Inorganic Chemistr

# Ligand Effects on Luminescence of New Type Blue Light-Emitting Mono(2-phenylpyridinato)iridium(III) Complexes

Min-Sik Eum,<sup>†</sup> Chong Shik Chin,<sup>\*,†</sup> Song yi Kim,<sup>†</sup> Choongil Kim,<sup>†</sup> Sung Kwon Kang,<sup>‡</sup> Nam Hwi Hur,<sup>†</sup> Ji Hoon Seo,<sup>§</sup> Gu Young Kim,<sup>§</sup> and Young Kwan Kim<sup>§</sup>

Chemistry Department, Sogang University, Seoul 121-742, Korea, Chemistry Department, Chungnam National University, Daegeon 305-764, Korea, and Department of Information Display, Hongik University, Seoul 121-791, Korea

Received February 27, 2008

Newly prepared hydrido iridium(III) complexes [Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H)L]<sup>0,+</sup> (ppy = bidentate 2-phenylpyridinato anionic ligand; L = MeCN (**1b**), CO (**1c**), CN<sup>-</sup> (**1d**); H being trans to the nitrogen of ppy ligand) emit blue light at the emission  $\lambda_{max}$  (452–457, 483–487 nm) significantly shorter than those (468, 495 nm) of the chloro complex Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H)(Cl) (**1a**). Replacing ppy of **1a**–**d** with F<sub>2</sub>ppy (2,4-difluoro-2-phenylpyridinato anion) and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy (2,4-difluoro-2-phenyl-*m*-methylpyridinato anion) brings further blue-shifts down to the emission  $\lambda_{max}$  at 439–441 and 465–467 nm with CIE color coordinates being x = 0.16 and y = 0.18-0.20 to display a deep-blue photoemission. No significant blue shift is observed by replacing PPh<sub>3</sub> of **1a** with PPh<sub>2</sub>Me to produce Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>(H)(Cl) (**1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me), which displays emission  $\lambda_{max}$  at 467 and 494 nm. The chloro complexes, [Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Cl)(L)]<sup>0,+</sup> (L = MeCN (**2b**), CO (**2c**), CN<sup>-</sup> (**2d**)) having a chlorine ligand trans to the nitrogen of ppy also emit deep-blue light at emission  $\lambda_{max}$  452–457 and 482–487 nm.

### Introduction

Iridium(III) complexes containing 2-phenylpyridinato ligand (ppy, bidentate anionic ligand) have been intensively and extensively studied because they are efficient phosphorescent materials emitting lights in the region of red, green, and blue, which are tuned by modification of ppy as well as by introducing diverse ancillary ligands.<sup>1</sup> There are three different types of ppy-iridium complexes investigated for their photo- and electrical-luminescent properties: tris(ppy)<sup>2</sup>

complexes (*fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> and *mer*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub>) and bis(ppy) complexes, *cis*-Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>LL'<sup>3</sup> and *trans*-Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>LL'<sup>4</sup> with the two ppy ligands cis and trans to each other, respectively.

In the course of searching new blue-light emitting materials, we recently began our investigation into another type of complexes containing only one ppy ligand,  $Ir(ppy)(PR_3)_2LL'$  with the two phosphines trans to each other and two cisancillary ligands L and L' because there are three different types of ligands ppy, axial ligand PR<sub>3</sub>, and ancillary ligands L and L' that could be modified to control the luminescence characteristics of the complexes. We now wish to report a new type of photoluminescent monoppy Ir(III) complexes  $[Ir(C^{\Lambda}N)(PR_3)_2LL']^{0,+}$  ( $C^{\Lambda}N = ppy$ , F<sub>2</sub>ppy, F<sub>2</sub>Meppy; PR<sub>3</sub> = PPh<sub>3</sub>, PPh<sub>2</sub>Me) emitting deep- and sky-blue light when L and L' are strong field ligands such as H<sup>-</sup>, CO, and CN<sup>-</sup> and medium-field ligand MeCN.

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: cschin@ sogang.ac.kr. Phone: +82-16-230-7239. Fax: +82-2-701-0967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Sogang University.

<sup>\*</sup> Chungnam National University.


<sup>§</sup> Hongik University.

 <sup>(</sup>a) Holder, E.; Langeveld, B. M. W.; Schubert, U. S. Adv. Mater. 2005, 17, 1109–1121. (b) Baldo, M. A.; Thompson, M. E.; Forrest, S. R. Nature 2000, 403, 750–753. (c) Tsuboyama, A.; Iwawaki, H.; Furugori, M.; Mukaide, T.; Kamatani, J.; Igawa, S.; Moriyama, T.; Miura, S.; Takiguchi, T.; Okada, S.; Hoshino, M.; Uneo, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 12971–12979. (d) Adachi, C.; Kwong, R. C.; Djurovich, P. I.; Adamovich, V.; Baldo, M. A.; Thompson, M. E.; Forrest, S. R. Appl. Phys. Lett. 2001, 79, 2082–2084.

<sup>(2) (</sup>a) Breu, J.; Stoessel, P.; Schrader, S.; Starukhin, A.; Finkenzeller, W. J.; Yersin, H. *Chem. Mater.* 2005, *17*, 1745–1752. (b) Yang, C.-H.; Fang, K.-H.; Chen, C.-H.; Sun, I.-W. *Chem. Commun.* 2004, 2232–2233. (c) Tamayo, A. B.; Alleyne, B. D.; Djurovich, P. I.; Lamansky, S.; Tsyba, I.; Ho, N. N.; Bau, R.; Thompson, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, *125*, 7377–7387.

<sup>(3) (</sup>a) Lamansky, S.; Djurovich, P.; Murphy, D.; Abdel-Razzaq, F.; Lee, H.-E.; Adachi, C.; Burrows, P. E.; Forrest, S. R.; Thompson, M. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 4304–4312. (b) Nazeeruddin, M. K.; Humphrey-Baker, R.; Berner, D.; Rivier, S.; Zuppiroli, L.; Graetzel, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 8790–8797. (c) Dedeian, K.; Shi, J.; Forsythe, E.; Morton, D. C. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1603–1611.

 <sup>(4) (</sup>a) Chin, C. S.; Eum, M.-S.; Kim, S. y.; Kim, C.; Kang, S. K. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 4979–4982. (b) Liu, T.; Zhang, H.-X.; Xia, B.-H. J. Phys. Chem. A 2007, 111, 8724–8730.



 $R^1 = H, CH_3; R^2, R^3 = H, F; L = CI^{-}, CO, CN^{-}, NCMe; PR_3 = PPh_3, PPh_2Me$ **Figure 1.** Chemical Structures of  $[Ir(C^N)(L)(H)(PR_3)_2]^{0,+}$  (1) and  $[Ir(p-py)(L)(CI)(PPh_3)_2]^{0,+}$  (2).

No luminescent property has been reported for monoppy iridium(III) complexes, Ir(ppy)(PR<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>LL', whereas synthesis of [Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H)(CO)]<sup>+</sup> and analogues were previously reported<sup>5</sup> with no luminescence data. Isoelectronic osmium(II) analogues were reported with photophysical properties.<sup>6</sup> We recently reported that stronger field ancillary ligands L and L' cause a significant blue shift of the emission  $\lambda_{max}$  for bis(ppy) complexes Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>LL'.<sup>7</sup>

To establish the effects of trans ligands to ppy on the luminescent property, two different series of complexes, **1** and **2**, have been synthesized in this study (below Figure 1). The two ligands, chlorine and hydrogen, have been chosen in this study since (i) both  $H^-$  and  $Cl^-$  are anionic ligands and (ii) hydride ( $H^-$ ) is a strong field ligand whereas chloride ( $Cl^-$ ) is weak one.

#### **Experimental Section**

<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian 200 or 300 MHz spectrometer. Nicolet 205 instrument was used to measure infrared spectra. Absorption spectra measured on an Agilent 8453 UV-vis spectrophotometer. Steady-state emission spectra were measured on a JY Horiba Fluorolog-3 spectrofluorimeter. Quantum efficiency was calculated using *fac*-Ir(ppy)<sub>3</sub> as the reference in toluene ( $\Phi_{PL} = 0.40$ ).<sup>8</sup> Elemental analysis was carried out using a Carlo Erba EA1180 at the Organic Chemistry Research Center, Sogang University. Color coordinates of luminescence were measured with a Minolta CS-200 chromameter.

**X-ray Crystallography.** X-ray intensity data were obtained using a Bruker SMART APEX-II CCD diffractometer equipped with graphite-monochromated Mo K $\alpha$  radiation ( $\lambda = 0.71073$  Å) at 173 and 295 K for **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me and **2b**, respectively. Initial unit-cell parameters were obtained from *SMART* software.<sup>9</sup> Data integration, correction for Lorentz and polarization effects, and final cell refinement were performed by *SAINTPLUS*.<sup>10</sup> An empirical absorption correction based on the multiple measurement of equivalent reflections was applied using the program *SADABS*.<sup>11</sup> Structures

- (6) (a) Hsu, F.-C.; Tung, Y.-L.; Chi, Y.; Hsu, C.-C.; Cheng, Y.-M.; Ho, M.-L.; Chou, P.-T.; Peng, S.-M. *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 10188–10196.
  (b) Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1421–1431.
- (b) Chi, Y.; Chou, P.-T. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1421–1431.
  (7) Chin, C. S.; Eum, M.-S.; Kim, S. y.; Kim, C.; Kang, S. K. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 372–375.
- (8) King, K. A.; Spellane, P. J.; Watts, R. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 1431.
- (9) SMART, V 5.05 Software for the CCD Detector System; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1998.
- (10) SAINTPLUS, V 5.00 Software for the CCD Detector System; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1998.
- (11) SADABS, Program for absorption correction using SMART CCD based on the method of Blessing, R. H. Acta Crystallogr. 1995, A51, 33.

were obtained by a combination of the direct methods and difference Fourier syntheses and refined by full matrix least-squares on  $F^2$ using *SHELXTL*.<sup>12</sup> All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Hydrogen atoms were added in calculated positions. Details of crystallographic data collection for **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me and **2b** are listed in Table 1.

**Synthesis.** Schlenk-type glass wares were used in most of experiments for synthesis and measurements although newly prepared complexes are stable in solution to be handled in air. 2-Phenylpyridine (ppyH), PPh<sub>3</sub>, PPh<sub>2</sub>Me, pyridine, MeCN, AgOTf, tetrabutylammonium cyanide, Pd(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>4</sub>, 2-bromopyridine, 2,4-difluorophenylyboronic acid, and 2-bromo-4-methylpyridine were purchased from Aldrich. F<sub>2</sub>ppyH and F<sub>2</sub>MeppyH were synthesized by Suzuki coupling reactions.<sup>13</sup> IrCl<sub>3</sub>•*x*H<sub>2</sub>O and CO were obtained from Pressure Chemicals and Dong-A Gas Co., Korea, respectively. Iridium complexes, [Ir(ppy)(H)(NCMe)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> (**1b**)<sup>14</sup> and [Ir-(COD)Cl]<sub>2</sub><sup>15</sup> were synthesized by the literature methods. **1a**, **1a**F<sub>2</sub>, **1a**F<sub>2</sub>Me, and **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me have been prepared practically by the same method described below for **1a**.

Synthesis of Ir(ppy)(H)(Cl)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1a). A reaction mixture of [Ir(COD)Cl]<sub>2</sub> (0.1 g, 0.14 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.15 g, 0.56 mmol), and ppyH (43 mg, 0.28 mmol) in 2-ethoxyethanol (10 mL) was refluxed under  $N_2$  (1 atm) for six hours. After cooling down to 25 °C, the yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed with methanol  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , recrystallized in CHCl<sub>3</sub>/*n*-pentane, and dried under vacuum. The yield was 0.23 g and 93% based on 1a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.93 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.32 (m, 15H), 7.16 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 7.11–7.06 (m, 13H), 6.63–6.55 (m, 1H), 6.36 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 5.96 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), -16.72 (t, J = 16.8 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  165.51, 149.75, 148.04, 143.61, 142.44, 135.42, 134.18, 131.92, 130.17, 129.02, 127.34, 122.49, 120.57, 119.35, 117.30. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  10.56. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2130 (m,  $v_{Ir-H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC47NH39CIP2: C, 62.21; H, 4.33; N, 1.54. Found: C, 62.18; H, 4.39; N, 1.53.

**Ir**(**F**<sub>2</sub>**ppy**)(**H**)(**Cl**)(**PPh**<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1aF**<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.00 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.41–7.35 (m, 13H), 7.21 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 7.16–7.10 (m, 12H), 6.68 (t, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.04–5.97 (m, 1H), 5.76 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), -16.76 (t, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 162.82, 150.06, 146.82, 141.81, 136.20, 134.12, 131.78, 131.42, 131.07, 129.40, 127.50, 94.65. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -110.42 (q, J = 9.2 Hz, 1F), -112.75 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.02 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2155 (m,  $ν_{Ir-H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>47</sub>NH<sub>37</sub>F<sub>2</sub>CIP<sub>2</sub>: C, 59.84; H, 3.95; N, 1.48. Found: C, 59.80; H, 3.92; N, 1.50.

**Ir**(**F**<sub>2</sub>**Meppy**)(**H**)(**Cl**)(**PPh**<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1aF**<sub>2</sub>**Me**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.85 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 12H), 7.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 7.16–7.11 (m, 12H), 6.55 (d, J =5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.04–5.96 (m, 1H), 5.77(d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), -16.79 (t, J = 16.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 161.89, 154.93, 149.36, 147.63, 149.36, 147.63, 134.13, 131.55, 129.32, 127.43, 125.33, 122.62, 121.87, 95.22, 21.32. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ –110.90 (q, J = 10.0 Hz, 1F), –112.89 (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.87 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2127 (m,  $ν_{Ir-H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>48</sub>NH<sub>39</sub>F<sub>2</sub>ClP<sub>2</sub>: C, 60.21; H, 4.11; N, 1.46. Found: C, 60.25; H, 4.16; N, 1.47.

- (13) Lohse, O.; Thevenin, P.; Waldvogel, E. Synlett 1999, 1, 45.
- (14) Chin, C. S.; Eum, M.-S.; Kim, S. y.; Kim, C.; Kang, S. K. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 4979.
- (15) Herde, J. L.; Lambert, J. C.; Senoff, C. V. Inorg. Synth. 1974, 15, 18.

<sup>(5) (</sup>a) Neve, F.; Ghedini, M.; De Munno, G.; Crispini, A. Organometallics 1991, 10, 1143–1148. (b) Crabtree, R. H.; Lavin, M.; Bonneviot, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 4032–4037. (c) Albéniz, A. C.; Schulte, G.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 1992, 11, 242–249.

<sup>(12)</sup> Sheldrick, G. M. SHELXTL, V 6.1; Bruker Analytical X-ray Systems, Inc.: Madison, WI, 1997.

#### Mono(2-phenylpyridinato)iridium(III) Complexes

	$1aPPh_2Me \cdot CHCl_3^a$	2b·CHCl <sub>3</sub>		
chemical formula	IrC <sub>38</sub> H <sub>36</sub> Cl <sub>4</sub> NP <sub>2</sub>	$IrC_{51}H_{42}Cl_4F_3N_2O_3P_2S$		
chemical fw	902.62	1215.87		
Т, К	173(2)	295(2)		
cryst dimensions, mm <sup>3</sup>	$0.10 \times 0.08 \times 0.07$	$0.08 \times 0.05 \times 0.04$		
cryst syst	monoclinic	monoclinic		
space group	P2(1)/n	P2(1)/c		
color of crystal	pale yellow	pale yellow		
a, Å	13.4805(2)	10.4325(8)		
b, Å	18.7541(3)	22.5809(16)		
<i>c</i> , Å	14.7961(2)	21.5244(16)		
α, deg	90	90		
$\beta$ , deg	103.0790(10)	91.132(2)		
$\gamma$ , deg	90	90		
V, Å <sup>3</sup>	3643.63(9)	5069.6(6)		
Z	4	4		
$ ho_{\text{calcd}},  \mathrm{g} \cdot \mathrm{cm}^{-1}$	1.645	1.593		
$\mu$ , mm <sup>-1</sup>	4.074	3.004		
F(000)	1784	2416		
$\theta$ range, deg	1.78 to 28.29	1.31 to 26.00		
hkl range	$-17 \leq h \leq 17$	$-12 \le h \le 12$		
	$-22 \leq k \leq 25$	$-27 \leq k \leq 27$		
	$-16 \le l \le 19$	$-26 \le l \le 26$		
no. of reflns	38 680	64 298		
no. of unique data	9028	9955		
completeness to theta	99.8% at 28.29 deg	99.9% at 26.00 deg		
no. of data/restraints/params	9028/0/419	9955/0/590		
refinements method	full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$	full-matrix least-squares on $F^2$		
R1	0.0278	0.0527		
wR2	0.0513	0.1242		
GOF	1.016	1.033		

 ${}^{a} R1 = [\Sigma |F_{o}| - |F_{c}|/|F_{o}|], wR2 = [\Sigma w(F_{o}^{2} - F_{c}^{2})^{2}/\Sigma w(F_{o}^{2})^{2}]^{0.5}; w = 1/[\sigma^{2}(F_{o}^{2}) + (0.0388P)^{2} + 1.8667P], where P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3.$ 

**Ir**(**ppy**)(**H**)(**Cl**)(**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me**)<sub>2</sub> (**1aPPh<sub>2</sub>Me**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.59 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.32 (m, 6H), 7.27–7.04 (m, 18H), 6.75 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 6.61–6.50 (m, 2H), 1.60 (t, J = 3.8 Hz, 6H), -16.74 (t, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –3.29. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2156 (m,  $\nu_{Ir-H}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>37</sub>NH<sub>35</sub>CIP<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.73; H, 4.50; N, 1.79. Found: C, 56.71; H, 4.53; N, 1.79.

1c, 1cF<sub>2</sub>, and 1cF<sub>2</sub>Me have been prepared practically by the same method described below for 1c.

**Synthesis of [Ir(ppy)(H)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (1c).** A 0.1 g (0.09 mmol) of **1b** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was stirred under CO (1 atm) at 25 °C for 12 h before *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to precipitate white microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 10 mL), and dried under vacuum. The yield was 90 mg and 92% based on **1c**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  8.89 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.38–7.02 (m, 35H), 6.65 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), -15.38 (t, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  173.99, 169.98, 163.98, 153.46, 152.84, 147.10, 142.86, 138.45, 133.50, 131.33, 130.05, 128.60, 127.74, 125.91, 124.85, 122.83, 120.67, 118.56. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  6.15. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2195 (m,  $\nu_{Ir-H}$ ), 2042 (s,  $\nu_{CO}$ ), 1270, 1153 and 1031 (s, OTf<sup>-</sup>). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>49</sub>NH<sub>39</sub>F<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SP<sub>2</sub>: C, 56.10; H, 3.75; N, 1.34. Found: C, 56.16; H, 3.78; N, 1.32.

**[Ir(F<sub>2</sub>ppy)(H)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (1cF<sub>2</sub>).** <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 9.04 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 6H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 12H), 7.24–7.15 (m, 14H), 6.53 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.43–6.37 (m, 1H), -15.50 (t, J = 12.0Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 173.92, 161.06, 154.17, 139.20, 133.71, 132.01, 129.07, 127.41, 124.95, 124.86, 124.78, 124.45, 100.71. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ –107.31 (t, J = 11.5 Hz, 1F), -107.82 (q, J = 9.2 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 6.17. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2194 (m,  $ν_{Ir-H}$ ), 2052 (s,  $ν_{C'ρ}$ ), 1265, 1153 and 1031 (s, OTf<sup>-</sup>). Anal. Calcd for  $IrC_{49}NH_{37}F_5O_4SP_2:$  C, 54.24; H, 3.44; N, 1.29. Found: C, 54.29; H, 3.49; N, 1.30.

**[Ir(F<sub>2</sub>Meppy)(H)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (1cF<sub>2</sub>Me). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 8.89 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 6H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 12H), 7.24–7.18 (m, 13H), 7.10 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 6.56 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), -15.46 (t, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 173.88, 160.50, 153.34, 151.62, 133.75, 131.91, 128.99, 127.53, 125.82, 125.38, 124.89, 100.62, 21.37. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ -107.41 (t, J = 10.7 Hz, 1F), -108.29 (q, J = 9.0 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 6.12. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2184 (m, ν\_{Ir-H}), 2052 (s, ν\_{CO}), 1261, 1151 and 1031 (s, OTf<sup>-</sup>). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>50</sub>NH<sub>39</sub>F<sub>5</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SP<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.64; H, 3.58; N, 1.27. Found: C, 54.68; H, 3.60; N, 1.24.** 

1d,  $1dF_2$ , and  $1dF_2Me$  have been prepared practically by the same method described below for 1d.

Synthesis of Ir(ppy)(H)(CN)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1d). A reaction mixture of [Ir(ppy)(H)(NCMe)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (1b, 0.10 g, 0.09 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium cyanide (27 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was stirred under N2 (1 atm) at 25 °C for three hours until the pale-yellow solution turned bright yellow. Addition of *n*-pentane (30 mL) to the resulting solution yielded yellow microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with methanol (3  $\times$  10 mL), and dried under vacuum. The yield was 78 mg and 92% based on 1d. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.83 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.40-7.34 (m, 12H), 7.24-7.09 (m, 21H), 6.67 (t, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.45 (td, J = 7.8, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), -17.45 (t, J = 15.6 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  166.20, 159.24, 152.50, 145.73, 144.85, 135.26, 133.95, 131.92, 129.54, 129.28, 127.52, 123.10, 121.16, 120.52, 118.09. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  12.85. (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2130 (m,  $\nu_{Ir-H}$ ), 2101 (s, v<sub>CN</sub>). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>48</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>39</sub>P<sub>2</sub>: C, 64.20; H, 4.38; N, 3.12. Found: C, 64.26; H, 4.34; N, 3.15.

**Ir**(**F**<sub>2</sub>**ppy**)(**H**)(**CN**)(**PPh**<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1dF**<sub>2</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.91 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.34 (m, 12H), 7.27–7.15 (m, 19H), 6.53 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H), 6.12–6.04 (m, 1H), 6.02 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), -17.62 (t, J = 15.9 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 162.85, 152.91, 136.06, 133.88, 131.40, 129.63, 127.67, 125.86, 122.46, 122.16, 121.39, 100.11, 96.45. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ –113.33 (q, J = 9.0 Hz, 1F), -114.73 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.33 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2147 (m,  $v_{Ir-H}$ ), 2106 (s,  $v_{CN}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>48</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>37</sub>F<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>: C, 61.73; H, 3.99; N, 3.00. Found: C, 61.77; H, 4.02; N, 2.98.

**Ir**(**F**<sub>2</sub>**Meppy**)(**H**)(**CN**)(**PPh**<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (**1dF**<sub>2</sub>**Me**). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 8.73 (d, J = 5.1 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 12H), 7.22–7.14 (m, 18H), 6.38 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 6.09–6.01 (m, 1H), 5.99 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 2.26 (s, 3H), -17.67 (t, J = 15.3 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 162.44, 152.29, 147.67, 133.95, 131.55, 129.60, 127.64, 125.92, 123.48, 122.55, 100.14, 96.42, 21.29. <sup>19</sup>F NMR (188.2 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ –113.73 (q, J = 5.8 Hz, 1F), -114.79 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 1F). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 9.16 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2140 (m,  $ν_{Ir-H}$ ), 2104 (s,  $ν_{CN}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>49</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>39</sub>F<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>: C, 62.08; H, 4.15; N, 2.95. Found: C, 62.02; H, 4.17; N, 2.97.

Synthesis of Ir(ppy)(Cl)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2a). A reaction mixture of IrCl<sub>3</sub>•xH<sub>2</sub>O (0.1 g, 0.33 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.26 g, 1 mmol), and ppyH (0.16 g, 1 mmol) in 2-ethoxyethanol (30 mL) and water (10 mL) was refluxed under N<sub>2</sub> (1 atm) for 12 h. After cooling down to 25 °C, the yellow precipitate was filtered off and washed with methanol (10 mL) and dichloromethane (60 mL) and dried under vacuum. The yield was 0.19 g and 61% based on 2a. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  8.57 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.43–7.37 (m, 13H), 7.30–7.17 (m, 8H), 7.14–7.04 (m, 13H), 6.79 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.43 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.08 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H). <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  –15.28 (s).

Synthesis of [Ir(ppy)(Cl)(NCMe)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (2b). A reaction mixture of Ir(ppy)(Cl)<sub>2</sub>(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2a, 0.10 g, 0.10 mmol) and AgOTf (26 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CHCl<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) in the presence of MeCN (1.0 mL) was stirred under nitrogen at 25 °C for two hours, and the white precipitate (AgCl) was removed by filtration. A 1.0 mL of MeCN was added into the filtrate solution, and the resulting solution was stirred further for two hours under N<sub>2</sub> before *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to yield pale-yellow microcrystals, which were collected by filtration, washed with *n*-pentane  $(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ , and dried under vacuum. The yield was 0.11 g or 95% based on 2b. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  8.66 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 7.47 (d, J= 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.30-7.22 (m, 18H), 7.18-7.13 (m, 13H), 7.10-6.96 (m, 3H), 6.85-6.76 (m, 2H), 2.16 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ 165.51, 153.08, 145.02, 138.10, 137.57, 137.19, 134.36, 133.82, 128.09, 127.27, 125.07, 123.74, 123.54, 123.06, 118.99, 118.18, 4.10. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ -14.77 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2286 (m,  $\nu_{N'C_{Me}}$ ), 1277, 1150 and 1031 (s, OTf<sup>-</sup>). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>50</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>41</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SP<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.77; H, 3.77; N, 2.55. Found: C, 54.78; H, 3.80; N, 2.58.

Synthesis of [Ir(ppy)(Cl)(CO)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (2c). A 0.1 g (0.09 mmol) of **2b** in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was stirred under CO (1 atm) at 25 °C for 12 h before *n*-pentane (30 mL) was added to precipitate white microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with *n*-pentane (3 × 10 mL), and dried under vacuum. The yield was 94 mg and 95% based on **2c**. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  7.51 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.97 (m, 6H), 6.89–6.77 (m, 26H), 6.61 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.41 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.30 (t, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  173.23, 165.16, 153.38, 150.91, 144.67, 139.71, 136.56, 134.32, 134.00, 133.56, 132.05, 131.56,

130.41, 128.68, 128.17, 127.08, 126.69, 126.30, 126.13, 126.03, 124.15, 120.77. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  –13.01 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2056 (s,  $\nu_{C'O}$ ), 1271, 1152 and 1031 (s, OTf<sup>-</sup>). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>49</sub>NH<sub>38</sub>ClF<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>SP<sub>2</sub>: C, 54.32; H, 3.53; N, 1.29. Found: C, 54.36; H, 3.50; N, 1.28.

Synthesis of Ir(ppy)(Cl)(CN)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (2d). A reaction mixture of [Ir(ppy)(Cl)(NCMe)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>](OTf) (**2b**, 0.10 g, 0.09 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium cyanide (27 mg, 0.10 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was stirred under N2 (1 atm) at 25 °C for three hours before the pale-yellow solution turned bright yellow. Addition of *n*-pentane (30 mL) to the resulting solution yielded yellow microcrystals, which were isolated by filtration, washed with methanol  $(3 \times 10)$ mL), and dried under vacuum. The yield was 80 mg and 94% based on 2d. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz; CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  8.30 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 7.43-7.37 (m, 13H), 7.32-7.20 (m, 8H), 7.13-7.08 (m, 13H), 6.89 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.60 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.99 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H)1H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (75.5 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ 167.92, 155.46, 153.75, 145.09, 137.98, 136.37, 134.80, 130.59, 130.24, 129.93, 127.72, 122.88, 122.63, 122.55, 118.17. <sup>31</sup>P NMR (81 MHz, CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$ -12.58 (s). IR (KBr, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 2103 (s,  $\nu_{CN}$ ). Anal. Calcd for IrC<sub>48</sub>N<sub>2</sub>H<sub>38</sub>ClP<sub>2</sub>: C, 61.83; H, 4.11; N, 3.00. Found: C, 61.856; H, 4.13; N, 3.02.

#### **Results and Discussion**


Synthesis and Charaterizations. New cationic and neutral monocyclometalated iridium(III) complexes,  $[Ir(C^N) (PR_3)_2LL']^{0,+}$  (1 and 2) have been prepared according to procedures depicted by Schemes 1 and 2, characterized by detailed NMR (<sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>31</sup>P), IR, and elemental analysis data, and also by X-ray diffraction data analysis for the crystals of Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>2</sub>Me)<sub>2</sub>(H)(Cl) (1aPPh<sub>2</sub>Me) and  $[Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2(Cl)(NCMe)]^+$  (**2b**). The crystal structure of 1aPPh<sub>2</sub>Me (Figure 2) unambiguously shows the Cl ligand being trans to the carbon atom of the phenyl ring of the ppy ligand, whereas Figure 3 shows the chlorine ligand being trans to the nitrogen of pyridyl ring in 2b. The Ir-Cl distance is significantly shorter in **2b** (2.3882 Å) than in  $1aPPh_2Me$ (2.4943 Å), probably due to the higher trans effect of the carbon ligand in **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me, whereas the Ir-P distance is longer for **2b** (2.390 Å) than in **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (2.305 Å), which may be understood by PPh<sub>2</sub>Me being less bulky and more basic than is PPh<sub>3</sub>.

Luminescence Properties. Ancillary Ligand (L and L') Effects. Knowing that d orbitals of iridium are involved in the HOMO of ppy complexes such as  $Ir(ppy)_3$  and Ir(ppy)<sub>2</sub>LL',<sup>16</sup> one could expect the HOMO energy level being lowered by strong field ligands more than by weak field ligands. Table 2 summarizes photoluminescence data for 1 and 2. Significantly longer wavelength emission  $\lambda_{max}$ are measured for the two complexes 1a and 2a that contain a chlorine ligand trans to the carbon of the ppy ligand, whereas the emission  $\lambda_{max}$  is measured at much shorter wavelengths for all other complexes 1b-d without the chlorine ligand and 2b-d that contain a chlorine ligand trans to the nitrogen of the ppy ligand (Table 2). The weak field ligand chlorine does not seem to play a role of lowering d orbitals of iridium when it is in trans position to the strong field ligand atom carbon of ppy as in 1a and 2a, whereas no


<sup>(16)</sup> Hay, P. J. J. Phys. Chem. A 2002, 106, 1634-1641.

# Mono(2-phenylpyridinato)iridium(III) Complexes

Scheme 1. Synthesis of  $[Ir(ppy)(H)(L)(PPh_3)_2]^{+,0}$  (1a-d)



Scheme 2. Synthesis of [Ir(ppy)(Cl)(L)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+,0</sup> (2a-d)



such different effect has been measured between weak field ligand chlorine (2b-2d) and strong field ligand hydrogen (1b-1d) when chlorine and hydrogen are trans to the medium ligand atom nitrogen of ppy. It may now be said that blue color photoemission could be obtained by introducing a strong field ligand in the trans position to the carbon atom of the ppy ligand.

The quantum yield is known to decrease with shifting emission  $\lambda_{max}$  to shorter wavelength<sup>17</sup> and to be low for


complexes having chlorine.<sup>18</sup> Very low  $\Phi_{PL}$  are also measured in this study for complexes having two chlorine ligands. It is also noticed that **1** and **2** show lower quantum yields than the other types of complexes,  $Ir(ppy)_3^2$  and  $Ir(ppy)_2LL'$ .<sup>3</sup>

Axial and Trans Ligand (PR<sub>3</sub>) Effects. PPh<sub>2</sub>Me is more basic and less bulky than is PPh<sub>3</sub> and makes a stronger bond with metal as seen in crystal structures of 1aPPh<sub>2</sub>Me (Ir–P: 2.30 Å, Figure 2) and 2b (Ir–P: 2.39 Å, Figure 3). No significant change in photoluminescent characteristics has been observed between 1a (emission  $\lambda_{max}$  at 468, 495 nm;


<sup>(17)</sup> Li, J.; Djurovich, P. I.; Alleyne, B. D.; Yousufuddin, M.; Ho., N. N.; Thomas, J. C.; Peters, J. C.; Bau, R.; Thompson, M. E. *Inorg. Chem.* 2005, 44, 1713–1727.

<sup>(18)</sup> Williams, J. A. G. Top. Curr. Chem. 2007, 281, 205-268.





**Figure 2.** ORTEP of  $Ir(ppy)(PPh_2Me)_2(H)(CI)$  (**1a**PPh\_2Me) with 30% thermal ellipsoids probability. Bond distances (Å): Ir-N(1), 2.140(2); Ir-C(12), 2.013(3); Ir-H(1), 1.41(3); Ir-CI(1), 2.4943(7); Ir-P(13), 2.3053(8); Ir-P(27), 2.3053(8). Bond angle (deg): N(1)-Ir-H(1), 173.8(11); C1(2)-Ir-CI(1), 174.09 (8); P(13)-Ir-P(27), 177.31(3).



**Figure 3.** ORTEP of  $[Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2(CI)(NCMe)]^+$  (**2b**) with 30% thermal ellipsoids probability. Bond distances (Å): Ir-N(1), 2.051(6); Ir-C(12), 2.018(8); Ir-Cl(54), 2.3882(19); Ir-N(13), 2.129(7); Ir-P(16), 2.389(2); Ir-P(35), 2.391(2). Bond angle (deg): N(1)-Ir-Cl(54), 176.00(19); C(12)-Ir-N(13), 174.6(3); P(16)-Ir-P(35), 178.70(7).

 $\Phi_{PL} = 0.11$ ) and **1a**PPh<sub>2</sub>Me (emission  $\lambda_{max}$  at 467, 494 nm;  $\Phi_{PL} = 0.10$ ) prepared by replacing the axial ligand PPh<sub>3</sub> of

**Table 2.** Emission Spectral Data for  $[Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2(H)(L)]^{0,+}$  (1) and  $[Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2(Cl)(L)]^{0,+}$  (2) in Degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C

$[\Pi(ppy)(\Pi \Pi \Pi y)_2(CI)(L)]$	( <i>2</i> ) III L	regassed CH2C	12 at 25 C	·
compound number	L	$\lambda_{\max}$ (nm)	$\Phi_{ ext{PL}}$	CIE $(x, y)$
1a 1b	Cl NCMe	468, 495 456, 487	0.110 0.075	0.18, 0.39 0.18, 0.32
1c 1d	CO CN	452, 483 457, 487	$0.140 \\ 0.170$	0.18, 0.30 0.17, 0.27
2a	Cl	468, 494	0.008	0.18, 0.39
2b 2c	NCMe CO	455, 486 452, 482	0.066 0.066	0.18, 0.32 0.18, 0.30
2d	CN	457, 487	0.028	0.17, 0.27

**Table 3.** Emission Spectral Data for  $[Ir(C^N)(PPh_3)_2(H)(L)]^{0,+}$  in Degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C

compound number	C^N	L	$\lambda_{max}$ (nm)	$\Phi_{ ext{PL}}$	CIE $(x, y)$
1a	рру	Cl	468, 495	0.110	0.18, 0.39
$1aF_2$	F <sub>2</sub> ppy	Cl	448, 475	0.057	0.16, 0.20
$1aF_2Me$	F <sub>2</sub> Meppy	Cl	446, 473	0.053	0.16, 0.19
1b	ppy	MeCN	456, 487	0.075	0.18, 0.32
$1bF_2$	F <sub>2</sub> ppy	MeCN	441, 470	0.220	0.16, 0.21
1bF <sub>2</sub> Me	F <sub>2</sub> Meppy	MeCN	439, 467	0.140	0.16, 0.20
1c	рру	CO	452, 483	0.140	0.18, 0.30
$1cF_2$	F <sub>2</sub> ppy	CO	441, 469	0.200	0.16, 0.20
$1cF_2Me$	F <sub>2</sub> Meppy	CO	439, 465	0.170	0.16, 0.19
1d	рру	CN	457, 487	0.170	0.17, 0.27
$1dF_2$	F <sub>2</sub> ppy	CN	441, 467	0.500	0.16, 0.19
$1dF_2Me$	F <sub>2</sub> Meppy	CN	439, 465	0.430	0.16, 0.18

**1a** with PPh<sub>2</sub>Me. This result may be understood by that the trans and axial ligand PR<sub>3</sub> is not involved in the HOMO of **1**, which is predicted by DFT calculations<sup>19</sup> and also by a theoretical study on [*trans*-Ir( $C^{N}$ )<sub>2</sub>(PH<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>, showing that the axial ligand PH<sub>3</sub> barely affects the occupied MOs.<sup>4b</sup>

Effects of Modification of ppy. According to DFT calculations, the HOMO is an admixture of  $\pi$ -orbitals of phenyl ring of ppy and d-orbitals of metal and the LUMO is primarily  $\pi$ -orbitals of pyridyl ring of ppy ligand. Electron withdrawing groups on the phenyl ring, therefore, would lower the HOMO energy level, whereas electron donation groups on the pyridyl ring would raise the LUMO energy level.<sup>20</sup>


Table 3 summarizes photoemission characteristics of **1** with modified ppy ligands. Modification of the ppy ligand seems to be an efficient method to make emission  $\lambda_{max}$  of **1** shifted to shorter wavelength. Well-studied ppy derivatives such as F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy are good enough to make significant blue-shifts for complexes of F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy to display blue color emission.

Figure 4 shows both of the two emission peaks blue shifted by modification of ppy to F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy. Those two emission peaks for F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy complexes in the ranges of 439–448 and 465–475 nm make their CIE coordinates to be x = 0.16 and y = 0.18-0.21, representing deep and sky blue color (Table 3 and Figure 5).


It is striking to see such large decreases in CIE coordinates especially for y values from 0.27-0.39 down to 0.18-0.21by modification of ppy to F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy, whereas the x value shows only slight decreases from 0.17-0.18down to 0.16-0.17 for all complexes of F<sub>2</sub>ppy and

<sup>(19)</sup> Kim, C. Ph.D. Thesis, Sogang University, 2007.

<sup>(20)</sup> Di Censo, D.; Fantacci, S.; De Angelis, F.; Klein, C.; Evans, N.; Kalyanasundaram, K.; Bolink, H. J.; Grätzel, M.; Nazeeruddin, M. K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 980–989.



**Figure 4.** Normalized emission spectra of  $Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2(H)(Cl)$  (1a) (- $\blacksquare$ - $\blacksquare$ -),  $Ir(F_2ppy)(PPh_3)_2(H)(Cl)$  (1aF<sub>2</sub>) (- $\bullet$ - $\bullet$ -), and  $Ir(F_2Meppy)(PPh_3)_2(H)(Cl)$  (1aF<sub>2</sub>Me) (- $\blacktriangle$ - $\blacktriangle$ -) in degassed CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 25 °C.



**Figure 5.** (a) From left, photoemission cells containing  $Ir(ppy)(PPh_3)_2$ -(H)(CN) (1d),  $Ir(F_2ppy)(PPh_3)_2(H)(CN)$  (1dF<sub>2</sub>), and  $Ir(F_2Meppy)(PPh_3)_2$ -(H)(CN) (1dF<sub>2</sub>Me) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>. (b) CIE coordinates for 1d and 1dF<sub>2</sub> (1dF<sub>2</sub>Me is not shown as it is practically the same with 1dF<sub>2</sub>).

F<sub>2</sub>Meppy. Such low values in both x (0.16–0.17) and y (0.18–0.21) of CIE coordinates obtained in this study are, to the best our knowledge, unprecedented for Ir-ppy complexes, whereas some bis-ppy iridium and related complexes show relatively low CIE coordinates at x = 0.16-0.17 and y = 0.26-0.32.<sup>21</sup>

We also mention here that our preliminary experimental data (Supporting Information) obtained from the OLED device fabricated with  $1aF_2$  show the electro emission  $\lambda_{max}$  at quite a short wavelength (439 nm), whereas  $1aF_2$  emits the photo emission at 448 and 475 nm in Table 2.

# Summary

Significant blue-shifts of emission  $\lambda_{max}$  have been obtained by replacing the weak-field ligand chlorine of Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H)(Cl) (where hydrogen is trans to the nitrogen of ppy) with stronger field ligands L' to produce [Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(H)(L')]<sup>0,+</sup> (L' = CO, CN<sup>-</sup>, MeCN), which emit blue color. Blue light emission ( $\lambda_{max} = 452-457$ , 482-487 nm) is also obtained by replacing only one chlorine ligand that is trans to the carbon of ppy in the dichloro complex Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Cl)<sub>2</sub> and introducing ancillary field ligands L to produce [Ir(ppy)(PPh<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(Cl)(L)]<sup>0,+</sup> (L = MeCN, CO, CN<sup>-</sup>). Further blue shifts (13-22 nm) of the emission  $\lambda_{max}$  are measured by modification of the ppy ligand for F<sub>2</sub>ppy and F<sub>2</sub>Meppy complexes to display deep and skyblue color with CIE coordinates of (x = 0.16, y =0.18-0.21).

Acknowledgement. The authors wish to thank the Korea Research Foundation for their financial support of this study through the Basic Research Institute Program (Grant 2005-015-C00222), the second stage Brain Korea 21 Program, the Interdisciplinary Program of Integrated Biotechnology, Prof. K. B. Yoon, Chemistry Department, at Sogang University, and the KOSEF grant funded by MOST in Korea (Grant ROA-2007-000-20088-0).

**Supporting Information Available:** X-ray crystallographic data in CIF format and <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and OLED studies. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## IC800376E

<sup>(21)</sup> Yeh, S.-J.; Wu, M.-F.; Chen, C.-T.; Song, Y.-H.; Chi, Y.; Ho, M.-H.; Hsu, S.-F.; Chen, C. H. *Adv. Mater.* **2005**, *17*, 285–289.