

# Assembly of a New Family of Mercury(II) Zwitterionic Thiolate Complexes from a Preformed Compound $[Hg(Tab)_2](PF_6)_2$ [Tab = 4-(Trimethylammonio)benzenethiolate]

Jin-Xiang Chen,<sup>†</sup> Wen-Hua Zhang,<sup>†</sup> Xiao-Yan Tang,<sup>†</sup> Zhi-Gang Ren,<sup>†</sup> Yong Zhang,<sup>†</sup> and Jian-Ping Lang<sup>\*,†,‡</sup>

Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou 215123, Jiangsu, People's Republic of China, and State Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry, Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter CAS, Fuzhou 350002, Fujian, People's Republic of China

Received October 28, 2005

Reactions of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> with 2 equiv of TabHPF<sub>6</sub> [TabH = 4-(trimethylammonio)benzenethiol] in MeCN/MeOH afforded a mononuclear linear complex [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1). By using 1 as a precursor, a new family of mercury(II) zwitterionic thiolate complexes, [Hg2(Tab)<sub>6</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>4</sub>·2MeCN (2·2MeCN), [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)](PF<sub>6</sub>) (3), [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub>] (4), [Hg- $(Tab)_{2}$  (5), {[Hq(Tab)\_{2}]\_{4}[Hql\_{2}][Hq\_{2}]\_{6}}(PF\_{6})\_{2}(NO\_{3})\_{4} (6), [Hq(Tab)\_{2}][Hql\_{4}] (7), [Hq(Tab)\_{2}][HqCl\_{2}(SCN)\_{2}] (8), [Tab-Tab]<sub>2</sub>[Hg<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>] (9), and [Hg<sub>2</sub>(Tab)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>3</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>)Cl<sub>11</sub> (10), were prepared and characterized by elemental analysis, IR spectra, UV-vis spectra, <sup>1</sup>H NMR, and single-crystal X-ray crystallography. The [Hg<sub>2</sub>(Tab)<sub>6</sub>]<sup>4+</sup> tetracation of 2 or 10 contains an asymmetrical Hg<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> rhomb with an inversion center lying on the midpoint of the Hg. The Hg atom of the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dication of 3 is coordinated to one SCN<sup>-</sup>, forming a rare T-shaped coordination geometry, while in 4, the Hg atom of [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> is coordinated to two SCN<sup>-</sup>, forming a seesaw-shaped coordination geometry. Through weak secondary Hg...S coordinations, each cation in 3 is further linked to afford a one-dimensional zigzag chain. The trigonal [Hg(Tab)I<sub>2</sub>] molecules in 5 are held together by weak secondary Hg...I and Hg...S interactions, forming a one-dimensional chain structure. In 6, the four  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dications, one Hgl<sub>2</sub> molecule, one  $[Hg_2I_6]^2$  dianion, one PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>, and four NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anions are interconnected by complicated secondary Hg...I and Hg···O interactions, forming a scolopendra-like chain structure. The secondary Hg···I interactions, [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and [Hgl<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in 7, are combined to generate a one-dimensional chain structure, while [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> and [HgCl<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> in 8 are interconnected by secondary Hg...N interactions to form a one-dimensional zigzag chain structure. Compound 9 consists of two [Tab-Tab]<sup>2+</sup> dications and one [Hg<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>]<sup>4-</sup> tetraanion. The facile approach to the construction of 2-8 and 10 from 1 may be applicable to the mimicking of a coordination sphere of the Hg sites of metallothioneins.

### Introduction

As in past decades, mercury thiolate complexes have received much attention as a result of their rich chemistry,<sup>1</sup> their application in the resistance response in bacteria at the level of gene activation,<sup>2</sup> their relation to the detoxification of Hg by metallothioneins,<sup>3</sup> and their involvement in a DNAbinding protein<sup>1a</sup> and in mercury reductases and related proteins.<sup>1b</sup> The linear {Hg(S-Cys)<sub>2</sub>}, trigonal {Hg(S- Cys)<sub>3</sub>}, and tetrahedral {Hg(S–Cys)<sub>4</sub>} coordination geometries have been proposed for Hg<sup>II</sup> in the mercury metallothioneins.<sup>1f,4</sup> Studies in these respects have stimulated more interest in structural probes that might be used to determine the nature of the coordination of the Hg<sup>II</sup> ion to the proteins. So far, a large number of mercury thiolate compounds have been prepared and structurally characterized: mononuclear Hg(SR)<sub>n</sub> (n = 2-4),<sup>5</sup> dinuclear Hg<sub>2</sub>(SR)<sub>n</sub> (n = 3 and 6),<sup>4a,6</sup> trinuclear Hg<sub>3</sub>(SR)<sub>4</sub>,<sup>6a</sup> tetranuclear Hg<sub>4</sub>(SR)<sub>6</sub>,<sup>7</sup> pentanuclear Hg<sub>5</sub>(SR)<sub>8</sub>,<sup>2</sup> and polynuclear [Hg(SR)<sub>n</sub>]<sub>∞</sub>.<sup>5c</sup> Most of these compounds have been prepared from a one-pot reaction of simple Hg<sup>II</sup> salts with RSH or from redox reactions of organic

10.1021/ic051875n CCC: \$33.50 © 2006 American Chemical Society Published on Web 02/11/2006

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: jplang@suda.edu.cn.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Suzhou University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup> Fujian Institute of Research on the Structure of Matter CAS.

#### Family of Mercury(II) Zwitterionic Thiolate Complexes

disulfides with Hg in organic solvents.<sup>8</sup> However, reactions of the preformed mercury thiolates with other donor ligands or metal ions seem to be less explored for the preparation of new mercury(II) thiolate complexes.<sup>7b,9</sup>

On the other hand, we are interested in the preparation of metal thiolate complexes from an unique zwitterionic thiolate TabHPF<sub>6</sub> [TabH = 4-(trimethylammonio)benzenethiol].<sup>10</sup> Being that this is an an extension of this study and, especially, being aware that few examples of mercury(II) zwitterionic thiolate complexes have been reported,<sup>11</sup> we carried out the reactions of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> with TabHPF<sub>6</sub> and isolated a mononuclear mercury thiolate complex [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1**). As

- (1) (a) Dance, I. G. Polyhedron 1986, 5, 1037-1104. (b) Blower, P. J.; Dilworth, J. R. Coord. Chem. Rev. 1987, 76, 121-185. (c) Gruff, E. S.; Koch, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1245-1247. (d) Block, E.; Brito, M.; Gernon, M.; McGowty, D.; Kang, H.; Zubieta, J. Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 3172-3181. (e) Ueyama, N.; Taniuchi, K.; Okamura, T.; Nakamura, A.; Maeda, H.; Emura, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 1945–1951. (f) Watton, S. P.; Wright, J. G.; MacDonnell, F. M.; Bryson, J. W.; Sabat, M.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 2824–2826. (g) Santos, R. A.; Gruff, E. S.; Koch, S. A.; Harbison, G. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 3, 469–475. (h) Brotherton, P. D.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1973, 23, 2696-2698. (i) Govindaswamy, N.; Moy, J.; Millar, M.; Koch, S. A. Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 5343-5344. (j) Alcock, N. W.; Lampe, P. A.; Moore, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1980, 8, 1471-1474. (k) Eichhöfer, A.; Buth, G. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 4160-4167. (1) Stålhandske, C. M. V.; Persson, I.; Sandström, M.; Åberg, M. Inorg. Chem. 1997, 36, 4945-4953. (m) Bharara, M. S.; Bui, T. H.; Parkin, S.; Atwood, D. A. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 5753-5760.
- (2) Îhalloran, T. V.; Frantz, B.; Shin, M. K.; Ralston, D M.; Wright, J. G. *Cell* **1989**, *56*, 119–129.
- (3) Henkel, G.; Krebs, B. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 801-824.
- (4) (a) Bowmaker, G. A.; Dance, I. G.; Harris, R. K.; Henderson, W.; Laban, I.; Scudder, M. L.; Oh, S. W. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 11, 2381–2388. (b) Shewchuk, L. M.; Verdine, G. L.; Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 2331–2339. (c) Shewchuk, L. M.; Verdine, G. L.; Nash, H.; Walsh, C. T. Biochemistry 1989, 28, 6140– 6145. (d) Moore, M. J.; Distefano, M. D.; Walsh, C. T.; Schiering, N.; Pai, E. F. J. Biol. Chem. 1989, 264, 14386–14388. (e) Raybuck, S. A.; Distefano, M. D.; Teo, B. K.; Orme-Johnson, W.; Walsh, C. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 1983–1989. (f) Helmann, J. D.; Ballard, B. T.; Walsh, C. T. Science 1990, 247, 946–948.
- (5) (a) Hoffmann, G. G.; Steinfatt, I.; Brockner, W.; Kaiser, V. Z. *Naturforsch., Teil B* 1999, 54B, 887–894. (b) Tallon, J.; Garcia-Vazquez, J. A.; Romero, J.; Louro, M. S.; Sousa, A.; Chen, Q.; Chang, Y. D.; Zubieta, J. *Polyhedron* 1995, 14, 2309–2317. (c) Alsina, T.; Clegg, W.; Fraser, K. A.; Sola, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1992, 8, 1393–1399. (d) Noth, H.; Beck, W.; Burger, K. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 93–99. (e) Kräuter, G.; Neumuller, B.; Goedken, V. L.; Rees, W. S., Jr. Chem. Mater. 1996, 8, 360–368. (f) Bramlett, J. M.; Im, H. J.; Yu, X. H.; Chen, T. N.; Cai, H.; Roecker, L. E.; Barnes, C. E.; Dai, S.; Xue, Z. L. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2004, 357, 243–249.
- (6) (a) Henkel, G.; Betz, P.; Krebs, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1985, 21, 1498–1499. (b) Bowmaker, G. A.; Dance, I. G.; Dobson, B. C.; Rogers, D. A. Aust. J. Chem. 1984, 37, 1607–1618.
- (7) (a) Vittal, J. J.; Dean, P. A. W.; Payne, N. C. *Can. J. Chem.* 1993, *71*, 2043–2050. (b) Dean, P. A. W.; Vittal, J. J.; Wu, Y. Y. *Inorg. Chem.* 1994, *33*, 2180–2186.
- (8) (a) Steinfatt, I.; Hoffmann, G. G. Z. Naturforsch., Teil B 1994, 49B, 1507–1510. (b) Hoffmann, G. G.; Steinfatt, I. Am. Chem. Soc., Div. Environ. Chem., Prepr. Pap. 1997, 37 (1), 298. (c) Hoffmann, G. G.; Steinfatt, I. Phosphorus, Sulfur, Silicon 1999, 153/154, 423–424. (d) Hoffmann, G. G. The 218th ACS National Meeting, New Orleans, LA, 1999; Div. Inorg. Chem. Abstr. 1999, 336.
- (9) Hoffmann, G. G.; Brockner, W.; Steinfatt, I. Inorg. Chem. 2001, 40, 977–985.
- (10) (a) Chen, J. X.; Xu, Q. F.; Xu, Y.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Z. N.; Lang, J. P. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 4274–4252. (b) Chen, J. X.; Xu, Q. F.; Zhang, Y.; Chen, Z. N.; Lang, J. P. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2004**, 689, 1071–1077.
- (11) (a) Barrera, H.; Bayon, J. C.; Gonzalez-Duarte, P. *Polyhedron* 1982, *1*, 647–654. (b) Casals, I.; Gonzalez-Duarte, P.; Clegg, W. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 1991, *184*, 167–175. (c) Casal, I.; Gonzalez-Duarte, P.; Sola, J. *Polyhedron* 1988, *24*, 2509–2514. (d) Kim, C. H.; Parkin, S.; Bharara, H.; Atwood, D. A. *Polyhedron* 2002, *21*, 225–228.

discussed later in this paper, the coordination is unsaturated either for the Hg atom or for the two S atoms of the Tab ligands in **1**, implying that **1** may be used as a precursor for the preparation of new Hg<sup>II</sup>/Tab complexes. Herein we report the reactions of **1** with additional donor ligands (e.g., Tab, SCN<sup>-</sup>, I<sup>-</sup>) or metal salts (e.g., Hg<sup>2+</sup>, Au<sup>3+</sup>) and the structural characterization of **1** along with the resulting nine new Hg<sup>II</sup>/ Tab complexes. These represent a new family of mercury(II) zwitterionic thiolate complexes with interesting structural motifs and may provide insight into the nature of the coordination of the Hg<sup>II</sup> ion to the proteins.

### **Experimental Section**

**General Procedures.** TabHPF<sub>6</sub> was prepared according to the literature method.<sup>12</sup> Tab was obtained from reactions of TabHPF<sub>6</sub> with Et<sub>3</sub>N in MeCN followed by filtration and dried in vacuo. Other chemicals and reagents were obtained from commercial sources and used as received. All solvents were predried over activated molecular sieves and refluxed over the appropriate drying agents under argon. The IR spectra were recorded on a Nicolet Magna-IR 550 as the KBr disk (4000–400 cm<sup>-1</sup>). The elemental analyses for C, H, and N were performed on an EA1110 CHNS elemental analyzer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra were recorded at ambient temperature on a Varian UNITY-400 spectrometer. <sup>1</sup>H NMR chemical shifts were referenced to the deuterated dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO- $d_6$ ) signal. UV–vis spectra were measured on a Hitachi U-2810 spectrophotometer.

Synthesis. [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1). To a solution containing TabHPF<sub>6</sub> (0.626 g, 2 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) was added a solution of Hg(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.318 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). The resulting mixture was heated to 70 °C and stirred for 1 h to form a colorless homogeneous solution. After it was cooled to ambient temperature, diethyl ether (20 mL) was layered onto the filtrate to form colorless plates of **1** in several days, which were collected by filtration, washed by Et<sub>2</sub>O, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.82 g (99.4% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>F<sub>12</sub>HgN<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 26.20; H, 3.18; N, 3.40. Found: C, 26.32; H, 3.02; N, 3.12. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1489 (m), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 960 (m), 830 (s), 744 (w), 559 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 230 (19 700). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO):  $\delta$  7.56–7.65 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.50 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

**[Hg<sub>2</sub>(Tab)<sub>6</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (2).** To a solution containing **1** (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) was added a solution of Tab (0.167 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 1 h to form a colorless homogeneous solution. A workup similar to that used in the isolation of **1** afforded colorless block crystals of **2**. Yield: 0.89 g (90.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>54</sub>H<sub>78</sub>F<sub>24</sub>-Hg<sub>2</sub>N<sub>6</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>6</sub>: C, 32.68; H, 3.96; N, 4.23. Found: C, 32.62; H, 4.23; N, 4.59. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1489 (m), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 956 (m), 833 (s), 744 (w), 555 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 240 (10 900). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-SO):  $\delta$  7.47–7.63 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.47 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

[Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)](PF<sub>6</sub>) (3). To a solution containing 1 (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) was added a solution of KSCN (0.097 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and filtered. Slow evaporation of solvents from the colorless filtrate for 1 week produced colorless block crystals of 3. Yield: 0.657 g (89.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{19}H_{26}F_{6}$ -HgN<sub>3</sub>PS<sub>3</sub>: C, 30.91; H, 3.55; N, 5.69. Found: C, 30.62; H, 3.42;

<sup>(12)</sup> DePamphilis, B. V.; Averill, B. A.; Herskovitz, T.; Que, L., Jr.; Holm, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 4159–4167.

N, 5.46. IR (KBr disk): 2079 (s), 1581 (w), 1489 (m), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 952 (m), 830 (s), 740 (w), 555 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV–Vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 235 (22 200). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO):  $\delta$  7.53–7.62 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.42 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

[**Hg**(**Tab**)<sub>2</sub>(**SCN**)<sub>2</sub>] (4). The similar reaction of **1** (0.825 g, 1 mmol) with 2 equiv of KSCN (0.195 g, 2 mmol) in MeOH followed by a workup similar to that used in the isolation of **3** afforded colorless triangle crystals of **4**. Yield: 0.605 g (92.9% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>26</sub>HgN<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: C, 36.88; H, 4.02; N, 8.60. Found: C, 36.45; H, 4.29; N, 8.72. IR (KBr disk): 2080 (s), 1581 (w), 1489 (m), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 953 (m), 831 (s), 740 (w), 555 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 230 (17 400). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO): δ 7.51-7.63 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.48 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

**[Hg(Tab)I<sub>2</sub>] (5).** To a solution containing **1** (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL) was added a solution of Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (0.739 g, 2 mmol) in MeCN (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and a large amount of yellow precipitate was developed and then filtered. The resulting solid was redissolved in DMF (5 mL) and filtered again. A workup similar to that used in the isolation of **1** led to the formation of yellow block crystals of **5**. Yield: 0.590 g (95.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>13</sub>HgI<sub>2</sub>NS: C, 17.39; H, 2.11; N, 2.25. Found: C, 17.12; H, 2.53; N, 2.64. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1481 (m), 1122 (s), 1010 (s), 952 (w), 844 (s), 744 (s), 547 (s) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis [DMF; λ<sub>max</sub>, nm (ε, M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 235 (24 800). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO): δ 7.51-7.62 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.41 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

{[Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sub>4</sub>[HgI<sub>2</sub>][Hg<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>]}(PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub> (6). To a solution containing 1 (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added a solution of HgI<sub>2</sub> (0.454 g, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 1 h, and a large amount of yellow precipitate was developed. After filtration, the resulting solid was dissolved in 5 mL of hot DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:2, v/v) containing KNO<sub>3</sub> (0.101 g, 1 mmol) to give rise to a clear solution. A workup similar to that used in the isolation of 1 afforded yellowish block crystals of 6. Yield: 0.838 g (78.0% based on 1). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>104</sub>F<sub>12</sub>-Hg<sub>7</sub>I<sub>8</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>12</sub>P<sub>2</sub>S<sub>8</sub>: C, 20.13; H, 2.44; N, 3.91. Found: C, 20.54; H, 2.13; N, 3.79. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1485 (m), 1350 (s), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 956 (m), 830 (s), 744 (m), 559 (w) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV-vis [DMF; λ<sub>max</sub>, nm (ε, M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 235 (96 100). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO): δ 7.43-7.58 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.42 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

[Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>][HgI<sub>4</sub>] (7). To a solution containing HgI<sub>2</sub> (0.454 g, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL) was added a solution of Bu<sub>4</sub>NI (0.739 g, 2 mmol) in DMF (5 mL). The resulting yellow solution was stirred for 0.5 h and then treated with a solution of 1 (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in DMF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for another 2 h and filtered. A workup similar to that used in the isolation of 1 generated yellow block crystals of 7. Yield: 1.032 g (83.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>Hg<sub>2</sub>I<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>: C, 17.39; H, 2.11; N, 2.25. Found: C, 17.52; H, 2.13; N, 2.49. IR (KBr disk): 1577 (s), 1481 (m), 1122 (w), 1006 (w), 949 (m), 844 (s), 744 (w), 544 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV– vis [DMF; λ<sub>max</sub>, nm (ε, M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 235 (17 200). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO): δ 7.49–7.67 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.45 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

**[Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>][HgCl<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub>] (8).** To a solution containing HgCl<sub>2</sub> (0.271 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was added KSCN (0.194 g, 2 mmol). The resulting colorless solution was stirred for 0.5 h and then treated with a solution of **1** (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL). The mixture was stirred for another 0.5 h and filtered to give a colorless solution. A workup analogous to that used in the isolation of **1** resulted in colorless block crystals of **8**. Yield: 0.867 g (94.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for  $C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4$ : C, 26.03; H, 2.84; N, 6.07. Found: C, 26.12; H, 2.69; N, 5.98. IR (KBr disk): 2114 (s), 1581 (w), 1485 (m), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 952 (m), 844

(w), 848 (s), 744 (w), 547 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV–vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 230 (46 000). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO):  $\delta$  7.42–7.59 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.49 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

**[Tab–Tab]**<sub>2</sub>**[Hg<sub>3</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>] (9).** To a solution containing **1** (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) was added a solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.412 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred for 0.5 h and filtered to give a colorless solution. Slow evaporation of solvents from the filtrate overnight produced colorless flakes of **9**, which were collected by filtration, washed by Et<sub>2</sub>O, and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.341 g (62.9% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>52</sub>Cl<sub>10</sub>Hg<sub>3</sub>N<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: C, 26.60; H, 3.22; N, 3.45. Found: C, 26.35; H, 3.38; N, 3.67. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1481 (m), 1122 (w), 1006 (w), 956 (m), 829 (s), 744 (w), 547 (m), 481 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV–vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 230 (25 996). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO):  $\delta$  7.73–7.81(m, 4H, Ph), 3.65 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>).

[Hg<sub>2</sub>(Tab)<sub>6</sub>]<sub>3</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>)Cl<sub>11</sub> (10). To a solution of Tab (0.668 g, 4 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added a solution of HAuCl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O (0.412 g, 1 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL). The resulting colorless solution was treated with a solution of 1 (0.825 g, 1 mmol) in MeCN (15 mL) and stirred for 0.5 h. After filtration, diethyl ether (40 mL) was allowed to diffuse into the filtrate at ambient temperature for 2 weeks, forming colorless long block crystals of 10 coupled with two byproducts, Au(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>) (colorless blocks) and [Tab-Tab]-(PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (light yellow needles), which were separated mechanically under a microscope. Yield for 10: 0.673 g (85.0% based on Hg). Anal. Calcd for C<sub>162</sub>H<sub>234</sub>Cl<sub>11</sub>F<sub>6</sub>Hg<sub>6</sub>N<sub>18</sub>PS<sub>18</sub>: C, 40.97; H, 4.97; N, 5.31. Found: C, 40.83; H, 4.59; N, 4.87. IR (KBr disk): 1581 (w), 1489 (m), 1415 (w), 1126 (w), 1010 (w), 956 (m), 832 (s), 744 (w), 555 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. UV–vis [DMF;  $\lambda_{max}$ , nm ( $\epsilon$ , M<sup>-1</sup> cm<sup>-1</sup>)]: 240 (62 000). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, (CD<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO): δ 7.50-7.65 (m, 4H, Ph), 3.43 (s, 9H, NMe<sub>3</sub>). Yield for Au(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(PF<sub>6</sub>): 0.523 g. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>26</sub>AuF<sub>6</sub>N<sub>2</sub>PS<sub>2</sub>: C, 31.96; H, 3.87; N, 4.14. Found: C, 32.15; H, 3.39; N, 3.81. IR (KBr disk): 1579 (w), 1484 (m), 1409 (w), 1121 (w), 1007 (w), 960 (m), 839 (s), 742 (w), 555 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>. Yield for [Tab-Tab](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>: 0.045 g. Anal. Calcd for  $C_{18}H_{26}F_{12}N_2P_2S_2$ : C, 34.62; H, 4.20; N, 4.49. Found: C, 34.23; H, 4.18; N, 4.72. IR (KBr disk): 1583 (w), 1482 (m), 1126 (w), 1009 (w), 958 (m), 835 (s), 745 (w), 555 (m), 483 (m) cm<sup>-1</sup>.

**X-ray Structure Determination.** X-ray-quality crystals of **1–10** were obtained directly from the above preparation. All measurements were made on a Rigaku Mercury CCD X-ray diffractometer by using graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  ( $\lambda = 0.710$  70 Å). Crystals of **1–10** were mounted with grease at the top of a glass fiber and cooled at 193 K in a liquid-nitrogen stream. Cell parameters were refined by using the program *CrystalClear* (Rigaku and MSC, version 1.3, 2001). The collected data were reduced by using the program *CrystalClear* (Rigaku and MSC, version 3.60, 2004), while an absorption correction (multiscan) was applied. The reflection data were also corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects.

The crystal structures of **1**–**10** were solved by direct methods and refined on  $F^2$  by full-matrix least-squares methods with the *SHELXTL-97* program.<sup>13</sup> All non-H atoms except the Cl atoms in **10** were refined anisotropically. All H atoms were placed in geometrically idealized positions (C–H = 0.98 Å for methyl groups; C–H = 0.95 Å for phenyl groups) and constrained to ride on their parent atoms with  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$  for phenyl groups and  $U_{iso}(H) = 1.5U_{eq}(C)$  for methyl groups. In the final Fourier

<sup>(13)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELX-97 and SHELXL-97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement; University of Göttingen: Göttingen, Germany, 1997.

#### Family of Mercury(II) Zwitterionic Thiolate Complexes

Table 1. C	Crystallographic	Data	for	1-	10
------------	------------------	------	-----	----	----

	1	2	3	4	5
mol formula	$C_{18}H_{26}F_{12}HgN_2P_2S_2$	C <sub>58</sub> H <sub>84</sub> F <sub>24</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>4</sub> S <sub>6</sub>	C <sub>19</sub> H <sub>26</sub> F <sub>6</sub> HgN <sub>3</sub> PS <sub>3</sub>	C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> HgN <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	C <sub>9</sub> H <sub>13</sub> HgI <sub>2</sub> NS
fw	825.08	2066.75	738.17	651.32	621.66
cryst syst	triclinic	triclinic	monoclinic	orthorhombic	monoclinic
space group	$P\overline{1}$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1$	Pccn	Cc
size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$0.40 \times 0.25 \times 0.05$	$0.22 \times 0.19 \times 0.12$	$0.40 \times 0.22 \times 0.10$	$0.40 \times 0.28 \times 0.10$	$0.15 \times 0.15 \times 0.10$
a (Å)	6.165(3)	9.6164(13)	10.431(2)	8.2168(8)	11.995(2)
$b(\mathbf{A})$	8.403(4)	10.8605(14)	28.455(6)	16.2964(14)	15.022(3)
c (Å)	13.120(5)	19.202(3)	25.414(5)	17.6858(19)	7.9580(11)
α (deg)	74.77(2)	91.996(3)			
$\beta$ (deg)	82.74(3)	100.047(4)	92.84(3)		98.383(4)
$\gamma$ (deg)	88.03(3)	96.313(4)			
$V(Å^3)$	650.6(5)	1959.7(5)	7534(3)	2368.2(4)	1418.6(4)
Ζ	1	1	12	4	4
$T(\mathbf{K})$	193	193	193	193	193
$D_{\text{calc}}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> )	2.10	1.751	1.952	1.829	2.911
λ(Mo Kα) (Å)	0.71070	0.71070	0.71070	0.71070	0.71070
$\mu ({\rm cm}^{-1})$	62.97	42.53	65.00	68.66	153.17
$2\theta_{\rm max}$ (deg)	55.0	50.6	50.7	55.0	55.0
total reflns	6751	19710	71820	24638	7843
unique reflns	2960 ( $R_{int} = 0.049$ )	7142 ( $R_{int} = 0.039$ )	$27159 (R_{int} = 0.105)$	$2715 (R_{int} = 0.036)$	$1634 (R_{\rm int} = 0.032)$
no. of obsvns	$2756 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$	$6339 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$	$18233 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$	$2484 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$	$2517 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$
no. of param	173	266	1381	133	131
$R^a$	0.0632	0.0398	0.0949	0.0324	0.0238
$wR^b$	0.1694	0.0748	0.2229	0.0787	0.0434
GOF <sup>c</sup>	1.422	1.108	1.088	1.135	0.759
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	1.451	0.942	2.903	0.782	0.963
$\Delta \rho_{\min} (e \text{ Å}^{-3})$	-1.476	-0.965	-2.635	-0.942	-0.992
	6	7	8	9	10
mol formula	<b>6</b> C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub>	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	8 C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	9 C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>52</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> Hg <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub>	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub>
mol formula fw	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31	8 C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 922.81	<b>9</b> C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>52</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> Hg <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 1625.3	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39
mol formula fw cryst syst	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50 orthorhombic	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic	8 C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 922.81 monoclinic	9 C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>52</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> Hg <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 1625.3 monoclinic	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal
mol formula fw cryst syst space group	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i>	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn</i> 2 <sub>1</sub>	8 C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m	9 C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>52</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> Hg <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 1625.3 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /c	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> )	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ \hline C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8 \\ 4295.50 \\ \text{orthorhombic} \\ Pmmn \\ 0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.13 \end{array}$	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}Hg_{2}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17	8 $C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4$ 922.81 monoclinic $P2_1/m$ $0.27 \times 0.24 \times 0.15$	9 C <sub>36</sub> H <sub>52</sub> Cl <sub>10</sub> Hg <sub>3</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_1/c$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08	$10 \\ C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18} \\ 4749.39 \\ trigonal \\ R3 \\ 0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \\ cm $
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å)	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}Hg_{2}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn</i> 2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9)	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19)	$\begin{array}{c} 10 \\ \hline C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18} \\ 4749.39 \\ trigonal \\ R3 \\ 0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \\ 20.8335(11) \end{array}$
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å)	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}Hg_2I_4N_2S_2}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4)	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}\\ 1625.3\\ monoclinic\\ P2_{1}/c\\ 0.24\times0.20\times0.08\\ 13.9906(19)\\ 16.2749(18)\\ \end{array}$	$10 \\ C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18} \\ 4749.39 \\ trigonal \\ R3 \\ 0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.20 \\ 20.8335(11) \\ c_{10} = 0.000 \\ c_{10} = 0.00$
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å)	$\begin{array}{c} 6 \\ \hline C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8 \\ 4295.50 \\ \text{orthorhombic} \\ Pmmn \\ 0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.13 \\ 16.504(3) \\ 28.009(5) \\ 11.859(2) \end{array}$	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}Hg_2I_4N_2S_2}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11)	$\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4} \\ 1625.3 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/c \\ 0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \\ 13.9906(19) \\ 16.2749(18) \\ 11.5464(12) \end{array}$	$\frac{10}{C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}}$ 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) a (deg)	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{g2}I_4N_2S_2}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11)	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12)	$\frac{10}{C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}}$ 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg)	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P21/m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11) 93.234(4)	$\begin{array}{c} 9 \\ \hline C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4} \\ 1625.3 \\ monoclinic \\ P2_{1}/c \\ 0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08 \\ 13.9906(19) \\ 16.2749(18) \\ 11.5464(12) \\ 103.053(3) \end{array}$	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\psi$ (Å)	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11) 93.234(4)	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5)	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 120
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> )	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17)	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pnm2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6)	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11) 93.234(4) 1339.0(3)	$\begin{array}{c} 9\\ C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}\\ 1625.3\\ monoclinic\\ P2_{1}/c\\ 0.24\times0.20\times0.08\\ 13.9906(19)\\ 16.2749(18)\\ 11.5464(12)\\ 103.053(3)\\ 2561.1(5)\\ \end{array}$	$\frac{10}{C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}}$ 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T ( $V$ )	6 C <sub>72</sub> H <sub>104</sub> F <sub>12</sub> Hg <sub>7</sub> I <sub>8</sub> N <sub>8</sub> P <sub>2</sub> S <sub>8</sub> 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{92}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 102	$\frac{8}{C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4}$ 922.81 monoclinic $P2_1/m$ 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11) 93.234(4) 1339.0(3) 2 102	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 102	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 102
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) D ( $a$ cm <sup>-3</sup> )	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.502	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.390\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.109	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1472
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) D <sub>cal</sub> ( $g$ cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $2^{2}$ (M $g$ C) (Å)	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmn2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo Kα) (Å) $\mu$ (m <sup>-1</sup> )	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070 122.75	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmn2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 121.60	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times 0.24\times 0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 110.81\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 06.96	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.52
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) 2 $\theta$	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}Hg_2I_4N_2S_2}$ 1243.31 orthornombic <i>Pmn2</i> <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times 0.24\times 0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55 0
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total refine	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6 44808	7 C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmm2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6 15360	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 2/920	10 C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total reflus	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{718}N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6 44808 5180( <i>B</i> = 0.082)	$7$ C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmn2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6 15360 1659 ( $B_{-} = 0.068$ )	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513(P_{\rm e}=0.040)\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 4666 ( $P_{1}$ = 0.063)	$10$ C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779 8187 (P_{10} = 0.040)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total refIns unique refIns $p_{alc}$ obsure	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{718}N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ 293 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6 44808 5180 ( $R_{int} = 0.082$ ) 4422 ( $L \ge 2.00\pi(D)$	$7$ C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmn2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6 15360 1659 ( $R_{int} = 0.068$ ) 2737 ( $L_{int} \ge 2.00\sigma(0)$	$8$ C <sub>20</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> N <sub>4</sub> S <sub>4</sub> 922.81 monoclinic P2 <sub>1</sub> /m 0.27 × 0.24 × 0.15 6.3897(9) 27.699(4) 7.5773(11) 93.234(4) 1339.0(3) 2 193 2.289 0.71070 119.81 50.6 13109 2513 ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ ) 2338 [ $L \ge 2.00\sigma(D)$ ]	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ 0.24 × 0.20 × 0.08 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 4666 ( $R_{int} = 0.063$ ) 4047   $L \ge 2.00\sigma(L)$	$10$ C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779 8187 (R <sub>int</sub> = 0.040) 7519 [L > 2.00 $\pi$ (D)
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total refIns unique refIns no. of obsvns no of param	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{718}N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{2}{193}$ 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6 44808 5180 ( $R_{int} = 0.082$ ) 4422 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I$ )] 302	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{92}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ $\frac{1243.31}{0 \text{ orthornombic}}$ $\frac{Pmn2_{1}}{0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.17}$ $\frac{16.791(3)}{10.850(2)}$ $\frac{9.0570(18)}{9.0570(18)}$ $\frac{1650.0(6)}{2}$ $\frac{2}{193}$ $\frac{2.503}{0.71070}$ $\frac{131.69}{131.69}$ $\frac{50.6}{15360}$ $\frac{1659(R_{\text{int}} = 0.068)}{2737[I > 2.00\sigma(I)]}$ $\frac{135}{135}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27 \times 0.24 \times 0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513 (R_{int} = 0.040)\\ 2338 [I \geq 2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155 \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P_{21}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666(R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047[I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ \hline C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}\\ 4749.39\\ trigonal\\ R3\\ 0.47\times0.30\times0.20\\ 20.8335(11)\\ 42.762(3)\\ \hline 120\\ 16073.5(16)\\ 3\\ 193\\ 1.472\\ 0.71070\\ 46.53\\ 55.0\\ 60779\\ 8187\ (R_{int}=0.040)\\ 7519\ [I \geq 2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 343\\ \end{array}$
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total refIns unique refIns no. of obsvns no. of obsvns no. of param $R^a$	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 193 2.602 0.71070 122.75 50.6 44808 5180 ( $R_{int} = 0.082$ ) 4422 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$ ] 302 0.1236	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{92}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ 1243.31 orthornombic $\frac{Pnm2_{1}}{0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.17}$ 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6 15360 1659 ( $R_{int} = 0.068$ ) 2737 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$ ] 135 0.0734	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513\ (R_{int}=0.040)\\ 2338\ [I>2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155\\ 0.0256\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666(R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ 561 0.0352	$10$ C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779 8187 ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ ) 7519 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I$ )] 343 0.0654
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) a (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\chi$ (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total reflns unique reflns no. of obsvns no. of param $R^a$ $wR^b$	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ 4295.50 orthorhombic <i>Pmmn</i> 0.26 × 0.20 × 0.13 16.504(3) 28.009(5) 11.859(2) 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.2(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 5482.1(17) 2 50.6 44808 5180 (R <sub>int</sub> = 0.082) 4422 [I > 2.00 $\sigma(I)$ ] 302 0.1236 0 3133	$\frac{7}{C_{18}H_{26}H_{92}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}}$ $\frac{1243.31}{0 \text{ orthornombic}}$ $\frac{7}{7}M_{21}$ $0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.17$ $16.791(3)$ $10.850(2)$ $9.0570(18)$ $\frac{1650.0(6)}{2}$ $\frac{2}{193}$ $2.503$ $0.71070$ $131.69$ $50.6$ $15360$ $1659 (R_{int} = 0.068)$ $2737 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ $135$ $0.0734$ $0 1771$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513\ (R_{int}=0.040)\\ 2338\ [I \geq 2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155\\ 0.0256\\ 0.0508\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666 (R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ 561 0.0352 0.0011	10 $C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}$ 4749.39         trigonal $R_3$ $0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$ $20.8335(11)$ 42.762(3)         120         16073.5(16)         3         1.472         0.71070         46.53         55.0         60779         8187 ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ )         7519 [ $I \ge 2.00\sigma(I)$ ]         343         0.0654         0.1961
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total reflns unique reflns no. of obsvns no. of param $R^a$ $wR^b$ GOF <sup>c</sup>	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ $\frac{4295.50}{orthorhombic}$ $\frac{Pmmn}{0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.13}$ $\frac{16.504(3)}{128.009(5)}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{11.859(2)}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{122.75}$ $\frac{50.6}{50.6}$ $\frac{44808}{5180} (R_{int} = 0.082)$ $\frac{4422}{1422} [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ $\frac{302}{0.1236}$ $\frac{0.3133}{1.237}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}\\ 1243.31\\ orthornombic\\ Pnm2_{1}\\ 0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.17\\ 16.791(3)\\ 10.850(2)\\ 9.0570(18)\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513\ (R_{int}=0.040)\\ 2338\ [I>2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155\\ 0.0256\\ 0.0508\\ 1.124\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666 (R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ 561 0.0352 0.0911 1.016	10 $C_{162}H_{234}Cl_{11}F_6Hg_6N_{18}PS_{18}$ 4749.39         trigonal $R_3$ $0.47 \times 0.30 \times 0.20$ $20.8335(11)$ 42.762(3)         120         16073.5(16)         3         193         1.472         0.71070         46.53         55.0         60779         8187 ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ )         7519 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I)$ ]         343         0.0654         0.1961         1.219
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total reflns unique reflns no. of obsvns no. of param $R^a$ $wR^b$ GOF <sup>c</sup> $\Lambda_{0max}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ $\frac{4295.50}{orthorhombic}$ $\frac{Pmmn}{0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.13}$ $\frac{16.504(3)}{128.009(5)}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{193}{2.602}$ $\frac{0.71070}{122.75}$ $\frac{50.6}{44808}$ $\frac{5180}{R_{int}} = 0.082)$ $\frac{4422}{1} [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ $\frac{302}{0.1236}$ $\frac{0.3133}{1.237}$ $\frac{1.942}{1.942}$	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ \hline \\ C_{18}H_{26}H_{22}I_{4}N_{2}S_{2}\\ 1243.31\\ orthornombic\\ Pmn2_{1}\\ 0.25 \times 0.20 \times 0.17\\ 16.791(3)\\ 10.850(2)\\ 9.0570(18)\\ \hline \\ 1650.0(6)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.503\\ 0.71070\\ 131.69\\ 50.6\\ 15360\\ 1659\ (R_{int}=0.068)\\ 2737\ [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 135\\ 0.0734\\ 0.1771\\ 1.115\\ 1.554\\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 8\\ \hline \\ C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27 \times 0.24 \times 0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513 (R_{int} = 0.040)\\ 2338 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155\\ 0.0256\\ 0.0508\\ 1.124\\ 0.812\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1/c}$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666 (R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ 561 0.0352 0.0911 1.016 1.066	$10$ C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal R3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779 8187 ( $R_{int} = 0.040$ ) 7519 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I$ )] 343 0.0654 0.1961 1.219 1.291
mol formula fw cryst syst space group size (mm <sup>3</sup> ) a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) $\alpha$ (deg) $\beta$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) $\gamma$ (deg) V (Å <sup>3</sup> ) Z T (K) $D_{calc}$ (g cm <sup>-3</sup> ) $\lambda$ (Mo K $\alpha$ ) (Å) $\mu$ (cm <sup>-1</sup> ) $2\theta_{max}$ (deg) total reflns unique reflns no. of obsvns no. of param $R^a$ $wR^b$ GOF <sup>c</sup> $\Delta\rho_{max}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> ) $\Delta\rho_{mix}$ (e Å <sup>-3</sup> )	$\frac{6}{C_{72}H_{104}F_{12}Hg_{7}I_8N_8P_2S_8}$ $\frac{4295.50}{orthorhombic}$ $\frac{Pmmn}{0.26 \times 0.20 \times 0.13}$ $\frac{16.504(3)}{128.009(5)}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{5482.1(17)}{2}$ $\frac{193}{2.602}$ $\frac{0.71070}{122.75}$ $\frac{50.6}{44808}$ $\frac{5180}{8} (R_{int} = 0.082)$ $\frac{4422}{422} [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ $\frac{302}{0.1236}$ $\frac{0.3133}{1.237}$ $\frac{1.942}{1.953}$	$7$ C <sub>18</sub> H <sub>26</sub> Hg <sub>2</sub> I <sub>4</sub> N <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub> 1243.31 orthornombic Pmm2 <sub>1</sub> 0.25 × 0.20 × 0.17 16.791(3) 10.850(2) 9.0570(18) 1650.0(6) 2 193 2.503 0.71070 131.69 50.6 15360 1659 ( $R_{int} = 0.068$ ) 2737 [ $I > 2.00\sigma(I$ )] 135 0.0734 0.1771 1.115 1.554 -1.972	$\begin{array}{r} 8\\ \hline C_{20}H_{26}Cl_2Hg_2N_4S_4\\ 922.81\\ monoclinic\\ P2_1/m\\ 0.27\times0.24\times0.15\\ 6.3897(9)\\ 27.699(4)\\ 7.5773(11)\\ 93.234(4)\\ 1339.0(3)\\ 2\\ 193\\ 2.289\\ 0.71070\\ 119.81\\ 50.6\\ 13109\\ 2513\ (R_{int}=0.040)\\ 2338\ [I>2.00\sigma(I)]\\ 155\\ 0.0256\\ 0.0508\\ 1.124\\ 0.812\\ -1.196\\ \end{array}$	9 $C_{36}H_{52}Cl_{10}Hg_{3}N_{4}S_{4}$ 1625.3 monoclinic $P2_{1}/c$ $0.24 \times 0.20 \times 0.08$ 13.9906(19) 16.2749(18) 11.5464(12) 103.053(3) 2561.1(5) 2 193 2.108 0.71070 96.86 50.0 24920 $4666 (R_{int} = 0.063)$ $4047 [I > 2.00\sigma(I)]$ 561 0.0352 0.0911 1.016 1.066 -1.098	$10$ C <sub>162</sub> H <sub>234</sub> Cl <sub>11</sub> F <sub>6</sub> Hg <sub>6</sub> N <sub>18</sub> PS <sub>18</sub> 4749.39 trigonal <i>R</i> 3 0.47 × 0.30 × 0.20 20.8335(11) 42.762(3) 120 16073.5(16) 3 193 1.472 0.71070 46.53 55.0 60779 8187 ( <i>R</i> <sub>int</sub> = 0.040) 7519 [ <i>I</i> > 2.00 $\sigma$ ( <i>I</i> )] 343 0.0654 0.1961 1.219 1.291 -1.350

 ${}^{a}R = \sum ||F_{o}| - |F_{c}|/\sum |F_{o}|$ .  ${}^{b}wR = \{\sum w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/\sum w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}\}^{1/2}$ .  ${}^{c}$  GOF =  $\{\sum [w((F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2})/(n - p)]\}^{1/2}$ , where n = number of reflections and p = total number of parameters refined.

maps, the largest electron-density peaks in 1 (1.451 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), in 3 (2.903 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), in 6 (1.942 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), in 7 (1.554 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), in 9 (1.066 e/Å<sup>3</sup>), and in 10 (1.291 e/Å<sup>3</sup>) were located 1.064(2) Å from atom Hg1 in 1, 0.764(1) Å from atom Hg5 in 3, 1.051(2) Å from atom Hg2 in 6, 1.084(2) Å from atom Hg1 in 7, 1.016(3) Å from atom Hg1 in 9, and 0.313(3) Å from atom Cl3 in 10, respectively. A summary of the key crystallographic information for 1-10 is given in Table 1.

## **Results and Discussion**

**Synthesis.** Treatment of a methanol solution of  $Hg(OAc)_2$  with an acetonitrile solution of 2 equiv of TabHPF<sub>6</sub> resulted

in a colorless solution, which was heated to 70 °C and stirred for 0.5 h. A standard workup afforded colorless plates of **1** in an almost quantitative yield (Scheme 1). During the reaction, a strong smell of acetic acid was evolved out of the solution because TabHPF<sub>6</sub> has stronger acidity than acetic acid, and thus the liberation of acetic acid may be the driving force for this reaction.<sup>10</sup>

Given the linear geometry of the dication of 1 discussed later in this paper, we anticipated that compound 1 might serve as a useful precursor for the preparation of new Hg/ Tab complexes with two options. One option is that the Hg



Chart 1

atom may further complete its coordination sphere by the addition of one or two Tab ligands or other donor ligands such as halides and pseudohalides. Therefore, the linear  $HgS_2$  geometry (type I) in **1** may be converted to a T-shaped (type II), trigonal-planar (type III), seesaw-shaped (type IV), or tetrahedral (type V) coordination geometry (Chart 1).

In this context, we carried out the reactions of **1** with Tab in a 1:1 molar ratio (Scheme 1). Intriguingly, it did not form the expected three-coordinated monomer species [Hg- $(Tab)_3$ <sup>2+</sup> but produced a four-coordinated dimeric compound 2.2MeCN in a relatively high yield of 90.0%. This compound was the only product isolated in a crystalline form regardless of the 1/Tab molar ratios. However, a 1:1 or 1:2 reaction mixture of 1 and KSCN gave rise to the corresponding 1:1 and 1:2 products 3 and 4 in high yields, respectively. If the molar ratio of 1/KSCN was over 1:2, only 4 was isolated in a high yield. In addition, compound 4 could be formed in a quantitative yield when compound 3 was treated with one or more equiv of KSCN. In the case of 1 and Bu<sub>4</sub>NI in a 1:2 molar ratio, we did not isolate the expected 1:2 compound  $[Hg(Tab)_2I_2]$  but an unexpected neutral compound 5 in 95.0% yield. Intriguingly, one Tab of **1** was lost during the reaction. The formation of 5 may be attributed to its low solubility in MeCN. A mixture of 1/Bu<sub>4</sub>NI in molar ratios between 2:1 and 1:4 only produced 5.

The other option is that compound **1** may further combine other Hg atoms or other metal atoms to form polynuclear complexes via the bridging ability of the S atoms of its two Tab ligands. In this respect, we first ran the reactions of **1**  which was dissolved in a hot DMF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:2, v/v) solution containing KNO<sub>3</sub>. A standard workup afforded yellowish block crystals of **6** in 78.0% yield. On the other hand, when a solution of **1** was combined with a solution consisting of HgI<sub>2</sub> and Bu<sub>4</sub>NI in a 1:1:2 molar ratio, an ionic compound **7** was isolated in 83.0% yield. In the case of **1**/HgCl<sub>2</sub>/KSCN (1:1:2), another ionic compound **8** was obtained in 94.0% yield.

Intriguingly, when compound 1 reacted with HAuCl<sub>4</sub>, it only produced an unexpected disulfide compound 9 (Scheme 1). The result may be due to the fact that the thiol-to-disulfide conversion could also be completed via oxygen in the presence of certain metal ions.<sup>14,15</sup> In our case, the formation of the Tab-Tab dication in 9 may be due to the protonation and dissociation of Tab from 1 in the presence of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> and the subsequent oxidation of TabH<sup>+</sup> via Au<sup>3+</sup> and/or oxygen in open air. We also carried out the reaction of 1 with a mixture of HAuCl<sub>4</sub> and excessive Tab (1:1:4) in MeOH/MeCN. This reaction produced no mercury disulfide compound but a binuclear complex 10 in high yield along with two products, [Au(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>) and [Tab-Tab](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. Both  $[Au(Tab)_2](PF_6)$  and  $[Tab-Tab](PF_6)_2$  were confirmed by elemental analysis, IR spectra, and X-ray fluorescence analysis. The formation of these two compounds may be attributed to the fact that Tab may reduce Au<sup>3+</sup> in HAuCl<sub>4</sub> into Au<sup>+</sup>, forming the [Tab-Tab]<sup>2+</sup> species. The resulting Au<sup>+</sup> may further react with excess Tab to afford this Au/ Tab complex [Au(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>).

 <sup>(14) (</sup>a) Yiannos, C. N.; Karaninos, J. V. J. Org. Chem. 1963, 28, 3246–3248. (b) Ottersen, B.; Warner, L. G.; Seff, K. Acta Crystallogr. 1973, B29, 2954–2958.

<sup>(15) (</sup>a) Yamamoto, T.; Sekine, Y. Can. J. Chem. 1984, 39, 1544–1547.
(b) Chowdhury, S.; Samuel, P. M.; Das, I.; Roy, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 17, 1993–1994.



**Figure 1.** Perspective view of the structure of 1 with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All H atoms were omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Hg1-S1 2.331(3), S1-Hg1-S1A 180.00(14).

Crystal Structure of 1. Compound 1 crystallizes in the triclinic space group P1, and the asymmetric unit of 1 contains half of the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dication and one  $PF_6^{-}$ anion. A perspective view of 1 is shown in Figure 1. In the [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> dication, the Hg atom, lying at the crystallographic center of symmetry, is strongly coordinated by two S atoms of the two Tab moieties, forming a linear  $HgS_2$ coordination geometry (type I) with two C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NMe<sub>3</sub> groups oriented at opposite directions. Such a structure closely resembles those of mercury complexes containing zwitterionic thiolate ligands such as [Hg{SCH(CH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NHMe<sub>2</sub>}]- $(ClO_4)_2$ ,<sup>11a</sup> [Hg{S(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>3</sub>NMe<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>,<sup>11b</sup> [Hg{S(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-NH<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>,<sup>11e</sup> and its silver analogue [Ag(Tab)<sub>2</sub>](PF<sub>6</sub>).<sup>10b</sup> The mean Hg1-S bond length and the S1-Hg1-S1A angle in 1 are 2.331(3) Å and  $180.00(14)^\circ$ , respectively, while the corresponding ones found in other two-coordinated mercury thiolate compounds are 2.330(1) Å and 176.96(2)° for [Hg- $\{SCH(CH_2CH_2)_2NHMe_2\}_2 | (ClO_4)_2, 2.340(1) Å and 178.9 (3)^{\circ}$  for  $[Hg{S(CH_2)_3NMe_3}_2](PF_6)_2$ , 2.336(9) Å and 168.53-(3)° for [Hg{S(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>}<sub>2</sub>]Cl<sub>2</sub>, 2.339(2) Å and 180.0(5)° for [Hg(SCH<sub>2</sub>COOH)<sub>2</sub>],<sup>5f</sup> and 2.34(3) Å and 174.7(1)° for  $[Hg(SBz)_2]_n$  (Bz = benzyl), respectively.<sup>5e</sup>

The  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dications are parallel to each other, and the Hg···Hg separation between two neighboring cations is 6.165 Å. Interestingly, the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anions are located between the two dications, and thus the two F atoms from two neighboring PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anions have weak interactions with the Hg1 atom [Hg1···F2) (or F2A) separation = 2.992(1) Å]. Furthermore, two H-bonding interactions between F2 and the methyl group with C8 (x + 1, y - 1, z) and between F4 and the methyl group with C8 (-x + 1, -y + 1, -z + 1) led to the formation of a two-dimensional network extended along the *ac* plane (see the Supporting Information).

**Crystal Structures of 2·2MeCN and 10.** Compound 2 crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\overline{1}$ , and the asymmetric unit of 2 consists of half of the  $[Hg_2(Tab)_6]^{4+}$  tetracation, two PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anions, and one solvent MeCN molecule. Compound **10** crystallizes in the trigonal space group  $R\overline{3}$ , and the asymmetric unit contains half of the  $[Hg_2(Tab)_6]^{4+}$  tetracation, one-sixth of the PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anion, one-third of Cl<sup>-</sup>, two halves of Cl<sup>-</sup>, and three one-sixths of Cl<sup>-</sup>. Because the tetracations in **2** and **10** are structurally similar, only a perspective view of the tetracation of **2** is depicted in Figure 2 and their important bond lengths and angles are



**Figure 2.** Perspective view of the  $[Hg_2(Tab)_6]^{4+}$  tetracation of **2** with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All H atoms were omitted for clarity.

 Table 2.
 Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 2 and 10

Complex 2				
Hg1-S1	2.4138(14)	Hg1-S2	2.4346(14)	
Hg1-S3	2.7323(13)	Hg1-S3A	2.6467(13)	
S1-Hg1-S2 S2-Hg1-S3 S2-Hg1-S3A	133.93(5) 104.26(5) 93.37(4)	S1-Hg1-S3 S1-Hg1-S3A S3-Hg1-S3A	96.69(4) 125.45(5) 94.94(4)	
	Comp	lex 10		
Hg1-S1	2.441(12)	Hg1-S2	2.421(13)	
Hg1-S3	2.787(12)	Hg1-S3A	2.602(12)	
S1-Hg1-S2 S2-Hg1-S3 S2-Hg1-S3A	132.0(5) 104.8(4) 113.9(4)	S1-Hg1-S3 S1-Hg1-S3A S3-Hg1-S3A	97.8(4) 104.7(4) 96.5(3)	

compared in Table 2. The structure of the  $[Hg_2(Tab)_6]^{4+}$ tetracation of 2 or 10 is similar to those reported in  $[Et_4N]_2$ - $[Hg_2(SMe)_6]^{,6b}$   $[Me_4N]_2[Hg_2(SPh)_6]^{,4a}$  and  $[(DME)_3Ln_5]^{,4a}$  $(SC_6F_5)_2]_2[Hg_2(SC_6F_5)_6]$  (Ln = La, Ce, Pr, Nd, Sm, Gd).<sup>16a</sup> The tetracation of 2 or 10 consists of an asymmetrical  $Hg_2S_2$ rhomb, with Hg1- $\mu$ -S3/Hg1- $\mu$ -S3A bond lengths being 2.7323(13)/2.6467(13) Å (2) and 2.787(12)/2.602(12) Å (10). An inversion center lies on the midpoint of the Hg1····Hg1A line in 2 or 10. The Hg1 $\cdots$ Hg1A contact is 3.637(2) Å (2) or 3.592(2) Å (10), which excludes any metal-metal interaction. Each Hg atom is coordinated by four S atoms from two terminal and two bridging Tab ligands, forming a strongly distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry (type V) with the S-Hg-S angles in the ranges of 93.37(4)- $133.93(5)^{\circ}$  (2) and  $96.5(3)-132.0(5)^{\circ}$  (10). The mean terminal and bridging Hg1-S bond lengths [2.4242(14) Å vs 2.6895(13) Å (2); 2.4310(13) Å vs 2.6945(12) Å (10)] are comparable to those containing tetrahedrally coordinated  $Hg^{II}$  such as  $[Et_4N]_2[Hg_2(SMe)_6]$  [2.456(2) vs 2.668(2) Å] and  $[Me_4N]_2[Hg_2(SPh)_6]$  [2.437(2) vs 2.686(2) Å].

The tetracations in the crystals of **2** or **10** are parallel to each other. The Hg····Hg separations between two cations are ca. 8.252 Å in **2** and ca. 8.826 Å in **10**. The associated  $[PF_6]^-$  anions in **2** are surrounded by the paralleled tetracations. In **2**, three H-bonding interactions between S1 and the phenyl group with C6 (-x + 2, -y + 1, -z), between S1 and the phenyl group with C23 (x - 1, y, z), and between

 <sup>(16) (</sup>a) Banerjee, S.; Emge, T. J.; Brennan, J. G. *Inorg. Chem.* 2004, 43, 6307–6312. (b) Marchivie, M.; Guionneau, P.; Létard, J. F.; Chasseau, D.; Howard, J. A. K. *J. Phys. Chem. Solids* 2004, 65, 17–23.



**Figure 3.** Stacking of **10** along the *c* axis, which highlights a  $[PF_6]^-$  anion acting as an anionic template.



**Figure 4.** Perspective view of one of the six  $[Hg(Tab)_2(SCN)]^+$  cations of **3** with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All H atoms were omitted for clarity.

the N4 from the MeCN molecule and the methyl group with C9 (-x + 2, -y + 1, -z) led to the formation of a onedimensional chain extended along the *b* axis (see the Supporting Information). The S····H–C contacts are 2.66 and 2.82 Å, which are comparable to those reported in [Fe(PM– BiA)<sub>2</sub>(NCS)<sub>2</sub>] [2.77–2.81 Å; PM = *N*-2'-pyridylmethylene and BiA = 4-(aminobiphenyl)].<sup>16b</sup> Looking down the *c* axis, it appears that [PF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>-</sup> in **10** is acting as an anionic template, with six symmetry-related quaternary ammonium ions arranged around the ion forming a ball-like structure (Figure 3). Looking down the 3-fold axis, a stunning two-dimensional sheet is apparent. Chloride ions appear to be sandwiched between these sheets with complicated H-bonding interactions (see the Supporting Information).

**Crystal Structures of 3–5.** Compound **3** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1$ , and the asymmetric unit comprises six crystallographically independent [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>-(SCN)]<sup>+</sup> cations and six PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> anions. Because the six cations are structurally very similar, a perspective view of only one of them is shown in Figure 4 and the pertinent bond lengths and angles of the six cations are compared in Table 3. Each Hg atom in these [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)]<sup>+</sup> cations is coordinated

Table 3. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 3

Hg1-S1	2.340(7)	Hg4-S10	2.341(7)
Hg1-S2	2.336(7)	Hg4-S11	2.367(7)
Hg1-S3	2.801(8)	Hg4-S12	2.811(8)
Hg2-S4	2.318(8)	Hg5-S13	2.330(7)
Hg2-S5	2.352(7)	Hg5-S14	2.321(9)
Hg2-S6	2.797(8)	Hg5-S15	2.809(8)
Hg3-S7	2.368(6)	Hg6-S16	2.398(9)
Hg3-S8	2.352(7)	Hg6-S17	2.291(10)
Hg3-S9	2.823(8)	Hg6-S18	2.837(7)
S2-Hg1-S1	172.7(3)	S10-Hg4-S11	173.0(2)
S2-Hg1-S3	95.5(3)	S10-Hg4-S12	97.1(2)
S1-Hg1-S3	91.8(2)	S11-Hg4-S12	89.6(2)
S4-Hg2-S5	171.7(3)	S14-Hg5-S13	173.9(3)
S4-Hg2-S6	97.0(3)	S14-Hg5-S15	83.9(3)
S5-Hg2-S6	90.5(2)	S13-Hg5-S15	101.1(2)
S8-Hg3-S7	173.8(2)	S17-Hg6-S16	173.8(3)
S8-Hg3-S9	98.6(2)	S17-Hg6-S18	104.5(3)
S7-Hg3-S9	87.5(2)	S16-Hg6-S18	81.4(2)

weakly by one S atom from SCN- and strongly by two S atoms from two Tab ligands, forming an uncommon Tshaped coordination geometry (type II) with the (Tab)S-Hg-S(Tab) angle in the range of  $171.7(3)-173.9(3)^{\circ}$ . Such a T-shaped structure was observed in  $[(Ph_3P)_4Pt_2(\mu_3-S)_2-$ HgPh][BPh<sub>4</sub>].<sup>17</sup> Apparently, the Hg–S(Tab) bond lengths are significantly shorter than those of the Hg-S(SCN) bonds. The mean Hg-S(Tab) bond length [2.342(1) Å] is close to that of 1 [2.331(3) Å]. The average Hg-S(SCN) bond length [2.813(3) Å] is 0.242 Å longer than the average terminal Hg-S(SCN) bond length in  $\{[La(SCN)](NMe_2)_3PO]_5\}(\mu$ SCN)[Hg(SCN)<sub>2</sub>Cl]} [2.571(2) Å].<sup>18</sup> Interestingly, each cation in 3 is further interconnected in an up-and-down way via long Hg. S secondary coordination (3.6148–3.9237 Å), forming a one-dimensional zigzag chain extended along the c axis (Figure 5). There are no evident H-bonding interactions between the cations and associated  $PF_6^-$  anions.

Compound 4 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group Pccn, and the asymmetric unit contains half of the [Hg(Tab)2- $(SCN)_2$  molecule. A perspective view of 4 is shown in Figure 6, while its important bond lengths and angles are given in Table 4. The central Hg1 atom, lying at a crystallographic inversion center, is strongly coordinated by two S atoms of the two Tab ligands and loosely coordinated by two S atoms of the two SCN<sup>-</sup> anions, forming a unique seesaw-shaped coordination geometry (type IV).<sup>19</sup> The mean Hg-S(Tab) bond length is 2.3715(11) Å, which is somewhat longer than those observed in 1 and 3 but shorter than those containing four-coordinated Hg complexes such as two polymorphic compounds [Hg(SPy)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub>] [2.4790(1) and 2.480(1) Å; SPy = pyridinium-2-thiolato].<sup>20</sup> The mean Hg-S(SCN) bond length is 2.9281(12) Å, which is longer than those of **3** [2.813(3) Å] and Hg(SPy)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub> [2.635(1) and

 <sup>(17)</sup> Fong, S. W. A.; Yap, W. T.; Vittal, J. J.; Hor, T. S. A.; Henderson, W.; Oliver, A. G.; Rickard, C. E. F. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 13, 1986–2002.

<sup>(18)</sup> Amirkhanov, V. M.; Kapshuk, A. A.; Kramarenko, F. G.; Skopenko, V. V. Zh. Neorg. Khim. **1994**, 39, 1155–1158.

<sup>(19) (</sup>a) Liao, J. H.; Marking, G. M.; Hsu, K. F.; Matsushita, Y.; Ewbank, M. D.; Borwick, R.; Cunningham, P.; Rosker, M. J.; Kanatzidis, M. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 9484–9493. (b) Korczynski, A. Rocz. Chem. 1966, 40, 547–505.

<sup>(20)</sup> Popović, Z.; Matković-Čalogović, D.; Hasić, J.; Vikić-Topić, D. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1999, 285, 208–216.



Figure 5. One-dimensional zigzag chain (extended along the c axis) formed via weak Hg. S secondary interactions in 3.



Figure 6. Molecular structure of 4 with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All H atoms were omitted for clarity.

Table 4.         Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 4 and 5					
Complex 4					
Hg1-S1	2.3715(11)	Hg1-S2	2.9281(12)		
S1-Hg1-S2 S1-Hg1-S2A	90.31(4) 99.32(4)	S1-Hg1-S1A S2-Hg1-S2A	163.64(6) 107.94(5)		
51 11g1 52/1	Com	blex <b>5</b>	107.94(3)		
Hg1-S1 Hg1-I2	2.432(2) 2.7316(7)	Hg1-I1	2.7718(7)		
S1-Hg1-I1 I2-Hg1-I1	115.46(5) 113.23(2)	S1-Hg1-I2	130.67(5)		

2.596(1) Å]. The S(Tab)–Hg–S(Tab) angle of 163.64(6)° is smaller than those of **1** (180.00°) and **3** [173.15(2)°] but significantly larger than the S(SPy)–Hg–S(SPy) angle in the two polymorphic compounds Hg(SPy)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub> [116.74-(5) and 122.03(4)°]. The S(SCN)–Hg–S(SCN) angle is 107.94(5)°, which is close to that observed in [Hg(SPy)<sub>2</sub>-(SCN)<sub>2</sub>] [100.27(2)°] and much smaller than that in [Hg-(tu)<sub>2</sub>(SCN)<sub>2</sub>] [171.61(2)°; tu = thiourea].<sup>19b</sup>

In the crystal of **4**, the S2 atom from the SCN<sup>-</sup> ion interacts with the methyl group with C8  $[x - 1, -y + \frac{3}{2}, z - \frac{1}{2}]$  to afford intermolecular H bonds, thereby forming a two-dimensional H-bonded network structure along the *ac* plane (Figure 7).

Compound 5 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group Cc, and the asymmetric unit of 5 contains one discrete [Hg-(Tab)I<sub>2</sub>] molecule. A perspective view of 5 is shown in



**Figure 7.** Two-dimensional network along the *ac* plane formed via H-bonding interactions in **4**.



**Figure 8.** One-dimensional chain (extended along the c axis) formed via Hg···S and Hg···I secondary interactions in **5**.

Figure 8, and the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 4. The Hg1 atom in **5** is coordinated by one S from a Tab ligand and two I atoms, forming a typical trigonalplanar geometry (type III). The Hg1-S1 bond length of 2.432(2) Å is comparable to the theoretically calculated value



**Figure 9.** One-dimensional scolopendra-like structure (extended along the c axis) formed by Hg···I secondary interactions among  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$ ,  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$ , and  $HgI_2$  in **6**.

for the sum of the covalent radii of trigonal Hg and S atoms  $[2.43(1) \text{ Å}]^{21}$  but longer than those in **1**, **3**, and **4**. The mean Hg–I bond length is 2.7517(7) Å, which is longer than the average Hg–I bond length in [Hg(C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S)I<sub>2</sub>] [2.6958(2) Å; C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>N<sub>2</sub>S = 3,4,5,6-tetrahydropyrimidinium-2-thiolato-*S'*].<sup>21</sup> It should be noted that the [Hg(Tab)I<sub>2</sub>] molecules in the crystal of **5** are held together by long secondary Hg1····S1B [3.126(2) Å] and Hg1····I1A [3.617(3) Å] interactions to give a one-dimensional chain structure extended along the *c* axis. Furthermore, two H-bonding interactions between I2 and the methyl group with C8 (x - 1, y, z) and C8 ( $x - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $-y + \frac{3}{2}$ ,  $z + \frac{1}{2}$ ] between chains lead to the formation of a two-dimensional H-bonded network extended along the *ac* plane (see the Supporting Information).

Crystal Structures of 6-8. An X-ray analysis revealed that 6 crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group *Pmmn*, and the asymmetric unit is composed of two halves of the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dications, one-quarter of the HgI<sub>2</sub> molecule, one-quarter of the  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  dianion, two one-quarters of the  $PF_6^-$  anions, and two halves of the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anions. A perspective views of the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dications and the  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  dianions coupled with the neutral  $HgI_2$  molecule in 6 is depicted in Figure 9. Selected bond lengths and angles for 6 are listed in Table 5. As shown in Figure 9, all Hg atoms in 6 are lying on the same crystallographic bc plane. In the structure of each of the dications of 6, Hg1 or Hg2 is coordinated by the terminal Tab ligands, forming a slightly bent linear HgS<sub>2</sub> coordination geometry (type I) with S1-Hg1-S1A angles of 171.2(3)° or S2-Hg2-S2A angles of 170.3(3)°. The deviation of the S-Hg-S angles to 180° may be due to the weak secondary interactions between Hg1 and I4B from the HgI<sub>2</sub> molecule and between Hg2 and I2 from the  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  dianion. The two Hg-S bond lengths for Hg1 or Hg2 are comparable to those of 1. These dications are

Table 5.	Selected	Bond	Distances	(Å)	and a	Angles	(deg)	for	6-
10010 01	Derected	Dona	Distances	( + + )	unu	ingles	(ucs)	101	•

	Com	olex 6	
Hg1-S1	2.334(6)	Hg2-S2	2.322(6)
Hg3-I1	2.733(3)	Hg3-I2	2.923(3)
Hg4-I3	2.667(3)	Hg4-I2	2.970(3)
Hg5-I4	2.557(2)		
-			
S1A-Hg1-S1	171.2(3)	S2A-Hg2-S2	170.3(3)
I1A-Hg3-I1	122.09(14)	I1A-Hg3-I2A	108.28(4)
I1-Hg3-I2A	108.28(4)	I1A-Hg3-I2	108.28(4)
I1-Hg3-I2	108.28(4)	I2A-Hg3-I2	99.22(12)
I3A-Hg4-I3	136.20(17)	I3A-Hg4-I2	104.29(5)
I3-Hg4-I2	104.29(5)	I3A-Hg4-I2A	104.29(5)
I3-Hg4-I2A	104.29(5)	I2-Hg4-I2A	97.14(12)
I4-Hg5-I4A	174.62(17)	Hg3-I2-Hg4	81.82(8)
	Com	low 7	
Uc1_\$1	2 282(7)	Ua1_12	2 222(2)
$H_{g1} = 51$ $H_{g2} = 11$	2.303(7) 2.762(2)	Hg1 = 12 Hg2 = 12	3.223(3)
Hg2 = 11 $H_{a2} = 12$	2.702(3)	ng2-13	2.7022(19)
ng2-12	2.094(3)		
S1-Hg1-S1A	167.4(5)	S1-Hg1-I2	94.27(18)
S1A-Hg1-I2	94.27(17)	I1-Hg2-I3	111.34(6)
I1-Hg2-I3A	111.34(6)	I3-Hg2-I3A	116.65(9)
I1-Hg2-I2	110.46(9)	I3-Hg2-I2	103.15(6)
I3A-Hg2-I2	103.15(6)	Hg2-I2-Hg1	138.54(10)
-			
	Comp	blex 8	
Hg1-S1	2.3219(14)	Hg1-N2	2.970(5)
Hg2-CII	2.5312(17)	Hg2-Cl2	2.4603(17)
Hg2-S2	2.5144(13)		
S1-Ho1-S1A	180,000(1)	S1-Ho1-N2	106.80(10)
SIA-Hol-N2	73.20(10)	Cl2-Hg2-S2	113.56(4)
S2-Hg2-S2A	108.95(6)	$C_{12} - H_{02} - C_{11}$	104.43(6)
$S_2 - H_{\sigma}^2 - C_{11}$	107.99(5)	012 1162 011	101.45(0)

parallel to each other, and the Hg····Hg separation between two cations is ca. 5.893 Å. Interestingly, compound 6 contains a slightly bent HgI<sub>2</sub> [I4-Hg5-I4A =  $174.62(17)^{\circ}$ ] molecule. Such a deviation of the I-Hg-I angle to 180° may also be ascribed to the weak interactions between its I atoms and the neighboring Hg atoms of the dications. The terminal Hg-I bond length in the HgI<sub>2</sub> molecule is 2.557-(2) Å, which is comparable to that observed in  $HgI_2$  vapor  $[2.554 (3) \text{ Å}]^{22}$  but shorter than those found in  $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_2$ - $[Hg_2I_6]$ ·HgI<sub>2</sub> [2.574(1) Å]<sup>23</sup> and in the 1:1 complex between 18-crown-6 and HgI<sub>2</sub> [2.622(1) Å].<sup>24</sup> The structure of the dimeric  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  anion in **6** is an edge-shared bitetrahedron and very similar to those found previously.<sup>23,25</sup> The bridging I atoms are not equidistant from the bonded Hg atoms [2.923-(3) Å and 2.970(3) Å], which is different from that observed in  $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_2[Hg_2I_6] \cdot HgI_2$  [2.934(3) Å]. The four Hg-I terminal distances [average 2.700(3) Å] are comparable to those in  $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_2[Hg_2I_6] \cdot HgI_2$  [2.6895(2) Å]. The Hg-

(23) Fábry, J.; Maximov, B. A. Acta Crystallogr. 1991, C47, 51-53.

<sup>(21)</sup> Matković-Čalogović, D.; Popović, Z.; Pavlović, G.; Soldin, Ž.; Giester, G. Acta Crystallogr. 2001, C57, 409–411.

<sup>(22)</sup> Spiridonov, R. P.; Gershikov, A. G.; Butayev, B. S. J. Mol. Struct. 1979, 52, 53–62.

<sup>(24)</sup> Pears, D. A.; Fraser Stoddart, J.; Crosby, J.; Allwood, B. L.; Williams, D. J. Acta Crystallogr. 1986, C42, 51–53.

<sup>(25) (</sup>a) Pears, D. A.; Fraser Stoddart, J.; Crosby, J.; Allwood, B. L.; Williams, D. J. Acta Crystallogr. **1986**, C42, 804-806. (b) Shibaeva, R. P.; Kaminskij, V. F. Kristallografiya **1984**, 29, 606-609. (c) Zacharie, B.; Wuest, J. D.; Olivier, M. J.; Beauchamps, A. L. Acta Crystallogr. **1985**, C41, 369-371. (d) Bogdanova, O. A.; Gristenko, V. V.; Dyachenko, O. A.; Zhilyaeva, E. I.; Kobayashi, A.; Kobayashi, H.; Lyubovskaya, R. N.; Lyubovskaya, R. B.; Shilov, G. V. Chem. Lett. **1997**, 675-676.



**Figure 10.** One-dimensional wavelike chain (extended along the *c* axis) formed by Hg····O secondary interactions between  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> in **6**.

 $\mu$ -I-Hg angle is 81.82(8)°, which is comparable to that in  $[N(C_2H_5)_4]_2[Hg_2I_6]$ ·HgI<sub>2</sub> [83.81(4)°].

The secondary and H-bonding interactions in 6 are rather complicated. The  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  dianions and the bent  $HgI_2$ molecules are interconnected via weak I1····Hg5A [3.5284-(5) Å] and Hg5…I3 [3.9567(5) Å] interactions, forming a one-dimensional  $\{[Hg_2I_6 HgI_2]^{2-}\}_n$  chain structure extended along the *c* axis. Along the  $\{[Hg_2I_6 \cdot HgI_2]^{2-}\}_n$  chain backbone, the HgI<sub>2</sub> molecules and the  $[Hg_2I_6]^{2-}$  anions alternatively coordinate to the Hg atoms of the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$ dications via Hg1...I4B [3.8210(6) Å] and Hg2...I2 [4.0858-(7) Å] interactions. These weak interactions make it a onedimensional scolopendra-like structure extended along the c axis (Figure 9). Furthermore, each  $NO_3^-$  anion is arranged between the two dications and acts as a rare tridentate ligand to Hg1 and Hg2 atoms with relatively long Hg...O contacts, forming a one-dimensional wavelike chain structure extended along the c axis (Figure 10).<sup>26</sup> The mean Hg····O separation is 2.987(5) Å, which are somewhat shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Hg (1.73 Å) and O (1.4 Å).<sup>27</sup>

In the crystal of **6**, the S atoms of the Tab ligands interact with the H atoms of the phenyl groups of Tab to afford intermolecular H bonds [C15…S1 (-x, -y + 1, -z); C6· •·S2 (-x, -y + 1, -z)]. In addition, the two F atoms of PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> interact with the H atoms of the methyl groups of Tab [C9···F6 (x - 1, y, z)] to give a one-dimensional doublechain structure extended along the *a* axis (Figure 11). The I atoms in the above {[Hg<sub>2</sub>I<sub>6</sub>·HgI<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>}<sub>n</sub> chain further bind to the Hg atoms of the [Hg(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> dication in the double chain via Hg1···I4B and Hg2···I2 interactions, thereby forming a three-dimensional network (see the Supporting Information).

Compound **7** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group  $Pmn2_1$ , and the asymmetric unit contains half of the [Hg-(Tab)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> dication and half of the [HgI<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> dianion. The structures of both dications and dianions of **7** are depicted in Figure 12, and the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 5. The Hg1, Hg2, I1, and I2 atoms lie on the same crystallographic plane. Being similar to that in the dications of **6**, the Hg1 atom in the dication of **7** also adopts a bent linear HgS<sub>2</sub> coordination geometry with the S1–Hg1–S1A angle of 167.4(5)°. The Hg1–S bond lengths are normal relative to those in **1** and **6**. In the anion of [HgI<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2-</sup>, the

Hg2–I bond lengths vary from 2.762(3) to 2.894(3) Å and the I–Hg2–I angles range from 103.15(6) to 116.65(6)°. Thus, the Hg2 atom adopts a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. The  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dications and  $[HgI_4]^{2-}$ dianions are linked together by the secondary interactions between Hg1 and I2 [3.223(2) Å] and between Hg1 and I1A [3.7294(6) Å], forming a one-dimensional chain structure extended along the *c* axis.

Compound 8 crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/m$ , and the asymmetric unit consists of half of the [Hg- $(Tab)_2$ <sup>2+</sup> dication and half of the [Hg(SCN)<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2-</sup> dianion. The structures of both dications and dianions of 8 are shown in Figure 13, and the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 5. The Hg1 atom lies at an inversion center, while the Hg2, Cl1, and Cl2 atoms are located on the same crystallographic plane. The structure of the dication of 8 retains almost the same structure of 1, judging from their Hg-S bond lengths and S-Hg-S angles. In the structure of the  $[Hg(SCN)_2Cl_2]^{2-}$  dianion, the Hg2 atom also adopts a slightly distorted HgS<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> tetrahedral geometry. The Hg-S(SCN) bond length [2.5144(13) Å] is significantly shorter than the corresponding ones in 3 [2.813(3) Å] and 4 [2.9281-(12) Å] but comparable to that in  $\{[C_{10}H_8S_8][C_{10}H_8S_8][HgCl_2-$ (SCN)]<sub>n</sub><sup>28</sup> {2.515(2) Å; C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>8</sub>S<sub>8</sub> = bis[bis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene]}. The mean Hg-Cl bond length of 2.496(17) Å is slightly longer than that in  $\{[C_{10}H_8S_8]$ - $[C_{10}H_8S_8][HgCl_2(SCN)]_n$  [2.414(17) Å]. In addition, the secondary interactions between Hg1 in the dication and N2 (or N2B) of the SCN<sup>-</sup> group in the dianions result in a onedimensional zigzag chain extended along the b axis. The Hg· ••N bond length is 2.970(1) Å, is shorter than the sum of the van der Waals radii of N  $(1.55 \text{ Å})^{29}$  and Hg  $(1.73 \text{ Å})^{30}$ but is longer than that in  $\{ [C_{10}H_8S_8] [C_{10}H_8S_8] [HgCl_2(SCN)] \}_n$ [2.773(2) Å] and comparable to that in  $\{[C_{10}D_8S_8]_2$ - $[C_{10}H_8D_8]_2[Hg_2Cl_2(SCN)_4]_n \{3.035(2) \text{ Å}; C_{10}H_8D_8 = \text{tet-}$ rakis[octadeuteriobis(ethylenedithio)tetrathiafulvalene]}.<sup>31</sup>

**Crystal Structure of 9.** Compound **9** crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$ , and the asymmetric unit contains a discrete Tab–Tab dication and half of the  $[Hg_3Cl_{10}]^{4-}$  tetraanion. A perspective view of **9** is shown in Figure 14, and the selected bond lengths and angles are listed in Table 6. In this tetraanion, there is a crystallographic inversion center lying at Hg2. The linear structure of the  $[Hg_3Cl_{10}]^{4-}$  tetraanion contains two Hg<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> rhombs that are almost located on the same plane. The two terminal Hg1 and Hg3 atoms are coordinated by two terminal and two bridging Cl atoms, forming a tetrahedral geometry, while the central Hg2 atom is octahedrally coordinated by two terminal and four bridging Cl atoms. The terminal Hg–Cl bond lengths vary from 2.3046(16) to 2.5393(15) Å, while

- (29) Bondi, A. J. Phys. Chem. 1964, 68, 441-451.
- (30) Canty, A. J.; Deacon, G. B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1980, 45, 225-230.

<sup>(26)</sup> Müller, J.; Polonius, F. A.; Roitzsch, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 2005, 358, 1225–1230.

<sup>(27)</sup> Zamora, F.; Sabat, M.; Lippert, B. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1998, 267, 87– 91.

<sup>(28)</sup> Konovalikhin, S. V.; Shilov, G. V.; Dyachenko, O. A.; Aldoshina, M. Z.; Lyubovskaya, R. N.; Lyubovskii, R. B. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, *Ser. Khim.* **1992**, 2323–2331.

<sup>(31)</sup> Dyachenko, O. A.; Konovalikhin, S. V.; Shilov, G. V.; Lyubovskaya, R. N.; Aldoshina, M. Z.; Lyubovskii, R. B. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, *Ser. Khim.* **1995**, 905–909.



Figure 11. One-dimensional double-chain (extended along the *a* axis) formed via H-bonding interactions in 6.



**Figure 12.** One-dimensional zigzag chain (extended along the c axis) formed by Hg···I secondary interactions in **7**.

the bridging Hg–Cl bond lengths range from 3.163(2) to 3.169(1) Å. These values are smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii of Hg<sup>2+</sup> and Cl<sup>-</sup> (3.300 Å).<sup>32</sup> The mean Hg···Hg contact [3.750(1) Å] is comparable to those of [Hg<sub>6</sub>-Cl<sub>8</sub>(SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>8</sub>]]Cl<sub>4</sub>·4H<sub>2</sub>O [3.776(1) and 3.797(1) Å] and [Hg<sub>9</sub>Br<sub>15</sub>(SCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>9</sub>](Cl<sub>0.8</sub>Br<sub>0.2</sub>)<sub>3</sub> [3.605(2) and 3.750-(1) Å].<sup>1m</sup>

On the other hand, the Tab–Tab dication has positive charges located on the NMe<sub>3</sub> groups. The S1–S2 bond length and the C1–S1–S2–C10 torsion angle of **9** are 2.034(3) Å and 81.5(3)°, respectively, which are similar to those in  $[C_8H_{22}N_2S_2]Cl_2$  {2.075(5) Å and 82.39(2)°;  $C_8H_{22}N_2S_2$  = bis-[2-(*N*,*N*-dimethylamino)ethyl]disulfide dihydrochloride}<sup>33</sup> and { $[C_{10}H_{10}N_2S_2][Cu_2Cl_6][BF_4]_2$ }, [2.034(3) Å and 81.5(3)°;  $C_{10}H_{10}N_2S_2$  = bis(4-pyridinium)disulfide].<sup>34</sup> In the crystal of **9**, the H-bonding interactions are also abundant. The Cl atoms of the cluster anions interact with the H atoms of the methyl group or phenyl groups of the Tab–Tab to afford complicated intermolecular H bonds, forming a three-dimensional H-bonded structure (see the Supporting Information).

Spectral Aspects of 1-10. Solids 1-10 are relatively stable toward oxygen and moisture. Compounds 1-10 are soluble in DMSO and DMF. The elemental analyses of 1-10 were consistent with their chemical formulas. The characteristic P–F stretching vibrations of PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup> at ca. 830 and ca. 555 cm<sup>-1</sup> were observed in the IR spectra of **1**–**3**, **6**, and **10**.<sup>10</sup> The peak at 1350 cm<sup>-1</sup> in **6** indicated the presence of the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> anion.<sup>35</sup> The peaks at 2079 and 2080 cm<sup>-1</sup> in **3** and **4** indicated the vibrations of the terminal SCN<sup>-</sup>, while the peak at 2114 cm<sup>-1</sup> in **8** was assigned to be the stretching vibration of the bridging SCN<sup>-</sup>.<sup>36</sup> The peak at 481 cm<sup>-1</sup> in **9** was assigned to be the S–S stretching vibration.<sup>34</sup> The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **1**–**10** in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub> at room temperature showed a multiplet for protons of Ph groups at 7.42–7.81 ppm and a singlet related to protons of NMe<sub>3</sub> at ca. 3.34 ppm.

As shown in Figure 15, the UV–vis spectra of 1 and 3–8 in DMF exhibited a strong and broad absorption ranging from 272 to 277 nm and a long absorption tail to ca. 400 nm. On the other hand, the spectra of 2 and 10 showed a broad peak at 287 nm for 2 or 284 nm for 10 and a shoulder peak at 312 nm for 2 or 314 nm for 10. Because the absorption spectrum of the free Tab in DMF had a broad absorption band at 320 nm, the peaks observed in the spectra of 1–8 and 10 were blue-shifted and may be due to the ligand(Tab)to-metal charge transfer (LMCT).<sup>1m,37a</sup> As discussed earlier in this paper, the structures of 2–4, 6–8, and 10 roughly keep the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  species of 1, although the related Hg atoms possess somewhat different coordination geometries when they bind to other donor atoms. Therefore, those peaks

(38) (a) Beltramini, M.; Lerch, K.; Vaslk, M. *Biochemistry* 1984, 23, 3422–3427. (b) Vaslk, M.; Kagi, J. H. R.; Hill, H. A. O. *Biochemistry* 1981, 20, 2852–2856. (c) Johnson, B. A.; Armitage, I. M. *Inorg. Chem.* 1987, 26, 3139–3144.

<sup>(32)</sup> Larock, R. C.; Burns, L. D.; Varaprath, S.; Russell, C. E.; Richardson, J. W.; Janakiraman, J. M. N.; Jacobson, R. A. Organometallics 1987, 6, 1780–1789.

<sup>(33)</sup> Ottersen, T.; Warner, L. G.; Seff, K. Acta Crystallogr. 1973, B29, 2954–2956.

<sup>(34)</sup> Blake, A. J.; Champness, N. R.; Cooke, P. A.; Nicolson, J. E. B. Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C. 1999, 55, 1422–1424.

<sup>(35) (</sup>a) Barron, P. F.; Dyason, J. C.; Healy, P. C.; Engelharelt, L. M.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1986**, 9, 1965–1970. (b) Nakamoto, K. Infrared Spectra of Inorganic and Coordination Compounds; Wiley: New York, 1963; p 92. (c) Lang, J. P.; Kawaguchi, H.; Tatsumi, K. J. Organomet. Chem. **1998**, 569, 109–119. (d) Catalan, K. J.; Zubkowski, J. D.; Perry, D. L.; Valente, E. J.; Feliu, L. A.; Polanco, A. Polyhedron **1995**, *14*, 2165–2170.

<sup>(36) (</sup>a) Cingolani, A.; Di Nicola, C.; Effendy, Pettinari, C.; Skelton, B. W.; Somers, N.; White, A. H. *Inorg. Chim. Acta* 2005, *358*, 748–762. (b) Thayer, J. S.; West, R. *Adv. Organomet. Chem.* 1967, *5*, 169–171. (c) Thayer, J. S.; Strommen, D. P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1966, *5*, 383–388.

<sup>(37) (</sup>a) Schaffer, A.; Williman, K.; Willner, H. J. Inorg. Biochem. 1989, 36, 186–192. (b) Watton, S. P.; Wright, J. G.; MacDonnell, F. M.; Bryson, J. W.; Sabat, M.; O'Halloran, T. V. J. Am. Soc. Chem. 1990, 112, 2824–2826. (c) Tamilarasan, R.; McMillin, D. R. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 25, 2037–2040.



Figure 13. One-dimensional zigzag chain (extended along the b axis) formed by Hg...N secondary interactions in 8.



Figure 14. Perspective view of 9 with 50% thermal ellipsoids. All H atoms were omitted for clarity.

Table 6. Selected Bond Distances (Å) and Angles (deg) for 9

Hg1-Cl1 Hg1-Cl2 Hg2-Cl5	2.372(2) 2.5335(15) 2.3046(16)	Hg1-Cl3 Hg1-Cl4 S1-S2	2.4891(15) 2.5393(15) 2.034(3)
Cl1-Hg1-Cl3 Cl3-Hg1-Cl2 Cl3-Hg1-Cl4 Cl5-Hg2-Cl5A	123.23(9) 101.95(5) 102.81(5) 180.0	Cl1-Hg1-Cl2 Cl1-Hg1-Cl4 Cl2-Hg1-Cl4	109.01(8) 115.70(7) 101.29(5)

observed in the spectra of 2-4, 6-8, and 10 were red-shifted relative to the peak of 1, which may be ascribed to the different coordination environments about the Hg atoms in these compounds. In addition, because the absorption spectrum of [Tab–Tab](PF<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub><sup>12</sup> in DMF had a broad absorption band at 271 nm, the peak at 273 nm observed in the spectrum of 9 in DMF probably originated from intraligand transitions (Table 7).

# Conclusion

In the work reported here, we have demonstrated a facile route to a series of new mercury(II) zwitterionic thiolate complexes (2–10) from a performed complex 1. Through coordination of Tab ligands or other donor ligands such as halides and pseudohalides, the Hg atom in the  $[Hg(Tab)_2]^{2+}$  dication of 1 may turn its linear coordination sphere (type I) into T-shaped (type II), trigonal-planar (type III), seesaw-shaped (type IV), and tetrahedral (type V) coordination geometry. Meanwhile, compound 1 may further combine other Hg atoms to form polynuclear complexes (e.g., **6**–**8**) via the bridging ability of the S atoms of the two Tab ligands or through the Hg–X (X = I<sup>-</sup>, SCN<sup>-</sup>) contacts. The Hg<sup>II</sup> electron deficiency in mercury dithiolate complexes may be readily remediated by binding to another donor ligand, which may be attributed to the formation of mercury dithiolate

**Table 7.** Principal Electronic Transitions in 1-10, Tab and  $[Tab-Tab](PF_{6})_{2}$  and Other Mercury(II) Thiolate Compounds

Hg compounds	$\lambda_{\rm max}$ , nm	ref
[Hg6Cl8(SCH2CH2NH3)8]]Cl4•4H2O	273	1m
$[Hg_9Br_{15}(SCH_2CH_2NH_3)_9](Cl_{0.8}Br_{0.2})_3$	268, 339 (sh) <sup>b</sup>	1m
$[Hg(1-Cys)_4 \text{ peptide}]^a$	280	37a
[Hg(SEt) <sub>2</sub> ]	228, 282 (sh)	37b
$[Hg(Pr^i)_2]$	228, 262 (sh)	37b
$[Et_4N][Hg(SBu')_3]$	235, 260 (sh)	37b
Hg-MerR <sup>c</sup>	240, 260 (sh),	37b
	290 (sh)	
Hg-plastocynanin	247, 280 (sh)	37c
neurospora Hg <sub>3</sub> -MT <sup>d</sup>	283	38a
Hg <sub>7</sub> -MT	304	38b, 38c
1	272 <sup>e</sup>	this work
2	287, <sup>f</sup> 312 (sh)	this work
3	275 <sup>g</sup>	this work
4	271 <sup>h</sup>	this work
5	273 <sup>i</sup>	this work
6	273 <sup>j</sup>	this work
7	$274^{k}$	this work
8	$277^{l}$	this work
9	273 <sup>m</sup>	this work
10	284, <sup>n</sup> 314(sh)	this work
Tab	320,º 267 (sh)	this work
$[Tab-Tab][PF_6]_2$	$271^{p}$	this work

<sup>*a*</sup> l-Cys = L-cysteine. <sup>*b*</sup> sh = shoulder. <sup>*c*</sup> MerR = metalloregulatory protein. <sup>*d*</sup> MT = metallothionein. <sup>*e*</sup> 1.25 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*f*</sup> 2.58 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*s*</sup> 1.22 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*h*</sup> 1.22 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*i*</sup> 7.72 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*j*</sup> 2.68 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*k*</sup> 1.44 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*l*</sup> 5.86 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*m*</sup> 9.68 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*n*</sup> 2.54 × 10<sup>-5</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*n*</sup> 1.25 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF. <sup>*n*</sup> 1.25 × 10<sup>-4</sup> M in DMF.



Figure 15. Absorption spectra of 1-10, Tab, and  $[Tab-Tab](PF_6)_2$  in DMF with a 1-mm optical length.

complexes 1-10. These results in this paper may provide insight into the varieties of coordination spheres of the Hg sites in metallothioneins. Furthermore, it is expected that 1may also be a good precursor for the generation of electronic, magnetic, and optical materials of heterobimetallic compounds such as lanthanide/mercury compounds.<sup>16</sup> Research is continuing in these areas.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the NNSF of China (Grants 20271036 and 20525101), the NSF of Jiangsu Province (Grant BK2004205), the Key Laboratory of Structural Chemistry of FJIRSM (Grant 030066), the Key Laboratory of Organic Synthesis of Jiangsu Province (Grant JSK001), and the Scientific Research Foundation for the

Returned Overseas Chinese Scholars, State Education Ministry of China. The authors highly appreciate the useful suggestions of the reviewers.

**Supporting Information Available:** Crystallographic data of compounds 1-10 (CIF) and cell packing diagrams highlighting Hg-X (X = F, I, O, S, etc.) secondary and H-bonding interactions (in PDF format). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

IC051875N