

Thiol-Selective Fluorogenic Probes for Labeling and Release

Vu Hong, Alexander A. Kislukhin, and M. G. Finn*

Department of Chemistry and The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology, The Scripps Research Institute, 10550 North Torrey Pines Road, La Jolla, California 92037

Received November 30, 2008; E-mail: mgfinn@scripps.edu

Abstract: Thiol alkylation is a powerful technique for the labeling of proteins. We report a new class of highly reactive, selective, and fluorogenic probes for thiols in aqueous solution at neutral pH, based on the 7-oxanorbornadiene (OND) framework. The maleate moiety in 7-oxabicyclo[2.2.1]hept-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylic acid esters serves as both a tunable electrophile and an intramolecular quencher of an attached dansyl fluorophore. Thiols have been found to add with high rates (second-order rate constants of 40–200 M⁻¹ s⁻¹) to give adducts that exhibit enhancements of fluorescence intensity up to 180-fold. The resulting adducts are also versatile with respect to cleavage (release) reactions by two mechanisms. First, retro-Diels–Alder fragmentation occurs with half-lives from days to weeks at room temperature, and an epoxide derivative is also reported that is incapable of cycloreversion cleavage. Second, monoamide OND derivatives undergo rapid closure to succinimides upon thiol addition, providing a thiol-triggered mechanism for immediate alcohol release. Peptides and proteins containing free thiol groups were labeled with OND electrophiles with high chemoselectivity. Since the system is so easily assembled from readily accessible modules, various functional groups can be added to OND linkers to allow the attachment of other molecules of interest.

Introduction

The unique nucleophilicity of the thiol group makes cysteine side chains a popular site for selective bond formation to proteins. The alkylating agents normally used for such reactions are haloacetamides, maleimides, benzylic halides, β -chloroacrylamides, bromomethylketones, and epoxides, with the first two being the most popular.¹ However, these agents are often not optimal in terms of selectivity, stability, or synthetic accessibility. Haloacetamides can react with other nucleophilic residues of proteins, such as N-terminal amine, lysine, methionine, histidine, and tyrosine, in addition to cysteine thiol.² Maleimides are preferred in this regard, since they are more reactive with thiols^{3,4} and more selective with respect to other nucleophiles.⁴ It is commonly assumed that the relative reactivity of maleimides for thiol over amine is on the order of 1000:1 at pH 7, although we have observed less selective examples,⁵ and cross-linking with amines can occur.⁶ Maleimide synthesis can be challenging in some cases,^{7–10} and the deactivation of haloacetamides and maleimides by water (giving α -hydroxy-

amides and ring-opened amic acids, respectively) can compete significantly with thiol modification, particularly above pH 8.^{11–13}

For these reasons, the development of selective thiol-reactive linkers is a subject of much current interest, especially systems that provide an optical signal upon conjugation. The fluorogenic bromobimanes^{14–17} are popular as analytical reagents for identifying cellular thiols and metabolites. Chromogenic vinylic sulfones,¹⁸ dye-maleimides,¹⁹ and cyanine-type linker electrophiles²⁰ have been recently described, all of them highly reactive and selective. Michael acceptors generated *in situ* via Knoevenagel condensation have also been elegantly used to modify thiols, serving as convenient photocleavable linkages or protect-

- (1) Hermanson, G. T. *Bioconjugate Techniques*, Second ed.; Academic Press: San Diego, CA, 2008.
- (2) Jullien, M.; Garel, J. R. *Biochemistry* **1981**, *20*, 7021–7026.
- (3) Schelté, P.; Boeckler, C.; Frisch, B.; Schubert, F. *Bioconjugate Chem.* **2000**, *11*, 118–123.
- (4) Vanderhooft, J. L.; Mann, B. K.; Prestwich, G. D. *Biomacromolecules* **2007**, *8*, 2883–2889.
- (5) When performing thiol alkylation reactions on cowpea mosaic virus capsids bearing surface cysteine residues, we have observed as much as 25% reactivity with lysines having diminished pK_a values by virtue of their unique microenvironment. The assumption of high thiol selectivity for maleimides may therefore be invalid on occasion.
- (6) Ishii, Y.; Lehrer, S. S. *Biophys. J.* **1986**, *50*, 75–80.
- (7) Keller, O.; Rudinger, J. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1975**, *58*, 531–541.
- (8) Corrie, J. E. T. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* **1994**, 2975–2982, and references therein.

- (9) Weh, J.; Duerkop, A.; Wolfbeis, O. S. *ChemBioChem* **2007**, *8*, 122–128.
- (10) Lu, Z. K.; Weber, R.; Twieg, R. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, *47*, 7213–7217.
- (11) Machida, M.; Machida, M. I.; Kanaoka, Y. *Chem. Pharm. Bull.* **1977**, *25*, 2739–2743.
- (12) Tournier, E. J. M.; Wallach, J.; Blond, P. *Anal. Chim. Acta* **1998**, *36*, 33–44.
- (13) Kalia, J.; Raines, R. T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 6286–6289.
- (14) Kosower, E. M.; Pazhenchevsky, B.; Hershkowitz, E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1978**, *100*, 6516–6518.
- (15) Hulbert, P. B.; Yakubu, S. I. *J. Pharm. Pharmacol.* **1983**, *35*, 384–386.
- (16) Kosower, E. M.; Kosower, N. S. *Methods Enzymol.* **1995**, *251*, 133–148.
- (17) Sen, C. K.; Roy, S.; Packer, L. *Methods Enzymol.* **1999**, *299*, 247–258, and references therein.
- (18) Holler, M.; Sin, H. S.; James, A.; Burger, A.; Tritsch, D.; Biellmann, J.-F. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 2053–2062.
- (19) Matsumoto, T.; Urano, Y.; Shoda, T.; Kojima, H.; Nagano, T. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3375–3377.
- (20) Bouffard, J.; Kim, Y.; Swager, T. M.; Weissleder, R.; Hilderbrand, S. A. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 37–40.




Figure 1. Preparation and reactions of electrophiles **5–9**. Reagents and conditions: (a) Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 3 h, room temp, 96–97%; (b) $\text{R}^2\text{O}_2\text{CC}\equiv\text{CCO}_2\text{R}^2$, neat, 60–80 °C, 2–4 h; (c) NaOH , $\text{THF}/\text{H}_2\text{O}$, 0 °C, 1 h, 80–85%; (d) DMT-MM, THF , room temp, 1 h, 37–43%; (e) MeI , Cs_2CO_3 , DMF , 20 min, 84%.

ing groups.²¹ We describe here a system that is significantly easier to make than maleimides, more stable in aqueous solution, highly reactive with thiols, and which undergoes controllable fragmentation after thiol addition.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Properties of Thiol-Reactive Reagents. It has been previously reported that the attachment of a maleimide group to dye fragments such as dansylamide (5-dimethylamino-1-naphthalenesulfonamide) results in quenching of the chromophore, which is relieved when the π -system of the maleimide is disrupted.^{8,19,22} We applied the same strategy to electron-deficient oxanorbornadienes, which are readily obtained by [4 + 2] cycloaddition of electron-deficient alkynes with furans, and are known to react with thiolates^{23,24} and organic azides,²⁵ much like the alkynes from which they are derived.

Reaction of furfuryl amines **1** and **2** with dansyl chloride gave sulfonamides **3** and **4**, which underwent smooth Diels–Alder cycloaddition with acetylenedicarboxylates to give adducts **5** and **6** (Figure 1). All of the components are inexpensive and the reactions can be performed on gram scale without difficulty. The compounds were characterized by standard methods, and the structure of **5c** was confirmed by X-ray crystallographic analysis. The ester group distal to the sulfonamide was selectively hydrolyzed to monoacids **7**,²⁶ which proved resistant to coupling with several amines and alcohols under the influence of carbodiimide/base reagents. However, 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride (DMT-MM),²⁷ previously employed in a similar setting,²⁸ provided

acceptable yields of propargylamides **8a** and **8b**. Oxanorbornadienes **6** and **9**²⁵ were synthesized in a similar manner. The propargyl substituents of **5c**, **6**, **8a**, and **8b** were chosen to facilitate further functionalization by azide–alkyne “click” cycloaddition,^{29–32} which is compatible with the electrophilic nature of the OND core.

As expected, furans **3** and **4** were highly fluorescent and compounds **5**, **6** and **9** were significantly quenched, presumably due to photoinduced electron transfer from the fluorophore excited state to the LUMO of the maleate moiety of the Diels–Alder adducts, analogous to the quenching mechanism of maleimide–dye pairs.^{22,33} Dansyl fluorescence was restored upon conjugate addition of thiol, resulting in high signal-to-noise ratios for the fluorogenic sensing of thiol nucleophiles (Figure 2 and Table 1).

OND reagents were found to be highly selective for thiols. Compound **5a** was used as a representative electrophile (0.1 mM) in reactions with 1 mM of a selection of potentially nucleophilic amino acids at pH 7 (10% DMSO in 0.1 M phosphate buffer). Lysine and histidine gave a very small (<2%) increase in fluorescence after 60 min relative to the signal generated by thiol within seconds after mixing. The other amino acids, as well as the disulfide cystine, induced even less fluorescence (Figure 3). The subsequent addition of excess thiol to these samples gave rise to an immediate and strong increase in fluorescence, verifying that no reaction had occurred in the presence of nonthiol nucleophiles.

The rates of glutathione (0.11 mM) conjugate addition to OND probes (0.1 mM) were conveniently followed by the increase in fluorescence at 550 nm (Figure 2). Second-order kinetic behavior was observed with rate constants in the range of 40–200 $\text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ at pH 7 (Table 1), comparable to the reported values for the most reactive maleimides.¹² (In a competition

(21) Clarke, K. M.; LaClair, J. J.; Burkart, M. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3709–3711.

(22) Girouard, S.; Houle, M. H.; Grandbois, A.; Keillor, J. W.; Michnick, S. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 559–566.

(23) Albert, S.; Soret, A.; Blanco, L.; Deloisy, S. *Tetrahedron* **2007**, *63*, 2888–2900.

(24) Mahajna, M.; Quistad, G. B.; Casida, J. E. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1996**, *9*, 241–246.

(25) Van Berkel, S. S.; Dirks, A. J.; Debets, M. F.; van Delft, F. L.; Cornelissen, J. J. L. M.; Nolte, R. J. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *ChemBioChem* **2007**, *8*, 1504–1508.

(26) Niwayama, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2000**, *65*, 5834–5836.

(27) Kunishima, M.; Kawachi, C.; Morita, J.; Terao, K.; Iwasaki, F.; Tani, S. *Tetrahedron* **1999**, *55*, 13159–13170.

(28) Baran, P. S.; Li, K.; O'Malley, D. R.; Mitsos, C. *Angew. Chem., Int. Edit.* **2006**, *45*, 249–252.

(29) Kolb, H. C.; Finn, M. G.; Sharpless, K. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 2004–2021.

(30) Rostovtsev, V. V.; Green, L. G.; Fokin, V. V.; Sharpless, K. B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2596–2599.

(31) Tornøe, C. W.; Christensen, C.; Meldal, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, *67*, 3057–3062.

(32) Rodionov, V. O.; Presolski, S.; Gardinier, S.; Lim, Y.-H.; Finn, M. G. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12696–12704.

(33) Guy, J.; Caron, K.; Dufresne, S.; Michnick, S. W.; Skene, W. G.; Keillor, J. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 11969–11977.




Figure 2. Excitation and emission spectra of **5a** (100 μM) treated with glutathione at the indicated concentrations (0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7, containing 1% DMSO). Intensity (y-axis) is plotted in arbitrary units.

for limiting glutathione, **5a** and *N*-ethylmaleimide were found to be equally reactive; see Supporting Information.) Methyl, ethyl, and propargyl ester substituents, having similar steric and electronic properties, did not change thiol reactivities very much (propargyl **5c** > methyl **5a** > ethyl **5b**, but within a factor of 3 for the series), and the installation of a bridgehead methyl group was inhibitory (**6** vs **5c**). A single amide group had little influence, with compounds **8a** and **8b** being as reactive toward thiol as their ester analogues **5a** and **5b**. Thus, additional functional groups such as alkyne can be introduced via an amide linkage without sacrificing the desired electrophilic reactivity of the OND core.

OND adducts may lose activity by conjugate addition of water or by hydrolysis of a conjugated ester group to the less electron-deficient carboxylate anion. (Carboxylic acid derivative **7c** was by far the most sluggish electrophile in the series, being nearly 600 times less reactive with glutathione than its propargyl ester precursor **5c**.) To gauge OND stability toward such deactivation, each compound was incubated in aqueous buffer and the amount remaining in solution was determined by HPLC as a function of time (Table 1). The observed trends were also reproduced by a different method, in which aliquots were removed and fluorogenic signals measured after addition of excess glutathione, compared to the same treatment of freshly prepared solutions (data not shown). Methyl and ethyl diesters **5a** and **5b** were found to be significantly more stable (half-lives in excess of 9 days at room temperature and pH 7) than propargyl esters **5c** and **6** (half-lives of 2–3 days), which are somewhat more electron-deficient. Both methylation of the sulfonamide nitrogen (Me-**5a**) and epoxidation of the electron-rich double bond (epoxy-**5a**) made the electrophile moderately more sensitive to decomposition (half-lives of 5.6 and 3.2 days vs 9.3 days for **5a**), without appreciably changing reactivity with thiol. As would be expected from the diminished electron-withdrawing power of carboxylate anions and amides relative to esters, **7c**, **8a**, and **8b** were also very stable toward aqueous deactivation at pH 7. The stable members of the OND family are therefore longer lived in water than most maleimides,^{11,12} an example (**5a** vs *N*-ethylmaleimide) is shown in Supporting Information. Trifluoromethyl derivative **9**, similar to ONDs previously used

for reactions with organic azides,^{25,34} was the least stable electrophile tested.³⁵

The rates of thiol addition to the OND electrophiles and their aqueous decomposition were therefore not directly proportional to each other (Table 1). With the exception of **7c**, the OND compounds all reacted with glutathione at similar rates, but differed widely in their aqueous phase stabilities. Those reagents that are highly reactive toward thiols while being most stable toward decomposition in aqueous buffers are the most useful; **5b/8b** and **5a/8a** fit these criteria best. The difference in reactivity between **5c** and **6** is also instructive. The introduction of a methyl group at the furan bridgehead (adjacent to the site of thiol attack) strongly inhibited reaction with glutathione but did not change the stability of the electrophile very much. This suggests that decomposition may not occur by a similar conjugate addition of water; the mechanism of this process is under investigation. For practical purposes, all of the OND electrophiles may be used in water or buffer if the aqueous solutions are freshly prepared, and some of these solutions are stable for many days or weeks (Table 1).

Linear pH-log(rate) profiles in aqueous buffers and a strong correlation of rate with solvent polarity in nonaqueous solvents (Supporting Information, Figures S4 and S5) support the assumption that thiolate anions are far more reactive with OND electrophiles than neutral thiols.^{36,37} For this reason, reactions in anhydrous acetonitrile were sluggish even at high millimolar concentrations, but the addition of trace amounts of triethylamine or diisopropylethylamine resulted in very fast reactions signaled by the immediate appearance of strong yellow-green fluorescence. Compound **7c**, bearing a deprotonated carboxylate at pH 7, presented a special case. Its relatively electron-rich core does not engage in photoinduced electron transfer quenching of the fluorophore, so the molecule was highly fluorescent throughout, requiring HPLC of aliquots to determine rate constants for both thiol addition and aqueous decomposition. Its pH-reactivity profile was distinguished by compensating trade-offs between accelerating increases in thiolate concentration at higher pH and deactivating increases in the percentage of OND electrophile in the anionic carboxylate form (see Supporting Information).

Adducts **10a** and **10b**, resulting from *syn*-addition of thiol to the less-hindered site of the electrophile,^{23,24} were isolated as single diastereomers in high yield (Figure 4). Under the same conditions, monoamide derivative **8a** underwent additional ring closure upon thiol addition to give succinimide **13**, without observation of adduct **12**. This process is likely triggered by the conversion of the electrophilic sp^2 centers to sp^3 , making ring closure less strained. It has the additional and very useful feature of inducing the release of an alcohol fragment in response to thiol attack (see below). The identity of **13** was confirmed by standard mass and NMR spectra as well as the presence of cross-peaks between propargyl protons and both

(34) Van Berkel, S. S.; Dirks, A. J.; Meeuwissen, S. A.; Pinggen, D. L. L.; Boerman, O. C.; LaVerma, P.; van Delft, F. L.; Cornelissen, J. J. L. M.; Rutjes, F. P. J. T. *ChemBioChem* **2008**, *9*, 1805–1815.


(35) This elegant report by Cornelissen, Rutjes, and co-workers (ref 25, see also ref 34) describes the azide reactivity of OND derivatives in the context of bioconjugation. The rate of this reaction is far slower than condensation with thiol. For example, we found **9** to engage glutathione with a rate constant of approximately $78 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Table 1, room temperature) and benzyl azide at a rate of $2.3 \times 10^{-3} \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ in methanol (37 °C), the latter in excellent agreement with the value reported in ref 25 for the analogous oxanorbornadiene lacking the dansylaminomethyl substituent.

(36) Schmidt, T. J.; Ak, M.; Mrowietz, U. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2007**, *15*, 333–342.

Table 1. Reactivity, Fluorogenicity, Aqueous Stability, And Adduct Stability of OND Electrophiles

Cpd.	Structure ^a	k_{obs} (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) ^b	fluor. increase ^c	OND half life (days) ^d	Adduct half life (days) ^e
5a		104 ± 3.2	178	9.3 ± 0.3	26.2 ± 0.5
Me-5a ^f		109 ± 6.1	36	5.6 ± 0.2	4.4 ± 0.2
epoxy-5a		99.6 ± 2.9	100	3.2 ± 0.2	no cleavage ^g
5b		63.4 ± 2.4	108	20.6 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 0.1
5c		197 ± 11.3	30	1.8 ± 0.1	1.4 ± 0.1
6		39.9 ± 1.9	12.4	3.2 ± 0.1	20.6 ± 0.1
7c ^h		0.33 ± 0.01	ca. 1	15.2 ± 0.3	not determined
8a		102 ± 3.8	60	9.0 ± 0.2	0.46 ± 0.02 ⁱ
8b		69.2 ± 3.3	60	17.1 ± 0.3	0.45 ± 0.01 ⁱ
9		77.7 ± 5.6	25	1.1 ± 0.1	24.1 ± 0.4

^a R = CH₂NHDansyl. ^b Second-order rate constant for addition of compound to glutathione. ^c Ratio of emission intensity (550 nm, excitation 332 nm) observed 5 min after addition of 1 mM glutathione to 0.1 mM compound, vs the emission intensity before addition, at room temperature. ^d Calculated from the first-order rate constant for the inactivation of the indicated electrophile by incubation of a 0.1 mM solution at room temperature. ^e Calculated from first-order rate constants for the retro Diels–Alder reaction of the β -mercaptoethanol adduct of the indicated compound. ^f R-Me = CH₂NMeDansyl. ^g No cleavage is observed: the adduct maintains a covalent connection between thiol and electrophile fragments while decomposing by route(s) other than retro-Diels–Alder fragmentation, with a half-life of 16.9 ± 0.5 days. The details are being explored and will be described elsewhere. ^h Rates of reaction determined by HPLC of aliquots; see text. ⁱ **8a** and **8b** give the same imide thiol adduct, and so show identical rates of retro-Diels–Alder cleavage. All addition and decomposition measurements were performed in 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 7, containing 10% DMSO.

**Figure 3.** Fluorogenic activity of **5a** with various amino acids. Relative fluorescence intensity of 0.1 mM **5a** (1% DMSO in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7) at 550 nm (λ_{ex} = 332 nm) after incubation with 1 mM analyte (1 min for cysteine and glutathione; 60 min for all others).

carbonyl groups in a ¹H–¹³C HMBC spectrum. Each of these adducts underwent retro-Diels–Alder fragmentation to furan **3** and either thiomaleate **11** or thiomaleimide **14** (Figure 4).^{24,38}

Thiol addition and retro-Diels–Alder fragmentation of electron-deficient oxanorbornadiene adducts has been used as a cleavable linkage strategy for solid-phase synthesis and polymer derivatiza-

tion.^{23,39–42} We measured the cycloreversion rates of the β -mercaptoethanol addition products of most of the OND electrophiles described here (Table 1). Four categories of stability were identified: (a) cleavable but highly stable (thiol adducts of **5a**, **6**, and **9**, half-lives greater than 20 days at room temperature), (b) intermediate in stability (thioethers from **5b** and Me-**5a**, half-lives approximately 4.5 days), (c) rapidly cleaved (adducts from **5c** and **8a/8b**, half-lives 12–36 h), and (d) noncleavable (thioether from epoxy-**5a**, which underwent one or more reactions with half-life of approximately 17 days at room temperature, but without cleaving into two fragments⁴³).

- (37) Khatik, G. L.; Kumar, R.; Chakraborti, A. K. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 2432–2436.
- (38) The reactivity of a representative thiomaleate of structure **11** is discussed in Supporting Information. We are currently exploring the electrophilic and potential thiol cross-linking and exchange capabilities of thiomaleates and thiomaleimide adducts such as **14** and **17**.
- (39) Takaguchi, Y.; Tajima, T.; Ohta, K.; Motoyoshiya, J.; Aoyama, H.; Wakahara, T.; Akasaka, T.; Fujitsuka, M.; Ito, O. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 817–819.
- (40) Chen, X.; Dam, M. A.; Ono, K.; Mal, A.; Shen, H.; Nutt, S. R.; Sheran, K.; Wudl, F. *Science* **2002**, *295*, 1698–1702.
- (41) Gheneim, R.; Perez-Berumen, C.; Gandini, A. *Macromolecules* **2002**, *35*, 7246–7253.
- (42) Keller, K. A.; Guo, J.; Punna, S.; Finn, M. G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2005**, *46*, 1181–1184.
- (43) The synthesis and reactivities of epoxy-**5a** and related compounds will be described in detail elsewhere.




Figure 4. Structure and retro-Diels–Alder decomposition of thiol adducts. (a) 200 mM **5a**, 180 mM nucleophile in CH₃CN, *i*Pr₂NEt (cat.), 5 min, RT, >80% isolated yield; (b) 10% DMSO in aqueous buffer, 20 °C.




Figure 5. Peptide labeling with OND electrophiles.

The thiol adduct **10a** and its dansylsulfonamide *N*-methylated analogue Me-**10a** exhibited a 6-fold difference in retro-Diels–Alder decomposition rate in water (Table 1), with the latter structure being the less stable. This suggests that an intramolecular hydrogen bond between the sulfonamide NH and the adjacent ester group may help to stabilize compounds such as **10a**. The four categories of thioethers each include members that exhibited high rates of thiol addition, so OND systems can be efficiently assembled while being tuned to provide variable rates of release.

Peptide and Protein Labeling. To test the bioconjugation reactivity of representative OND labels, a 1 mM solution of the nonapeptide **15** (KCIRGDTFG*, where G* represents C-terminal *N*-propargylglycine) containing amine, carboxylate, guanidine, and alkyne functional groups in addition to thiol, was mixed with an equimolar amount of **5a**, **8a**, or **8b** in pH 7 buffer containing 10% DMSO (Figure 5). A strong fluorescent signal was generated immediately in each case, reaching completion within 1 min. Analysis by HPLC and MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry of the crude reaction mixtures showed no unreacted starting material (Supporting Information, Figures S6 and S7) and a major product corresponding to the addition of one electrophile in each case, along with some retro-Diels–Alder

fragmentation induced in the MALDI analysis.⁴⁴ When the peptide was pretreated with *N*-ethylmaleimide (1 mM), no reaction was observed with the OND electrophiles, confirming the thiol as the site of reaction.

Treatment of bovine serum albumin (BSA, 1 mg/mL, 15 μM) with 50 μM of reagents **5b**, **8b**, or **16** (derived from **8b**) under mild conditions provided efficient labeling of the single free cysteine residue at position 34 in 2 h as revealed by the appearance of strong dansyl fluorescence in the protein band following denaturing gel electrophoresis (Figure 6). The denaturing protocol had some effect on the intensity of the fluorescent signal, consistent with the expected lability of the adduct. Thus, treatment of the BSA-**5b** conjugate with dithiothreitol (DTT) and urea at 95 °C gave a significantly weaker fluorescent band than a room temperature protocol using the same denaturing reagents (lane 7 vs 3). As observed with their β-mercaptoethanol conjugates (Table 1), the adduct of BSA with **8b** was much less stable than with **5b**, completely losing its fluorescence upon heating due to its faster retro-Diels–Alder fragmentation (lane 6 vs 7). If one places a dye on the electrophilic fragment, however, the label is permanently attached. Thus, when treated with bis(dansyl) OND probe **16**, BSA remained labeled even

(44) Meng, J.-C.; Averbuj, C.; Lewis, W. G.; Siuzdak, G.; Finn, M. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1255–1260.




Figure 6. (Top) SDS-PAGE of BSA (1 mg/mL) labeled with the indicated OND reagents (50 μ M, pH 7.0, 2 h, room temperature), followed by denaturation with DTT and urea at room temperature for 1 h or at 95 $^{\circ}$ C for 10 min, as indicated. The upper images show the gels visualized under ultraviolet irradiation before staining; the lower images show staining with Coomassie blue. (Bottom) Sequence of bond forming and breaking events with electrophile **16**. The thiomaleimide adduct **17** may take on an additional nucleophile such as DTT or protein thiol.³⁸

after denaturation at high temperature. The fluorescence intensity of the protein diminished by approximately one half (lane 5 vs 1) because cycloreversion left one of the two dansyl units attached to the protein (**17**) and allowed the other to diffuse away, as shown.³⁸ A noncleavable linkage was also made with the epoxide derivative epoxy-**5a**. When analyzed after room temperature treatment, both **5a** and epoxy-**5a** showed complete labeling (Figure 6, lanes 9 and 10); in contrast, only epoxy-**5a** provided a heat-stable linkage (lane 12 vs 11).

Conclusions. Oxanorbornadienedicarboxylates (OND), electrophilic adducts of furans and electron-deficient alkynes, have long been known as synthetic intermediates in Diels–Alder/retro-Diels–Alder sequences^{45,46} and more recently as reactive compounds toward cycloaddition^{47–49} and ene reactions.^{28,50,51} Their polar reactivity as Michael acceptors has, with one exception,²³ received little attention. We show here that these readily available compounds provide excellent water stability while retaining rapid, selective, and fluorogenic reactivity toward thiols in small molecules, peptides, and proteins. Among fluoro- and chromogenic thiophiles recently described,^{18–20,52} the OND molecules are notable for their ease of synthesis and tunability in both addition and fragmentation processes. It is envisioned that other dyes with appropriate redox potentials can be used to provide better fluorogenic properties. The utility of these compounds for protein modification *in vitro* and *in vivo*, drug release, and polymer cross-linking is the subject of ongoing research in our laboratory.

Experimental Section

General. Reagents and solvents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification. THF, acetonitrile, diethyl

ether, dichloromethane, and toluene were dried by passage through activated alumina columns.^{53,54} Routine mass spectra were obtained on an Agilent 1100 (G1946D) ESI-MSD instrument, using a standard C₁₈ reversed-phase column eluted with 9:1 CH₃CN/H₂O containing 0.1% CF₃CO₂H. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest MicroLab, LLC. Flash chromatography was performed on 230–400 mesh silica or SINGLE StEP prepacked MPLC columns (Thomson Instrument Company, Oceanside, CA). Dye-containing materials were protected from light by wrapping the reaction and storage glassware in aluminum foil. Reactions of OND reagents with thiols were conducted in air rather than under inert atmosphere; for long-term storage, the exposure of thiol reagents to air was minimized. Disulfide bond formation was not found to be significant under our reaction conditions.

Synthesis. Dipropargyl acetylenedicarboxylate. A slight modification of the published procedure⁵⁵ was used. A suspension of acetylenedicarboxylic acid (5.15 g, 45.1 mmol), propargyl alcohol (5.20 g, 92.7 mmol) and *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (0.5 g) in benzene (50 mL) was refluxed with a Dean–Stark trap for 24 h, collecting approximately 1.8 mL of water. The resulting clear brown mixture was cooled, diluted with diethyl ether (50 mL), and washed with saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate (50 mL) and water (2 $\times$ 50 mL). The organic phase was dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (silica, 10–20% (v/v) ethyl acetate/hexanes) to provide the pure compound as a pale-yellow oil that solidified on storage at +4 $^{\circ}$ C to colorless crystals (4.78 g, 56%, mp 26–29 $^{\circ}$ C). *R*_f 0.56 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). Spectral data was in accordance with the literature.⁵⁶ ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 4.82 (d, 4H, *J* = 2.5), 2.57 (t, 2H, *J* = 2.5). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 150.9, 76.7, 75.8, 74.9, 54.2.

N-Dansylfurfurylamine 3. To a stirred solution of 5-dimethylaminonaphthalenesulfonyl chloride (1.00 g, 3.70 mmol) and triethylamine (1.0 mL, 7.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL) was added a solution of furfurylamine (378 mg, 3.89 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) via syringe under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and poured into 1.0 M pH 7 phosphate buffer (30 mL).

- (45) Grigg, R.; Roffey, P.; Sargent, M. V. *J. Chem. Soc. C* **1967**, 2327.
 (46) Mavougou-Gomes, L. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1967**, 1753–1758.
 (47) Allen, A.; Le Marquand, P.; Burton, R.; Villeneuve, K.; Tam, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 72, 7849–7857.
 (48) Burton, R. R.; Tam, W. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, 72, 7333–7336.
 (49) Burton, R. R.; Tam, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2006**, 47, 7185–7189.
 (50) Choony, N.; Kuhnert, N.; Sammes, P. G.; Smith, G.; Ward, R. W. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **2002**, 1999–2005.
 (51) Choony, N.; Sammes, P. G.; Smith, G.; Ward, R. W. *Chem. Commun.* **2001**, 2062–2063.
 (52) Pires, M. M.; Chmielewski, J. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, 10, 837–840.

- (53) Alaimo, P. J.; Peters, D. W.; Arnold, J.; Bergman, R. G. *J. Chem. Educ.* **2001**, 78, 64.
 (54) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. *Organometallics* **1996**, 15, 1518–1520.
 (55) Tom Dieck, H.; Munz, C.; Muller, C. J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1990**, 384, 243–255.
 (56) Hashmi, A. S. K.; Grundl, M. A.; Nass, A. R.; Naumann, F.; Bats, J. W.; Bolte, M. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2001**, 4705–4732.

The organic phase was separated, dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (silica, 0–25% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the title compound as a yellow-green oil which solidified on storage (1.18 g, 96%). R_f 0.35 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.51 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.22 (m, 2H), 7.57–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.52–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.17 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 7.03 (dd, 1H, $J = 1.8$, 0.9), 6.04 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.2$, 1.8), 5.89 (dd, 1H, $J = 3.2$, 0.9), 4.98 (t, 1H, $J = 6.0$), 4.13 (d, 2H, $J = 6.0$), 2.88 (s, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 152.1, 149.5, 142.4, 134.8, 130.7, 130.0, 129.78, 129.7, 128.6, 123.3, 118.7, 115.3, 110.3, 108.1, 45.6, 40.4. ESI-MS ($\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{18}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{S}$) 331.0, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Note: the crude material may be precipitated by cooling a solution in 1:5 EtOAc/hexanes to obtain crystalline material of satisfactory purity.

***N*-Dansyl(5-methylfurfuryl)amine (4).** To a stirred solution of 5-dimethylaminonaphthalene-sulfonyl chloride (472 mg, 1.75 mmol) and triethylamine (0.39 mL, 2.81 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (5 mL) was added a solution of furfurylamine (211 mg, 1.90 mmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (1 mL) via syringe under nitrogen. The resulting solution was stirred for 3 h at room temperature and poured into 1.0 M pH 7 phosphate buffer (15 mL). The organic phase was washed with water (15 mL), dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (silica, 0–25% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the title compound as a yellow-green oil which solidified on storage (576 mg, 97%). R_f 0.40 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.50 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.25–8.19 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.52 (m, 1H), 7.51–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.17 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 5.71 (d, 1H, $J = 3.0$), 5.55 (dq, 1H, $J = 3.0$, 1.0), 4.97 (t, 1H, $J = 5.9$), 4.08 (d, 2H, $J = 6.0$), 2.88 (s, 6H), 1.91 (app s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 152.2, 147.5, 135.0, 130.6, 130.1, 129.9, 129.8, 128.5, 123.3, 118.9, 115.3, 109.1, 106.1, 45.6, 40.6, 13.3. ESI-MS ($\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{20}\text{N}_2\text{O}_3\text{S}$) 345.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$.

OND Structures 5. A mixture of *N*-dansylfurfurylamine (331 mg, 1.00 mmol) and the appropriate acetylenedicarboxylate (1.5–1.8 equiv) was dissolved in CH_2Cl_2 (0.5 mL). The solvent was removed under a stream of nitrogen at 50 °C and the reaction mixture was heated with stirring at 60–65 °C until the dye was consumed (ca. 3 h). The reaction mixture was dissolved in a minimal amount of hot toluene and loaded directly on a prepacked silica gel chromatography column and eluted with the appropriate solvent gradient to provide the pure product.

Dimethyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (5a). Yellow foam, 81% yield. R_f 0.23 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.28–8.22 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 7.13 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.2$, 1.8), 6.89 (d, 1H, $J = 5.3$), 5.57 (d, 1H, $J = 1.9$), 5.17 (app t, 1H, $J = 6.3$), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.71 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5$, 7.0), 3.60 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5$, 5.7), 2.88 (s, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 163.9, 162.8, 153.7, 152.2, 152.1, 145.0, 142.8, 134.4, 130.8, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 128.7, 123.32, 118.8, 115.5, 95.9, 84.1, 52.6, 52.6, 45.6, 42.1. ESI-MS 473.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}$: C, 58.46; H, 5.12; N, 5.93. Found: C, 58.26; H, 5.16; N, 5.77.

Dimethyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)-*N*-methylnaphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (Me-5a). To a suspension of 5a (135 mg, 0.286 mmol) and cesium carbonate (186 mg, 0.573 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in dimethylformamide (0.6 mL) was added methyl iodide (57 mg, 0.40 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and the mixture was stirred for 20 min at room temperature. Aqueous potassium dihydrogen phosphate (5%, 1.5 mL) was added and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (4 $\times$ 2 mL). The combined organic solutions were dried over magnesium sulfate, concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography (silica 30% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the desired product as an orange foam (117 mg, 84%). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.42 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.7$), 8.15 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.3$), 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 7.17–7.14 (m, 2H), 5.64 (d, 1H, $J = 2.2$), 4.19 (d, 1H, $J = 15.1$), 3.91 (d, 1H, $J = 15.1$), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.79 (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 6H), 2.86 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 164.2, 162.9, 154.0, 152.5, 151.9, 144.7, 143.8, 133.8, 130.6, 130.5, 130.3, 130.0, 128.3, 123.3, 119.8, 115.4,

98.0, 84.1, 52.8, 52.5, 48.3, 45.6, 36.6. ESI-MS 487.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}$: C, 59.25; H, 5.39; N, 5.76. Found: C, 59.26; H, 5.45; N, 5.63%.

The synthesis of 1-((5-(dimethylamino)-naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-5,6-epoxy-7-oxanorborna-2-ene-2,3-dicarboxylate (epoxy-5a) is not optimized and will be described separately.⁴³ R_f 0.10 (40% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.27–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.18 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 5.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 8.2$, 4.7), 5.03 (s, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.74 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.7$, 8.2), 3.74 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$), 3.59 (d, 1H, $J = 3.5$), 3.41 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.7$, 4.7), 2.88 (s, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 163.1, 162.0, 152.2, 148.5, 147.4, 134.2, 130.9, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 128.8, 123.3, 118.6, 115.5, 90.6, 78.7, 57.4, 56.1, 53.0, 52.8, 45.6, 41.4. ESI-MS ($\text{C}_{23}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_8\text{S}$) 489.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$.

Diethyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (5b). Yellow foam, 80% yield. R_f 0.26 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.27 (d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.28–8.22 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.18 (d, 1H, $J = 7.5$), 7.12 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.3$, 1.9), 6.89 (d, 1H, $J = 5.3$), 5.55 (d, 1H, $J = 1.9$), 5.21 (t, 1H, $J = 6.3$), 4.22 (2 $\times$ dq, 2H, $J = 7.2$, 2.0), 4.18 (q, 2H, $J = 7.1$), 3.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5$, 6.9), 3.60 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.5$, 5.8), 2.88 (s, 6H), 1.30 (t, 3H, $J = 7.1$), 1.28 (t, 3H, $J = 7.2$). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 163.6, 162.7, 153.5, 152.2, 151.6, 145.0, 142.8, 134.5, 130.8, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 128.7, 123.3, 118.8, 115.4, 95.9, 84.1, 61.9, 61.7, 45.6, 42.1, 14.2, 14.1. ESI-MS 501.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{25}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}$: C, 59.99; H, 5.64; N, 5.60. Found: C, 59.24; H, 5.62; N, 5.31.

Dipropargyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (5c). A mixture of *N*-dansylfurfurylamine (331 mg, 1.00 mmol), dipropargyl acetylenedicarboxylate (350 mg, 1.84 mmol), and toluene (0.5 mL) was heated at 60–65 °C with stirring for ca. 3 h. After the reaction was complete, the resulting brown oil was loaded directly on a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography (20–40% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide the title compound as an oil that solidified to yellow crystalline powder on trituration with Et_2O and hexanes (428 mg, 82%). R_f 0.13 (25% EtOAc/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.28–8.23 (m, 2H), 7.60–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, $J = 7.6$), 7.14 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.2$, 1.9), 6.90 (d, 1H, $J = 5.2$), 5.60 (d, 1H, $J = 1.9$), 5.15 (t, 1H, $J = 6.4$), 4.77 (d, 2H, $J = 2.5$), 4.67 (2 $\times$ dd, 2H, $J = 15.5$, 2.5), 3.73 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.7$, 7.1), 3.63 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.7$, 5.8), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.50 (m, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 162.6, 161.6, 153.9, 152.3, 152.2, 145.1, 142.9, 134.4, 130.9, 130.1, 130.1, 129.8, 128.8, 123.4, 118.8, 115.6, 96.2, 84.2, 76.0, 75.9, 53.3, 53.1, 45.7, 42.0. ESI-MS 520.1, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{27}\text{H}_{24}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}$: C, 62.30; H, 4.65; N, 5.38. Found: C, 62.37; H, 4.71; N, 5.28.

Dipropargyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-4-methyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2,3-dicarboxylate (6). Prepared according to the general procedure above; the reactants were heated for 5 h at 80 °C. Yellow foam, 40% yield. R_f 0.27 (40% acetone/hexanes). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.28–8.23 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.19 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.1$), 6.88 (app s, 2H), 5.28 (t, 1H, $J = 6.4$), 4.79 (d, 2H, $J = 2.4$), 4.62 (2 $\times$ dd, 2H, $J = 15.6$, 2.4), 3.72 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8$, 6.4), 3.67 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8$, 6.6), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.50 (m, 2H), 1.69 (s, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 163.2, 162.1, 158.0, 152.2, 150.0, 147.6, 144.3, 134.6, 130.8, 130.1, 130.0, 129.8, 128.7, 123.4, 119.0, 115.5, 94.3, 93.2, 77.0, 75.9, 75.8, 53.1, 53.0, 45.7, 42.2, 15.1. ESI-MS 535.2, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$. Anal. Calcd for $\text{C}_{28}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{O}_7\text{S}$: C, 62.91; H, 4.90; N, 5.24. Found: C, 62.65; H, 4.99; N, 5.10%.

Ethyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-3-trifluoromethyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2-carboxylate (9). A mixture of *N*-dansylfurfurylamine (370 mg, 1.12 mmol), ethyl 4,4,4-trifluoro-2-butyrate (250 mg, 1.50 mmol), toluene (2 mL) was heated at 80 °C with stirring for 18 h. The mixture was concentrated to half its original volume, loaded directly on a column of silica

gel, and purified by flash chromatography (0–3% isopropanol/toluene) to give an oil which contained a minor fluorescent impurity, inseparable by chromatography. Two recrystallizations from Et₂O/hexanes (2:3 (v/v), 50 mL) afforded the pure compound as a yellow microcrystalline powder (350 mg, 63%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (app d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.28–8.23 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.21–7.15 (m, 2H), 7.10 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.2, 2.0), 6.95 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.2), 5.51 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0), 5.16 (t, 1H, *J* = 6.4), 4.17 (q, 1H, *J* = 7.0), 4.11 (q, 1H, *J* = 7.0), 3.76 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.7, 7.1), 3.62 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.7, 5.8), 2.89 (s, 6H), 1.25 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 162.1, 152.0, 151.5, [150.21, 150.17, 150.12, 150.07] (q), 144.2, 143.1, 134.1, 130.7, 129.8, 129.8, 129.5, 128.5 [125.26, 122.54, 119.86, 117.18] (q, CF₃), 123.1, 118.5, 115.2, 96.0, 82.7, 62.0, 45.4, 41.7, 13.7. ESI-MS 497.1, [M + H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₃H₂₃F₃N₃O₅S: C, 55.64; H, 4.67; N, 5.64. Found: C, 55.71; H, 4.71; N, 5.54%.

Monosaponification of Dialkyl Oxanorbornadienedicarboxylates 5 (General). To a stirred solution of oxanorbornadiene **5** (1 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was added freshly prepared aqueous NaOH (0.5 M, 4 mL) dropwise over 10 min at 0 °C. The reaction was monitored by TLC until the starting material was consumed (ca. 1 h), at which point the solution was acidified with 1 M HCl to pH 3–4 and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over magnesium sulfate, and concentrated to obtain the desired pure product.

1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-2-methoxycarbonyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-3-carboxylic Acid (7a). Yellow solid, 85%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.27 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.22 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.6), 7.59–7.50 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6), 7.21–7.17 (m, 1H), 6.77 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.6), 5.64 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.8), 5.14 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.8), 3.99 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.2, 8.4), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.50 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.2, 4.0), 2.88 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 165.9, 165.8, 157.8, 153.0, 151.4, 145.9, 143.9, 136.8, 131.3, 131.1, 131.0, 130.2, 129.2, 124.3, 120.6, 116.5, 97.4, 85.3, 52.9, 45.9, 42.9. ESI-MS (C₂₂H₂₂N₂O₇S) 459.1, [M + H]⁺.

1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-2-ethoxycarbonyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-3-carboxylic Acid (7b). Yellow solid, 80%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.27 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.22 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.6), 7.60–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.22 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6), 7.18–7.21 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.3), 5.66 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0), 4.96 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.8), 4.32 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.9, 7.2), 4.24 (dd, 1H, *J* = 10.8, 7.2), 3.95 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.2, 7.9), 3.56 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.2, 4.7), 2.91 (s, 6H), 1.36 (t, 1H, *J* = 7.2). ¹³C NMR (MeOD, 100 MHz) δ 165.5, 165.3, 156.8, 152.8, 152.75, 145.9, 143.9, 136.8, 131.2, 131.0, 130.9, 130.2, 129.2, 124.4, 120.7, 116.5, 97.45, 85.2, 12.9, 45.9, 42.8, 14.2. ESI-MS (C₂₃H₂₄N₂O₇S) 473.1, [M + H]⁺.

1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-2-propargyloxycarbonyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-3-carboxylic Acid (7c). Yellow solid, 85%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.35 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.7), 8.32 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3), 7.64–7.59 (m, 2H), 7.34 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.6), 7.18–7.21 (m, 1H), 6.82 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.3), 5.61 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0), 5.07 (t, 1H, *J* = 4.8), 4.83 (dd, 1H, *J* = 15.5, 2.5), 4.69 (dd, 1H, *J* = 15.5, 2.5), 3.98 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 8.0), 3.62 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 4.9), 3.03 (s, 6H), 2.63 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.4). ¹³C NMR (CD₃OD, 100 MHz) δ 165.2, 164.2, 151.6, 145.9, 143.9, 137.2, 131.0, 130.5, 130.4, 129.1, 124.9, 122.0, 117.1, 97.7, 85.3, 78.0, 77.0, 53.8, 46.1, 42.7. ESI-MS (C₂₄H₂₂N₂O₇S) 483.1, [M + H]⁺.

Methyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-3-propargylcarbamoyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2-carboxylate (8a). To a suspension of acid **7a** (130 mg, 0.283 mmol) and 4-(4,6-dimethoxy-1,3,5-triazin-2-yl)-4-methylmorpholinium chloride hydrate (87 mg, 0.314 mmol) in THF (1.5 mL) was added propargylamine (16 mg, 0.29 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at room temperature, concentrated to dryness, taken up in CH₂Cl₂, loaded on a column of silica, and purified by flash

chromatography (20–40% acetone/hexanes) to yield the desired product as a yellow solid (52 mg, 37%). *R_f* 0.40 (40% acetone/hexanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.75 (br t, 1H, *J* = 4.8), 8.57 (app d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.29–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.22–7.17 (m, 2H), 6.75 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.2), 5.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0), 5.00 (dd, 1H, *J* = 8.3, 4.1), 4.09 (ddd, 2H, *J* = 6.2, 4.8, 2.5), 3.96 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.0, 8.3), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.49 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.0, 4.1), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.25 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.6). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 164.7, 161.8, 161.4, 152.2, 146.3, 145.8, 141.5, 134.4, 130.9, 130.1, 129.8, 129.8, 128.9, 123.2, 118.6, 115.6, 95.9, 84.6, 79.0, 71.8, 53.0, 45.6, 42.9, 29.4. ESI-MS 496.1, [M + H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₅N₃O₆S: C, 60.59; H, 5.08; N, 8.48. Found: C, 60.52; H, 5.18; N, 8.33%.

Ethyl 1-((5-(Dimethylamino)naphthalene-1-sulfonamido)methyl)-3-propargylcarbamoyl-7-oxanorborna-2,5-diene-2-carboxylate (8b).

The procedure is the same as for **8a**, using **7b** (87 mg, 0.184 mmol), propargylamine (11 mg, 0.200 mmol), and DMT-MM (56 mg, 0.202 mmol); yield 40 mg (43%). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.76 (br t, 1H, *J* = 4.9), 8.56 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.28–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.59–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.7), 7.17 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.3, 2.0), 6.75 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.3), 5.65 (d, 1H, *J* = 2.0), 4.99 (dd, 1H, *J* = 7.9, 4.5), 4.17 (2 × dq, 2H, *J* = 7.1, 3.6), 4.09 (ddd, 2H, *J* = 6.5, 5.4, 2.6), 3.91 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.1, 7.9), 3.53 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.1, 4.5), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.24 (t, 1H, *J* = 2.6), 1.30 (t, 3H, *J* = 7.1). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 164.1, 161.2, 161.1, 151.9, 146.4, 145.4, 141.4, 134.1, 130.7, 129.8, 129.6, 129.5, 128.6, 123.0, 118.5, 115.3, 95.7, 84.3, 78.8, 71.6, 62.5, 45.3, 42.6, 29.2, 13.7. ESI-MS (C₂₆H₂₇N₃O₆S) 510.1, [M + H]⁺.

Thiol Adducts (General). To a solution of oxanorbornadiene (0.100 mmol) in acetonitrile (0.5 mL) was added a thiol (0.090 mmol), followed by diisopropylethylamine (1 μL) to give an instant strong increase in fluorescence. On smaller scale, the amine was introduced as a vapor from a Pasteur pipet. After 5 min, the reaction was quenched by the addition of acetic acid (5 μL), concentrated, and purified by flash chromatography or preparative TLC.

Compound 10a. Yellow foam, yield 95%, >90% purity. *R_f* 0.17 (1:1 EtOAc/hexanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.28–8.21 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.5), 6.42 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.7), 6.36 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.7, 1.7), 5.04 (t, 1H, *J* = 6.4), 4.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.7), 3.72 (m, 2H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.48 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 6.0), 3.38 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 6.8), 3.18 (s, 1H), 2.94 (dt, 2H, *J* = 11.7, 5.5), 2.89 (s, 6H), 1.97 (m, 1H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 169.9, 169.7, 152.2, 137.7, 135.5, 134.4, 130.9, 130.1, 129.9, 129.7, 128.8, 129.7, 128.8, 123.3, 118.8, 115.5, 91.2, 84.7, 64.7, 60.9, 57.5, 52.8, 52.5, 45.6, 43.3, 34.7. ESI-MS (C₂₅H₃₀N₂O₈S₂) 551.1, [M + H]⁺.

Compound 10b. Yellow solid, yield 80%, >90% purity. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.32–8.26 (m, 2H), 7.58–7.51 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.3), 6.41 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.7), 6.36 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.6, 1.3), 4.99 (t, 1H, *J* = 6.4), 4.83 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.4), 4.15 (q, 2H, *J* = 7.2), 3.63 (s, 3H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.47 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 6.2), 3.34 (dd, 1H, *J* = 13.8, 6.6), 3.18 (s, 1H), 2.94 (dt, 2H, *J* = 11.7, 5.5), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.55 (t, 2H, 7.3), 1.27 (t, 3H, 7.2). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 171.3, 169.8, 169.3, 152.0, 137.5, 135.3, 134.1, 130.7, 129.9, 129.8, 129.7, 128.6, 123.1, 118.6, 115.3, 90.9, 84.2, 64.7, 60.8, 57.3, 52.5, 52.2, 45.4, 43.1, 33.7, 26.3, 14.2. ESI-MS (C₂₈H₃₄N₂O₉S₂) 607.1, [M + H]⁺.

Compound Me-10a. Yellow foam, yield 90%, >90% purity. *R_f* 0.30 (2:1 EtOAc/hexanes). ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz) δ 8.55 (app d, 1H, *J* = 8.5), 8.32 (app d, 1H, *J* = 8.7), 8.12 (app d, 1H, *J* = 7.3), 7.59–7.49 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, 1H, *J* = 7.5), 6.61 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.6), 6.42 (dd, 1H, *J* = 5.6, 1.2), 4.89 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.2), 3.90 (d, 1H, *J* = 5.6), 3.86 (d, 1H, *J* = 4.4), 3.79–3.74 (m, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.41 (s, 1H), 3.08–3.03 (m, 2H), 2.92 (s, 3H), 2.88 (s, 6H). ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz) δ 170.1, 169.9, 151.9, 138.5, 135.1, 133.9, 130.7, 130.4, 130.3, 130.0, 128.4, 123.3, 119.7, 115.4, 93.0, 85.0, 64.5, 61.0, 57.9, 52.8, 52.5, 49.6, 45.6, 36.7, 34.8. ESI-MS (C₂₆H₃₂N₂O₈S₂) 565.2, [M + H]⁺.

Compound 12. Yellow solid, yield 83%, >90% purity. ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 400 MHz) δ 8.56 (app d, 1H, $J = 8.5$), 8.33–8.26 (m, 2H), 7.60–7.53 (m, 2H), 7.19 (app d, 1H, $J = 7.5$), 6.40 (dd, 1H, $J = 5.7, 1.5$), 6.19 (d, 1H, $J = 5.8$), 5.36 (t, 1H, $J = 6.3$), 5.09 (d, 1H, $J = 1.5$), 4.05 (d, 2H, $J = 2.4$), 3.84–3.73 (m, 2H), 3.66 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8, 6.1$), 3.53 (dd, 1H, $J = 13.8, 6.6$), 3.06–3.01 (m, 2H), 2.91 (s, 1H), 2.89 (s, 6H), 2.17 (t, 1H, $J = 2.5$). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 100 MHz) δ 173.5, 171.2, 152.2, 136.6, 135.9, 134.2, 131.0, 130.3, 130.1, 129.7, 128.8, 123.4, 118.7, 115.4, 91.0, 84.3, 75.6, 72.0, 61.8, 59.8, 55.6, 45.6, 43.8, 33.7, 28.2. ESI-MS ($\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{27}\text{N}_3\text{O}_6\text{S}_2$) 542.2, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$.

Compound 16. To a solution of tris-(benzyltriethylmethyl) amine (11 mg, 0.02 mmol), 5-dimethylamino-naphthalene-1-sulfonic acid (3-azidopropyl) amide (23 mg, 0.069 mmol), and **8b** (35 mg, 0.069 mmol) in DMSO (1.6 mL) was added CuSO_4 (0.2 mL, 50 mM) and sodium ascorbate (0.2 mL, 100 mM). After 2 h, water was added to the reaction to form a pale-yellow solid which was filtered, washed with water, and purified by preparative TLC (3% MeOH/ CHCl_3) to yield the desired product (44 mg, 77%). R_f 0.35 (3% MeOH/ CHCl_3). ^1H NMR (CDCl_3 , 500 MHz) δ 7.63–7.53 (m, 4H), 7.44 (s, 1H, *triazole*), 7.38–7.35 (m, 1H, CONH), 7.28–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.12 (dd, $J = 5.2, 1.9$, 1H, OND- H_5), 6.82 (d, $J = 5.2$, 1H, OND- H_6), 5.97–5.90 (m, 2H, SO_2NH), 5.49 (d, $J = 1.9$, 1H, OND- H_4), 4.44 (dd, $J = 15.9, 5.3$, 1H, CH_2NHCO), 4.41 (dd, $J = 15.9, 5.3$, 1H, CH_2NHCO), 4.21 (t, $J = 6.9, 2\text{H}$), 4.00 (m, 2H), 3.72 (dd, $J = 14.0, 7.0$, 1H, OND- CH_2NH), 3.72 (dd, $J = 14.1, 5.6$, 1H, OND- CH_2NH), 2.85 (s, 6H), 2.84 (s, 6H), 2.79 (m, 2H), 1.88 (quint, $J = 7.8, 2\text{H}$), 1.08 (t, $J = 7.1, 3\text{H}$). ^{13}C NMR (CDCl_3 , 125 MHz) δ 165.0, 162.7, 161.6, 153.1, 153.1, 146.8, 145.8, 145.0, 143.2, 136.1, 136.1, 131.3, 131.2, 130.7, 130.4, 130.3, 130.3, 129.2, 124.4, 124.3, 123.6, 119.8, 116.3, 100.6, 96.9, 85.3, 80.5, 63.0, 47.9, 45.7, 43.3, 40.9, 35.7, 30.8, 14.0. ESI-MS ($\text{C}_{39}\text{H}_{42}\text{N}_8\text{O}_8\text{S}_2$) 843.2, $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$.

Peptide Synthesis. The peptide **15** was prepared by standard Fmoc methods using Rink amide MBHA resin with an initial loading of 0.70 mmol/g. The resin was swollen in DMF for 45 min prior to synthesis. For sequence extension, the Fmoc-protected amino acid (4 equiv) was activated by treatment with HCTU (3.9 equiv) and *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (500 μL per mmol of amino acid) in DMF (4 mL per mmol of amino acid) for 2 min. This solution was added to the free amine on resin, and the coupling reaction was allowed to proceed for 15 min (longer for difficult couplings) with intermittent stirring. After washing with DMF, Fmoc deprotection was achieved with 20% 4-methylpiperidine in DMF (2×7 min). The resin was washed again and the process was repeated for the next amino acid.

The symmetric anhydride of 2-bromoacetic acid (5 equiv of anhydride relative to free amine) was prepared by mixing the acid (10 equiv) with *N,N'*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC, 5 equiv) in DMF at room temperature for 15 min. This mixture was then added to the resin and allowed to react for 1 h with intermittent stirring. The resin was washed and then treated with propargylamine (20 equiv) in DMF for 12–16 h. After washing, coupling of the next residue to the resultant secondary amine was achieved by preparing the symmetrical anhydride of that protected residue (5 equiv of anhydride) with DIC in DMF at 0 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 15 min, and reacting this mixture with the amine for 1 h with occasional stirring. The following Fmoc-protected amino acids with side chain protecting groups were used as received from NovaBiochem: Fmoc-Ala-OH, Fmoc-Arg(Pbf)-OH, Fmoc-Asp-(OtBu)-OH, Fmoc-Cys(Trt)-OH, Fmoc-Gly-OH, Fmoc-Ile-OH, Fmoc-Lys(Boc)-OH, Fmoc-Phe-OH, Fmoc-Thr(tBu)-OH.

The peptide was cleaved from the resin with 2% triisopropylsilane (TIS) in trifluoroacetic acid (TFA, approximately 1 mL TFA/TIS per g resin) for 2.5 h. The cleavage mixture (including resin) was mixed with cold ether to precipitate the peptide and then filtered. The filtrate was washed with cold ether, and **15** was extracted from the residue with $\text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MeCN}$ mixtures (typically 5–20% MeCN in water) containing 0.1% TFA. The resulting solution was frozen and lyophilized to afford a colorless, solid product.

Reactivity. Stability Study at pH 7 (Table 1). One millimolar stock solutions of each OND compound in DMSO (100 μL) were diluted with 0.1 M phosphate buffer (pH 7, 900 μL) at time 0 to initiate the experiment. At different time points, aliquots (50 μL) were injected onto the HPLC, with peak detection at 332 nm.

Stability Study of the β -Mercaptoethanol Adducts (Table 1). A solution of each adduct was created by incubating 0.1 mM of the OND electrophile with an equimolar amount of β -mercaptoethanol in 100 mM phosphate buffer, pH 7, for 1 h. HPLC analysis (peak detection at 332 nm) verified the complete formation of adduct in each case. These solutions were then allowed to stand at room temperature with periodic HPLC analysis, following the disappearance of the adduct peak with time. First-order rate constants were obtained by a standard linear plot of $\ln[\text{adduct}]$ vs time.

Selectivity of **5b with Amino Acids (Figure 3).** One millimolar stock solutions of **5b** in DMSO (100 μL) were diluted with 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7, 800 μL). Each amino acid (0.1 mmol) was dissolved in 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7, 10 mL), and 10 μL of this solution was added to a solution of **5b** (90 μL). The fluorescence intensity (λ_{ex} 332 nm, λ_{em} 550 nm) was monitored every 30 s over 60 min.

Rate Constant Determination of **5, **6**, **8**, and **9** with Glutathione at pH 7 (Table 1).** Stock solutions of each OND compound (1 mM) in DMSO (100 μL) were diluted with 100 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7, 800 μL). Glutathione (1.1 mM, 10 μL) was added to these reagent solutions (111 μM , 90 μL) to final concentrations of 110 μM and 100 μM , respectively. The fluorescence intensity (λ_{ex} 332 nm, λ_{em} 550 nm) was recorded every 30 s. Each reaction reached the same maximum emission intensity, which was the same as displayed by a freshly prepared 100 μM solution of the authentic adduct of **5a** under the same conditions, and was therefore assumed to represent 100% completion. Rate constants were determined by a standard fit of % completion (ratio of fluorescence intensity at time t to the maximum intensity) versus time to the integrated second-order rate equation; error values represent the standard deviation of three independent runs.

Rate Constant Determination of **7c with Glutathione, Cysteine, and Cysteine Methyl Ester.** One millimolar stock solutions of **7c** in DMSO (100 μL) were diluted with buffer (800 μL) (100 mM phosphate buffer at pH 7, 25 mM citrate at pH 4, 5, or 6). Thiol (1.1 mM, 100 μL) was added to these solutions of **7c** (111 μM , 900 μL) to final concentrations of 110 μM and 100 μM , respectively. Aliquots (150 μL) withdrawn at different time points were quenched with ethyl maleimide (1 μL , 100 mM), diluted with water containing 0.1% TFA (250 μL), and injected onto the HPLC, with peak detection at 332 nm.

Peptide and BSA Labeling (Figures 5, and 6). The OND electrophile (10 mM in DMSO, 10 and 5 μL , respectively) was added to peptide **15** (1 mM in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7, 90 μL) or BSA (1 mg/mL, 1 mL). Assuming a molar absorptivity similar to that of the corresponding β -mercaptoethanol adduct, a labeling density of 1.0 ± 0.2 attachments per BSA molecule was found in each case. Pretreatment of the protein with *N*-ethylmaleimide eliminated the reaction with OND reagent.

Acknowledgment. We thank The Skaggs Institute for Chemical Biology and the National Institutes of Health (RR021886) for financial support, Dr. Reshma Jagasia for the synthesis of peptide **15**, and Dr. Michal Sabat (University of Virginia) for the X-ray structural analysis of **5c**.

Supporting Information Available: X-ray crystal structure of **5c**, kinetic data for **7c**, HPLC and MALDI data for the reactions with peptide **15**, and ^1H NMR spectra of **3**, **5a**, and thiol adduct **10a**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

JA809345D