



Accepted Article

Title: Indium-Catalysed Transfer-Hydrogenation for the Reductive Cyclisation of 2-Alkynyl Enones towards Trisubstituted Furans

Authors: Luomo Li, Sascha Kail, Sebastian M. Weber, and Gerhard Hilt

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202109266

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202109266

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

WILEY-VCH

Indium-Catalysed Transfer-Hydrogenation for the Reductive Cyclisation of 2-Alkynyl Enones towards Trisubstituted Furans

Luomo Li, Sascha Kail, Sebastian M. Weber, Gerhard Hilt*^[a]

[a] L. Li, S. Kail, S. M. Weber and Prof. Dr. G. Hilt Institut für Chemie, Carl von Ossietzky Universität Oldenburg Carl-von-Ossietzky-Straße 9–11, 26111 Oldenburg, Germany E-mail: Gerhard.Hilt@uni-oldenburg.de

Abstract: Indium tribromide catalysed the transfer-hydrogenation from dihydroaromatic compounds, such as the commercially available γ -terpinene, to enones which resulted in the cyclisation to trisubstituted furan derivatives. The reaction was initiated by a Michael addition of a hydride nucleophile to the enone subunit followed by a Lewis-acid assisted cyclisation and the formation of a furan-indium intermediate and a Wheland intermediate derived from the dihydroaromatic starting material. The product was formed by protonation from the Wheland complex and replaced the indium tribromide substituent. In addition, a site specific deuterium labelling of the dihydroaromatic HD surrogates resulted in site specific labelling of the products and gave useful insights into the reaction mechanism by H-D scrambling.

Multiple substituted furans play an important role in organic chemistry, not only as key structural motives in natural products (e.g. *crassifogenin* $A^{[1a]}$ and *plakorsin* D,^[1b] Figure 1) but also in materials and pharmaceuticals. The synthesis of furans has a long tradition in organic synthesis, and recent developments have been highlighted in several recent reviews.^[2]



Figure 1. Highly functionalised furan derivative pharmaceuticals and natural products.

Among the numerous synthetic approaches towards furans, the cyclisation of 2-alkynyl substituted 1,3-conjugated enones **1** with alcohols was first reported by Larock^[3] in 2004 (Scheme 1) utilising catalytic amounts of gold(III) and various nucleophiles for the synthesis of trisubstituted furans. Further developments by Zhang, Liu and several other groups (Scheme 1) utilising transition metal catalysts, such as gold,^[4a-n] palladium,^[4o-r] rhodium,^[4s] copper^[4t,u] and silver,^[4v-x] were reported over the last two decades. In these transition metal-catalysed cyclisation reactions of alkynyl enones of type **1**, a large number of different types of nucleophiles were reported for the synthesis of highly substituted and functionalised furans and annulated bicyclic systems. However, the use of a hydride source as nucleophile seems to be missing, probably because the transition metal catalysts are incompatible with hydride donors. Nevertheless, these applications utilised transition metal catalysts for the synthesis of highly substituted/functionalised furans via a π -Lewis acid activation of the alkyne moiety. In an outstanding report by Selander,^[5] InBr₃ catalysed the synthesis of furan derivatives starting from **1** in an annulation process with *in situ* generated enamines (Scheme 1).

(a) Larock 2004



(b) Zhang 2009







Scheme 1. Previous work concerning the cyclisation of 2-alkynyl-substituted enones with nucleophiles.

The Oestreich group pioneered in the transferhydrogenation of alkenes and imines by using dihydroaromatic compounds as the H₂ surrogate catalysed by $B(C_6F_5)_3$ or strong Brønsted acids.^[6] and applied regiospecific deuterated dihydroaromatic cyclohexadiene as a HD surrogate.^[6g] In 2020 our group reported the regiodivergent hydrodeuterogenation and the deuterohydrogenation utilising two specific deuterium-labelled dihydroaromatic compounds.^[7] Therefore, we became interested in expanding the cyclisation of enone **1** with a hydride nucleophile

COMMUNICATION

from a dihydroaromatic compound. Herein, an InBr₃-catalysed cyclisation of alkynyl enones **1** with the cost efficient and commercially available dihydroaromatic compound γ -terpinene (<100 €/kg) as H₂ surrogate towards furans under C-O bond formation is described.

For the optimisation of the cyclisation reaction, we focused on the following parameters: a) the catalyst loading (3-10 mol%, continuous); b) the reaction temperature (0-50 °C, continuous); c) the reaction time (1-15 h, continuous); d) the substrate concentration (0.2-2 M, continuous); e) the reducing agent loading (0.95-1.5 equiv., continuous) and f) the type of the reductant (1,4-cyclohexadiene or γ -terpinene, categorical). For the optimisation of all these variables efficiently and to reduce the number of needed experiments, the *Design of Experiments* (*DoE*) approach,^[8] with 2-benzylidene-1,4-diphenylbut-3-yn-1-one **1a** as test substrate (Scheme 2), was applied. All of the parameters were optimised in only 16 experiments (for further information see Supporting Information).



Scheme 2. Test reaction for the optimisation for the reaction parameters by DoE.



Figure 2. Reaction optimisation for the cyclisation of alkenyl enone 1a with H₂ surrogates. The predicted yields are plotted vs. the measured yields. Total number of reactions: 19; 16 for the model, and three duplicates for the lack of fit. The yields were determined by GC/FID analysis using mesitylene as internal standard.

The resulting model identified eight relevant factors with an $R^2 = 0.93$ (see Supporting Information). The most relevant factors were the substrate concentration and the reaction time (*p*-values < 0.01). The quadratic temperature and the cross-interaction between time and temperature and (*p*-values = 0.3) also affected the design.

Surprisingly, the model showed that the catalyst loading (p-values = 0.8) had a marginal impact while a low concentration of

the substrate and a low reaction temperature improved the yield and 1.5 equiv. of reductant were sufficient for the reaction. According to the calculated model, the optimal reaction conditions (Scheme 3) were applied for the synthesis of **3a** which was isolated in 98% yield (predicted yield around 100%), and a variety of alkynyl enones of type **1** were transformed in the InBr₃catalysed cyclisation towards the furans of type **3** (Scheme 3). The results of the InBr₃-catalysed transfer-hydrogenation induced cyclisation reactions are summarised in Table 1.



Scheme 3. InBr₃-catalysed cyclisation of 2-alkynyl-substituted enones 1.

Fortunately, the indium catalyst system tolerated a broad range of functional groups. Enones comprising electron-rich as well as electron-deficient aromatic substituents on the acyl moiety (R¹) led to products **3a-3d** in good to excellent yields. Moreover, the substituent on the acyl part (R¹) can be an aliphatic group as well (3n-3s) which had little impact on the yield. The alkenyl moiety (R²) with both electron-rich and electron-deficient aryl groups were compatible (3e-3h), including the 2-thiophenyl group. Aryl substituents on the alkynyl moiety (R³) (3i-3m) were also tolerated while electron-deficient aryl groups, such as 4trifluoromethyl-substituted substrate 1i and the 4-bromosubstituted aryl substrate 1j led to lower reactivities at ambient temperature, but the corresponding products (3i and 3j) were formed with good and almost quantitative yields at 50 °C. Also, the benzo[d][1,3]dioxole derivative 1r led to the formation of product 3r in a good yield (83%), while the reaction required a long time (more than 3 d) to reach completion, probably by lowering the catalysts activity upon weak coordination. Also, moderate to good yields were obtained for the products (3t-3w) comprising alkyl groups on the alkynyl moiety R³, including a cyclopropyl group (3u) and a ω-chloroalkyl substituent in product 3v. Unfortunately, the investigation of substrates with an aliphatic side-chain as substituent R² could not be realised. The route for the synthesis for this type of starting material with aliphatic substituents as R² led either to decomposition, side-reactions towards unidentified products or only trace amounts of the desired enone derivatives. However, one exception is the cyclohexanone derivative 1x which could be reacted successfully to afford 3x in a moderate yield thus indicating that also alkyl chains are tolerated as R², but other synthetic routes must be used to access such starting materials. On the basis of the results described by Oestreich and us, substrates bearing strongly Lewis-basic groups, such as a 4-nitrophenyl or a pyridyl substituent, are poor substrates for the transfer-hydrogenation from diaromatic compounds.^{6g} In our previous work (unpublished), the CN group decreased the reactivity of indium catalyst for the transferhydrogenation and lead to low or no conversion. Notably, this indium-catalysed cyclisation of alkynyl enones can be easily scaled up. A gram-scale reaction of alkynyl enone 1a was examined at 50 °C, providing 1.05 g (3.39 mol) of 3a in 98% yield.

COMMUNICATION

Table 1. Scope of the InBr₃-catalysed transfer-hydrogenation and cyclisation of 2-alkynyl-substituted enones 1.



General reaction conditions: alkynyl enones 1 (1.00 mmol, 1.0 eq.), γ-terpinene 2 (1.50 mmol, 1.5 eq.), lnBr₃ (0.03 mmol, 3 mol%) and CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL); 15-24 h and for the products **3h** and **3r** up to 72 h. [a] The reaction was performed at rt. [b] The reaction was performed at 50 °C.

To gain a better understanding of the mechanism of this alkynyl enone cyclisation, we conducted a regiodiverse deuterium-labelling experiments utilising the two regioselectively substituted dihydroaromatic compounds **4** (99% D incorporation) and **5** (96% D incorporation) developed by our group in 2020 as HD surrogates.^[7,9] The reaction of **1f** with surrogate **4** providing a deuteride (D⁻) and a proton (H⁺) in the presence of InBr₃ at 50 °C afforded the furan **6** in 85% yield and 99% deuterium incorporation into the methylene group (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4. Hydrodeuterogenation and deuterohydrogenation of enone 1f.

The same reaction with the surrogate **5** providing a deuterium cation (D⁺) a hydride (H⁻) afforded the furan **7** with 83% yield and 65% deuterium incorporation was detected into the 3-position of the furan ring. The significant loss of deuterium labelling for the HD surrogate **5** was surprising. Neither deuterium-labelling at other positions of product **7** nor additional deuterium incorporation in the oxidised HD surrogate (4-deutero-[1,1'-biphenyl]-3-yl)trimethylsilane) were detectable by GCMS analysis.

Nevertheless, for the mechanistic considerations of this InBr₃-catalysed reaction the considerable loss of deuteriumlabelling might be informative. For the gold-catalysed cyclisation of alkynyl enones (see Scheme 1), a plausible mechanisms was proposed by Larock.^[3] The cyclisation was initiated by a AuCl₃catalysed π -activation of the alkyne. However, compared to the gold catalyst, InBr₃ is believed to be more oxophilic. This led to a mechanistic proposal shown in Scheme 5.

COMMUNICATION



Scheme 5. Possible mechanism for the InBr $_3$ -catalysed cyclisation of enone 1f with HD surrogate 5.

The InBr₃ catalyst could first coordinate to the alkynyl enone 1f, forming the intermediate complex A with indium interacting with the carbonyl oxygen atom and the alkynyl moiety. Then a hydride, originated from the HD surrogate 5, is transferred in terms of a Michael addition to the activated alkenone moiety resulting in the formation of the Wheland intermediate B. This hydride transfer is either catalysed by InBr₃, via a [H-InBr₃]⁻ reactive intermediate, similar to the indium hydride published by Baba^[10], or by direct hydride transfer from 5 to the activated enone A. The cyclisation of the carbonyl oxygen onto the alkynyl moiety, led to the formation of the furan ring with covalently bonded indium at the 3-position in the intermediate complex C. The Wheland complex **B**, which is a strong proton donor, then replaced the InBr₃ moiety by a proton to afford product 7. Compared to other transfer-hydrogenations of dihydroaromatic surrogates catalysed by Lewis acids, this proposed mechanism would be unprecedented.

When the transfer-hydrogenation of alkenes was discussed in the literature,^[6,7] the addition of hydride and proton was reversed; the first steps in these reaction mechanisms were the protonation of the alkene starting materials by the Wheland complex to afford stabilised carbenium ions followed by hydride transfers from the surrogate to the carbenium ions. Accordingly, an alternative reaction mechanism would start with the protonation of the starting material **1f** by the Wheland complex **B** towards intermediate **D** (Scheme 6).



Scheme 6. Alternative mechanism based on the deuterium-labelling experiments for the InBr_3-catalysed cyclisation of enone 1f with HD surrogate 5.

An $InBr_3$ -assisted cyclisation via a coordinative indiumbonded intermediate **E** towards **C** would result in the formation of the furan backbone in **7** but also could account for the loss of Based on these considerations outlined in Scheme 6, the reaction of **1f** with the HD surrogate **4** (Scheme 4) should not show a loss of deuterium-labelling in product **6** when small amounts of H_2O are present. In an attempt to verify the hydrogen/deuterium scrambling from intermediate **E** via **C** to the furan product **7**, the control experiment as outlined in Scheme 7 was conducted.



Scheme 7. InBr₃-catalysed hydrodeuterogenation of 1f utilising γ -terpinene and D₂O as deuterium source.

Fortunately, InBr₃-catalysed transfer-hydrogenation are not highly sensitive to traces of H₂O (or D₂O)^[5b-g] although the reactivity of the catalyst was significantly diminished so that a prolonged reaction time was needed. As expected, the proton from the Wheland complex derived from γ -terpinene (corresponding to B) protonated the starting material 1f and the transfer-hydrogenation from γ -terpinene to the enone moiety in **D** generated the intermediate E without any incorporation of deuterium next to the tolyl substituent. In the experiment shown in Scheme 7 the hydrogen/deuterium scrambling took place and the product 7 was isolated in 82% with 55% deuterium incorporation in the 3-position. In a control experiment, the Hlabelled product 3f was reacted with D₂O in the presence of InBr₃ but no deuterated product 7 was detected, indicating that the loss of the deuterium labelling in the original reaction (1f \rightarrow 7, Scheme 4) might be associated to traces of H_2O .

In conclusion, we developed an InBr₃-catalysed cyclisation of alkynyl enones utilising γ -terpinene as H₂ surrogate. The optimisation of the reaction with the *Design of Experiments (DoE)* approach identified the crucial reaction parameters. This transition metal free reaction tolerated a wide range of aromatic and aliphatic functional groups and provided the substituted furans in high yields under mild reaction conditions and low catalyst loading. Moreover, deuterium-labelling studies utilising regioselectively substituted dihydroaromatic compounds as HD surrogates were conducted to gain insights into the reaction mechanism.

Acknowledgements

The financial support by the German Science Foundation GRK 2226 is gratefully acknowledged.

Keywords: indium • furans • cyclisation • 1,4-cyclohexadienes • deuterium labelling

COMMUNICATION

- a) N. Li, J.-J. Chen, J. Zhou, *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2004**, *87*, 845–850; b) J.
 Zhang, X. Tang, J. Li, P. Li, N. J. de Voogd, X. Ni, X. Jin, X. Yao, P. Li,
 G. Li, *J. Nat. Prod.* **2013**, *76*, 600–606.
- [2] For selected reviews, see: a) V. Y. Chen, O. Kwon, Angew. Chem. 2021, 133, 8956–8963; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 8874–8881; b) S. Nejrotti, C. Prandi, Synthesis 2021, 53, 1046–1060; c) X. Bao, J. Ren, Y. Yang, X. Ye, B. Wang, H. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2020, 18, 7977–7986; d) D. Qian, J. Zhang, Acc. Chem. Res. 2020, 53, 2358–2371; e) A. Deepthi, B. P. Babu, A. L. Balachandran, Org. Prep. Proced. Int. 2019, 51, 409–442; f) A. V. Gulevich, A. S. Dudnik, N. Chernyak, V. Gevorgyan, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 3084–3213; g) W. Jia-Jie, Y. Zhu, Z.-P. Zhan, Asian J. Org. Chem. 2012, 1, 108–129; h) B. A. Keay, J. M. Hopkins, P. W. Dibble, In Comprehensive Heterocyclic Chemistry III; C. A. Ramsden, E. F. V. Scriven, R. J. K. Taylor, Eds.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2008, 571–623; i) S. F. Kirsch, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 2076–2080.
- a) T. Yao, X. Zhang, R. C. Larock, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7679–7685;
 b) T. Yao, X. Zhang, R. C. Larock, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11164–11165.
- [4] For gold-catalysed cyclisations, see: a) Y. Xu, J. Sun, Org. Lett. 2021, 23, 853-857; b) R. D. Kardile, R.-S. Liu, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 8229-8233; c) S. Ge, Y. Zhang, Z. Tan, D. Li, S. Dong, X. Liu, X. Feng, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 3551-3556; d) L. Zhou, B. Xu, D. Ji, Z.-M. Zhang, J. Zhang, Chin. J. Chem. 2020, 38, 577-582; e) R. D. Kardile, T.-H Chao, M.-J. Cheng, R.-S. Liu, Angew. Chem. 2020, 132, 10482-10486; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 10396-10400; f) J. Qi, Q. Teng, N. Thirupathi, C.-H. Tung, Z. Xu, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 692-695; g) Q. Du, J.-M. Neudörfl, H.-G. Schmalz, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 2379–2383; h) S. Liu, P. Yang, S. Peng, C. Zhu, S. Cao, J. Li, J. Sun, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 1152-1155; i) L. Zhou, M. Zhang, W. Li, J. Zhang, Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 6660-6663; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6542-6545; j) H. Gao, X. Wu, J. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 2838-2841; k) F. Liu, Y. Yu, J. Zhang, Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 6819-6822; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 6669–6672; I) H. Gao, X. Zhao, Y. Yu, J. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 456-459; m) H. Gao, X. Wu, J. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 8764-8766; n) F. Liu, Y. Yu, J. Zhang, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 5613-5616; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 5505-5508. For palladiumcatalysed cyclisations, see: o) C. Arroniz, G. Chaubet, E. A. Anderson, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 8290-8295; p) R. Liu, J. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 9303-9306; q) Y. Xiao, J. Zhang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 617-629; r) Y. Xiao, J. Zhang, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 1929–1932; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1903–1906. For a rhodium-catalysed cyclisation. see: s) H. Gao, J. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 2777-2782. For copper-catalysed cyclisations, see: t) L. Wang, X. Liu, M. Wang, J. Liu, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2162-2165; u) N. T. Patil, H. Wu, Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 4531-4534. For silver-catalysed cyclisations, see: v) L.-L. Qian, R. Yi, X.-T. Min, Y.-C. Hu, B Wan, Q. A. Chen, Tetrahedron, 2020, 76, 131327-131334; w) Z. Li, J. Peng, C. He, J. Xu, H. Ren, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 5768-5772. For a platinum-catalysed cyclisation, see: x) C. H. Oh, V. R. Reddy, A. Kim, C.Y. Rhim, Tetrahedron Lett. 2006, 47, 5307-5310
- [5] a) S. R. Pathipati, A. van der Werf, L. Eriksson, N. Selander, Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 12042–12045; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 11863-11866. For reviews, see: b) M. Datta, ChemistrySelect 2021, 6, 187–216; c) S. K. Mahato, C. Acharya, K. W. Wellington, P. Bhattacharjee, P. Jaisankar, ACS Omega 2020, 5, 2503–2519; d) Z.-L. Shen, S.-Y. Wang, Y.-K. Chok, Y.-H. Xu, T.-P. Loh, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 271–401; e) J. S. Yadav, A. Antony, J. George, B. V. Subba Reddy, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 591–605; f) J. Podlech, T. C. Meier, Synthesis 2003, 633–655; g) B. C. Ranu, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 2347–2356.
- [6] a) W. Chen, H. Fang, K. Xie, M. Oestreich, Chem. Eur. J. 2020, 26, 15126–15129; b) W. Chen, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 4531–4534; c) P. Orecchia, W. Yuan, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 3617–3621; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 3579–3583; d) A. Djurovic, M. Vayer, Z. Li, R. Guillot, J.-P. Baltaze, V. Gandon, C. Bour, Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 8132–8137; e) J. C. L. Walker, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. 2019, 131, 15530–15534; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2019, 58, 15386–15389; f) A. Bhunia, K. Bergander, A. Studer, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 16353–16359; g) J. C. L. Walker, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 6411–6414; h) S. Bähr, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. 2017,

129, 52-59; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 52-59; g) S. Keess, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1898-1901; i) S. Keess, M. Oestreich, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 5925-5928; i) W. Yuan, P. Orecchia, M. Oestreich, Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 10390-10393; j) S. Keess, M. Oestreich, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 4688-4695; k) A. Lefranc, Z.-W. Qu, S. Grimme, M. Oestreich, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 10009-10016; I) M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 128, 504-509; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 494-499; m) I. Chatterjee, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2463-2466; n) I. Chatterjee, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2463-2466; o) I. Chatterjee, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 1988-1991; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1965-1968; p) I. Chatterjee, Z.-W. Qu, S. Grimme, M. Oestreich, Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12326-12330; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12158-12162; q) A. Simonneau, M. Oestreich, Nat. Chem. 2015, 7, 816-822; r) B. Michelet, C. Bour, V. Gandon, Chem. Eur. J. 2014, 20, 14488-14492; s) J. D. Webb, V. S. Laberge, S. J. Geier, D. W. Stephan, C. M. Crudden, Chem. Eur. J. 2010, 16, 4895-4902. For a review, see: t) J. C. L. Walker, M. Oestreich, Synlett 2019, 30, 2216-2332. For recent alternative HD surrogates, see: u) T. G. Linford-Wood, N. T. Coles, R. L. Webster, Green Chem. 2021, 23, 2703-2709; v) Z. P. Vang, A. Reyes, R. E. Sonstrom, M. S. Holdren, S. E. Sloane, I. Y. Alansari, J. L. Neill, B. H. Pate, J. R. Clark, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2021, 143, 7707-7718; w) Y. Wang, X. Cao, L. Zhao, C. Pi, J. Ji, X. Cui, Y. Wu, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2020, 362, 4119-4129; x) S. E. Sloane, A. Reyes, Z. P. Vang, L. Li, K. T Behlow, J. R. Clark, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 9139-9144; y) M. Espinal-Viguri, S. E. Neale, N. T. Coles, S. A. Macgregor, R. L. Webster, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 572-582; z) P. Yang, H. Xu, J. Zhou, Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 12406-12409; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 12210-12213; aa) Z. P. Vang, S. J. Hintzsche, J. R. Clark, Chem Eur. J. 2021, 27, 9988-10000.

- [7] a) L. Li, G. Hilt, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 1628–1632; b) L. Li, G. Hilt, Chem.
 Eur. J. 2021, 22, doi.org/10.1002/chem.202101259.
- [8] For recent applications of *DoE* in organic electrochemistry, see: a) M. M. Hielscher, B. Gleede, S. R. Waldvogel, *Electrochim. Acta* 2021, *368*, 137555; b) M Dörr, M. M. Hielscher, J. Proppe, S. R. Waldvogel, *ChemElectroChem* 2021, doi.org/10.1002/celc.202100318; c) E. Babaoglu, G. Hilt, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2020, *26*, 8879–8884; d) R. Möckel, J. Hille, E. Winterling, S. Weidemüller, T. M. Faber, G. Hilt, *Angew. Chem.* 2018, *130*, 450–454; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, *57*, 442–445; For reviews/tutorials for the use of *DoE* in chemistry, see: e) B. Benedettia, V. Caponigrob, F. Ardini, *Critical Rev. Anal. Chem.* 2020, doi.org/10.1080/10408347.2020.1848517, f) P. M. Murray, F. Bellany, L. Benhamou, D.-K. Bučar, A. B. Tabor, T. D. Sheppard, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2016, *14*, 2373–2384; g) R. Leardi, *Anal. Chim. Acta* 2009, *652*, 161–172.
- [9] For the synthesis of dihydroaromatic compounds, see: a) P. Röse, G. Hilt, *Synthesis* 2016, *48*, 463–492; b) G. Hilt, *Chem. Rec.* 2014, *14*, 386–395; c) J. R. Kuttner, G. Hilt, *Synthesis* 2015, *47*, 1170–1180; d) F. Erver, J. R. Kuttner, G. Hilt, *J. Org. Chem.* 2012, *77*, 8375–8385; e) A.-L. Auvinet, J. P. A. Harrity, G. Hilt, *J. Org. Chem.* 2010, *75*, 3893–3896; f) Hilt, C. Hengst, *J. Org. Chem.* 2007, *72*, 7337–7342; g) G. Hilt, J. Janikowski, W. Hess, *Angew. Chem.* 2006, *118*, 5328–5331; *Angew. Chem.* 11t. Ed. 2006, *45*, 5204–5206; h) G. Hilt, K. I. Smolko, *Angew. Chem.* 2003, *115*, 2901–2903; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2003, *42*, 2795–2797; i) T. V. RajanBabu, W. A. Nugent, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1994, *116*, 986–997; j) T. V. RajanBabu, W. A. Nugent, M. S. Beattie, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1990, *112*, 6408–6409.
- For indium hydride compounds and their applications, see: a) T. Miyai,
 K. Inoue, M. Jasuda, I. Shibata, A. Baba, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1998, *39*,
 1929–1932; b) K. Inoue, A. Sawada, I. Shibata, A. Baba, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc. 2002, *124*, 906–907.

5

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents



Cheap but not Bad – $InBr_3$ is used as functional group tolerant catalysts for the transfer-hydrogenation from γ -terpinene as H₂ surrogate to initiate the cyclisation of 2-alkynyl-substituted enones to tri-substituted furans in good yields. *Design of Experiments* was used to optimise the reaction conditions with a few experiments to almost quantitative yields and deuterium-labelling experiments gave valuable mechanistic insights.