

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Modular Synthesis of Organoboron Helically Chiral Compounds: Cutouts from Extended Helices

Authors: Agnieszka Nowak-Król, Julian Full, Santosh P. Panchal, Julian Götz, and Ana-Maria Krause

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202014138

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202014138

WILEY-VCH

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Modular Synthesis of Organoboron Helically Chiral Compounds: Cutouts from Extended Helices

Julian Full,^{+[a,b]} Santosh P. Panchal,^{+[a,b]} Julian Götz,^[b] Ana-Maria Krause,^[b] and Agnieszka Nowak-Król^{*[a,b]}

[a]	J. Full, Dr. S. P. Panchal, JunProf. A. Nowak-Król
	Institut für Anorganische Chemie
	Universität Würzburg
	Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)
	E-mail: agnieszka.nowak-krol@uni-wuerzburg.de
[b]	J. Full, Dr. S. P. Panchal, J. Götz, AM. Krause, JunProf. A. Nowak-Król
	Institut für Organische Chemie and Center for Nanosystems Chemistry
	Universität Würzburg
	Am Hubland, 97074 Würzburg (Germany)

[+] These authors contributed equally to this work

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Two types of helically chiral compounds bearing one and two boron atoms were synthesized by a modular approach. Formation of the helical scaffolds was executed by the introduction of boron to flexible biaryl and triaryl derived from small achiral building blocks. All*ortho*-fused azabora[7]helicenes feature exceptional configurational stability, blue or green fluorescence with quantum yields (Φ_{fl}) of 18-24% in solution, green or yellow solid-state emission (Φ_{fl} up to 23%) and strong chiroptical response with large dissymmetry factors of up to 1.12×10^{-2} . Azabora[9]helicenes consisting of angularly and linearly fused rings are blue emitters exhibiting Φ_{fl} of up to 47% in CH₂Cl₂ and 25% in the solid state. As revealed by the DFT calculations, their *P-M* interconversion pathway is more complex than of **H1**. Single crystal X-ray analysis shows clear differences in the packing arrangement of methyl and phenyl derivatives. These molecules are proposed as primary structures of extended helices.

Introduction

In recent years, chirality emerged as a central concept in the field of π-conjugated compounds. Rapid progress in synthetic methodology of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and nanobelts^[1] contributed to the development of a large variety of curved, contorted and bent congeners.^[2] Interest in these compounds is motivated by their unique solid-state packing, dynamic nature and chiroptical properties of configurationally stable derivatives. Tuning of their properties is achieved mainly by substitution of their periphery with functional groups or more recently, incorporation of heptagons and octagons.^[3] In general, the performance of all-carbon PAHs can be improved by utilization of heteroatoms. Introduction of main group elements into PAHs entails significant perturbation of their electronic structures. Polycyclic heteroaromatics with fine-tuned properties are explored as functional chromophores and charge transport materials. Such structural variation can also be used to achieve compounds with attractive features for coordination chemistry and catalysis.[4]

Primary representatives of chiral PAHs are screw-shaped molecules called helicenes.^[4d,5] In materials science, they were



Figure 1. Structures of **H1** and **H2**. **EH** is an example of an extended helix incorporating both types of helicenes. For simplicity, only (*P*) and (*P*,*P*)-steroisomers were shown. The hydrogen atoms were removed for clarity.

studied in the context of their chirality-determined organization in the solid state and its implications for charge transport in transistor and photovoltaic devices.^[6] They were also identified as promising compounds for chiral light emission, since they exhibit high differential emission of right- and left-handed circularly polarized (CP) light quantified by the dissymmetry factor.^[7] Yet, for application as CP luminescence emitters, for instance in CPorganic light emitting diodes (CP-OLEDs), high dissymmetry factors are not sufficient. Organic materials should also show intense emission, preferably at high concentration or in the solid state. However, intersystem crossing typically lowers $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ of helicenes,^[8] hence limiting their potential use in chiral optoelectronics.

π-Conjugated boron compounds have received recognition for their outstanding optical properties and are intensively studied in OLED devices.^[9,10] Embedding boron into π-conjugated scaffolds can provide materials with high electron affinity, electron mobility and photovoltaic performance.^[10,11] Moreover, versatility of B-N dative bonds enables the construction of stimuliresponsive materials and dynamic systems.^[12] Thus, merging benefits of boron with chirality could give rise to materials with unique characteristics and improved properties versus all-carbon analogues.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Although a plethora of organoboron molecules have been synthesized to date,^[9-14] the availability of helically chiral congeners with boron in the π -conjugated core is still limited. Three-coordinate boron-fused helicenes were synthesized by Hart reaction and boron-assisted demethylative cyclization as the key steps,^[15] a tandem bora-Friedel-Crafts-type reaction,^[16] intramolecular Yamamoto coupling of triarylborane^[17] or intramolecular electrophilic borylation.^[18] Likewise, fourcoordinate boron helicenes are rare. In addition to chiral O-BODIPYs and O-aza-BODIPYs with boron on the inner helicene rim,^[19] only few other organoboron helicenes have been reported. Boron-bridging of [4]- and [6]helicenes with one or two flanking pyridine units^[20] elongated the framework by two or four fused rings, whereas configurational stability in azabora[5]helicenes was achieved by substitution of terminal positions with sterically demanding groups.^[21]

Our objective is the synthesis of long helicenes consisting of multiple boron atoms. Attractiveness of such extended structures are beyond the structural curiosity. These entities should reveal large circular dichroism, efficient symmetry-breaking spin transport^[22] and allow studies of exciton transport pathways in discrete molecules. Access to such elongated structures is. however, limited due to synthetic limitations. There are only few reports on long well-defined helicenes^[23] with the record number of 19 fused rings for oxahelicenes.^[24] To construct organboron helices, we propose a modular approach, in which flexible oligoaryl precursors are prepared in a convergent synthesis from small achiral building blocks. Lewis acidic boron is introduced as "glue" to these species to join two or more subunits into fully fused scaffolds by formation of dative bonds with nitrogen or other heteroatoms. Noteworthy, chirality cannot be ensured by bulky substituents at sterically hindered positions of the substructures in this case, nor can the boron atoms be included only in the terminal parts of the helical backbone. The construction of fully ortho-fused helices is energetically costly due to introduced steric strain. A reasonable means to facilitate the closure of azaborole rings would be incorporation of meta-fused or a combination of both ortho- and meta- fused units. Thus, we present here the synthesis and properties of two types of molecules differing in the point: fully π-conjugated fusion all-ortho-fused azabora[7]helicenes H1 and azbora[9]helicenes H2, which embody both angularly and linearly fused rings, as primary substructures of organoboron helices, such as EH in Figure 1. As we will demonstrate in this article, a combination of a non-planar geometry of helicenes with boron has a synergistic effect on emission of these emitters both in solution and in the solid state.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis. Biaryl (BA) and triaryl (TA) were synthesized by cross-coupling of 1-chlorobenzo[*h*]isoquinoline (BIQ-CI) with borylated phenanthrene (PHE-Bpin) and benzene (BEN-Bpin) derivatives (Scheme 1). BEN-Bpin was prepared by Miyaura borylation from commercially available 1,3-dibromobenzene. The syntheses of the other two building blocks is more demanding. PHE-Bpin was synthesized in seven steps from 2-bromobenzaldehyde and 2-methoxyphenylboronic acid. Cross-coupling thereof afforded formyl-substituted biphenyl, which was converted into the corresponding alkyne via Corey-Fuchs reaction. The subsequent Pt-catalyzed ring closure produced methoxy-



Scheme 1. Modular synthesis of H1 and H2.

phenanthrene. The following cleavage of the methyl ether. synthesis of a pseudohalide and Suzuki-Mivaura reaction furnished PHE-Bpin. BIQ-CI could be obtained in five steps. The synthesis started from coupling of 3-bromo-4-methylpyridine with 2-formylphenylboronic acid, followed by base-promoted cyclization to benzo[h]isoquinoline (BIQ). Oxidation of BIQ, rearrangement of N-oxidie to the corresponding lactam and finally, chlorination thereof with POCI₃ afforded **BIQ-CI**. Due to reproducibility and ease of purification it is advised to perform the last three reactions in a stepwise manner (method B, Supporting Information) rather than in one pot (method A). The detailed synthesis of the small building blocks is presented in the Supporting Information. To execute the introduction of boron atoms into these intermediates, we adapted the method reported by Murakami^[25] with some modifications. According to this protocol BA and TA were reacted with BBr₃ in the presence of i-Pr₂NEt to yield complexes H1-Br₂ and H2-Br₄, respectively. The synthesis was accomplished by the exchange of the bromide with alkyl or aryl ligands in overall yields of 7-8% for H1 (10 steps), and 30-32% for H2 (8 steps). Whereas substitution with Me and Et could be performed under mild conditions, introduction of Ph groups required elevated temperature. Triorganylaluminium reagents proved superior to diorganylzinc complexes for this transformation. Not only was the reaction of H1-Br2 with Et2Zn lower-yielding than the analogous reaction with Et₃Al, but it was also more sluggish and had to be performed at higher temperature (see the Supporting Information). All compounds except H2-Et4 feature excellent stability against light, moisture and air. H2-Et4, on the other hand, decomposed upon time. For this reason, its further characterization was not carried out.

Solid state structural analysis. Single crystals of racemic H1-Me₂ and H2-Me₄ suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by slow evaporation of chloroform solutions, while those of H1-Ph₂ and H2-Ph₄ by diffusion of hexane into CH₂Cl₂ solutions. H1-Me₂ and H2-Me₄ crystallized in P2₁/n, while the other two in P-1 space

10.1002/anie.202014138

RESEARCH ARTICLE



Figure 2. a) Molecular structures of H1-Me₂, H1-Ph₂, H2-Me₄, and H2-Ph₄. determined by X-ray analysis at 100 K. ORTEP drawings are shown with 50% probability. Only (*P*)-enantiomers are shown. b) Packing arrangements of H1-Me₂ and H1-Ph₂. (*P*)- and (*M*)-enantiomers are colored in maroon and blue, respectively.

groups. The B-N bond lengths of 1.597(3)-1.612(6) Å in the azaborole rings confirm strong Lewis pair interactions (Figures S63-S66 in the Supporting Information). In the solid state, compounds H1 and H2 adopt helical conformations. The sums of the five dihedral angles for the inner helicene rim (φ) of H1-Me₂ and H1-Ph₂ are 94.2° and 88.4°, respectively, which are intermediate values between those of phospha- and sila[7]helicenes (95-100°)^[26] and other hetero[7]helicenes (79-88°).^[27] The distortion is largely determined by the geometry of the five-membered rings closely related to the type of a heteroatom. The angles between two formal C=C double bonds of azaborole rings are ~38°, large enough to ensure a substantial overlap of terminal rings and in turn, excellent configurational stability of H1.

A dihedral angle between the mean planes of terminal rings in **H1-Me**₂ is 28.1° (see Figure 2), smaller than in other hetero[7]helicenes. A bit larger θ_{AG} (33.3°) was observed in **H1-Ph**₂, which is comparable to that in pristine carbo[7]helicene (32.3°).^[28] Such small splay angles indicate enhanced intramolecular π - π interactions in both molecules. The angles defined by rings A-E (formally azabora[5]helicene) are 27.4° and 36.7° (Figure 2). The corresponding angles in **H2** molecules are generally larger. In **H2-Me**₄ one BIQ wing is more strongly bent than the other unit (θ_{AE} and θ_{EI} of 38.1 and 45.6°). Even more distorted is **H2-Ph**₄ (θ_{AE} and θ_{EI} of 27.6 and 50.4°), which results in a larger θ between the terminal rings (θ_{AI} of 23.6° vs. 9.2° for **H2-Me**₄). Since the helical cores of the optimized geometries are almost symmetrical, these differences must originate from the crystal packing modes. In the packing arrangements of all four helicenes, stacks of (*P*)- and (*M*)-enantiomers could be observed. The molecules are arranged in a slipped fashion forming stacks with interplanar distances of 3.35-3.59 Å. **H1-Me**₂ and **H2-Me**₄ arrange in a sandwich herringbone pattern through C-H···π interactions with adjacent dimers. **H1-Ph**₂ and **H2-Ph**₄ share different packing arrangement. The isomers are packed in an alternating fashion forming sheet structures with multiple C-H···π interactions involving also Ph rings (Figure S67 in the Supporting Information).

Absorption and emission properties. The photophysical data are summarized in Table S1 (Supporting Information). H1 show moderate molar absorption coefficients (ϵ) (7.6-9.7 × 10³ M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). The lowest-energy absorption bands of H1 are centered at 426-432 nm with well-resolved vibronic progressions at 407-412 nm and correspond to the yellow color of CH₂Cl₂ solutions (Figure 3a). Absorption maxima of H1 are bathochromically shifted vs. all-carbon analogues^[29] and related hetero[7]helicenes.^[26,27] λ_{abs} of the compounds bearing two boron atoms are blue-shifted to 404-406 nm. This pronounced shift is accompanied by the increase in intensity (ε of up to 19.7 × 10³ M^{-1} cm⁻¹). In contrast to H1, the fine structure is almost entirely lost. The significant hypsochromic shift vs. H1 is likely due to a somewhat disrupted conjugation along the helical core. Since H2 features high flexibility (vide infra), it is possible that various conformers coexist in solution differing in the effective



Figure 3. a) Absorption spectra of **H1** and **H2** in CH₂Cl₂. Inset right: Photographs of their solutions in CH₂Cl₂ under visible (top) and UV light (bottom). Inset left: Photographs of the powders of **H1-Me₂**, **H1-Ph₂**, and **H2-Ph₄** under UV light. b) Normalized absorption (solid lines) and emission (dashed lines) spectra of **H1**, and **H2** in CH₂Cl₂. c) Cyclic (solid line) and differential pulse (dash-dotted lines) voltammograms of **H1-Me₂** and **H2-Me₄**.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

 π -conjugated pathway. The HOMOs and LUMOs of H1 are delocalized over the entire helicene cores with somewhat larger coefficients on PHE and BIQ moieties, respectively (Figure S74 in the Supporting Information). The HOMOs of H2 involve the whole π -conjugated systems with larger coefficients at the pyridine and central benzene rings, and small contributions from the Ph substituents for H2-Ph4. The LUMO and LUMO+1 of H2 are more or less uniformly delocalized over both BIQ and BEN moieties. According to the TD-DFT calculations at CAM-B3LYP^[30]-D3BJ^[31]/def2-TZVP^[32] level (solvent CH₂Cl₂, PCM model) the lowest energy absorption bands of H1 correspond mainly to the HOMO→LUMO transitions (84%), while those of H2 are superpositions of two transitions and are attributed predominantly to the HOMO→LUMO (76-80%, oscillator strength (f) ~ 0.45), and HOMO \rightarrow LUMO+1 (~70%, f ~ 0.72-0.10) transitions (Figure S75 and Tables S3-S6 in the Supporting Information) so that very little charge transfer is to be expected. The compounds show blue (H1-Me₂, H1-Et₂, and both H2) or green (H1-Ph₂) fluorescence with maxima at 459-477 nm (Figure 3b), which translates to Stokes shifts of 1700-1800 cm⁻¹ for H1- Me_2 and $H1-Et_2$, and 2200-2250 cm⁻¹ for $H1-Ph_2$, and H2compounds. $\lambda_{\rm fl}$ of **H2** are, like absorption bands, blue-shifted versus absorption maxima of H1. The emission spectra are devoid of vibronic structures. Fluorescence quantum yields ($\phi_{\rm fl}$) fall in the range of 18-24% and are markedly higher compared to carbohelicenes consisting of only six-membered rings,[33] whereas $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ of structurally similar compounds strongly depend on the atom at the fusion point of a central five-membered ring (from 0.1 to 23% for heteroatoms and up to 40% for carbon).^[26,27,29,34] **H2** are highly emissive with $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ of 43-47%.

Absorption of spin-coated films is slightly red-shifted (Figure S68 and Table S1 in the Supporting Information), probably due to somewhat increased intramolecular interactions in the solid state, with the absorption maxima of H1 located between 433 and 438 nm and of H2 at 402 nm. In general though, the line shapes resemble those of spectra in solution. Only small variations in the intensity ratios of the 0-0 to 0-1 vibronic transitions of the $S_0 \rightarrow S_1$ transition from ca. -5% for H2-Me4 to +9% for H1-Ph2 could be observed. Emission was measured for amorphous powder samples of H1 and H2. The fluorescence spectra are presented in Figure S69, while the images of the powders under visible and UV irradiation are shown in Figure 3a and S70 in the Supporting Information. H1-Me₂, H1-Ph₂, and H2-Ph₄ show impressive $\phi_{\rm fl}$ of 17%, 23%, and 25%, respectively.^[35] To our knowledge, these $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ values are among the highest quantum yields reported for helicenes to date.^[36] However, emission of H1-Et₂ and H2-Me₄ is substantially weaker (3% and 8%, respectively). As opposed to H2, showing blue fluorescence both in solution and in the powder with only small shift of the emission spectra, the spectra of powder samples of H1 are red-shifted by ~2000 cm⁻¹ for both alkyl derivatives and almost 3000 cm⁻¹ for H1-Ph₂ as compared to their spectra in CH₂Cl₂. These pronounced spectral shifts result in a change of the emission color from blue to green and green to yellow, respectively.

Essentially, the $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ values of H1-Me₂ and H1-Ph₂ do not decrease upon going from solution to the solid state. In contrast to other popular emitters, such as BODIPY^[37] or perylene bisimide (PBI) dyes,^[38] these organoboron helicenes do not undergo aggregation-caused quenching of fluorescence. Whereas for PBIs, extensive molecular engineering via introduction of voluminous substituents is necessary in order to retain high emission properties in the solid state,^[39] we could achieve it for H1-Me₂ and H1-Ph₂ without any special treatment, since their inherent non-planar geometry effectively reduces intermolecular π - π interactions. In addition, the advantage of this molecular design manifests itself in the fact that the change in the emission color of H1 could be obtained by simply replacing Me with Ph substituents, hence without any modification of the π -conjugated core.

Electrochemistry. The electrochemical behavior of H1 and H2 was investigated by cyclic voltammetry (CV) and pulse techniques in CH₂Cl₂ in the presence of Bu₄NPF₆ as a supporting electrolyte and calibrated versus ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc+/Fc). As shown in Figures 3c and S71 in the Supporting Information, all H1 compounds exhibit one reversible reduction wave at -2.11 --2.13 V for alkyl derivatives. The reduction potential of H1-Ph2 is anodically shifted by ~0.1 V. The effect of substituents on boron is more pronounced for oxidation. Exchange of alkyl with Ph substituents results in an anodic shift of ca. 0.2 V. For H1-Et2, the second oxidation at +1.57 V could be recorded. The differences in redox potentials are rather small. Thus, the band gaps differ only slightly, which coincides with the shifts in the absorption spectra of these compounds. A voltammogram of H2-Me4 reveals two oxidation processes at +0.86 and +1.22 V, and one irreversible reduction at -2.24 V. On the contrary, only one oxidation (+1.08 V) and two reduction processes at -2.09 and -2.31 V were observed for the Ph congener.

Chiroptical properties. Enantiomers of **H1-Me**₂, **H1-Et**₂ and **H1-Ph**₂ were successfully resolved by HPLC on a chiral stationary phase (for details see SI). As shown in Figure 4, their electronic circular dichroism (ECD) spectra recorded in CH_2Cl_2 revealed perfect mirror-image relationships. The absolute configuration of the enantiomers was assigned by comparison of the experimental ECD with the TD-DFT simulated ECD spectra (Figure S76 in the Supporting Information). Thus, the first and second fractions correspond to (*P*)- and (*M*)-enantiomers,



Figure 4. ECD spectra of H1-Me₂, H1-Et₂, and H1-Ph₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (c = 11-21 μ M).

RESEARCH ARTICLE

WILEY-VCH

(a) (b) TS1 TS3 TS 59.9 59.9 152.3 TS2 35.1 23 23 (P,M)-H2-Me, (P,M)-H2-Me (P)-H1-Me (M)-H1-Me, (P,P)-H2-Me, LM1 LM2 (M, M)-H2-Me 00 0 0 0.0 0.0

Figure 5. Interconversion pathways of a) (P)-H1-Me2, and b) (P,P)-H2-Me4 calculated at the B3LYP/def2-SVP (solvent CH2Cl2, PCM model) level. The relative Gibbs free energies for the stationary points are given in kJ mol-1

respectively. As expected, the ECD spectra of (P)-H1-Me2 and (P)-H1-Et₂ share similar profile, differ, however, in intensity. Accordingly, their spectra exhibit positive Cotton effects (CEs) in the ranges of 272-405 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = +158 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 323 nm; $\Delta \varepsilon$ = +19 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 282 nm), and 273-407 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = +115 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 324 nm; $\Delta \varepsilon = +31 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 284 nm), respectively. Negative CEs are observed in the ranges of 229-272 ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -148 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 248 nm) and 405-~450 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -7 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 426 nm) for (*P*)-H1-Me₂ and 231-273 ($\Delta \varepsilon = -173 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ at 247 nm) and 405-~450 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -6 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 428 nm) for (*P*)-H1-Et₂. The ECD spectrum of (P)-H1-Ph2 revealed a different profile to those of alkyl derivatives with negative ECD at 294 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -22 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹) and a strong negative CE at 254 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -119 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹). A positive CE appears in the range of 307-405 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = +100 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 325 nm), and a weak negative CE between 405 and 459 nm ($\Delta \varepsilon$ = -11 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹ at 432 nm). The intensities of the longest wavelength bands of all the compounds are low and so are the corresponding anisotropy factors (q_{abs}) (0.7) \times 10⁻³ - 1.4 \times 10⁻³). On the other hand, the strong ECD bands located at 323, 324, and 325 correspond to the highest $|g_{abs}|$ of 1.12 × 10⁻², 9.1 × 10⁻³ and 7.6 × 10⁻³, respectively. In particular, **H1-Me**₂ exhibits excellent chiroptical performance with $|g_{abs}|$ exceeding those of carbo[6]helicene,^[40] a number of multipoles^[3a,41] and approaching $|g_{abs}|$ of helicene nanoribbon,^[42] and double[8]helicene.[43]

P-M interconversion. As opposed to H2 (vide infra), helicenes H1 are configurationally stable. No racemization was observed for a solution of (P)-H1-Me2 over a month at room temperature. According to DFT calculations (B3LYP-D3BJ/def2-SVP,[28] solvent CH₂Cl₂, PCM model), the P-M interconversion of H1-Me₂ proceeds via one transition state of C1 symmetry (Figure 5). The inversion barrier (ΔG^{\neq}) is 152.3 kJ mol⁻¹ (36.4 kcal mol⁻¹) and is comparable to the configurationally stable hexahelicene (36.2 kcal mol⁻¹).^[44] For comparison, ∆G[≠] for azabora[5]helicenes H3 of 57.8 kJ mol⁻¹ (13.8 kcal mol⁻¹) (Figure S77 in the Supporting Information) is considerably lower and the formation of the configurationally stable helicene would require introduction of a bulky substituent into a sterically hindered position of Nheterocycle or an all-carbon subunit. Thermal racemization of (P)-H1-Me2 in 1,2-dichlorobenzene at 180 °C was monitored by HPLC following the decay of the enantiomeric excess. The Gibbs free energy of activation for racemization was determined to be

142.6 kJ/mol (34.1 kcal mol⁻¹), which corresponds to racemization half-life of 70.2 min at 180 °C and approximates to the calculated value. Such a high barrier indicates that the devices incorporating these materials would not be adversely affected by racemization during the fabrication process, even at relatively high temperatures. In contrast to H1, the interconversion of H2 occurs via three transition states due to the presence of a hydrogen atom of the central benzene ring on the inner rim of H2. In principle, H2 can be considered as two azabora[5]helicenes (H3) with one joint benzene ring, each undergoing P-M interconversion. In the first step, (P,P)-H2-Me₄ converts to local minimum (P,M)-H2-Me4 (LM1) with both BIQ on the same side of the benzene ring. The stable conformation is ca. 23.1 kJ mol⁻¹ (5.5 kcal mol⁻¹) lower in energy than **LM1**. The second process occurs via transition state in which two BIQ moieties are in cofacial arrangement. This process is accompanied by the lowest energy penalty. From this state the molecule relaxes to second local minimum LM2 – an enantiomer of LM1. Finally, the molecule achieves stable form (M,M)-H2-Me4 via TS3 which is an enantiomeric relationship with TS1. The activation barriers for **TS1**, **TS2**, and **TS3** are 59.9, 12.0, and 36.8 kJ mol⁻¹ (14.3, 2.9, and 8.8 kcal mol⁻¹), respectively. The first value is markedly smaller than a barrier of 100 kJ mol⁻¹ (23.9 kcal mol⁻¹) for carbo[5]helicene^[45] which racemizes slowly at ambient temperature.^[46] Thus, the interconversion of H2 occurs rapidly at room temperature, which prevents the resolution of the stereoisomers. Because both azabora[5]helicenes are a part of the same system, the interconversion of one of them affects the geometry of the second subunit and hence, the whole molecule. Nevertheless, the presence of the second BIQ unit leads to a negligible increase in ΔG^{\neq} (+2.1 kJ mol⁻¹) as compared with H3. Accordingly, the limiting process in interconversion of H2 is defined by the interconversion of azabora[5]helicene subunit.

Conclusion

In summary, we have synthesized azabora[7]helicenes and azabora[9]helicenes as primary substructures of extended helical structures. These compounds were obtained by conceptually simple modular approach, in which the helical structure was obtained by boron-bridging of conformationally flexible biaryl BA

RESEARCH ARTICLE

and triaryl TA. Configurational stability of angularly fused H1 was obtained without any additional blocking groups at the terminal positions. Configurationally flexible H2 is a new type of building block consisting of both angularly and linearly fused rings. H1 feature moderate (high among helicenes) fluorescence quantum yields and superior chiroptical properties with $|g_{abs}|$ of up to 1.12 × 10⁻². Excellent ϕ_{fl} of 43-47% were recorded in CH₂Cl₂ solution for H2. Intense fluorescence (ϕ_{fl} . of up to 25%) was retained in the solid state for H1-Me₂, H1-Ph₂, and H2-Ph₄ affording green, yellow, and blue emitters, respectively. Thus, introduction of boron into helical scaffolds provided helicenes with outstanding optical properties both in solution and in the solid state. These features along with high chemical and photostability make these fluorophores attractive for application as pristine materials or (chiral) emissive dopants in polymer matrices in OLEDs, fluorescent solid-state sensors and fluorescent probes for bioimaging.

The flexibility of our synthetic approach opens up the opportunity to prepare heterohelices with precisely modulated properties. Incorporation of both types of units should facilitate modification of their helical pitch, the extent of the intersystem crossing and optical properties. Our current efforts are focused on the application of this concept to the synthesis of extended systems.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful for financial support from the Keck-Köppe Foundation and Universitätsbund Würzburg (AZ 18-32), Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (SK 204/03), and the German Research Foundation (DFG) for the Emmy-Noether fellowship (NO 1459/1-1). A. N.-K. would like to thank Prof. Frank Würthner for his support in the past years, mentorship and many insightful discussions. We thank Dr. Matthias Stolte for practical advice regarding the measurements of solid-state emission.

Keywords: chirality • circular dichroism • helicene • organoboron • fluorescence

- a) A. Narita, X.-Y. Wang, X. Feng, K. Müllen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, *44*, 6616-6643; b) G. Povie, Y. Segawa, T. Nishihara, Y. Miyauchi, K. Itami, *Science* 2017, *356*, 172-175.
- a) M. Grzybowski, B. Sadowski, H. Butenschön, D. T. Gryko, Angew. [2] Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 2998-3027, Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 3020-3050; b) C. Li, Y. Yang, Q. Miao, Chem. Asian J. 2018, 13, 884-894; c) M. Rickhaus, M. Mayor, M. Juríček, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 1643-1660; d) F. Zhang, E. Michail, F. Saal, A.-M. Krause, P. Ravat, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25 ,16241-16245; e) M. Roy, V. Berezhnaia, M. Villa, N. Vanthuyne, M. Giorgi, J. V. Naubron, S. Poyer, V. Monnier, L. Charles, Y. Carissan, D. Hagebaum-Reignier, J. Rodriguez, M. Gingras, Y. Coquerel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 3264-3271; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 3290-3297; f) Y. Wang, Z. Yin, Y. Zhu, J. Gu, Y. Li, J. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 587-591; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 597-601; g) G. R. Kiel, K. L. Bay, A. E. Samkian, N. J. Schuster, J. B. Lin, R. C. Handford, C. Nuckolls, K. N. Houk, T. D. Tilley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 11084-11091; h) T. Fujikawa, Y. Segawa, K. Itami, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 3587-3595; i) B. Bardi, M. Krzeszewski, D. T. Gryko, A. Painelli, F. Terenziani, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25,13930-13938.
- a) C. M. Cruz, I. R. Márquez, S. Castro-Fernández, J. M. Cuerva, E. Maçôas, A. G. Campaña, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2019**, *58*, 8068-8072; *Angew. Chem.* **2019**, *131*, 8152-8156; b) J. Ma, Y. Fu, E. Dmitrieva, F. Liu, H. Komber, F. Hennersdorf, A. A. Popov, J. J. Weigand, J. Liu, X.

Feng, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 5637-5642; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 5686-5691; c) C. Zhu, K. Shoyama, F. Würthner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, DOI: 10.1002/anie.202010077; Angew. Chem. 2020, DOI: 10.1002/ange.202010077; d) X. Yang, F. Rominger, M. Mastalerz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 17577-17582; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131,17741-17746; e) T. Kirschbaum, F. Rominger, M. Mastalerz, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 270-274; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 276-280; f) J. Luo, X. Xu, R. Mao, Q. Miao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13796-13803; g) S. H. Pun, C. K. Chan, J. Luo, Z. Liu, Q. Miao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 1581-1586; Angew. Chem. 2018, 130,1597-1602.

- a) M. Stępień, E. Gońka, M. Żyła, N. Sprutta, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, [4] 3479-3716; b) E. von Grotthuss, A. John, T. Kaese, M. Wagner, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2018, 7, 37-52; c) A. Wakamiya, S. Yamaguchi, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 2015, 88, 1357-1377; d) K. Dhbaibi, L. Favereau, J. Crassous, Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 8846-8953; e) R. J. Kahan, W. Hirunpinyopas, J. Cid. M. J. Ingleson, R. A. W. Dryfe, Chem. Mater. 2019, 31, 1891-1898; f) C. Dou, S. Saito, K. Matsuo, I. Hisaki, S. Yamaguchi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12206-12210; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 12372-12376; g) C. Maeda, K. Nagahata, T. Shirakawa, T. Ema, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 7813-7817; Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 7887-7891; h) H. Zhylitskaya, J. Cybińska, P. Chmielewski, T. Lis, M. Stępień, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11390-11398; i) J. M. Farrell, V. Grande, D. Schmidt, F. Würthner, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58,16504-16507; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 16656-16659; j) M. Grzybowski, M. Taki, K. Senda, Y. Sato, T. Arivoshi, Y. Okada, R. Kawakami, T. Imamura, S. Yamaguchi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 10137-1041; Angew. Chem. 2018. 130. 10294-10298.
- a) Y. Shen, C.-F. Chen, *Chem. Rev.* 2012, *112*, 1463-1535; b) M. Gingras, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2013, *42*, 968-1006.
- [6] a) Y. Yang, B. Rice, X. Shi, J. R. Brandt, R. Correa da Costa, G. J. Hedley, D.-M. Smilgies, J. M. Frost, I. D. W. Samuel, A. Otero-de-la-Roza, E. R. Johnson, K. E. Jelfs, J. Nelson, A. J. Campbell, M. J. Fuchter, ACS Nano 2017, *11*, 8329-8338; b) P. Josse, L. Favereau, C. Shen, S. Dabos-Seignon, P. Blanchard, C. Cabanetos, J. Crassous, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 6277-6281; c) J. R. Brandt, F. Salerno, M. J. Fuchter, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2017, *1*, 0045.
- [7] W.-L. Zhao, M. Li, H.-Y. Lu, C.-F. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2019, 55, 13793-13803.
- [8] a) M. Sapir, E. V. Vander Donckt, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1975**, *36*, 108-110;
 b) N. I. Nijegorodov, W. S. Downey, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1994**, *98*, 5639-5643.
 (9] a) D. Li, H. Y. Zhang, Y. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 8416-8433;
 b) M. Vanga, R. A. Lalancette, F. Jäkle, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 10133-10140; c) S. Nakatsuka, N. Yasuda, T. Hatakeyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 13562-13565; d) A. John, M. Bolte, H. W. Lerner, G. Meng, S. Wang, T. Peng, M. Wagner, *J. Mater. Chem. C* **2018**, *6*, 10881-10887;
 e) S. Kirschner, I. Uecker, M. Bolte, H. W. Lerner, M. Wagner, *Organometallics* **2019**, *38*, 2818-2823; f) D. L. Crossley, I. A. Cade, E. R. Clark, A. Escande, M. J. Humphries, S. M. King, I. Vitorica-Yrezabal, M. J. Ingleson and M. L. Turner, *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 5144-5151; g) M. Stanoppi, A. Lorbach, *Dalton Trans.* **2018**, *47*, 10394-10398.
- [10] Z. Huang, S. Wang, R. D. Dewhurst, N. V. Ignat'ev, M. Finze, H. Braunschweig, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2020**, *132*, 8800-8816; *Angew. Chem.* **2020**, *59*, 8882-8900.
- [11] a) S. K. Mellrup, S. Wang, *Trends. Chem.* 2019, *1*, 77-89; b) R. Hecht, J. Kade, D. Schmidt, A. Nowak-Król, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, *23*, 11620-11628;
 c) Y. Min, C. Dou, D. Liu, H. Dong, J. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 17015-17021; d) J. M. Farrell, C. Mützel, D. Bialas, M. Rudolf, K. Menekse, A.-M. Krause, M. Stolte, F. Würthner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 9096-9104; e) A. Wakamiya, T. Taniguchi, S. Yamaguchi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2006, *45*, 3170-3173; *Angew. Chem.* 2006, *118*, 3242-3245; f) R. Zhao, C. Dou, Z. Xie, J. Liu, L. Wang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 5313-5317; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, *128*, 5399-5403; g) M. Grandl, Y. Sun, F. Pammer, *Org. Chem. Front.* 2018, *5*, 336-352.
- [12] a) C. Zeng, K. Yuan, N. Wang, T. Peng, G. Wu, S. Wang, *Chem. Sci.* 2019, *10*,1724-1734; b) K. Liu, R. A. Lalancette, F. Jäkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, *141*, 7453-7462; c) K. Liu, R. A. Lalancette, F. B. Jäkle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 18170-18173; d) J. Chen, R. A. Lalancette, F. Jäkle, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 9120-9129; e) Y. Cao, J. K. Nagle, M. O. Wolf, B. O. Patrick, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 4888-4891; f) R.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Koch, Y. Sun, A. Orthaber, A. J. Pierik, F. Pammer, *Org. Chem. Front.* **2020**, *7*, 1437-1452.

- [13] a) L. Ji, S. Griesbeck, T. B. Marder, *Chem. Sci.* 2017, *8*, 846-863; b) Y. Ren, F. Jäkle, *Dalton Trans.* 2016, *45*, 13996-14007.
- [14] X. Jia, J. Nitsch, L. Ji, Z. Wu, A. Friedrich, F. Kerner, M. Moos, C. Lambert, T. B. Marder, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2019**, *25*, 10845-10857.
- [15] a) T. Katayama, S. Nakatsuka, H. Hirai, N. Yasuda, J. Kumar, T. Kawai, T. Hatakeyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 5210-5213; b) X. Y. Wang, A. Narita, W. Zhang, X. Feng, K. Müllen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 9021-9024; c) X. Y. Wang, T. Dienel, M. Di Giovannantonio, G. B. Barin, N. Kharche, O. Deniz, J. I. Urgel, R. Widmer, S. Stolz, L. H. De Lima, M. Muntwiler, M. Tommasini, V. Meunier, P. Ruffieux, X. Feng, R. Fasel, K. Müllen, A. Narita, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 4671-4674.
- [16] T. Hatakeyama, S. Hashimoto, T. Oba, M. Nakamura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 19600-19603.
- a) K. Schickedanz, T. Trageser, M. Bolte, H. W. Lerner, M. Wagner, *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 15808-15810; b) J. Radtke, K. Schickedanz, M. Bamberg, L. Menduti, D. Schollmeyer, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. Wagner, *Chem. Sci.* 2019, *10*, 9017-9027.
- [18] H. Hirai, K. Nakajima, S. Nakatsuka, K. Shiren, J. Ni, S. Nomura, T. Ikuta, T. Hatakeyama, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 13581-13585; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 13785-13789.
- [19] a) R. B. Alnoman, S. Rihn, D. C. O'Connor, F. A. Black, B. Costello, P. G. Waddell, W. Clegg, R. D. Peacock, W. Herrebout, J. G. Knight, M. J. Hall, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2016, *22*, 93-96; b) A. Loudet, R. Bandichhor, K. Burgess, A. Palma, S. O. McDonnell, M. J. Hall, D. F. O'Shea, *Org. Lett.* 2008, *10*, 4771-4774; c) M. Saikawa, T. Nakamura, J. Uchida, M. Yamamura, T. Nabeshima, *Chem. Commun.* 2016, *52*, 10727-10730; d) C. Ikeda, T. Maruyama, T. Nabeshima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2009, *50*, 3349-3351; e) H. Kim, A. Burghart, M. B. Welch, J. Reibenspies, K. Burgess, *Chem. Commun.* 1999, 1889-1890.
- [20] C. S. Shen, M. Srebro-Hooper, M. Jean, N. Vanthuyne, L. Toupet, J. A. G. Williams, A. R. Torres, A. J. Riives, G. Muller, J. Autschbach, J. Crassous, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2017, 23, 407-418.
- [21] Z. Domínguez, R. López-Rodríguez, E. Álvarez, S. Abbate, G. Longhi, U. Pischel, A. Ros, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2018, 24, 12660-12668.
- [22] P. C. Mondal, C. Fontanesi, D. H. Waldeck, R. Naaman, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2560-2568.
- [23] Selected examples: a) S. Pedersen, K. Eriksen, M. Pittelkow, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 18419-18423; Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 18590-18594; b) M. Miyasaka, A. Rajca, M. Pink, S. Rajca, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 13806-13807; c) K. Mori, T. Murase, M. Fujita, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 6847-6851; Angew. Chem. 2015; 127, 6951-6955.
- [24] J. Nejedlý, M. Šámal, J. Rybáček, M. Tobrmanová, F. Szydlo, C. Coudret, M. Neumeier, J. Vacek, J. Vacek Chocholoušová, M. Buděšínský, D. Šaman, L. Bednárová, L. Sieger, I. G. Stará, I. Starý, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 5839-5843; *Angew. Chem.* **2017**, *129*, 5933-5937.
- [25] N. Ishida, T. Moriya, T. Goya, M. Murakami, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8709-8712.
- [26] a) K. Nakano, H. Oyama, Y. Nishimura, S. Nakasako, K. Nozaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 695-699; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 719-723;
 b) H. Oyama, K. Nakano, T. Harada, R. Kuroda, M. Naito, K. Nobusawa, K. Nozaki, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 2104-2107.
- [27] a) K. Nakano, Y. Hidehira, K. Takahashi, T. Hiyama, K. Nozaki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 7136-7138; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 7298-7300; b) A. Dore, D. Fabbri, S. Gladiali, Tetrahedron Asymmetry 1995, 6, 779-788.
- [28] M. Joly, N. Defay, R. H. Martin, J. P. Declerq, G. Germain, B. Soubrier-Payen, M. Van Meerssche, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1977**, *60*, 537-560.
- [29] H. Oyama, M. Akiyama, K. Nakano, M. Naito, K. Nobusawa, K. Nozaki, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 3654-3657.
- [30] T. Yanai, D. P. Tew, N. C. Handy, Chem. Phys. Lett. 2004, 393, 51-57.
- [31] S. Grimme, S. Ehrlich, L. Goerigk, J. Comput. Chem. 2011, 32, 1456-1465.
- [32] a) A. Schäfer, C. Huber, R. Ahlrichs, J. Chem. Phys. 1994, 100, 5829-5835; b) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2005, 7, 3297-3305; c) F. Weigend, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2006, 8, 1057-1065.

- [33] J. B. Birks, D. J. S. Birch, E. Cordemans, E. Vander Donckt, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1976**, *43*, 33-36.
- [34] G. M. Upadhyay, H. R. Talele, A. V. Bedekar, J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7751-7759.
- [35] The fluorescence spectra and absolute quantum yields of all derivatives were obtained with an integrating sphere setup with inherent contamination by reabsorption. Thus, $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ represent lower limits for the intrinsic quantum yields of the particular neat materials.
- [36] $\Phi_{\rm fl}$ of 17% was reported for a sila[7]helicene, blue emitter, ref. 26b.
- [37] H. Lu, Q. Wang, L. Gai, Z. Li, Y. Deng, X. Xiao, G. Lai, Z. Shen, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2012, *18*, 7852-7861.
- [38] a) S. W. Eaton, L. E. Shoer, S. D. Karlen, S. M. Dyar, E. A. Margulies, B.
 S. Veldkamp, C. Ramanan, D. A. Hartzler, S. Savikhin, T. J. Marks, M.
 R. Wasielewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2013, *135*, 14701-14712; b) C.
 Kaufmann, W. Kim, A. Nowak-Król, Y. Hong, D. Kim, F. Würthner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2018, *140*, 4253-4258.
- [39] M. Stolte, T. Schembri, J. Süß, D. Schmidt, A.-M. Krause, M. O. Vysotsky, F. Würthner, *Chem. Mater.* **2020**, *32*, 6222-6236.
- [40] Y. Nakai, T. Mori, Y. Inoue, J. Phys. Chem. A **2012**, *116*, 7372-7385.
- [41] For selected examples see: a) M. Navakouski, H. Zhylitskaya, P. J. Chmielewski, T. Lis, J. Cybińska, M. Stępień, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, 58, 4929-4933; *Angew. Chem.* 2019, 131, 4983-4987; b) K. Kato, A. Osuka, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, 26, 10217-10221; c) Y. Zhu, X. Guo, Y. Li, J. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2019, 141, 5511-5517; d) T. Hosokawa, Y. Takahashi, T. Matsushima, S. Watanabe, S. Kikkawa, I. Azumaya, A. Tsurusaki, K. Kamikawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, 139, 18512-18521.
- [42] N. J. Schuster, L. A. Joyce, D. W. Paley, F. Ng, M. L. Steigerwald, C. Nuckolls, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 7066-7074.
- [43] B. Liu, M. Böckmann, W. Jiang, N. L. Doltsinis, Z. Wang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 7092-7099.
- [44] R. H. Martin, M. J. Marchant, *Tetrahedron* **1974**, *30*, 347-349.
- [45] C. Goedicke, H. Stegemeyer, Tetrahedron Lett. 1970, 937-940.
- [46] K. Kato, Y. Segawa, K. Itami, Synlett, 2019, 30, 370-377.

7

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Entry for the Table of Contents



Configurationally stable and flexible organoboron helicenes consisting of either all-*ortho*-fused or a combination of angularly and linearly fused rings were synthesized by a modular approach from small achiral building blocks. A concept towards extended organoboron helices comprising both types of helically chiral compounds as primary substructures is presented.