Practical and Highly Selective C–H Functionalization of Structurally Diverse Ethers**

Miao Wan, Zhilin Meng, Hongxiang Lou, and Lei Liu*

Abstract: A trityl ion mediated C-H functionalization of ethers with a wide range of nucleophiles at ambient temperature has been developed. The reaction displays high chemoselectivity and good functional group tolerance. The protocol also exhibits excellent regio- and diastereoselectivities for the unsymmetric ethers, thus stereoselectively generating highly functionalized disubstituted 2,5-trans tetrahydrofurans (THF), 2,6-trans tetrahydropyrans (THP), 2,6-trans dihydropyrans (DHP), and 1,3-trans isochromans, and highlighting the capacity of the protocol in complex molecule synthesis.

there are one of the most common structural motifs spread across bioactive natural products and synthetic pharmaceuticals (Figure 1).^[1] Over 20% of the top 200 small-molecule



Figure 1. Representative bioactive molecules containing α -functionalized ethers.

pharmaceuticals and 75 % of new chemical entities contain at least one α -substituted ether moiety.^[1c] Therefore, the availability of efficient methods for the synthesis of structurally diverse α -substituted ethers is vital to the discovery of biologically interesting agents.

[*] M. Wan,^[+] Z. Meng,^[+] Prof. H. Lou, Prof. L. Liu Key Lab of Chemical Biology of Ministry of Education School of Pharmaceutical Sciences Shandong University, Jinan 250012 (China) E-mail: leiliu@sdu.edu.cn formation of pre-existing functional groups such as heteroatoms and unsaturation in Williamson ether synthesis, hydroalkoxylation, Lewis acid mediated nucleophilic substituent of acetals, etc.^[2] While the traditional methods are efficient, multiple and unproductive steps are usually involved for reactive functionality incorporation.^[3] Moreover, the α -substituent is customarily installed early in the synthesis, and thus the starting materials are often dissimilar from the targets. Therefore, during the preparation of a series of compounds, multiple and distinct de novo sequences are required for each derivative. In contrast, selective and direct C(sp³)-H functionalization of ethers with different carbon nucleophiles provides a straightforward approach to access multiple analogues from a common structural precursor by a structural-core diversification strategy.^[4] The C-H functionalization of ethers has attracted great interest since the pioneering studies of Li and co-workers, and a number of oxidation systems have been developed.^[5] However, the approaches lack broad generality. The scope is narrow, with the substrate largely restricted to activated benzyl ethers like isochroman derivatives. The functionalization of saturated ethers proved to be much more challenging, which might be ascribed to their inherent low reactivity.^[6,7] The existing oxidation systems typically required high temperature, neat conditions, and long reaction times. Therefore, poor regio- and diastereoselectivity are always observed during the oxidation of unsymmetric ethers. The neat conditions call for a large excess of ether substrates as the solvent, lacks atom-economy, and limits the application in the late-stage synthesis of complex molecules because of the inaccessibility to solvent-scaled advanced ether intermediates. Moreover, each known method only focused on a single class of the nucleophile, and therefore, the integrated pattern of functionalities in the α -position is narrow. Therefore, the development of a mild and selective approach for direct C-H functionalization of a variety of ethers with a wide range of nucleophiles is highly desired.

 α -Substituted ether synthesis typically relies on the trans-

Trityl ions have long been known to mediate the oxidation of oxygen-containing substrates.^[8] For example, Ph_3CBF_4 was used to promote the deprotection of benzyl ethers,^[8a] and induce the oxidation of trimethylsilyl ethers to ketones.^[8b] Ph_3CBF_4 can also facilitate the C–H functionalization of acetal 1,3-dioxolane with MeLi or TMSCN in two steps, with the formation of the isolable 1,3-dioxolan-2-ylium cation as the first step.^[8c,d] However, to the best of our knowledge, trityl ions have not been used to initiate the oxidative coupling of ethers with carbon nucleophiles to date.

Inspired by the predictable reactivity patterns and functional diversity of organoboranes, the coupling of the tetrahydrofuran (THF; 1a) with the potassium trifluorobo-

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-6

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library

^{[&}lt;sup>+</sup>] These authors contributed equally to this work.

^[**] We are grateful to Dr. Paul E. Floreancig (University of Pittsburgh) and Dr. Armin R. Ofial (University of Munich) for helpful suggestion on the mechanism. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China (21202093, 21472112, and 21432003), the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-13-0346), and the Fundamental Research Funds of Shandong University (2014JC005).

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201407083.

Angewandte Communications

Table 1: The exploration of trityl ion :	source. ^{[a}
--	-----------------------

	Grand H + Ph BF3K -	$\frac{\text{trityl ion}}{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, \text{RT}} \qquad \bigcirc \qquad \bigcirc$	Ph
	1a 2a	За	
Entry	Trityl ion	Time [h]	Yield [%] ^{[b}
1	Ph₃CBF₄	1	31
2	Ph₃CClO₄	1	42
3	Ph ₃ CX ^[c]	1	< 20
4	Ph₃CCI/TMSOTf	1	20
5	Ph ₃ CCl/FeCl ₃	1	50
6	Ph ₃ CCl/InBr ₃	1	75
7	Ph ₃ CCI/LA ^[d]	1	< 40
8	Ph ₃ CCl/GaCl ₃	1	92
9	GaCl ₃ or Ph ₃ CCl	24	0
10	Ph ₃ CClO ₄ /GaCl ₃	1	43
11	(m-F)Ph ₃ CCl/GaCl ₃	1	76
12	(p-Me) Ph ₃ CCl/GaCl ₃	1	81
13	(p-OMe)Ph ₃ CCl/GaCl ₃	1	21
14	Ph ₂ CHCl/GaCl ₃	24	0

[a] The reaction was conducted with **1a** (4 mmol), **2a** (0.2 mmol), and oxidant (0.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) at RT. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Ph₃COTf, Ph₃CSbCl₆, and Ph₃CPF₆ used. [d] Other Lewis acids: TiCl₄, AlCl₃, InCl₃, Yb(OTf)₃, Sc(OTf)₃, Cu(OTf)₂, and BF₃·OEt₂. LA = Lewis acid, Tf=trifluoromethanesulfonyl, TMS=trimethylsilyl.

rate 2a was selected as a model reaction for the optimization.^[9] Initially, several commonly employed pre-prepared trityl salts were examined in CH₂Cl₂ at room temperature (Table 1, entries 1-3). The different efficiency observed for these oxidants prompted us to further explore the counter-ion effect on the coupling. Accordingly, a number of trityl salts were examined by mixing Ph₃CCl (1.0 equiv) with a variety of Lewis acids (1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (entries 4-8; see also Table S1 in the Supporting Information). Delightedly, GaCl₃ was finally found to be the optimal choice, with the desired 3a isolated in 92% yield (entry 8). No reaction took place if GaCl₃ or Ph₃CCl alone was used (entry 9). The combination of Ph₃CClO₄ with GaCl₃ did not give any improvement (entries 2 and 10). Then electronically varied trityl chlorides together with benzhvdrvlium ion were studied, and Ph₃CCl proved to be the best candidate (entries 10–14).

The reaction proved general for a broad range of structurally and electronically varied potassium alkynyltrifluoroborates in high efficiency (3a and 3d-k; Scheme 1). The desired product was not detected when either the boronic acid 2b or boronate ester 2c was used, and might be ascribed to their reduced nucleophilicity compared with 2a or the incompatibility towards the reaction conditions.^[2d,9] Alkenyl trifluoroborate salts (21 and 2m) are suitable coupling partners to deliver the desired vinyl THFs in good yields with olefin geometry highly conserved. The arylation of 1a also proceeded smoothly when Ph3CClO4 was used as the oxidant. The reaction is efficient not only for the π -rich aryl (3n and 3o) and heteroaryl borates (3r), but also for π neutral (3p) and π -deficient (3q) arylboranes, though electron-poor 2-pyridinyl borate (3s) failed to give any desired product. The mild reaction possesses excellent functionalgroup compatibility, with halogens (3d and 3e) and benzyl ether (3k) well-tolerated for further manipulations.



Scheme 1. Scope of organoboranes. Reaction conditions: **1 a** (4 mmol), **2** (0.2 mmol), Ph₃CCl (0.1 mmol), and GaCl₃ (0.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) at RT in 2 h. [a] (Phenylethynyl)boronic acid used. [b] Diethyl (phenylethynyl)boronate used. [c] (*p*-MeO)Ph₃CCl and GaCl₃ used. [d] Ph₃CClO₄ in CH₃CN at 60 °C. [e] Ph₃CClO₄ in CH₃CN at 80 °C. [f] Ph₃CClO₄ without solvent at 100 °C.



Scheme 2. Scope of heteroatom-containing components. Reaction conditions: **4** (0.1 mmol), **2** (0.2 mmol), Ph_3CCI (0.1 mmol), and $GaCl_3$ (0.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) at 0 °C or RT in 1 h. [a] 5–20 equiv of ether employed. [b] Ph_3CCIO_4 as oxidant. [c] Ph_3CBF_4 as oxidant. [d] (*m*-F)₂Ph₃CCI and $GaCl_3$ as oxidant.

With the expansive scope of organoboranes in hand, we next explored the scope with respect to the ether (Scheme 2). Besides THF, commonly encountered saturated cyclic ethers including tetrahydrothiophene (5b), THP (5c), oxepane (5d), and 2,2-disubstituted THF (5e) were well compatible with the reaction. Cyclic benzyl ethers like isochroman (5 f), phthalan (5g), and benzoxathiole (5h) reacted smoothly with 2a. The reaction of cyclic allyl ether 2H-chromene (5i) was highly regioselective, thus delivering predominantly the C2-addition products, and no C4 addition or double addition was observed. Acyclic ethers also proved to be competent substrates, with $Et_2O(5j)$ and $nBu_2O(5k)$ well tolerated. Alkoxy aryl ethers, the core scaffold of a large number of synthetic drugs like Duloxetine and Atomoxetine,^[1c] were also explored. While anisole failed to yield 51, ethoxybenzene delivered 5m in modest yield. Acyclic benzyl ether (5n) was not compatible with the reaction. Besides the ether candidates, the scope was expanded to amines (50-q) and saturated carbamates (5r-s).

Selective functionalization of non-equivalent C–H bonds in saturated ethers with high regio- and diastereoselectivity by bimolecular C–C bond formation has not been well established probably because of the harsh reaction conditions.^[10]

www.angewandte.org

2

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



Scheme 3. Studies on the regio- and diastereoselectivity. Reaction conditions: ether **6d–I** (0.1 mmol), nucleophile (0.2 mmol), and oxidant (0.1 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1.0 mL) in 1 h. **6a–c** (20 equiv). TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl.

The mild reaction conditions prompted us to evaluate the regio- and diastereoselectivity for unsymmetric ethers (Scheme 3). 2-Methyl THF (6a) reacted smoothly with 2a to yield 7a in 73% yield with overwhelming selectivity at the less sterically hindered secondary C-H bond. With (p-Me)₂Ph₃CCl as the trityl ion source the best diastereocontrol (3:1) was obtained. The similar site selectivity was also observed for the 2-alkvl THP **6b**, thus affording *trans*-**7b** as the single diastereomer. The chemoselectivity advantages for secondary C-H bond oxidation might be ascribed to the increased steric accessibility compared to tertiary C-H bonds. The site-selectivity between primary and secondary C-H bonds was also evaluated, as demonstrated by the reaction of methyl butyl ether (6c), and 7c was isolated as a single regioisomer. Such selectivity for the secondary C-H bond oxidation might arise from the greater electron-rich character compared to primary C-H bonds. Another possibility which cannot be excluded is that the carbocation 8 formed by primary C-H bond oxidation might collapse before the addition of 2a.

The electronic effect on the site-selectivity is also obvious, with excellent selectivity at the electron-rich allyl methylene site (Scheme 3). 3,6-dihydropyran (3,6-DHP; 6d) and 2,3,4,7-tetrahydrooxepine (6e) participated in the coupling efficiently, thus yielding the corresponding products 7d–g as a single regioisomer. The reaction of 6h displayed excellent yields, thus affording the *trans*-2,6-disubstituted DHP 7h as

a single diastereomer. Such structure was routinely accessed through Ferrier reaction employing an allylic acetate or tosylate as the nucleofuge, whose synthesis usually suffered from extra steps.^[11] Considering that trans-2,6-disubstituted THP or DHP is the core unit within a multitude of biologically active natural products, DHPs bearing commonly encountered functional groups like the aryl ring 6i, acidsensitive silvl ether 6j, and electrophilic acetate 6k were studied to demonstrate the synthetic potential of the practical method. Notably, allyl trimethylsilane proved to be compatible with the system to give the *trans*-DHPs 7i-k as the single diastereomer, thus highlighting the capacity of the protocol in creating unique and useful chemoselectivity patterns in highly functionalized molecules. Indeed 7j is the real synthetic intermediate leading to the synthesis of natural products swinholide A.^[11b] The efficiency dropped for the substrates bearing electron-withdrawing moieties, probably because of the inductive deactivation effect on the cation intermediates. Such excellent trans selectivity was also observed for 3-methyl isochroman (61) when Ph₃CClO₄ was employed.^[51] While no single set of trityl ion was applicable to all of the substrates studied, an optimal set could be identified for each substrate according to an understanding of the reactivities of substrates and trityl ions. Equivalents of unsaturated substrates sufficed for the reaction, but excess of saturated ones were always required. While the origin of the difference has not been elucidated, the volatility and reactivity of the substrate together with the stability of the formed carbocation intermediate might contribute to the observation.^[12f, 13c]

Trityl ion mediated cross-dehydrogenative coupling (CDC) with C-H reagents was next explored (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. The CDC reaction of THF and phenylacetylene.

While acetophenone (9a) only afforded trace amounts of the desired 10a, the alkyne 9b proved to be a good partner, thus giving desired 3a and hydrated 10a in a total yield of 81%. Accordingly, 10a was uniquely achieved in 75% yield through CDC and a simple hydration process in one pot.

The trityl ion is typically recognized to act as a hydride acceptor or one-electron oxidant in the C–H oxidation process (Figure 2).^[12] Given the different oxidation potentials, the mechanisms for saturated and unsaturated ethers will be discussed independently. With respect to the saturated ether, THF showed an intermolecular KIE of 2.9, thus suggesting the C–H cleavage involved in the rate-determining step. Judging from the oxidation potential of THF ($E^{\circ}_{red} = 0.19 \text{ V}$ vs SCE),^[14] single-electron transfer (SET) from THF to Ph₃C⁺ is highly endergonic, with a minimum barrier of 222 kJ mol⁻¹ at 25°C, and a maximum second-order rate constant of 5.3 ×

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1-6

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

einheim www.angewandte.org These are not the final page numbers!





Figure 2. Mechanistic studies for Ph₃C⁺-mediated ether oxidation.

 $10^{-27} \mbox{\sc m}^{-1} \mbox{\sc s}^{-1}. \begin{tabular}{c} \end{tabular}^{10} \end{tabular}^{10} \end{tabular}^{10} \mbox{\sc m}^{-1} \mbox{\sc s}^{15]} \end{tabular}$ Such a number is much smaller than the experimentally determined second-order rate constant for the oxidation of THF by Ph_3C^+ (6.3 × 10⁻³ M⁻¹ s⁻¹),^[12d] and therefore the SET pathway might be ruled out for THF and other saturated ethers having a relatively high oxidation potential. With respect to unsaturated ethers with lower oxidation potential, several KIE experiments were conducted for evidence. Firstly, the intermolecular KIE (2.0) for isochroman 4f is lower than that for the intramolecular one (4.4), thus implying that a reactive intermediate is formed prior to C-H cleavage, and its formation is not rapidly reversible.^[16,17] Secondly, the more reactive substrate displays a lower intramolecular KIE than the less reactive one (2.8 for 4t versus 4.4 for 4f versus 5.0 for 4u). This trend implies that bond cleavage is easier for ethers reacting more quickly, and is opposite to DDQ-induced C-H cleavage initiated by an SET process.^[16c, 18] However, the phenomenon could be well explained by a direct hydride abstraction process.^[16] Therefore SET pathway might also be ruled out for 4f and other unsaturated ethers with a relatively low oxidation potential. The coupling of either THF or **4f** was not affected by 1 equivalent of either the radical-trapping reagent TEMPO or BHT, thus indicating that the radical intermediate might not be involved in the reaction.^[6a,c,7b-e] Therefore, we envision that the reaction proceeds through an irreversible hydride abstraction to afford the cation 5 for subsequent nucleophilic addition.^[8e]

In conclusion, a method to achieve the direct construction of diverse α -functionalized ethers using readily available trityl ion has been developed. The reaction proceeds under ambient temperature with excellent chemoselectivity, and exhibits a broad scope with respect to both the ether and nucleophile components with high functional-group tolerance. The ability to tune reactivity of the trityl ion in a rational manner endows the protocol with excellent regio- and diastereoselectivity for the unsymmetric ethers, thus stereoselectively yielding highly functionalized disubstituted 2,5-*trans* THF, 2,6-*trans* THP and DHP, and 1,3-*trans* isochroman moieties. The practical and selective protocol outlined herein will not only provide a straightforward approach to synthesize complex molecules of biological relevance, but also allow facile and rapid access to series of multiple compounds by a structural-core diversification strategy to discover novel biologically interesting agents.

Received: July 10, 2014 Revised: August 31, 2014 Published online:

Keywords: boron \cdot C-H functionalization \cdot ethers \cdot Lewis acid \cdot regioselectivity

- a) E. J. Kang, E. Lee, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 4348; b) T. Nakata, *Chem. Rev.* 2005, 105, 4314; c) S. D. Roughley, A. M. Jordan, *J. Med. Chem.* 2011, 54, 3451.
- [2] a) A. W. Williamson, J. Chem. Soc. 1852, 4, 229; b) J. P. Wolfe, M. B. Hay, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 261; c) I. Larrosa, P. Romea, F. Urpí, *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 2683; d) C.-V. T. Vo, T. A. Mitchell, J. W. Bode, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 14082; e) S. E. Reisman, A. G. Doyle, E. N. Jacobsen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 7198; f) P. N. Moquist, T. Kodama, S. E. Schaus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7096; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 7250.
- [3] a) B. M. Trost, Acc. Chem. Res. 2002, 35, 695; b) P. A. Wender,
 V. A. Verma, T. J. Paxton, T. H. Pillow, Acc. Chem. Res. 2008, 41, 40.
- [4] a) K. Godula, D. Sames, Science 2006, 312, 67; b) C. J. Li, Acc. Chem. Res. 2009, 42, 335; c) S. A. Girard, T. Knauber, C. J. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 74; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 76; d) C. Liu, H. Zhang, W. Shi, A. Lei, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1780; e) S. Y. Zhang, F. M. Zhang, Y. Q. Tu, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 1937; f) C. L. Sun, B. J. Li, Z. J. Shi, Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 1293.
- [5] a) Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 4242; b) W. Muramatsu, K. Nakano, C. J. Li, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3650; c) Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 1949; Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 1983; d) C. A. Correia, C. J. Li, Heterocycles 2010, 82, 555; e) M. Ghobrial, K. Harhammer, M. D. Mihovilovic, M. Schnürch, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8836; f) H. Richter, R. Rohlmann, O. G. Mancheño, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 11622; g) B. Zhang, Y. Cui, N. Jiao, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 4498; h) Á. Pintér, A. Sud, D. Sureshkumar, M. Klussmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5004; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5124; i) B. Schweitzer-Chaput, A. Sud, Á. Pintér, S. Dehn, P. Schulze, M. Klussmann, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 13228; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 13470; j) Z. Li, H. Li, X. Guo, L. Cao, R. Yu, H. Li, S. Pan, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 803; k) D. J. Clausen, P.E. Floreancig, J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 6574; l) Y. Xu, D. T. Kohlman, S. X. Liang, C. Erikkson, Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 1599; m) X. Liu, B. Sun, Z. Xie, X. Qin, L. Liu, H. Lou, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 3104; n) X. Pan, Q. Hu, W. Chen, X. Liu, B. Sun, Z. Huang, Z. Zeng, L. Wang, D. Zhao, M. Ji, L. Liu, H. Lou, Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 3447; o) Z. Meng, S. Sun, H. Yuan, H. Lou, L. Liu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 543; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126. 553.
- [6] C-O bond formation: a) L. Chen, E. Shi, Z. Liu, S. Chen, W. Wei, H. Li, K. Xu, X. Wan, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 4085; b) G. S. Kumar, B. Pieber, K. R. Reddy, C. O. Kappe, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 6124; c) S. Pan, J. Liu, H. Li, Z. Wang, X. Guo, Z. Li, *Org. Lett.* **2010**, *12*, 1932.
- [7] C-C bond formation: a) Z. Li, R. Yu, H. Li, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7497; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 7607; b) D. Liu, C. Liu, H. Li, A. Lei, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4453; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 4549; c) D. Liu, C. Liu, H. Li, A. Lei, Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 3623; d) T. He, L. Yu, L. Zhang, L. Wang, M. Wang, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5016; e) X. F. Huang, Z. Q. Zhu, Z. Z. Huang, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8579; f) X. Guo, S. Pan, J. Liu, Z. Li, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 8848; g) P. P. Singh, S. Gudup, H.

www.angewandte.org

© 2014 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

Aruri, U. Singh, S. Ambala, M. Yadav, S. D. Sawant, R. A. Vishwakarma, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 1587; h) S. Kamijo,
T. Hoshikawa, M. Inoue, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5928; i) T. Hoshikawa, S. Kamijo, M. Inoue, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2013, 11, 164; j) J. Gong, P. L. Fuchs, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 4486; k) Y. Zhang, C. J. Li, Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 7581; l) Z. Xie, Y. Cai, H. Hu, C. Lin, J. Jiang, Z. Chen, L. Wang, Y. Pan, Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4600.

- [8] a) T. R. Hoye, A. J. Caruso, J. F. Dellaria, Jr., M. J. Kurth, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 6704; b) M. E. Jung, L. M. Speltz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 7882; c) T. Mukaiyama, Y. Hayashi, Y. Hashimoto, Chem. Lett. 1986, 1627; d) Y. Hayashi, K. Wariishi, T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett. 1987, 1243; e) R. Damico, C. Broaddus, J. Org. Chem. 1966, 31, 1607; f) D. H. R. Barton, P. D. Magnus, G. Smith, G. Streckert, D. Zurr, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1972, 542.
- [9] a) H. Kim, D. W. C. MacMillan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 398; b) D. K. Nielsen, A. G. Doyle, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 6056; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 6180; c) Y. Fujiwara, V. Domingo, I. B. Seiple, R. Gianatassio, M. Del Bel, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 3292; d) Z. Xie, L. Liu, W. Chen, H. Zheng, Q. Xu, H. Yuan, H. Lou, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3904; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3985.
- [10] P. A. Wender, M. K. Hilinski, A. V. W. Mayweg, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 79.
- [11] a) R. J. Ferrier, *Top. Curr. Chem.* 2001, 215, 153; b) R. Kartika, J. D. Frein, R. E. Taylor, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 5592 and references therein.

- [12] a) N. G. Connelly, W. E. Geiger, *Chem. Rev.* 1996, 96, 877; b) S. Fukuzumi, T. Kitano, M. Ishikawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990, 112, 5631; c) H. Mayr, N. Basso, G. Hagen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1992, 114, 3060; d) M. Horn, L. H. Schappele, G. Lang-Wittkowski, H. Mayr, A. R. Ofial, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 249; e) J. M. Allen, T. H. Lambert, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2011, 133, 1260; f) H. Meerwein, V. Hederich, H. Morschel, K. Wunderlich, *Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1960, 635, 1 and references therein.
- [13] a) J. Yoshida, K. Nishiwaki, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1998, 2589; b) X. Zhang, J. K. Pugh, P. N. Ross, J. Electrochem. Soc. 2001, 148, 183–188; c) S. A. Campbell, C. Bowes, R. S. McMillan, J. Electroanal. Chem. 1990, 284, 195–204.
- [14] a) H. Volz, W. Lotsch, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1969**, *27*, 2275; b) M. R. Wasielewski, R. Breslow, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1976**, *98*, 4222.
- [15] A. R. Ofial, K. Ohkubo, S. Fukuzumi, R. Lucius, H. Mayr, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10906.
- [16] a) D. R. Anderson, N. C. Faibish, P. Beak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 7553; b) J. Shearer, C. X. Zhang, L. Q. Hatcher, K. D. Karlin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12670; c) H. H. Jung, P. E. Floreancig, Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 10830.
- [17] S. Słomkowski, S. Penczek, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2 1974, 1718.
- [18] a) R. J. Sundberg, P. J. Hunt, P. Desos, K. G. Gadamasetti, *J. Org. Chem.* 1991, 56, 1689; b) D. Buckley, S. Dunstan, H. B. Henbest, *J. Chem. Soc.* 1957, 4880.



Communications

C–H functionalization

M. Wan, Z. Meng, H. Lou, L. Liu* ______ **IIII - IIII**

Practical and Highly Selective C-H Functionalization of Structurally Diverse Ethers A practical trityl ion mediated C–H functionalization of ethers has been disclosed. The reaction proceeds at ambient temperature with high chemoselectivity and good functional-group tolerance. The protocol displays excellent regio- and

carbon nucleophile Nu-BF $_3$ K or Nu-SiR $_3$ or Nu-H

Ar₃CCI, GaCl₃, 0 °C or RT

Nu = aryl, vinyl, alkynyl, allyl, alkyl

X = 0, S, NR

diastereoselectivities for unsymmetric ethers, thus stereoselectively yielding highly functionalized *trans*-disubstituted tetrahydrofuran, tetrahydropyran, dihydropyran, and isochroman moieties.

46 examples

These are not the final page numbers!