Journal Pre-proof

Solution-phase synthesis of the fluorogenic TGase 2 acyl donor Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH and its use for inhibitor and amine substrate characterisation

Robert Wodtke, Markus Pietsch, Reik Löser

PII: S0003-2697(19)31241-2

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2020.113612

Reference: YABIO 113612

To appear in: Analytical Biochemistry

Received Date: 12 December 2019

Revised Date: 3 February 2020

Accepted Date: 3 February 2020

Please cite this article as: R. Wodtke, M. Pietsch, R. Löser, Solution-phase synthesis of the fluorogenic TGase 2 acyl donor Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH and its use for inhibitor and amine substrate characterisation, *Analytical Biochemistry* (2020), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ab.2020.113612.

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2020 Published by Elsevier Inc.



Author contribution

RW: Conceptualization, Investigation, Methodology, Formal Analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding Acquisition; MP: Conceptualization, Formal Analysis, Writing- Reviewing and Editing, Funding Acquisition, Supervision; RL: Conceptualization, Investigation, Formal Analysis, Writing- Original draft preparation, Funding Acquisition, Supervision.

Journal Preservoi



Solution-Phase Synthesis of the Fluorogenic TGase 2 1 Acyl Donor Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH and its Use for 2 **Inhibitor and Amine Substrate Characterisation** 3

4 Robert Wodtke 1*, Markus Pietsch 2 and Reik Löser 1,3*

- 5 Helmholtz-Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Institute of Radiopharmaceutical Cancer Research; Bautzner 1 6 Landstrasse 400, 01328 Dresden, Germany
- 7 2 Institute II of Pharmacology, Centre of Pharmacology, Medical Faculty, University of Cologne, Gleueler 8 Strasse 24, 50931 Cologne, Germany 9
 - 3 Faculty of Chemistry and Food Chemistry, School of Science, Technische Universität Dresden,
- 10 Mommsenstraße 4, 01062 Dresden, Germany

11

12 Abstract: A reliable solution-phase synthesis of the water-soluble dipeptidic fluorogenic transglutaminase substrate Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH is presented. The route started from Z-Glu-OH, 13 14 which was converted into the corresponding cyclic anhydride. This building block was 15 transformed into the regioisomeric α - and γ -dipeptides. The key step was the esterification of 16 Z-Glu-Gly-OtBu with 4-methylumbelliferone. The final substrate compound was obtained in an 17 acceptable yield and excellent purity without the need of purification by RP-HPLC. The advantage 18 of this acyl donor substrate for the kinetic characterisation of inhibitors and amine-type acyl 19 acceptor substrates is demonstrated by evaluating commercially available or literature-known 20 irreversible inhibitors and the biogenic amines serotonin, histamine and dopamine, respectively.

21 Keywords: fluorogenic enzyme substrates; side-chain esterified peptides; aryl esters; peptide 22 synthesis; enzyme kinetics; biogenic amines

23

24 1. Introduction

25 Transglutaminase 2 is a multifunctional enzyme whose distinct physiological roles are 26 incompletely understood [1, 2]. Its eponymous and best-characterised function represents the 27 catalysis of the acyl transfer between glutamine residues and a variety of primary amines [3]. To 28 assess the significance of this enzymatic activity in cells, substrates (especially low molecular weight 29 polyamines) [4, 5] and inhibitors [6] were applied amongst other biochemical techniques [7, 8]. The 30 prerequisite for an accurate interpretation of potential effects mediated by those TGase 2-targeting

*Correspondence: r.wodtke@hzdr.de, r.loeser@hzdr.de ; Tel.: +49-351-260-3658

Abbreviations

d...doublet, DIPEA...N,N-diisopropylethylamine, EDTA...N,N'-ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid, GDH...glutamate HMC...7-hydroxy-4-methylcoumarin dehydrogenase, hTGase 2...human TGase 2; (=4-methylumbelliferone), HATU...(1-[bis(dimethylamino)methylene]-1H-1,2,3-triazolo[4,5-b]pyridinium 3-oxide hexafluorophosphate, HPLC...high performance liquid chromatography, Hsp...heat shock protein, LC...liquid chromatography, m...multiplet, MES... 2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid, MOPS...(3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid), MS...mass spectrometry, NMM...N-methylmorpholine, NMR...nuclear magnetic resonance, Np...4-nitrophenyl, PyBOP...benzotriazol-1-yl-oxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate, q...quadruplet, RP...reversed phase, s...singlet, t...triplet, TCEP... tris(2-carboxyethyl)phosphine, TLC...thin layer chromatography, UPLC...Ultra Performance Liquid Chromatography, Z...benzyloxycarbonyl (=carbobenzoxy, Cbz); all other abbreviations are in accordance to the recommendations of the IUPAC-IUB commission on biochemical nomenclature, see Eur. J. Biochem. 15 (1970) 203-208 and Eur. J. Biochem. 138 (1984) 9-37

31 molecules is the knowledge of their kinetic properties towards the isolated protein and also towards 32 the other members of the transglutaminase family. The other main function of TGase 2 is dealing 33 with the binding of GDP and GTP and catalysis of hydrolysis of the latter [9]. Both functions are 34 mutually exclusive as they are associated with distinct conformational states of the enzyme molecule 35 [10]. Therefore, occupation of the guanine nucleotide binding site of TGase 2 can be analysed via 36 detection of its acyltransferase activity [11, 12], which indicates the value of robust assay methods 37 that allow for the monitoring of this activity [13]. A rigorous kinetic assessment of the enzyme's 38 acyltransferase activity is enabled by the dipeptidic coumarinyl ester Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH as 39 fluorogenic substrate. In addition to its suitable kinetic and spectral properties, the compound's 40 utility is owed to its propitious water solubility [14]. Favourably, this compound can be also used for 41 the assay of other transglutaminase isoforms [15]. The synthesis of peptides containing glutamate 42 coumarinyl esters was achieved by solid-phase peptide synthesis using the chlorotrityl linker for 43 attachment to the solid support. The ester moiety in the glutamate side-chain was installed after 44 deblocking the allyl ester-protected γ -carboxylic acid function prior to acidic cleavage from the resin 45 [14]. Although this synthesis strategy is advantageous for obtaining various peptidic substrates, the 46 product scale is limited by the use of the costly polymeric resin and the need of preparative 47 RP-HPLC for purification. Efficient solution-phase methods have been described by Chung et al. [16] 48 and Leblanc et al. [17] for the synthesis of the corresponding chromogenic dipeptidic substrate 49 Z-Glu(ONp)-Gly-OH, which bears a nitrophenyl group attached to the identical peptidic scaffold. 50 This pathway was adopted by us for an efficient access to Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH, which will be 51 reported herein together with its kinetic evaluation towards TGase 2-catalysed hydrolysis. In this 52 context, it was envisaged to re-prove the fluorogenic substrate's previously established value for 53 TGase 2 inhibitor and substrate characterisation exemplarily for commercially available irreversible 54 inhibitors for which robust second-order inactivation constants k_{inact}/K_1 have not been reported so far. 55 Furthermore, kinetic parameters for selected physiologically relevant amine substrates were 56 determined by taking advantage of the title compound.

57

58 2. Materials and Methods

59 2.1. *General*

60 All starting materials, reagents and solvents were commercially obtained and used without 61 further purification. Compound 5, N-monodansylpiperazine and N-acryloxysuccinimide were 62 synthesised as previously published [15]. Chromatographic separations and TLC detections were 63 carried out with Merck Silica Gel 60 (63–200 µm) and Merck Silica Gel 60 F254 sheets, respectively. 64 TLCs were visualised under UV light (λ = 254 nm or 365 nm). Analytical RP-HPLC was carried out 65 with a system consisting of a Merck Hitachi L7100 gradient pump combined with a Jasco DG2080 66 four-line degasser with UV detection with a Merck Hitachi L7450 diode array detector. The system 67 was operated with D-700 HSM software and use of a Merck Hitachi D7000 interface. A Luna C18 5 68 µm column (Phenomenex, 250×4.6 mm) served as stationary phase. A binary gradient system of 69 0.1% CF₃COOH/water (solvent A) and 0.1% CF₃COOH/CH₃CN (solvent B) at a flow rate of 1 70 mL/min served as the eluent. The following elution programme was run to separate the 71 components: 0-3 min 80% A, 3-45 min gradient to 70% B, 45-46 min gradient to 95% B, 46-50 min 72 95% B, 50-55 min gradient back to 80% A, 55-60 min 80% A. For purification of compound 7, 73 preparative HPLC were performed on a Varian Prepstar system equipped with a UV detector 74 (Prostar, Varian). A Microsorb C18 60-8 column (Varian Dynamax 250 mm × 21.4 mm) was used as 75 the stationary phase. The same binary gradient system as for the analytical RP-HPLC was applied 76 using an appropriate gradient from low to high percentage of B with a slope of 1% per min.

Melting points were determined on a Galen III Boetius apparatus from Cambridge Instruments.
 NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity 400 MHz or an Agilent DD2 600 MHz spectrometer
 equipped with ProbeOne probe. Chemical shifts of the ¹H and ¹³C spectra were reported in parts per
 million (ppm) referenced to the (residual) solvent resonances relative to tetramethylsilane.

Journal Pre-proot

81 UPLC-MS was performed on a Waters ACQUITY UPLC I-Class system including an ACQUITY
82 UPLC PDA eλ setector coupled to a Xevo TQ-S mass spectrometer High-resolution mass spectra
83 were obtained on an Agilent UHD Accurate-Mass Q-TOF LC MS G6538A mass spectrometer
84 operated in combination with coupled with the Agilent 1260 Infinity II HPLC system. Elemental
85 microanalysis was performed on a Euro EA 3000 Elemental Analyzer (Euro Vector Instruments &
86 Software).

87

88 2.2. Benzyl (S)-(2,6-dioxotetrahydro-2H-pyran-3-yl)carbamate (1, N-Carbobenzoxyglutamic anhydride)

89 Z-Glu-OH (2.5 g, 8.89 mmol) was suspended in acetic anhydride (20 mL) and the temperature 90 was slowly raised to 55 °C (oil bath temperature), whereupon a clear solution was formed. From the 91 time of complete dissolution, stirring was continued for additional 10 min. The solution was 92 concentrated under high vacuum (rotary evaporator equipped with hybrid vacuum pump) to obtain a 93 nearly colourless oil. Ether (30 mL) was added to the crude product and ethyl acetate (5-10 mL) was 94 added until a homogeneous solution was obtained upon heating. The solution was transferred to an 95 Erlenmeyer flask and cyclohexane (15-20 mL) was added until the solution became turbid. The 96 mixture was kept at -20 °C over night, whereupon an oil separated. Crystallisation was initiated by 97 scratching with a glass rod. More cyclohexane (10 mL) was added and the mixture was kept again at 98 -20 °C over night. The crystalline material was collected by vacuum filtration and dried in vacuo to 99 obtain 1 (2.1 g, 0.80 mmol, 90%) as a white powder; Mp 82-86 °C (lit 86-88 °C [18]); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, 100 CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 1.94 (qd, ²J=13.1 Hz, ³J=5.6 Hz, 1H, C_β-HH), 2.45-2.59 (m, 1H, C_β-HH), 2.90 (ddd, 101 ²*J*=18.9 Hz, ³*J*=13.0 Hz, ³*J*=6.2 Hz, 1H, C₇-HH), 3.06 (ddd, ²*J*=18.7 Hz, ³*J*=5.6 Hz, ³*J*=2.2 Hz, 1H, C₇-HH), 102 4.38-4.52 (m, 1H, C_α-H), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 5.57 (br s, 1H, NH), 7.30-7.42 (m, 5H, Ph-H); ¹³C NMR (100 103 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 23.72 (C_β), 29.83 (C_γ), 51.48 (C_α), 67.77 (CH₂O), 128.39, 128.64, 128.80, 135.80, 104 (Carom), 155.81, OCONH), 164.53, 166.65 (2×C=O anhydride). NMR data are in agreement to those 105 reported in [17]. Elemental analysis C13H13NO5, calcd. C: 59.31%, H: 4.98%, N: 5.32%, found: C: 106 58.33%, H: 5.15%, N: 5.16%.

107 2.3. (S)-4-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-((2-(tert-butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoic acid (2, 108 Z-Glu-Gly-OtBu)

109 To a solution of compound 1 (0.5 g, 1.90 mmol) in chloroform (20 mL) was added glycine 110 tert-butyl ester hydrochloride (H-Gly-OtBu×HCl; 0.32 g, 1.90 mmol) as a solid followed by triethyl 111 amine (0.19 g, 0.26 mL, 1.90 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at room temperature. 112 The solvent was removed in vacuo and the obtained crude product mixture was adsorbed on silica gel 113 and loaded onto a silica column. Product 2 was obtained by elution with ether/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 114 1:1:0.01. As the UV absorption of both products is rather low, visualisation of compound spots during 115 monitoring of the chromatographic purification by TLC analysis of distinct fractions was done by 116 using Hanessian's stain [19]. The product-containing fraction were combined and brought to dryness 117 in vacuo. The obtained oily residue was crystallised by dissolving in a minimum amount of ethyl 118 acetate and subsequent addition of a larger volume of cyclohexane. The oil which separated from the 119 solvent mixture solidified at -20 °C. The solid was collected by vacuum filtration to obtain 373 mg (50 120 %) of compound 2. Rf 0.35 (n-hexane/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 1:4:0.01); Mp 96-103 °C (lit 106- 107 °C 121 [20]); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 1.45 (s, 9H, (CH₃)₃C), 1.88 – 2.01 (m, 1H, C_β-HH), 2.09 – 2.20 122 (m, 1H, C_{β} -HH), 2.41 – 2.59 (m, 2H, C_{γ} -H2), 3.83 – 4.00 (m, 2H, $^{Gly}C_{\alpha}$ -H2), 4.41 – 4.49 (m, 1H, $^{Glu}C_{\alpha}$ -H), 123 5.05-5.17 (m, 2H, CH2O), 5.88 (d, 3J=8.6 Hz, 1H, Glu-NH), 7.10-7.16 (br s, 1H, Gly-NH), 7.27-7.39 (m, 124 5H, Ph-H); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 28.16 (3×CH₃), 28.28 (C_β), 29.91 (C_γ) 42.25 (^{Gly}C_α), 125 53.85 (GluC_α), 67.44 (CH₂O), 82.75 ((CH₃)₃C), 128.20, 128.37, 128.68, 136.14 (4×C_{arom}), 156.70 (OCONH), 126 169.06, 171.93, 176.71 (3×C=O). NMR data are in agreement to those reported in [17]. Elemental 127 analysis C19H26N2O7: calcd. C: 57.86%, H: 6.64%, N: 7.10%, found: C: 58.97%, H: 6.79%, N: 6.94%.

Journal Pre-proof

129 Compound 2a was obtained by further elution of the chromatographic column described above 130 with ether/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 1:4:0.01. The product-containing fractions were combined and 131 brought to dryness in vacuo. The obtained oily residue was washed with cyclohexane under 132 ultrasonification to remove residual acetic acid, which was followed by washing with *n*-pentane. The 133 oil was dried under very low pressure (< 0.1 mbar) to obtain 223 mg (30%) of compound 2a as a white 134 foam. Rf 0.17 (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate/acetic acid 1:4:0.01); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 1.45 (s, 135 9H, (CH₃)₃C), 1.99 – 2.09 (m, 1H, C_B-HH), 2.15 – 2.27 (m, C_B-HH, 1H), 2.30 – 2.49 (m, 2H, C_{γ}-H₂), 3.84 – 136 3.96 (m, 2H, ^{GIy}C_α-H₂), 4.32 – 4.43 (m, 1H, ^{GIu}C_α-H), 5.08 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 6.02 (d, ³*J*=7.5 Hz, 1H, Glu-NH), 137 6.77 (t, ³J=4.9 Hz, 1H, Gly-NH), 7.27 – 7.35 (m, 5H, Ph-H), ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ (ppm): 28.12 138 $(3 \times CH_3)$, 28.50 (C₆), 32.13 (C₇), 42.35 (GyC₉), 53.48 (GuC₉), 67.24 (CH₂O), 82.91 ((CH₃)₃C), 128.19, 128.31, 139 128.65, 136.28 (4×Carom), 156.61 (OCONH), 169.39, 173.61, 174.34 (3×C=O). Elemental analysis 140 C19H26N2O7: calcd. C: 57.86%, H: 6.64%, N: 7.10%, found: C: 57.36%, H: 6.74%, N: 6.58%.

141 2.5. 4-Methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl

142 (S)-4-(((benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-((2-(tert-butoxy)-2-oxoethyl)amino)-5-oxopentanoate (3,

143 Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OtBu)

144 Compound 2 (0.373 g, 0.95 mmol) and HATU (0.361 g, 0.95 mmol) were dissolved in DMF (3 mL) 145 under a N₂ atmosphere. DIPEA (282 μ L, 1.88 mmol) and a solution of 4-methylumbelliferone (0.168 g, 146 0.95 mmol) in DMF (1 mL) were added to this mixture. The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 2 147 h at room temperature. Subsequently, the solution was diluted with CH2Cl2 (15 mL), transferred to a 148 separatory funnel and washed with 1 M HCl (1×3 mL), sat. NaHCO₃ (2×3 mL), H₂O (1×3 mL) and brine 149 (1×1 mL). The organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated in vacuo (the remaining DMF was 150 removed using a rotary evaporator equipped with hybrid pump for very low pressure) to obtain a 151 yellow viscous oil. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel using a 152 mixture of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate of increasing polarity (ratio starting from 6:4 over 1:1 to 4:6; the 153 final ratio was adjusted 20 fractions after unconverted 4-methylumbelliferone eluted). The 154 product-containing fractions were collected and evaporated in vacuo to obtain 243 mg of compound 3 155 as an off-white solid. As the product still contained 4-methylumbelliferone as trace impurity, the 156 material was recrystallised by adding ethyl acetate (3.2 mL) to a refluxing suspension of the product in 157 cyclohexane (4 mL). Upon keeping the solution at 4 °C overnight, a precipitate was formed, which was 158 collected by vacuum filtration, washed with cyclohexane and *n*-hexane and dried in vacuo to obtain 159 compound **3** (134 mg, 26%) as a white granulous solid. Rf 0.16 (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate 1:1); Mp 61-63 160 °C; 1H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 1.47 (s, 9H, (CH₃)₃C), 2.02 – 2.14 (m, 1H, C_β-HH), 2.26-2.38 (m, 161 1H, C_B-HH), 2.43 (d, ⁴J = 1.3 Hz, 3H, CH₃-coumarin), 2.67-2.90 (m, 2H, C_y-H₂), 3.90 (dd, ²J=18.2 Hz, ³J=5.1 162 Hz, 1H, C_α-HH of Gly), 3.98 (dd, ²J=18.3 Hz, ³J=5.4 Hz, 1H, C_α-HH of Gly), 4.37 – 4.44 (m, 1H, C_α-H of 163 Glu) 5.11 (d, ²J=12.3 Hz, 1H, CHHO), 5.15 (d, ²J=12.9 Hz, 1H, CHHO), 5.58 (d, ³J=8.0 Hz, 1H, Glu-NH), 164 6.27 (q, ⁴J=1.3 Hz, 1H, H-3 of coumarin), 6.51 (br s, 1H, Gly-NH) 7.07 – 7.11 (m, 1H, H-6 of coumarin), 165 7.13 (d, 4J=1.8 Hz, 1H, H-8 of coumarin), 7.28 - 7.38 (m, 5H, Ph-H), 7.57 (d, 3J=8.6 Hz, 1H, H-5 of 166 coumarin). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 18.88 (CH₃ of coumarin), 28.20 (3×CH₃), 28.30 (C_β), 167 30.52 (C_γ), 42.18 (C_α of Gly), 53.92 (C_α of Glu), 67.43 (CH₂O), 82.75 ((CH₃)₃C), 110.65 (C8 of coumarin), 168 114.76 (C3 of coumarin), 118.09, 118.27 (C4a and C6 of coumarin), 125.53 (C5 of coumarin), 128.30, 169 128.42, 128.73 (3×CH of phenyl), 136.18 (C1 of phenyl), 151.99, 153.10, 154.34 (C4, C7 and C8a of 170 coumarin), 156.37 (OCONH), 160.61 (C2 of coumarin), 168.62, 171.00, 171.54 (3×C=O). HR-MS (ESI+): 171 [M+NH₄]⁺ calculated: 570.2451, found: 570.2445 (90%), [2M+NH₄]⁺ calculated: 1122.4559, found: 172 1122.4565 (100%). Elemental analysis C₂₉H₃₂N₂O₉: calcd. C: 63.04%, H: 5.84%, N: 5.07%, found: C: 173 62.48%, H: 5.82%, N: 4.73%.

174

175 2.6. (S)-(2-(((Benzyloxy)carbonyl)amino)-5-((4-methyl-2-oxo-2H-chromen-7-yl)oxy)-5-oxopentanoyl)glycine
 176 (4, Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH)

To a solution of compound **3** (130 mg, 0.24 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (0.5 mL) was added slowly trifluoroacetic acid (4 mL) under ice cooling. After stirring for 2 h under ice cooling the solvents were

179 removed under a N₂ stream under ambient pressure. The oily residue was taken up into CH₂Cl₂ (20 180 mL) and washed with 0.1 M HCl (5 mL). As addition of HCl resulted in the formation of an emulsion, 181 brine (3 mL) was added, whereupon a white precipitate was formed, which was filtered off and 182 redissolved in ethyl acetate (15 mL). The aqueous layer was separated from the combined biphasic 183 mixture and the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated *in vacuo* to obtain 112 mg of a 184 white solid. Since an impurity was detectable in the ¹H NMR spectrum, the crude product was 185 recrystallised. To this end, the solid was dissolved in a mixture of ethyl acetate (4 mL) and acetonitrile 186 (2 mL) under heating. Cyclohexane (6 mL) was added and the resulting solution was kept at 4 °C for 187 30 min, whereupon a gelatinous precipitate formed. The material was collected by vacuum filtration, 188 washed with cyclohexane and *n*-pentane and dried *in vacuo* to obtain compound 4 (77 mg, 65%) as a 189 white solid. R_f 0.01 (*n*-hexane/ethyl acetate 2:8); Mp 167-171 °C; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-d_δ) δ 190 (ppm): 1.88 – 1.98 (m, 1H, C_B-HH), 2.02 – 2.14 (m, 1H, C_B-HH), 2.44 (d, ⁴J=1.3 Hz, 3H, CH₃-coumarin), 191 2.68 – 2.75 (m, 2H, C_y-H₂), 3.73 (dd, ²*J*=17.5, ³*J*=5.6 Hz, 1H, C_{α}-HH of Gly), 3.83 (dd, ²*J*=17.5, ³*J*=6.0 Hz, 192 1H, C_a-HH of Gly), 4.15-4.21 (m, 1H, C_a-H of Glu), 5.02 (d, ²J=12.6 Hz, 1H, CHHO), 5.07 (d, ²J=12.6 Hz, 193 1H, CHHO), 6.39 (d, 4J=1.3 Hz, 1H, H-3 of coumarin), 7.18 (dd, 3J=8.7, 2.3 Hz, 1H, H-6 of coumarin), 7.26 194 (d, 4J=2.3 Hz, 1H, H-8 of coumarin), 7.28 – 7.39 (m, 5H, Ph-H), 7.54 (d, 3J=8.3 Hz, 1H, Glu-NH), 7.81 (d, 195 ³*J*=8.7 Hz, 1H, H-5 of coumarin), 8.29 (t, ³*J*=5.8 Hz, 1H, Gly-NH). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃), δ (ppm): 196 18.11 (CH₃ of coumarin), 26.98 (C_{β}), 30.00 (C_{γ}), 40.66 (C_{α} of Gly), 53.49 (C_{α} of Glu), 65.51 (CH₂O), 197 110.04 (C8 of coumarin), 113.69 (C3 of coumarin), 117.47 (C4a of coumarin), 118.34 (C6 of coumarin), 198 126.32 (C5 of coumarin), 127.66, 127.74, 128.27 (3×CH of phenyl), 136.88 (C1 of phenyl), 152.82, 199 152.90, 153.48 (C4, C7 and C8a of coumarin), 155.92 (OCONH), 159.55 (C2 of coumarin), 170.82, 200 171.03, 171.51 (3×C=O). NMR data are in agreement to those reported in [14]. HR-MS (ESI+): m/z 201 calculated for [M+NH₄]*: 514.1825, found: 514.1821 (100%), [2M+NH₄]*: calculated: 1010.3307, found: 202 1010.3313 (57.2%), [3M+NH4]*: calculated: 1506.4789, found: 1506.4799 (80.52%). Elemental analysis: 203 C25H24N2O9·H2O calcd. C: 58.36%, H: 5.09%, N: 5.45%, found: C: 58.36%, H: 4.92%, N: 5.23%. 204 Chromatograms for UPLC-MS analysis of Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) are shown in Figure S2 205 (Supporting Information).

206

207 2.7. tert-Butyl (2-(4-((5-(dimethylamino)naphthalen-1-yl)sulfonyl)piperazin-1-yl)-2-oxoethyl)carbamate (6a, 208 N-Boc-glycine-4-dansylpiperazide)

209 To a solution of Boc-glycine (98.8 mg, 0.56 mmol) and DIPEA (196.5 µL, 0.12 mmol) in DMF 210 (5 mL) was added PyBOP (293 mg, 0.56 mmol). The solution was stirred for 5 min at room 211 temperature and, subsequently, N-monodansylpiperazine (90 mg, 0.28 mmol) was added. After 212 stirring for 3 h, the solvent of the reaction mixture was removed in vacuo and the obtained residue 213 was dissolved in ethyl acetate (15 mL). The organic phase was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ (2×10 mL) 214 and brine (1×10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The obtained crude product was 215 subjected to column chromatography (silica; eluent: petroleum ether/ethyl acetate ($25 \rightarrow 50\%$)) to 216 obtain 6a (133 mg, 100%) as a light-green solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=1.41 (s, 9H, 3×CH₃ of 217 Boc), 2.90 (s, 6H, 2×CH3 of dansyl), 3.23–3.15 (m, 4H, 2×CH2 of piperazine), 3.45–3.39 (m, 2H, CH2 of 218 piperazine), 3.69-3.62 (m, 2H, CH2 of piperazine), 3.86 (d, 3J=4.5 Hz, 2H, CH2 Gly), 5.36 (br s, NH), 219 7.21 (d, ³*J*=7.5 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 7.55 (dd, ³*J*=8.6, 7.6 Hz, 2H, 2×HDansyl), 8.20 (dd, ³*J*=7.3 Hz, ⁴*J*=1.2 Hz, 1H, 220 HDansyl), 8.37 (d, ³J=8.7 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 8.59 (d, ³J=8.5 Hz, 1H, HDansyl); ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): 221 δ=28.45 (3×CH₃ Boc), 41.68 (CH₂ of piperazine), 42.28 (CH₂ of Gly), 44.24 (CH₂ of piperazine), 45.48 222 (CH2 of piperazine), 45.63 (CH2 of piperazine), 45.65 (2×CH3 dansyl), 80.03 (Cquartar of Boc), 115.67, 223 119.65, 123.45, 128.45, 130.16 (Cquart of dansyl), 130.44 (Cquart of dansyl), 131.03, 131.16, 132.30 (Cquart of 224 dansyl), 151.68 (Cquart of dansyl), 155.87 (CO of Boc), 167.07; MS (ESI+) 477.3 ([M+H]+). ¹H and ¹³C 225 NMR spectra in agreement to published data [21].

226

227 2.8. 2-Amino-1-(4-((5-(dimethylamino)naphthalen-1-yl)sulfonyl)piperazin-1-yl)ethan-1-one (6b,

228 *Glycine-4-dansylpiperazide*)

Journal Pre-proo

229 Compound 6a (167 mg, 0.35 mmol) was dissolved in a mixture of TFA and CH₂Cl₂(10 mL; 1:1, 230 v/v) and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The volatiles were removed at ambient pressure in a 231 nitrogen stream. The residue was dissolved in sat. NaHCO₃ (20 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ 232 (5×5 mL). The organic phase was dried over Na₂SO₄ and subsequently evaporated to dryness to 233 obtain **6b** (131 mg, 100%) as a light-green solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ=2.83 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃ 234 of dansyl), 3.12–3.05 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂ of piperazine), 3.53–3.20 (m, 6H, 2×CH₂ of piperazine, CH₂ Gly), 235 7.27 (d, ³*J*=7.5 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 7.70–7.59 (m, 2H, 2×HDansyl), 8.13 (dd, ³*J*=7.4 Hz, ⁴*J*=1.2 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 236 8.30 (d, ³*J*=8.7 Hz, 1H, H_{Dansyl}), 8.53 (d, ³*J*=8.5 Hz, 1H, H_{Dansyl}), signal for NH₂ not detectable; ¹³C NMR 237 (100 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ=40.89 (CH₂ of piperazine), 42.53 (CH₂ Gly), 43.39 (CH₂ of piperazine), 45.04 238 (2×CH3 of dansyl), 45.37 (2×CH2 of piperazine), 115.33, 118.86, 123.70, 129.20 (Cquart of dansyl), 129.62 239 (Cquart of dansyl), 130.06, 130.39, 132.48 (Cquart of dansyl), 151.42 (Cquart of dansyl), 171.55 (CO); MS 240 (ESI+) 377.2 ([M+H]⁺) ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra are in agreement to published data [21].

241

243 N-Acryloylglycine-4-dansylpiperazide)

244 To a solution of compound 6b (112 mg, 0.30 mmol) and TEA (82.5 µL, 0,60 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ 245 (10 mL) was added N-acryloxysuccinimide (50.3 mg, 0,30 mmol) as solid. After 1 h, the same amount 246 of N-acryloxysuccinimide was added again and the mixture was stirred for additional 1 h at room 247 temperature. The solution was washed with sat. NaHCO₃ (2×10 mL), brine (1×10 mL); dried over 248 Na₂SO₄ and evaporated to dryness. The crude product was purified by preparative RP-HPLC to 249 obtain 7 (10.9 mg, 7%) as a white solid; ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=3.01 (s, 6H, 2×CH₃ of dansyl), 250 3.27–3.18 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂ of piperazine), 3.52–3.45 (m, 2H, CH₂ of piperazine), 3.72–3.66 (m, 2H, CH₂ 251 of piperazine), 4.08 (d, ³J=4.2 Hz, 2H, CH₂ Gly), 5.68 (dd, ³J=10.2 Hz, ²J=1.4 Hz, 1H, CH=CHH), 8.62 252 (d, ³J=8.6 Hz, 1H, H_{Dansyl}), 6.15 (dd, ³J=17.0, 10.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH₂), 6.28 (dd, ³J=17.0 Hz, ²J=1.4 Hz, 1H, 253 CH=CHH), 6.74 (bs, 1H, NαH of Gly), 7.31 (d, ³J=7.6 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 7.64–7.56 (m, 2H, 2×HDansyl), 8.24 254 (dd, ³J=7.4 Hz, ⁴J=1.1 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 8.48 (d, ³J=8.7 Hz, 1H, HDansyl), 8.62 (d, ³J=8.6 Hz, 1H, HDansyl); ¹³C 255 NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ=41.38 (CH₂ of Gly), 41.86 (CH₂ of piperazine), 44.36 (CH₂ of piperazine), 256 45.41 (CH₂ of piperazine), 45.59 (CH₂ of piperazine), 45.84 (2×CH₃ of dansyl), 116.22, 121.07, 124.15, 257 127.71 (CH=CH2), 128.30, 129.57 (Cquart of dansyl), 130.01 (CH=CH2), 130.43 (Cquart of dansyl), 130.57, 258 131.26, 132.59 (Cquart of dansyl), 149.58 (Cquart of dansyl), 165.92, 166.56. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra in 259 agreement to published data [21].

260 2.10. General assay procedure and analysis

261 All measurements were conducted at 30 °C over 900 s (interval of 8 or 10 s) using a Cytation 5 262 Microplate Reader (BioTek Instruments) and black 96-well microplates with µCLEAR® bottom 263 (greiner bio-one). Fluorescence was detected in bottom read mode and predefined settings for 264 excitation (360±20 nm) and emission (450±20 nm) of 7-HMC were chosen. Measurements at pH=8.0 265 and pH=6.5 were conducted with a sensitivity of 45 and 50, respectively. The assay mixture (200 µL) 266 contained 190 µL aqueous solution and 10 µL DMSO (5% v/v). The following three buffer systems 267 were used: assay buffer I (100 mM MES, 3 mM CaCl₂, 50 µM EDTA, adjusted to pH 6.5 with 1 M 268 NaOH), assay buffer II (100 mM MOPS, 3 mM CaCl₂, 50 µM EDTA, adjusted to pH 8.0 with 1 M 269 NaOH) and enzyme buffer (100 mM MOPS, 3 mM CaCl₂, 10 mM TCEP, 20% (v/v) glycerol). The 270 buffers were stored at 0 °C for periods of up to 2 weeks and freshly prepared after that period. The 271 concentration of the hTGase 2 stock solution was 1 mg/mL. All regression analyses were 272 accomplished using GraphPad Prism (version 8.2.1, August 20, 2019). To provide values of mean 273 and SEM, the respective regression analyses were separately accomplished for each experiment and 274 the obtained fit values were collected and statistically analysed. hTGase 2 (T022) and inhibitors Z006 275 and Z013 were purchased from Zedira (Darmstadt, Germany).

276 Detailed descriptions of the assay procedures and the kinetic analyses for the characterisation of 277 Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) towards enzymatic hydrolysis at pH 6.5 and 8.0 as well as for the kinetic 278 characterisation of amines and irreversible inhibitors are given in recent publications of our group

Journal Pre-proof

[14, 15]. In brief, the recorded time courses of type (RFU-RFU₀)=f(t) for the enzymatic and spontaneous conversion were analysed by nonlinear (one-phase association) and linear regressions to the experimental data. Subsequently, initial rates v_{0total} (in the presence of enzyme) and v_{0control} (spontaneous reaction in the absence of enzyme) were derived. Both data sets were globally analysed by applying the model of total and nonspecific binding (implemented in GraphPad Prism) to obtain the kinetic parameters for the enzymatic reaction. According to that model, the following

rule was defined:

286
$$v_{0total}(enzymatic + spontaneous) = v_{0corr}(enzymatic) + v_{0control}(spontaneous)$$
 (1)

where v_{0corr} represents the rates for the enzymatic conversions (without spontaneous reaction). The spontaneous and enzymatic conversions are mathematically defined within this model by the following equations:

$$290 \quad v_{0\text{control}} = k_{\text{obs}} * [S]$$

$$291 \qquad v_{0corr} = \frac{V_{max} * [S]}{K_m + [S]}$$

Accordingly, v_{0total} is defined by the sum function of equations (2) and (3). Due to negligible spontaneous reaction of **4** at pH 6.5, the above mentioned rule simplifies as follows:

294
$$v_{0total} = v_{0corr}$$

However, concerning the kinetic analyses the previously published procedures were slightlyadjusted, as detailed below.

297 Concerning the enzymatic hydrolysis of Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) at pH 6.5, the recorded time 298 courses of type (RFU-RFU₀)=f(t) were analysed by either nonlinear (one-phase association) or linear 299 regression over the entire measurement period of 900 s (instead of only the first 300 s) to the 300 experimental data depending on the shape of the curve.

Concerning the kinetic characterisation of the biogenic amines, the two sets of initial rates (v0total=f([amine]) and v0control=f([amine])) were also globally analysed using the model of total and nonspecific binding (instead of simple subtraction of v0control from vototal values) as conducted for the analysis of the enzymatic hydrolyes).

305

306 3. Results and Discussion

307 The four-step synthesis started from commercially available Z-Glu-OH and the first two steps 308 followed mainly the procedures published by Leblanc et al. [17] (Scheme 1). Treatment of Z-Glu-OH 309 with acetic anhydride, which acts both as solvent and condensation agent, at elevated temperature 310 led to the corresponding cyclic anhydride 1. The oily crude product obtained by concentration of the 311 reaction mixture could be crystallised by treatment with ether, ethyl acetate and cyclohexane (see 312 Material and Methods section), which resulted in an almost quantitative yield of 90%. From a 313 historical point of view, it is worth to mention that glutamic anhydride 1 has been used as building 314 block for the synthesis of glutamate-containing peptides when peptide chemistry was still in its 315 infancy [22]. Compound 1 was subjected to ring opening with glycine tert-butyl ester, which resulted 316 in quantitative consumption of the anhydride within 30 min under the formation of the 317 regioisomeric α - and γ -dipeptides 2 and 2a. The ratio of both isomers was determined to be 7:3 in the 318 favour to the desired product 2 by HPLC analysis (see Figure S1, Supporting Information). As 319 reported by Leblanc et al., both products were conveniently separated by chromatography on silica 320 gel. By-product 2a was characterised and could – in its N^{α} -Fmoc-protected version – be a useful 321 building block for solid-phase peptide synthesis as it represents an extended glutamate analogue. 322 Dipeptide Z-Glu-Gly-OtBu (2) was esterified with the fluorophore 4-methylumbelliferone by 323 applying conditions that have been elaborated by Twibanire and Grindley for the efficient acylation

(2)

(3)

(4)

Journal Pre-proof

324 of alcohols of varying reactivity using HATU as activating agent [23], which provided compound 3. 325 Column chromatographic purification of the crude product yielded the product, which contained an 326 unknown impurity according to the ¹H NMR spectrum beside trace amounts of unreacted 327 4-methylumbelliferone. As these impurities would be more difficult to remove after the final step 328 considering the higher polarity of the free carboxylic acid, the obtained material was recrystallised to 329 furnish highly pure compound 3. In the final step, tert-butyl ester 3 was cleaved by treatment with 330 trifluoroacetic acid. During work-up, excessive TFA was efficiently removed by acidic aqueous 331 washing to obtain the crude product in crystalline state. This material was recrystallised form ethyl 332 acetate/acetonitrile/cyclohexane to obtain compound 4 as monohydrate, according to results of 333 elementary microanalysis. Its purity has been confirmed by RP-HPLC/MS analysis.



334 335

336 Scheme 1: Four-step synthesis of Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) starting from Z-Glu-OH. Reagents and conditions:

- a) acetic anhydride, 55 °C, 10 min; b) H-Gly-OtBu×HCl, triethylamine, CHCl₃, room temperature; c) HATU,
- 338 DIPEA, 4-methylumbelliferone, DMF, room temperature; d) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, room temperature.
- 339

Compound 4 was investigated regarding the kinetics of hTGase 2-catalysed hydrolysis both at pH 6.5 and pH 8.0. The results are shown in Figure 1 and Table 1 (see also Figure S19 in Supporting Information). The obtained catalytic and Michaelis constants are in good agreement with the recently published values [14]. The fact that the determined *K*_m values are slightly lower than those recently published can be attributed to the better-defined composition of the crystalline compound material obtained herein whereas previously obtained substrate preparations furnished amorphous and slightly hygroscopic material after lyophilisation.



347

Figure 1: hTGase 2-catalysed hydrolysis of Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) at pH=8.0 and pH 6.5. Plots of v_{0corr}=f([4])
 for pH 8.0 and pH 6.5 with the nonlinear regressions (—) using Equation (3) (Michaelis-Menten equation,

350 Materials and Methods section). Data shown are mean values ±SEM of 3 separate experiments, each performed

in duplicate. When not apparent, error bars are smaller than the symbols. Conditions: pH=8.0 or 6.5, 30 °C, 5%

352 DMSO, 500 μM TCEP, 3 μg/ml of hTGase 2.

353 Table 1: Kinetic parameters for the hTGase 2-catalysed hydrolyses of Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) at pH 6.5 and 8.0

pH value	<i>K</i> _m (μM)	<i>k</i> _{cat} (s ⁻¹)	k_{cat}/K_{m} (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹)
6.5	4.05 (0.23)	0.30 (0.01)	74,100
8.0	3.93 (0.48)	0.61 (0.03)	155,000

354 Rate constant for spontaneous hydrolysis: k_{obs} (TCEP, pH 8.0) = 16.8 (0.5) × 10⁻³ min⁻¹

For details on calculation of the kinetic parameters see Materials and Methods Section. Data shown are mean values (±SEM) of three separate experiments, each performed in duplicate. Active concentrations of hTGase 2

357 (E_T=30.8 nM) were determined by active site titration as recently described [24].

358 Following the hTGase 2-catalysed hydrolysis at pH 6.5, the utility of substrate 4 for the kinetic 359 characterisation of irreversible inhibitors was demonstrated/validated by investigating three 360 inhibitors which were reported in the literature. The selected compounds comprised the 361 commercially available peptidic inhibitors Z006 and Z013, which bear a diazomethyl ketone or an 362 α , β -unsaturated carboxylic ester as electrophilic warhead, respectively. Furthermore, the 363 nonpeptidic acrylamide 1-155 (compound 7), which was recently published by Badarau et al. [21, 364 25], was synthesised according to Scheme 2 in orientation to the described procedure. In contrast to 365 the former two compounds, a second-order inactivation constant k_{inact}/K_1 has been reported for the 366 latter. The results of the inhibitor characterisation are included in Table 2.

367

368 Table 2: Second-order inactivation constants (*k*_{inact}/*K*_i) and *K*_i values of different literature-known irreversible

369 inhibitors of hTGase 2 at pH 6.5 compared to their reported inhibitory parameters

compound	structure	<i>k</i> _{inact} / <i>K</i> ₁ (M ⁻¹ s ⁻¹) ^{a*}	<i>K</i> i (μΜ) ^{a*}	IC ₅₀ (nM)



^aData shown are mean values (±SEM) of two separate experiments, each performed in duplicate.

^bTGase 2-catalysed incorporation of R-I-Cad in DMC (transamidation) at pH 8.0 (5 min preincubation period of enzyme and inhibitor). ^cTGase 2-catalysed incorporation of Boc-K-NH(CH₂)₂NH-Dns in DMC (transamidation) at pH 7.4 (30 min preincubation period of enzyme and inhibitor). ^dIsopeptidase-assay using the substrate Abz-NE(CAD-DNP)EQVSPLTLLK-OH (A101, Zedira). ^eTGase 2-catalysed incorporation of *N*-(biotinyl)cadaverine in immobilised DMC (transamidation) at pH 8.5 (30 min preincubation period of enzyme and inhibitor. ^fGDH-coupled assay (deamidation) at pH 7.2.

* E+I
$$\xrightarrow{\text{rons}}$$
 EI $\xrightarrow{\text{rons}}$ E-I $K_{\text{I}} = (k_{\text{off}} + k_{\text{inact}})/k_{\text{on}}, K_{\text{I}} = k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$

k

370

371 Schaertl et al. have determined an IC50 value of 20 nM for Z006 together with various other 372 by employing a fluorescence-based transamidase-detecting compounds assay using 373 N,N-dimethylcasein as acyl donor and N-monodansylcadaverin as acyl acceptor substrate, 374 respectively [27]. In a later study, N^{ϵ} -acryloyllysine-based irreversible inhibitor 5 has been 375 synthesised, which was investigated in the same assay [26]. The IC₅₀ value of 5 was 14 nM under 376 these conditions, which suggests that it should be equipotent to Z006. However, the kinact/Ki value of 377 Z006 determined herein (191 000 M⁻¹s⁻¹) is 37-fold greater than that of 5. Even though the applied 378 assay methods detect different TGase 2-catalysed reactions (transamidation in Schaertl et al. vs. 379 hydrolysis herein) and the pH values are different (6.5 vs. 7.4), the potencies of Z006 and 5 should 380 not be influenced to such extent. In agreement with the value of k_{inact}/K_1 determined for Z006, 381 Khosla's group reported inactivation constants of 48 000 M⁻¹s⁻¹ [29] and 139 000 M⁻¹s⁻¹ [30] for 382 structurally related peptidic diazomethyl ketones, which have been determined using the 383 GDH-coupled assay. The higher reactivity of Z006 towards TGase 2 in comparison to 5 is reasonable, 384 as diazomethyl ketones are inherently more reactive towards thiols than acrylamides. Moreover, as 385 the peptidic structures of Z006 and Z013 are based on gliadin peptides, which are natural substrates 386 of TGase 2, they can probably be better accommodated by the active site, which in turn results in 387 stronger non-covalent interactions. This is reflected in the low Ki value of 96 nM for Z006. The similar 388 IC₅₀ values of Z006 and **5** and the drastically differing inactivation constants can be rationalised 389 when the assay conditions are considered. The IC50 values were determined using a TGase 2 390 concentration of 20 nM and a preincubation time of 30 min [27]. Therefore, the IC₅₀ limit, which 391 corresponds to half of the employed active enzyme concentration ($[E]_T/2$) [31, 32], might have been 392 reached for both compounds. In this context, it should be pointed out that the IC₅₀ value of an 393 irreversible inhibitor in general will always be equal to $[E]_T/2$, provided that $[inhibitor] \ge [enzyme]/2$

Journal Pre-proof

394 and enough incubation time is given. The other investigated peptidic inhibitor Z013 exhibits a 395 k_{inact}/K_1 of 51 500 M⁻¹s⁻¹, which is significantly more potent than 5. As for Z006, the higher inhibitory 396 potency of Z013 can be attributed to the more reactive electrophilic warhead and the peptidic 397 structure, which corresponds to that of Z006, apart from one amino acid side chain. An IC₅₀ value of 398 200 nM has been reported for Z013; however, no information on enzyme concentration and 399 preincubation time were provided [28]. Again, the comparison to the kinetic parameter kinet/Ki 400 indicates that IC50 values are not suitable for evaluating the potency of irreversible inhibitors, unless 401 identical conditions and carefully adjusted preincubation times are used [33].



402

403 Scheme 2: Synthesis of inhibitor 1-155

404 405

Reagents and conditions: a) PyBOP, DIPEA, DMF, 3 h; b) TFA/CH₂Cl₂ (1:1, v/v), 2 h; c) TEA, CH₂Cl₂, 2 h.

406 The synthesis of 1-155 (compound 7) started with the amide bond coupling between 407 Boc-Gly-OH and N-monodansylpiperazine to 6a using PyBOP and DIPEA, in difference to the 408 published procedure, which used EDC, HOBt and NMM as coupling reagents (Scheme 2) [21]. After 409 TFA-mediated Boc cleavage the acryloyl moiety was introduced by reacting 6b with 410 *N*-acryloxysuccinimide. The last step proceeded in low yield and should better be performed with 411 acryloyl chloride as acylating agent. A k_{inact}/K_1 of 12 700 M⁻¹s⁻¹ was determined for 1-155. This value is 412 approximately 2.5-fold higher than both that for the N^{ε} -acryloyllysine 5 and that of 1-155 determined 413 by Badarau et al. using the GDH-coupled assay. However, taking into account that the two assay 414 methods work at different pH values (6.5 vs. 7.2) the results can be considered comparable. The 415 higher efficiency of 1-155 compared to 5 as determined in the fluorimetric assay is remarkable. This 416 is probably the result of well-balanced conformational flexibility/rigidity and targeting of interaction 417 partners in the enzymes binding site for 1-155. Considering its smaller size (30 vs. 35 non-hydrogen 418 atoms), binding of 1-155 might be entropically more favoured than that of 5.

419 In addition to the kinetic characterisation of inhibitors, a further asset of the fluorogenic 420 substrate 4 is the convenient investigation of amine-based acyl acceptor substrates, which are 421 interesting for the development of TGase 2-directed imaging agents [34]. Moreover, their substrate 422 properties are of general interest. Therefore, we decided to determine exemplarily the kinetic 423 parameters of histamine, serotonin and dopamine as common biogenic amines of the primary 424 arylethylamine type. These compounds are known as classical neurotransmitters and the former two 425 are important mediators in non-neuronal cells and tissues as well. They have been known for 60 426 years to be substrates of TGase 2 [35]. However, the physiological significance of TGase-mediated 427 aminylation as an important post-translational modification has been recognised only in the recent 428 decades [36-39]. In particular, the TGase 2-catalysed serotonylation of small GTPases such as RhoA 429 and Rab4 in thrombocytes has been shown to be critical for blood haemostasis. Worth of note, 430 serotonylation of Gln63 in RhoA renders its GTPase activity permanently active [40]. A similar 431 mechanism has been identified for the constitutive activation of Rac1 in the central nervous system 432 [41, 42]. In particular, activation of the $G_{q/11}$ -coupled 5-HT_{2A} receptors in rat cortical cells raises the 433 cytosolic Ca²⁺ level, which in turn activates TGase 2 for catalysing the serotonylation of Rac1 [42]. 434 Apart from small GTPases, other proteins have been identified as substrates for TGase 2-mediated 435 serotonylation [43] and, most recently, the transamidation of Gln5 of histone H3 to serotonin has 436 been discovered as a transcription-permissive modification [44]. Similar to serotonylation, TGase 437 2-mediated histaminylation leads to the constitutive activation of small and heterotrimeric G 438 proteins [45]. Histamine transfer to extracellular proteins such as fibrinogen has been suggested as a 439 mechanism for attenuating the pro-inflammatory effects of this endogenous mediator [46]. 440 Furthermore, fibronectin, another extracellular TGase 2 substrate, has been shown to undergo

- 441 monoaminylation, including dopaminylation [47, 48]. Despite this overwhelming body of evidence
- 442 for the biological relevance of TGase 2-catalysed protein acyl transfer to biogenic monoamines, exact
- 443 kinetic data on their substrate properties are scarce or have not been determined at all.



444

Figure 2. hTGase 2-catalysed incorporation of different biogenic amines into compound Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4). Plots of $v_{0corr}/[hTGase 2]=f([amine])$ with nonlinear regressions (—) using Equation (3) (Michaelis-Menten equation, Materials and Methods section). Data shown are mean values ±SEM of two separate experiments, each performed in duplicate. When not apparent, error bars are smaller than the symbols. Conditions: pH=8.0 (100 mM MOPS was used, which ensures that the pH value is maintained over the entire range of amine concentrations), 30 °C, 5% DMSO, 100 μ M Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4), 500 μ M TCEP, 0.6, 2 and 3 μ g/mL of hTGase 2 for histamine, dopamine and serotonin, respectively.

452 **Table 3.** Kinetic parameters of different biogenic amines as acyl acceptors for hTGase 2 at pH=8.0

biogenic amine	$K^{ m app}_{ m m}$ (mM)	$k_{\rm cat}^{\rm app}$ (s ⁻¹)	$k_{cat}/K_{m} (M^{-1}s^{-1})$
histamine	0.08 (0.01)	5.35 (0.58)	66,900
dopamine	0.96 (0.06)	2.90 (0.04)	3,000
serotonin	0.50 (0.17)	1.35 (0.04)	2,700

For details on calculation of the kinetic parameters see Experimental section. Data shown are mean values (±SEM) of two separate experiments, each performed in duplicate. Active concentrations of hTGase 2 (ET=6.15 nM for histamine, 20.5 nM for dopamine and 30.8 nM for serotonin) were determined by active site titration as recently described [24].

457 The results on the kinetic characterisation of histamine, serotonin and dopamine are shown in 458 Figure 2 and the calculated parameters are included in Table 3 (see also Figure S20 in Supporting 459 Information). The substrate concentration range and the employed enzyme concentration were 460 adjusted for each substrate in preliminary tests. Notably, no substrate inhibition occurred for any of 461 the amine substrates. The formation of the corresponding secondary amide products upon TGase 462 2-catalysed substrate conversion was confirmed by LC-MS/MS analysis (see Figures S21-S26 in 463 Supporting Information). In agreement with previously published data using the GDH-coupled 464 assay and the gluten-derived heptapeptide Ac-PQPQLPF-NH₂ as acyl donor, histamine is a very 465 efficient acyl acceptor substrate for TGase 2 [49]. In contrast, the second-order performance constants 466 for both serotonin and dopamine are approximately 20-fold lower. In the GDH-assay mentioned

467 before, which employs TGase 2 at a concentration as high as 50 μ g/mL (640 nM), conversion of 468 serotonin was not detectable. This enzyme concentration is approximately 20-fold higher than that 469 applied herein for the analysis of serotonin. This demonstrates the value of activated fluorogenic 470 acyl donors such as compound 4 as even poor acyl acceptor substrates can be analysed and the 471 consumption of valuable enzyme material is minimised. According to its k_{cat}/K_m, dopamine is a 472 slightly more efficient amine substrate for TGase 2 than serotonin, even though the apparent 473 Michaelis constant is lower for serotonin. Considering that all three studied biogenic amines share 474 the 2-aminoethyl chain and an (hetero)aromatic core, the distinct substrate properties of histamine 475 are remarkable. One could argue that its imidazole ring could assist in the deacylation step of the 476 catalytic cycle by acting as general base in the proton transfer from the amine nitrogen, as 477 intramolecular hydrogen bonds have been identified in both the neutral and side-chain protonated 478 form of histamine [50]. Thus, the imidazole ring of the substrate would fulfil the same function 479 during attack of the neutral amino group on the thioester intermediate as the imidazole of active-site 480 His 335 [51]. However, its $K_{m^{app}}$ of 80 μ M, which is significantly lower than the corresponding values 481 for dopamine and serotonin, suggests specific interactions within the enzyme's active site. 482 Furthermore, it is surprising that serotonin is the least efficient substrate of the investigated amines 483 even though the most findings on physiologically relevant protein monoaminylation have been 484 obtained for this biogenic amine [52]. In this context, it is worth to consider that beside availability of 485 the particular monoamine substrate, which can vary from cell type and depends on the subcellular 486 localisation, the sequence in which the Gln substrate residue is embedded should also influence the 487 substrate specificity towards the amine-based acyl acceptor substrate. This is evidenced by 488 investigations on the sequence dependence of TGase 2-catalysed modification of Hsp20, which 489 occurs at two of its five Gln residues, Gln31 and Gln66. Interestingly, concerning the differentiation 490 between the acyl acceptor the outcome is different for both residues as Gln31 is exclusively 491 transamidated whereas Gln66 is exclusively deamidated. However, when the isolated 492 undecapeptides of the sequences in which both residues are embedded are considered, Gln31 is not 493 converted at all whereas Gln66 undergoes both transamidation and deamidation [53]. This indicates 494 that the results on the substrate properties of the biogenic monoamines obtained with 495 Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH (4) as acyl donor substrate should be extrapolated with care towards large 496 protein substrates. Therefore, we would like to define the elucidation of the molecular mechanisms 497 that lead to the coupling between acyl donor and acyl acceptor substrate specificity as a future 498 challenge for TGase research. In this context, the influence of the acceptor nucleophile on the 499 mechanism of the acylation step in TGase 2 catalysis has been established very recently on the basis 500 of ¹⁴N/¹⁵N kinetic isotope effects [54].

501

502 4. Conclusions

A reliable solution phase synthesis of the title substrate compound **4** has been established, which will support its use in transglutaminase research. Its value for the evaluation of TGase 2 inhibitors based on meaningful kinetic parameters has been demonstrated. The compound's utility for the characterisation of amine substrates as exemplified by the biogenic amines histamine, serotonin and dopamine has been shown, which allows for the first time their comparison on a robust kinetic basis.

Supporting Information: Supplementary data associated with this article (chromatograms for synthesis, NMR
 spectra for compounds 1-4, kinetic data, identification of reaction products for biogenic amines) can be found in
 the online version at doi:

512 Acknowledgments: We highly appreciate the skilful assistance of Ms. Peggy Nehring and Mr. Kay Fischer in 513 substrate synthesis and that of Mr. David Bauer in that of inhibitor 1-155. Dr. Markus Laube and Ms. Karin 514 Landrock are kindly acknowledged for the measurement of HR-MS spectra and elemental microanalyses, 515 respectively.

516

517 References

518

- 519 [1] N. Agnihotri, K. Mehta, Transglutaminase-2: Evolution from pedestrian protein to a promising therapeutic 520 target, Amino Acids, 49 (2017) 425-439.
- 521 [2] R.L. Eckert, Transglutaminase 2 takes center stage as a cancer cell survival factor and therapy target, Mol.
- 522 Carcinog., 58 (2019) 837-853.
- 523 [3] J.E. Folk, J.S. Finlayson, The ε -(γ -glutamyl)lysine crosslink and the catalytic role of transglutaminases, Adv.
- 524 Protein Chem., 31 (1977) 1-133.
- 525 [4] R. van Geel, M.F. Debets, D.W. Lowik, G.J. Pruijn, W.C. Boelens, Detection of transglutaminase activity 526 using click chemistry, Amino Acids, 43 (2012) 1251-1263.
- 527 [5] S. Hauser, R. Wodtke, C. Tondera, J. Wodtke, A.T. Neffe, J. Hampe, A. Lendlein, R. Löser, J. Pietzsch,
- 528 Characterization of tissue transglutaminase as a potential biomarker for tissue response toward biomaterials,
- 529 ACS Biomater. Sci. Eng., 5 (2019) 5979-5989.
- 530 [6] K. Jambrovics, I.P. Uray, Z. Keresztessy, J.W. Keillor, L. Fesus, Z. Balajthy, Transglutaminase 2 programs
- 531 differentiating acute promyelocytic leukemia cells in all-trans retinoic acid treatment to inflammatory stage
- 532 through NF-κB activation, Haematologica, 104 (2019) 505-515.
- 533 [7] M.S. Pavlyukov, N.V. Antipova, M.V. Balashova, M.I. Shakhparonov, Detection of transglutaminase 2 534
- conformational changes in living cell, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 421 (2012) 773-779.
- 535 [8] N.S. Caron, L.N. Munsie, J.W. Keillor, R. Truant, Using FLIM-FRET to measure conformational changes of 536 transglutaminase type 2 in live cells, PLoS One, 7 (2012) e44159.
- 537 [9] S. Liu, R.A. Cerione, J. Clardy, Structural basis for the guanine nucleotide-binding activity of tissue
- 538 transglutaminase and its regulation of transamidation activity, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 99 (2002) 2743–2747.
- 539 [10] W.P. Katt, M.A. Antonyak, R.A. Cerione, Opening up about tissue transglutaminase: When conformation
- 540 matters more than enzymatic activity, Med One, 3 (2018).
- 541 [11] A. Case, R.L. Stein, Kinetic analysis of the interaction of tissue transglutaminase with a nonpeptidic
- 542 slow-binding inhibitor, Biochemistry, 46 (2007) 1106-1115.
- 543 [12] K. Thangaraju, B. Biri, G. Schlosser, B. Kiss, L. Nyitray, L. Fesus, R. Kiraly, Real-time kinetic method to
- 544 monitor isopeptidase activity of transglutaminase 2 on protein substrate, Anal. Biochem., 505 (2016) 36-42.
- 545 [13] M. Pietsch, R. Wodtke, J. Pietzsch, R. Löser, Tissue transglutaminase: An emerging target for therapy and 546 imaging, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 23 (2013) 6528-6543.
- 547 [14] R. Wodtke, G. Schramm, J. Pietzsch, M. Pietsch, R. Löser, Synthesis and kinetic characterisation of 548 water-soluble fluorogenic acyl donors for transglutaminase 2, ChemBioChem, 17 (2016) 1263-1281.
- 549 [15] R. Wodtke, C. Hauser, G. Ruiz-Gómez, E. Jäckel, D. Bauer, M. Lohse, A. Wong, J. Pufe, F.-A. Ludwig, S.
- 550 Fischer, S. Hauser, D. Greif, M.T. Pisabarro, J. Pietzsch, M. Pietsch, R. Löser, N^{ϵ} -Acryloyllysine piperazides as
- 551 irreversible inhibitors of transglutaminase 2: Synthesis, structure-activity relationships, and pharmacokinetic
- 552 profiling, J. Med. Chem., 61 (2018) 4528-4560.
- 553 [16] S.I. Chung, R.I. Shrager, J.E. Folk, Mechanism of action of guinea pig liver transglutaminase. VII. Chemical
- 554 and stereochemical aspects of substrate binding and catalysis, J. Biol. Chem., 245 (1970) 6424-6435.
- 555 [17] A. Leblanc, C. Gravel, J. Labelle, J.W. Keillor, Kinetic studies of guinea pig liver transglutaminase reveal a
- 556 general-base-catalyzed deacylation mechanism, Biochemistry, 40 (2001) 8335-8342.
- 557 [18] N.J. Manesis, M. Goodman, Synthesis of a novel class of peptides: Dilactam-bridged tetrapeptides, J. Org.
- 558 Chem., 52 (1987) 5331-5341.

559	[19] D.S. Pedersen, Let's talk about TLCs. Part 2 - hanessian's stain, 2006.					
560	http://curlyarrow.blogspot.com/2006/11/lets-talk-about-tlcs-part-2-hanessians.html; accessed on 10/12/2019.					
561	[20] E. Klieger, E. Schröder, Synthese von α-Glutamyl-Peptiden mit					
562	Carbobenzoxy-L-glutaminsäure-α-phenylester, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 661 (1963) 193-201.					
563	[21] E. Badarau, Z. Wang, D.L. Rathbone, A. Costanzi, T. Thibault, C.E. Murdoch, S. El Alaoui, M. Bartkeviciute,					
564	M. Griffin, Development of potent and selective tissue transglutaminase inhibitors: Their effect on TG2 funct					
565	and application in pathological conditions, Chem. Biol., 22 (2015) 1347-1361.					
566	[22] M. Bergmann, L. Zervas, Über ein allgemeines Verfahren der Peptid-Synthese, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 6					
567	(1932) 1192-1201.					
568	[23] J.D. Twibanire, T.B. Grindley, Efficient and controllably selective preparation of esters using					
569	uronium-based coupling agents, Org. Lett., 13 (2011) 2988-2991.					
570	[24] C. Hauser, R. Wodtke, R. Löser, M. Pietsch, A fluorescence anisotropy-based assay for determining the					
571	activity of tissue transglutaminase, Amino Acids, 49 (2017) 567-583.					
572	[25] M. Griffin, D. Rathbone, B.L. Eduard, Acylpiperazines as inhibitors of transglutaminase and their use in					
573	medicine. WIPO Patent WO 2014/057266 A1, April 17, 2014.					
574	[26] J. Wityak, M.E. Prime, F.A. Brookfield, S.M. Courtney, S. Erfan, S. Johnsen, P.D. Johnson, M. Li, R.W.					
575	Marston, L. Reed, D. Vaidya, S. Schaertl, A. Pedret-Dunn, M. Beconi, D. Macdonald, I. Muñoz-Sanjuan, C.					
576	Dominguez, SAR development of lysine-based irreversible inhibitors of transglutaminase 2 for huntington's					
577	disease, ACS Med. Chem. Lett., 3 (2012) 1024-1028.					
578	[27] S. Schaertl, M. Prime, J. Wityak, C. Dominguez, I. Muñoz-Sanjuan, R.E. Pacifici, S. Courtney, A. Scheel, D.					
579	Macdonald, A profiling platform for the characterization of transglutaminase 2 (TG2) inhibitors, J. Biomol.					
580	Screen., 15 (2010) 478-487.					
581	[28] Zedira - Z-MA-QPL-OMe,					
582	https://zedira.com/Mechanism-of-TG-inhibitors/Michael-acceptor-peptidomimetics/Z-MA-QPL-OMe_Z013;					
583	accessed on 10/12/2019.					
584	[29] F. Hausch, T. Halttunen, M. Mäki, C. Khosla, Design, synthesis, and evaluation of gluten peptide analogs as					
585	selective inhibitors of human tissue transglutaminase, Chem. Biol., 10 (2003) 225-231.					
586	[30] D.M. Pinkas, P. Strop, A.T. Brunger, C. Khosla, Transglutaminase 2 undergoes a large conformational					
587	change upon activation, PLoS Biol., 5 (2007) e327.					
588	[31] J.W. Williams, J.F. Morrison, The kinetics of reversible tight-binding inhibition, Methods Enzymol., 63					
589	(1979) 437-467.					
590	[32] P.F. Cook, W.W. Cleland, Enzyme kinetics and mechanism, Garland Science Publishing, London, New					
591	York, 2007, p. 203.					
592	[33] R.A. Copeland, Evaluation of enzyme inhbitors in drug discovery, 2 nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, NJ,					
593	2013, p. 350.					
594	[34] B. van der Wildt, A.A. Lammertsma, B. Drukarch, A.D. Windhorst, Strategies towards in vivo imaging of					
595	active transglutaminase type 2 using positron emission tomography, Amino Acids, 49 (2017) 585-595.					

- 596 [35] D.D. Clarke, M.J. Mycek, A. Neidle, H. Waelsch, The incorporation of amines into protein, Arch. Biochem.
 597 Biophys., 79 (1959) 338-354.
- 598 [36] D.J. Walther, S. Stahlberg, J. Vowinckel, Novel roles for biogenic monoamines: From monoamines in
- 599 transglutaminase-mediated post-translational protein modification to monoaminylation deregulation diseases,
- 600 FEBS J., 278 (2011) 4740-4755.

- 601 [37] N.A. Muma, Z. Mi, Serotonylation and transamidation of other monoamines, ACS Chem. Neurosci., 6 602 (2015) 961-969.
- 603 [38] T.S. Lai, C.J. Lin, C.S. Greenberg, Role of tissue transglutaminase-2 (TG2)-mediated aminylation in 604 biological processes, Amino Acids, 49 (2017) 501-515.
- 605 [39] N.A. Muma, Transglutaminase in receptor and neurotransmitter-regulated functions, Med One, 3 (2018).
- 606 [40] D.J. Walther, J.-U. Peter, S. Winter, M. Höltje, N. Paulmann, M. Grohmann, J. Vowinckel, V.
- 607 Alamo-Bethencourt, C.S. Wilhelm, G. Ahnert-Hilger, M. Bader, Serotonylation of small GTPases is a signal transduction pathway that triggers platelet *α*-granule release, Cell, 115 (2003) 851-862.
- 609 [41] Y. Dai, N.L. Dudek, T.B. Patel, N.A. Muma, Transglutaminase-catalyzed transamidation: A novel
 610 mechanism for Rac1 activation by 5-HT_{2a} receptor stimulation, J. Pharmacol. Exp Ther., 326 (2008) 153-162.
- 611 [42] Y. Dai, N.L. Dudek, Q. Li, N.A. Muma, Phospholipase C, Ca²⁺, and calmodulin signaling are required for
- 612 5-HT_{2a} receptor-mediated transamidation of Rac1 by transglutaminase, Psychopharmacology (Berlin), 213
- 613 (2011) 403-412.
- 614 [43] J.C. Lin, C.C. Chou, Z. Tu, L.F. Yeh, S.C. Wu, K.H. Khoo, C.H. Lin, Characterization of protein 615 serotonylation via bioorthogonal labeling and enrichment, J. Proteome Res., 13 (2014) 3523-3529.
- 616 [44] L.A. Farrelly, R.E. Thompson, S. Zhao, A.E. Lepack, Y. Lyu, N.V. Bhanu, B. Zhang, Y.E. Loh, A.
- 617 Ramakrishnan, K.C. Vadodaria, K.J. Heard, G. Erikson, T. Nakadai, R.M. Bastle, B.J. Lukasak, H. Zebroski, 3rd,
- 618 N. Alenina, M. Bader, O. Berton, R.G. Roeder, H. Molina, F.H. Gage, L. Shen, B.A. Garcia, H. Li, T.W. Muir, I.
- Maze, Histone serotonylation is a permissive modification that enhances TFIID binding to H3K4me3, Nature,567 (2019) 535-539.
- 621 [45] J. Vowinckel, S. Stahlberg, N. Paulmann, K. Bluemlein, M. Grohmann, M. Ralser, D.J. Walther,
- 622 Histaminylation of glutamine residues is a novel posttranslational modification implicated in G-protein
- 623 signaling, FEBS Lett., 586 (2012) 3819-3824.
- 624 [46] T.S. Lai, C.S. Greenberg, Histaminylation of fibrinogen by tissue transglutaminase-2 (TGM-2): Potential role
- 625 in modulating inflammation, Amino Acids, 45 (2013) 857-864.
- 626 [47] R. Hummerich, J.O. Thumfart, P. Findeisen, D. Bartsch, P. Schloss, Transglutaminase-mediated
- transamidation of serotonin, dopamine and noradrenaline to fibronectin: Evidence for a general mechanism ofmonoaminylation, FEBS Lett., 586 (2012) 3421-3428.
- 629 [48] R. Hummerich, V. Costina, P. Findeisen, P. Schloss, Monoaminylation of fibrinogen and glia-derived
- 630 proteins: Indication for similar mechanisms in posttranslational protein modification in blood and brain, ACS
- 631 Chem. Neurosci., 6 (2015) 1130-1136.
- 632 [49] S.W. Qiao, J. Piper, G. Haraldsen, I. Oynebraten, B. Fleckenstein, O. Molberg, C. Khosla, L.M. Sollid, Tissue
- 633 transglutaminase-mediated formation and cleavage of histamine-gliadin complexes: Biological effects and
- 634 implications for celiac disease, J. Immunol., 174 (2005) 1657-1663.
- [50] P.I. Nagy, Competing intramolecular vs. Intermolecular hydrogen bonds in solution, Int. J. Mol. Sci., 15(2014) 19562-19633.
- [51] J.W. Keillor, C.M. Clouthier, K.Y. Apperley, A. Akbar, A. Mulani, Acyl transfer mechanisms of tissue
 transglutaminase, Bioorg. Chem., 57 (2014) 186-197.
- 639 [52] M. Bader, Serotonylation: Serotonin signaling and epigenetics, Front. Mol. Neurosci., 12 (2019) 288.
- 640 [53] J. Stamnaes, B. Fleckenstein, L.M. Sollid, The propensity for deamidation and transamidation of peptides
- 641 by transglutaminase 2 is dependent on substrate affinity and reaction conditions, Biochim. Biophys. Acta, 1784
- 642 (2008) 1804-1811.

- 643 [54] E.A. Wells, M.A. Anderson, T.N. Zeczycki, (15)(V/K) kinetic isotope effect and steady-state kinetic analysis
- 644 for the transglutaminase 2 catalyzed deamidation and transamidation reactions, Arch. Biochem. Biophys., 643645 (2018) 57-61.
- 045 (2018) 5
- 646

Journal Prevention

Highlights

- Reliable and scalable solution-phase synthesis of the fluorogenic acyl donor substrate Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH was established
- Substrate properties towards TGase 2-catalysed hydrolysis were confirmed
- Suitability of the substrate for the kinetic characterization of irreversible inhibitors that were recently reported in the literature is demonstrated
- Kinetic investigation of histamine, serotonin and dopamine as acyl acceptors using Z-Glu(HMC)-Gly-OH allows for the first time the comparison of their substrate properties towards TGase 2

Journal Prevention