## ChemComm

## COMMUNICATION

ROYAL SOCIETY OF CHEMISTRY

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 5854

Received 25th February 2014, Accepted 3rd April 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4cc01439a

www.rsc.org/chemcomm

Ratiometric fluorescence sensing of sugars *via* a reversible disassembly and assembly of the peptide aggregates mediated by sugars<sup>†</sup>

Lok Nath Neupane, Song Yee Han and Keun-Hyeung Lee\*

An amphiphilic dipeptide (1) bearing pyrene and phenylboronic acid was demonstrated as a unique example of a ratiometric sensing system for sugars by reversibly converting the peptide aggregates into the monomer form of the complex with sugars in aqueous solutions.

Carbohydrates are the major energy sources for living organisms and play an important role in a wide range of biological processes such as signal transduction, inflammation, cell-cell interactions, bacteria-host interactions, fertility, and development.<sup>1</sup> Thus, new methods of monitoring carbohydrates have received much attention in the field of biology and medicinal chemistry. As fluorescence is one of the most powerful and simple ways for detecting low concentrations of analytes, fluorescent chemosensors for sugars have received growing interest.

Fluorescent chemosensors for sugars have been used mainly with arylboronic acids as receptors because arylboronic acids rapidly form reversible covalent bonding with several diol compounds including sugars in aqueous solutions.<sup>2,3</sup> As it was believed that the covalent interactions of arylboronic acids with carbohydrates did not considerably affect the fluorescence of the neighboring fluorophore, most of the chemosensors based on arylboronic acids detected carbohydrates by a turn-on response using a photoinduced electron transfer (PET) process.<sup>2,3</sup> Among various fluorophores, pyrene has received much attention in the design of the chemosensors because the unique monomer and excimer emissions with a distinctive different wavelength varied depending on the proximity between the pyrene fluorophores.<sup>4</sup> Until now, various pyrene systems capable of controlling the pyrene monomer and excimer emissions by external stimuli such as metal ions, anions, and pH have been reported.<sup>5</sup> Even though some sugar sensing systems involving a pyrene fluorophore have been reported,<sup>6</sup> they detected sugars by a change in

the excimer emissions without a change in monomer emissions. In general, a ratiometric response using two different emission bands is more ideal because a ratiometric response could measure analytes more accurately with a correction of environmental effects such as pH, temperature, and solvent media.<sup>7</sup>

In the present study, we synthesized a new ratiometric sensing system based on peptides for sugars including glucose capable of controlling the pyrene monomer and excimer emissions upon sugar binding. Amphiphilic dipeptide **1** containing phenylboronic acid as a receptor was aggregated in the absence of sugars in aqueous solutions at pH 7.4, resulting in a considerable excimer emission. The covalent bonding of the phenylboronic acid of **1** with glucose converted the aggregates into a monomer form of the complex, resulting in a decrease of excimer emission and a concomitant increase of monomer emission intensity. To the best of our knowledge, this is a unique ratiometric sensing system for sugars by changing the aggregate form into the monomer form in aqueous solutions after sugar binding.

As peptides are biologically compatible and highly water soluble and act as ligands for specific analytes,<sup>8</sup> the amphiphilic dipeptide (1) was designed to contain pyrene as a fluorophore, and phenylboronic acid as a receptor. A Trp amino acid was selected because Trp is reported to act as a ligand for several analytes including sugars (Scheme 1).<sup>9</sup> 1 was easily synthesized in 79% yield by a solid phase synthesis method. Details of the synthesis and characterization of 1 are described in the ESI† (Fig. S1–S5).

We investigated the fluorescence response of **1** to glucose in an aqueous solution containing 1% DMSO. As shown in Fig. 1,



Scheme 1 Structures of 1 and 2

Department of Chemistry, Bioorganic Chemistry Lab, Inha University,

<sup>253</sup> Yunghyun-dong, Nam-Gu, Incheon city, 402-751, South Korea.

E-mail: leekh@inha.ac.kr; Fax: +82-32-8675604; Tel: +82-32-8607674

 $<sup>\</sup>dagger$  Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Synthesis scheme, additional fluorescence spectra, and  $^1{\rm H}$  NMR and ESI-MS data. See DOI: 10.1039/ c4cc01439a





Fig. 1 Fluorescence emission spectra of 1 (10  $\mu$ M) upon addition of p-glucose (0–0.056 M) ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 342 nm) in 50 mM phosphate buffer solution containing 1% DMSO at pH 7.4.

in the absence of glucose, significant excimer emission at 470 nm with weak typical monomer emission bands at 378 and 395 nm were observed. This indicated that 1 might form self-assembled aggregates and two pyrene fluorophores were stacked even in the absence of glucose. Interestingly, upon the addition of glucose, a significant decrease of the excimer emission and a concomitant increase of the monomer emission with an isoemissive point at 417 nm were observed. 1 exhibited a ratiometric response to glucose in an aqueous solution at neutral pH. The intensity ratio  $(I_{378}/I_{470})$  at 378 and 470 nm changed significantly from 0 to 7 upon adding glucose. About 0.05 M glucose was enough for the saturation of the emission intensity change. During the UV-visible titration of 1 with glucose, an increase of the absorbance at 343 nm was observed (Fig. S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>), which indicated a decrease of pyrene-pyrene interactions in the presence of glucose. The covalent bonding between 1 and glucose was analyzed by ESI mass spectroscopy (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>+</sup>). A new peak corresponding to  $[1 \cdot \text{glucose} - 2H_2O - H^+]^-$  appeared at 850.46 (m/z), which reveals that the covalent complex between 1 and glucose was formed by a boronate ester group and 1 formed a 1:1 complex with glucose. The covalent bonding of 1 with glucose might induce the formation of the monomer form from the aggregates of 1 in aqueous solutions, resulting in a decrease of excimer emission and a concomitant increase of monomer emission intensity.

To investigate the role of phenylboronic acid in the binding mode, the fluorescence spectra of **1** were measured at different pH values. Fig. 2a shows the fluorescence spectra of **1** in the absence of glucose at different pH. As the neutral pH of the solution was changed to an acidic pH, the excimer emission increased and a small decrease of monomer emission was observed. However, as the neutral pH was increased to a basic pH, the excimer emission significantly decreased and the monomer emission significantly increased, which indicates that the two pyrene fluorophores were less overlapped at basic pH values. As shown in Fig. 2b, the monomer emission intensity, depending on the pH in the absence of sugar, indicated that the  $pK_a$  value of phenylboronic acid played a critical role in the ratio between



Fig. 2 (a) Fluorescence response of **1** (10  $\mu$ M) in the absence of carbohydrates at different pH values and (b) the emission intensity at 378 nm as a function of pH in the presence ( $\bullet$ ) and absence ( $\blacksquare$ ) of glucose (0.05 M) in a 50 mM phosphate buffer solution containing 1% DMSO.

excimer and monomer emissions. As the pH is higher than the  $pK_a$  value of phenylboronic acid, the boronic acid with sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization was converted into a tetrahedral boronate form with sp<sup>3</sup> hybridization. The anionic boronate form of **1** preferred the monomer form rather than the aggregates, which may be due to the charge–charge repulsion and an increase of hydrophilicity, which induced the increase of monomer emission intensity and a decrease of excimer emission. UV-visible titration of **1** at different pH values showed that as the pH was increased, a considerable increase of the absorbance at 343 nm was observed (Fig. S8, ESI<sup>+</sup>), which revealed that pyrene–pyrene interactions decreased as the pH was increased.

Fig. 2b shows the emission intensity at 378 nm as a function of pH in the presence of glucose in aqueous buffer solutions. When glucose interacted with the phenylboronic acid of **1** to form a boronate-ester, the  $pK_a$  value of the phenylboronic acid decreased from 8.36 to 7.62. As a result, **1** was converted into an anionic boronate form at neutral pH and this complex preferred the monomer form rather than the aggregates, resulting in a decrease of excimer emission and a concomitant increase of monomer emissions. The shift in the  $pK_a$  value upon binding of glucose was in agreement with the previously reported results.<sup>4*a*-*c*,10</sup>

We also investigated the fluorescence response of **1** to D-fructose, D-galactose, and D-mannose (Fig. S9, ESI<sup>†</sup>). **1** showed a ratiometric response to the sugars at a neutral pH by increasing monomer emission intensity and decreasing excimer emission. Assuming a **1**:1 complex formation based on the ESI mass spectrum, the association constants of **1** for the sugars were calculated by fitting the emission intensity at 378 nm *versus* concentrations of the sugars (Fig. 3).<sup>11</sup> The association constants for D-fructose, D-galactose, D-mannose, and D-glucose were calculated to be 1199.72 M<sup>-1</sup>, 105.08 M<sup>-1</sup>, 90.13 M<sup>-1</sup> and 42.91 M<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The order of the binding affinity of **1** for sugars was



**Fig. 3** Emission intensity of **1** (10  $\mu$ M) upon addition of D-glucose ( $\bullet$ ), D-galactose ( $\blacktriangle$ ), D-mannose ( $\blacktriangledown$ ) and D-fructose ( $\blacksquare$ ) in 50 mM phosphate buffer solution containing 1% DMSO at pH 7.4.

consistent with that of the reported sugar sensor using phenylboronic acid.  $^{4a-c,10,12}$ 

Interestingly, the excimer emission was significantly decreased by adding the sugar, whereas the enhancement of monomer emission intensity depended on the kind of the binding sugar. The enhancement of monomer emission intensity of **1** correlates well with the association constants for the sugar.

To investigate the solvent effect on the response to sugars, we measured fluorescence of 1 in an aqueous solution containing different volumes of DMSO (Fig. S10, ESI<sup>+</sup>). As the percent of DMSO in the solvent increased from 1 to 10%, excimer emission significantly decreased and few excimer emissions were observed, whereas the monomer emission was enhanced less than 2 times. This indicates that the increase of the volume percent of the hydrophobic solvent, DMSO in solution may weaken the interactions between the compounds and stabilize the monomer form of 1. Interestingly, the enhancement of the monomer emission intensity of 1 upon binding with glucose in aqueous solution containing 1% DMSO was much higher than that measured in aqueous solution containing 10% DMSO without glucose. This may be due to the quenching effect of phenylboronic acid on the fluorescence of pyrene.<sup>13</sup> It was believed that the covalent interactions of the arylboronic acid with the sugars did not considerably change the fluorescence of the neighboring fluorophore. However, we found that the phenylboronic acid with sp<sup>2</sup> hybridization showed a more potent quenching effect on the fluorescence of pyrene than the tetrahedral boronate form with sp<sup>3</sup> hybridization.<sup>13</sup> Even though the aggregates of 1 were disassembled in aqueous solution by increasing the percentage of DMSO, the phenylboronic acid still acted as a quencher for the fluorescence of the pyrene to induce a weak monomer emission. The solvent effect on the fluorescence of 1 suggested that the hydrophobic interactions between the compounds play an important role in the aggregation in an aqueous solution. To confirm this, we synthesized compound 2 in which the hydrophobic Trp amino acid was replaced with Gly (Fig. S11-S15, ESI<sup>+</sup>). 2 showed only weak monomer emission in the absence of sugars in aqueous solutions containing 1% DMSO and showed a turn-on response to glucose by the enhancement of monomer emissions (Fig. S16, ESI<sup>+</sup>). This result confirms that the



Fig. 4 The proposed binding mode of 1 with sugars.

hydrophobicity of 1 is likely to be a major driving force for the aggregation of 1 in aqueous solutions.

To investigate the relationship between the fluorescence and the aggregates, we investigated the aggregates by dynamic light scattering (DLS) and by fluorescence spectroscopy. As shown in Fig. S17 (ESI<sup>+</sup>), the amphiphilic dipeptide **1** formed the aggregates of diameters *ca.* 100 nm and resulted in excimer emissions in aqueous solutions. The addition of glucose to the solution induced the disassembly of the aggregates with increasing monomer emissions and a concomitant decrease of the excimer emissions. At pH > 10, when the phenylboronic acid was converted into the boronate anion, neither aggregates nor excimer emissions were observed, whereas at pH  $\leq$  7.4, the aggregates of diameters *ca.* 100 nm and excimer emission was due to the formation of the aggregates of **1**. DLS measurements of **2** indicated that **2** did not form aggregates in aqueous solutions.

The binding mode of **1** with sugars as proposed is shown in Fig. 4. When the sugars covalently interacted with the phenylboronic acid of **1** to form boronate esters, the  $pK_a$  value of the boronic acid of the complex decreased. The resulting anionic boronate form of the complex with sugars preferred the monomer form rather than the aggregates due to charge-charge repulsions and an increase of the hydrophilicity of the complex. The sugar-induced disassembly of the aggregates of **1** resulted in an increase of monomer emissions and a concomitant decrease of excimer emissions.

In summary, we report a unique ratiometric sensing system based on a peptide containing phenylboronic acid for sugars by changing the aggregates into the monomer form in aqueous solutions after sugar binding. The covalent bonding of the phenylboronic acid of **1** with sugars induced disassembly of the aggregates into the monomer form of the complex and resulted in a decrease of excimer emissions and a concomitant increase of monomer emissions in aqueous solutions. To the best of our knowledge, this may be the first example of ratiometric sugar monitoring *via* a reversible disassembly of the aggregates into the monomer form in aqueous solutions by sugar binding.

This work was supported by a grant (2012R1A1B3000574) from the National Research Foundation and a grant from Inha University.

## Notes and references

1 (a) Glycoconjugates: composition, structure and function, ed. H. J. Allen and E. C. Kisailus, CRC Press, 1992; (b) C. R. Bertozzi and L. L. Kiessling, Science, 2001, **291**, 2357.

- 2 For recent reviews and examples see (a) R. Nishiyabu, Y. Kubo, T. D. James and J. S. Fossey, Chem. Commun., 2012, 47, 1106; (b) S. D. Bull, M. G. Davidson, J. M. H. vanden Elsen, J. H. Fossey, A. T. A. Jenkins, Y. B. Jiang, Y. Kubo, F. Marken, K. Sakurai, J. Zhao and T. D. James, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 312; (c) Z. Guo, I. Shin and J. Yoon, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 5956; (d) R. Nishiyabu, Y. Kubo, T. D. James and J. S. Fossey, Chem. Commun., 2011, 47, 1124; (e) B. K. Goritayala, Z. Lu, M. L. Leow, J. Ma and X.-W. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15229; (f) W. Wang, X. Gao and B. Wang, Curr. Org. Chem., 2002, 6, 1285; (g) Y. Huang, W. Ouyang, X. Wu, Z. Li, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1700; (h) B. Mu, T. P. McNicholas, J. Zhang, A. Hilmer, H. Zhong, N. F. Reuel, J. Kim, K. Yum and M. S. Strano, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 17620; (i) M. Elstner, K. Weisshart, K. Mullen and A. Schiller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 8098; (j) B. Kishan, L. Zhiqiang, M. L. Leow, J. Ma and X. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 15229; (k) T. L. Halo, J. Appelbaum, E. M. Hobert, D. M. Balkin and A. Schepartz, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 438.
- (a) T. D. James, K. R. A. S. Sandanayake and S. Shinkai, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1994, 477; (b) L. Zhu, S. H. Shabbir, M. Gray, V. M. Lynch, S. Sorey and E. V. Anslyn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 1222; (c) C. W. Gray, Jr. and T. A. Houston, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 67, 5426; (d) S. Franzen, W. Ni and B. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2003, 107, 12942; (e) Z. Wang, D. Zhang and D. Zhu, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 70, 5729; (f) L. Chi, J. Zhao and T. D. James, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73, 4684; (g) S. M. Levonis, M. J. Kiefel and T. A. Houston, Chem. Commun., 2009, 2278; (h) L. Zhang, J. A. Kerszulis, R. J. Clark, T. Ye and L. Zhu, Chem. Commun., 2009, 2151.
- 4 (a) F. M. Winnic, *Chem. Rev.*, 1993, **93**, 587; (b) S. Karuppannan and J. C. Chambron, *Chem. Asian J.*, 2011, **6**, 964; (c) C. Yao, H.-B. Kraatz and R. P. Steer, *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.*, 2005, **4**, 191.
- 5 For example: (a) H. K. Cho, D. H. Lee and J.-I. Hong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 1690; (b) M.-H. Yang, P. Thirupathi and K.-H. Lee,

*Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 5028; (c) Y. Shiraishi, Y. Tokitoh and T. Hirai, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 3841; (d) R.-H. Yang, W.-H. Chan, A. W. M. Lee, P.-F. Xia, H.-K. Zhang and K. Ani, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 2884; (e) S. K. Kim, S. H. Lee, J. Y. Lee, J. Y. Lee, R. A. Bartsch and J. S. Kim, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 16499; (f) A. P. De Silva, H. Q. N. Gunaratne, T. Gunnlaugsson, A. J. M. Huxley, C. P. McCoy, J. T. Rademacher and T. E. Rice, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 1515.

- 6 (a) Y.-J. Huang, W.-J. Quyang, X. Wu, Z. Li, J. S. Fossey, T. D. James and Y.-B. Jiang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 1700; (b) M. D. Phillips, T. M. Fyles, N. P. Barwell and T. D. James, Chem. Commun., 2009, 6557.
- 7 (a) B. Valeur, Molecular Fluorescence: Principles and Applications, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2002; (b) J. R. Lakowicz, Topics in Fluorescence Spectroscopy: Probe Design and Chemical Sensing, Plenum Press, New York, 1994, p. 4.
- 8 (a) S. Deo and H. A. Godwin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 174;
  (b) L. N. Neupane, P. Thirupathi, S. Jang, M. J. Jang, J. H. Kim and K.-H. Lee, *Talanta*, 2011, 85, 1566;
  (c) S. Jang, P. Thirupathi, L. N. Neupane, J. Seong, H. Lee, W. I. Lee and K.-H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 4746;
  (d) J.-M. Kim, C. R. Lohani, L. N. Neupane, Y. Choi and K.-H. Lee, Chem. Commun., 2012, 48, 3012;
  (e) B. R. White, H. M. Liljestrand and J. A. Holcombe, Analyst, 2008, 133, 65.
- 9 (a) F. A. Quiocho, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 1986, 55, 287; (b) L. N. Neupane, J. Y. Park, J. H. Park and K.-H. Lee, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 254; (c) Z. R. Laughrey, S. E. Kiehna, A. J. Riemen and M. L. Waters, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 14625.
- 10 (a) N. D. Cesare and J. R. Lakowicz, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2001, **105**, 6834;
  (b) J. Yan, G. Springsteen, S. Deeter and B. Wang, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 11205.
- 11 N. D. Cesare and J. R. Lakowicz, J. Photochem. Photobiol., A, 2001, 143, 39.
- 12 X. Gao, Y. Zhang and B. Wang, Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 4615.
- 13 L. N. Neupane, C. R. Lohani, J. Kim and K.-H. Lee, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 11057.