

Synthesis of 3-(Arylsulfonyl)-3-pyrrolines from Allenyl Sulfonamides by Silver Ion Catalysis

Rama Rao Tata,[†][©] Chencheng Fu,[©] Steven P. Kelley,[©] and Michael Harmata^{*}[©]

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri 65211, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Treatment of allenyl sulfonamides with catalytic amounts of silver fluoride in acetonitrile at reflux afforded the corresponding 3-sulfonyl-3-pyrrolines in excellent yields by intramolecular hydroamination via a 5-endo-trig cyclization. The starting allenyl sulfonamides were prepared by lithiation of allenic sulfones and trapping with various *N*-sulfonylimines.



F ive-membered ring heterocycles comprise a vast number of substructures that appear commonly in both natural products and man-made substances.¹ Those containing a single nitrogen atom include indoles and pyrroles, as well as reduced congeners of these compounds, and are significant enough to have attracted a great deal of attention from the synthetic community.² One class of compounds in which we have an interest are the 3-pyrrolines.³ 3-Pyrroline-containing compounds possess many important biological activities including inhibitory activity against monoamine oxidase (MAO),⁴ anticancer activity,⁵ agonist activity at the NMDA receptor,⁶ and K-agonist activity.⁷ Owing to their pharmaceutical interest and synthetic utility, there have been many methods developed for the synthesis of 3-pyrrolines.⁸ Among them, the metalcatalyzed cyclization of amino/amido allenes is of considerable interest.9

In the context of the exploration of metal-catalyzed cyclization of allenes, our group has recently reported the synthesis of 2,5-dihydrofurans from α -hydroxy allenes or hydroxy propargylic sulfinates by silver fluoride catalysis.¹⁰ For example, treatment of **1** or **3** with silver fluoride under the conditions noted afforded the dihydrofurans **2** and **4** in 99% and 81% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). We envisaged that we could synthesize 3-pyrrolines from α -amino/amido allenes. Herein, we report the synthesis of 3-sulfonyl-2,5-disubstituted-3-pyrrolines from α -sulfonamido allenes via a 5-endo-trig cyclization.

Scheme 1. Dihydrofuran Formation



© XXXX American Chemical Society

The cyclization of aminoallenes to pyrrolines catalyzed or mediated by various reagents has a long history, beginning with the first examples of aminoallene cyclizations catalyzed by silver cations.¹¹ This methodology continues to be investigated, with base-mediated processes,¹² phosphine-catalyzed processes,¹³ metal catalysis combined with halogenation,¹ tandem metal-catalyzed cyclizations,¹⁵ and micellar catalysis¹⁶ being among the more recent developments in the area.¹⁷ In spite of these important contributions, some gaps in the possible tactics one might use in this area still remain. For example, engaging substrates that might afford substituents on the product alkene that are novel or may be used as handles for further functionalization would be important. In undertaking our research, we decided to examine the reaction of lithiated allenic sulfones with sulfonyl imines and then assess the product of this addition reaction in cyclization chemistry to produce 3-pyrrolines.

The N-sulfonylimines needed for our studies were synthesized by the condensation of corresponding aldehyde and phenyl sulfonamide according to literature procedures.¹⁸ We synthesized various allenylsulfonamides by the lithiation of allenyl sulfones and trapping with various N-sulfonylimines. We investigated the lithiation step by using both *n*-BuLi and LiHMDS as a base. Treatment of allenic sulfone **5a** in THF with *n*-BuLi followed by addition of N-sulfonylimine **6a** furnished the corresponding allenyl sulfonamide **7a** in only 54% yield. However, when LiHMDS was used as the base, **7a** was obtained in 86% yield (Scheme 2). We used either *n*-BuLi or LiHMDS for the preparation of all of our cyclization substrates. The results are shown in Table 1.

One result in this series was rather interesting and unexpected. When 5d was treated with LiHMDS and then trapped with 6a, a single stereoisomer of product was obtained in 82% yield (Table 1, entry 12). The process is depicted in Scheme 3. The organolithium obtained from deprotonation of 5d is not configurationally stable. The sole product obtained resulted from inversion of configuration at the sulfone bearing

Received: July 31, 2018

Scheme 2. Effect of Base Change on Lithiation and Trapping of Allenic Sulfones



Table 1. Synthesis of Sulfamido Allenes

	T- 11			NHSO ₂	Ph			
	$R^1 R^2 Z$. LiHDMS <i>n</i> -BuLi, 2. N ^{-SC} R ^{3 H}	5, THF, -78 °C or THF, -78 °C b ₂ Ph 6	$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Ts} \\ \text{R}^3 \\ \text{R}^1 \\ \text{R}^2 \\ \text{7} \end{array} $				
entry	\mathbb{R}^1	R ²	R ³	product	yield (%)			
1	Me	Me	Ph	7a	86			
2	Me	Me	c-hexyl	7b	93			
3	Me	Me	Me ₂ CHCH ₂ -	7c	92			
4	Me	Me	pTol	7d	9 7			
5	Me	Me	pClPh	7e	53ª			
6	Me	Me	pMeOPh	7 f	97ª			
7	Me	Me	pNO ₂ Ph	7 g	72ª			
8	Me	Me	2-furyl	7h	61ª			
9	Me	Me	PhCH=CH-	7i	64ª			
10	-(CH ₂) ₄ -		Ph	7j	86			
11	-(CH ₂) ₅ -		Ph	7k	82			
12	<i>t</i> Bu→	2	Ph	71	82 ^b			
13	Ph	Me	Ph	7 m	87°			
14	Et	Me	Ph	7 n	96 ^c			
^a n-BuLi used as base. ^b Single stereoisomer. ^c dr = 1:1								

Scheme 3. Trapping an Allenic Organolithium with Inversion of Configuration



carbon. We conjecture that the organolithium derived from **5d** is rapidly equilibrating. A difference in the rates of the reaction of each diastereomer results in the formation of the product observed, an example of the Curtin–Hammett principle.¹⁹ We have a small amount of evidence in support of this basic idea,²⁰ but we make no claim as to the veracity of our hypothesis.

To evaluate the cyclization reaction, we began by examining various catalysts using the substrate 7f. The results are summarized in Table 2. We initially tested the cyclization

Table 2. Optimization of Cyclization Reaction Conditions

	NHSO ₂ Ph Ts Me Me 7f	catalyst (equiv) solvent (0.1 M) time, rt	Me Me 8f SO ₂ P	Ar 'h
entry	catalyst (equiv)	solvent	time	yield (%)
1	$AuCl_3$ (0.1)	CH_2Cl_2	10 h	60
2	$HAuCl_4 \cdot H_2O(0.1)$	THF/H_2O^a	4 d	88
3	$Ph_3PAuOTf(0.1)$	CH_2Cl_2	9 h	20
4	$AgSbF_6$ (0.1)	CH_2Cl_2	3 h	32
5	$AgBF_4$ (0.1)	CH_2Cl_2	48 h	99
6	$AgNO_{3}(0.1)$	THF/H_2O^a	27 h	99
7	AgBr (0.1)	THF/H_2O^a	4 d	nr
8	AgI (0.1)	THF/H_2O^a	4 d	3
9	AgF (0.1)	CH ₃ CN	70 m	98
10	AgF(0.05)	CH ₃ CN	80 m	81
11	AgF (0.02)	CH ₃ CN	14 h	83
12	$\operatorname{AgF}^{b}(0.02)$	CH ₃ CN	10 m	99
'1:1 v/	v. ^b At reflux.			

with gold (I and III) catalysts. Treatment of 7f with 10 mol % of AuCl₃ (Table 2, entry 1) produced the corresponding 3pyrroline 8f in 60% yield. The use of HAuCl₄·H₂O (Table 2, entry 2) gave 8f in 88% yield. However, the use of gold(I)catalysts such as 10 mol % of Ph₃PAuOTf (generated in situ from Ph₃PAuCl and AgOTf) (Table 2, entry 3) afforded 8f in only 20% yield. We then examined various silver salts. Treatment of substrate 7f with $AgSbF_6$ (Table 2, entry 4) produced 8f in only 32% yield. However, when the substrate 7f was treated with $AgBF_4$ and $AgNO_3$ (Table 2, entry 5 and 6), 8f was produced in an excellent 99% yield in 48 and 27 h, respectively. The catalyst AgBr (Table 1, entry 7) showed no reaction even after 4 days, and the catalyst AgI (Table 1, entry 8) gave 8f in only 3% yield. Silver fluoride (AgF) at levels of 10 and 5 mol % (Table 2, entries 9 and 10) afforded 8f in 98% and 81% yields, respectively. The use of low catalyst loading (2 mol %, Table 2, entry 11) produced 8f in 83% yield, but the reaction was slow compared to higher catalyst loading (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). Finally, we conducted the reaction using AgF at only 2 mol % loading (Table 2, entry 12) under reflux. This afforded the 3-pyrroline 8f in 99% yield in only 10 min, and these conditions became what we used to produce additional examples of the reaction.

Using the aforementioned optimized conditions, we investigated the scope of 5-endo-trig cyclization of allenyl sulfonamide. The results are summarized in Table 3. Allenyl sulfonamides derived from the aliphatic substituted imines 7b and 7c (Table 3, entries 2 and 3) furnished the corresponding 3-sulfonyl-3-pyrrolines 8b and 8c in 97% and 95% yield, respectively, but relatively slowly, requiring 40 min for the completion of the reactions. The cyclization of phenyl-substituted allenyl sulfonamides 7d,e (Table 3, entries 4–5) were completed within 5 min in excellent yields. To ascertain the electronic effects on this cyclization, various substitutions on the phenyl ring of the sulfonyl imine were examined. It appears that electron-donating groups on the phenyl group, such as a methyl or methoxy group (Table 3, entries 4 and 6),

		ŅHS	SO ₂ Ph	Te		
				$(0.1 \text{ M}) \rightarrow \text{R}^{1}$		
			MeCN	(^(0,1 M) R ² N´ I	⊰ ³	
		$R^1 R^2$	80 °C,	5 min SO ₂ P	h	
1		-11	time (and heret	-11(0/)	
	entry	allene	time (min)	product	yield (%)	
				Ts		
	1	7.	c	Me、/=	07	
	1	/a	5	Me N Ph	9/	
				8a SO ₂ Ph		
				Te		
	2	7b	40		97	
				Ph0 ₂ 5 8b		
				Ts		
	3	76	40	Me Me	95	
	5		40	Me	75	
				8c SO₂Ph		
				Ts		
			_			
	4	7 d	5		98	
				bu 3021 m		
				Ts		
	5	7e	5	Me	78	
				Me [∕] `N∕ `Ph <i>p</i> Cl		
				8e SO₂Ph		
				Ts		
	6	76	10	Me_/	00	
	0	/1	10	Me N PhpOMe	99	
				8f SO ₂ Ph		
				Ts		
	7	7g	15		99	
				6g 50 ₂ -11		
				Ts		
	8	7h	10	Me	83	
	°.			Me	00	
				PhO ₂ Ś 8h		
				,Ts		
	0		e	Me. /=-	70	
	9	/1	5	Me N Ph	/8	
				8i SO₂Ph		
				Te		
	10	7j	5		99	
				8 30 ₂ -11		
				Ts		
	11	7k	5	\bigwedge	98	
				∑ `N´ `Ph		
				8k SO ₂ Ph		
				Ts		
	10	71	5	F	00	
	12	/1	3	tBu Ph	99	
				8 SO ₂ Ph		
				,Ts		
	12	7	c	Ph、/=-{	0.03	
	15	/ m	3	Me N Ph	98"	
				8mSO ₂ Ph		
				Ts		
		_	_	Ft /=		
	14	7 n	5	Mo N Ph	99 ^a	
				8n SO_Ph		
<i>a</i> 7						
~d	r = 1:1.					

Table 3. Synthesis of Pyrrolines by Catalytic Ring Closure

accelerated the reaction slightly, whereas an electron-withdrawing group such as the nitro group (Table 3, entry 7) slowed the reaction.

Heteroaromatic-substituted allenyl sulfonamide 7h (Table 3, entry 8) gave 8h in 83% yield. An allenyl sulfonamide possessing the cinnamyl group 7i (Table 3, entry 9) produced 8i in 78% yield. Cyclopentyl (7j) and cyclohexyl (7k and 7l) systems afforded the desired cyclized products 8j-1 in excellent yields within 5 min (Table 3, entries 10-12). These results indicate that there are no substantial steric effects on this cyclization. Treatment of unsymmetrical allenyl sulfonamides 7m and 7n (dr 1:1) (Table 3, entries 13 and 14) with AgF furnished 8m and 8n (dr 1:1) in excellent yields (98% and 99%, respectively).

A plausible mechanism for the cyclization of an α -allenyl sulfonamide by silver(I) catalysis is shown in Scheme 4. First,



silver ion coordinates to the distal, more electron-rich double bond of allene 7 and forms the intermediate 9. The lone pair of electrons on the nitrogen then attacks the distal bond of the allene, undergoing a 5-*endo-trig* cyclization to give the 5membered vinyl silver intermediate 10. Finally, the loss of silver through a proton transfer affords the final product pyrroline 8.

In conclusion, we have developed a new method for the synthesis of highly substituted, 3-(arylsulfonyl)-3-pyrrolines from α -allenyl sulfonamides by silver ion catalysis. While the vinyl sulfone group in the five-membered ring can be used to create various functional groups on the ring,²¹ further development of the chemistry of the vinyl sulfone is warranted. Continuing studies of the scope of this process and the chemistry of the products will be reported in due course.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b02440.

Experimental procedures and ¹H and ¹³C spectra (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1855814–1855815 and 1858620 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/ cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: harmatam@missouri.edu.

Organic Letters

ORCID ®

Rama Rao Tata: 0000-0002-4906-0712 Chencheng Fu: 0000-0002-5746-9438 Steven P. Kelley: 0000-0001-6755-4495 Michael Harmata: 0000-0003-3894-2899

Present Address

[†](R.R.T.) Eurofins Biopharma Product Testing, 7200 E ABC Lane, Columbia, MO 65202.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (CHE-1463724). Thanks to the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for providing funds for a leave for M.H. at the Justus Liebig Universität (Giessen) in the laboratories of Professor Peter R. Schreiner, to whom we are also grateful.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; Katritzky, A. R., Scriven, E. F. V., Ramsden, C. A., Taylor, R. J. K., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2008; Vol. 3. (b) Joule, J. A.; Mills, K. Heterocyclic Chemistry, Sth ed.; Blackwell: Oxford, 2010. (c) Pozharskii, A. F.; Soldatenkov, A. T.; Katritzky, A. R.; Heterocycles in Life and Society: An Introduction to Heterocyclic Chemistry, Biochemistry and Applications, 2nd ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 2011. (d) Lopchuk, J. M. Five-Membered Ring Systems: Pyrroles and Benzo Analogs. In Progress in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Gribble, G., Joule, J. J., Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2017; Vol. 29, pp 183–238.

(2) (a) Olivier, W. J.; Smith, J. A.; Bissember, A. C. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 1216–1226. (b) Portilla Zuniga, O. M.; Sathicq, A. G.; Martinez Zambrano, J. J.; Romanelli, G.P. Curr. Org. Synth. 2017, 14, 865–882. (c) Robertson, J.; Stevens, K. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2017, 34, 62–89. (d) Kumar, I.; Kumar, R.; Sharma, U. Synthesis 2018, 50, 2655–2677. (e) Guo, T.; Huang, F.; Yu, L.; Yu, Z. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 296–302. (f) Humphrey, G. R.; Kuethe, J. T. Chem. Rev. 2006, 106, 2875–2911.

(3) Using a different nomenclature, such compounds are 2,5dihydropyrroles.

(4) (a) Lee, Y.; Ling, K.-Q.; Lu, X.; Silverman, R. B.; Shepard, E. M.; Dooley, D. M.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 12135– 12143. (b) Williams, C. H.; Lawson, J. Neurobiology 1999, 7, 225– 233. (c) Williams, C. H.; Lawson, J. Biochem. J. 1998, 336, 63–67.
(d) Lee, Y.; Huang, H.; Sayre, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 7241–7242.

(5) Anderson, W. K.; Milowsky, A. S. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 2144–2147.

(6) Rondeau, D.; Gill, P.; Chan, M.; Curry, K.; Lubell, W. D. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2000**, *10*, 771–773.

(7) Mou, Q.-Y.; Chen, J.; Zhu, Y.-C.; Zhou, D.-H.; Chi, Z.-Q.; Long, Y.-Q. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. **2002**, *12*, 2287–2290.

(8) (a) Groso, E. J.; Golonka, A. N.; Harding, R. A.; Alexander, B. W.; Sodano, T. M.; Schindler, C. S. ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 2006–2011.
(b) Zhou, X.; Huang, C.; Zeng, Y.; Xiong, J.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, J. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1084–1087. (c) Finelli, F. G.; Godoi, M. N.; Correia, C. R. D. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2015, 26, 910–915. (d) Chen, J.; Li, J.; Wang, J.; Li, H.; Wang, W.; Guo, Y. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2214–2217. (e) Shin, Y. H.; Maheswara, M.; Hwang, J. Y.; Kang, E. J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 2305–2311. (f) Boominathan, S. S. K.; Hu, W.-P.; Senadi, G. C.; Wang, J.-J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2013, 355, 3570–3574.

(9) (a) Muñoz, M. P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 3164. (b) Alcaide, B.; Almendros, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 2561.

(10) (a) Tata, R. R.; Harmata, M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5684–5687.
(b) Tata, R. R.; Harmata, M. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2018, 2018, 372–375.

(11) Claesson, A.; Sahlberg, C.; Luthman, K. Acta Chem. Scand. 1979, B33, 309-310.

(12) Ohno, H.; Kadoh, Y.; Fujii, N.; Tanaka, T. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 947–950.

(13) Kramer, S.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 3803-3806.

(14) Sai, M.; Matsubara, S. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 4676-4679.

(15) Zhou, X.; Huang, C.; Zeng, Y.; Xiong, J.; Xiao, Y.; Zhang, J. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1084–1087.

(16) Klumphu, P.; Desfeux, C.; Zhang, Y.; Handa, S.; Gallou, F.; Lipshutz, B. H. *Chem. Sci.* **201**7, *8*, 6354–6358.

(17) (a) Kondo, M.; Omori, M.; Hatanaka, T.; Funahashi, Y.; Nakamura, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 8677–8680. (b) Miura, T.; Tanaka, T.; Matsumoto, K.; Murakami, M. *Chem. - Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 16078–16082. (c) Moreno-Clavijo, E.; Carmona, A. T.; Reissig, H.-U.; Moreno-Vargas, A.J.; Alvarez, E.; Robina, I. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 4778–4781.

(18) (a) Tokimizu, Y.; Wieteck, M.; Rudolph, M.; Oishi, S.; Fujii, N.; Hashmi, S. K.; Ohno, H. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 604-607. (b) Cui, Z.; Yu, H.-J.; Yang, R.-F.; Gao, W.-Y.; Feng, W.-Y.; Lin, G.-Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 12394-12397. (c) Vishwakarma, L. C.; Stringer, O. D.; Davis, F. A. Org. Synth. 1988, 66, 203-210. (d) Chemla, F.; Hebbe, V.; Normant, J.-F. Synthesis 2000, 2000, 75-77.

(19) Chakraborty, S.; Saha, C. Resonance 2016, 21, 151-171.

(20) For example, trapping the organolithium **5d**-Li with a very reactive electrophile (TMSCI) resulted in the formation of a mixture of E and Z isomers in 48% yield in a ratio of 1:1.3, respectively. The reaction was not optimized.

(21) (a) Dey, D.; Pathak, T. Molecules 2016, 21, 690. (b) Manna, C.; Pathak, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 2013, 6084-6097. (c) Dey, D.; Bhaumik, A.; Pathak, T. Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8705-8712. (d) Fernandez de la Pradilla, R.; Castellanos, A.; Osante, I.; Colomer, I.; Sanchez, M. I. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 170-181. (e) Das, I.; Pal, T. K.; Pathak, T. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 9181-9189. (f) de la Pradilla, R. F.; Castellanos, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2007, 48, 6500-6504. (g) Wardrop, D. J.; Fritz, J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3659-3662. (h) Fernandez de la Pradilla, R.; Alhambra, C.; Castellanos, A.; Fernandez, J.; Manzano, P.; Montero, C.; Urena, M.; Viso, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10693-10700. (i) Rao, H. S. P.; Murali, R.; Taticchi, A.; Scheeren, H. W. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 2001, 2869-2876. (j) Arjona, O.; de Dios, A.; Plumet, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 7451-7454. (k) Arjona, O.; Dominguez, C.; Fernandez de la Pradilla, R.; Mallo, A.; Manzano, C.; Plumet, J. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5883-5887. (1) Wu, J. C.; Pathak, T.; Tong, W.; Vial, J. M.; Remaud, G.; Chattopadhyaya, J. Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 6705. (m) Black, K. A.; Vogel, P. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 5341-5348. (n) Kinney, W. A.; Crouse, G. D.; Paquette, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 4986-5000.