This article was downloaded by: [Umeå University Library] On: 11 October 2014, At: 17:00 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



## Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/lncn20</u>

# SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF CONFORMATIONALLY RESTRICTED AND NUCLEOBASE-MODIFIED ANALOGS OF THE ANTICANCER COMPOUND 3'-C-ETHYNYLCYTIDINE (ECYD)

Patrick J. Hrdlicka<sup>a</sup>, Jan S. Jepsen<sup>b</sup> & Jesper Wengel<sup>a</sup>

 $^{\rm a}$  Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Chemistry , University of Southern Denmark , Odense M, Denmark

<sup>b</sup> Department of Tumor Endocrinology, Danish Cancer Society, Institute of Cancer Biology, Copenhagen, Denmark Published online: 15 Nov 2011.

To cite this article: Patrick J. Hrdlicka , Jan S. Jepsen & Jesper Wengel (2005) SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF CONFORMATIONALLY RESTRICTED AND NUCLEOBASE-MODIFIED ANALOGS OF THE ANTICANCER COMPOUND 3'-C-ETHYNYLCYTIDINE (ECYD), Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids, 24:5-7, 397-400, DOI: <u>10.1081/NCN-200059821</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1081/NCN-200059821

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at <a href="http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions">http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions</a>



## SYNTHESIS AND BIOLOGICAL EVALUATION OF CONFORMATIONALLY RESTRICTED AND NUCLEOBASE-MODIFIED ANALOGS OF THE ANTICANCER COMPOUND 3'-C-ETHYNYLCYTIDINE (ECYD)

**Patrick J. Hrdlicka** • Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M, Denmark

Jan S. Jepsen Department of Tumor Endocrinology, Danish Cancer Society, Institute of Cancer Biology, Copenhagen, Denmark

**Jesper Wengel** • Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M, Denmark

□ A series of conformationally restricted and nucleobase-modified analogs of the anticancer compound 3'-C-ethynylcytidine (ECyd) and its uracil analog (EUrd) have been synthesized. While none of ,the conformationally restricted analogs displayed anticancer activity, 5-iodo-EUrd and 5-bromo-EUrd displayed potent anticancer activity with IC<sub>50</sub> values of 35 nM and 0.73 µM.

### INTRODUCTION

The clinically evaluated 3'-C-ethynylcytidine (ECyd, **1b**) and its uracil congener (EUrd, **2b**) (Scheme 1) display highly potent antitumor activity against a variety of massive tumors in vitro as well as in animal models with excellent selectivity.<sup>[1]</sup> To generate EUrd/ECyd analogs that can potentially overcome the crucial uridine-cytidine kinase (UCK) catalyzed phosphorylation step,<sup>[2]</sup> we synthesized EUrd/ECyd analogs **3b–12b** (Scheme 1) containing modified nucleobase moieties that are known to be tolerated by UCK in non-modified ribonucleosides.<sup>[3]</sup> Furthermore, since conformational restriction of the otherwise flexible fhranose ring has been a successful strategy to probe for conformational preferences of enzymes involved in nucleoside metabolism and nucleotide polymerization,<sup>[4]</sup> we synthesized

We thank the Danish Cancer Society for anticancer testing and the Danish National Research Foundation for financial support.

Address correspondence to Patrick J. Hrdlicka, Nucleic Acid Center, Department of Chemistry, University of Southern Denmark, Odense M DK-5230, Denmark; Fax: +45-66158780; E-mail: pjh@chem.sdu.dk



#### SCHEME 1

nucleosides **13–15**, which are chimeras of *S*-type 3'-0,4'-C-methylene ribonucleoside<sup>[5]</sup> or N-type LNA nucleosides<sup>[6]</sup> and EUrd/ECyd (Scheme 2).

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Synthesis of ECyd **1b**, EUrd **2b**, and nucleobase-modified analogs hereof **3b**–12**b** was initiated from furanose 16,<sup>[7]</sup> which was converted to diol **17** via a threestep sequence involving 5,6-O-isopropylidene cleavage, NaIO<sub>4</sub>-mediated cleavage, and NaBHd-reduction. Further conversion hereof using standard methods gave glycosyl donor **18** (Scheme 1). Subsequent glycosylation of **18** with a series of persilylated nucleobases according to the Vorbrtiggen technique afforded nucleosides **1a–10a**, while reaction with persilylated 2-thiocytosine or 4-thiouracil furnished S-linked ribosides **11a** and **12a**. Deacylation of **1a–12a** mmished nucleosides **1b–12b**.

Synthesis of the conformationally restricted EUrd/ECyd analogs **13–15** initiated from 4'-C-hydroxymethyl pentofuranose **19**,<sup>[8]</sup> which, after protecting group manipulations and TEMPOIBAIB-mediated oxidation, afforded 3-ulose 20 (Scheme 2).

Subsequent nucleophilic addition of LiC  $\equiv$  CTMS and desilylation gave triol **21**, which, upon O5/O5'-mesylation, 1,2-0-isopropylidene cleavage, Lewis acid–catalyzed peracetylation (Ac<sub>2</sub>O, TMSOTf, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0°C),<sup>[9]</sup> and glycosylation of furanose **22** furnished nucleosides **23** and **24**. Treatment of nucleosides **23** and



#### **SCHEME 2**

**24** resulted in tandem deacylation and selective 3'-0,4'-C ring closure (most likely due to preorganization of **23** and **24** in *S*-type conformations), which, after mesylate exchange with benzoate and debenzoylation, afforded the bicyclic nucleosides **13** and **14**.

The synthesis of EUrd-LNA-type analog **15** was initiated from triol **21**, which was converted to furanose **25** via O5/O5'-DMT-protection, O3-benzylation, and detritylation (Scheme 2). Furanose **25** was then converted into nucleoside **26** in a sequence of steps similar to those described for bicyclic nucleosides **13** and **14**. Debenzylation of nucleoside **26** with BCl<sub>3</sub> afforded the desired nucleoside **15**.

Although less active than the reference compounds ECyd **1b** and EUrd **2b** (IC<sub>50</sub> = 2.2 nM and 2.5 nM, respectively), the 5-iodomidine derivative **5b** displayed very potent anticancer activity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 35 nM) against a human adenocarcinoma breast cancer (MCF-7) cell line. Decreasing the size of the 5-substituent as in nucleosides **3b** and **4b** resulted in a systematic drop in activity (IC<sub>50</sub> = 0.73  $\mu$ M and >25  $\mu$ M, respectively). 5-Azacytidine **10b** and 6-azauridine **8b** derivatives were only marginally active (IC<sub>50</sub> = 4.7  $\mu$ M and 35  $\mu$ M, respectively) and the remaining compounds inactive. We are currently investigating if the presented compounds are substrates of UCK.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Hattori, H.; Tanaka, M.; Fukushima, M.; Sasaki, T.; Matsuda, A. J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 5005-5011.
- 2. Matsuda, A.; Sasaki, T. Cancer Sci. 2004, 95, 105-111.

- 3. Van Rompay, R.; Norda, A.; Linden, K.; Johansson, M.; Karlsson, A. Mol. Pharmacol. 2001, 59, 1181-1186.
- Marquez, V.E.; Ezzitouni, A.; Russ, P.; Siddiqui, M.A.; Ford, H., Jr.; Feldman, R.J.; Mitsuya, H.; George, C.; Barchi, J.J., Jr. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 2780–2789.
- 5. Obika, S.; Morio, K.; Nanbu, D.; Hari, Y.; Itoh, H.; Imanishi, T. Tetrahedron 2002, 58, 3039-3049.
- 6. Wengel, J. Act. Chem. Res. 1999, 32, 301-310.
- Kakinuma, K.; Iihama, Y.; Takagi, I.; Ozawa, K.; Yamauchi, N.; Imamura, N.; Esumi, Y.; Uramoto, M. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 3763-3774.
- 8. Tam, T.F.; Fraser-Reid, B. Can. J. Chem. 1979, 57, 2818-2822.
- 9. Procopiou, P.A.; Baugh, S.P.D.; Flack, S.S.; Inglis, G.G.A. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2342-2347.