Synthesis of an Isomer of the Renieramycin Skeleton from L-Tyrosine

Wei Liu, Wen Fang Dong, Xiang Wei Liao, Bao He Guan, and Zhan Zhu Liu*

Key Laboratory of Bioactive Substances and Resources Utilization of Chinese Herbal Medicine (Ministry of Education), Institute of Materia Medica, Chinese Academy of Medical Sciences and Peking Union Medical College, Beijing 100050, People's Republic of China *E-mail: liuzhanzhu@imm.ac.cn Received April 6, 2010 DOI 10.1002/jhet.609 Published online 21 January 2011 in Wiley Online Library (wileyonlinelibrary.com).



A new approach that tried to obviate the use of bromine protection groups was studied to synthesize (-)-renieramycin G from L-tyrosine. It was found that the first intermolecular Pictet–Spengler reaction proceeded successfully to give the correct tetrahydroisoquinoline precursor **6**. However, the second intramolecular Pictet–Spengler cyclization step failed to give the desired product, and an isomer of the skeleton of the renieramycins was obtained *via* 12 steps starting from L-tyrosine.

J. Heterocyclic Chem., 48, 414 (2011).

INTRODUCTION

Members of the tetrahydroisoquinoline family of alkaloids including saframycins, ecteinascidins, renieramycins, quinocarcins, and lemonomycin display a wide range of biological properties such as antitumor and antimicrobial activities [1]. Renieramycins are marine natural products that are structurally and biologically related to the isoquinoline natural products. Renieramycin G (Fig. 1) was isolated in 1992 by Davidson [2] from the Fijian sponge *Xestospongia caycedoi*. Several studies on the total synthesis of the renieramycin natural products have been reported [3–5].

The stereospecific intramolecular Pictet–Spengler cyclization is one of the key steps for the construction of the pentacyclic skeleton of the bistetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids. Organic acids such as HCOOH, MeSO₃H, CF₃SO₃H, and CF₃COOH have been used to realize the cyclization [6–23]. Previous research in our group mainly focused on the study of new approaches to construct the pentacyclic skeleton and the discovery of simplified derivatives of the bistetrahydroisoquinoline alkaloids [24]. Recently, our group reported a new approach for the total synthesis of (–)-renieramycin G using L-tyrosine as the chiral starting material [25]. Now as a continuation of this research, we investigated a more efficient synthetic route of (–)-renieramycin G, which tried to avoid the use of the bromine protection groups in the two benzene rings.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The synthesis of amino acid **4** (Scheme 1) and the key 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline precursor **6** (Scheme 2) basically followed our published procedures. The difference was that the use of the bromine protecting groups on the benzene rings was obviated. The Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of **1** using *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid (*m*-CPBA) in chloroform at room temperature, followed by hydrolysis of the resulting formate intermediate, provided phenol **2**. The N-acetyl group of **2** was removed with SOCl₂ in methanol, and the resulting free amine was reprotected as the corresponding Boc carbamate to afford compound **4**. Finally, hydrolysis of the methyl ester with LiOH provided amino acid **4**. Amino ester **2** was reduced to the corresponding alcohol by LiBH₄ in 91% yield. The N-acetyl group was removed



Figure 1. Structure of (–)-renieramycin G.

with 6 N aqueous HCl in CH₃OH to give amino alcohol **5** in 90% yield. The highly diastereoselective Pictet–Spengler cyclization reaction between amino alcohol **5** and benzyloxyacetaldehyde at -10° C regioselectively provided (1*R*,3*S*)-1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline **6** in 87% yield. No product from the cylization occurring para to the hydroxyl group on the benzene ring was found. The stereochemistry of compound **6** was verified through the analysis of the stereochemistry of (–)-MY 336a, which was obtained from the hydrogenation of compound **6** [26]. Thus it proved that the intermolecular Pictet–Spengler reaction was successful without the bromine blocking group.

Next, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroisoquinoline **6** was coupled with **4** through the action of bis(2-oxo-3-oxazolidinyl) phosphinic chloride (BOPCl) to afford amide **7**. Then, silylation of compound **7** with *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (TBSCl) and subsequent cleavage of TBS group selectively provided the primary alcohol **8**. Oxidation of compound **8** with Dess–Martin periodinane provided hemiaminal as a single diastereomer. Cleavage of the aryl TBS ether using tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) afforded compound **9** (Scheme 3).

With 9 in hand, we then investigated the key intramolecular Pictet–Spengler reaction to construct the pentacyclic skeleton. However, the pentacyclic product 10 was not obtained from the treatment of 9 with HCOOH, CF_3SO_3H , or MeSO_3H. Finally, treatment of compound 9 with trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) at room temperature provided pentacyclic compound 10 with the Boc group having been removed simultaneously. Without purification, crude compound 10 was N-methylated to give product 11 through the reductive methylation. The characteristic nuclear Overhauser effects (NOEs) between 5-H and 6-CH₃, and between 15-H and 16-OH in compound 11 confirmed that 15-H was ortho to 16-OH (Scheme 3). Thus it was confirmed that the intramolecular Pictet– Spengler cyclization occurred para instead of ortho to the hydroxyl group of the right benzene ring. It is supposed that the relatively strong condition of this reaction (CF₃CO₂H/r.t.) other than the mild one of the first intermolecular Pictet–Spengler reaction (acetic acid/ -10° C) failed to give the desired product.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we studied a new approach for the total synthesis of (–)-renieramycin G without the use of the bromine protection groups. The first intermolecular Pic-tet–Spengler reaction proceeded smoothly to give the desired tetrahydroisoquinoline product. However, the second intramolecular Pictet–Spengler reaction did not give the correct cyclization product, and an isomer of the skeleton of renieramycin natural products was obtained through 12 steps for the longest linear route.

EXPERIMENTAL

General. ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM 600 or 300 instrument (Bruker BioSpin Corporation, MA) at 24°C in the indicated solvent and are reported in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane and referenced internally to the residually protonated solvent. ¹³C-NMR spectra were recorded at 150 or 75 MHz spectrometer at 24°C in the solvent indicated and are reported in parts per million relative to tetramethylsilane and referenced internally protonated solvent. HRMS were carried out by Agilent LC/MSD TOF (Agilent Technologies, CA). Optical rotations were measured on a Perkin Elmer Polarimeter 341LC (PerkinElmer Incorporation, MA) using 10-cm cells and the sodium D line (589 nm) at 20°C and concentration was indicated. All reagents were obtained from commercial suppliers unless otherwise stated.

Synthesis of compound 4. To a solution of 3 (1.56 g, 4.6 mmol) in CH₃OH (10 mL), a solution of lithium hydroxide (0.44 g, 18.4 mmol, 4 equiv.) in water (5 mL) was added. The solution was stirred at room temperature for 4 h. The methanol was removed *in vacuo*, and the aqueous phase was acidified to pH 1.5. The aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (50 mL \times 2), and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine and dried over Na₂SO₄. The organic phase was concentrated, and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc) to afford 4 (1.39 g, 93%) as a white solid. m.p.: 77–80°C.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): σ 12.53 (s, 1H), 9.04 (s, 1H), 7.03 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.46 (s, 1H), 4.02 (m, 1H), 3.63 (s, 3H), 2.85 (dd, J = 13.8, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (dd, J = 13.2, 9.9 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 1.34 (s, 9H).

Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions. (a) *m*-chloroperoxybenzoic acid, CHCl₃, rt, 6 h; (b) 12 N aq HCl, CH₃OH, 10 h, 91%; (c) SOCl₂, CH₃OH, reflux, 24 h, 94%; (d) Boc₂O, Et₃N, CH₂Cl₂, 91%; and (e) LiOH, CH₃OH–H₂O, 93%.



Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry DOI 10.1002/jhet

Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions. (a) LiBH₄, THF, 24 h, 91%; (b) 6 N aq HCl, CH₃OH, reflux, 6 h, 87%; (c) BnCH₂CHO, HOAc, 4 Å molecular sieves, CH_2Cl_2 – CF_3CH_2OH , $-10^{\circ}C$, 87%; and (d) H₂ (50 psi), Pd(OH)₂, CH₃OH, 12 h, 86%.



Synthesis of compound 6. To a solution of compound 5 (0.63 g, 3.0 mmol), acetic acid (0.45 g, 0.44 mL, 7.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv.), and the 4 Å molecular sieves (0.6 g) in CH₂Cl₂-CF₃CH₂OH (7:1, v/v, 12 mL), a solution of benzyloxyacetal-dehyde (495 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in dichloromethane (4 mL) was added slowly *via* syringe over 60 min at -10° C. After being stirred at -10° C for 8 h, the reaction mixture was diluted with dichloromethane and filtered. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (CHCl₃:CH₃OH:NEt₃ = 100:1:0.2) to afford compound **6** (0.89 g, 87%) as a white solid. $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$: -115.2 (c 0.5, CH₃OH). m.p: 109–111°C. HRMS calcd. for C₂₀H₂₆NO₄ (M + H⁺) 344.1856 Da, Found 344.1885 Da.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 8.65 (s, 1H), 7.32 (m, 5H), 6.37 (s, 1H), 4.70 (t, 1H), 4.49 (dd, J = 17.1, 12.6 Hz, 2H), 4.31 (brd, 1H), 4.13 (dd, J = 8.7, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (s, 3H), 3.46 (m, 1H), 3.42 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (m, 1H), 3.33 (s, 1H), 2.68 (m, 1H), 2.42 (brd, J = 15 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dd, J = 14.1, 11.1 Hz, 1H), 2.14 (s, 3H). ¹³C-NMR (75 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 146.7, 143.8, 138.7, 132.6, 128.1, 128.0, 127.3, 127.2, 120.9, 73.8, 72.0, 65.2, 59.9, 54.9, 53.9, 53.0, 33.0, 15.3. Synthesis of compound 7. To a solution of tetrahydroisoquinoline 6 (1.16 g, 3.37 mmol) and triethylamine (1.17 mL, 8.42 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) in CH₂Cl₂ (70 mL) at 0°C, *N*-Boc amino acid 4 (1.20 g, 3.71 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) was added followed by BOPCI (0.94 g, 3.71 mmol, 1.1 equiv.) in portions. The mixture was aged for 6 h at room temperature. Water (40 mL) and 2*M* HCl were added to pH 1.5, and the organic phase was separated. The organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CHCl₃) to provide 7 (1.64 g, 75%) as a white solid. HRMS calcd. for C₃₆H₄₆N₂O₉ (M + H⁺) 651.3281 Da, Found 651.3282 Da.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.81 (s, 1H), 7.29 (m, 5H), 6.67–6.26 (m, 3H), 6.18 (m, 1H), 5.93 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 5.56 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (dd, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 4.98 (m, 1H), 4.67 (m, 1H), 4.48 (m, 1H), 4.43 (m, 1H), 3.94 (m, 1H), 3.88 (m, 1H), 3.75 (s, 3H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 1H), 3.45(m, 1H), 3.10–2.74 (m, 4H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.42–1.34(s, 9H).

Synthesis of compound 8. To a solution of compound 7 (0.786 g, 1.21 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (45 mL), TBSCl (1.002 g, 5.4 mmol)



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions. (a) BOPCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 , 88%; (b) TBSCl, Et_3N , DMAP, CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 88%; (c) HCOOH, THF, H_2O , 92%; (d) Dess–Martin periodinane, CH_2Cl_2 , 94%; (e) TBAF, THF, 2 h, 90\%; (f) CF₃COOH, 82%; and (g) HCHO, NaBH₃CN, HOAc, CH₃OH, 83%.

Journal of Heterocyclic Chemistry DOI 10.1002/jhet

mmol, 4 equiv.), triethylamine (1.9 mL, 7.26 mmol, 6 equiv.), and 4-dimethylamino pyridine (DMAP) (111 mg, 0.6 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) were added. The solution was stirred for 24 h and quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (30 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL \times 2). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5% EtOAc in *n*-hexane) to provide a yellow oil (694 mg, 88%).

The yellow oil (546 mg, 0.55 mmol) was dissolved in THF– HCO₂H–H₂O (6:3:1; 20 mL), the solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The solution was concentrated by rotary evaporation, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc (50 mL). Then, the organic phase was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. After concentration of the solution by rotary evaporation, the residue was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in *n*-hexanes) to provide **8** (445 mg, 92%) as a white solid.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 7.23 (m, 5H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 6.55 (s, 1H), 6.47 (s, 1H), 6.07 (m, 1H), 4.87 (brs, 1H), 4.65 (brd, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.50 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 4.46 (d, J = 10.5 Hz, 1H), 4.35 (brs, 1H), 3.88 (brs, 1H), 3.61 (m, 2H), 3.57 (s, 3H), 3.54 (m, 2H), 3.50 (s, 3H), 2.86 (m, 2H), 2.74 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 2H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 2.15 (s, 3H), 1.32 (s, 9H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 6H), 0.11(s, 6H).

Synthesis of compound 9. To a solution of compound 8 (298 mg, 0.34 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) at 0°C, Dess–Martin periodinane (228 mg, 0.51 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added, and the solution was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched with two drops of 2-propanol. The solution was washed with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃, brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by column chromatography (5% EtOAc in *n*-hexanes) to provide **12** (271 mg, 91%) as a white solid.

The white solid (245 mg, 0.28 mmol) was dissolved in THF (25 mL). To this solution, tetrabutylammonium fluoride (TBAF) was added (1.0*M* in THF, 0.84 mL, 0.84 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) at 0°C, and the solution was stirred for 1 h. The reaction was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (30 mL \times 3). The combined organic phase was washed with saturated brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated by rotary evaporation. The residue was purified by column chromatography (1% CH₃OH in CH₂Cl₂) to provide **9** (181 mg, 90%) as a white solid. HRMS calcd. For C₃₆H₄₅N₂O₉ (M + H⁺) 649.3125 Da, Found 649.3148 Da.

¹H-NMR (300 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 8.94 (s, 3H), 7.24 (m, 5H), 6.64 (s, 1H), 6.60 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 6.52 (s, 1H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.66 (m, 1H), 4.49 (d, J =12.6 Hz, 2H), 4.38 (d, J = 12.6 Hz, 1H), 3.75 (dd, J = 9.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.62 (s, 3H), 3.59 (m, 1H), 3.12 (d, J = 12.6, 1H), 3.04 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 2H), 2.62 (d, J = 12.3, 1H), 2.19 (s, 3H), 2.14 (s, 3H), 1.07 (s, 9H).

Synthesis of compound 11. To trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) (2 mL, 23 mmol), compound 9 was added (20 mg, 0.23 mmol) in one portion, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at room temperature under argon atmosphere. Then, the reaction mixture was poured into 3 mL of ice-water, basified with saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ with stirring, and the whole mixture was extracted with EtOAc (20 mL \times 3). The combined organic phase was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in

MeOH (3 mL). To the solution, HCHO (0.22 mL, 37%), NaBH₃CN (25 mg, 0.40 mmol), and CH₃COOH (0.4 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (20 mL), and saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ was added. Then the organic layer was separated and washed with saturated aqueous NaCl and dried over anhydrous Na₂SO₄ for 10 h. The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resultant residue was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc:-CH₃OH:Et₃N = 100:2:0.2) to afford compound **11** (8 mg, 47.7%). [α]_D²⁰: -115.4 (c 4.8, CH₃OH). HRMS calcd. for 15 (M + H⁺) 545.2646 Da, Found 545.2668 Da.

¹H-NMR (600 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 9.01 (s, 1H, 16-OH), 8.86 (s, 1H, 8-OH), 7.20 (dd, 2H, Bn-H, J = 7.2), 7.16 (t, 1H, Bn-H, J = 7.2), 6.81 (d, 2H, Bn-H, J = 7.2), 6.49 (s, 1H, 5-H), 6.49 (s, 1H, 15-H), 5.55 (dd, 1H, 1-H, J = 3.6), 4.30 (d, 1H, 11-H, J = 3.0), 3.848 (m, 1H, 3-H), 3.82 (brs, 2H, 22-H), 3.625 (d, 1H, 21-H, J = 7.2), 3.59 (s, 3H, 17-H), 3.57 (s, 3H, 7-H), 3.39 (dd, 1H, 21-H, J = 10.2, 3.6), 3.30 (m, 1H, 14-H), 3.03 (dd, 1H, 14-H, J = 16.8, 7.2), 2.79 (m, 1H, 13-H), 2.79 (m, 1H, 4-H), 2.37 (dd, 1H, 4-H, J = 13.8), 2.21 (s, 3H, N-CH₃), 2.15 (s, 3H, 6-CH₃), 2.07 (s, 3H, 16-CH₃); ¹³C-NMR (150 MHz, dimethyl sulfoxide- d_6): δ 70.61, 146.54, 145.85, 144.25, 144.16, 138.58, 132.89, 130.09, 129.11, 128.80, 127.97, 126.89, 126.68, 123.44, 119.83, 119.28, 114.12, 72.36, 71.08, 61.81, 59.90, 59.04, 53.18, 50.02, 48.84, 34.46, 31.99, 30.67, 15.44, 13.43.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 30672518) and Specialized Research Fund for the Doctoral Program of Higher Education (No. 20060023025) for financial support.

REFERENCES AND NOTES

- [1] Scott, J. D.; Williams, R. M. Chem Rev 2002, 102, 1669.
- [2] Davidson, B. S. Tetrahedron Lett 1992, 33, 3721.
- [3] Jin, W.; Metobo, S.; Williams, R. M. Org Lett 2003, 5, 2095.

[4] Vicent, G.; Lane, J. W.; Williams, R. M. Tetrahedron Lett 2007, 48, 3719.

[5] Fishlock, D.; Williams, R. M. J Org Chem 2008, 73, 9594.

[6] Fukuyama, T.; Sachleben, R. A. J Am Chem Soc 1982, 104, 4957.

[7] Fukuyama, T.; Yang, L.; Ajeck, K. L.; Sachleben, R. A. J Am Chem Soc 1990, 112, 3712.

[8] (a) Kubo, A.; Saito, N.; Yamauchi, R.; Sakai, S. Chem Pharm Bull 1987, 35, 2158; (b) Kubo, A.; Saito, N.; Yamato, H.; Kawakami, Y. Chem Pharm Bull 1987, 35, 2525; (c) Kubo, A.; Saito, N.; Nakamura, M.; Ogata, K.; Sakai, S. Heterocycles 1987, 26, 1765; (d) Kubo, A.; Saito, N.; Yamato, H.; Yamauchi, R.; Hiruma, K.; Inoue, S. Chem Pharm Bull 1988, 36, 2607; (e) Kubo, A.; Saito, N.; Yamato, H.; Masubuchi, K.; Nakamura, M. J Org Chem 1988, 53, 4295.

[9] Saito, N.; Harada, S.; Inouye, I.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kubo, A. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 8231.

[10] (a) Myers, A. G.; Kung, D. W. J Am Chem Soc 1999, 121, 10828; (b) Myers, A. G.; Schnider, P.; Kwon, S.; Kung, D. W. J Org Chem 1999, 64, 3322; (c) Myers, A. G.; Kung, D. W.; Zhong, B.; Movassaghi, M.; Kwon, S. J Am Chem Soc 1999, 121, 8401.

[11] Martinez, E. J.; Corey, E. J. Org Lett 1999, 1, 75.

[12] Martinez, E. J.; Corey, E. J. Org Lett 2000, 2, 993.

[13] (a) Kurihara, H.; Mishima, H. Tetrahedron Lett 1982, 23, 3639; (b) Kurihara, H.; Mishima, H. Heterocycles 1982, 17, 191;
(c) Kurihara, H.; Mishima, H.; Arai, M. Heterocycles 1986, 24, 1549.

[14] Saito, N.; Yamauchi, R.; Nishioka, H.; Ida, S.; Kubo, A. J Org Chem 1989, 54, 5391.

[15] Shawe, T. T.; Liebeskind, L. S. Tetrahedron 1991, 47, 5643.

[16] (a) Zhou, B.; Edmondson, S.; Padron, J.; Danishefsky, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett 2000, 41, 2039; (b) Zhou, B.; Guo, J.; Danishefsky, S. J. Tetrahedron Lett 2000, 41, 2043.

[17] Myers, A. G.; Kung, D. W. Org Lett 2000, 2, 3019.

[18] Davidson, B. S. Tetrahedron Lett 1992, 33, 3721.

[19] Fukuyama, T.; Linton, S. D.; Tun, M. M. Tetrahedron Lett 1990, 31, 5989.

[20] (a) Saito, N.; Obara, Y.; Azumaya, M.; Kubo, A. Chem Pharm Bull 1992, 40, 2620; (b) Saito, N.; Obara, Y.; Aihara, T.; Harada, S.; Shida, Y.; Kubo, A. Tetrahedron 1994, 50, 3915.

[21] Saito, N.; Tashiro, K.; Maru, Y.; Yamaguchi, K.; Kubo, A. J Chem Soc, Perkin Trans 1, 1997, 53.

[22] (a) Saito, N.; Tachi, M.; Seki, R.; Kamayachi, H.; Kubo, A. Chem Pharm Bull 2000, 48, 1549; (b) Saito, N.; Kamayachi, H.; Tachi, M.; Kubo, A. Heterocycles 1999, 51, 9.

[23] Endo, A.; Kann, T.; Fukuyama, T. Synlett 1999, 7, 1103.

[24] (a) Tang, Y. F.; Liu, Z. Z.; Chen, S. Z. Tetrahedron Lett 2003, 44, 7091; (b) Wang, Y.; Liu, Z. Z.; Chen, S. Z.; Liang, X. T. Chin Chem Lett 2004, 15, 505; (c) Liu, Z. Z.; Wang, Y.; Tang, Y. F.; Chen, S. Z.; Chen, X. G.; Li, H. Y. Bioorg Med Chem Lett 2006, 16,

1282; (d) Wang, Y.; Zhou, Y. L.; Liu, Z. Z.; Chen, S. Z.; Chen, X. G. Chin Chem Lett 2006, 17, 1279.

[25] Liao, X. W.; Liu, W.; Dong, W. F.; Guan, B. H.; Chen, S. Z.; Liu, Z. Z. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 5709.

[26] Liao, X. W.; Dong, W. F.; Liu, W.; Guan, B. H.; Liu, Z. Z. J Heterocycl Chem 2010, 47, 50.