under reflux temperature of the solvent DCE. The successfully substrates 9b-j furnished the corresponding 3-isochromanone containing spiro heterocycles 10b-j in moderate to good yields (Scheme 4) implying that pyrrolidine, piperidine, azepane, morpholine groups are well tolerable under this reaction condition.



<sup>[a]</sup>Reaction conditions: all reactions were performed using olefin **9** (0.2 mmol) in DCE (2 mL, 0.1M). dr is calculated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR.

**Scheme 4**. Synthesis of 3-isochromanone containing spiroheterocycles <sup>[a]</sup>

To demonstrate the synthetic utility, we successfully performed the transformation of **3a** to a new class of unnatural  $\beta$ -amino acid **3a'** by treatment with 1 M KOH in THF solvent (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5. Synthetic transformation of 3a

Attempts toward the enantioselective version of the reaction under various reaction conditions using different chiral catalysts including chiral amines remain unsuccessful (SI-Table S3-5).

A plausible mechanism for this intramolecular 1,5hydride shift reaction is depicted in Scheme 6. Initially, the ortho-amino benzaldehyde derivative 1a reacts with benzofuran-2-one through a Knoevenagel condensation reaction pathway to furnish substituted olefin A; next step is a Lewis-acid free or catalyzed intramolecular 1,5-hydride shift to form the spiroheterocyclic product 3a through 6-endo-trig cyclization of **B**.



Scheme 6. Proposed reaction mechanism

#### Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a piperidinecatalyzed highly diastereoselective olefination followed by [1,5]-hydride shift/cyclization strategy to synthesize 2-coumaranone and coumarin functionalized novel spiro tetrahydroquinolines efficiently in good to excellent yields in one-pot. This strategy has been employed to synthesize 3and 3-isochromanone containing coumaranone spiroheterocycles using Lewis acid-catalyzed [1,5]hydride shift/6-endo cyclization sequences of corresponding olefins. Synthetic transformation of the product **3a** easily leads to the formation of a new class of bicyclic  $\beta$ -amino acid **3a'**. Our current studies are focused on asymmetric internal cascade redox neutral reaction using chiral organocatalyst for the efficient construction of molecular complexity, which may have potential pharmaceutical applications in future.

#### **Experimental Section**

General procedure for the synthesis of the final product **3a**: To an oven-dried 25 mL round-bottom flask attached with condenser under nitrogen, 2-(3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2(1H)-yl)benzaldehyde (**Ia**) (0.2 mmol) and 2 coumaranone (**2**)(0.26 mmol) were taken, dissolved in anhydrous DMAc (6 mL) and the bath temperature was slowly increased to 150 °C. The reaction mixture was kept under the same temperature until the intermediate olefin was consumed completely as monitored by TLC. The solvent was removed from the organic layer by workup with EtOAc (2 x10 mL) and cold water; brine was was needed. Then the organic layer passed through anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuo. Finally, it was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (230-400 mesh) using petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (99:1) as eluent to obtain the desired spiro-heterocyclic product **3a** in 83% (58 mg) yield.

Full characterization data and copies of relevant spectra of all new products are provided in the Supporting Information.

1996347 CCDC CCDC (**3a**), 1996348 (8d), and CCDC1996349 supplementary (10a)contain the crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free charge The Cambridge from of Centre Crystallographic Data via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\_request/cif

#### Acknowledgements

A.B. thanks UGC and S.D., W.S. and A.M thank CSIR for their fellowships, and I.D. thanks CSIR-IICB and Bristol-Myers Squibb (USA) for research funds. We thank Mr. Sandip Kundu, Mr. Goutam Karmakar, Mr. Sandipan Ghorai, and Mr. Santu Paul for recording NMR, X-ray and HRMS respectively. We thank CIF (CSIR-IICB) for analytical support.

#### References

- [1] a) E. Rizzi, S. Dallavalle, L. Merlini, G. L. Beretta, G. Pratesi, F. Zunino, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2005, *15*, 4313-4316;b) N. T. Dat, X. Jin, Y.-S. Hong, J. J. Lee, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2010, *73*, 1167-1169.
- [2] a) K. Suzuki, S. Yahara, K. Maehata, M. Uyeda, J. Nat. Prod. 2001, 64, 204-207;b) K. Suzuki, T. Okawara, T. Higashijima, K. Yokomizo, T. Mizushima, M. Otsuka, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2005, 15, 2065-2068.
- [3] a) M. Barbier, *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1987, 1987, 545-546;b) S. Venkateswarlu, G. K. Panchagnula, M. B. Guraiah, G. V. Subbaraju, *Tetrahedron.* 2006, 62, 9855-9860.
- [4] R. Maurya, R. Singh, M. Deepak, S. S. Handa, P. P. Yadav, P. K. Mishra, *Phytochemistry*. 2004, 65, 915-920.
- [5] M. L. Garduño-Ramírez, A. Trejo, V. Navarro, R. Bye, E. Linares, G. Delgado, *J. Nat. Prod.* **2001**, *64*, 432-435.
- [6] L. Dong, L. Z. Cheng, Y. M. Yan, S. M. Wang, Y. X. Cheng, Org Lett 2017, 19, 286-289.
- [7] a) C. Y. Lee, E. H. Chew, M. L. Go, *European journal* of medicinal chemistry **2010**, 45, 2957-2971;b) R. Haudecoeur, A. Boumendjel, *Curr. Med. Chem.* **2012**, 19, 2861-2875.
- [8] a) F. C. Odds, A. J. P. Brown, N. A. R. Gow, *Trends Microbiol.* 2003, 11, 272-279;b) L. M. Abreu, R. K. Phipps, L. H. Pfenning, C. H. Gotfredsen, J. A. Takahashi, T. O. Larsen, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2010, 51, 1803-1805;c) K. Rathinasamy, B. Jindal, J. Asthana, P. Singh, P. V. Balaji, D. Panda, *BMC cancer* 2010, 10, 213;d) M. Pistolozzi, G. Varchi, A. Degli Esposti, A. Guerrini, G. Sotgiu, M. Ballestri, C. Ferroni, A. Venturini, C. Bertucci, *ChemMedChem* 2011, 6, 1706-1714;e) T. Ishii, K. Nonaka, T. Suga, R. Masuma, S. Omura, K. Shiomi, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2013, 23, 679-681;f) D. E. Beck, K. Agama, C. Marchand, A. Chergui, Y. Pommier, M. Cushman, *Journal of*

*medicinal chemistry* **2014**, *57*, 1495-1512;g) M. Fischer, K. Harms, U. Koert, *Org Lett* **2016**, *18*, 5692-5695.

- [9] a) B. M. Lee, S. K. Lee, H. S. Kim, *Cancer Lett.* 1998, 132, 219-227;b) O. Kayser, W. R. Waters, K. M. Woods, S. J. Upton, J. S. Keithly, A. F. Kiderlen, *Planta medica.* 2001, 67, 722-725;c) J. Lin, S. Liu, B. Sun, S. Niu, E. Li, X. Liu, Y. Che, *J. Nat. Prod.* 2010, 73, 905-910;d) Y.-Y. Fan, H. Zhang, Y. Zhou, H.-B. Liu, W. Tang, B. Zhou, J.-P. Zuo, J.-M. Yue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, 137, 138-141;e) J. L. Pergomet, E. L. Larghi, T. S. Kaufman, A. B. Bracca, *RSC Adv.* 2017, 7, 5242-5250.
- [10] a) J. Schoepfer, H. Fretz, B. Chaudhuri, L. Muller, E. Seeber, L. Meijer, O. Lozach, E. Vangrevelinghe, P. Furet, *Journal of medicinal chemistry* 2002, 45, 1741–1747;b) N. Hadj-esfandiari, L. Navidpour, H. Shadnia, M. Amini, N. Samadi, M. A. Faramarzi, A. Shafiee, *Bioorganic & medicinal chemistry letters* 2007, 17, 6354-6363;c) C. B. Zhang, P. H. Dou, J. Zhang, Q. Q. Wei, Y. B. Wang, J. Y. Zhu, J. Y. Fu, T. Ding, *ChemistrySelect.* 2016, 1, 4403-4407.
- [11] a) A. Patra, A. Bhunia, S. R. Yetra, R. G. Gonnade, A. T. Biju, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 1584-1588;b) S. Kotha, N. R. Panguluri, R. Ali, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 5316-5342;c) B. Subba Reddy, P. N. Nair, A. Antony, N. Srivastava, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 5484-5496;d) A. Ding, M. Meazza, H. Guo, J. W. Yang, R. Rios, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2018, 47, 5946-5996;e) S. Mondal, A. Ghosh, S. Mukherjee, A. T. Biju, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4499-4503;f) Y. Ji, X. He, C. Peng, W Huang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 2850-2864;g) Q. Xing, H. Liang, M. Bao, X. Li, J. Zhang, T. Bi, Y Zhang, J. Xu, Y. Du, K. Zhao, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2019, 361, 4669-4673;.
- [12] a) M. Msaddek, M. Rammah, K. Ciamala, J. Vebrel, B. Laude, Synthesis. 1997, 1997, 1495-1498;b) X. Companyó, A. Zea, A.-N. R. Alba, A. Mazzanti, A. Moyano, R. Rios, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6953-6955;c) C. Cassani, X. Tian, E. C. Escudero-Adán, P. Melchiorre, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 233-235;d) X. Li, C. Yang, J. L. Jin, X. S. Xue, J. P. Cheng, Chem. Asian J. 2013, 8, 997-1003;e) L.-W. Qi, L.-L. Wang, L. Peng, L.-N. Jia, F. Tian, X.-Y. Xu, L.-X. Wang, Tetrahedron. 2013, 69, 9303-9308;f) S. Roy, K. Chen, J. Chinese Chem. Soc. 2013, 60, 597-604;g) X. Li, M.-H. Lin, Y. Han, F. Wang, J.-P. Cheng, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 114-117;h) A. Haouas, N. B. Hamadi, M. Msaddek, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 2015, 52, 1765-1768;i) J. Xu, J. Pang, D. Feng, X. Ma, Mol. Catal. 2017, 443, 139-147.
- [13] a) H. Keller, R. Schaffner, M. Carruba, W. Burkard, M. Pieri, E. Bonetti, R. Scherschlicht, M. P. Da, W. Haefely, Adv Biochem Psychopharmacol 1982, 31, 249-263;b) J. H. Schrittwieser, V. Resch, S. Wallner, W.-D. Lienhart, J. H. Sattler, J. Resch, P. Macheroux, W. Kroutil, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 6703-6714;c) M. Chrzanowska, A. Grajewska, M. D. Rozwadowska, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 12369-12465.
- [14] a) D. N. Reinhoudt, G. W. Visser, W. Verboom, P. H. Benders, M. L. Pennings, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105,

4775-4781;b) W. Verboom, M. Hamzink, D. Reinhoudt, R. Visser, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1984**, 25, 4309-4312;c) W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, R. Visser, S. Harkema, J. Org. Chem. **1984**, 49, 269-276;d) W. C. Dijksman, W. Verboom, R. J. Egberink, D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Org. Chem. **1985**, 50, 3791-3797;e) W. H. Nijhuis, W. Verboom, D. N. Reinhoudt, S. Harkema, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1987**, 109, 3136-3138;f) W. H. Nijhuis, W. Verboom, A. Abu El-Fadl, G. J. Van Hummel, D. N. Reinhoudt, J. Org. Chem. **1989**, 54, 209-216;g) W. Verboom, D. Reinhoudt, Recueil des Travaux Chimiques des Pays-Bas. **1990**, 109, 311-324.

- [15] a) C. Zhang, C. K. De, R. Mal, D. Seidel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 416-417;b) S. Murarka, C. Zhang, M. D. Konieczynska, D. Seidel, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 129-132;c) M. C. Haibach, I. Deb, C. K. De, D. Seidel, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2100-2103;d) D. Seidel, Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 317-328;e) A. Paul, A. Adili, D. Seidel, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 1845-1848;f) A. Paul, H. S. Chandak, L. Ma, D. Seidel, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 976-980.
- [16] a) S. J. Pastine, K. M. McQuaid, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12180-12181;b) S. J. Pastine, D. Sames, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 5429-5431;c) K. M. McQuaid, J. Z. Long, D. Sames, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2972-2975;d) K. M. McQuaid, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 402-403;e) P. A. Vadola, D. Sames, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 16525-16528.
- [17] a) K. Mori, K. Ehara, K. Kurihara, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6166-6169;b) K. Mori, S. Sueoka, T. Akiyama, Chem. Lett. 2011, 40, 1386-1388;c) K. Mori, S. Sueoka, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2424-2426;d) K. Mori, K. Kurihara, S. Yabe, M. Yamanaka, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 3744-3747;e) K. Mori, R. Isogai, Y. Kamei, M. Yamanaka, T. Akiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 6203-6207;f) K. Mori, N. Umehara, T. Akiyama, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 7327-7331;g) R. Tamura, E. Kitamura, R. Tsutsumi, M. Yamanaka, T. Akiyama, K. Mori, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2383-2387.
- [18] a) I. D. Jurberg, B. Peng, E. Wöstefeld, M. Wasserloos, N. Maulide, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2012, 51, 1950-1953;b) A. Bauer, N. Maulide, *Org. Lett.* 2018, 20, 1461-1464; c) J. Li, A. Preinfalk, and N. Maulide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*2019, 141, 143–147.
- [19] a) S.-S. Li, X. Lv, D. Ren, C.-L. Shao, Q. Liu and J. Xiao, *Chem. Sci.*2018, 9, 8253-8259; b) X. Lv, F. Hu, K. Duan, S.-S. Li, Q. Liu and J. Xiao J. Org. *Chem.*2019, 84, 1833–1844; c) G. Bai, F. Dong, L. Xu, Y. Liu, L. Wang, and S.-S. Li, *Org. Lett.*2019, 21, 6225–6230; d) K. Duan, H. Shi, L.-X. Wang, S.-S. Li, L. Xua and J. Xiao, *Org. Chem. Front.*2020, 7, 2511-2517.

- [20] a) J. Barluenga, M. Fañanás-Mastral, F. Aznar, C. Valdés, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6594-6597;b) J. C. Ruble, A. R. Hurd, T. A. Johnson, D. A. Sherry, M. R. Barbachyn, P. L. Toogood, G. L. Bundy, D. R. Graber, G. M. Kamilar, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3991-3997;c) Y. K. Kang, S. M. Kim, D. Y. Kim, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11847-11849;d) G. Zhou, J. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 6593-6595;e) Y.-P. He, Y.-L. Du, S.-W. Luo, L.-Z. Gong, Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 7064-7066;f) D. F. Chen, Z. Y. Han, Y. P. He, J. Yu, L. Z. Gong, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12307-12310;g) Y.-Y. Han, W.-B. Chen, W.-Y. Han, Z.-J. Wu, X.-M. Zhang, W.-C. Yuan, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 490-493;h) J. Yu, N. Li, D.-F. Chen, S.-W. Luo, Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 2859-2864;i) W. Cao, X. Liu, J. Guo, L. Lin, X. Feng, Chem. Eur. J. 2015, 21, 1632-1636;j) P.-F. Wang, C.-H. Jiang, X. Wen, Q.-L. Xu, H. Sun, J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 1155-1162;k) S. Zhu, C. Chen, M. Xiao, L. Yu, L. Wang, J. Xiao, Green Chem. 2017, 19, 5653-5658;1) D. A. Gandamana, B. Wang, C. Tejo, B. Bolte, F. Gagosz, S. Chiba, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 130, 6289-6293;m) N. Hisano, Y. Kamei, Y. Kansaku, M. Yamanaka, K. Mori, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4223-4226;n) F. I. Idiris, C. E. Majesté, G. B. Craven, C. R. Jones, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9, 2873-2878;o) S. Liu, J. Qu, B. Wang, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 7928-7931;p) S. Liu, W. Zhang, J. Qu, B. Wang, Org. Chem. Front. 2018, 5, 3008-3012;q) S. Liu, T. Zhao, J. Qu, B. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 4094-4098;r) T. Yoshida, K. Mori, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54 12686-12689;s) Y.-B. Shen, L.-X. Wang, Y.-M. Sun, F.-Y. Dong, L. Yu, Q. Liu, J. Xiao, J. Org. Chem. 2019;t) K. Yokoo, K. Mori, Org. Lett. 2019, 22, 244-248;u) K. Duan, X.-D. An, L.-F. Li, L.-L. Sun, B. Qiu, X.-J. Li, J. Xiao, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 2537-2541;v) L. Zhou, X.-D. An, S. Yang, X.-J. Li, C.-L. Shao, Q. Liu, J. Xiao, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 776-780; w) L. Wang and J. Xiao, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 1137-1171.
- [21] a) A. Mishra, I. Deb, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2016, 358, 2267-2272; b) A. Mishra, T. K. Vats, I. Deb, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 6525-6534;c) A. Mishra, T. K. Vats, M. P. Nair, A. Das, I. Deb, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 12406-12415;d) A. Mishra, U. Mukherjee, T. K. Vats, I. Deb, J. Org. Chem. 2018, 83, 3756-3767;e) W. Sarkar, A. Bhowmik, A. Mishra, T. K. Vats, I. Deb, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 3228-3232;f) T. K. Vats, A. Mishra, I. Deb, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2018, 360, 2291-2296;g) A. Mishra, U. Mukheriee, W. Sarkar, S. L. Meduri, A. Bhowmik, I. Deb, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 2056-2059;h) W. Sarkar, A. Mishra, A. Bhowmik, I. Deb, Asian J. Org Chem. 2019, 8, 819-822;i) A. Mishra, A. Bhowmik, S. Samanta, W. Sarkar, S. Das, I. Deb, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 1340-1344.

#### FULL PAPER

Diastereoselective Spirocyclization via Intramolecular C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Bond Functionalization Triggered by Sequential [1,5]-Hydride Shift/Cyclization Process: Approach to Spirotetrahydroquinolines

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page - Page

Arup Bhowmik,<sup>a</sup> Sumit Das,<sup>a,b</sup> Writhabrata Sarkar,<sup>a</sup> K. M. Saidalavi,<sup>a</sup> Aniket Mishra,<sup>a</sup> Anupama Roy,<sup>a</sup> and Indubhusan Deb<sup>a,\*</sup>

