Facile formation of iodocyclobutenes by a ruthenium-catalyzed enyne cycloisomerization[†]

Alois Fürstner,* Andreas Schlecker and Christian W. Lehmann

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 13th June 2007, Accepted 25th July 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 9th August 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b708903a

Enynes bearing an iodide (bromide) at their alkyne terminus react with catalytic amounts of $[Cp*Ru(MeCN)_3]PF_6$ in DMF to give strained iodo(bromo)cyclobutene derivatives in good to excellent yields.

During our ongoing investigations on noble metal catalyzed skeletal rearrangement reactions,^{1,2} we noticed that the brominated enyne **1**, on treatment with catalytic amounts of AuCl in toluene at 80 °C, furnished the tricyclic bromocyclobutene derivative **2** in 87% yield (Scheme 1). However, this particular outcome remained unique and analogous cyclizations of related substrates could not be achieved under the chosen conditions.^{3,4}

Despite the many impressive advances in the field of gold and platinum catalysis, a mechanistic analysis shows that such transformations usually exploit the pronounced carbophilicity of these noble metals but do not involve conventional redox cycles.⁵ Cyclobutene **2**, however, likely originates from an oxidative cyclization of the enyne followed by reductive elimination of the resulting metallacycle. Therefore it seemed reasonable to focus on more redox active transition metals in the quest for a catalyst system that allows the scope of this novel mode of cycloisomerization to be extended.

Metallacyclic intermediates are believed to dominate the behavior of CpRu(II) and Cp*Ru(II) templates.^{6,7} In line with this notion, [Cp*Ru(MeCN)₃]PF₆ (4), a readily prepared and also commercially available complex with a truly remarkable track record in catalysis,^{6–10} turned out to effect cycloisomerizations of this kind with exceptional ease. As shown in Scheme 2, the model substrate 3 converts within minutes at ambient temperature into the desired iodocyclobutene 5 when exposed to catalytic amounts of 4 in THF or DMF as the preferred solvents, presumably *via* a metallacycle of type A as the key reactive intermediate. Product 5 was isolated in excellent yield, provided that either neutral alumina or Florisil[®] were used as stationary phase for flash



Scheme 1 Lead finding on a novel mode of enyne cycloisomerization.

Max-Planck-Institut für Kohlenforschung, D-45470, Mülheim/Ruhr, Germany. E-mail: fuerstner@mpi-muelheim.mpg.de; Fax: +49 208 306 2994; Tel: +49 208 306 2342



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (a) complex 4 (5 mol%), RT, 94% (DMF), 75% (THF).

chromatography; in pure form, the compound is stable for weeks when kept cold (-18 °C). The constitution of this and related products was unambiguously proven by extensive NMR investigations (see ESI†). The structure of the amide analogue **16** in the solid state‡ is depicted in Fig. 1, which represents the first example of a tricyclic skeleton of this type.

The selected examples compiled in Tables 1 and 2 illustrate the scope of the method. Specifically, enynes incorporating an ether or an amido group in the tether between the olefin and the haloalkyne unit are converted with high efficiency into the corresponding cyclobutenes, irrespective of whether the backbone of the substrates is aromatic or aliphatic; purely carbocyclic products form equally well (entry 10, Table 1). The fact that not only the produced alkenyl iodide but also a pre-existing aryl bromide entity (entries 7 and 8, Table 1) is compatible with the reaction conditions shows that oxidative insertion of the catalyst into such reactive C–X bonds does not compete with the proposed



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of 16 from single-crystal X-ray structure determination. Anisotropic displacement parameter ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability and hydrogen atoms have been omitted.

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental part, including analytical and spectroscopic data of all new compounds. See DOI: 10.1039/b708903a

Entry	Product		Yield (%)
1	MeO	6	81 (X = I)
2		7	78 (X = Br) ^c
3		8	0 (X = Cl)
4	MeO	9	45
56	×	5	94 (X = I)
	OMe	10	43 (X = Br)
7	Br	11	90 (X = I)
8		12	89 (X = Br) ^c
9	Ph	13	86
10		14	82 (X = CH_2) ^{b,c}
11		15	88 (X = NTs)
12		16	74 (X = NAc)
13		17	63

Table 1Cycloisomerization of 1-haloenynes with aromatic back-
bones into iodo(bromo)cyclobutene derivatives catalyzed by complex
 $\mathbf{4}^a$

 a Unless stated otherwise, all reactions were carried out with 5 mol% of 4 in DMF at ambient temperature. b In THF. c 4 (10 mol%).

oxidative cyclization pathway thought to be responsible for the formation of the cyclobutene ring.



A comparison of entries 1, 4 and 5 in Table 1, however, indicates a subtle effect of the substrate's electronic properties on the efficiency of cyclization. The view that more electron rich substrates seem to be preferred is supported by the fact that enyne 23 carrying an electron withdrawing nitro group essentially failed to afford the corresponding cyclobutene, whereas acrylate 24 was decomposed. It is also important to note that the substituent on the alkyne plays a pre-eminent role in the cyclization process. Thus, alkynyl bromides tend to react less readily than the corresponding iodides and require a higher catalyst loading to reach full conversion (entries 2, 6 and 8, Table 1). Even more striking is the difference between alkynyl iodides and alkynyl

Table 2	Cycloisomerization	of	1-iodoenynes	with	aliphatic	back-
bones int	o the corresponding	iodo	ocyclobutene d	erivati	ives cataly	zed by
complex	4^{a}					

Entry	Product		Yield (%)
1 2	, x	18 19	63 (X = O) 63 (X = NTs
3	H H H	20	70
4		21	79
5		22	$60 + 20^{b}$

^{*a*} All reactions were carried out with 5 mol% of **4** in DMF at ambient temperature. ^{*b*} 60% of major isomer (depicted) + 20% of diastereomer.

chlorides, as evident from a comparison of entries 1 and 3 in Table 1. While cyclobutenyl iodide 6 was obtained in excellent yield, the analogous chloride 8 would not form under the standard conditions. Likewise, enynes 25–27 featuring either a terminal or an internal alkyne unit remained unchanged. The exact role exerted by the iodine moiety, however, which is obviously not merely electronic in nature, remains to be elucidated.

Even though the cyclobutenyl iodides produced by this novel route are fairly labile due to the strain inherent to their polycyclic frames,¹¹ they are amenable to further functionalization (Scheme 3). This includes conventional palladium catalyzed cross couplings as exemplified by the Sonogashira reaction¹² affording compound 28 and the Suzuki coupling¹³ leading to 29, which was performed according to the "9-MeO-9-BBN-variant"14 of this venerable transformation. Gratifyingly, iodides 6 and 15 also react with Grignard reagents under iron catalysis as a benign alternative to the established methods for cross coupling.15-18 BuLi alkylates substrates of this type even without any further additive, although the yield was low in the case of product 32 (n = 1). Subsequent electrocyclic ring opening afforded diene 33 that is amenable to further manipulation, suggesting that the novel mode of enyne cycloisomerization described herein may pave the way to a host of valuable product structures and should therefore qualify for (diversity oriented) synthetic endeavours. Some possibilities along these lines are presently pursued in this laboratory and will be reported in due course.

Generous financial support by the MPG and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Dr R. Mynott and Ms P. Philipps for expert NMR assistance and Umicore AG & Co KG, Hanau, for a gift of noble metal salts.



Scheme 3 Reagents and conditions: (a) Me₃SiC=CH, CuI (20 mol%), (Ph₃P)₂PdCl₂ (10 mol%), Et₃N, 77%; (b) PhMgBr, 9-MeO-9-BBN, (dppf)PdCl₂ (5 mol%), Ph₃As (10 mol%), THF, 60–80 °C, 56%; (c) MeMgBr, [(C₂H₄)₄Fe][Li(tmeda)]₂ (10 mol%), THF, -20 °C, 55%; (d) *n*BuLi, THF-Et₂O, -78 °C \rightarrow RT, 72%; (e) hexylmagnesium bromide, [(C₂H₄)₄Fe][Li(tmeda)]₂ (10 mol%), THF, -20 °C, 51% (*n* = 3); (f) *n*BuLi, THF-Et₂O, -78 °C \rightarrow RT, 31% (*n* = 1); (g) toluene, reflux, 88%.

Notes and references

‡ Crystal data for **16**: [C₁₃H₁₂INO], $M_r = 325.14$, colourless, crystal size: 0.03 × 0.05 × 0.07 mm; monoclinic, space group Cc (no. 9), a = 24.4838(8), b = 8.0709(3), c = 12.1166(4) Å, $\beta = 104.469(2)^\circ$, U = 2318.4(1) Å³, T = 100 K, Z = 8, $D_c = 1.86$ g cm⁻³, F(000) = 1264, Bruker-AXS X8-Proteum diffractometer, λ (Cu-K α) = 1.54178 Å, $\mu = 21.52$ mm⁻¹, 9921 measured and 2821 independent reflections ($R_{int} = 0.037$), 2474 with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, $\theta_{max} = 63.26^\circ$, apparent $T_{min/max} = 0.4362$ (SADABS), direct methods (SHELXS-97) and least-squares refinement (SHELXL-97) on F_o^{-2} , programs from G. Sheldrick, University of Göttingen, 1997. Two crystallographically independent molecules. Chebyshev type weights, 290 parameters, $R_1 = 0.032$ ($I > 2\sigma(I)$), $wR_2 = 0.081$ (all data), $\Delta \rho_{max/min} = 1.5/-0.7$ e Å⁻³ (1.0 Å from I2/0.95 Å from I1). CCDC 650426. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/b708903a

- (a) A. Fürstner, H. Szillat, B. Gabor and R. Mynott, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, **120**, 8305; (b) A. Fürstner, H. Szillat and F. Stelzer, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, **122**, 6785; (c) A. Fürstner, F. Stelzer and H. Szillat, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, **123**, 11863; (d) A. Fürstner and V. Mamane, J. Org. Chem., 2002, **67**, 6264; (e) A. Fürstner and V. Mamane, Chem. Commun, 2003, 2112; (f) V. Mamane, T. Gress, H. Krause and A. Fürstner, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, **126**, 8654; (g) V. Mamane, P. Hannen and A. Fürstner, Chem. Eur. J., 2004, **10**, 4556; (h) A. Fürstner and P. Hannen, Chem. Commun, 2004, 2546; (i) A. Fürstner and P. W. Davies, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 15024; (j) A. Fürstner and P. Hannen, Chem. Eur. J., 2006, **12**, 7398; (l) A. Fürstner, E. K. Heilmann and P. W. Davies, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, **46**, 4760.
- 2 A. Fürstner, R. Martin and K. Majima, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 12236.
- 3 For a unique example of a non-halogenated enyne being converted into a related cyclobutene derivative with the aid of PtBr₂, see: G. B. Bajracharya, I. Nakamura and Y. Yamamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 892.
- 4 For conceptionally different approaches to cyclobutenes based on skeletal rearrangements reactions, see: (a) A. Fürstner, P. W. Davies and

T. Gress, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 8244; (b) A. Fürstner and C. Aïssa, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, **128**, 6306.

- Selected reviews: (a) A. Fürstner and P. W. Davies, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 3410; (b) C. Nieto-Oberhuber, S. López, E. Jiménez-Núñez and A. M. Echavarren, Chem. Eur. J., 2006, 12, 5916; (c) C. Bruneau, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 2328; (d) G. C. Lloyd-Jones, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2003, 1, 215; (e) A. S. K. Hashmi and G. ><J. Hutchings, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 7896; (f) M. Méndez, V. Mamane and A. Fürstner, Chemtracts, 2003, 16, 397; (g) D. J. Gorin and F. D. Toste, Nature, 2007, 446, 395.
- 6 Reviews: (a) B. M. Trost, F. D. Toste and A. B. Pinkerton, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 2067; (b) Ruthenium Catalysts and Fine Chemistry, *Topics in Organometallic Chemistry*, ed. C. Bruneau and P. H. Dixneuf, Springer, Berlin, 2004, vol. 11; (c) for leading references, see the following and literature cited therein: B. M. Trost and F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 5025; (d) B. M. Trost, J.-P. Surivet and F. D. Toste, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **24**, 872.
- 7 (a) C. Aubert, O. Buisine and M. Malacria, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 813;
 (b) M. Lautens, W. Klute and W. Tam, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 49.
- For related intermolecular [2 + 2] cycloaddition reactions between (halo)alkynes and strained olefins catalyzed by various ruthenium complexes, see: (a) T. Mitsudo, Y. Hori and Y. Watanabe, J. >< Organomet. Chem., 1987, 334, 157; (b) T. Mitsudo, K. Kokuryo, T. Shinsugi, Y. Nakagawa, Y. Watanabe and Y. Takegami, J. Org. Chem., 1979, 44, 4492; (c) T. Mitsudo, H. Naruse, T. Kondo, Y. Ozaki and Y. Watanabe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 580; (d) R. ><W. Jordan, K. Villeneuve and W. Tam, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 5830; (e) R. W. Jordan, P. R. Khoury, J. D. Goddard and W. Tam, J. >< Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 8467; (f) K. Villeneuve and W. Tam, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 610; (g) N. Riddell and W. Tam, J. Org. Chem., 2006, 71, 1934; (h) K. Villeneuve and W. Tam, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 843; (i) A. Tenaglia and L. Giordano, Synlett, 2003, 2333; (j) P. Alvarez, J. Gimeno, E. Lastra, S. Garcia-Grande, J. F. van der Maelen and M. Bassetti, Organometallics, 2001, 20, 3762; (k) C. ><S. Yi, D. W. Lee and Y. Chen, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 2043, and literature cited therein.
- 9 For a previous use of catalyst 4 by this group, see: (a) A. Fürstner and K. Radkowski, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2182; (b) F. Lacombe, K. Radkowski, G. Seidel and A. Fürstner, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, 60, 7315.
- 10 For the preparation of 4, see: (a) T. P. Gill and K. R. Mann, Organometallics, 1982, 1, 485; (b) B. Steinmetz and W. A. Schenk, Organometallics, 1999, 18, 943.
- 11 General survey on cyclobutenes: Carbocyclic Three- and Fourmembered Ring Compounds, ed. A. de Meijere, *Methods of Organic Chemistry (Houben–Weyl)*, Thieme, Stuttgart, 1997, vol. E17f.
- 12 K. Sonogashira, in *Metal-catalyzed Cross-coupling Reactions*, ed. F. ><Diederich and P. J. Stang, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 1998, p. 203.</p>
- (a) N. Miyaura and A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev., 1995, 95, 2457; (b)
 A. Suzuki, J. Organomet. Chem., 1999, 576, 147.
- 14 (a) A. Fürstner and G. Seidel, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 11165; (b) J. ><A. Soderquist, K. Matos, A. Rane and J. Ramos, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1995, **36**, 2401; (c) recent applications: A. Fürstner, E. Kattnig and O. Lepage, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 9194; (d) A. Fürstner, M. ><M. Domostoj and B. Scheiper, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 8087; (e) A. Fürstner and L. Turet, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed*, 2005, **44**, 3462; (f) O. Lepage, E. Kattnig and A. Fürstner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 15970; (g) A. Fürstner, D. De Souza, L. Turet, M. D. B. Fenster, L. Parra-Rapado, C. Wirtz, R. Mynott and C. W. Lehmann, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 115.
- 15 A. Fürstner and R. Martin, Chem. Lett., 2005, 34, 624.
- 16 (a) J. K. Kochi, Acc. Chem. Res., 1974, 7, 351; (b) G. Cahiez and H. Avedissian, Synthesis, 1998, 1199.
- 17 (a) A. Fürstner, A. Leitner, M. Méndez and H. Krause, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2002, 124, 13856; (b) A. Fürstner and A. Leitner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2002, 41, 609; (c) B. Scheiper, M. Bonnekessel, H. Krause and A. Fürstner, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 3943; (d) G. Seidel, D. Laurich and A. Fürstner, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 3950; (e) R. Martin and A. Fürstner, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed, 2004, 43, 3955.
- 18 A. Fürstner, H. Krause and C. W. Lehmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2006, 45, 440.