Journal of Organometallic Chemistry, 420 (1991) 23-34 Elsevier Sequoia S.A., Lausanne
JOM 21972

Preparation and ^{119m}Sn Mössbauer studies of diorganotin(IV) derivatives of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide

G.K. Sandhu * and N.S. Boparoy

Chemistry Department, Guru Nanak Dev University, Amritsar-143005 (India)

(Received April 23rd, 1991)

Abstract

Sixteen complexes of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide have been prepared with diorganotin(IV) oxides in 1:1 and 2:1 (ligand:metal) molar ratio. Formulae of diorganotin nicotinates are (i) R₂Sn(Nic)₂ [2:1] and (ii) [R₂SnNic]₂O [1:1] and of diorganotin nicotinate N-oxides are (iii) R₂Sn(NicO)₂ [2:1] and (iv) [R₂SnNicO]₂O [1:1] (R = CH₃, ⁿC₄H₉, ⁿC₈H₁₇, C₆H₅CH₂; Nic = anion of nicotinic acid, NicO = anion of nicotinic acid N-oxide). All the butyl- and octyltin derivatives are monomeric and are more soluble whereas dimethyl- and dibenzyltin derivatives are polymers and are less soluble or insoluble in common solvents. Mössbauer, ¹H NMR and IR spectral studies have been used to assign structures. All the 2:1 complexes possess distorted trans octahedral and all the 1:1 complexes of nicotinic acid have five coordinate distorted trans trigonal bipyramidal structures. However, the 1:1 dinuclear complexes of nicotinic acid N-oxide contain a six coordinated and a five coordinated tin(IV) in the same molecule. A Sn-O-Sn bridged structure is present in all the 1:1 complexes. Unlike analogous picolinic acid complexes there is no coordination from ring nitrogen to tin(IV). The carboxylate group is bidentate in all the nicotinic acid complexes whereas it is unidentate in all the picolinic acid complexes as we showed in J. Organomet. Chem., 411 (1991) 89.

Introduction

Only few triorgano- and diorganotin(IV) nicotinates have been reported [2,3]. Our interest in this communication is to report preparation and structure elucidation studies of diorganotin(IV) complexes with nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide.

Experimental section

All the diorganotin oxides were procured from Alfa Inorganics and used as such. Nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide were obtained from Aldrich Chemicals and also used as such.

Physical measurements

Elemental analyses were carried out by Microanalytical Service, Calcutta University, Calcutta. Tin was estimated as SnO₂. Molecular weight determinations were

Table 1
Analytical and physical data of the complexes of NicH/NicOH

	(CH ₃) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^a ("C ₄ H ₉) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b ("C ₈ H ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b (CH ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b	White White Off-white	(%)	(°C) 277-278	၁	н	z	Ş	found	complexity °
	H ₃) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ " C ₄ H ₉) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ " C ₈ H ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ " H CH N S ₂ (Nic) "	White White Off-white	65 70	277–278		!		5	(calc.)	
	C ₄ H ₉) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ b C ₈ H ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ b H CH N Sa(Nic) d	White Off-white	0, ;		42.36	3.35	7.62	30.55	p	d
	C ₄ H ₉) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b C ₈ H ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b H CH N Sa(Nic) ^g	White Off-white	02 ((42.96)	(3.57)	(7.14)	(30.23)		
	C ₈ H ₁₇) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b H CH > C ₂ (Nic) ^g	Off-white	;	140-141	50.30	5.49	6.40	24.20	525	×
	C_8H_{17}) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂ ^b H CH > C_8 (Nic) "	Off-white	,		(50.36)	(5.63)	(5.90)	(24.90)	(476)	
	H CH) Sp(Nic) "		63	104-106	57.64	7.92	4.63	19.81	545	×
	H CH) Ca(Nic) a				(58.04)	(7.10)	(4.75)	(20.16)	(588)	
	6115~112/2Ju(1715/2	Flesh	72	275-276	1	4.13	4.70	21.09	a	Ь
						(4.04)	(5.15)	(21.79)		
	$[(CH_3)_2Sn(Nic)]_2O$	White	<i>L</i> 9	271-273	34.75	3.36	5.38	42.15	q	Ь
					(34.42)	(3.45)	(5.20)	(42.59)		
(e)	$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(Nic)]_{2}O^{b}$	Yellowish	75	119-120	46.07	6.35	4.06	33.08	780	M
		white			(46.32)	(6.25)	(3.76)	(32.76)	(724)	
(c)	$\{(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)\}_{2}O^{b}$	Pale	9	Semi-solid	53.82	8.28	2.98	25.62	933	×
		yellow			(54.76)	(8.25)	(2.95)	(25.02)	(948)	
<u>ල</u> (ඉ	$[(C_6H_5CH_2)_2Sn(Nic)]_2O$	Flesh	69	259-260	57.64	7.92	5.62	26.99	q	Ь
					(57.07)	(7.19)	(4.76)	(27.56)		

4		Z		Σ		Д		۵.		×		Z		<u>a</u>	
4		503	(208)	538	(620)	ø		ø		989	(756)	893	(086)	<i>ש</i>	
27.37	(27.99)	23.36	(23.37)	18.95	(19.15)	21.07	(20.61)	39.38	(39.37)	30.88	(31.40)	24.14	(24.22)	27.19	(26.51)
6.80	(09.9)	5.35	(5.51)	4.74	(4.52)	4.70	(5.25)	4.05	(4.76)	4.09	(3.70)	3.08	(2.86)	3.76	(3.26)
3.51	(3.30)	4.98	(5.12)	6.80	(6.77)	4.13	(4.24)	3.18	(3.40)	6.28	(5.82)	7.96	(7.76)	4.67	(4.19)
40.66	(39.62)	47.14	(47.24)	55.29	(54.49)	ı		32.31	(32.65)	44.05	(44.44)	54.01	(54.80)	56.22	(55.81)
246–249		191–194		188-190		225–229		266-270		181-184		173-176		237-240	
55		8		\$		72		65		2		29		8	
White		White		Off-white		Off-white		White		White		White		Off-white	
(CH ₃) ₂ Sn(NicO) ₂ "	,	$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}^{b}$		$(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}^{b}$				[(CH ₃) ₂ Sn(NicO)] ₂ O "		$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O^{b}$		$[({}^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O^{b}$		[(C,H,CH2)2Sn(NicO)]2O"	
•		9		Ξ		(12)		(5)		<u>\$</u>		(15)		(1 6)	

^a Crystallized or washed with hot 1:1 mixture of methanol and ethanol. ^b Crystallized from methanol/ethanol. ^c M = monomer, P = polymer. ^d Insoluble in camphor.

Table 2 Infrared data of NicH/NicOH complexes "

	Compound	v(COO) _{asym}	r(COO) _{sym}	۷Σ	v(N → 0)	r(Sn-C)	r(Sn-O)	r(Sn-O-Sn)	1
	NicH	1710s	1325s	385	1	I	[1
	Na-Nic	1615b	1375bs	240	ı		ı	ı	
€	(CH ₃) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂	1605s	1398s	202	ı		435s		
8	("C,Ho),Sn(Nic),	1610s	1410s	200	ı		430s	ı	
							391sh		
⊙	$(^{n}C_{g}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)_{2}$	1610sh	1400s	210	ı	4009	545bw	ı	
							495m		
€	(C ₆ H ₅ CH ₂) ₂ Sn(Nic) ₂	1610w	1400sh	210	1	455m	520m	1	
							480m		
©	$[(CH_3)_2Sn(Nic)]_2O$	1605s	1400s	502		520w	570-550bm	0690	
9	$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NIc)]_{2}O$	1605s	1400s	205	ı	600sh	485s	80s	
						545b	395sh		
6	$[(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)]_{2}O$	1610sh	1395bs	215	1	600m	550w	s069	
							495s		
€	[(C, H, CH,), Sn(Nic)],O	1605sh	1410s	195	ı	452m	480s	4800sh	
						430sh			
	NicOH	1705s	1330s	375	1225bs	ı	1	1	
	Na-Nico	1615bs	1380bs	235	1240s	ı	1	i	
					1225s				

1			1		ı		ı		705m		675s		670s		675m		
465s	430w	335m	460s	300m	462s	335m	465s	340w	460s	345m	455m	335m	460m	339m	460m	350m	
540w			580m		579m		435m	400w	555m	520w	600sh		48009	555sh	435m	400wb	
1220s	1190ш		1215s	1190m	1215s	1190m	1215s	1190m	1215s	1185m	1215m	1185m	1215s	1190m	1215s	1185m	
295			280		290		280		290		290		300		285		
1355s			1360s		1350s		1370s		1355s		1350s		1350s		1365s		
1650s			1640s		1640s		1605s		1645s		1640s		1650s		1650s		
$(CH_3)_2 Sn(NicO)_2$			$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}$		$(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}$		(C, H ₅ CH ₂) ₂ Sn(NicO) ₂		$[(CH_3)_2Sn(NicO)]_2O$		$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O$		$[(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O$		$[(C_6H_5CH_2)_2Sn(NicO)]_2O$		
•			9		E		(12)		(13)		<u>\$</u>		(15)		(16)		,

^a NicH = nicotinic acid, Na-Nic = Sodium salt of nicotinic acid, NicOH = Nicotinic acid N-oxide, Na-NicO = Sodium salt of nicotinic acid N-oxide, s = strong, sh = shoulder, b = broad, w = weak, m = medium, bs = broad strong. $\Delta v = v(COO)_{ssym} - v(COO)_{sym}$.

done by the Rast method (175°C). Cryoscopic molecular weight determations could not be carried out due to low solubility of the compounds at low temperature. Infrared spectra were recorded on Pye Unicam Spectrophotometer Sp₃₋₃₀₀ in 200-4000 cm⁻¹ range. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded on Tesla-BS-487C, 80 MHz using tetramethylsilane as the internal standard. Mössbauer spectra were recorded with a Harwell 6000 series spectrometer with samples cooled to 80 K and source (Pd-Sn) at room temperature. isomer shifts are relative to SnO₂ measured at room temperature.

Preparation of the complexes

The 1:1 and 2:1 diorganotin(IV) complexes of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide were prepared by dissolving nicotinic acid/nicotinic acid N-oxide, 0.001 mole and 0.002 mole, for 1:1 and 2:1 complexes respectively in dry thiophene free benzene (60 cm³) and adding to this solution diorganotin oxides (0.001 mole) in each case. The reaction mixture was refluxed on a water bath for about 4-5 h to give a clear solution in case of dibutyl- and dioctyltin complexes and a white solid in case of dimethyl- and dibenzyltin complexes. The soluble complexes were isolated by removing excess of benzene by distillation under reduced pressure and the insoluble complexes by filtration. Dibutyl- and dioctyltin complexes were recrystallized from dry methanol and dimethyl- and dibenzyltin complexes were crystallized or washed with a 1:1 mixture of methanol and ethanol.

Results and discussion

Complexes of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide have been prepared with diorganotin(IV) oxides in 1:1 and 2:1 molar ratio (ligand: metal). All 1:1 complexes are dinuclear with a Sn-O-Sn bridge. Dimethyl- and dibenzyltin compounds are less soluble in organic solvents and are polymeric in nature, whereas dibutyl- and dioctyltin derivatives are very soluble and are monomeric in nature. Physical and analytical data are given in Table 1. Molar conductance measurements in nitrobenzene show them to be non-electrolytes. The diorganotin(IV) nicotinates have decreased solubility than the analogous picolinates [1]. The structure elucidation studies have been carried out by infrared (Table 2, ¹H NMR (Table 3) and Mössbauer spectral data studies (Table 4).

Infrared data

Infrared spectra have been recorded in KBr in the solid state, and within the range $4000-200~{\rm cm}^{-1}$. Important bands for structure assignment are $\nu_{\rm asym}({\rm COO})$, $\nu_{\rm sym}({\rm COO})$, $\nu({\rm N}\to{\rm O})$, $\nu({\rm Sn-C})$. In the nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide, the $\nu({\rm OH})$ of the COOH appear as broad bands in the range $2600-2200~{\rm cm}^{-1}$ and $2600-2400~{\rm cm}^{-1}$ respectively which disappear after complex formation thereby showing deprotonation of COOH group and reaction with diorganotin(IV). The mode of coordination of the carboxylate group is estimated from the difference $(\Delta\nu)$ in the $\nu_{\rm asym}({\rm COO})$ and $\nu_{\rm sym}({\rm COO})$ values and its comparison with that of respective sodium salts of the acids. In both types of nicotinic acid complexes $\nu_{\rm asym}({\rm COO})$ falls and $\nu_{\rm sym}({\rm COO})$ rises as compared to the $\nu({\rm COO})$ values in the nicotinic acid and is in the range of sodium nicotinate $\nu({\rm COO})$ values. The $\Delta\nu$ values, $200-215~{\rm cm}^{-1}$ in case of the nicotinate complexes are also very closely comparable with that of the

 1 H NMR data of NicH and NicOH complexes (scale - δ , ppm)

Table 3

	Compound a	Sn-R			Aromatic protons °	ons c		
		-СН3	-CH ₂ -	-CH ₂ Sn	Н ⁵	H4	μ	H ²
	NicH b	1	ı	ı	8.175	9.03-9.45		9.55
					(m,1H)	(m,2H)		(s, 1H)
	NicOH b	ı	1	1	8.175	8.97		9.45
					(m, 1H)	(d, 2H)		(s, 1H)
3	$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(Nic)_{2}$	0.875	1.02-2.00		7.35	8.35	8.77	9.25
		(t, 6H)	(m, 12H)		(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
ල	$(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)_{2}$	0.825	1.20	1.80	7.37	8.37	8.77	9.15
		(m, 6H)	(m, 24H)	(m, 4H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)·	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
9	$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(Nic)_{2}]O$	0.875	1.15	1.80	7.35	8.25	8.70	9.17
		(m, 12H)	(m, 16H)	(m, 8H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
6	$[(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)]_{2}O$	0.825	1.20	1.80	7.37	8.45	8.55	9.00
		(m, 12H)	(m, 48H)	(m, 8H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
(<u>16</u>	$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}$	0.90	1.30	1.66	7.42	7.90-8.52		00.6
		(m, 6H)	(m, 8H)	(m, 4H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 4H)		(m, 2H)
(E)	$(^{n}C_{g}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}$	0.80	1.17	1.65	7.42	7.90-8.52		00.6
		(m, 6H)	(m, 24H)	(m, 4H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 4H)		(m, 2H)
.	[("C,H9)2Sn(NicO)]2O	0.93	1.07-1.95		7.30	7.95	8.30	8.75
		(m, 12H)	(m, 24H)		(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
(15)	$[({}^{n}C_{g}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O$	0.825	1.27	1.62	7.35	8.00	8.30	8.75
		(m, 12H)	(m, 48H)	(m, 8H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)	(m, 2H)
	4							

^a All complexes are soluble in CDCl₃. ^b In trifluoroacetic acid (TFA). ^c See text.

Table 4
Mössbauer data at 80 K (mm s⁻¹)

	Compound	IS	QS	Line w	idths	Expected
				1	2	structure
(2)	$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(Nic)_{2}$	1.60	3.93	0.90	0.95	trans 6-coord.
(6)	$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(Nic)]_{2}O$	1.37	3.64	0.86	0.95	trans 5-coord.
(7)	$[(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(Nic)]_{2}O$	1.30	3.35	0.94	1.00	trans 5-coord.
(9)	(CH ₃) ₂ Sn(NicO) ₂	1.31	4.11	0.78	0.84	trans 6-coord.
(10)	$(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)_{2}$	1.46	4.17	0.97	0.92	trans 6-coord.
(11)	("C ₈ H ₁₇)Sn(NicO) ₂	1.42	4.04	0.93	0.85	trans 6-coord.
(13)	$[(CH_3)_2Sn(NicO)]_2O$	1.30	4.19	0.79	0.81	trans 6-coord.
• /	2, 2, 2	0.94	2.15	0.87	0.86	trans 5-coord.
(14)	$[(^{n}C_{4}H_{9})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O$	1.40	4.26	0.72	0.86	trans 6-coord.
		1.15	2.66	0.87	1.00	trans 5-coord.
(15)	$[(^{n}C_{8}H_{17})_{2}Sn(NicO)]_{2}O$	1.49	4.03	0.93	0.62	trans 6-coord.
` ,		1.23	2.64	0.67	1.29	trans 5-coord.

sodium nicotinate (240 cm⁻¹). An intramolecular chelation of the carboxylate group to tin(IV) is present in the butyl- and octyltin nicotinic acid complexes whereas an intermolecular chelation is present in methyl- and benzyltin complexes. Thus the carboxylate group is bonded to tin(IV) in a bidentate manner in all the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes of nicotinic acid [4,5].

In the case of all the 1:1 and 2:1 complexes of nicotinic acid N-oxide the $\nu_{\rm asym}({\rm COO})$ falls and $\nu_{\rm sym}({\rm COO})$ rises as compared to the values in the case of the free acid and the $\Delta\nu$ values are also lower in the case of the complexes. However, these values are higher than in the case of the sodium salt of nicotinic acid N-oxide and the difference in the $\nu_{\rm asym}({\rm COO})$ and $\nu_{\rm sym}({\rm COO})$ values ($\Delta\nu=280-300~{\rm cm}^{-1}$) in the case of the complexes is higher than that of the sodium salt of nicotinic acid N-oxide. Therefore, in the present series of nicotinic acid N-oxide complexes, the carboxylate group is bonded to tin(V) in a unidentate manner [1].

A broad strong band due to $\nu(N \to O)$ appears at 1225 cm⁻¹ in the nicotinic acid N-oxide and as a split band in the form of two strong peaks at 1240 and 1225 cm⁻¹ in the case of sodium salt of nicotinic acid N-oxide. In all the complexes two bands (one strong around 1215 and a medium band 1185 ± 5 cm⁻¹ with a difference of 20 ± 5 cm⁻¹) have been observed which are clearly due to two types of N-oxide oxygen bonding to tin(IV) to different extents [1]. In the case of monomeric 2:1 and 1:1 butyl- and octyltin nicotinate N-oxides the N-oxide oxygen is intramolecularly coordinated to form a part of a distorted octahedral or a distorted trigonal bipyramidal structure whereas in the case of dimethyl- and dibenzyltin nicotinate N-oxide the N-oxide oxygen exhibits intermolecular coordination. The presence of two $\nu(Sn-C)$ bands in the spectra of some complexes of both the acids in the region 600-500 cm⁻¹ and 455-430 cm⁻¹ regions indicates a cis position of the alkyl or aryl or a non-linear arrangement of R groups in a trans position. Presence of a single $\nu(Sn-C)$ band in some complexes points towards a linear or trans position of the R groups [6]. The identification of the $\nu(Sn-O)$ in the specified range (570-391 cm⁻¹ for nicotinic acid complexes and 465-300 cm⁻¹ for the nicotinic acid N-oxide complexes) confirms coordination to tin(IV) from carboxylates of both the acids [6]. In case of the dinuclear 1:1 complexes of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide a strong band in 690-600 cm⁻¹ and 605-670 cm⁻¹ region is assigned to the bridging Sn-O-Sn band respectively [5].

¹H NMR spectra

The ¹H NMR spectra of nicotinic acid and nicotinic acid N-oxide have been recorded in trifluoroacetic acid and of the soluble complexes in CDCl₃ (Table 3).

$$H^5$$
 H^4
 H^5
 H^6
 H^2
 H^6
 H^6
 H^6
 H^6
 H^2
 H^6
 H^8
 H^8
 H^8

The integrated area agrees with the total number of protons calculated from the expected molecular formula of the complexes of both the acids. The complex multiplet signals for alkyl and aryl Sn-R groups point to a non-linear position of the alkyl or aryl group in the five- or six-coordinate complexes. The signals due to all the four protons H², H⁴, H⁵, H⁶ of the aromatic rings of the two acids experience an upfield shift after complex formation and the maximum upfield effect is on proton H⁵.

Mössbauer spectra (mm s^{-1})

Mössbauer parameters are helpful in determining the coordination number and the structure of the tin compounds. The isomer shift (IS) and quadrupole (QS) splitting values in the 2:1 complexes 2, 9, 10, 11 (IS, 1.31–1.61, QS 3.93–4.17) fall in the range of those noted for the *trans* octahedral complexes [8,4] since the *cis* arrangement of the R groups around tin(IV) leads to IS value below 1.0 [7]. In the case of 1:1 complexes of nicotinic acid 6 and 7, the IS and QS values (1.30, 1.37; 3.35, 3.64) fall in the range of five coordinated complexes [6] since the QS/IS ratio is greater than 2.0 which is generally associated with coordination number greater than four [8]. The 1:1 complexes of nicotinic acid *N*-oxide 13–15 show two types of environments for tin(IV) corresponding to a five coordinate and a six-coordinate geometry for tin(IV) since two sets of values of IS and QS have been observed.

Fig. 1. $R = {}^{n}C_{4}H_{9}$, ${}^{n}C_{8}H_{17}$.

Fig. 2. $R = CH_3$, $C_6H_5CH_2$.

These 1:1 complexes are dinuclear with Sn-O-Sn bridged structure in which six-coordinated tin is joined to a five-coordinated tin through an oxygen atom in the same molecule.

Fig. 3. $R = {}^{n}C_{4}H_{9}$, ${}^{n}C_{8}H_{17}$.

$$\begin{array}{c|cccc}
N & & & & & & \\
O & & & & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & & & & \\
O & & & \\
O & & & & \\
O & & \\
O & & \\$$

Fig. 4. $R = CH_3$, $C_6H_5CH_2$.

Conclusion

The 1:1 complexes of nicotinic acid have five coordinate trigonal bipyramidal structures (Figs. 1 and 2) with a Sn-O-Sn bridge and a bidentate carboxylate group. However, the 1:1 dinuclear complexes of nicotinic acid N-oxide have a six-coordinated and a five-coordinate tin(IV) joined by a oxygen atom present in the same molecule (Fig. 2) [5]. These complexes are not mixtures of 1:1 or 2:1 complexes since elemental analysis does not tally with that. The spectral data support a non-linear configuration of the R-Sn-R moiety and distorted trans octahedral structures (Figs. 3 and 4) for all the 2:1 complexes.

Acknowledgements

A teacher fellowship to N.S.B. from the University Grants Commission is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- 1 G.K. Sandhu and N.S. Boparoy, J. Organomet. Chem., 411 (1991) 89.
- 2 D.A. Kochkin and I.B. Chekmareva, Zh. Obshch. Khim., 31 (1961) 3010; C.A. 55 (1962) 11341 h and 56 (1962) 15532a.
- 3 A.J. Crowe, R. Hill, P.J. Smith, J.S. Brooks and R. Formstone, J. Organomet. Chem., 204 (1981) 47.
- 4 G.K. Sandhu, R. Gupta, S.S. Sandhu, R.V. Parish and K. Brown, j. Organomet. Chem., 279 (1985) 373.
- 5 G.K. Sandhu, N. Sharma and E.R.T. Tiekink, J. Organomet. Chem., 371 (1989) C1; 403 (1991) 119.
- 6 G.K. Sandhu, R. Gupta, S.S. Sandhu, L.S. Moore and R.V. Parish, J. Organomet. Chem., 311 (1986) 281.
- 7 J.J. Zuckerman, Adv. Organomet. Chem., 9 (1970) 22.
- 8 R.V. Parish, Prog. Inorg. Chem., 15 (1972) 101.