This article was downloaded by: [Memorial University of Newfoundland] On: 04 September 2013, At: 23:31 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: <u>http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gpss20</u>

Selective Oxidation of Sulfides to Sulfones Using H₂O₂ and Anderson-Type Hexamolybdochromate(III) as Catalyst

Amit R. Supale ^a & Gavisiddappa S. Gokavi ^a

^a Kinetics and Catalysis Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, India Published online: 23 Mar 2010.

To cite this article: Amit R. Supale & Gavisiddappa S. Gokavi (2010) Selective Oxidation of Sulfides to Sulfones Using H_2O_2 and Anderson-Type Hexamolybdochromate(III) as Catalyst, Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon and the Related Elements, 185:4, 725-731, DOI: <u>10.1080/10426500902922958</u>

To link to this article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/10426500902922958

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Phosphorus, Sulfur, and Silicon, 185:725–731, 2010 Copyright © Taylor & Francis Group, LLC ISSN: 1042-6507 print / 1563-5325 online DOI: 10.1080/10426500902922958

SELECTIVE OXIDATION OF SULFIDES TO SULFONES USING H₂O₂ AND ANDERSON-TYPE HEXAMOLYBDOCHROMATE(III) AS CATALYST

Amit R. Supale and Gavisiddappa S. Gokavi

Kinetics and Catalysis Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur, India

An Anderson-type hexamolybdochromate(III) is found to be an effective catalyst for the selective oxidation of sulfides to their corresponding sulfones. The reaction was carried out in 60% aq. acetonitrile (v/v) using $30\% H_2O_2$ at 60°C. Various dialkyl, alkyl-aryl, and diaryl sulfides were selectively oxidized, giving high yields of sulfones after a simple workup procedure.

Keywords Hexamolybdochromate (III); homogeneous catalysis; hydrogen peroxide; oxidation; sulfones

INTRODUCTION

Sulfoxides and sulfones play an important role in organic synthetic chemistry.^{1–2} Sulfones are valuable intermediates for the formation of chemically and biologically important molecules.³ Among the various oxidants^{4–8} employed for the oxidation of sulfides, hydrogen peroxide is an ideal oxidant, having water as the only byproduct with high oxygen content.⁹ In the case of sulfoxide preparation, careful monitoring of the reaction is required to minimize the amount of sulfone as a side product, while oxidation of sulfide to sulfone requires a long reaction time and lengthy treatment with the use of toxic materials and heavy metals. Several catalysts have also been utilized for oxidation of sulfides by aqueous H_2O_2 ,¹⁰ but most of them have one or more drawbacks such as long reaction time, toxic byproducts, unavailability, and high cost of reagents, as well as selectivity. Polyoxometalates are very good catalysts^{11–13} for both gas and liquid phase oxidation reactions. They were successfully utilized^{14–17} in various organic transformations. Most of the polyoxometaalate catalysis is concentrated on Keggin and Dawson types,¹² and less attention is given to the other type of polyoxometalates such as Anderson-type¹⁸ compounds.

Sodium hexamolybdochromate(III) is an Anderson-type polyoxometalate. Detailed analysis of the structure of catalyst is reported.¹⁹ Among the oxidation states of chromium, chromium(VI) is carcinogenic, while chromium(III) is required for carbohydrate and lipid

Received 11 January 2009; accepted 24 March 2009.

A. R. Supale gratefully acknowledges UGC, New Delhi, India, for Research Fellowship.

Address correspondence to Dr. Gavisiddappa S. Gokavi, Kinetics and Catalysis Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, Shivaji University, Kolhapur 416004, India. E-mail: gsgokavi@hotmail.com

metabolism in mammals.²⁰ In continuation of our work^{21–24} on catalyzed oxidation reactions, we now present a novel catalytic system for oxidation of sulfides to sulfone by using aqueous H_2O_2 and sodium hexamolybdochromate(III) as catalyst, as shown in Scheme 1.



Scheme 1 Oxidation of sulfide to sulfone catalyzed by hexamolybdochromate(III).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To study the catalytic oxidation of sulfides mediated by $Na_3[CrMo_6O_{24}H_6]\cdot8H_2O$, methyl phenyl sulfide was chosen as a model compound, and the reaction conditions were optimized. At room temperature, the reaction is slow and requires 2 h for complete conversion into sulfone. The reaction proceeds smoothly at 60°C and requires only 10 min for completion. Under these conditions, the presence of sulfoxide was not observed. Other catalytic derivatives such as molybdenum oxide and ammonium molybdate have been used previously for oxidation of sulfides.²⁵ These methods only afford sulfoxide as a major product. Oxidation of sulfides directly into sulfones is the advantage of the method presented in this article. The present method is compared with previous reports; Table I indicates the advantages of the present catalytic system over previous methods.

Since the solvent has a pronounced effect on the reaction, it was carried out in various solvents and the results are shown in Table II. Among the various solvents used, aqueous acetonitrile (60% v/v) was found to be the most effective solvent, giving a high yield with comparatively low reaction time. In pure aqueous medium, there was no reaction even after 12 h. In the absence of the catalyst, the reaction did not afford sulfone even after 3 h. The yield and rate of the reaction were also found to be dependent on the concentration of the catalyst and oxidant. As the catalyst was decreased from 2 mol% to 0.5 mol%, the yield of product sulfone decreased, and the reaction time also increased considerably. The effect of catalyst variation on the reaction rate and yield are tabulated in Table III.

Sr. No.	Catalytic system	Time	(%) Yield	Ref.
1	MoO ₂ Cl ₂ /H ₂ O ₂ sulfide (4 mmol), 30% H ₂ O ₂ (4 eq.), MoO ₂ Cl ₂ (15 mol%) in 10 mL CH ₃ CN at RT.	45 min	95	8
2	Titanium catalyst TiO ₂ -400 (37.4 mg), sulfide (0.4 mmol), H ₂ O ₂ (1.2 mmol) in 4 mL CH ₃ CN at 80°C	3 h	96	26
3	Silica sulfuric acid/ H ₂ O ₂ SSA(0.2 g), sulfide (1 mmol), 30% H ₂ O ₂ (3 eq.), in 5 mL CH ₃ CN at RT	45 min	96	27
4	Present system Na ₃ [CrMo ₆ H ₆ O ₂₄] (2 mol%), sulfide (1 mmol), 30% H ₂ O ₂ (2 eq.) in 10 mL 60% CH ₃ CN (v/v) at 60°C	10 min	94	_

Table I Comparison of the present system with previous systems

Solvent	Time (min)	Yield $(\%)^b$
Acetonitrile	10	94
Methanol	20	85
Ethanol	45	75
Water	720	_

Table II Reaction in various solvents^a

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: sulfide (1 mmol), 2 mol% hexamolybdochromate(III), H_2O_2 (2 equivalent) at 60°C in 60% aq. acetonitrile(v/v).

^bIsolated yield.

To study the scope of the reaction, a series of sulfides having dialkyl, diaryl, and alkylaryl groups were chosen. Sulfides including furfuryl methyl sulfide (1i) were selectively converted into sulfones in high yields as shown in Table IV. It is clear from Table IV that dialkyl sulfides (1j-1l) afford sulfones in less time in comparison with diaryl (1g) and alkyl-aryl (entries **1a-1f**, **1h**) sulfides. It is seen that the substrates containing an electrondonating group are more reactive than the substrates having electron-withdrawing groups due to the deactivating effect in the oxidation procedure by diaryl and electron-withdrawing groups. Since the uncatalyzed reaction is slow under the experimental conditions and the rate of the reaction depends on both the catalyst and oxidant concentrations, the catalyzed reaction proceeds with the interaction of the catalyst and the oxidant. We have reported earlier²¹ that the oxidation of sulfide takes place via complex formation between Cr(III) and sulfides. In the present study, the catalyst is a heteropolyoxometalate in which the hetero atom, Cr(III), is buried within the six molybdate octahedrons, which does not favor the formation of a complex between the catalyst and the substrate, thus making it an inert redox catalyst. Therefore, the initiation of the reaction occurs by the oxidation of the catalyst to its higher oxidation state by hydrogen peroxide, which then affects the oxidation of the substrate. In order to get further support for the proposed mechanism, the interaction between the catalyst and oxidant was examined separately under the reaction conditions. The violet solution of hexamolybdochromate(III) turns green when treated with H_2O_2 , and the green solution was evaporated to get the crystals of oxidized form of the catalyst, hexamolybdochromate(V). The FT-IR spectra of both oxidized and unoxidized hexamolybdochromate(III) were recorded as KBr pellets. The comparison of both the spectra indicates that the polyoxometalate characteristic $M-O_c-M$ peak at 643 cm⁻¹ was found to be split into two peaks in the oxidized form due to a change in the oxidation state²⁹ of chromium. There was also a new peak at 829 cm⁻¹, characteristic of $Cr^{V} = O$ in the

Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Time (min)	Yield $(\%)^b$
1	2	10	94
2	1	35	85
3	0.8	40	82
4	0.5	60	85

Table III Effect of catalyst on oxidation reaction^a

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: sulfide (1 mmol), H_2O_2 (2 equivalent) at 60°C in 60% aq. acetonitrile (v/v).

^bPure isolated yield.

Entry	Sulfide	Sulfone	Time (min)	Yield $(\%)^b$	M.P. °C (Rep.)
	Br SCH ₃	о с сн ₃	30	88	102 (101–102) ²⁷
1b	CI SCH3		26	90	97 (95–97) ²⁷
1c	F SCH3		20	90	76 (76–79) ²⁸
1d	H ₃ C	F O S-CH ₃	20	95	85 (88) ²⁷
1e	SCH3	H ₃ C'	10	94	85 (86–88) ²⁷
1f	SC ₂ H ₅		10	91	43 (42–43) ²⁸
1g	S S		10	94	126 (128–130) ²⁷
1h	S-CH(CH ₃) ₂	S-CH(CH ₃) ₂	15	85	oil
1i	CH ₂ SCH ₃		25	74	69
1j 11/2	$(CH_3)_2S$	$(CH_3)_2SO_2$	6	92 8°	$109 (108-110)^{28}$ 73 (73 75) ²⁷
1k 1l	$(CH_3CH_2)_2S$ $(CH_3CH_2)_3)_2S$	$(CH_3CH_2)_2SO_2$ $(CH_3CH_2)_3)S_2$	8	92	45 (46) ²⁷

Table IV Selective oxidation of sulfides to sulfones catalyzed by hexamolybdochromate(III)^a

^aReaction conditions: sulfide (1 mmol), H_2O_2 (2 equivalent) at 60°C and 2 mol% hexamolybdochromate(III) at 60°C in 60% acetonitrile(v/v).

^bPure isolated yield.

All products characterized by ¹H NMR and mass spectrometry.

FT-IR of the oxidized form of hexamolybdochromate.

$$Cr^{III}Mo_6O_{24}H_6(H_2O) + H_2O_2 \rightarrow (Cr^V = O)Mo_6O_{24}H_6 + 2H_2O$$
 (1)

On the basis of FT-IR spectral examination of both the unoxidized and oxidized form of hexamolybdochromate, the formation of Cr^{V} can be shown as in Equation (1), and the $Cr^{V} = O$ formed acts as an oxidant in the present study. The proposed mechanism is shown in Scheme 2.



Scheme 2 A plausible catalytic cycle.

Initially H_2O_2 reacts with Cr^{III} and forms $Cr^V = O$. This $Cr^V = O$ oxidizes sulfide to sulfone. The conditions used in the system allowed the use of organic solvents to be reduced as much as possible. The catalyst used is easy to prepare and is very effective with H_2O_2 . The method is more advantageous than previous reports due to its green approach.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the reaction is one-step, rapid, mild, and environmentally benign, giving high yields of sulfone after a simple workup procedure. The sulfides having various substituents were selectively oxidized to their respective sulfones. The catalytic role of hexamolybdochromate is briefly described.

EXPERIMENTAL

All the products are known compounds and were identified by comparison of their physical and spectral data with previous reports in the literature.^{10,27} ¹H NMR was recorded on Bruker Avance 300 MHz spectrometer. Mass spectra were recorded on Shimadzu GCMS-QP2010. Melting points were determined in an open capillary and are uncorrected. Sulfides were purchased from Lancaster. Acetonitrile and dichloromethane were purchased from SD Fine Chemicals (Mumbai, India) and used without further purification. All yields refer to isolated yields.

Catalyst Preparation

The catalyst sodium hexamolybdochromate(III) was prepared by the previously reported method.¹⁹ The pH of a solution containing $Na_2MoO_4.2H_2O$ (14.5 g in 30 mL of water) was adjusted to 4.5 with concentrated HNO₃. A second solution was made by dissolving $Cr(NO_3)_3$.9H₂O (4.0 g in 5 mL of water). The solutions were mixed together, and the mixture was boiled for 1 min and filtered while hot. The filtrate was set aside for crystallization, and crystals started to appear in 1 h. the solution was allowed to stand for

2 weeks before the precipitate was filtered off and washed several times with cold water. Reddish-purple crystals were obtained.

Catalyst Characterization

The complex Na₃[CrMo₆O₂₄H₆]·8H₂O was studied by AAS analysis. 100 mg of recrystallized sample was dissolved in doubly glass-distilled water. 5 mL of this stock solution was diluted to 100 mL and used for AAS analysis of Cr and Mo metals using Perkin-Elmer Analyst-300. The complex Na₃[CrMo₆O₂₄H₆]·8H₂O shows (Theoretical): Na—5.6303% (5.6052%), Cr—4.2227% (4.2242%), and Mo–46.217% (46.2109%).

General Procedure for Oxidation of Sulfide

In a typical experiment, methyl phenyl sulfide (1 mmol, 0.124 g) and 30% H_2O_2 (2 mmol, 0.22 mL) were taken in 10 mL 60% acetonitrile (v/v) in a round bottom flask. The catalyst Na₃[CrMo₆H₆O₂₄] (2 mol%) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 60°C. The progress of the reaction was monitored by TLC. After completion of the reaction, the mixture was treated with dichloromethane (2 × 25 mL). The organic layer was dried by anhydrous MgSO₄ and concentrated to obtain the required product, methyl phenyl sulfone. It was further purified by column chromatography (silica gel, using hexane:ethyl acetate 90:10, v/v). (94% yield, mp = 75°C).

REFERENCES

- J. Drabowicz, P. Kielbasinski, and M. Mikolaiczyk, *Synthesis of Sulfoxides* (Wiley, New York, 1994).
- 2. H. L. Holland, Chem. Rev., 88, 473 (1988).
- S. Patai and Z. Rappoport, Synthesis of Sulfones Sulfoxides and Cyclic Sulfides (Wiley, Chichester, UK, 1994).
- 4. R. S. Verma, R. K. Saini, and H. M. Meshram, Tetrahedron Lett., 38, 6525 (1997).
- 5. X. Liang, J. Cheng, and M. Trudell, J. Org. Chem., 68, 5388 (2003).
- Y. Sasaki, K. Ushimaru, K. Itey, H. Nakayam, S. Yamaguchi, and J. Ichihara, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 45, 9513 (2004).
- 7. A. R. Hajipour, B. Kooshki, and A. E. Ruoho, Tetrahedron Lett., 46, 5503 (2005).
- 8. A. R. Hajipour, M. El-Shadpour, and A. Hadi, J. Org. Chem., 67, 8666 (2002).
- 9. G. T. Brink, I. W. C. Arends, and R. A. Sheldon, Science, 287, 1636 (2000).
- 10. K. Jayakumar and D. K. Chand, Tetrahedron Lett., 47, 4573 (2006) and references cited therein.
- 11. M. T. Pope, Isopoly and Heteropoly Anions (Springer, Berlin, Germany, 1983).
- 12. A. Muller, Polyoxometalate Chemistry (Kluwer Academic: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2001).
- 13. I. V. Kozhevnikov, Catalysis by Polyoxometalates (Wiley, Chichester, UK, 2002).
- 14. A. M. Khenkin and R. Neumann, Adv. Synth. Catal., 344, 1017 (2002).
- 15. R. Neumann and M. Levin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 114, 7278 (1992).
- 16. A. M. Khenkin and R. Neumann, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 123, 6437 (2001).
- 17. S. P. Mardur and G. S. Gokavi, Catal. Commun., 8, 279 (2007).
- 18. A. M. Khenkin and R. Neumann, Adv. Synth. Catal., 344, 1017 (2002).
- 19. A. Perloff, Inorg. Chem., 9, 2228 (1970).
- 20. J. B. Vincent, Polyhedron, 20, 1 (2001).
- 21. A. R. Supale and G. S. Gokavi, Catal. Lett., 124, 284 (2008).
- 22. A. R. Supale and G. S. Gokavi, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett., 93, 141 (2008).

SELECTIVE OXIDATION OF SULFIDES TO SULFONES

- 23. S. P. Mardur, S. B. Halligudi, and G. S. Gokavi, Catal. Lett., 96, 165 (2004).
- 24. A. R. Supale and G. S. Gokavi, React. Kinet. Catal. Lett., 96, 83 (2009).
- 25. P. P. Samatov, U. V. Dzhemilev, and A. K. Sharipov, Petroleum Chem., 46, 468 (2006).
- 26. W. Al-Maksoud, S. Daniele, and A. B. Sorokin, Green Chem., 10, 447 (2008).
- 27. A. Shaabani and A. H. Rezayan, Catal Commun., 8, 1112 (2007).
- 28. Catalogue Handbook of Fine Chemicals (Lancaster Synthesis Inc., Windham, UK, 2001), pp. 793, 822, 912, 964.
- 29. K. Nakamoto, *Infrared and Raman Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds*, 3rd ed. (Wiley Interscience, New York, 1978).