

Article

Efficient Conversion of CO₂ to CO Using Tin and other Inexpensive and Easily Prepared Post-Transition Metal Catalysts

Jonnathan Medina-Ramos, Rachel C. Pupillo, Thomas P. Keane, John L DiMeglio, and Joel Rosenthal

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/ja5121088 • Publication Date (Web): 19 Feb 2015

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 23, 2015

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



ACS Publications
High quality. High impact.

Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society, 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036
Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

Efficient Conversion of CO₂ to CO Using Tin and other Inexpensive and Easily Prepared Post-Transition Metal Catalysts

Jonnathan Medina-Ramos, Rachel C. Pupillo, Thomas P. Keane, John L. DiMeglio and Joel Rosenthal*

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Delaware, Newark, DE, 19716

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The development of affordable electrocatalysts that can drive the reduction of CO₂ to CO with high selectivity, efficiency and large current densities is a critical step on the path to production of liquid carbon-based fuels. In this work, we show that inexpensive triflate salts of Sn²⁺, Pb²⁺, Bi³⁺ and Sb³⁺ can be used as precursors for the electrodeposition of CO₂ reduction cathode materials from MeCN solutions, providing a general and facile electrodeposition strategy, which streamlines catalyst synthesis. The ability of these four platforms to drive the formation of CO from CO₂ in the presence of [BMIM]OTf was probed. The electrochemically prepared Sn and Bi catalysts proved to be highly active, selective and robust platforms for CO evolution, with partial current densities of $j_{\text{CO}} = 5 - 8 \text{ mA/cm}^2$ at applied overpotentials of $\eta < 250 \text{ mV}$. By contrast, the electrodeposited Pb and Sb catalysts do not promote rapid CO generation with the same level of selectivity. The Pb-material is only ~10% as active as the Sn and Bi systems at an applied potential of $E = -1.95 \text{ V}$ and is rapidly passivated during catalysis. The Sb-comprised cathode material shows no activity for conversion of CO₂ to CO under analogous conditions. When taken together, this work demonstrates that 1,3-dialkylimidazoliums can promote CO production, but only when used in combination with an appropriately chosen electrocatalyst material. More broadly, these results suggest that the interactions between CO₂, the imidazolium promoter and the cathode surface are all critical to the observed catalysis.

INTRODUCTION

The generation of CO via the $2\text{e}^-/2\text{H}^+$ reduction of CO₂ is a reaction of fundamental importance to the field of molecular energy conversion.^{1,2} This electrochemical half reaction produces an energy rich commodity chemical that is a key starting material for the synthesis of methanol,³⁻⁵ as well as organic acids,⁶⁻⁸ aldehydes⁹ and alcohols.¹⁰ Moreover, carbon monoxide is the critical C₁ feedstock for Fischer-Tropsch (FT)¹¹⁻¹³ and electrolytic methods^{14,15} that deliver synthetic petroleum, hydrocarbons and oxygenates. As such, the

efficient production of CO from non-petroleum resources is a critical step toward the sustainable production of liquid fuels.¹⁶ One promising strategy to accomplish this goal is the marriage of renewable sources of electric energy with cathode materials that are robust and can promote the conversion of CO₂ to CO with high selectivity (i.e., Faradaic efficiency (FE_{CO})) and activity (i.e., partial current density (j_{CO}) at low applied overpotentials (η)).

Several cathode materials are known to catalyze the conversion of CO₂ to CO,^{17,18} however, until recently, only precious metals such as Ag¹⁹⁻²¹ and Au²²⁻²⁴ could promote this process with Faradaic Efficiencies (FEs) above 80%, while displaying high current density (competent rate) at modest overpotentials.²⁵ Since the exorbitant price of these precious metals is incompatible with schemes to produce renewable fuels on a commercial scale, the search for new inexpensive materials that drive CO evolution with reasonable activity at low overpotential is a critical step on the path to the sustainable production of carbon-based fuels from renewable energy sources.

Although most inexpensive metallic cathodes typically require very large overpotentials in order to activate CO₂,²⁶ our laboratory recently demonstrated that an economical bismuth-based material could promote the electrochemical conversion of CO₂ to CO with high efficiency and selectivity.²⁷ This Bismuth-Carbon Monoxide Evolving Catalyst (Bi-CMEC) was prepared using a straightforward electrodeposition method to produce a robust Bi⁰/Bi^{III} containing material on conducting carbon substrates from strongly acidic aqueous solutions containing low concentrations of Bi³⁺ salts.

Efficient CO production was realized with this Bi-modified carbon electrode in CO₂ saturated MeCN containing millimolar concentrations of a 1,3-dialkyl substituted imidazolium based ionic liquid (IL) promoter such as 1-butyl-3-methylimidazolium triflate ([BMIM]OTf).²⁷ Under these conditions, the Bi-CMEC/IL system was found to drive CO production with high selectivity (FE_{CO} > 80%) and impressive kinetics ($j_{\text{CO}} = 5 - 25 \text{ mA/cm}^2$) at an applied cathode potential of -1.95 to -2.0 V versus SCE. As has been observed for other




Figure 1. SEM images of electrodeposited cathode materials derived from (a) $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$, (b) $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$, (c) $\text{Pb}(\text{OTf})_2$, and (d) $\text{Sb}(\text{OTf})_3$.

heterogeneous^{28–30} and homogeneous³¹ systems for CO_2 electrocatalysis, the presence of the imidazolium IL promoter in the electrolyte solution significantly improves the activity for CO evolution using Bi-CMEC. The promotion effect was observed using $[\text{BMIM}]^+$ ILs comprised of a number of common anions including OTf^- , PF_6^- , BF_4^- , and halides.³²

In addition to being able to form Bi-CMEC modified electrodes from acidic aqueous solutions, this catalyst platform can also be prepared via in-situ electrodeposition methods from $\text{MeCN}/[\text{BMIM}]^+$ electrolyte solutions that contain low concentrations of $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$.³² The ability to electrodeposit Bi-CMEC from non-caustic organic solutions streamlines preparation and study of the material while enabling the use of conducting supports that normally would not tolerate the strongly acidic media required for aqueous electrodeposition. Building on this previous work, we wondered whether bismuth, as well as tin and other inexpensive post-transition metals could be prepared ex-situ from an organic catholyte and promote CO evolution using the same $\text{MeCN}/[\text{BMIM}]^+$ electrolyte system that had been successfully employed with the Bi-CMEC platform. We were also curious to see if the imidazolium additive would ensure efficient CO_2 activation irrespective of the post-transition metal cathode material employed, or if cathode materials other than bismuth would display distinct activities and reactivity profiles for CO_2 reduction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Thin film materials based upon bismuth, tin, lead and antimony were prepared using a facile electrodeposition method. Polarization of an inert glassy carbon or nickel working electrode at potentials between -1.25 and -1.55 V versus SCE (all potentials are reported with respect to this reference) in an MeCN solution containing 0.1 M TBAPF_6 and 20 mM of either $\text{Bi}(\text{OTf})_3$, $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$, $\text{Pb}(\text{OTf})_2$ or $\text{Sb}(\text{OTf})_3$ lead to electrodeposition of dark-colored films onto the inert electrode substrate. Thin films for each of the above p-block metal triflate salts were electrodeposited to a total thickness of 1.0 C/ cm^2 and were analyzed by a combination of physical methods.

The morphology of each of the electrodeposited materials was probed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM), as shown in Figure 1. The electrodeposited Bi based material is visualized as an amorphous granular material (Figure 1a), which bears some resemblance to the electrochemically prepared Sb-based deposits (Figure 1d). The other two electrodeposited materials have a unique appearance under magnification. The Sn-based electrodeposits appear as micrometer sized crystallites that bear resemblance to the fronds of a fern, while the electrodeposited Pb-material is visualized as an array of striated clusters.




Figure 2. High-resolution XPS spectra recorded for (a) Bi $4f_{7/2}$ region of the Bi-modified electrode, (b) Sn $3d_{5/2}$ region of the Sn-modified electrode, (c) Pb $4f_{7/2}$ region of the Pb-modified electrode, and (d) Sb $3d_{5/2}$ region of the Sb-modified electrode.

The elemental composition of each of the materials of Figure 1 was analyzed using energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy. EDX analysis was performed on $40 \times 40 \mu\text{m}^2$ regions of multiple independently prepared samples of each post-transition metal modified electrode. (Figure S1). This analysis revealed that each electrodeposited material contained the respective post-transition metal along with oxygen, fluorine and phosphorus (presumably from the CF_3SO_3^- and PF_6^- in the electrodeposition baths). The surface of each material was also analyzed by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS), which identified O, F and the corresponding post-transition metal as the principal elemental components of the deposited materials, along with trace amounts of S, N and P from CF_3SO_3^- , Bu_4N^+ and PF_6^- ions that were incorporated into the electrodeposited films (Figures S2 – S5).

Closer inspection of XPS spectra for the Bi, Sn, Pb and Sb regions of the four different modified electrodes showed that the major post-transition metal component for each material does not exist in the metallic state (Figure 2). For example, the high-resolution Bi $4f_{7/2}$ spectrum recorded for the Bi-modified electrode was fitted to two components at 159.4 and 157.6 eV that correspond to Bi^{3+} and Bi^0 , respectively.³³ The ratio of these two components was $94:6$, indicating that the overwhelming majority of the surface of the electrodeposited Bi-material exists in an oxidized state (Figure S2). A similar situation was observed for the other

Table 1. Faradaic efficiencies (FE) and current densities for electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to CO at an applied potential of −1.95 V vs. SCE in MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf.

Electrode	$E_{\text{appl}} (\eta)$	$j_{\text{tot}} (\text{mA}/\text{cm}^2)$	FE _{CO}	FE _{H₂}	FE _{HCO₂H}	$j_{\text{co}} (\text{mA}/\text{cm}^2)$
Bi	−1.95 V (~200 mV)	10.1 ± 2.1	78 ± 5%	—	—	8.4 ± 1.7
Sn	−1.95 V (~200 mV)	7.2 ± 1.9	77 ± 5%	—	5 ± 1%	5.0 ± 1.8
Sb	−1.95 V (~200 mV)	0.5 ± 0.1	—	30 ± 20%	29 ± 21%	—
Pb	−1.95 V (~200 mV)	1.0 ± 0.4	40 ± 12%	11 ± 6%	31 ± 17%	0.4 ± 0.2
Pb	−2.05 V (~300 mV)	5.0 ± 1.0	81 ± 5%	—	—	4.1 ± 0.9




Figure 3. (a) Linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) and (b) total current density profiles recorded for electrodeposited cathode materials in CO₂ saturated MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf. Current density plots for which no potential is listed were recorded at −1.95 V versus SCE.

three materials surveyed. The respective high-resolution XPS spectra recorded for the Sn-modified electrode showed two peaks in the Sn 3d_{5/2} region at 487.0 and 484.9 eV (Figure S3), which correspond to Sn^{2+/4+} and Sn⁰ in a ratio of 86:14.³³ This composition is similar to that observed to electrodeposited Sn/SnOx films prepared from aqueous solutions.³⁴

High-resolution XPS spectra recorded for the Sb-modified electrode showed two peaks in the Sb 3d_{5/2} region at 530.5 and 528.1 eV (Figure S4), which correspond to Sb³⁺ and Sb⁰ in a ratio of 81:19.³³ Lastly, high-resolution XPS spectra recorded for the Pb-modified electrode showed only a single peak in the Pb 4f_{7/2} region at 139.0 eV (Figure S5), which corresponds to Pb^{2+/4+}.³³ XPS did not show any evidence for metallic lead on the surface of the electrodeposited Pb-material. When taken together, the EDX and XPS analyses indicate that the surface of the the post-transition metal materials shown in Figure 1 that are electrodeposited from solutions of the appropriate M(OTf)_n salts in MeCN containing TBAPF₆, contain little to none of the metallic (M⁰) p-block element. Instead the modified electrodes are coated in films that are largely comprised of Mⁿ⁺ ions that have incorporated a

significant amount of oxygen and fluoride to balance the charge of the metal ions.

The ability of each of the electrodeposited materials to electrochemically activate CO₂ was assessed in MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf. This solvent/IL combination supports a large electrochemical window and was previously employed for CO₂ conversion studies involving Bi-CMEC.^{27,32} As shown in Figure 3, linear sweep voltammograms (LSVs) recorded in CO₂ saturated MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf using glassy carbon or nickel electrodes modified with the four materials described above, results in polarization curves with onset potentials that vary from approximately −1.8 to −1.95 V.

Of the four electrodeposited films, the Bi-based material shows the earliest onset potential of ~ −1.8 V, followed by Sn and Sb at approximately −1.85 V and Pb at −1.95 V. LSVs recorded under the same conditions but in the absence of CO₂ do not show any appreciable rise in current (Figures S6 – S9). This observation is consistent with the large current responses shown in Figure 3 being due to CO₂ activation/conversion at each of the modified cathode assemblies.

Although the ability of Bi-CMEC to drive the electrocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CO has been demonstrated, the effect that oxygen and fluorine incorporation into the Bi-based cathode material might have on the ability of this platform to promote CO₂ conversion was not clear. Moreover, the ability of Sn and Sb-based materials to promote the electrochemical reduction of CO₂ to CO in MeCN/IL electrolytes had not been previously ascertained. In order to establish that the electrochemical responses shown for the cathode materials of Figure 1 correspond to conversion of CO₂ to CO, controlled potential electrolysis (CPE) experiments were carried out for CO₂ saturated solutions of MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf. Given that both the electrodeposited Bi and Sn materials showed similar onset potentials in the presence of the IL promoter at approximately −1.8 V, we initially probed the ability of these two cathode materials to promote CO₂ reduction at −1.95 V. Since these electrochemical experiments were conducted under conditions of low proton availability, the equilibrium potential ($E^{\circ}_{\text{CO}_2/\text{CO}}$) for conversion of CO₂ to CO under the MeCN/[BMIM]⁺ catholyte conditions employed in this study can be computed as is described in the Supporting Information³⁵ and previous studies.^{27,32} We note that the value of $E^{\circ}_{\text{CO}_2/\text{CO}}$ under the conditions employed in this study, is within 100 mV of the catalytic onset potentials observed for CO₂ reduction by the Bi- and Sn-based materials.

Upon initiating CPE experiments at −1.95 V for electrodes modified with the Bi, Sn, Pb or Sb materials described above, the reaction headspace was periodically analyzed by gas chromatography. This analysis showed that for the Bi and Sn

modified electrodes, CO was the only gaseous product formed during the electrolysis experiment, with no detected coproduction of H₂, CH₄ or other small hydrocarbons. Quantification of the gas produced showed that polarization of the Bi and Sn materials at -1.95 V leads to the evolution of CO with FEs of 78% and 77%, respectively. Under these CPE conditions, these materials were found to promote the 2e⁻/2H⁺ conversion of CO₂ to CO with appreciable partial current densities that were measured to be $j_{\text{CO}} = 8.4 \pm 1.7$ mA/cm² for Bi and $j_{\text{CO}} = 5.0 \pm 1.8$ mA/cm² for Sn (Table 1).

Analysis of the catholyte solutions at the end of the CPE experiments by NMR showed coproduction of a small amount of formate (FE ~5%) using the Sn-modified electrode, however, no oxalate or glyoxalate was detected. Non-volatile CO₂ reduction products were not observed in the catholyte solutions following CPE experiments that employed the Bi-modified electrodes. That these carboxylate containing products are not formed to any significant extent using the Bi- and Sn-modified electrodes is noteworthy, as these species are often the dominant products observed upon reduction of CO₂ in organic catholytes.^{37,38} Moreover, although formic acid is often the major product obtained upon reduction of CO₂ with cathodes based upon Bi³⁹ or Sn,⁴⁰⁻⁴⁴ formation of this competing 2e⁻/2H⁺ CO₂ reduction side product is overwhelmingly suppressed upon CPE of CO₂ using the electrodeposited Bi- and Sn-materials in MeCN/[BMIM]⁺ catholyte solutions (Table 1).

When considering the product distribution outlined for the Bi- and Sn-materials in Table 1, roughly 20% of the charge passed during the CPE experiments is unaccounted for. This disparity may be due to formation of non-volatile CO₂ reduction products at levels that are below our detection limits. For example, recent work has demonstrated that copper electrodes can promote the conversion of CO₂ to a slew of highly reduced C₂ and C₃ products, such as glycoaldehyde, acetaldehyde, acetate and propionaldehyde, among others.⁴⁵ For the case of copper, and possibly for the cathode materials described here, each of these products are formed with current efficiencies below 1%. However, since formation of such highly reduced compounds requires the consumption of anywhere from 6-20 electron equivalents, a modest fraction of the overall catalytic current may be diverted to drive the formation of negligible amounts of C₂ or C₃ products. Additional unaccounted charge may be coopted to drive reduction of the oxidized Bi and Sn-materials during the CPE experiments to generate catalyst films with greater proportions of the post-transition metal elements in the metallic state under in-situ conditions.

Repetition of the above CPE experiments under an atmosphere of N₂ leads to negligible current densities for both the Bi- and Sn-materials (Figures S6 and S7). Further, CO was not formed under these conditions, indicating that the product obtained under CO₂ is not derived from the electrochemical decomposition of the [BMIM]⁺ electrolyte. Similarly, repeating the above CPE experiments under an atmosphere of CO₂ but while using a more conventional organic electrolyte such as TBAPF₆ in place of [BMIM]OTf, results in little charge being passed and an overwhelming decrease in the FE for CO production (Figures S6 – S7, Table S1). When taken together, these experiments establish that the imidazolium ([BMIM]⁺) is critical to the observed electrocatalysis and promotes the selective production of CO

from CO₂ with competent kinetics at both Bi- and Sn-based cathodes.

Upon establishing that the Bi- and Sn-modified electrodes could promote the rapid conversion of CO₂ to CO in the presence of [BMIM]OTf, we moved to ascertain how the Sb and Pb electrodeposited materials would compare. Repetition of the CPE experiment described above at -1.95 V using the Sb-modified electrode, did not generate CO and resulted in very little charge being passed over the course of a 1 hour experiment (Figure 3b, Table 1). The poor activity of the electrodeposited Sb-material for CO₂ reduction is also reflected by the LSV recorded for this material under the CPE conditions (Figure 3a), which displays a level of current enhancement that is only slightly higher than that observed for the inert Ni and GCE substrates. Moreover, the current response obtained with the Sb-modified electrode is not significantly attenuated in the absence of CO₂ (Figure S8).

CPE experiments employing the Pb modified electrode resulted in a distribution of products that was dramatically different from those observed for Bi and Sn under the same conditions, with FEs for CO, H₂ and HCO₂H of 40%, 11% and 31%, respectively (Table 1). In addition to the fragmented product distribution, under these CPE conditions Pb operates with appreciably slower kinetics for CO evolution as compared to the Bi and Sn catalyst systems. Displaying a measured partial current density for CO production at -1.95 V of $j_{\text{CO}} \sim 0.4$ mA/cm², the Pb catalyst is less than 10% as active as Sn and 5% as active as the Bi homologue (Table 1). The lower activity of Pb is also reflected by the later onset potential for CO₂ reduction displayed by this cathode material, as compared to the Bi and Sn systems.

Although the Pb modified electrode displays poor selectivity and kinetics for CO generation at -1.95 V, the general shape of the LSV recorded for this catalyst in the presence of CO₂ and [BMIM]OTf suggested that this system might display a more pronounced CO₂ conversion efficiency at higher applied overpotentials (Figure 2). When the CPE experiment described above is repeated with a Pb-modified electrode at an applied potential of -2.05 V, the selectivity of CO production is markedly improved as the recorded FE for this product is above 80% at these more negative potentials (Table 1). As expected, the kinetics of CO evolution at the Pb catalyst are also enhanced at -2.05 V to $j_{\text{CO}} = 4.1 \pm 0.9$ mA/cm². Although it is not readily apparent why the selectivity for CO production at the Pb-cathode is markedly improved as the applied overpotential increases, one potential explanation for this behavior is that formic acid and CO are formed at Pb via distinct mechanistic pathways with differing Tafel profiles.⁴⁶ We note that many cathodes for CO₂ reduction display varied and complex product distribution profiles as a function of applied potential.²⁶ As was the case for the Bi and Sn-modified electrodes, no current enhancement was observed for the Pb-material in the absence of CO₂ or [BMIM]⁺ (Figures S9).

In addition to displaying competent kinetics for CO evolution, the electrodeposited Pb-material is also highly selective, as no coproduction of oxalate, glyoxalate or formate was detected in the catholyte following the CPE experiment at -2.05 V. The potential at which the electrodeposited Pb-material evolves CO at a current density of 4 – 5 mA/cm² is more positive than that required to effect the same process using a piece of lead foil under similar CPE conditions by ~300 mV.⁴⁷ The improved performance of the




Figure 4. (a) CV traces recorded at a Ni disk electrode in MeCN solutions containing 1.0 mM Sn(OTf)₂ under an atmosphere of either CO₂ or N₂. (b) Total current density trace recorded for a Ni disk electrode at -1.95 V in MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf before and after addition of 1 mM Sn(OTf)₂. Inset: partial current density profiles for CO production (j_{CO}) at a Ni disk electrode in MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf in the presence (red) and absence (black) of 1.0 mM Sn(OTf)₂.

electrodeposited Pb catalyst may originate from the porous surface structure of the material (Figure 1c), as compared to the flatter mesoscopic structure of more uniform bulk Pb substrates.

NMR analysis of the catholyte solutions following prolonged CPEs using either the Bi or Sn-modified electrodes (at -1.95 V) or the Pb-modified assembly (at -2.05 V) did not reveal significant levels of [BMIM]⁺ decomposition or formation of the imidazolium-carboxylate adduct [BMIM-CO₂]. Previous work has shown that such imidazolium-carboxylate adducts can be formed upon polarization of platinum⁴⁸ or lead foil electrodes⁴⁷ in the presence of [BMIM] and CO₂ at very negative potentials. The observation of rapid and selective conversion of CO₂ to CO with the Bi, Sn and Pb-modified electrodes that we describe herein, suggests that formation of [BMIM-CO₂] and related species may occur via a pathway that is distinct from the electrolytic process that results in CO evolution. As such, the electrodeposited Bi, Sn and Pb catalyst materials that are described above offer distinct advantages over other cathode/[BMIM]⁺ systems, since the electrodeposited materials can activate CO₂ at lower potentials, which circumvents the formation of imidazolium-carboxylate side products.

The CPE experiments described above demonstrate that the electrodeposited Bi, Sn and Pb materials can all promote rapid conversion of CO₂ to CO with good selectivity at low overpotential when in the presence of [BMIM]OTf. However, the Pb-material is not as robust during the CPE as compared

to the other two post-transition metal materials. As shown in Figure 3, over the course of a 60 min CPE experiment at -2.05 V, the current density obtained with a Pb-based cathode is not steady, and slowly decays below 4-5 mA/cm². This drop in the rate of CO production continues upon prolonged electrolysis, and is accompanied by a dramatic decrease in the FE of CO generation, which plateaus at ~10% (Figure 5). These observations suggest that the electrodeposited Pb-material either degrades or becomes passivated during catalysis. The same loss in activity is not observed for the electrodeposited Bi and Sn-materials for which stable current densities and FEs for CO evolution are maintained for at least 6 – 8 hours (Figure S10), suggesting that these latter two materials are neither passivated nor degraded during electrocatalysis.

Of the four electrodeposited materials, only the Bi and Sn-based cathodes proved to be robust, selective and kinetically competent catalysts for the cathodic half reaction that generates CO. We thus turned our attention to optimizing these two platforms. Increasing the concentration of [BMIM]OTf in the catholyte solution leads to an enhanced current response. The current response corresponding to CO₂ reduction plateaus at a [BMIM]⁺ concentration of ~300 mM for both the electrodeposited Bi and Sn materials. CPE experiments were conducted at -1.95 V using these cathode materials suspended in CO₂ saturated MeCN containing 300 mM [BMIM]OTf. Under these conditions, the Bi-modified electrode displays impressive enhancements in selectivity and activity, with partial current density for CO production of $j_{\text{CO}} = 14 \pm 2$ mA/cm² and FE_{CO} = 80%. An even more striking improvement in CO evolution activity and catalytic efficiency is realized for the Sn-modified electrode, which operated with a partial current density of $j_{\text{CO}} = 13 \pm 3$ mA/cm² and FE_{CO} = 91%. These metrics are extremely noteworthy, as they demonstrate that the Sn-modified electrode can catalyze the conversion of CO₂ to CO with a level of efficiency and selectivity that is typically only accessible with precious metal catalysts that are both rare and expensive. As such, the Sn-modified electrode described herein, is to the best of our knowledge, the only example to date of a heterogeneous electrocatalyst platform consisting only of inexpensive and earth abundant elements that can efficiently promote the production of CO from CO₂ with current densities above 10 mA/cm² at low overpotentials.

Repetition of the above CPE experiments under an atmosphere of N₂ lead to little passed charge and no CO production. Accordingly, it is highly unlikely that the improved selectivity and kinetics for CO generation realized upon use of higher [BMIM]⁺ concentrations is due to imidazolium decomposition. Moreover, the metrics observed for conversion of CO₂ to CO using the electrodeposited Sn-material are much better than those obtained using other tin based systems, which typically promote the reduction of CO₂ to formate and additional products other than CO. Since the Sn-modified electrode is intimately involved in the electrocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to CO and promotes half-reaction with excellent current densities at low overpotentials, this system represents a Sn-Carbon Monoxide Evolving Catalyst (Sn-CMEC).

Since Sn-CMEC is a proficient system for CO₂ reduction, we pursued the in-situ formation of this platform from the CO₂ saturated MeCN/[BMIM]⁺ electrolyte, as has been done previously for Bi-CMEC. Such in-situ electrodeposition

strategies not only streamline preparation of the catalyst material, but can also allow for catalyst electrodeposition on substrates that are too delicate or reactive to survive excessive manipulation. Since the $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$ salt is soluble in CO_2 saturated MeCN containing [BMIM]OTf and is reduced at a potential that is more positive than the onset potential for CO_2 reduction using Sn-CMEC, we suspected that this system would be a fine candidate for in-situ catalyst electrodeposition.

Electrochemical reduction of CO_2 saturated solutions of MeCN containing 1.0 mM $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$ and 100 mM [BMIM]OTf show that this system promotes electrocatalysis. Cyclic voltammograms recorded for the above solution show a cathodic feature at approximately $E = -1.5$ V, which corresponds to reduction of $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$. This initial redox event is followed by an intense catalytic wave at $E = -1.85$ V (Figure 4a). This sharp current enhancement is nearly identical to the polarization curve recorded for CO_2 reduction using the ex-situ generated Sn-CMEC (Figure 2a). This result suggests that cathodic polarization of the MeCN/[BMIM]OTf solution containing $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$ leads to electrodeposition of a Sn-based material that can activate CO_2 at potentials that are more negative than -1.8 V. CV experiments conducted for MeCN solutions containing 1.0 mM $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$ and 100 mM [BMIM]OTf but under an atmosphere of N_2 are consistent with this analysis; under these conditions (i.e., in the absence of CO_2), virtually no current enhancement is observed from -1.8 to -2.2 V. Similarly, CVs recorded in the absence of [BMIM]OTf show no current enhancement at these negative potentials indicating that Sn, [BMIM]⁺ and CO_2 are all integral to the observed catalysis.

CPE experiments also demonstrate that the in-situ generated Sn-material is active for the conversion of CO_2 to CO. As shown by the green trace in Figure 4b, electrolysis of a CO_2 saturated solution of MeCN containing 100 mM [BMIM]OTf at -1.95 V using a Ni working electrodes exhibited low current densities of $j_{\text{tot}} \sim 1.5$ mA/cm². No CO was detected under these conditions. Addition of $\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2$ to the CPE solution led to a dramatic rise in current, which ultimately plateaued at $j_{\text{tot}} \sim 9$ mA/cm². This rise in current was accompanied by the formation of a dark film on the Ni cathode and the formation of CO as judged by periodic GC analysis of the reaction headspace. As was the case for the ex-situ Sn-CMEC experiments, CO is the only gaseous product formed during the CPE. Quantification of the CO generated revealed that the in-situ generated Sn-CMEC operates with $\text{FE}_{\text{CO}} \sim 75\%$ and an average partial current density of $j_{\text{CO}} = 9.8 \pm 2$ mA/cm². Repetition of this CPE experiment under N_2 leads to little passed charge and does not generate CO. Similarly, repeating this CPE experiment under CO_2 but in the absence of $[\text{Sn}(\text{OTf})_2]$ leads to low current densities (~ 0.6 mA/cm²) and virtually no CO production. These results are consistent with in-situ generation of the Sn-CMEC catalyst and represent a promising strategy toward formation of a CO_2 reduction electrocatalyst that can be easily formed in-situ from an inexpensive and earth abundant metal precursor, and that operates with competent kinetics and efficiency.

CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

The efficient and rapid electrocatalytic conversion of CO_2 to CO using robust and inexpensive catalyst materials is a

key milestone toward the storage of renewable energy and sustainable production of liquid fuels. Although cathodes based upon silver and gold can efficiently promote this chemistry, the demand for and scarcity of these materials has limited their use on the scale required for commercial fuel production. By contrast, tin, bismuth, lead and other post-transition metals are significantly more affordable, and are in some cases earth abundant. As such, the development of CO evolving catalyst platforms that utilize these elements is of great potential interest for electrochemical fuel production.

In the present study, we have shown that triflate salts of Sn^{2+} , Pb^{2+} , Bi^{3+} and Sb^{3+} are excellent precursors for the electrodeposition of post-transition metal based cathode materials from organic electrolyte solutions. In the presence of a 1,3-dialkyl substituted imidazolium based IL, such as [BMIM]OTf, these platforms show varied activities and efficiencies for the electrolytic conversion of CO_2 to CO. Both the Sn- and Bi-based cathodes selectively catalyze the reduction of CO_2 to CO with partial current densities that range from approximately 5 – 10 mA/cm² under the MeCN/[BMIM]⁺ electrolyte conditions employed for these studies. Moreover, both the Bi- and Sn-based electrocatalysts are robust and selectively promote CO evolution for at least 6 – 8 hours. These metrics compare favorably to those obtained using precious metal CO_2 reduction cathodes and distinguish the electrodeposited Sn and Bi-containing materials detailed in this work as proficient Carbon Monoxide Evolving Catalysts (CMECs).

In contrast to the electrodeposited Sn-CMEC and Bi-CMEC platforms, similarly prepared materials derived from $\text{Pb}(\text{OTf})_2$ or $\text{Sb}(\text{OTf})_3$ in MeCN do not catalyze CO evolution with the same level of activity. While the Sn and Bi-based materials drive CO evolution at an applied potential of at $E = -1.95$ V, the electrodeposited Pb-material is less than 10% as active as these catalysts at the same applied potential. The electrodeposited Pb-material can selectively promote CO evolution when polarized at more negative potentials, but is rapidly passivated during catalysis, which limits the utility of this platform for electrolytic CO evolution. Although also easily prepared, the Sb-modified electrodes described in this work are not competent platforms for CO_2 conversion.

The facility with which the cathode materials detailed here have been prepared using a combination of ex-situ and in-situ electrodeposition methods, suggests that other inexpensive and easily prepared cathode materials may also be quickly prepared and screened for CO_2 reduction activity using an analogous approach. Extension of the $\text{M}(\text{OTf})_n$ reduction strategy highlighted in this work to additional transition and main group metals triflates may streamline the preparation of new electrodeposited materials comprised of one or multiple metallic elements.

While it is clear that the [BMIM]⁺ component of these catalyst systems plays a pivotal role in promoting the efficient activation and reduction of CO_2 at the electrodeposited Sn-CMEC and Bi-CMEC platforms, utilization of this imidazolium with an arbitrarily chosen cathode material does not guarantee an efficient electrocatalysis with CO_2 . For example, CPE of CO_2 saturated solutions of MeCN containing 300 mM [BMIM]OTf at -1.95 V using inert GCE or nickel foil electrodes does not result in an appreciable current response or the formation of CO_2 reduction products (Table S1). Similarly, CPEs conducted using an Sb-modified glassy carbon electrode do not

generate CO and result in very little charge being passed over the course of a one hour experiment (Table 1). Since the electrolytic reduction of CO₂ in the presence of imidazolium-based promoters is sensitive to the identity of the cathode material, it is clear that the observed CO evolution electrocatalysis that we observe, cannot simply be attributed to homogenous reduction of the [BMIM]⁺ or CO₂ in solution. Instead, the results we have presented suggest that the intimate interaction of either CO₂, imidazolium or both with the polarized Bi-CMEC and Sn-CMEC architectures is critical to the catalysis observed for these systems. Future work from our lab will be aimed at probing the nature of these complex interactions in an effort to optimize existing and innovate new CO₂ reduction platforms.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

High-resolution XPS spectra, control electrochemistry experiments and experimental details can be found in the Supporting Information section. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.R. at joelr@udel.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank Prof. Thomas P. Beebe for providing access to the XPS spectrometer used in this study and Tian Qiu for assistance in the preparation of lead and antimony triflate salts. J.R. was supported through a DuPont Young Professor Award. Additional financial support for this work was provided by the University of Delaware.

REFERENCES

- Benson, E. E.; Kubiak, C. P.; Sathrum, A. J.; Smieja, J. M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2008**, *38*, 89–99.
- Whipple, D. T.; Kenis, P. J. A. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2010**, *1*, 3451–3458.
- Lee, S. *Methanol Synthesis Technology*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 1989.
- Klier, K. *Methanol Synthesis. Adv. Catal.* **1982**, *31*, 243–313.
- Burch, R.; Golunski, S. E.; Spencer, M. S. *Faraday Trans.* **1990**, *86*, 2683–2691.
- Singh, A. D.; Krase, N. W. *Ind. Eng. Chem.* **1935**, *27*, 909–914.
- Jones, J. H. *Platinum Metals Rev.* **2000**, *44*, 94–105.
- Cheung, H.; Tanke, R. S.; Torrence, G. P. *Acetic Acid. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim Germany, 2000.
- Ojima, I.; Tsai, C.-Y.; Tzamarioudaki, M.; Bonafoux, D. *Org. React.* **2000**, *56*, 1.
- Henrici-Olivé, G.; Olivé, S. *Springer-Verlag, Berlin*, 1984.
- Rofer-DePoorter, C. K. *Chem. Rev.* **1981**, 447–474.
- Vennestrom, P. N. R.; Osmundsen, C. M.; Christensen, C. H.; Taarning, E. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 10502–10509.
- Takeshita, T.; Yamaji, K. *Energy Policy*, **2008**, *36*, 2773–2784.
- Li, C. W.; Ciston, J.; Kanan, M. W. *Nature*, **2014**, *508*, 504–507.
- Gattrell, M.; Gupta, N.; Co, A. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **2006**, *594*, 1–19.
- Rosenthal, J. *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *59*, 299–338.
- Azuma, M.; Hashimoto, K.; Hiramoto, M.; Watanabe, M.; Sakata, T. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **1990**, *137*, 1772–1778.
- Hori, Y.; Kikuchi, K.; Suzuki, S. *Chem. Lett.* **1985**, *14*, 1695–1698.
- Hoshi, N.; Kato, M.; Hori, Y. *J. Electroanal. Chem.* **1997**, *440*, 283–286.
- Salehi-Khojin, A.; Jhong, H.-R. M.; Rosen, B. A.; Zhu, W.; Ma, S.; Kenis, P. J. A.; & Masel, R. I. *J. Phys. Chem. C.* **2013**, *117*, 1627–1632.
- Tornow, C. E.; Thorson, M. R.; Ma, S.; Gewirth, A. A.; Kenis, P. J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19520–19523.
- Hori, Y.; Murata, A.; Kikuchi, K.; Suzuki, S. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1987**, *10*, 728–729.
- Chen, Y.; Li, C. W.; Kanan, M. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 19969–19972.
- Zhu, W.; Michalsky, R.; Metin, Ö.; Lv, H.; Guo, S.; Wright, C. J.; Sun, X.; Peterson, A.; Sun, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 16833–16836.
- Hori, Y.; Wakebe, H.; Tsukamoto, T.; Koga, O. *Electrochim. Acta* **1994**, *39*, 1833–1839.
- Hori, Y. *Mod. Aspect. Electroc.* **2008**, *42*, 89–189.
- DiMeglio, J. L.; Rosenthal, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 8798–8801.
- Rosen, B. A.; Salehi-Khojin, A.; Thorson, M. R.; Zhu, W.; Whipple, D. T.; Kenis, P. J. A.; Masel, R. I. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 643–644.
- Rosen, B. A.; Haan, J. L.; Mukherjee, P.; Braunschweig, B.; Zhu, W.; Salehi-Khojin, A.; Dlott, D. D.; Masel, R. I. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, *116*, 15307–15312.
- Rosen, B. A.; Zhu, W.; Kaul, G.; Salehi-Khojin, A.; Masel, R. I. *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **2012**, *160*, H138–H141.
- Grills, D. C.; Matsubara, Y.; Kuwahara, Y.; Golsz, S. R.; Kurtz, D. A.; Mello, B. A. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.* **2014**, *5*, 2033–2038.
- Medina-Ramos, J.; DiMeglio, J. L.; Rosenthal, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 8361–8367.
- Handbook of Photoelectron Spectroscopy; Chastain, J., King, R. C., Jr., Eds.; Physical Electronics: Eden Prairie, MN, 1995.
- Chen, Y.; Kanan, M. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 1986–1989.
- Determination of E°_{CO₂/CO} in [BMIM]⁺/MeCN electrolyte is presented in the Supporting Information.
- Costentin, C.; Drouet, S.; Robert, M.; Savéant, J. M. *Science*, **2012**, *338*, 90–94.
- Ikeda, S.; Takagi, T.; Ito, K. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jap.* **1987**, *60*, 2517–2522.
- Amatore, C.; Savéant, J. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 5021–5023.
- Komatsu, S.; Yanagihara, T.; Hiraga, Y.; Tanaka, M.; Kunugi, A. *Denki Kagaku* **1995**, *63*, 217–224.
- Köleli, F.; Atilan, T.; Palamut, N.; Gizir, A. M.; Aydin, R.; Hamann, C. H. *J. of Appl. Electrochem.* **2003**, *33*, 447–450.
- Whipple, D. T.; Finke, E. C.; Kenis, P. J. A. *Solid-State Lett.* **2010**, *13*, B109–B111.

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- 42) Agarwal, A. S.; Zhai, Y.; Hill, D.; Sridhar, N. *ChemSusChem* **2011**, *4*, 1301–1310.
- 43) Oloman, C.; Li, H. *ChemSusChem* **2008**, *1*, 385–391.
- 44) Zhang, S.; Kang, P.; Meyer, T. J.. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 1734–1737.
- 45) Kuhl, K. P.; Cave, E. R.; Abram, D. N.; Jaramillo, T. F. *Energy & Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 7050–7059.
- 46) Gileadi, E. *Electrode Kinetics for Chemists, Chemical Engineers and Materials Scientists*. Wiley-VCH, Weinheim Germany, 1993.
- 47) Sun, L.; Ramesha, G. K.; Kamat, P. V.; Brennecke, J. F. *Langmuir* **2014**, *30*, 6302–6308.
- 48) de Robillard, G.; Devillers, C. H.; Kunz, D.; Cattey, H.; Digard, E.; Andrieu, J. *Org. Lett.* **2013**, *15*, 4410–4413.

Table of Contents Graphic

