

Accepted Article

Title: Enzyme-Catalysed Synthesis of Cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-Diols and 4-Hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones from Substituted Phenols and Anilines

Authors: Derek Boyd, N Sharma, Peter Mcintyre, Paul Stevenson, Colin McRoberts, Amit Gohill, Patrick Hoering, and C Allen

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.201700711

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201700711

FULL PAPER

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.201((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Enzyme-Catalysed Synthesis of Cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-Diols from Substituted Phenols, Anilines and Derived 4-Hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones

Derek R. Boyd,^{a,*} Narain D. Sharma,^a Peter B. A. McIntyre,^a Paul J. Stevenson,^{a,*} W. Colin McRoberts,^b Amit Gohil,^c Patrick Hoering^c and Christopher C. R. Allen^c

^a School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, BT9 5AG, UK. 44 2890974421 E-mail: <u>dr.boyd@qub.ac.uk</u> or <u>p.stevenson@qub.ac.uk</u>
 ^b Agri-food and Biosciences Institute for Northern Ireland, Belfast, BT9 5PX, UK
 ^c School of Biological Sciences, Queen's University of Belfast, Belfast, BT9 7BL, UK

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

Abstract: Toluene dioxygenase-catalysed cisdihydroxylations of substituted aniline and phenol substrates, with a Pseudomonas putida UV4 mutant strain and an Escherichia coli pCL-4t recombinant strain, yielded identical arene cis-dihydrodiols, which were isolated as the preferred cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol tautomers. These cis-diol metabolites were predicted by preliminary molecular docking studies, of anilines and phenols, at the active site of dioxygenase. Further biotransformations of toluene cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol and hydroquinone metabolites, using Pseudomonas putida UV4 whole cells, were found to yield 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones as a new type of phenol

Introduction

Enzyme-catalysed formation of phenol metabolites, from aromatic substrates, can proceed directly ^[1a] or indirectly, *via* transient intermediates. Phenols have been obtained indirectly by: (a) monooxygenase- or peroxygenase-catalysed arene epoxidation and spontaneous isomerization of arene oxide-oxepine intermediates^[1b-e] or (b) dioxygenase-catalysed *cis*dihydroxylation of arenes^[2a-m] and dehydration of *cis*dihydrodiol intermediates.^[3a,b] Since their discovery by Gibson *et al* in 1968,^[2a] more than four hundred *cis*-dihydrodiol metabolites of substituted monocyclic and polycyclic arenes have been isolated and bioproduct. Multistep pathways, involving ene reductaseand carbonyl reductase-catalysed reactions, were proposed to account for the production of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1one metabolites. Evidence for the phenol hydrate tautomers of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one metabolites was shown by formation of the corresponding trimethysilylether derivatives.

Keywords: aniline biotransformations; cyclohex-2-en-1one *cis*-diols; 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones; phenol hydrates.

identified using, mainly, *Pseudomonas putida* mutant and *Echerichia coli* recombinant strains, expressing ring-hydroxylating dioxygenases.^[2b-m] Synthetic applications of enantiopure substituted benzene *cis*dihydrodiols **2**, obtained as toluene dioxygenase (TDO)-catalysed *cis*- dihydroxylation products, from monosubstituted benzene substrates **1**, continue to be widely reported, despite their limited stability.^[2b-m] Dehydration of most *cis*-dihydrodiols **2**, to yield a mixture of *meta*- and *ortho*-phenols **3** and **4**, can occur at ambient temperature. The rate of dehydration, and ratio of phenol isomers, depend on the type of



Scheme 1. Tandem TDO-catalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of monosubstituted benzenes (1) and phenols (3, 4) to yield *cis*-dihydrodiols (2, 5, 7) and cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol keto-tautomers (6, 8).

substituent and pH of solution (Scheme 1).^[3a,b]

`Since phenols are widely distributed in the environment, as natural products, arene metabolites and environmental pollutants, their biodegradation pathways have been extensively studied.^[4a-g] Bacterial metabolism of phenols often results in a wider range of metabolites, compared with most non-phenolic aromatic substrates. Recent biotransformation results of TDO-catalysed oxidations of phenols, e.g. 3 and 4, using P. putida UV4, showed that, in addition to the expected, catechol and hydroquinone metabolites, the corresponding cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diols 6a-d, 8a and 8b were also isolated, as the preferred keto tautomers of the initially formed enolic cisdihydrodiols 5a-d, 7a and 7b. The dihydroxylation was regio- and stereo-selective and cyclohex-2-en-1one cis-diols 6a-d, 8a and 8b were often found to be the major isolated metabolites. More than twenty members of this new cis-diol family have now been isolated.[5a-e]

The biodegradation pathways, for anilines, have also been studied, due to their presence in the environment, as a result of the partial combustion of tobacco and automotive fuels, the application of pesticides / herbicides and the production of pharmaceuticals, dyestuffs and textiles.^[6a-j] Many aniline derivatives are known to be genotoxic and cytotoxic, severely inhibiting cell growth in soil bacteria and slowing their mineralization.^[6a,g]

Similar to the metabolism of electron-rich phenols,^[5a-e] ring hydroxylating dioxygenase-catalysed biotransformations of electron-rich anilines (using *Pseudomonads* and other bacterial species) have also been reported, to yield catechol and hydroquinone metabolites.^[6a-j] Aniline-, biphenyl-

diphenylamine- and toluene-dioxygenases, have been reported to catalyse the oxidation of aniline substrates.^[6d, f-i] Although *cis*-diol metabolites were often postulated as intermediates leading to the formation of catechol, hydroquinone and phenol metabolites of anilines,^[6c-j] to date none have been detected or isolated. Thus, TDO-catalysed cisdihydroxylation, at the 1,2- and 2,3-bonds of 4chloroaniline, was proposed (using *P. putida* T57) as a possible initial step in the formation of both metabolites.^[6i] catechol and phenol Biotransformations using other substituted anilines and bacterial strains, were also found to yield catechol and hydroquinone metabolites.^[6a,c] In silico molecular docking studies, on aniline substrates, were thus conducted to: (a) predict the most favourable structures of expected metabolites and (b) compare the substrate docking results with the experimental results of TDO-catalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of aniline substrates.

Results and Discussion

P. putida UV4 biotransformations of aniline and phenol substrates, to yield cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diols and 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones

(i) Molecular docking of *meta*-substituted aniline substrates 9a-d with TDO

Recent molecular docking studies, of the *meta* substituted phenols **3a** and **3b**, at the active site of TDO,^[5f] were based on a comparison with an X-ray crystal structure of TDO and docked toluene substrate.^[5g] These studies of TDO, without dioxygen incorporation (3EN1M model), provided preferred orientations of phenol substrates **3a** and **3b** (Scheme



Reagents: (i) TDO / O₂; (ii) +H₂O, -NH₃; (iii) CRED, [2H]; (iv) -NH₃

Scheme 2. TDO-catalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of *meta*-anilines 9 to yield *cis*-diols 6, *cis*-triols 12 and catechols 13.

1) required for production of the corresponding enol *cis*-dihydrodiols **5a** (12% docking iterations) and **5b** (66% docking iterations). Catechols **13a** and **13b** (Scheme 2) were also predicted (54% docking iterations from phenol **3a** and 34% from **3b**).

Docking of phenols **3a** and **3b**, with dioxygen incorporated TDO ($3EN1M-O_2 \mod l$), led to the predicted formation of catechols (54% docking iterations from **3a**, 34% from **3b**), but not of *cis*-dihydrodiols **5a** and **5b**. Further biotransformation (*P. putida* UV4) of catechols **13**, by a catechol dioxygenase-catalysed ring-opening process and other enzyme-catalysed reactions, gave a range of carboxylic acid metabolites. The formation of catechols, as arene metabolites, was also reported to inhibit the TDO activity, therefore reducing *cis*-dihydrodiol yields.^[6k]

The predicted, and isolated, cyclohex-2-en-1one tautomers, derived from phenols, *e.g.* **6a** and **6b**, were single enantiomers, having an (*S*) absolute configuration at C-5. The main attractive interactions, at the TDO active site, involved: (i) hydrogen bonding of the phenol OH group with the C=O group of Gln-215 and the imidazole ring of His-311, (ii) van der Waals interactions of the hydrophobic Me group of the phenol with the proximate alkyl (Ala-223, Val-309, Leu-321, Ile-324) and aryl (Phe-366) groups.

It was speculated that similar binding of phenols with His-311 and Gln-215, at the TDO active site, might also apply to aniline substrate interactions.^[5f] An earlier *in silico* molecular binding model for diphenylamine **1** (R=NHPh, Scheme 1), at the active site of biphenyl dioxygenase (BPDO,) led to the prediction that *cis*-dihydroxylation would yield aniline *cis*-dihydrodiol intermediate **2** (R=NHPh).^[6e] Although BPDO-catalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of substituted aniline **1** (R=NHPh)^[6d] did not result in

the detection of *cis*-diol **2** (R=NHPh), it was postulated that formation of the major metabolite, phenol **4** (R= NHPh), had resulted from dehydration of this transient intermediate.^[6f]

The qualitative nature of docking results recorded may not quantitatively reflect the experimental results (using P. putida UV4 whole cells), due to further metabolism by the co-induced enzymes. Apart from this caveat, the 3EN1M and 3EN1M-O₂ models, employed for TDO docking studies^[5f] of phenol substrates 3, were found to be useful predictors of the preferred regiochemistry and stereochemistry of cis-diol metabolites. These models have now been applied to *cis*-dihydroxylation of aniline substrates 9a-d (Scheme 2). From analysis of the data collected, it was predicted that the NHimines 11a-d would be the preferred tautomers of the initially formed enamine cis-diols 10a-d. It was also assumed that: (i) this type of imine would readily hydrolyse, during the biotransformation, to yield the corresponding cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diols 6a-d and (ii) as observed,^[5c] the formation of *cis*-triols 12, via carbonyl reductase (CRED)-catalysed reduction of the ketone group in *cis*-diols **6**, would occur using P. putida UV4 whole cells. The 3EN1M and 3EN1M-O₂ model docking studies of anilines **9a-d** with TDO were also expected to provide evidence of preferred substrate orientations, leading to the formation of catechols **13a-d**, *via* alternative types of transient aniline *cis*-diol intermediates **14a-d**, resulting from cis-dihydroxylation at the 1,2- (ipsoortho-) bond, as was proposed.^[6c, 6e-i]

cis-Dihydroxylation, at the 4,5-bond and % formation of enamine *cis*-diols **10a-d**, was predicted (Table 1), from TDO docking orientations (using 3EN1M model), for *meta* substituted anilines **9a** (Fig. 1A, 47%), **9b** (Fig. 1B, 90%), **9c** (Fig. 1C, 80%) and **9d** (Fig. 1D, 100%). The substrate binding, according

Table 1. Predicted, using the 3EN1M model of TDO, initial metabolites 11a-d, catechols 13a-c and isolated *cis*diol 6a-d products of 3-substituted aniline substrates 9a-d.

	Substrate	Figure	Predicted ^a	Product ^b	%°	ΔG^d	KDe
9a	3-Methoxyaniline	1A	11a	6a	47	-5.08	190.24
			13 a		26	-5.00	216.49
9b	3-Methylaniline	1B	11b	6b	90	-5.27	137.66
			13b		4	-5.14	169.39
9c	3-Trifluoromethylaniline	1C	11c	6c	80	-5.14	171.53
			13c		20	-5.07	191.97
9d	3-Iodoaniline	1D	11d	6d	100	-6.13	31.88

^a Predicted metabolite; ^b Detected product; ^c Orientation occurrence; ^d Binding energy (kJ/mol);^e Dissociation constant (µM)

Figure 1. Molecular docking of *meta* substituted anilines 9a-d (Figs. 1A-1D) at the active site of TDO.



tted by two major teraction of Ala-Phe-366 and Phesubstituents (Me,

to the *in silico* docking, was facilitated by two major interactions: (i) van-der- Waals interaction of Ala-223, Val-309, Leu-321, Ile-324, Phe-366 and Phe-372 amino acid residues with the substituents (Me,

OMe, CF₃, I) and (ii) H-bonding of the NH₂ group to Gln 215, and sometimes to His-311, in a similar manner to the docking of phenols.^[5f]

The docking experiments (3EN1M model) of anilines **9a-d** also led to the prediction that catechols 13a-c might also be formed, via a minor pathway (4-26% docking orientations), by dihydroxylation at the 1,2-position, to yield intermediate *cis*-diols 14a-c. Employing the 3EN1M-O₂ model of TDO, catechols 13a-d were predicted to be the major metabolites (91-100% docking orientations), without evidence of orientations of anilines 9a-d, leading to the corresponding cis-diols 10a-d (Supporting Information Figs. S1-S4). These results (3EN1M-O₂ model) were similar to those found earlier for phenol substrates 3a and 3b, where catechols 13a and 13b were predicted to be the major metabolites (54 and 100% docking orientations) without evidence for the formation of *cis*-diols **5a** and **5b**.^[5f]

Based on these predictive in silico docking (3EN1M model) studies of TDO (Table 1), experimental evidence was sought, for TDOcatalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of *meta*-anilines **9a-d**, by LC-TOFMS analysis of the crude biotransformed culture medium. Aniline substrates 9a-d were added, to P. putida UV4 cultures, under conditions similar to those reported for the corresponding meta-phenols **3a-d**.^[5a-e] The cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diols **6a-d**, previously reported^[5a-e] as phenol metabolites (Scheme 1), were also detected as aniline metabolites (Scheme 2), in accord with the predictions from in silico studies. The documented high cytotoxicity of anilines^[6a,e] required a ten-fold reduction of the substrate concentration (0.05 mg/mL), for total conversion. Phenols **3a-d** and corresponding anilines 9a-d, applied in the same low concentrations, produced comparable yields of cyclohexenone cisdiols 6a-d, which were identified by comparison (LC/TOFMS and GC-MS) with authentic samples. A sample of *cis*-diol **6a** (*ca*. 6 mg) was also isolated by PLC, from the partial biotransformation using a higher concentration of aniline 9a; its structure, absolute configuration (4S,5S) and enantiopurity (>98% ee) was found to be identical with the metabolite derived from phenol 3a. From this result, combined with the in silico docking studies (Figs. 1A-D), it was predicted that the (S)-absolute configuration at the C-5 position and *ee* value (>98%) of cis-diol metabolites 6b-d, derived from the corresponding aniline substrates 9b-d, would be identical to those obtained from phenols 3b-d.

The first objective of the study was to provide experimental evidence for TDO-catalysed cis-diol formation from aniline substrates, but the presence of other metabolites extended our interest into exploring the complete metabolic profile of anilines with the P. putida biocatalyst. A CRED enzyme, present in P putida UV4, was previously found to catalyse the reduction of the ketone group of (1R, 2S, 4R)-6metabolite 6c, to yield (trifluoromethyl)cyclohex-5-ene-1,2,4-triol 12c as a major bioproduct.^[5c] A similar result was obtained with aniline substrate 9c when metabolites cis-diol 6c and triol **12c** were identified by LC-TOFMS analysis.

Catechols were identified as aniline metabolites^[6a-i] and molecular docking (3EN1M and 3EN1M-O₂ models) experiments of TDO also suggested their formation from anilines 9a-d (Table 1). LC-TOFMS analysis did not show direct evidence of catechol metabolites 13a-d, but indirect evidence, for the formation of catechol metabolite 9a, was observed by the formation of a carboxylic acid metabolite, whose molecular weight was consistent with structure 15a, formed by catechol dioxygenasecatalysed ring opening and reductase-catalysed reduction (Fig. 2). Similar ring-opened metabolites 15 (R = Me, CF_3) were previously reported from the corresponding phenols (3b and 3c) and catechols 13c).^[5c] (13b)and GC-MS analysis of trimethylsilylated samples, prepared from freezealiquots collected during TDO-catalysed dried dihydroxylation of anilines 9a and 9c, showed the presence of disilylated cis-diol 6a and 6c and derived hydroquinones 16a and 16c respectively, but no catechols were detected. The difficulty encountered in the detection of catechol metabolites 13a-d was probably due to: (i) the activity of a catechol dioxygenase enzyme present in P. putida UV4 and (ii) the low yields of all metabolites resulting from the cytotoxicity of aniline substrates.

Biotransformations of anilines **9a-d**, with the recombinant strain, *E. coli* pCL-4t (expressing TDO), and LC-TOFMS analysis of the biotransformed aqueous material, again showed the presence of



Figure 2. Structures of metabolites 15-18 and 25.

cyclohexenone *cis*-diol metabolites **6a-d**. The reduced tolerance of *E. coli* cells, to the toxic aniline substrates, gave lower yields, compared with those found using *P. putida* UV4 cells. However, the *E. coli* pCL-4t biotransformation studies did provide evidence that TDO, rather than other types of dioxygenase, was responsible for the formation of *cis*-diols **6a-d**.

The biotransformation and molecular docking results, recorded for aniline substrates 9a-d, were consistent with a metabolic pathway via TDOcatalysed formation of enamine cis-dihydrodiols 10ad, tautomerisation to the preferred NH-imine cis-diols 11a-d, and rapid hydrolysis to yield cyclohex-2-en-1one cis-diols 6a-d (Scheme 2). Thus, the family of cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol metabolites is formed, from both substituted phenols and anilines, by TDOcatalysed cis-dihydroxylation. The molecular docking results can also be used to rationalise the reported formation of catechol and hydroquinone metabolites of aniline substrates,^[6a-j] via ring hydroxylating dioxygenase catalysis.

(ii) Biotransformations of phenol substrates, to yield 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones

Earlier larger scale biotransformations of *meta*- and *ortho*-phenols **3** and **4**, showed a wide range of metabolite types, including cyclohexenone *cis*-diols **6** and **8**, cyclohexene *cis*-triols **12**, catechols **13**, α -hydroxycarboxylic acids **15**, hydroquinones **16**, cyclohexanone *cis*-diol isomers **17**_{*cis*} and **17**_{*trans*} and 1,2,4-trihydroxycyclohexanes **18**^[5a-e] (Scheme 1 and Fig. 2). Several minor metabolites of methoxyphenols **3a** and **4a**, however, remained unidentified;^[5e] their structures, absolute configurations and metabolic pathways for their formation are presented in this section.

Recrystallization of the crude mixture of metabolites, obtained from an earlier biotransformation of 3-methoxyphenol **3a** (96 g), with glucose as carbon source, yielded *cis*-diol **6a** as the major component (38% isolated yield, Scheme

1).^[5e] The mother liquors from this recrystallization contained a mixture of unidentified minor metabolites, which were examined further during this study. Column chromatography of the mixture yielded a new minor metabolite (1% isolated yield), which was structurally identified as 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **19a** ($[\alpha]_D$ -31.9).

A chemoenzymatic synthesis of metabolite 19a, starting from 2-bromo-2-cyclohexen-1-one 20, established (4S) as its absolute configuration (Scheme 3). Step (i) employed a CRED-catalysed reduction of the ketone group of 2-bromo-2-cyclohexen-1-one 20, give the enantiopure synthetic precursor cyclohexenol **21**_s ($[\alpha]_{D}$ -80.3, 88%).^[5h] Further chemical steps involved, hydroxyl group protection (ii, $21_s \rightarrow 22_s$, 94%), allylic oxidation (iii, $22_s \rightarrow 23_s$, 29%), nucleophilic substitution (iv, $23_s \rightarrow 24_s$, 69%) and deprotection (v, $24_s \rightarrow 19a_s$, 62%, Scheme 3). This synthetic sample of compound $19a_s$ (>98% ee) had a higher optical rotation ($[\alpha]_D$ -48.8), compared with the corresponding metabolite $(19a_s)$ derived from phenol 3a. The lower enantiopurity (65% ee) of the minor metabolite, 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as, compared to the major metabolite, (4S,5S)-3-methoxycyclohex-2-ene-1-one **6a** (>98%) ee), was of mechanistic relevance, in the context of biosynthetic pathways from 3-methoxyphenol 3a (Scheme 4), which will be discussed in Section (iii).

A previous biotransformation (glucose as carbon source) of 2-methoxyphenol **4a** (96 g), followed by column chromatography, resulted in a separable mixture of isomeric cyclohexanone *cis*-diols, (2S,3S,4S)-**17a**_{*cis*} (13% isolated yield) and (2R,3S,4S) **17a**_{*trans*} (1% isolated yield).^[5e] Metabolites **17a**_{*cis*} and **17a**_{*trans*} were formed *via* an ene reductase (ERED)-catalysed reduction of the initial bioproduct, cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis* diol **8a** (Scheme 1). Using LC-TOFMS and GC-MS analyses, the relative ratios of metabolites from guaiacol **4a** were found to vary widely, during time course studies of the biotransformations, depending on the choice of carbon



Reagents: (i) CRED / [2H]; (ii) TBSOTf, NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂: (iii) PhI(OAc)₂, K₂CO₃, t-BuO₂H; (iv) K₂CO₃, MeOH; (v) TBAF, THF.

Scheme 3. Chemoenzymatic synthesis of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as from 2-bromo-2-cyclohexen-1-one 20.

source (glucose or pyruvate) and TDO source (*P. putida* or *E. coli*). Other metabolites from phenol **4a** were identified as cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis* diol **8a**, hydroquinone **16a**, catechol **13a** and its α -hydroxycarboxylic acid derivative **15a**.

Column chromatography fractions, from the earlier study,^[5e] that appeared to be an inseparable mixture of two unidentified isomeric metabolites of phenol 4a were retained for further examination. During the current study, this mixture, was finally separated by careful multiple elution PLC. The minor isomer (2% isolated yield) was indistinguishable from 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as derived from 3-methoxyphenol 3a. The structure and absolute configuration of the major isomer (18% isolated yield, $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ -29), was identified as (4S)-4hydroxy-2-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 25as. This metabolite was also isolated as a dehydration product of (2S,3S,4S)-3,4-dihydroxy-2methoxycyclohexanone 17a_{cis} (Scheme 4).

A repeat biotransformation of guaiacol 4a again resulted in the formation of chiral metabolites $17a_{cis}$, $25a_s$ and $19a_s$, but in a different ratio based on isolated yields, *i.e.* 11%, 3%, <1% respectively. This prompted a time course biotransformation study of methoxyphenol substrates 3a and 4a, which showed increases in the relative yields of 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones $19a_s$ and $25a_s$ respectively, with glucose, rather than pyruvate, as a carbon source and during the later stages (>8 h) of the biotransformations.

unexpected The discovery 4of hydroxymethoxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones **19a**_S and 25a_s, metabolites of phenol substrates 3a and 4a, allied to the earlier isolation of compound $19e_R$, as a minor metabolite of 3-iodophenol 3d,^[5a] raised the possibility that compounds $19a_s$, $25a_s$ and $19e_R$, could be the first members of a new family of phenol metabolites. To investigate the possible metabolic pathways. leading to the formation of 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones $19a_S$, $19e_R$ and $25a_S$, repeat biotransformations of 3-iodophenol 3d and ortho-cresol 4b were conducted (Scheme 4).

Iodocyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol **6d**, a major metabolite of 3-iodophenol 3d,^[5a,c] was isolated in vields (30-70%), along with variable other metabolites including 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19 e_R . LC-TOFMS analysis of the biotransformed aqueous culture medium, detected the presence of cyclohexanone cis-diol 26e and GC-MS analysis of the EtOAc concentrate, after trimethylsilylation with N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA), showed that iodocyclohexene cis-triol 12d, cyclohexane cis-triol 18e, iodocatechol 13d, iodohydroquinone 16d and cyclohexene cis-triol 12 (R=H) were also present as minor metabolites (Scheme 2 and 4, and Fig. 2).

A preliminary biotransformation of *ortho*cresol **4b**, resulted in the isolation of (4*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-4,5dihydroxy-6-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one **8b**, as the only identified metabolite (1% yield).^[5a] Repeated metabolism studies of phenol **4b**, revealed that in addition to *cis*-diol **8b**, four other minor metabolites were present. Catechol **13b** and hydroquinone **16b** were identified by trimethylsilylation of a small portion of the crude freeze-dried extract, followed by GC-MS analysis of the products and comparison with authentic samples.

Time course LC-TOFMS analysis, of the crude culture medium from a biotransformation of ortho-cresol 4b, indicated that, in addition to 8b, a very minor bioproduct was formed, which rapidly metabolized further. This early eluting bioproduct, was tentatively identified as cyclohexanone *cis*-diol $17b_{cis}$ (Scheme 4). Its identity was confirmed by catalytic hydrogenation (Pd/C, MeOH) of metabolite **8b**, to yield an identical sample of (2S,3R,4S)-3,4dihydroxy-2-methylcyclohexanone 17bcis. Work up of the biotransformed material^[5a] and separation of the crude mixture, by column chromatography followed by PLC of early eluting fractions, gave a new metabolite, which was identified as 4-hydroxy-2methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one **25b**_S ($[\alpha]_D$ - 49.0). The opposite enantiomer, $25b_R$, ($[\alpha]_D + 46.7$), had been synthesised earlier by an alternative route, involving manganese acetate-mediated acetoxylation and lipase-catalysed ester hydrolysis.^[7]

(iii) Biosynthetic pathways for the formation of 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one - metabolites from phenols

The metabolic sequences, to account for the formation of the 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one metabolites $19e_R$, $19a_S$, $25a_S$ and $25b_S$, as minor products from the corresponding phenol substrates (3d, 3a, 4a and 4b), *via* the initial cyclohexenone *cis*-diol metabolites 6d, 6e, 6a, 8a and 8b, are presented in Scheme 4.

It is proposed that, during the P. putida UV4 biotransformation of 3-iodophenol 3d, an EREDcatalysed ene reduction of cyclohexenone cis-diol 6d, followed by a dehydrohalogenation of the resulting cyclohexanone cis-diol could occur, to give the transient parent cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol 6e (Scheme 4). Intermediate 6e was not detected, possibly due to its further rapid ERED-catalysed ene reduction to form the detected transient metabolite 26e. CRED-catalysed reduction of metabolite 26e yielded the *cis*-triol metabolite **18e** (Fig. 2, R = H), while its facile dehydration also gave 4-cyclohex-2ene-1-one **19e**_{*R*}. A precedent for this type of reductive dehalogenation mechanism, $(6d \rightarrow - 6e)$ by the ERED-catalysed reduction-spontaneous β -elimination of β -halo- α , β -unsaturated carboxylic esters, has been reported.^[8] Other examples of ERED-catalysed ene α,β -unsaturated ketones, reductions. of e.g. metabolites $8a \rightarrow 17a_{cis}$ and $8b \rightarrow 17b_{cis}$, have been found during biotransformations^[5e] (Scheme 4).

Further evidence of the metabolic sequence $(3d \rightarrow 6d \rightarrow 6e \rightarrow 26e \rightarrow 19e_R)$, involving both TDO and ERED enzymes, was found when cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol **6e** ($[\alpha]_D - 217$), obtained by hydrogenolysis of metabolite **6d**, was added as substrate. 4-Hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **19e_R** and triol **18e** were the only identified metabolites. Enantiopure 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **19e_R**, ($[\alpha]_D + 110$) synthesised by an alternative chemoenzymatic route using lipase enzymes, has been utilized as a chiral precursor in synthesis.^[9a,b]

Biosynthetic sequences, involving TDOcatalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of phenols **4a** and **4b**, to yield enantiopure cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diols followed by an ERED-catalysed reduction / β elimination mechanism, are shown in Scheme 4. The metabolic pathway proposed for the formation of 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **25a**_S from phenol **4a**, (**4a** \rightarrow **8a** \rightarrow **17a**_{*cis*} \rightarrow **25a**_S), was supported by results obtained using (2*S*,3*S*,4*S*)-cyclohexanone *cis*diol **17a** as substrate; compound **25a**_S was the only bioproduct formed. It was presumed that the biotransformation of phenol **4b**, to yield 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **25b**_S, would also occur *via* a similar metabolic pathway $(4b \rightarrow 8b \rightarrow 17b_{cis} \rightarrow 25b_s)$.

While the formation of three 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones ($19e_R$, $25a_S$ and $25b_S$), from the corresponding phenol substrates (3d, 4a and **4b**), resulted from a common biosynthetic sequence, this pathway would not result in the formation of metabolite $19a_s$ from phenol 3a. Furthermore, the higher enantiopurity (>98% ee), of bioproducts **19e**_R, $25a_s$ and $25b_s$ from phenols 3d, 4a and 4b, contrasted with the lower ee value of metabolite $19a_{s}$ (ca. 65% ee, from phenol 3a) found earlier. This indicates the probability of a different mechanism being involved in the formation of 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one $19a_s$ from phenols 3aand **4a**.

With cyclohexenone *cis*-diol **6a** as substrate, 4-hydroxycyclohexenone $19a_s$ was identified as the main metabolite with hydroquinone 16a as a minor product resulting from the dehydration. Since hydroquinone 16a also being formed by the dehydration of cyclohexenone *cis*-diol **8a**, its possible role as an intermediate during formation of 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one **19a**_s was examined. The biotransformation pathways of phenols 3a and 4a, with hydroquinone 16a as an intermediate, were postulated to proceed in five steps $(3a \rightarrow 6a \rightarrow 16a)$ $\rightarrow 27a \rightarrow 28a \rightarrow 19a_s$ and $4a \rightarrow 8a \rightarrow 16a \rightarrow 27a$ $\rightarrow 28a \rightarrow 19a_s$) as shown in Scheme 4. Further confirmation of these metabolic sequences was obtained by the biotransformation of hydroquinone 16a as substrate to yield metabolite 4hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as.

It is postulated that the oxidation of hydroquinone 16a, to benzoquinone intermediate 27a, could have resulted from either a non-enzymatic autoxidation or peroxidase activity in *P. putida* UV4 cells, followed by an ERED-catalysed reduction of benzoquinone 27a (Scheme 4). The transient intermediate, cyclohex-2-ene-1,4-dione 28a, could either tautomerize back to hydroquinone 16a or undergo an asymmetric CRED-catalysed ketone reduction. This could account for metabolite 19as having a lower enantiopurity (65% *ee*) compared with the other 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-ene-1-ones 19e_R, 25as and 25bs or cyclo *cis*-diol precursors 6a and 8a (>98% *ee*).

Fungal metabolism of benzoquinone 29, with cultures of *Phanerochaete chryosporium*,^[10] to form 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-enone 30 (Fig. 3), provides a precedent for the metabolic sequence $(27a \rightarrow 28a \rightarrow 19a_s)$. Dehydration of cyclohexenone *cis*-diols 6a and 8a, to give hydroquinone 16a, and of cyclohexanone *cis*-diols 17a, 17b and 26e, to form 4 -

hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones $25a_s$, $25b_s$ and $19e_R$ respectively, could occur during biotransformations, *via* chemocatalysis or a dehydratase-catalysed process.

(iv) Biotransformations of monocylic arenes, to yield arene hydrates

The hydration of conjugated and non-conjugated alkene bonds, catalysed by hydratase or hydrolyase enzymes, *e.g.* aconitase, fumarase and crotonase, is a common step in primary metabolism.^[11a] There are very few reported examples of enzymatic hydrations of arenes, to form the corresponding arene hydrates. The formation of arene hydrate metabolite **31** from acetophenone substrate **1** (R = COMe) using *P*.

putida UV4 cultures, is among the few reports of arene to arene hydrate biotransformations (Scheme 1, Fig. 3).^[11b]

Metabolite **31**, a highly unstable compound, with a propensity to rapidly dehydrate back to substrate **1** (R = COMe), was only identified as an iron tricarbonyl complex.^[11b] To study the stability of monocyclic arene hydrates, racemic samples of



Scheme 4. Metabolic pathways for the formation of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones 19e_R, 19a_S, 25a_S and 25b_S, from phenols 3d, 3a, 4a and 4b respectively.



Figure 3. Structures of compounds 29-36.

compounds **32** (R = Me, Et, ⁱPr, ^tBu), and **33** (R = CO₂Me and Ph), were synthesised from 3-substituted 1,4-cyclohexadienes,^[11c] and enantiopure arene hydrates **33** (R = F, Cl, Br, CF₃) and **34** (R = Br) from

the corresponding *cis*-dihydrodiol metabolites $2^{[11d]}$ Kinetic studies of the acid-catalysed dehydration of these arene hydrates showed that they aromatized much faster $(3.7 \times 10^2 - 6.9 \times 10^4 \text{ fold})$ than *cis*dihydrodiols **2**.^[11c]

The monocyclic arene hydrate **35**, an unstable intermediate, formed during the biosynthesis of the antibiotic bacilysin, was obtained by the enzymatic decarboxylation of prephenate, using an *E. coli* recombinant strain, expressing phenate decarboxylase.^[12a-c] Arene hydrate **35** was found to undergo a slow non-enzymatic, or a rapid enzyme-catalysed isomerization, to yield the more stable vinylogous 4-hydroxycyclohexenone metabolite **36**. Intermediate **35** appears to be among the very few, isolated and fully characterized, monocyclic arene hydrate metabolites.

Phenol metabolites, 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one $19e_R$, $19a_S$, $25a_S$ and $25b_S$, identified during the study could, in principle, equilibrate with the corresponding phenol hydrate tautomers 19e'_R, 19a'_s, 25a's and 25b's; in practice only the keto tautomers were observed by NMR spectroscopy. Similarly, the methoxycyclohexenone cis-diol 6a, metabolite of 3methoxyphenol 3a, showed no evidence of enol tautomer 5a, from proton NMR analysis (Scheme 1). Trimethylsilylation of cis-diol 6a with MSTFA, and GC-MS analysis of the product, showed a major peak (95%) with ($[M]^+ m/z$ 302), indicating formation of the diTMS derivative.^[5e] The molecular ion $([M]^+ m/z)$ 374), corresponding to the minor peak (5%), was from a triTMS derivative and provided indirect evidence of the elusive enol tautomer 5a. Similar treatment of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones 19e_R and $19a_S$ with MSTFA, and GC-MS analyses of the products showed the formation of monoTMS derivatives $19e'_R$ and $19a'_S$ (major peaks). The minor peaks were attributed to the diTMS derivatives of the undetected phenol hydrate tautomers $19e'_R$ and $19a'_S$.

The lack of evidence, for monocyclic arene hydrates of similar structure to metabolite **31**,^[11b] during the biotransformations of substituted benzene substrates by *P. putida* UV4 or other microbial systems could be due to the absence of suitable hydrolase / hydratase enzymes or rapid dehydration and reformation of the arene substrates.^[11c] Under similar biotransformation conditions, the TDOcatalysed *cis*-dihydroxylation of arene hydrate **33** (R = CF₃) yielded the opposite enantiomer of cyclohexene triol **12c**,^[11d] and thus revealed an alternative metabolic pathway for monocyclic arene hydrates. The results presented herein demonstrate that the more stable keto tautomers of arene hydrates can be obtained from phenols using *P. putida* UV4.

Conclusion

Molecular docking results, of four aniline substrates with TDO, led to the prediction that in common with phenols, *cis*-dihydroxylation of anilines could occur, to yield *cis*-diols and catechols. The premise was confirmed by the detection and isolation, of identical cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol metabolites, from the corresponding *meta*-phenols and *meta*-anilines. The initial formation of enamines and the NH-imine tautomers, followed by their rapid hydrolysis, could account for the formation of cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*diols in low yields from the anilines. Although catechols had been found earlier as aniline metabolites, no direct evidence was found in the study, possibly due to further metabolism by catechol dioxygenase.

Biotransformation of cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diols, resulted in the formation of a new range of minor metabolites, which were identified as 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones. Their structures and absolute configurations were determined by chemoenzymatic synthesis and stereochemical correlation; these new phenol metabolites have considerable synthetic potential.

Although single step hydratase or hydrolase activity has been reported for alkenes, we are unaware of similar activity with arene substrates. Multistep metabolic pathways, involving cyclohexenone *cis*-diol, cyclohexanone *cis*-diol and hydroquinone intermediates, are now proposed to explain the formation of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1ones as a new type of phenol metabolite. Direct evidence for the presence of enol tautomers (arene hydrates) of 4-hydroxycyclohexenones was not found; indirect evidence was obtained following trimethylsilylation and GC-MS analyses.

Experimental Section

Experimental Details

NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance-400, DPX-300 and DRX-500 instruments. Chemical shifts (δ) are reported in ppm relative to SiMe₄, and coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 983G spectrometer. Optical rotation ([α]_D) measurements were carried out on a Perkin-Elmer 214 polarimeter. LC-TOFMS analyses were conducted using an Agilent 1100 series HPLC coupled to an Agilent 6510 Q-TOF (Agilent Technologies, USA) and a reverse phase column (Agilent Eclipse Plus C18, 5 mm, 150 x 2.1 mm) under reported conditions.^[5e] GC-MS analysis of metabolites was carried after silylation using MSTFA and an Agilent Technologies 6890N gas chromatograph linked to a 5973 mass selective detector and Agilent Technologies HP Ultra 2 column as reported.^[5e] For TLC analysis, Merck Kieselgel $60F_{254}$ analytical plates were used and PLC separation of metabolites was carried out using glass plates (20 cm x 20 cm) coated with Merck Keiselgel PF_{254/366} silica gel. Column chromatography was performed on Merck Keiselgel type 60 (250-400 mesh).

Phenols **3a-d**, **4a**, **4b**, anilines **9a-d**, catechols **13a**, **13b**, hydroquinones **15a**, **15b** and methoxybenzoquinone **27a** were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich Co. Authentic samples of cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diols **6a-d** and cyclohexene *cis*-triol **12c** were available from earlier studies.^[5a-e] Commercially obtained anilines **9a-d** showed no detectable traces of phenols **4a-d** on GC-MS analysis, prior to their use as substrates. Biotransformations were carried out using *P. putida* UV4 cells, unless mentioned otherwise.

Molecular modelling

Substrate docking studies were performed according to an earlier procedure.[5f] The required in silico models of substrates were created in .pdb-format with UCSF Chimera 1.10.2 (https://www.cgl.ucsf.edu/chimera/). In silico dockings were performed with AutoDock suite 4.2 (autodock4, autogrid4). The Graphical User Interface (GUI), including python scripts for ligand and receptor preparation, was part of AutoDock Tools 1.5.6. AutoDock suite and AutoDock tools (ADT) are provided by the Scripps Research Institute (http://autodock.scripps.edu/).^[13] The TDO crystal structure was accessed from the Protein Data Bank (PDB code 3en1, resolution of 3.2 Å). The raw 3en1 crystal structure .pdb-file of TDO includes a docked toluene structure in the active site, which was removed with UCSF chimera 1.10.2 prior to docking. The resulting model was called 3EN1M. Ligand and receptor were then prepared in accordance with the ADT tutorial (http://autodock.scripps.edu/faqs-help/tutorial/usingautodock-4-with autodocktools/2012_ADTtut.pdf) utilising the 'prepare_receptor4.py' python script included in ADT, missing atoms were repaired, hydrogens and Gasteiger charges added, and non-polar hydrogens merged. The resulting .pdbqt-file of the crystal structure was used in all docking calculations. .pdb-files of substrates were automatically converted to the required .pdbqt-format by ADT. The docking grid was adjusted to include all amino acids within 5 Å of toluene in the crystal structure: Gln215. Phe216, Asp219, Met220, His222, Ala223, His228, Val309, His311, Leu321, Ile324, Phe366, Phe376.

PyMol was used to incorporate dioxygen into the 3EN1M model, by superimposing the iron complex (Fe, His222, His228, and Asp376) of TDO (pdb code: 3en1) with that of NDO (pdb code: 107m), and copying the dioxygen positions to 3EN1M. The resulting model was called 3EN1M-O₂.

Docking resolution = 0.247 Å; $x_{size} = 40$; $x_{offset} = 12.833$; $y_{size} = 60$; $y_{offset} = -4.472$; $z_{size} = 72$; $z_{offset} = 3.917$. The grid parameter file (.gpf) was used to create the grid map files (.glg) using autogrid4. The search protocol for docking used the internal default docking parameters of AutoDock 4.2, starting the ligand at a random location. The docking was set to be performed as 100 runs of the Lamarckian

genetic algorithm with a population size of 150 each, terminating after 2 500 000 energy evaluations or 27 000 generations (whichever occurs first; standard settings). The docking results were analysed with ADT, to obtain docking coordinates, calculated binding energy (in kJ) and calculated dissociation constant K_D (in µM). All docking studies were performed as rigid docking, keeping all positions, orientations and protonation states of all amino acid atoms locked in place. The 100 docking orientations were automatically analysed by ADT and divided into orientationally and energetically similar groups. The orientation with the highest binding energy of each group was saved as representative for each group. The conformation of toluene, in the crystal structure, was used as a reference, to establish and confirm the viability of the docking procedure and parameters, as a similar conformation was obtained from docking with ADT.

3D visualization

The amino acids of the TDO active site were visualized with PyMol 1.7.4.5, from the Protein Data Bank (PDB) 3EN1 file coordinates. The docking results were imported into PyMol, from the respective .pdb files created with AutoDock4 and AutoDock Tools. Measurements between atoms were calculated with PyMol's incorporated measurement tool.

Biotransformation of anilines 9a-d

Initial small scale biotransformations of anilines 9a-d and LC-TOFMS analyses of metabolites were conducted, under conditions similar to those reported for phenol substrates.^[5a-e] However, due to the increased toxicity of anilines 9a-d, compared with phenol substrates 3a-d, a significant proportion of residual aniline substrates were consistently present along with cyclohexenone cis-diol metabolites 6a-d. To achieve complete conversions, lower aniline concentrations were used (ca. 0.05 mg/ml). Cyclohexenone *cis*-diol metabolites **6a-d** and *cis*-triol **12c** were readily identified as aniline metabolites, by comparison of LC-TOFMS data with the authentic samples. As cyclohexenone cis-diols 6a-d and cis-triol 12c had been fully characterised [5a-e], only the LC-TOFMS retention times (min.) and accurate mass values were recorded for aniline substrates 9a-d.

3-Methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol 6a.^[5d] 3.43 min., HRMS: [M+H]⁺ 159.0646, calcd. for C₇H₁₁O₄ 159.0652.

3-Methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol 6b.^[5a] 4.85 min., HRMS: [M+H]⁺ 143.0698, calcd. for C₇H₁₁O₃ 143.0703.

3-Trifluoromethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol 6c.^[5c] 10.93 min., HRMS: $[M+H]^+$ 197.0417, calcd. for $C_7H_8O_3F_3$ 197.0420.

3-Iodocyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol 6d.^[5a] 10.19 min., HRMS: [M+H]⁺ 254.9502, calcd. for C₆H₈O₃I 254.9513.

6-Trifluoromethylcyclohex-5-ene *cis*-triol **12c**.^[5c] 9.65 min., HRMS: [M+NH₄]⁺ 216.0831, calcd. for C₇H₁₃NO₃F₃ 216.0847.

Cyclohex-2-en-1-one *cis*-diol metabolite **6a** (*ca*. 6 mg) was isolated from a biotransformation of aniline **9a** (1.25 g in 4 L culture medium). Purification, by column chromatograph (hexane \rightarrow EtOAc), of the crude product obtained after usual work up followed by PLC (50% EtOAc in hexane), of selected combined fractions, gave metabolite **6a**. The metabolite was found to have identical spectroscopic (NMR) and chiroptical ($[\alpha]_D$) properties to an authentic sample derived from phenol **3a**. No catechol metabolites ^[6a-e] were detected (GC-MS analysis) in the crude bio-extracts of aniline substrates **9a-d**.

Biotransformation of phenols 3a, 3d, 4a and 4b and synthesis of (-)-(S)-4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as

Large scale biotransformations of phenols 3a and 4a, using whole cell cultures of P. putida UV4 with glucose as a carbon source, were reported.^[5e] Pooled column fractions, from that investigation containing unidentified metabolites, were re-examined during the current study. Time course study of small scale biotransformations of phenols 3a, 3d, 4a and 4b and anilines 9a-d, were conducted under similar conditions, using glucose or pyruvate as carbon sources.^{[5a-} ^{e]} Chiral metabolites, 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones **19a**, 19e, 25a and 25b, cyclohexenone cis-diols 6a and 6d, cyclohexanone cis-diols 17a, 17b and 26e, and cis-triol 12c were detected directly in the crude aqueous culture medium by LC-TOFMS analyses, prior to their isolation. Catechols 13a-d, hydroquinones 16a-d were identified by GC-MS analyses of the crude bio-extracts, after EtOAc extraction and trimethylsilylation (MSTFA) of the dried concentrates.

(a) 4-Hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one $19a_S$ a new metabolite of 3-methoxyphenol 3a

In the large scale biotransformation of phenol 3a, the major metabolite, cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol 6a (45 g, 38% yield), was isolated by crystallization from the crude extract.^[5e] GC-MS analysis of the retained combined fractions showed that catechol 13a and hydroquinone 14a were also present among a mixture of unidentified metabolites. One very minor metabolite was identified as 2-hydroxy-6-methoxy-6-oxohexanoic acid 15a by LC-TOFMS analysis: [M+H]⁺ 177.0758, calcd. for C₇H₁₃O₅ 177.0758; [M+Na]⁺ 199.0577, calcd. for C₇H₁₂O₅Na 199.0582; [M+NH₄]⁺ 194.1018, calcd. for C₇H₁₆NO5 194.1028. LC-TOFMS analysis of the combined fractions indicated that another unidentified metabolite was present; its molecular weight was consistent with structure 19as. Separation of the combined fractions by careful column chromatography (hexane \rightarrow 50% EtOAc in hexane) gave a pure sample of metabolite 19as.

(4*S*)-4-Hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as.^[14] Colourless oil (1.2 g, 1%); R_f 0.15 (50% EtOAc in hexane); $[\alpha]_D$ - 31.9 (*c* 1.0, CHCl₃); HRMS: (TOF-LCMS) [M+H]⁺ 143.0709, calcd. for C₇H₁₁O₃ 143.0708; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ = 2.01 (1 H, ddddd, *J* =12.5, 10.1, 7.9, 4.3, 0.6 Hz, H-5), 2.25-2.37 (2 H, m, H-5', H-6), 2.59 (1 H, m, H-6'), 2.65 (1 H, br s, OH), 3.76 (3 H, s, Me), 4.47 (1 H, dd, *J* =8.4, 5.0 Hz, H-4), 5.34 (1 H, s, H-2), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) $\delta = 29.5$, 34.0, 56.2, 65.8, 102.1, 176.2, 198.6; IR (film) $v_{\text{max}}/\text{cm}^{-1}$ 3389, 2945, 1630, 1609, 1231.

(b) Chemoenzymatic synthesis of (S)-4-hydroxy-3methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19a_S

(S)-2-Bromo-2-cyclohexen-1-ol 21_S. This compound was available as a colourless oil from an earlier biotransformation ^[5h] of 2-bromo-2-cyclohex-2-en-1-one 20, $[\alpha]_D$ - 80.3 (*c* 1.77, CHCl₃), *ee* 99.8% (chiral GC analysis).

(S)-(2-Bromocyclohex-2-enyloxy)-tert-

 $22s.^{[15]}$ butyldimethylsilane tert-Butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (280 µl, 1.21 mmol) was added to a solution of alcohol 21s (200 mg, 1.10 mmol), maintained at 0°C in dry CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) containing triethylamine (240 µl, 1.69 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred (2 h) at 0°C, allowed to warm to room temperature and then ice (20 g) and CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL) were added to it. After thoroughly mixing the reaction mixture by shaking, the organic layer was separated, washed with brine (15 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated to give a yellow oil. It was purified by flash chromatography (hexane) to yield the TBS ether 22_s as a colourless oil (310 mg, 94%); $R_{\rm f}$ 0.4 (hexane); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ - 82.9 (c 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta = 0.11$ (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.17 (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.92 (9 H, s, CMe₃), 1.40 (1 H, m, H-5), 1.55-1.68 (3 H, m, H-5', H-6, H-6'), 1.83 (1 H, m, H-4), 1.95 (1 H, m, H-4'), 4.02 (1 H, m, H-1), 5.97 (1 H, dd, J = 4.7, 3.7 Hz, H-3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz,) $\delta = -4.5, -4.3, 17.4, 18.3,$ 26.0 (3C), 27.9, 33.9, 70.8, 126.0, 132.1; IR (film): v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 2949, 2930, 1644, 1252, 1093.

(S)-3-Bromo-4-(tert-butyldimethylsilyloxy)cyclohex-2-

en-1-one 23s. A solution of tert-butyl hydroperoxide in water (6 M, 0.63 mL, 3.78 mmol) was added dropwise into a mixture of TBS ether 22_s (200 mg, 0.68 mmol), K₂CO₃ (47 mg, 0.34 mmol), diacetoxyiodobenzene (670 mg, 2.1 mmol) and butyl butyrate (1.5 mL) maintained at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred (0°C, 8 h), diluted with a mixture of 20% ether in hexane (10 mL), filtered (diatomaceous earth), and the filtrate concentrated to give a crude yellow oil. It was purified by column chromatography (5% Et₂O in hexane) to give enone 23_s as a colourless oil (60 mg, 29%); $R_{\rm f}$ 0.28 (5% Et₂O in hexane); $[\alpha]_D$ + 41.5, (c 0.5, CHCl₃); HRMS: (LC-TOFMS) $[M+H]^+$ 305.0560, calcd. for $C_{12}H_{22}O_2SiBr$ 305.05670; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta = 0.15$ (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.20 (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.93 (9 H, s, CMe₃), 2.07 (1 H, dddd, J = 13.7, 7.8, 6.0, 4.6 Hz, H-5), 2.25 (1 H, dddd, J = 13.7, 9.1, 4.6, 4.0, H-5' Hz), 2.38 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.9, 7.8, 4.6 Hz, H-6), 2.67 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.9, 9.2, 4.6 Hz, H-6'), 4.50 $(1 \text{ H}, \text{ dd}, J = 6.0, 4.0 \text{ Hz}, \text{H-4}), 6.44 (1 \text{ H}, \text{s}, \text{H-2}); {}^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (100 MHz) $\delta = -4.6, -4.4, 18.3, 25.8$ (3C), 32.0, 33.4, 71.0, 132.8, 152.6, 196.0; IR (film) v_{max}/cm⁻¹ 2954, 1689, 1610, 1471.

(S)-4-(*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyloxy)-3-methoxycyclohex-2en-1-one 24_S. To a solution of enone 23_S (40 mg, 0.13 mmol) in MeOH (2 mL) was added K_2CO_3 (35 mg, 0.26 mmol), and the mixture kept at room temperature (2 h) without stirring. The reaction mixture was filtered, the filtrate carefully concentrated *in vacuo*, and the light yellow volatile oil obtained was purified by PLC (10% Et₂O in pentane) to furnish methoxy compound **24***s* as a colourless oil (23 mg, 69%); R_f 0.2 (5% Et₂O in pentane); HRMS: (ES) [M+H]⁺ 257.1571, calcd. for C₁₃H₂₅O₃Si 257.1573; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta = 0.08$ (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.11 (3 H, s, SiMe), 0.89 (9 H, s, CMe₃), 1.95-2.14 (2 H, m, H-5, H-5'), 2.29 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.7, 5.8, 4.4 Hz, H-6), 2.67 (1 H, ddd, J = 16.7, 10.1, 5.0 Hz, H-6'), 3.70 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.32 (1 H, dd, J = 5.0, 4.1 Hz, H-4), 5.27 (1 H, s, H-2); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) $\delta = -5.0, -4.6, 18.3, 25.8$ (3C), 31.0, 32.9, 55.8, 67.2, 102.2, 176.9, 199.3; LRMS: (EI): 217 (100), 257 (5), 304 (60), 445 (50), 1065 (15); IR (film) v_{max}/cm^{-1} 2955, 2930, 1670, 1618, 1226, 834.

(S)-4-Hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19a_s. A THF solution of tetrabutylammonium fluoride (1 M, 118 μ l, 0.12 mmol) was added to a solution of compound 24s (20 mg, 0.08 mmol) in THF (4 mL) at 0°C. The reaction mixture was stirred and allowed to warm slowly (2 h) to 10°C. The concentrated reaction mixture was purified by PLC (50 % EtOAc in hexane) to give hydroxyenone 19a_s as a colourless oil (7 mg, 62%); R_f 0.15 (50% EtOAc in hexane); $[\alpha]_D$ - 48.8 (*c* 0.53, CHCl₃). The synthetic sample of hydroxyenone 19a_s was found to be identical with the enzymatically formed metabolite 19a_s.

(c) New metabolites of 2-methoxyphenol 4a

LC-TOFMS analysis of the aqueous bio-extract, obtained from the biotransformation of 2-methoxyphenol substrate 4a, showed the presence following metabolites: cyclohexanone cis-diols 17acis and 17atrans, cyclohex-2-en-1-one cis diol 8a, hydroquinone 16a, catechol 13a and its hydroxycarboxylic acid derivative **15a**, and some unidentified bioproducts.^[5e] The large-scale biotransformation of 2-methoxyphenol 4a and purification by column chromatography (hexane \rightarrow EtOAc) had yielded (2S, 3S, 4S)-cis-diol 17acis (2.5 g) and (2R, 3S, 4S)trans-diol 17atrans (0.25 g).[5e] LC-TOFMS analysis of unidentified pooled chromatography fractions (2.6 g, eluent 50% EtOAc in hexane), indicated it to be a mixture (9:1) of two isomeric compounds ([M+H]⁺ 143), which could not be separated. This retained concentrated mixture was re-examined during the study. A pure sample of the major isomer, separated by multiple elution PLC (2.5% MeOH in CHCl₃), was identified as 4-hydroxy-2methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one $25a_s$. The minor isomer of the mixture was found to be indistinguishable from metabolite 4-hydroxy-3-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 19as, which was derived from 3-methoxyphenol 3a.

(4*S*)-4-Hydroxy-2-methoxycyclohex-2-en-1-one 25as. Light yellow oil, R_f 0.26 (5% MeOH in CHCl₃); $[\alpha]_D$ - 29.0 (*c* 1.1, CHCl₃); HRMS: (LC-TOFMS) [M+H]⁺ 143.0703, calcd. for C₇H₁₁O₃ 143.0708; [M+Na]⁺ 165.05216, calcd. for C₇H₁₀O₃Na 165.0528; [M+K]⁺ 181.0261, calcd. for C₇H₁₀O₃K 181.0267; [M+H - H₂O]⁺ 125.0523, calcd. for C₇H₈O₂ 125.0524; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ = 1.94 (1 H, dddd, *J* = 12.6, 11.0, 8.1, 4.5 Hz, H-5), 2.28 (1 H, m, H-5'), 2.40 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 11.1, 4.6 Hz, H-6), 2.56 (1 H, bs, OH), 2.68 (1 H, ddd, *J* = 17.0, 6.3, 4.5 Hz, H-6'), 3.60 (3 H, s, OMe), 4.68 (1 H, m, H-4), 5.82 (1 H, dd, *J* = 3.5 Hz, 0.6, H-3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) $\delta = 32.6, 35.2, 55.5, 66.5,$ 118.8, 151.4, 194.0. Addition of (2*S*, 3*S*, 4*S*)-3,4dihydroxy-2-methoxycyclohexanone **17a**_{cis} as substrate to *P. putida* UV4, resulted in the formation of metabolite 4hydroxy-2-methoxycyclohex-2-enone **25a**_S and provided confirmation of its (4*S*) absolute configuration by stereochemical correlation.

(d) New metabolites of 2-methylphenol (o-cresol) 4b

Biotransformation (P.putida UV4) of o-cresol 4b was yield (4*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-4,5-dihydroxy-6found to methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one 8b as the only identified metabolite.^[5a] Repeat biotransformation of phenol 4b, under similar conditions, and GC-MS analysis of a small portion of the crude concentrate, after extraction with EtOAc and silvlation, showed that catechol 13b and hydroquinone 16b were present among the minor metabolites. LC-TOFMS analysis (4.31 min.) and comparison with an authentic sample, confirmed that metabolite **8b** was the main component: [M+H]⁺ 143.0702, calcd. for C₇H₁₁O₃ 143.0708 and [M+Na]⁺ 165.0516, calcd. for C7H10O3Na 165.0528. LC-TOFMS analysis also indicated the presence of 4-hydroxy-3-methylcyclohex-2en-1-one 25bs (11.98 min) and cyclohexanone cis-diol 17bcis (3.9 min.) as minor metabolites. PLC purification EtOAc in hexane) yielded 4-hydroxy-3-(50%) methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one **25b**s: the structure and absolute configuration were established by comparison with the literature data of its opposite enantiomer.

(4S)-Hydroxy-2-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one 25bs. Light yellow oil (23 mg, 0.56 % yield); $R_{\rm f}$ 0.20 (50% EtOAc in hexane); $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ - 49.0 (*c* 0.7, CHCl₃), (Lit.^[7] Ent. $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ + 46.7, CHCl₃); HRMS: (EI) M⁺ 126.0685, calcd. for C₇H₁₀O₂ 126.0681; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) δ = 1.79 (3 H, s, Me), 1.95 (1 H, m, H-5), 2.37 (2 H, m, H-4, H-5), 2.62 (1 H, m, H-6), 2.8 (1 H, br s, OH), 4.55 (1 H, m, H-4), 6.73 (1 H, m, H-3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ = 15.9, 33.1, 35.9, 66.9, 135.9, 148.4, 199.9.

(2S,3R,4S)-3,4-Dihydroxy-2-methylcyclohexanone

17bcis. A solution of 2-methylcyclohex-2-en-1-one cis-diol 8b (5 mg), in methanol (1 mL) containing 10% Pd/C (ca. 1 mg), was stirred overnight at room temperature, under hydrogen atmosphere and normal pressure. The catalyst was filtered off, the filtrate concentrated and the product purified by PLC (80% EtOAc in hexane), to give the hydrogenated cyclohexanone cis-diol 17bcis (4.2 mg) as a colourless oil; $R_f 0.32$ (75% EtOAc in hexane); $[\alpha]_D + 1.2$ (c 0.35, MeOH); LC-TOFMS: 3.9 min. [M+H]+ 145.0859, calcd. for C7H13O3 145.0865; [M+NH4]+ 162.1125, calcd. for C₇H₁₆NO₃ 162.1130; [M+Na]⁺ 167.0679, calcd. for $C_7H_{12}O_3Na \ 167.0684$; ¹H NMR (400 MHz) $\delta = 1.14 \ (1 \text{ H}, 1)$ d, J = 6.9 Hz, Me), 1.63 (2 H, br s, 2x OH), 2.03-2.20 (2 H, m, H-5, H-5'), 2.33-2.41 (2 H, m, H-6, H-6'), 2.57 (1 H, qd, J = 6.9, 2.6 Hz, H-2), 4.14 (1 H, m, H-4), 4.17 (1 H, ddd, J = 11.0, 5.3, 2.6 Hz, H-3); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz) δ = 10.8, 28.4, 38.0, 47.0, 70.8, 76.9, 209.8. The sample of compound 17b_{cis} obtained by hydrogenation showed identical LC-TOFMS data to that of metabolite 17bcis.

(e) GC-MS analysis of diTMS derivatives of 4-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-ones $19e_R$ and $19a_S$ and triTMS derivatives of phenol hydrates (cyclohexa-1,5-diene-1,4-diols) $19e'_R$ and $19a'_S$

Trimethylsilylation of metabolites **19e**_{*R*} and **19a**_{*S*} with MSTFA, and GC-MS analyses of the silyl derivatives, showed two peaks in each case. The major peaks were due to the monoTMS derivatives of the keto tautomers **19e**_{*R*} (5.05 min., 88%, [M+H]⁺, m/z = 184) and **19a**_{*S*} (8.61 min., 81%, [M+H]⁺, m/z = 214). The minor peaks (6.40 min., 12%, [M+H]⁺, m/z = 256) and (8.69 min., 19%, [M+H]⁺, m/z = 286) were consistent with triTMS derivatives of the corresponding enol tautomers **19e**'_{*R*} and **19a**'s.

Acknowledgements

We thank the Department of Education and Learning, Northern Ireland (to PABMcI) and the Leverhume Trust (to PH) for postgraduate Studentships.

References

[1] a) P. F. Fitzpatrick, *Biochemistry*, 2003, 42, 14083-14091; b) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1996, 289-296; c) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, J. S. Harrison, J. F. Malone, W. C. McRoberts, J. T. G Hamilton, D. B. Harper, *Org. Biomol.Chem.*, 2008, 6, 1251-1259; d) S. M. Rappaport, S. Kim, Q. Lan, R. Vermeulen, S. Waidyanatha, L. Zhang, G. Li, S. Yin, R. B. Hayes, N. Rothman, M. T. Smith, *Environ. Health, Perspect.*, 2009, *117*, 946-952; e) A. Karich, M. Kluge, R. Ullrich, M. Hofrichter, *AMB Express*, 2013, 3, 5.

[2] a) D. T. Gibson, J. R. Koch, R. E. Kallio, Biochemistry, 1968, 7, 2653-2662; b) D. R. Boyd, G. N. Sheldrake, Nat. Prod. Rep., 1998, 15, 309-324; c) T. G. Hudlicky, D. Gonazles, D. T. Gibson, Aldrichim. Acta, 1999, 32, 35-62; d) M. G. Banwell, A. J. Edwards, G. J. Harfoot, K. A. Jolliffe, M. D. McLeod, K. J. McCrea, S. G. Stewart, M. Vogtle, Pure Appl. Chem., 2003, 75, 223-229; e) R. A. Johnson, Org. Reactions, 2004, 63, 117-264; f) D. R. Boyd , T. D. H. Bugg, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2006, 4, 181-192. g) T. Hudlicky, J. W. Reed, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2009, 38, 3117-3132; h) D. J-Y .D. Bon, B. Lee, M. G. Banwell, I. A. Cade, Chim. Oggi., 2012, 30, 22-27; i) U. Rinner in Comprehensive Chirality, 2012, 2, 249-267 j) J. W. Reed, T. Hudlicky, Acc. Chem. Res., 2015, 48, 674-687; k) S. E. Lewis, in Arene Chemistry: Reaction Mechanisms and Methods for Aromatic Compounds, Wiley-VCH, 2015, 915-937; 1); C. C. R. Allen, Science of Synthesis; Biocatalysis in Organic Synthesis, 2015, 3, 1-20; m) S. E. Lewis, in Asymmetric Dearomatization Reactions Wiley-VCH, 2016, 279-346.

[3] a) D. R. Boyd, J. Blacker, B. Byrne, H. Dalton, M. V. Hand, S. C. Kelly, R. A. More O'Ferrall, S. N. Rao, N. D. Sharma, G. N. Sheldrake, H. Dalton, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem.Commun.*, **1994**, 313-314; b) J. S. Kudavalli, S. N. Rao, D. E. Bean, N. D. Sharma, D. R. Boyd, P. W. Fowler,

S. Gronert, S. C. L. Kammerlin, J. R. Keeffe, R. A. More O'Ferrall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 14056-14069.

[4] J. С. Spain, D.T.Gibson, a) Appl.Environ.Microbiol., 1988, 54, 1399-1404; b) J. C Spain, G. J. Zylstyra, C. K. Blake, D. T. Gibson, Appl. and Environ.Microbiol., 1989, 55, 2648-2652; c) C. Hinteregger, R. Leitner, M. Loidl, A. Ferschl, F. Streichsbier, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 1992, 37, 252-259; d) G. Bestetti, E. Galli, B. Leoni, F. Pelizzoni, G. Sello, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 1992, 37, 260-263; e) M. Sondossi, D. Barriault, M. Sylvestre, Appl. Environ.Microbiol., 2004, 70, 174-181; f) K. Lee, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 2006, 255, 316-320; g) D. Kim, J. S. Lee, K. Y. Choi, Y-S. Kim, J. N. Choi, S-K. Kim, J-C. Chae, G. J. Zlystra, C. H. Lee, E. Kim, Enz. Microbiol. Tech., 2007, 41, 221-225.

[5] a) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, J. F. Malone, C. C. R. Allen, Chem. Commun. 2009, 3633-3635; b) M. Kwit, J. Gawronski, D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, M. Kaik, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2010, 8, 5635-5645; c) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, P. Stevenson, M. Blain, C. McRoberts, J. T. G. Hamilton, J. M. Argudo, H. Mundi, L. A. Kulakov, C. C. R. Allen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2011, 9, 1479-1490; d) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, J. F. Malone, P. B. A. McIntyre, P. J. Stevenson, C. C. R. Allen, M. Kwit, J. Gawronski, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 6217-6229; e) D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, J. F. Malone, P. B. A. McIntyre, C. McRoberts, S. Floyd, C. C. R. Allen, A. Gohil, S. J. Coles, P. N. Horton, P. J. Stevenson, J. Org. Chem., 2015, 80, 3429-3439; f) P. Hoering, K. Rothschild-Mancinelli, N. D. Sharma, D. R. Boyd, C. C. R. Allen, J. Mol. Catal. B. Enz., 2016, 134, 396-406; g) R. Friemann, K. Lee, E. N. Brown, D. T. Gibson, H. Eklund, S. Ramaswamy, Acta. Cryst. 2009, D 65, 24-33; h) S. J. Calvin, D. Mangan, I. Miskelly, T. S. Moody, P. J. Stevenson, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2011, 16, 82-86.

[6] a) J. Zeyer, A. Wasserfallen, K. N. Timmis, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 1985, 50, 447-453; b) N. Kodama, S. Takenaka, S. Murakami, R. Shinke, K. Aoki, J. Ferment. Bioeng., 1997, 84, 232-235; c) S. Takenaka, S. Okugawa, M. Kadowaki, S. Murakami, K. Aoki, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2003, 69, 5410-5413; d) K. Shindo, R. Nakamura, I. Chinda, Y. Ohnishi, S. Horinouchi, H. Takahashi, K. Iguchi, S. Harayama, K. Furukawa, N. Misawa, Tetrahedron, 2003, 59, 1895-1900; e) K. Furukawa, H. Suenaga, H. Goto, J. Bacteriol., 2004, 186, 5189-5196; f) K. A. Shin, J. C. Spain, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2009, 69, 2694-2704; g) M. Martins, F. Rodrigues-Lima, J. Dairou, A. Lamouri, F. Malagnac, P. Silar, J-M. Dupret, J. Biol. Chem., 2009, 284, 1826-1873; h) S. G. Pati, K. Shin, M. Skarpeli-Liati, J. Bolotin, S. N. Eustis, J. C. Spain, T. B. Hofstetter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 2012, 46, 11844-11853; i) T. Nitisakulkan, S. Oku, D. Kudo, Y. Nakashimada, T. Tajima, A. S. Vangnai, J. Kato, J. Biosci. Bioeng., 2014, 117, 292-297; j) K. Arora, Front. Microbiol., 2015, 6, 820; k) G. K. Robinson, G. M. Stephens, H. Dalton, P. J. Geary, Biocatal. Biotransform., 1992, 6, 81-10

[7] A. S. Demir, H. Findik, E. Kose, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2004**, *15*, 777-781.

[8] G. Tasnadi, C. K. Winkler, D. Clay, M. Hall, K. Faber, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, **2012**, *2*, 1548-1552.

[9] a) A. S. Demir, O. Sesenoglu, *Org. Lett.*, **2002**, *4*, 2021-2023; b) B. S. Morgan, D. Hoenner, P. Evans, S. M. Roberts, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, **2004**, *15*, 2807-2809.

[10] U. M. Tuor, H. E. Schoemaker, M. S. A. Leisola, H.
W. H. Schmidt, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, **1993**, *38*, 674-680.

[11] a) V. Resch, U. Hanefeld, Science of Synthesis: Biocatalysis in Organic Synthesis, 2015, 2, 261-290; b) P.
W. Howard, G. R.Stephenson, S. C. Taylor, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun., 1990, 1182-1184; c) M. J. O'Mahony, R.
A. More O'Ferrall, D. R. Boyd, C. M. Lam, A. C.
O'Donoghue, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2013, 26, 989-996; d)
D. R. Boyd, N. D. Sharma, V. Ljubez, P. K. M. McGeehin, P. J. Stevenson, M. Blain, C. C. R. Allen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, **2013**, *11*, 3020-3029.

[12] a) J. B. Parker, C. T. Walsh, *Biochemistry*, 2012, 51, 889-901; b) J. B. Parker, C. T. Walsh, *Biochemistry*, 2012, 51, 3241-3251; c) J. B. Parker, C. T. Walsh, *Biochemistry*, 2012, 51, 5622-5632.

[13] G. M. Morris, R. Huey, W. Lindstrom, M. F. Sanner, R. K. Belew, D. S. Goodsel, A. Olsen, *J. Comput. Chem.* 2009, *16*, 2785-2791.

[14] K. Laihia, V. Sundaman, *Suomen Kemistiseuran Tiedonantoja*, **1969**, 78, 2-10.

[15] H. Shao, X-M. Zhang, S-H. Wang, F-M. Zhang, Y-Q. Tu, C. Yang, *Chem. Commun.*, **2014**, *50*, 5691-5594.

FULL PAPER

Enzyme-Catalysed Synthesis of Cyclohex-2en-1-one *cis*-Diols from Substituted Phenols, Anilines and Derived 4-Hydroxycyclohex-2en-1-ones

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page – Page

Derek R. Boyd,^{*} Narain D. Sharma, Peter B. A. McIntyre, Paul J. Stevenson,^{*} W. Colin McRoberts, Amit Gohil, Patrick Hoering and Christopher C. R. Allen

