

Communication

Silver-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Trifluoromethylation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids

Xinqiang Tan, Zhonglin Liu, Haigen Shen, Pei Zhang, Zhenzhen Zhang, and Chaozhong Li

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • Publication Date (Web): 25 Aug 2017

Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on August 25, 2017

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34 35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Silver-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Trifluoromethylation of Aliphatic Carboxylic Acids

Xinqiang Tan,^a Zhonglin Liu,^a Haigen Shen,^a Pei Zhang,^a Zhenzhen Zhang,^a and Chaozhong Li^{*, a, b}

^a Key Laboratory of Organofluorine Chemistry and Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemistry for Life Sciences, Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032, China, and ^b School of Materials and Chemical Engineering, Ningbo University of Technology, No. 201 Fenghua Road, Ningbo 315211, P. R. China.

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The silver-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids is described. With AgNO₃ as the catalyst and $K_2S_2O_8$ as the oxidant, the reactions of aliphatic carboxylic acids with (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) and ZnMe₂ in aqueous acetonitrile at 40 °C afford the corresponding decarboxylative trifluoromethylation products in good yield. The protocol is applicable to various primary and secondary alkyl carboxylic acids and exhibits wide functional group compatibility. Mechanistic studies reveal the intermediacy of $^{-}Cu(CF_3)_3Me$, which undergoes reductive elimination and subsequent oxidation to give Cu(CF₃)₂ as the active species responsible for the trifluoromethylation of alkyl radicals.

Trifluoromethyl group is a privileged structural motif in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals owing to its profound effect on properties such as lipophilicity, permeability and metabolic stability. Thus, the introduction of trifluoromethyl groups into organic molecules, in particular C-trifluoromethylation, is an important strategy in preparative organic chemistry.¹⁻³ A number of methods have been developed for C(sp³)-CF₃ bond formation, including the trifluoromethylation of carbon-centered nucleophiles,² electrophiles⁴ and radicals,⁵ and the addition of CF₃ radicals to unsaturated moieties.³ Furthermore, Toste and coworkers introduced the formal C(sp³)–CF₃ reductive elimination from Au(III) complexes via "fluoride-rebound" mechanism, allowing the synthesis of ¹⁸Fradiolabeled aliphatic CF₃-containing compounds.⁶ Despite the significant progress in the field, site-specific C(sp³)trifluoromethylation of complex molecules, especially in late stages, is still challenging.

Carboxylic acids are ideal raw materials for chemical synthesis due to their ready availability, high stability and low cost. The conversion of CO₂H to CF₃ is therefore a subject of great interest (Scheme 1). Nucleophilic fluorination of carboxylic acids⁷ with fluorinating agents such as SF₄,^{7a} PhSF₃,^{7f} Deoxofluor,^{7b-7d} or BrF₃^{7e} provides the corresponding trifluoromethylated products via the intermediacy of acyl fluorides (Scheme 1a). However, these methods suffer from harsh reaction conditions and limited substrate scope. The decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of propiolic acids and α,β - or β,γ -unsaturated acids was also reported (Scheme 1b).⁸ This transformation likely involves the addition of trifluoromethyl radicals to C=C or C≡C bonds followed by decarboxylation. However, the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids remains a challenging task (Scheme 1c). This unique decarboxylative alkyl– CF_3 cross coupling⁹⁻¹¹ should be of much broader application in the synthesis of trifluoromethylated compounds. Herein we report the silver-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids.

Scheme 1. Conversion of Alkyl–CO₂H to Alkyl–CF₃

(a) via nucleophilic fluorination: $R-CO_2H \longrightarrow [R-COF] \longrightarrow R-CF_3$



The generation of alkyl radicals via silver-catalyzed decarboxylation of carboxylic acids is well documented.^{12, 13} We recently reported the trifluoromethylation of alkyl halides with $(bpy)Cu(CF_3)_3$ (bpy = 2,2'-bipyridine) and excess Et₃SiH via the proposed CF₃ group transfer from Cu(II)–CF₃ complexes to alkyl radicals.⁵ However, this reductive trifluoromethylation method is not compatible with the oxidative decarboxylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids due to the mismatch of reaction conditions. The combination of a copper source (e.g., Cu(OTf)₂) and a CF₃ reagent was also screened for AgNO₃/K₂S₂O₈-mediated decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of model substrate Ntosylpiperidine-1-carboxylic acid (A-1a). Unfortunately, no expected trifluoromethylation product 1a could be detected (see Table S1 in the Supporting Information). We envisioned that (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃¹⁴ should be activated before subjected to oxidative decarboxylation conditions (Table 1). Thus, $(bpy)Cu(CF_3)_3$ was treated with an activating agent in acetonitrile at room temperature for 30 minutes, followed by the addition of A-1a, AgNO₃, K₂S₂O₈ and water. A number of additives were screened as the activating agent. The product 1a was not formed when NaBH₄, PhSiH₃ or Et₃SiH was used as the additive (entries 2–4, Table 1). Pleasingly, 1a was obtained in 54% yield when ZnMe2 was used as the additive (entry 5, Table 1). ZnEt₂ showed an effect similar to ZnMe₂ (entry 6, Table 1). In contrast, **1a** was observed in a very low yield when MeMgCl was used in place of $ZnMe_2$ (entry 7, Table 1). After further adjustment of the reagent ratios and reaction temperature (entries 8–11, Table 1), the use of (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃ (4 equiv)/ZnMe₂ (3 equiv) as the CF₃ source and AgNO₃ (30 mol %)/K₂S₂O₈ (4 equiv) as the decarboxylation system at 40 °C gave **1a** in 93% yield (entry 11, Table 1). Finally, control experiments indicated that both AgNO₃ and K₂S₂O₈ were required for the trifluoromethylation (entries 12 and 13, Table 1).

Table 1. Optimization of Reaction Conditions

ſ	\sim	additive O ₂ H (bpy)Cu(<mark>CF₃)</mark> 3	additive (bpy)Cu(<mark>CF₃)</mark> 3 (x equiv)	
TsN A-1a		AgNO ₃ (30 r K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (4 e MeCN/H ₂ O	AgNO ₃ (30 mol %) K ₂ S ₂ O ₈ (4 equiv) MeCN/H ₂ O (6:1)	
entry ^a	Х	additive (equiv)	temp/time	yield (%) ^b
1	2	none	rt, 24 h	0
2	2	$Et_3SiH(2)$	rt, 24 h	0
3	2	$Ph_3SiH(2)$	rt, 24 h	0
4	2	$NaBH_4(2)$	rt, 24 h	0
5	2	$ZnMe_{2}(2)$	rt, 24 h	54
6	2	$ZnEt_{2}(2)$	rt, 24 h	49
7	2	MeMgCl (2)	rt, 24 h	7
8	3	$ZnMe_{2}(2)$	rt, 24 h	72
9	3	$ZnMe_{2}(3)$	rt, 24 h	71
10	4	$ZnMe_{2}(3)$	rt, 24 h	86
11	4	$ZnMe_2(3)$	40 °C, 10 h	93 (88)
12 ^c	4	$ZnMe_2(3)$	40 °C, 10 h	0
13 ^d	4	$ZnMe_2(3)$	40 °C, 10 h	0

^a Reaction conditions: (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃, additive, MeCN (12 mL), rt, 30 min; then **A-1a** (0.2 mmol), AgNO₃ (0.06 mmol), K₂S₂O₈ (0.8 mmol), H₂O (2 mL), rt (or 40 °C), 24 h (or 10 h). ^{b 19}F NMR yield (with 4-bromo-1-fluorobenzene as the internal standard) based on **A-1a**, isolated yield in parentheses. ^c Without AgNO₃. ^d Without K₂S₂O₈.

With the optimized conditions in hand (entry 11, Table 1), we aimed to define the scope of the method. As shown in Scheme 2, a wide range of differentially substituted secondary alkyl carboxylic acids A-1 were readily converted to the corresponding trifluoromethylated products 1b-1t in good to excellent yield. Notably, both cis- and trans-2-benzoylcyclohexane-1-carboxylic acid gave rise to the same product 1r. Primary alkyl carboxylic acids (A-2) are also good coupling partners in the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation and the expected products 2a-2g were obtained in satisfactory yield. The protocol is also applicable to α amino acids, α -oxy acids and benzylic acids, as exemplified by the efficient synthesis of 2h-2q. Of particular note, sensitive functional groups such as alkyl bromide (2c), alkyl azide (2d) and aryl iodides (2l and 2m) were well tolerated. Furthermore, the synthesis of trifluoromethylated steroids 2r and 2s demonstrates the suitability of this method for the late-stage modification of complex molecules. Aromatic acids were inert under the above reaction conditions, thus allowing the design of chemoselective decarboxylation. For example, trifluoromethylated benzoic acid 1i was prepared from the corresponding diacid A-1i. In contrast, tertiary alkyl carboxylic acids underwent decomposition and did not give the products of trifluoromethylation presumably because of steric effects.



Scheme 2. Decarboxylative Trifluoromethylation of Car-

^a Reaction conditions: **A-1** or **A-2** (0.2 mmol), (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃ (0.8 mmol), ZnMe₂ (0.6 mmol), AgNO₃ (0.06 mmol), K₂S₂O₈ (0.8 mmol), MeCN (12 mL), H₂O (2 mL), 40 °C, 10 h. ^b Isolated yield based on **A-1** or **A-2**. ^c *Cis* : *trans* = 36:64. ^d *Cis* : *trans* = 45:55. ^e *Cis* : *trans* = 10:90.

To gain further insight into the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation, radical clock experiments¹⁵ were carried out (Scheme 3). The reaction of **A-3** under the optimized conditions afforded the trifluoromethylation product **3a** (50%) and the cyclization product **3b** (32%). Hepta-6-enoic acid **A-4** underwent a decarboxylation–cyclization–trifluoromethylation sequence, yielding product **4**. When cyclopropylacetic acid **A-5** was subject-

60

ed to the optimized conditions for the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of carboxylic acids, the ring-opening product **5** was isolated in 35% yield. These results suggest the intermediacy of alkyl radicals.

Scheme 3. Radical Clock Experiments



To gain further insight into the role of ZnMe₂ in the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation, the following mechanistic experiments were carried out (Scheme 4). Upon addition of ZnMe₂ (0.5 equiv) into the MeCN solution of (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃ at room temperature, the yellow solution turned to colorless. ۶F NMR indicated that two new peaks arose at δ -30.1 and -32.0 ppm in a 2:1 integral ratio. To identify the new intermediate, an equimolar amount of Bu₄NBr was added into the solution. A white precipitate (ZnBr₂) was immediately formed. After the usual workup, complex Bu₄NCu(CF₃)₃Me (6) was obtained in 82% yield, whose structure was unambiguously established by X-ray diffraction experiments (Figure 1). When 6 was used in place of (bpy)Cu(CF₃)₃/ZnMe₂ in the AgNO₃-catalyzed decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of acid A-1a, the product 1a was obtained in 25% yield. In contrast, only a trace amount of 1a could be detected when the reaction was carried out in the presence of bpy (4 equiv) or Zn(OTf)₂ (2 equiv). However, 1a was achieved in 83% yield when both bpy and Zn(OTf)₂ were present. The roles of bpy and Zn(OTf)₂ in the trifluoromethylation remain unclear. Complex 6 is air and water tolerant, and stable for weeks in refrigerator. However, it gradually decomposes at room temperature. When the CDCl₃ solution of **6** was heated up at 40 °C for 10 h, 1,1,1-trifluoroethane (7) was formed quantitatively (by 19 F NMR).¹⁶ Complex 6 was then directly treated with ethyl radicals formed from Et₃B under an O₂ atmosphere. Neither 7 nor 1,1,1trifluoropropane (8) could be detected when the reaction was conducted at 0 °C. In contrast, both 7 (82%) and 8 (35%) were observed when the reaction was performed at 40 °C. These experiments suggest that the active species responsible for the trifluoromethylation is probably generated after the reductive elimination of 6.

A tentative mechanism for the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation is shown in Figure 2. Interaction of $(bpy)Cu(CF_3)_3$ with ZnMe₂ generates the $^-Cu(CF_3)_3$ Me anion that undergoes reductive elimination to produce MeCF₃ and the $^-$ Cu(CF₃)₂ anion. The latter is then oxidized to Cu(CF₃)₂. The Ag(II)-mediated oxidative decarboxylation of aliphatic carboxylic

acids gives the corresponding alkyl radicals. Subsequent CF_3 group transfer from $Cu(CF_3)_2$ to alkyl radicals provides the final product.

Scheme 4. Mechanistic Experiments



Figure 1. ORTEP drawing of $Bu_4NCu(CF_3)_3Me$ (6) with all H atoms omitted for clarity and ellipsoids set at 30% probability. Selected bond distances (Å): Cu–C1 1.936(10), Cu–C2 1.830(10), Cu–C3 1.873(9), Cu–C4 1.964(11).



Figure 2. Proposed mechanism.

Organocopper(III) complexes are recognized as important intermediates in Cu(I)-mediated reactions.¹⁷ Of the few organocopper(III) complexes characterized by X-ray diffraction experiments,^{14, 17, 18} complex **6** is the only one having a Me–Cu(III) bond. In the solid state, the $^{-}Cu(CF_3)_3Me$ anion displays a square planar geometry. The Me–Cu bond is slightly longer than any of the CF₃–Cu bonds in **6** (see Figure 1 caption). The CF₃–Cu bond lengths are shorter in **6** than in the $^{-}Cu(CF_3)_4$ anion (1.944(3)– 1.964(2) Å).¹⁴ Further investigations on the reactivity of complex **6** are currently underway.

In conclusion, we have developed a practical protocol for the decarboxylative trifluoromethylation of aliphatic carboxylic acids in aqueous solution. This method is easily operational, mild and efficient. In view of its generality and excellent functional group compatibility, this transformation should find application in organic synthesis.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Full experimental details, characterizations of new compounds, copies of 1 H, 13 C and 19 F NMR spectra (PDF), and X-ray crystal structure of **6** (CIF). The material is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

* E-mail: clig@mail.sioc.ac.cn

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This project was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 Program) (Grant 2015CB931900), by the NSFC (Grants 21421002, 21472220, 21532008, and 21602239), and by the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences (Grant XDB2002000). We thank Prof. Jiabi Chen of SIOC for single crystal growth.

REFERENCES

- (a) Schlosser, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5432. (b) Ma, J.-A.; Cahard, D. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 975. (c) Nie, J.; Guo, H.-C.; Cahard, D.; Ma, J.-A. Chem. Rev. 2011, 111, 455. (d) Egami, H.; Sodeoka, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 8294. (e) Alonso, C.; de Marigorta, E. M.; Rubiales, G.; Palacios, F. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 1847. (f) Charpentier, J.; Fruh, N.; Togni, A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 650. (g) Liang, T.; Neumann, C. N.; Ritter, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8214.
- (2) (a) Shibata, N.; Matsnev, A.; Cahard, D. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2010, 6, 1860. (b) Umemoto, T. Chem. Rev. 1996, 96, 1757.
- (3) (a) Studer, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 8950. (b) Merino, E.; Nevado, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 6598. (c) Koike, T.; Akita, M. Top. Catal. 2014, 57, 967. (d) Koike, T.; Akita, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 1937.
- (4) For examples, see: (a) Dubinina, G. G.; Furutachi, H.; Vicic, D. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8600. (b) Tyrra, W.; Naumann, D.; Quadt, S.; Buslei, S.; Yagupolskii, Y. L.; Kremlev, M. M. J. Fluorine Chem. 2007, 128, 813..
- (5) Shen, H.; Liu, Z.; Zhang, P.; Tan, X.; Zhang, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 9843.
- (6) Levin, M. D.; Chen, T. Q.; Neubig, M. E.; Hong, C. M.; Theulier, C. A.; Kobylianskii, I. J.; Janabi, M.; O'Neil, J. P.; Toste, F. D. Science 2017, 356, 1272.
- (7) (a) Boswell, G. A., Jr.; Ripka, W. C.; Scribner, R. M.; Tullock, C. W. Org. React. 1974, 21, 1. (b) Rozen, S.; Mishani, E. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 2081. (c) Lal, G. S.; Pez, G. P.; Pesaresi, R. J.; Prozonic, F. M.; Cheng, H. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 7048. (d) Lal, G. S.; Pez, G. P.; Pesaresi, R. J.; Prozonic, F. M. Chem. Commun. 1999, 215. (e) Cohen, O.; Mishani, E.; Rozen, S. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 3579. (f) Umemoto, T.; Singh, R. P. J. Fluorine Chem. 2012, 140, 17.
- (8) (a) Yang, L.; Jiang, L.; Li, Y.; Fu, X.; Zhang, R.; Jin, K.; Duan, C. *Tetrahedron* 2016, 72, 3858. (b) He, Z.; Tan, P.; Hu, J. Org. Lett.

2016, 18, 72. (c) Ma, J.-j.; Yi, W.-b.; Lu, G.-p.; Cai, C. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2015, 357, 3447. (d) Shang, X.-J.; Li, Z.; Liu, Z.-Q. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 233. (e) Yin, J.; Li, Y.; Zhang, R.; Jin, K.; Duan, C. Synthesis 2014, 46, 607. (f) Xu, P.; Abdukader, A.; Hu, K.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 2308. (g) He, Z.; Zhang, R.; Hu, M.; Li, L.; Ni, C.; Hu, J. Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 3478. (h) Patra, T.; Deb, A.; Manna, S.; Sharma, U.; Maiti, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 5247. (i) Li, Z.; Cui, Z.; Liu, Z.-Q. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 406. (j) He, Z.; Luo, T.; Hu, M.; Cao, Y.; Hu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 3944.

- (9) Konev, M. O.; Jarvo, E. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11340.
- (10) For selected examples of decarboxylative alkyl-alkyl cross coupling, see: (a) Chu, L.; Ohta, C.; Zuo, Z.; MacMillan, D. W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10886. (b) Nawrat, C. C.; Jamison, C. R.; Slutskyy, Y.; MacMillan, D. W. C.; Overman, L. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 11270. (c) Qin, T.; Cornella, J.; Li, C.; Malins, L. R.; Edwards, J. T.; Kawamura, S.; Maxwell, B. D.; Eastgate, M. D.; Baran, P. S. Science 2016, 352, 801. (d) Toriyama, F.; Cornella, J.; Wimmer, L.; Chen, T.-G.; Dixon, D. D.; Creech, G.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11132. (e) Johnston, C. P.; Smith, R. T.; Allmendinger, S.; MacMillan, D. W. C. Nature 2016, 536, 322. (f) Millet, A.; Lefebre, Q.; Rueping, M. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 13464. (g) Schwarz, J.; König, B. Green Chem. 2016, 18, 4743. (h) Qin, T.; Malins, L. R.; Edwards, J. T.; Merchant, R. R.; Novak, A. J. E.; Zhong, J. Z.; Mills, R. B.; Yan, M.; Yuan, C.; Eastgate, M. D.; Baran, P. S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 260.
- (11) For reviews on decarboxylative functionalization reactions, see: (a) Crich, D. Comp. Org. Synth. 1991, 7, 717. (b) Gooβen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Gooβen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3100. (c) Parka, K.; Lee, S. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 14165. (d) Shen, C.; Zhang, P.; Sun, Q.; Bai, S.; Hor, T. S. A.; Liu, X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 291. (e) Xuan, J.; Zhang, Z.-G.; Xiao, W.-J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15632. (f) Jin, Y.; Fu, H. Asian J. Org. Chem. 2017, 6, 368.
- (12) (a) Anderson, J. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 1651.
 (b) Anderson, J. M.; Kochi, J. K. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 986.
- (13) For recent examples, see: (a) Wang, Z.; Zhu, L.; Yin, F.; Su, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 4258. (b) Yin, F.; Wang, Z.; Li, Z.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10401. (c) Liu, X.; Wang, Z.; Cheng, X.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 14330. (d) Hu, F.; Shao, X.; Zhu, D.; Lu, L.; Shen, Q. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6105. (e) Liu, C.; Wang, X.; Li, Z.; Cui, L.; Li, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 9820. (f) Zhu, Y.; Li, X.; Wang, X.; Huang, X.; Shen, T.; Zhang, Y.; Sun, X.; Zou, M.; Song, S.; Jiao, N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4702. (g) Patel, N. R.; Flowers, R. A., II J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5834. (h) Cui, L.; Chen, H.; Liu, C.; Li, C. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2188. (i) Zhu, Y.; Wen, X.; Song, S.; Jiao, N. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6465. (j) Tan, X.; Song, T.; Wang, Z.; Chen, H.; Cui, L.; Li, C. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1634.
- (14) Romine, A. M.; Nebra, N.; Konovalov, A. I.; Martin, E.; Benet-Buchholz, J.; Grushin, V. V. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2745.
- (15) Newcomb, M. In *Radicals in Organic Synthesis*; Renaud, P., Sibi, M. P., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2001; Vol. 1, pp 317–336.
- (16) No difference was observed when the reductive elimination of 6 was conducted in the presence of 9,10-dihydroanthracene (1 equiv). However, the reaction was slowed down by the addition of Bu₄NF (1 equiv). See SI for details.
- (17) (a) Van Koten, G. Organometallics **2012**, *31*, 7634. (b) Casitas, A.; Ribas, X. Chem. Sci. **2013**, *4*, 2301.
- (18) (a) Willert-Porada, M. A.; Burton, D. J.; Baenziger, N. C. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1633. (b) Naumann, D.; Roy, T.; Tebbe, K.-F.; Crump, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1993, 32, 1482. (c) Eujen, R.; Hoge, B.; Brauer, D. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 519, 7. (d) Ribas, X.; Jackson, D. A.; Donnadieu, B.; Mahia, J.; Parella, T.; Xifra, R.; Hedman, B.; Hodgson, K. O.; Llobet, A.; Stack, T. D. P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2991. (e) Yao, B.; Wang, D.-X.; Huang, Z.-T.; Wang, M.-X. Chem. Commun. 2009, 45, 2899. (f) Hannigan, S. F.; Lum, J. S.; Bacon, J. W.; Moore, C.; Golen, J. A.; Rheingold, A. L.; Doerrer, L. H. Organometallics 2013, 32, 3429. (g) Zhang, H.; Yao, B.; Zhao, L.; Wang, D.-X.; Xu, B.-Q.; Wang, M.-X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 6326.

SYNOPSIS TOC.		
73 F2 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2 F2 F2 F3 C1 F2	(X-ray)	$CuCF_3$ $R-CF_3$ CF_3 transfer $Cu(CF_3)_2$ R^*
	reductive elimination	[0]
Cu(CF ₃) ₃ Me	>	Cu(CF ₃) ₂ + Me ⁻ CF ₃