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#### Abstract

The $\alpha$-chlorophosphonates $\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHCl}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{R}[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(4)$, Me (5), OMe (6)], which are now readily accessible, react with ketones $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}(0) \mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}$ in the presence of NaH (without recourse to the more expensive $t-\mathrm{BuLi}$ ) to afford trisubstituted vinyl halides $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{R}^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathrm{CCl}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{R}\right)$ in good yields. The corresponding $\alpha$-bromophosphonates $[\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(7)$, $\mathrm{Me}(8)]$ failed to react with ketones and gave the symmetrical acetylenes $4-\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-$ $4-\mathrm{R}$ as isolable products in low yield. We have found that $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in refluxing xylene is a good base for the synthesis of chlorostilbenes; using this base the bromostilbenes $\mathrm{ArCH}=\mathrm{CBr}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\right.$ $4-\mathrm{R}$ ) can be prepared in significantly higher yields than by using NaH . The stereochemistry of two of the trisubstituted vinyl chlorides is unambiguously proven by X-ray structure determination. Thus for ( Cl$) \mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CPh}(\mathrm{Me})$, the isomer with the upfield NMR shift for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and for $(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Ph})\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{Me}\right)$, the isomer with the downfield NMR shift for the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons have $Z$ stereochemistry. © 1998 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.


We have recently reported a convenient route to aryl substituted chloro and bromo olefins from $\alpha$ chloro/bromophosphonates and aldehydes by the Wadsworth-Emmons reaction. ${ }^{1}$ However, literature is scarce on the use of ketones to obtain tetrasubstituted olefins (trisubstituted vinyl chlorides) by this method. ${ }^{2.3}$ Obvious practical difficulties such as steric bulk and lower reactivity of ketones have largely been responsible for this, thereby necessitating the use of very strong and expensive bases, ${ }^{2 a}{ }^{2 a}$ in addition, an easy access to suitable $\alpha$-chloro/ bromophosphonates was not available. ${ }^{3}$ We now report a simple and practical method to obtain trisubstituted vinyl chlorides from $\alpha$-chlorophosphonates and ketones. We also describe a comparative study of the efficacy of different bases and solvents in the reaction of $\alpha$-chloro/ bromophosphonates with aldehydes. An interesting reaction of $\alpha$-bromophosphonates with NaH to yield a symmetrical acetylene is also noted. Finally, we report the stereochemistry of two of the trisubstituted vinyl chlorides as determined unambiguously by X-ray crystallography.

The $\alpha$-chloro/ bromophosphonates 4-8 used in the present study were synthesized ${ }^{4}$ as shown in Scheme 1 . Details of the reactions of the chlorophosphonates 4-6 with ketones are shown in Scheme 2 and Table 1.

Scheme 1


$$
\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(1), \mathrm{Me}(2), \mathrm{OMe}(3) \quad \mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(4), \mathrm{Me}(5), \mathrm{OMe}(6)
$$

$$
\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}(7), \mathrm{Me}(\mathbf{8})
$$

## Scheme 2



Table 1. Details on the reactions of phosphonates with ketones

| R' | R" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\%)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & E / Z \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ | R' | R" | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Yield } \\ & (\%)^{a} \end{aligned}$ | EZ ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H})$ |  |  | $10(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{Me})$ |  |  |  |  |
| a Ph | Ph | 85 | ------- | a Ph | Ph | 85 | ------ |
| b Ph | 4-Me- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 86 | 40:60 | b Ph | 4-Me- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 85 | 50:50 |
| c Ph | 4-OMe-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 81 | 33:67 | c Ph | 4-OMe-C6 $\mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 82 | 50:50 |
| d Ph | Me | 65 | 75:25 | d $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 66 | 40:60 |
| e $4-\mathrm{OMe}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 65 | 67:33 | e $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{O})$ | Ph | 65 | 50:50 |
| f $4-\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | Me | 69 | 67:33 | 11 | $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{OMe}$ ) |  |  |
| g 3-NO $\mathrm{N}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 95 | ------- | a Ph | Ph | 80 | ------- |
| $-\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{5}$ - |  | 65 | ------- | b Ph | 4-Me- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 83 | 50:50 |
| -( $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$ - |  | 70 | ------- | c $3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ | 3-NO2-C6 ${ }_{6}$ | 96 | -- |

[^0]In an earlier paper by Crenshaw and Zimmer ${ }^{2 a}$ on the synthesis of trisubstituted vinyl chlorides of the type 911, the authors used the strong base $t-\mathrm{BuLi}$ (which is also expensive) to obtain good yields of the products. ${ }^{5}$ In our reactions with $\alpha$-chlorophosphonates 4-6, we found that NaH is very effective as a base and the yields are better. In addition, since our chlorophosphonates are very readily prepared in high yields, ${ }^{4}$ we believe that our route to trisubstituted vinyl chlorides is superior to earlier methods. Furthermore, we have also found that the vinyl chloride $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-3-\mathrm{NO}_{2}\right)_{2}$ can be obtained in $43 \%$ yield even when reacting 4 with a weak base such as $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in acetonitrile. Thus it appears that the cyclic phosphonates 4-6 show an enhanced reactivity as compared to the acyclic ones. ${ }^{6}$

In the reaction of 4 with acetophenone $/ \mathrm{NaH}$, although the reaction mixture on distillation showed a $Z \mathrm{E}$ ratio of $c a 1: 8$, the initial reaction mixture showed the $\mathrm{Z} / \mathrm{E}$ isomeric ratio to be $c a 1: 3$. We have isolated the minor $Z$-isomer of $(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{PhC}=\mathrm{CPh}(\mathrm{Me})(9 \mathrm{~d})$ as a crystalline solid which shows the upfield ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy chemical shift for the $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons. The structure has been proven by X-ray crystallography (Fig 1); this is the predominant isomer claimed by Crenshaw and Zimmer using the phosphonate $(\mathrm{MeO})_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{CHCl}(\mathrm{Ph}){ }^{2 a}$ Since the chloro and methyl groups are similar in size, their positions in this structure are readily interchanged without affecting crystal packing; this type of disorder has been discussed elsewhere.?


Fig. 1. Molecular structure of the $Z$ isomer of 9d. Selected bond distances: C(1)-C(1A) 1.289(6); C(1)$\mathrm{Cl}(1 \mathrm{~A}) 1.686(4) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.5305$ (10); C(2)-Cl(1) 1.7097 (10) $\AA$

Since unambiguous assignment of stereochemistry for the isomers in the above system is difficult, we characterized the isomer of $\mathbf{9 b}$ which has the downfied ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy shift for the $-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-4-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons by X-ray crystallography. This isomer has the two phenyl groups positioned cis to each other [Fig. 2].


Fig. 2. Molecular structure of the $Z$ isomer of $\mathbf{9 b}$ (phenyl groups on the same side). Selected bond distances: $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2) 1.340(3) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{Cl}(1) 1.752(8) ; \mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(16) 1.482$ (3); $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3) 1.490$ (4); $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(10) 1.489$ (7) $\AA$.

The $E / Z$ ratios for $9 \mathbf{e}, 9 \mathrm{f}$ and 10 d are ascertained by comparing their NMR spectra with $\mathbf{9 d}$; the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR signal of $=\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ for the $Z$-isomer appears upfield to that of the $E$-isomer. Assignment of $E Z$ ratios for $\mathbf{1 0 b}$ and 11 b is done by comparing their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectra with that of $\mathbf{9 b}$; for 9 c assignement is made by assuming a similar trend in its spectrum.

We have also tried several reactions of ketones with the $\alpha$-bromophosphonates 7 and 8 using NaH . We observed an olefinic compound ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy, see experimental) that did not contain the ketonic residue; the same result was obtained when no ketone was added. However, the only product that we could isolate in a pure state was the symmetrical acetylene (Scheme 3).

## Scheme 3



The second aspect of the present study deals with the effect of reaction conditions on the nature and yield of the products. Since aldehydes are more reactive than ketones, the reaction of the former with the phosphonates has been checked in detail using different bases and solvents (Scheme 4, Table 2). As can be seen from Scheme 4, $Z$-olefins are also formed in significant quantities; this is in line with the observation made by Larsen and Aksnes that cyclic phosphonates increase $Z$-stereoselectivity. ${ }^{6}$ The $E Z$ ratio is based on the $\delta\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ value for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ or $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ protons and the well separated $\delta\left({ }^{13} \mathrm{C}\right)$ values for the ipso-carbon
labelled as $\mathrm{C}(1)$ in Scheme 4 and diagram in Table 2; the $E$-isomer always showed the downfield chemical shift for $\mathrm{C}(1)$ in ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.


Table 2. Details on the reactions of phosphonates with aldehydes using different bases

| $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Sl} . \\ \text { No. } \end{gathered}$ | Phosphonate | Aldehyde $\left(R^{\prime}=\right)$ | Base | Solvent/ Temp/ Time | Product | Yield, \% ( $E$ Z ratio ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{NaH}^{\text {b }}$ | THF/ $/ \rightarrow 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 13 \mathrm{~h}$ | 13a | 98 (65:35) |
| 2 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{NaH}^{\mathrm{b}} /$ DMSO (1:3) | THF/ $/ 0^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | 13a | 65 (65:35) |
| 3 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{NaH}^{\text {c }} /$ DMSO | DMSO $/ 0 \rightarrow 20^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 9 \mathrm{~h}$ | 13a,15 | 50 (total): $10 \%$ alkenc (75:25): 40\% alkyne |
| 4 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{NaH}^{\text {c }} /$ DMSO | DMSO/75 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 8 \mathrm{~h}$ | 15 | 53 (alkyne only) |
| 5 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{CsF}^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux $/ 3 \mathrm{~d}$ | 13a | 52 (40:60) |
| 6 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux/3 d | 13a | 83 (55:45) |
| 7 | 5 | H | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux/3 d | 13b | 88 (60:40) |
| 8 | 7 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux/5 d | 14a | $50(50: 50)^{\text {d }}$ |
| 9 | 8 | H | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux/5 d | 14b | $52(30: 70)$ |
| 10 | 4 | 4-OMe | $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | Neat $/ 150^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 1 \mathrm{~h}$ | 13a | 68 (55:45) |
| 11 | 4 | 4-OMe | KF or $\mathrm{HN}(i-\mathrm{Pr})_{2}$ | $\mathrm{MeCN} /$ reflux or neat/ 24 h | No reaction |  |

${ }^{3}$ Based on ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} 1.5$ mole eq.
${ }^{-} 3$ mole eq.
${ }^{d} \mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Br}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CPh}(\mathrm{H})(6 \%)$ was obtained as a side product.

It can be noted that NaH in THF gives the best yield of the chloro olefin. Use of DMSO resulted in the formation of the alkyne in addition to the olefin. ${ }^{8}$ There is a reversal of stereochemistry when CsF is used, but we have not been able to increase the yield of the predominant isomer. The reaction under neat conditions using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ is attractive, but for phosphonates with m.p. $>160^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ heating at higher temperatures may be
required. What attracted our attention more was the use of cheap $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in a suitable solvent. ${ }^{9}$ We have further explored the effect of different solvents using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base in the reaction of 4 with $p$-anisaldehyde. Of the several solvents tried, xylene gives the best yield. In DMF the reaction did not work. The yields are in the order THF (reflux, 3d, 33\%) < acetone (reflux, 3d, 53\%) < DMSO ( $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~d}, 60 \% ; 20 \%$ alkyne is also present) $<\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}+$ TMEDA (reflux, $3 \mathrm{~d}, 80 \%$ ) $<\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}$ (reflux, $3 \mathrm{~d}, 83 \%$ ) $<$ xylene (reflux, $1 \mathrm{~d}, 90 \%$ ).

Table 3. Synthesis of chloro/ bromo stilbenes using $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ as a base


| Sl. No. | Phosphonate | Aldehyde (R') | Product | Yield, $\%(E / Z \text { ratio })^{\mathbf{a}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | OMe | $\mathbf{1 3 a}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $90(40: 60)$ |
| 2 | $\mathbf{4}$ | Me | $\mathbf{1 3 c}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $97(65: 35)$ |
| 3 | $\mathbf{5}$ | Cl | $\mathbf{1 3 d}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $81(50: 50)$ |
| 4 | $\mathbf{6}$ | Cl | $\mathbf{1 3 e}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $89(30: 70)$ |
| 5 | $\mathbf{6}$ | Me | $\mathbf{1 3 f}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $90(35: 65)$ |
| 6 | $\mathbf{6}$ | H | $\mathbf{1 3 g}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Cl})$ | $92(50: 50)$ |
| 7 | $\mathbf{7}$ | OMe | $\mathbf{1 4 a}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ | $65(60: 40)$ |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | Cl | $\mathbf{1 4 c}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ | $73(60: 40)$ |
| 9 | $\mathbf{8}$ | OMe | $\mathbf{1 4 d}(\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{Br})$ | $69(50: 50)$ |

${ }^{2}$ Isomer ratio (by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy) given in parentheses.

Since xylene as the reaction medium afforded the best results, we have used the $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3} /$ xylene method to synthesize other chloro- and bromostilbenes. As can be seen from Table 3, excellent yields are obtained under these conditions. Noteworthy is the dramatic improvement in the yields of bromostilbenes over the $\mathrm{NaH} / \mathrm{THF}$ method previously reported by us. ${ }^{1}$

In summary we have developed a convenient and practical synthesis of trisubstituted vinyl chlorides as well as bromostilbenes in addition to elucidating the stereochemistry of two of the vinyl chlorides.

## Experimental Section

Chemicals were purchased from Aldrich/ Fluka or local manufacturers; they were purified when required. Solvents were purified according to standard procedures. ${ }^{\text {t/ }}$ Reactions were performed under dry nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise specified. ${ }^{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker 200 MHz spectrometer in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ with chemical shifts ( ppm ) measured against $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{4} \mathrm{Si}$. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin-Elmer 1310 spectrophotometer. Mass spectra were recorded on a CEC-21-110B double focussing mass spectrometer. Elemental analyses were obtained from the Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Science, Calcutta.

The $\alpha$-chloro/ bromophosphonates 4-8 were prepared by the procedure described earlier. ${ }^{4}$ Only typical procedures are given below.

## (a) Synthesis of $\mathrm{Ph}(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CPh}_{2}$ (9a)

To a stirred suspension of NaH (previously washed with hexane) ( $0.119 \mathrm{~g}, 4.94 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added phosphonate $4(0.90 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF $(10 \mathrm{~mL})$. After 0.5 h , benzophenone $(0.60 \mathrm{~g}, 3.29$ mmol ) in THF ( 20 mL ) was added dropwise during 15 min . and the mixture heated under reflux for 20 h After cooling and quenching with cold water ( 30 mL ), the mixture was extracted with ether ( $3 \times 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ ). The ether layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent removed to obtain a semisolid which was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, hexane) to obtain colourless needles ( $0.81 \mathrm{~g}, 85 \%$ ), m.p. $118-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{2 . a} \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p}$ $117.5-119^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). IR: $966,1028,1074,1493,1595 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $6.80-7.45(\mathrm{~m}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $127.0,127.5$, 127.9, 128.1, 129.8, 130.0, 130.6.

Data for compounds $9-11$ prepared similarly, is given below.
9b (mixture): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.24, 2.38 ( $2 \mathrm{~s}, E$ and $Z$ isomers respectively; ratio 2:3, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH} 3$ ), 6.89-7.39 (m, $14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $21.2(E), 21.3$ (Z), 127.0, 127.5, 127.9, 128.1, 128.7, 128.9, 129.7, 130.0, 1305 , $130.6,136.8,137.4,139.1,139.6,140.3,141.3,142.2$.

Pure $Z$ isomer obtained by crystallization from hexane. M.p. $98-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. IR: $966,1020,1074,1508,1591$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.38\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.89-7.39(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.3, 126.9, 127.9, 128.8, 129.7. $130.0,130.6,137.3,139.1,139.6,141.3$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 82.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.63$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 82.65 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.61.

9c (mixture, liquid): IR: 968, 1034, 1074, 1153, 1248, 1510, $1607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.75,3.87$ (2s, ratio 12, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.69-7.77 (m, 14H, $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 55.1, $55.3,113.5,113.6,126-148$ (many lines), 158.9 , 159.1. MS: $320,322[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{35,37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 286,270,253,239,226,215,202,178,165,152$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.35$. Found; C, 78.65; H, 5.25.

9d (mixture, liquid, b.p. $125^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.5 \mathrm{~mm}$, lit. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ b.p. $115^{\circ} \mathrm{C} / 0.55 \mathrm{~mm}$ ): IR: 893, $1028,1074,1215,1491,1599$ $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.09, $2.40\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.1: 8,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 7.00-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 23.5, 126.8, 127.6, 127.8, 128.1, 128.3, 128.9, 130.0, 135.4, 139.3, 141.8. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 78.76 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.74$. Found; C, 78.82; H, 5.78 .

Pure $Z$ isomer (crystallized from the mixture from hexane after several days, m.p. $120-122^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.09 (s, 3H, CH3), 7.20-7.60 (m, 10H, ArH ).
9e (mixture, liquid): IR: 1034, 1074, 1111, 1248, 1510, $1607 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.07, 2.38 (2s, ratio $1: 2,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.75,3.87\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.2: 1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.70-7.00(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{qrt}, 4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 7.10-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $23.1(Z), 23.5(E), 55.2,55.3,113.5,113.6,127.4-139.5$ (many lines), 158.4, 158.5. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 74.26 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.85$. Found; C, 74.30; H, 5.92.
9f (mixture, liquid): IR: 893, 1020, 1072, 1512, $1599 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.10, 2.30 (2s, ratio $1: 2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $2.40,2.44$ ( 2 s , ratio $2: 1, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 6.90-7.60 (m, 9H, $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $21.1(Z), 21.3$ (E), 23.1, 23.5, 127.5139.5 (many lines). MS: $242,244[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{35,37} \mathrm{Cl}\right) ., 227,207,192,189,165,129,115,105$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 79.15 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.24$. Found; C, $79.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.25$
9 g (m.p. $126-127^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, lit. ${ }^{2 \mathrm{a}}$ m.p. $126-127.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: 993, $1084,1346,1523,1591 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $7.30-8.40$ (m). ${ }^{13}$ C NMR: $122.6,123.1,124.9,125.2,129.3,129.7,135.9,136.3,137.6,141.5,142.0$.

9h (liquid): IR: 991, 1070, 1489, $1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $1.57-1.67\left(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.17-2.20\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right)$, $2.50-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $26.3,27.2,27.9,31.9,32.0,122.7,127.8,128.1$, 129.3, 137.9, 139.3. MS: 206, $208[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{35,37} \mathrm{CI}\right), 171,159,138,129,115,105,91$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{13} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 75.52 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.32$. Found; C, $75.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.33$.
9i (liquid): IR: 935, 1026, 1070, 1450, $1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $1.50-1.70\left(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{2}\right), 2.20-2.30(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 2.50-2.60\left(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H},=\mathrm{CCH}_{2}\right), 7.40(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C} \mathrm{NMR}: 22.7,26.4,28.3,29.9,32.7,33.8,125.6$, 127.7, 128.1, 129.2, 139.7. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 76.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.77$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 77.19 ; \mathrm{H}, 8.40$.

10a (m.p. $127-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $943,999,1028,1076,1449,1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.30(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ) $6.90-7.40$ (m, 14H, $\operatorname{Ar} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $21.2,126.9,127.4,127.9,128.1,128.6,127.8,129.9,130.5,136.5,138.0,142.1$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 82.73 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.63$. Found: C, 81.92, H, 5.86.
10b (m.p. $108-110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $966,1020,1072,1508,1604 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.10, 2.15, 2.16, 2.29 ( 4 s of equal intensity, $6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), 7.30-7.90 (m, 13H, $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.2, 126.8-142.4 (many lines). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 82.86 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.01$. Found: C, 82.45; H, 5.96.
10 c (m.p. $72-74^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: 968, 1034, 1072, $1111,1248,1510,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.38, 2.39 (2s, ratio $1: 1$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.48,3.60\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.50-7.30(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.4, 55.2, 55.3, 113.5, 113.6, 113.8, 114.2, 127.0-142.6 (many lines), 158.7, 159.0. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.73$. Found: C, 78.65 ; H, 5.68 .

10d (m.p. $128-130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $1032,1074,1109,1242,1512,1606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.05,2.27$ (2s, ratio $3: 2,3 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 2.35-2.40\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.2: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.75-3.85\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.2: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.70-7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.1, 21.2, 23.0, 23.4, 55.1, 55.2, 113.5, 113.6, 126.0-140.0 (many lines), 158.6, 159.4, 163.6. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 74.84 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.29$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 74.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.40$.

10e (liquid): IR: 812, 1022, $1059,1597,1668 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1},{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.23, 2.34 (2s, ratio $1: 1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.98-8. 15 (m, 14H, $\mathrm{Ar} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.3, 128.0-134.0 (many lines). MS: $332,334[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{35.37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 192$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 79.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.15$. Found; C, 79.45; H, 5.15.

11 (m.p. $119-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $999,1030,1076,1277,1506,1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.75(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}$ ) $6.70-$ $7.40(\mathrm{~m}, 14 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $55.2,113.4,126.8,127.4,127.9,128.1,129.8,130.5,131.4,131.8,139.5$, 141.8, 142.2, 159.3. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.62 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.34$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 78.21 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.55$

11 b (m.p. $96-98^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $966,1028,1111,1251,1504,1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.25,2.29(2 \mathrm{~s}$, ratio $1: 1,3 \mathrm{H}$, ArCH 3 ), $3.77,3.79\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.1: 1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OC} H_{3}\right), 6.70-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.1, 55.2, 113.3, 126.8142.4 (many lines), 159.2. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 78.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.73$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 78.67 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70$
$11 \mathrm{c}\left(\mathrm{m} . \mathrm{p} .174-176^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ ): IR: $1032,1097,1253,1300,1506,1529,1602 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.79\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 6.74-8.24 (m, 12H, ArH ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 55.3, 113.9, 122.4, 123.0, 125.0, 125.3, 129.3, 129.6, 131.4, 136.0, $136.5,142.2,160.2$. MS: $410,412[M]^{+}\left({ }^{35,37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 375,239,128$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{ClN}_{2} \mathrm{O}: ~ \mathrm{C}, 61.39, \mathrm{H}$, 3.68; N, 6.82. Found; C, 61.24, H, 3.75, N, 6.75.
(b) Formation of the acetylene 4-Me- $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathbf{4}-\mathrm{Me}$ (12)

To a stirred suspension of NaH (previously washed with hexane) ( $0.108 \mathrm{~g}, 4.5 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) in THF ( 20 mL ) at $0^{\circ}$ C was added the $\alpha$-bromophosphonate $8(1.0 \mathrm{~g}, 3.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ in THF ( 20 mL ), and the reaction mixture heated under reflux for 24 h . It was then cooled, quenched with water ( 30 mL ) and extracted with ether ( $2 \times 25 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$ and the volatiles removed to yield a semisolid ( ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.26, 2.38, $2.39\left(3 \mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 6.90-7.70(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$. Column chromatography (silica gel, hexane) afforded the acetylene $12(0.050 \mathrm{~g}, 8 \%)$, m.p. $135-137^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (lit. ${ }^{10}$ m.p. $138-138.8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.40(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}$ ) , 7.20 $(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H), 7.45(\mathrm{AB} \mathrm{d}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H)$

When an equimolar quantity of 4,4'-dimethoxybenzophenone was added after the formation of the phosphonate anion and the reaction mixture refluxed and worked up as before, again the acetylene 12 was obtained.

A similar result was obtained using the bromophosphonate 7.
(c) Preparation of the chloro/bromo stilbenes 13/14 and the acetylene 15

## Typical procedure for 13a

To a mixture of the $\alpha$-chlorophosphonate $4(0.50 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol})$ and anhydrous $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}(0.75 \mathrm{~g}, 5.43 \mathrm{mmol})$ in xylene ( 5 mL ) at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 4 -methoxybenzaldehyde ( $0.25 \mathrm{~g}, 1.82 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) and the mixture heated under reflux for 24 h . Then, xylene was removed, water ( 25 mL ) added, and the mixture extracted with ether
under reflux for 24 h . Then, xylene was removed, water ( 25 mL ) added, and the mixture extracted with ether $(3 \times 20 \mathrm{~mL})$. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}\right)$, solvent removed, and the residue chromatographed over silica gel ( $3 \% \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ in hexane) to afford 13a (liquid, $0.40 \mathrm{~g}, 90 \%$ ). IR: 908, 1033, 1113, 1252, 1510, 1606 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.76,3.86\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $\left.2: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OC} H_{3}\right), 6.70-7.90(10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 55.2, 553 , 113.8, 125.7, 126.7, 128.0, 128.5, 128.6, 128.8, 129.3, 130.1, 131.1, 138.2 and 139.7 (ipso carbon at $(\mathrm{Ar})(\mathrm{Cl}) \mathrm{C}=$, ratio 2:3, $Z$ and $E$-isomers respectively), 159.0, 159.5. MS: 244, $246[\mathrm{M}]\left({ }^{+}{ }^{35.37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 208,165$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 73.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 73.38 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.45$.

Data for the other compounds prepared similarly, is given below.
13b (liquid): IR: 927, 1030, 1076, 1508, $1606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.30, 2.36 ( 2 s , ratio $3: 2,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{C} H_{3}$ ), 6.85-7.85 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H, \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $21.2,21.4,125.3,126.7,127.3,127.9,128.3,128.6,128.8,129.2,129.3$, 129.5, 132.3, 132.4, 134.9, 135.5, 135.6, 136.6, 138.8 (Z), 138.9 (E). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 78.70, \mathrm{H}$, 5.70. Found; C, 78.68 ; H, 5.71

13c (liquid): IR: 947, 1032, 1074, 1510, $1597 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.30, 2.45 (2s, ratio 2:3, 3H, $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.80-7.85 ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} H$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $21.1,21.3,125.8,126.1,126.7,128.4,128.6,128.8,129.0,129.3,131.4$, 132.3, 132.6, 137.3, $138.0\left(\right.$ ( ) , 139.6 (E). Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}: \mathrm{C}, 78.70 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 78.46 ; \mathrm{H}$, 5.26

13d (m.p. $56-58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR: $914,1010,1086,1487,1618 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: 2.37, 2.39 (2s, ratio $1: 1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $6.80-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.1, 21.2, 124.0, 126.6, 127.2, 128.4, 129.0, 129.3, 129.9, 130.7, 133.0, 134.1, $139.0(Z+E)$. MS: $262,264,266[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{35,37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 227,192$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ : C, 68.46; H, 4.59. Found; C, 68.73; H, 4.80 .
13e (liquid): IR: $1030,1086,1138,1250,1510,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.80,3.82$ ( 2 s , ratio $7: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.80-7.80 (m, $9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH})$. ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 55.3, 114.0, 128.6, 128.7, 131.8, 132.6, 133.0. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 64.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.30$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 64.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.37$.
13f. Already reported by us ${ }^{1}$ (IR: $1116,1172,1284,1508,1610 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ).
13 g (liquid): IR: $927,1030,1111,1257,1510,1601 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.80,3.85\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 1: 1,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.85-7.85$ ( $\mathrm{m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ).${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $55.4,113.7,113.9,116.2,120.2,124.4,127.2,127.7,128.0,128.2$, $128.7,129.3,130.6,131.9,132.5,135.6,138.1,140.7,141.9,141.9,144.6,149.7$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{ClO}: \mathrm{C}, 73.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.36$. Found; C, 73.51; H, 5.30 .
14a (liquid): IR: $966,1030,1109,1249,1510,1605 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.75,3.85$ ( 2 s , ratio $2: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.65-7.80 (m, 10H, $\mathrm{A} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: $55.2,55.3,113.7,121.1,122.1,127.8,128.3,128.7,129.3$, 129.5, 130.0, 130.8, 132.6, $139.8(Z), 141.4(E), 159.5$. MS: 290, $288[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{79.81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 209$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{BrO}: \mathrm{C}, 62.30 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.50$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 62.60 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.40$.

14b (liquid): IR: $814,903,1014,1095,1510,1606 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.35,2.40\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$, ratio $3: 7,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), $7.00-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.1, 21.3, 124.3, 127.4, 127.7, 127.9, 128.2, 128.7, 129.0,
129.2, 131.6, 132.7, 136.5, $138.3(Z), 138.8(E)$. MS: 290, $288[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{79,81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 209,165$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 65.90 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.79$. Found; C, 66.31; $\mathrm{H}, 4.95$.
14c (liquid): IR: $903,1009,1086,1487,1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.35,2.40,\left(2 \mathrm{~s}\right.$ with ratio $2: 3,3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ ), 6.90-7.70 (m, 9H, $\mathrm{Ar} H+\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{CH}$ ). ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 20.9, 21.1, 127.6, 128.4, 129.0, 129.4, 129.8, 130.5, 138.2 (Z), 138.8 (E). MS: $310,308,306[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{79,81} \mathrm{Br} ;{ }^{35,37} \mathrm{Cl}\right), 229,227[\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{Br}]^{+}, 192$ [M-Br-Cl]. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{BrCl}: \mathrm{C}, 58.59 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.92$. Found; C, $58.43 ; \mathrm{H}, 4.07$.
14d (liquid): Mixture of isomers. IR: $905,1024,1252,1510,1608 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $2.40,2.42$ (2s, ratio $1: 1$, $\left.3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 3.77,3.87\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.69-7.80(\mathrm{~m}, 9 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}+\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CBr}) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 21.2, 21.4 (2C$\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), 55.2,55.3\left(2 \mathrm{~s}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 113.7,113.8,122.0,122.3,127.7,128.7,129.0,129.1,129.3,129.4,130.0$, $130.8,132.2,133.0,136.9,138.5(Z), 138.6(E), 138.7,158.5,158.8(\mathrm{ArC}+\mathrm{CH}=\mathrm{CBr})$.

MS: $302,304[\mathrm{M}]^{+}\left({ }^{79,81} \mathrm{Br}\right), 223,179,178,165,115$. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{BrO}: \mathrm{C}, 63.34, \mathrm{H}, 4.90$. Found, C, 63.42; H, 4.99 .
15 (obtained from the reaction of 4 with $p$-anisaldehyde in DMSO at $75^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for $8 \mathrm{~h}, \mathrm{~m} . \mathrm{p} .56 .58^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ): IR 2216 $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{v}(\mathrm{C} \equiv \mathrm{C})\right.$ ). ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR: $3.80\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{CH}_{3}\right), 6.80-7.60(\mathrm{~m}, \mathrm{Ar} H) .{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR: 55.3, 88.3, 89.7, 114.2, 115.5, 123.8, 128.0, 128.5, 131.6, 133.2, 159.8. Anal. Calcd. for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{O}: \mathrm{C}, 86.50 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.80$. Found; $\mathrm{C}, 86.55, \mathrm{H}$, 5.85

## X-ray data:

Crystals of the isomers of both compounds $\mathbf{9 b}$ and $9 \mathbf{d}$ were grown from hexane. Data were collected on an Enraf-Nonius Mach3 diffractometer

Crystal data: 9b: Empirical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{Cl}$. Formula wt. 304.80. Monoclinic; $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c} . \quad a=8.9609$ (12), $b=$ 9.14 (4),$c=20.174(5) \AA . \beta=90.771(17)^{\circ} . V=1653(7) \AA^{3} . Z=4$. Density (calc.) $1.225 \mathrm{Mgm}^{-3} . \operatorname{MoK} \alpha(\lambda$ $=0.71073 \AA$ ) $\mu=0.225 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. $\theta$ range: $2.0-25^{\circ}$. Data/restraints/parameters 2899/0/200. Goof 1.043 . R1 (I $>2 \sigma(\mathrm{I}))=0.0383 ; \mathrm{wR} 2=0.1012 .^{12}$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.270 and $-0.281 \mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}$.

9d: Empirical formula: $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{Cl}$. Formula wt. 228.72. Monoclinic; $\mathrm{P} 2_{1} / \mathrm{c} . \quad a=5.8100$ (5), $b=17.2620(10)$, $c=20.174(5) \AA . \beta=119.439(5)^{\circ} . \quad V=601.87(8) \AA^{3} . Z=2$. Density (calc. $)=1.262 \mathrm{Mgm}^{-3} . \mathrm{MoK}_{u}(\lambda=$ $0.71073 \AA$ ). $\mu=0.285 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. $\theta$ range: $2.30-27.5^{\circ}$. Data/ restraints/parameters $1378 / 2 / 92$. Goof: 1.101 $R 1(I>2 \sigma(I))=0.0532 ; w R 2=0.1458 .^{12}$ Largest diff. peak and hole 0.291 and $-0.305 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$. Methyl hydrogens were not included in the refinement since the chloro-methyl exchange is observed (also see Fig. 1).'

A complete set of X -ray data on compounds $\mathbf{9 b}$ and $\mathbf{9 d}$ ( 11 pages) is available from the authors.
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[^0]:    2 Yields of $E / Z$ mixtures (based on available X-ray structures as well as ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectroscopy as appropriate).

