DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

Comparison of various aryl-dithiolethiones and aryl-dithiolones as hydrogen sulfide, H₂S, donors in the presence of rat liver microsomes

Madou-Marilyn Dali, Patrick M Dansette, Daniel Mansuy, and Jean-Luc Boucher

Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques, CNRS UMR 8601, University Paris Descartes, 45 rue des Saints-Pères., 75270 Paris. France (M.-M.D., P.D., D.M., J.-L.B.) DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

Running title: Dithiolethiones and dithiolones as H₂S donors

Correspondence to :

Jean-Luc Boucher, Laboratoire de Chimie et Biochimie Pharmacologiques et Toxicologiques,

UMR 8601 CNRS, University Paris Descartes, 45 rue des Saints Pères, 75006 Paris, France.

Telephone: 33 (0)1 42 86 21 91 Fax: 33 (0)1 42 86 83 87

Email: jean-luc.boucher@parisdescartes.fr

14

Tables:	3
Figures:	5
References:	29
Abstract:	227 words
Introduction:	336 words

Results and Discussion: 1788 words

Abbreviations

Text pages:

ADT, 5-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione; ADO, 5-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2dithiole-3-one; ADOSO, 5-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one-1-sulfoxide; ADTSO, 5-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione-sulfoxide; β-ME, β-mercapto-ethanol; Bz-ImH, DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

N-benzyl-imidazole; CYP, cytochrome P450; Cys, L-cysteine; dmADT, 5-(p-hydroxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione; DPD, *N*,*N*-dimethylamino-*para*-phenylene-diamine; DO, dithiolone; DT, dithiolethione; DTT, dithio-threitol; GSH, glutathione; NAC, *N*-acetyl-L-cysteine; PB, Phenobarbital; pMA, para-methoxy-acetophenone.

Abstract

It has been reported that microsomal metabolism of ADT (anetholedithiolethione, Sulfarlem) and ADO (anetholedithiolone) led to formation of H₂S mainly derived from oxidations catalyzed by cytochrome P450 (CYP)-dependent monooxygenases, and that ADO was a better H₂S-donor than ADT under these conditions. This article compares the H₂S-donor abilities of 18 dithiolethione and dithiolone analogs of ADT and ADO upon incubation with rat liver microsomes. It shows that, for all the studied compounds, maximal H₂S formation was obtained after incubation with microsomes and NADPH, and that this formation greatly decreased in the presence of N-benzyl imidazole (Bz-ImH), a known inhibitor of CYP. This indicates that H₂S formation from all the studied compounds requires, as previously observed in the case of ADT and ADO, oxidations catalyzed by CYP-dependent monooxygenases. Under these conditions, the studied dithiolones were almost always better H₂S-donors than the corresponding dithiolethiones. Interestingly, the best H₂S yields (up to 75%) were observed in microsomal oxidation of ADO and its close analogs, pCl-Ph-DO and Ph-DO, in the presence of glutathione (GSH), whereas only small amounts of H₂S were formed in microsomal incubations of those compounds with GSH but in the absence of NADPH. A possible mechanism for this effect of GSH is proposed on the basis of results obtained from reactions of GSH with ADOSO (ADO sulfoxide), the ADO metabolite involved in H₂S formation in microsomal oxidation of ADO.

Significance Statement

A series of 18 dithiolethiones and dithiolones was compared for their ability to form hydrogen sulphide (H₂S) in oxidations catalyzed by microsomal monooxygenases. The studied dithiolones were better H₂S-donors than the corresponding dithiolethiones and the addition of glutathione to the incubations strongly increased H₂S formation. A possible mechanism for this effect of GSH is proposed on the basis of results obtained from reactions of GSH with 5-(p-methoxyphenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one-1-sulfoxide, a metabolite of the choleretic and sialologic drug Sulfarlem.

Introduction

Anetholedithiolethione (ADT, Sulfarlem, Figure 1) is a well known choleretic and sialologic drug (Christen. 1995; Nagano et al., 2001). Its O-demethylated derivative dmADT, and many compounds resulting from the coupling of dmADT with various anti-inflammatory drugs have been extensively described for their H₂S-donor properties and therapeutic effects (Chen et al., 2010; Couto et al., 2015; Sparatore et al., 2009; Sparatore et al., 2011; Kashfi and Olson, 2013; Ansari et al., 2018; Powell et al., 2018). Only very small amounts of H₂S are formed upon incubation of ADT with rat or human liver microsomes in the absence of NADPH, whereas much greater amounts of H₂S are formed upon incubation with liver microsomes containing NADPH and O_2 , the two cofactors required for microsomal monooxygenases (Dulac et al., 2019). Microsomal oxidation of ADT leads to the concomitant formation of H_2S and *para*methoxy-acetophenone (pMA) (Dulac et al., 2019), and to other metabolites such as dmADT and products resulting from an ADT S-oxidation such as anetholedithiolone, ADO and ADTSO (Fig. 1) (Dulac et al., 2018). Interestingly, ADO was found as a much better H₂S donor than ADT under these conditions of microsomal oxidative metabolism (Dulac et al., 2019). Moreover, a detailed mechanism for H₂S formation upon microsomal oxidation of ADT and ADO, implying the intermediate formation of ADOSO (Fig. 1) that results from an S-oxidation of ADO, was proposed (Dulac et al., 2019).

In this article, one compares the abilities of several dithiolethione (DT) and dithiolone (DO) analogs of ADT and ADO to act as H_2S donors in the presence of NADPH-containing liver microsomes, and shows that, in a general manner, dithiolones are better H_2S donors than dithiolethiones under these conditions. The greatest amounts of H_2S were obtained upon microsomal oxidation of close analogs of ADO, and the presence of glutathione (GSH) led to a great increase of H_2S formation (yields based on starting dithiolone up to 75%). A possible

DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

mechanism for this GSH effects is proposed on the basis of experiments performed between ADOSO, the S-oxide of ADO, with GSH.

Materials and Methods

General reagents, authentic samples and proteins

The commercial origins of ADT, pMA, NADPH, Bz-ImH and the solvents used were indicated previously (Dulac et al., 2018). Naproxene, Aspirine (sodium salts), *N.N*-dimethyl-paraphenylenediamine sulfate (DPD) and Oltipraz were from Sigma-Aldrich (Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France). Authentic metabolites of ADT, ADO, dmADT and dmADO, were prepared as described previously (Dulac et al., 2018). ADOSO was prepared from ADO following modifications of a previously reported protocol (Tardif and Harp, 2000; Dulac et al., 2019). NMR data were obtained from a Bruker 500 AV2 spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on an Exactive HRMS-instrument (Thermo, Les Ulis, France). Preparation of liver microsomes from phenobarbital (PB)-pretreated rats, measurements of protein concentrations and CYP contents were made as reported previously (Dulac et al., 2019).

Chemistry

Dithiolethiones were obtained by treatment of the corresponding β -keto-esters with Lawesson's reagent and elemental sulfur in anhydrous toluene following a previously described method (Pedersen and Lawesson, 1979; Biard et al., 1992).

5-(Phenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**Ph-DT**). ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone) 7.90 (d, 2H, J = 8.0), 7.65 (t, 1H, J = 8.0), 7.61 (s, 1H), 7.58 (m, 2H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₉H₆S₃ + H⁺ 210.9711, found 210.9704.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**p-Cl-Ph-DT**). ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone) 7.93 (d, 2H, J = 8.0), 7.62 (d, 1H, J = 8.0), 7.61 (s, 1H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₉H₅ClS₃ + H⁺ 244.9321, found 244.9662.

5-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**o-Cl-Ph-DT**). ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone) 7.77 (d, 1H, J = 8.0 and 1.5), 7.67 (d, 1H, J = 8.0), 7.61 (td, 1H, J = 7.5 and 1.5), 7.54 (t, 1H, J = 8.0), 7.37 (s, 1H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₉H₅ClS₃ + H⁺ 244.9321, found 244.9458.

5-(3-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-phenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thione (**o-OH-ADT**). ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone) 7.56 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d, 1H, J = 2.0), 7.42 (d, 1H, J = 8.0), 6.98 (d, 1H, J = 8.0), 3.90 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₁₀H₈O₂S₃ + H⁺ 256.9765, found 256.9755.

Naproxene-dmADT (ATB345) and aspirine-dmADT (ACS 14) were prepared as previously described (Wallace et al., 2007) by reaction of naproxene or acetylsalicylic acid with dmADT in the presence of hydroxybenzotriazole and di-*cyclo*-hexyl-carbodiimide in dry *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide.

4-(5-Thioxo-5*H*-[1,2]dithiol-3-yl)phenyl-2-(2-methoxynaphthaleny-6-yl)propanoate (**Naproxene-dmADT**). ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone) 7.88 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5), 7.85-7.82 (m, 3H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, 1H, *J* = 1.5), 7.31 (d, 1H, *J* = 3.0), 7.24 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.5), 7.17 (dd, 1H, *J* = 9.0 and 2.5), 4.24 (q, 1H, *J* = 7.0), 3.91 (s, 3H), 1.96 (d, 3H, *J* = 7.0); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* value for $C_{23}H_{18}O_{3}S_{3} + H^{+}$ 439.0497, found 439.0681.

4-(5-Thioxo-5H-1,2-dithiol-3-yl)phenyl-2-acetoxybenzoate (Aspirine-dmADT). ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone) 8.24 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5 and 2.0), (8.03 d, 2H, J = 9.0), 7.78 (t, 1H, J = 7.5), 7.64 (s, 1H), 7.49 (m, 3H), 7.32 (d, 1H, J = 8.0), 2.32 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI): m/z value for C₁₈H₁₂O₄S₃ – H⁺ 386.9819, found 386.9819.

Dithiolones were obtained by treatment for 24 h at room temperature of the corresponding dithiolethiones with mercuric acetate in a dichloromethane and acetic acid mixture following a previously described method (Klingsberg, 1972).

5-Phenyl-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one (**Ph-DO**): ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone): 7.83 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.0), 7.61 (m, 1H), 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H); HRMS (ESI⁺): *m/z* value for C₉H₆OS₂ + H⁺ 194.9939, found 194.9964.

5-(4-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one (**p-Cl-Ph-DO**): ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone) 7.85 (d, 2H, J = 8.5), 7.61 (d, 2H, J = 8.5), 7.13 (s, 1H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₉H₆ClOS₂ 228.9549, found 228.9581.

5-(2-Chlorophenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one (**o-Cl-Ph-DO**): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 7.51 (d, 2H, J = 8.5), 7.43 (t, 1H, J = 8.5), 7.36 (t, 1H, J = 8.0), 6.77 (s, 1H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₉H₅ClOS₂ + H⁺ 228.9549, found 228.9580.

5-(3-Hydroxy-4-methoxy-phenyl)-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one (**o-OH-ADO**): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 7.18 (dd, 1H, J = 8.5 and 2.0), 7.05 (d, 1H, J = 2.0), 6.97 (d, 1H, J = 8.5), 6.73 (s, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI): m/z value for C₁₀H₈O₃S₂ – H⁺ 238.9836, found 238.9834.

4-Methyl-5-pyrazinyl-3H-1,2-dithiole-3-one (**Oltipraz-DO**) (O'Dwyer et al., 1997; Ko et al., 2006): ¹H NMR (CDCl₃) 8.98 (s, 1H), 8.69 (d, 2H, J = 7.5), 2.32 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₈H₇ON₂S₂ 211.0001, found 210.9991.

Naproxene-dmADO and Aspirine-dmADO were obtained by reaction of naproxene or acetylsalicylic acid with dmADO in the presence of hydroxybenzotriazole and di-*cyclo*-hexyl-

carbodiimide in dry *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide following a described protocol (Wallace et al., 2007).

 $\label{eq:2.1} 4-(5-Oxo-5H-[1,2] dithiol-3-yl) phenyl-2-(2-methoxynaphthaleny-6-yl) propanoate$

(**Naproxene-dmADO**). ¹H NMR (d_6 -acetone); 7.85-7.82 (m, 3H), 7.68 (d, 2H, J = 8.5), 7.54 (d, 1H, J = 8.5 and 2.0), 7.30 (d, 1H, J = 2.5), 7.22 (d, 2H, J = 8.5), 7.17 (dd, 1H, J = 9.0 and 2.5), 7.04 (s, 1H), 4.23 (q, 1H, J = 7.0), 3.90 (s, 3H), 1.66 (d, 3H, J = 7.0); HRMS (ESI⁺): m/z value for C₂₃H₁₈O₄S₂ + H⁺ 423.0726, found 423.0786.

4-(5-Oxo-*5H*-1,2-dithiol-3-yl)phenyl-2-acetoxybenzoate (**Aspirine-dmADO**) ¹H NMR (*d*₆-acetone) 8.22 (dd, 1H, J = 7.5 and 1.5), 7.93 (d, 2H, J = 8.0), 7.78 (t, 1H, J = 7.5), 7.49 (m, 1H), 7.46 (d, 2H, J = 8.0), 7.30 (d, 1H, J = 7.5), 7.12 (s, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H); HRMS (ESI⁻): m/z value for C₁₈H₁₂O₅S₂ – H⁺ 371.0047, found 371.0045.

Typical microsomal incubation procedures with measurement of H₂S formation

Hydrogen sulfide formation was measured using the methylene blue method (Fogo and Popowsky, 1949; Giustarini et al., 2014) using microsomal incubation procedures previously described (Dulac et al. 2019). HPLC-HRMS analyses of metabolites from incubations of ADO and ADOSO were performed as previously described (Dulac et al., 2018).

Results and Discussion

Formation of H₂S upon incubation of various dithiolethione analogs of ADT

The formulae of the compounds used in this study are shown in Figure 2. This includes Oltipraz, a drug available for treatment of schistosomiasis and also tested as a cancer chemopreventive agent (Bueding et al., 1982; Rao et al., 1993; O'Dwyer et al., 1997; Ko et al., 2006), close analogs of ADT, such as Ph-DT, p-Cl-Ph-DT, o-Cl-Ph-DT, dmADT, o-OH-ADT, and compounds resulting from acylation of the OH group of dmADT by anti-inflammatory drugs, Naproxene-dmADT, and Aspirine-dmADT. The H₂S-donor properties of these compounds were compared to those of the corresponding dithiolone derivatives in which the C=S function is replaced with a C=O function. They are indicated in Table 2 by abbreviations similar to those used for the corresponding dithiolethiones (Table 1 and Figure 2) in which DT was replaced with DO. The origin or synthesis of all these compounds is described in Materials and Methods.

Formation of H_2S was measured by using the methylene blue method (Giustarini et al., 2014) during incubation of the DT and DO compounds with liver microsomes from PB-pretreated rats. As previously reported (Dulac et al., 2019), incubation of 100 μ M ADT with those liver microsomes in the presence of 1mM NADPH led to H_2S in a yield of about 12% after 1h (Table 1). Without NADPH or in the presence of 1mM Bz-ImH, a known inhibitor of CYP-dependent monooxygenases (Testa and Jenner, 1981; Correia and Ortiz de Montellano, 2005), only low amounts of H_2S were formed (Table 1, Dulac et al., 2019). Table 1 also shows that the eight ADT analogs used in this study are generally less efficient H_2S -donors than ADT after microsomal metabolism, if one excepts o-OH-ADT that gave similar H_2S yields. Moreover, for most of these compounds one observed, as in the case of ADT, a decrease of H_2S formation

after incubation without NADPH or in the presence of Bz-ImH. These results indicate that microsomal H₂S formation from the studied dithiolethiones would mainly derive, as in the case of ADT (Dulac et al., 2019), from an oxidation catalyzed by CYP-dependent monooxygenases.

When incubation of ADT with NADPH supplemented microsomes was performed under identical conditions but in the presence of 1 mM glutathione, one observed a decrease of H_2S yield (Table 1). Microsomal incubations in the presence of GSH of the other DT indicated in Table 1 led to H_2S yields either slightly higher or lower than that found in the case of ADT (Table 1).

The above data show that the eight ADT analogs used in this study are generally less efficient H₂S-donors than ADT after microsomal metabolism, if one excepts o-OH-ADT that gave similar H₂S yields (Table 1). The first step of the microsomal metabolism of ADT leading to H₂S formation seems to be the S-oxidation of the ADT C=S group (Dulac et al., 2018). This Soxidation is in competition with the CYP-dependent oxidative demethylation of ADT leading to dmADT (Dulac et al., 2018). The lower yields of H₂S formation observed with most other DT could be due either to competitive microsomal oxidations more efficient than the ADT oxidative demethylation, or to less efficient C=S S-oxidation compared to ADT. In that context, we have performed preliminary HPLC-MS studies of microsomal oxidations of two other DTs mentioned in Table 1: dmADT, and o-OH-ADT. In the case of dmADT, a metabolite characterized by a molecular ion at m/z = 242.9596, that should result from the hydroxylation of the phenyl ring of dmADT, was detected $(m/z \text{ calculated for } C_9H_7O_2S_3 (dmADT + O) + H^+)$ = 242.9609). Finally, in microsomal oxidation of o-OH-ADT, a metabolite characterized by a molecular ion at m/z 242.9595 was found and should result from an oxidative demethylation of o-OH-ADT (m/z calculated for C₉H₇O₂S₃ (o-OH-ADT - CH₂) + H⁺ = 242.9609). Other kinds of reactions are occurring in competition with the S-oxidation of the C=S function of Aspirine-13

DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

ADT, Naproxene-ADT and Oltipraz. In the particular case of Aspirine-ADT and Naproxene-ADT, we found that incubation of these compounds with liver microsomes led to dmADT that should result from the hydrolysis of their ester function by microsomal esterases. In the case of Oltipraz, literature data reported that several metabolites not resulting from the S-oxidation of its C=S group are formed in high amounts (Bieder et al., 1983).

Formation of H₂S upon incubation of various dithiolone analogs of ADO

As reported previously, incubation of ADO with NADPH-supplemented liver microsomes under the above described conditions led to H₂S yields higher than those observed with ADT (Table 2 and Dulac et al., 2019). Without NADPH or in the presence of 1mM Bz-ImH, the H₂S yields were very low which confirmed that H₂S formation is mainly catalyzed by CYPdependent monooxygenases (Table 2 and Dulac et al., 2019). Similar or even higher H₂S yields (22 to 36%) were observed after microsomal metabolism of Ph-DO, p-Cl-Ph-DO and o-Cl-Ph-DO (Table 2). On the contrary, o-OH-ADO, Oltipraz-DO, Naproxene-dmADO and AspirinedmADO led to lower H₂S yields (about 10%). Moreover, all studied DO (except o-OH-ADO) led to higher H_2S yields than the corresponding DT (compare Tables 1 and 2). The H_2S yields are 2.5 to 4.8 fold increased in the case of ADO, Ph-DO, p-Cl-Ph-DO and o-Cl-Ph-DO, but only 1.5 to 2.0 fold increased in the case of Oltipraz-DO, Naproxene-dmADO and AspirinedmADO). In a general manner, in incubations performed without NADPH or in the presence of Bz-ImH, all studied DO led to low H₂S yields. This indicates that H₂S formation from these DO mainly depends on CYP-dependent monooxygenases. This would be in agreement with the mechanism previously proposed for H₂S formation from microsomal oxidation of ADO that would involve an S-oxidation leading to ADOSO (Figure 1) as the first step (Dulac et al., 2019).

DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

Table 2 also shows that the presence of 1 mM GSH in microsomal incubation of ADO and the other DO led, in many cases, to an increase in the H₂S yields. This increase is particularly important in the case of ADO, p-Cl-Ph-DO, o-Cl-Ph-DO and Ph-DO, as incubation of Ph-DO with NADPH- and GSH-supplemented microsomes led to H₂S in a 75% yield. It is noteworthy that such high H₂S yields were not observed upon incubation of the DO with GSH alone or with GSH and liver microsomes without NADPH (yields observed with ADO under those conditions were 2.0 ± 0.8 and 3.0 ± 1.0 %. respectively).

The mechanism previously proposed for H_2S formation upon microsomal oxidation of ADO (Dulac et al., 2019) involves an S-oxidation of ADO with formation of ADOSO, as a first step (Figure 3). The next steps would be the opening of ADOSO by H_2O leading to intermediate 1, the hydrolysis of the HSC=O function of 1 leading to H_2S and 2, and the decarboxylation of 2 leading to the disulfoxide of *para*-methoxy-thioacetophenone 3. Finally, monooxygenations of 3 would lead to pMA and HSO₄⁻, as previously found in microsomal oxidation of compounds involving a C=S bond (Cashman and Hanzlik, 1982; Hanzlik and Cashman, 1983; Vannelli et al., 2002; Testa and Kramer, 2007). The same mechanism could be at the origin of H_2S formation upon microsomal metabolism of the other studied DO. In the presence of GSH, a nucleophilic attack of GSH on the S=O group of ADOSO with opening of the SO-S bond leading to intermediate 4 could occur. Hydrolysis of the HSC=O function of 4 should lead to H_2S (Figure 4). One could expect that the opening of the O=S-S bond of ADOSO by GSH would be faster than the opening of this bond by H_2O , a much weaker nucleophile.

H₂S formation in reaction of ADOSO with GSH

In order to better understand the effects of GSH on H_2S formation upon ADO microsomal oxidation, we have studied the reaction of ADOSO with GSH and found that incubation of 100

DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

µM ADOSO with 250 µM GSH in phosphate buffer pH 7.4, 1h at 37°C, led to H₂S in a yield of about 95% based on starting ADOSO (Table 3), whereas incubations of ADOSO alone in the same buffer or incubations of ADO with GSH under identical conditions only led to H₂S yields lower than 3% (Table 3). Figure 5 shows that the H₂S yields observed after reaction of ADOSO with increasing concentrations of GSH (from 100 µM to 1 mM) increased from 30 to about 200%. Other thiols (all at 250 μ M), such as β -mercapto-ethanol (β -ME), dithiothreitol (DTT), L-cysteine (Cys), or N-acetyl-L-cysteine (NAC) reacted with ADOSO with formation of H₂S in yields between 70 and 130% (Table 3). Those very high H₂S yields, often higher than 100%, obtained upon reaction of ADOSO with thiols indicated that more than one sulfur atom of ADOSO was converted to H₂S. A possible explanation for those results is shown in Figure 4 in which intermediate 5, resulting from the opening of ADOSO by GSH and hydrolysis of the HSC=O function of 4, is reduced by GSH with formation of 6 and intermediate 7. Decarboxylation of 7 should lead to para-methoxy-thioacetophenone 8. Finally, reaction of GSH with 8 would lead to H₂S and pMA (Figure 4). In agreement with this hypothesis, an HPLC-MS study of the reaction of 100 µM ADOSO with 250 µM GSH in phosphate buffer pH 7.4 (1 h at 37°C) showed the formation of pMA in a 84% yield (data not shown).

Those reactions between ADOSO and GSH could occur in microsomal incubations of ADO in the presence of NADPH and GSH, and this could explain the increase of the H_2S yields observed when GSH is added to microsomal incubations. However, in such microsomal incubations, the formation of ADOSO by monooxygenation of ADO is in competition with other oxidations of ADO such as its oxidative O-demethylation (Dulac et al., 2018). Moreover, in such incubations of ADO with NADPH-supplemented microsomes in the presence of GSH, reactions of intermediates **5**, **6** and **8** with GSH leading to H_2S (Figure 4) should be in competition with monooxygenation of those intermediates that would eventually lead to HSO_4^-

as in the case of **3** (Figure 3). The occurrence of those competitive reactions in microsomal incubations of ADO should explain the much lower H_2S yields observed (Table 2) when compared to those found in reactions of ADOSO with GSH in the absence of microsomes (Table 3 and Figure 5).

The mechanisms proposed for H₂S formation from ADO in Figures 3 and 4 should be operative for H₂S formation from the other studied DO. Accordingly, the results concerning Ph-DO, o-Cl-Ph-DO and p-Cl-Ph-DO were very similar to those observed with ADO (Table 2). The much lower H₂S yields obtained in microsomal oxidation of dmADO, o-OH-ADO, Oltipraz-DO, Naproxene-dmADO, and Aspirine-dmADO (Table 2) could be related to monooxygenations of those molecules occurring at positions different from the S atom involved in the formation of ADOSO from ADO.

Conclusion

This article compares the H₂S-donor abilities of a series of 18 dithiolethione or dithiolone analogs of ADT or ADO incubated with NADPH-supplemented rat liver microsomes. It shows that only minor amounts of H₂S are formed in incubations performed without NADPH or with NADPH and Bz-ImH, which indicates that H₂S formation requires oxidations catalyzed by CYP-dependent monooxygenases. With NADPH-supplemented microsomes, the best DT H₂Sdonors were ADT itself and o-OH-ADT, and the studied DO were almost always better H₂Sdonors than the corresponding DT. The presence of GSH in microsomal incubations had only minor effects on the H₂S yields observed with DT. However, it led to a great increase of the H₂S yields in microsomal incubations of ADO and its close analogs, o-Cl-Ph-DO, p-Cl-Ph-DO and Ph-DO, the best H₂S yield (75%) being obtained in the case of Ph-DO. A possible mechanism for this effect of GSH is proposed on the basis of a study of reactions of GSH with DMD Fast Forward. Published on March 31, 2020 as DOI: 10.1124/dmd.119.090274 This article has not been copyedited and formatted. The final version may differ from this version.

DMD # 90274

ADOSO, the ADO metabolite involved in H₂S formation in microsomal oxidation of ADO. Since GSH is present in most cells, one should expect that DO related to ADO act as particularly good H₂S-donors in *in vivo* situations.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank P. Gerardo for his help in HPLC-MS experiments, and B. Ramassamy for technical assistance.

Authorship contributions

Participated in research design: Dansette, Boucher, Mansuy.

Conducted experiments: Dali, Boucher.

Contributed new reagents or analysis tools: Dali, Boucher, Dansette.

Performed data analysis: Dali, Boucher, Dansette, Mansuy.

Wrote or contributing to the writing of the manuscript: Mansuy, Boucher, Dansette.

References

Ansari M, Khan M, Saquib M, Khatoon S, and Hussain M (2018) Dithiolethiones: a privileged pharmacophore for anticancer therapy and chemoprevention. *Future Med Chem* **10**: 1241-1260.

Biard D, Christen MO, Dansette P, Jasserand D, Mansuy D, and Sassi A (1992) 1,2 Dithiol-3thion-S-oxide compounds and pharmaceutical compositions. *US Patent* 5,096,920.

Bieder A, Decouvelaere B, Gaillard C, Depaire H, Heusse D, Ledoux C, Lemar M, Le Roy JP, Raynaud L, Snozzi C, and Gregoire J (1983). Comparison of the metabolism of Oltipraz in the mouse, rat and monkey and in man. Distribution of the metabolites in each species. Arzneimittelforschung **33**: 1289-1297.

Bueding E, Dolan P, and Leroy JP (1982) The antischistosomal activity of oltipraz. *Res Commun Chem Pathol Pharmacol* **37**: 293-303.

Cashman JR, and Hanzlik RP (1982) Oxidation and other reactions of thiobenzamide derivatives of relevance to their hepatotoxicity. *J Org Chem* **47**: 4645-4650.

Chen P, Luo Y, Hai L, Qian S, and Wu Y (2010) Design, synthesis, and pharmacological evaluation of the aqueous prodrugs of desmethylanetholetrithione with hepatoprotective activity. *Eur J Med Chem* **45**: 3005-3010.

Christen MO (1995) Anetholedithiolethione: Biochemical considerations. *Methods Enzymol* **252**: 316-323.

Correia MA, and Ortiz de Montellano PR (2005) Inhibition of Cytochromes P450 enzymes. in *Cytochrome P450. Structure. Mechanism. and Biochemistry* 3rd Edition (Ortiz de Montellano PR ed) pp 247-322. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers. New York.

Couto M, de Ovalle S, Cabrera M., Cerecetto H, and González M (2015) Searching phase II enzymes inducers from Michael acceptor-[1.2]dithiolethione hybrids as cancer chemo preventive agents. *Future Med Chem* **7**: 857-871.

Dulac M, Sassi A, Nagarathinan C, Christen MO, Dansette P, Mansuy D, and Boucher JL (2018) Metabolism of anetholedithiolethione by rat and human liver microsomes: formation of various products deriving from its O-demethylation and S-oxidation. Involvement of cytochromes P450 and flavin monooxygenases in these pathways. *Drug MetabDispos* **46**: 1390-1395.

Dulac M, Nagarathinam C, Dansette P, Mansuy D, and Boucher JL (2019) Mechanism of H₂S formation from the metabolism of anetholedithiolethione and anetholedithiolone by rat liver microsomes. *Drug Metab Dispos* **47**: 1061-1065.

Fogo JK, and Popowsky (1949) Spectrophotometric determination of hydrogen sulfide. *Anal Chem* **21**: 732-734.

Giustarini D, Fanti P, Sparatore A, Matteucci E, and Rossi R (2014) Anetholedithiolethione lowers the homocysteine and raises the glutathione levels in solid tissues and plasma of rats: a novel non-vitamin homocysteine-lowering agent. *Biochem Pharmacol* **89**: 246-254.

Hanzlik RP, and Cashman JR (1983) Microsomal metabolism of thiobenzamide and thiobenzamide S-oxide. *Drug Metab Dispos* **11**: 201-205.

Kashfi K, and Olson KR (2013) Biology and therapeutic potential of hydrogen sulfide and hydrogen sulfide-releasing chimeras. *Biochem Pharmacol* **85**: 689-703.

Klingsberg E (1972) The 1,2-Dithiolium Cation. XI. Polycyclic dithiole and "No-Bond resonance compounds. *J Org Chem* **57**: 3226-3229.

Ko MS, Lee SJ, Kim JW, Lim JW, and Kim SG. (2006) Differential effects of the oxidized metabolites of oltipraz on the activation of CCAAT/enhancer binding protein-beta and NF-E2-related factor-2 for GSTA2 gene induction. *Drug Metab Dispos* **34**:1353-1360.

Nagano T, and Takeyama M (2001) Enhancement of salivary secretion and neuropeptide (substance P. α -calcitonin gene-related peptide) levels in saliva by chronic anetholetrithione treatment. *J Pharm Phamacol* **53**: 1697-1702.

O'Dwyer PJ, Johnson SW, Khater C, Krueger A, Matsumoto Y, Hamilton TC, and Yao KS (1997) The chemopreventive agent oltipraz stimulates repair of damaged DNA. *Cancer Res.* **57**: 1050-1053.

Pedersen BS, and Lawesson SO (1979) Studies on organophosphorus compounds. Synthesis of 3H-1,2-dithiole-3-thiones and 4H-1,3,2-oxazaphosphorine derivatives from the dimer of *p*-methoxyphenyl-thionophosphine sulfide and derivatives of 3-oxo carboxylic acids. *Tetrahedron* **35**: 2433-2437.

Powell CR, Dillon KM, and Matson JB (2018) A review of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) donors: Chemistry and potential therapeutic applications. *Biochem Pharmacol* **149**: 110-123.

Rao CV, Rivenson A, Katiwalla M, Kelloff GJ, and Reddy BS (1993) Chemopreventive effect of oltipraz during different stages of experimental colon carcinogenesis induced by azoxymethane in male F344 rats. *Cancer Res* **53**: 2502-2506.

Sparatore A, Perrino E, Tazzari V, Giustarini D, Rossi R, Rossoni G, Erdman K, Schröder H, and DelSoldato P (2009) Pharmacological profile of a novel H₂S-releasing aspirin. *Free Radical Biol Med* **46**: 586-592.

Sparatore A, Santus G, Giustarini D, Rossi R, and Del Soldato P (2011) Therapeutic potential of new hydrogen sulfide-releasing hybrids. *Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol* **4**: 109-121.

Testa B, and Jenner P (1981) Inhibitors of Cytochrome P-450s and their mechanism of action. *Drug Metab Rev* **12**: 1-117.

Testa B, and Krämer SD (2007) The Biochemistry of drug metabolism. An Introduction. Part 2. Redox reactions and their enzymes. *Chemistry and Biodiversity* **4**: 257-405.

Tardif S, and Harp D (2000) Chemoselective oxidation of 5-phenyl-1.2-dithiole-3-substituted derivatives to their corresponding 5-phenyl-1,2-dithiole-S-oxides. *Sulfur Lett* **23**: 169-184.

Vannelli TA, Dykman A, and Ortiz de Montellano PR (2002) The antituberculosis drug ethionamide is activated by a flavoprotein monooxygenase. *J BiolChem* **277**: 12824-12829.

Wallace JL, Cirino G, Santagada V, and Caliendo G (2007) Hydrogen sulfide derivatives of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. *European Patent* 07763931.8.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: Formulae of ADT and some of its metabolites formed upon microsomal oxidation.

Figure 2: Formulae of the dithiolethiones used in this study.

Figure 3: Mechanism previously proposed for H_2S formation upon metabolism of ADO by NADPH-supplemented liver microsomes (Dulac et al., 2019). Ar = p-methoxyphenyl. +"O" on some arrows indicates that the corresponding step involves a monooxygenation of the substrate.

Figure 4: Possible mechanism for the formation of H₂S upon reaction of ADOSO with GSH.

Figure 5: Representative curve showing the H₂S yields obtained after the reaction of 100 μ M ADOSO with increasing GSH concentrations in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4. ADOSO (100 μ M) in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4 was incubated for 1 h at 37°C in the presence of increasing concentrations of GSH and H₂S formation was measured as indicated in Materials and Methods. Data are means <u>+</u> S.D. from 3 experiments.

 Table 1: H₂S formation upon metabolism of various dithiolethione analogs of ADT by liver

 microsomes from PB-treated rats.

Dithiolthiones	C.S. ^a	C.SNADPH ^b	C.S. + Bz-ImH ^c	$\mathbf{C.S.} + \mathbf{GSH}^{d}$
Ph-DT	7.5 ± 2.7	2.0 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 1.1	8.5 ± 1.0
ADT	12.0 ± 3.5	3.1 ± 1.3	2.9 ± 1.0	6.4 ± 1.6
dmADT	2.5 ± 1.0	2.9 ± 1.7	2.5 ± 1.9	5.5 ± 1.8
p-Cl-Ph-DT	7.0 ± 1.1	2.4 ± 2.3	2.0 ± 0.8	3.3 ± 1.3
o-Cl-Ph-DT	6.0 ± 0.3	1.2 ± 0.3	1.5 ± 1.0	1.8 ± 0.8
o-OH-ADT	12.4 ± 2.5	3.5 <u>+</u> 1.5	3.0 ± 0.8	9.3 ± 1.0
Oltipraz	5.7 ± 3.0	1.5 ± 0.5	1.9 ± 1.4	1.5 ± 1.7
Naproxene-dmADT	6.3 ± 0.7	2.3 ± 1.8	4.5 ± 1.0	4.7 ± 0.7
Aspirine-dmADT	5.1 ± 1.0	4.5 ± 0.7	2.2 ± 1.1	7.4 ± 3.1

^a C.S.: Complete system. H₂S yields (%) were measured after 1h incubation of 100 μ M dithiolethione in phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 in the presence of liver microsomes (~2.5 μ M CYP) and 1 mM NADPH, as described in Materials and Methods. Data are yields based on starting compound and are means \pm S.D. from 3 to 8 experiments. ^b Identical conditions but in the absence of NADPH. ^c Identical conditions but 1 mM Bz-ImH was added in the solution. ^d Identical conditions but in the presence of 1 mM GSH.

Table 2: H_2S formation upon metabolism of various dithiolone analogs of ADO by liver microsomes from PB-treated rats. Abbreviations used for the dithiolones are similar to those used for the corresponding dithiolethiones (Table 1 and Figure 2) in which DT was replaced with DO.

Dithiolones	C.S. ^a	C.S. – NADPH ^b	C.S. + Bz-ImH ^c	C.S. + GSH ^d
Ph-DO	36.5 ± 1.0	1.5 ± 0.1	3.2 ± 2.0	74.9 ± 0.4
ADO	31.1 ± 4.6	3.1 ± 2.1	4.1 ± 1.7	44.2 ± 5.1
dmADO	11.4 ± 1.2	3.3 ± 0.5	4.6 ± 2.0	21.4 ± 1.6
p-Cl-Ph-DO	29.8 ± 3.0	1.4 ± 0.3	3.7 ± 0.4	45.5 ± 3.3
o-Cl-Ph-DO	21.7 ± 5.9	1.2 ± 1.7	2.9 ± 2.1	41.2 ± 2.8
o-OH-ADO	8.9 ± 0.1	3.9 ± 1.6	1.4 ± 0.2	4.7 ± 1.8
Oltipraz-DO	10.9 ± 3.3	0.9 ± 1.1	2.9 ± 3.2	9.8 ± 1.1
Naproxene-dmADO	9.9 ± 1.3	2.6 ± 0.2	3.6 ± 0.6	11.8 ± 0.5
Aspirine-dmADO	9.7 ± 0.1	2.6 ± 0.8	4.7 ± 0.4	14.7 ± 0.6

^a C.S.: Complete system. H₂S yields (%) were measured after 1 h incubation of 100 μ M dithiolone in phosphate buffer, pH 7.4, in the presence of liver microsomes (~2.5 μ M CYP) and 1 mM NADPH, as described in Materials and Methods. Data are yields based on starting compound and are means <u>+</u> S.D. from 3 to 8 experiments. ^b Identical conditions but in the absence of NADPH. ^c Identical conditions but 1mM Bz-ImH was added in the solution. ^d Identical conditions but in the presence of 1 mM GSH.

Table 3. Formation of H₂S upon reaction of ADOSO with GSH or other thiols.

Conditions ^a	H ₂ S yield (%)	
ADOSO + buffer alone	2.6 <u>+</u> 0.8	
ADOSO + GSH	93.6 <u>+</u> 10.1	
ADOSO + β-ME	114.2 <u>+</u> 15.8	
ADOSO + DTT	128.2 <u>+</u> 12.8	
ADOSO + Cys	97.6 <u>+</u> 12.8	
ADOSO + NAC	73.8 <u>+</u> 11.0	

^a ADOSO (100 μ M) was incubated for 1 h at 37°C in 50 mM phosphate buffer pH 7.4, alone or in the presence of 250 μ M of the indicated thiol and H₂S yields (%) were measured as indicated in Materials and Methods. Data are means <u>+</u> S.D. from 4 to 8 experiments.



 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathsf{R}=\mathsf{CH}_3 & \mathsf{ADT} \\ \mathsf{R}=\mathsf{H} & \mathsf{dm}\mathsf{ADT} \end{array}$



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{R}=\mathsf{CH}_3 \quad \mathsf{ADO} \\ \mathsf{R}=\mathsf{H} \quad \mathsf{dmADO} \end{array}$





 $R = CH_3$ ADOSO

 $R = CH_3 pMA$

Figure 1



Figure 2



Figure 3



Figure 4



Figure 5