#### Tetrahedron xxx (2017) 1–9

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

### Tetrahedron

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

# Regioselective TfOH-mediated hydroamidation of ynamides with nitriles

### Wan-Shu Wang <sup>a</sup>, Ping Chen <sup>b, c, \*\*</sup>, Yu Tang <sup>a, b, c, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Key Laboratory for Modern Drug Delivery & High-Efficiency, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, PR China

<sup>b</sup> Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Chinese Ministry of Education, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, 5 Yushan Road, Qingdao,

<sup>c</sup> Laboratory for Marine Drugs and Bioproducts of Qingdao National Laboratory for Marine Science and Technology, Qingdao, 266237, PR China

#### ARTICLE INFO

PR China

Article history: Received 23 January 2017 Received in revised form 16 March 2017 Accepted 20 March 2017 Available online xxx

Keywords: Ynamides Trifluoromethanesulfonic acid Nitriles Acylaminoenamides Keteniminium ions

#### 1. Introduction

*N*-vinyl amide derivatives, a fundamental sort of compounds, have been proved to be the elemental, significant, and versatile building blocks in organic synthesis, as well as a skeletal part of the massive and diverse natural products.<sup>1</sup> For example, *N*-vinyl amides have been widely used to construct nitrogen heterocyclic frameworks, such as pyridines, pyrimidines, and isoquinolines.<sup>2</sup> To the best of our current knowledge, the conventional preparative methods mainly depend on direct addition of amides to alkynes,<sup>3</sup> the coupling reaction of amides with olefin,<sup>4</sup> and acylation of imines.<sup>5</sup> However, these protocols suffered from either low yields or lack of regiocontrol on the double bond geometry. Thus, the development of novel approaches, especially as part of

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2017.03.060 0040-4020/© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### ABSTRACT

A new TfOH-mediated reaction of ynamides with nitriles as nucleophiles has been developed. The reaction works efficiently under mild reaction conditions to afford a new class of  $\alpha$ -acylaminoenamides readily via the intermediacy of keteniminium ion. The reaction displays generality and a broad substrates scope. Additionally, the  $\alpha$ -acylaminoenamides could be transformed to highly substituted pyridine, 4aminopyrimidine or isoquinoline cores.

© 2017 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Tetrahedro

functionalized scaffolds with high efficiency, flexibility, and good regioselectivity, remains an urgent and challenging synthetic goal.

Ynamides which constitute an important subgroup of alkynes, represent one of the most significant and versatile N-containing building blocks in organic synthesis.<sup>6</sup> Cyclization reactions using ynamides generally lead to heterocyclic compounds with very high regio- and stereoselectivity.<sup>7</sup> Recently, Zhao reported ynamides could be used as coupling reagents to construct amide and peptide bonds through the hydroacyloxylation of ynamides and the subsequent aminolysis of  $\alpha$ -acyloxyenamide.<sup>8</sup> Keteniminium ion, a reactive cationic intermediate conveniently prepared from ynamide, has proven to be an especially useful subclass.<sup>9</sup> A brønsted acid introduced formal [2+2+2] cycloadditions of ynamides with nitriles for de novo synthesis of highly substituted pyridine cores were developed.<sup>10</sup> Lately, our group developed the TfOH-mediated [2+2+2] cycloadditions of ynamides with nitriles, which afforded 4-aminopyrimidine derivatives efficiently (Scheme 1).<sup>11</sup> In this paper, we describe such a TfOH-mediated amidation, allowing the facile and efficient synthesis of various α-acylaminoenamides. And the  $\alpha$ -acylaminoenamide reacted with nitriles or alkynes to further generate highly substituted pyridine, 4-aminopyrimidine or isoquinoline cores.



<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. School of Pharmaceutical Science and Technology, Key Laboratory for Modern Drug Delivery & High-Efficiency, Tianjin University, Tianjin, 300072, PR China.

<sup>\*\*</sup> Corresponding author. Key Laboratory of Marine Drugs, Chinese Ministry of Education, School of Medicine and Pharmacy, Ocean University of China, 5 Yushan Road, Qingdao, PR China.

*E-mail addresses*: chenping8315@126.com (P. Chen), yutang@tju.edu.cn (Y. Tang).

2

### ARTICLE IN PRESS

W.-S. Wang et al. / Tetrahedron xxx (2017) 1-9



Scheme 1. TfOH-mediated Intermolecular Reaction of Ynamides with Nitriles.

#### 2. Results and discussion

In our previous work, *N*-vinyl amides could be isolated when the ynamides reacted with acetonitrile in the presence of  $H_2O$ . Based on this result, we began our studies by utilizing **1a** as model material to optimize the reaction conditions. At the onset, the desired product **2a** was obtained in 86% yield when treated with 1.0 equivalent of TfOH in acetonitrile (Table 1, entry 1). Among the TfOH evaluated, different intensity of acids were also tested, but the latter were not superior in terms of yield and *E*/*Z* selectivity (entries 2–7). We also screened some other solvents, the reaction only proceeded in dichloromethane, and we separated the target product in 69% yield (entry 8). Attempts to change the amount of the acid or temperature, resulted in the decrease of the yields (entries 12–16).

Having the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we then explored the substrates scope and limitations of the reaction (Table 2). We initially focused on the reactivity of ynamides 1a-n bearing oxazolidinone as an electron withdrawing group. Besides ynamide 1a, compounds 1b-e with a phenyl group bearing *para*-substituents (electron donating groups or electron withdrawing,

Table 1Optimization Studies.



5	CSA	CH₃CN	-40	0
6	HCl	CH₃CN	-40	0
7	$BF_3 \cdot Et_2O$	CH₃CN	-40	31
8 <sup>f</sup>	TfOH	$CH_2Cl_2$	-40	69
9 <sup>f</sup>	TfOH	THF	-40	0
10 <sup>f</sup>	TfOH	toluene	-40	0
11 <sup>f</sup>	TfOH	dioxane	15	0
12	TfOH	CH₃CN	-20	75
13	TfOH	CH₃CN	0	46
14	TfOH	CH₃CN	20	21
152				
150	TfOH	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	-40	25

 $^a$  Unless noted otherwise, all reactions were conducted using 0.15 mmol of ynamide 1a in the presence of acid (1.0 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (2.0 equiv) under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 0.5 h at  $-40\ ^\circ\text{C}.$ 

<sup>b</sup> Isolated yields.

<sup>c</sup> Z:E = 3:1. <sup>d</sup> HPF<sub>6</sub> (wt% 60–65% solution in water).

e Z:E = 3:1.

<sup>f</sup> CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1.2 equiv) was added.

g TfOH (0.2 equiv).

h TfOH (2.0 equiv).

such as methyl, methoxyl and trifluoromethyl) at the R<sup>1</sup> position were well tolerated, which afforded the desired products **2b**-**e** in 66-92% yields. Notably, when ynamide 1e was employed, the reaction delivered the desired product as a Z/E isomer mixture with a ratio of 1:2.6. The reaction still performed nicely when the ynamides **1f**-**h** containing fluoro, chloro and bromo groups to provide the products in yields of 61-87%. Gratifyingly, the ynamides containing ortho or meta-substituents (**1i** and **1i**) of the phenyl group were well tolerated, which converted to the corresponding target products in fair to good yields. The ynamides 1k-m (with different substituents of the oxazolidinone groups) fruitfully delivered the corresponding target products **2k**-**m** in good yields. We also investigated the reactivity of ynamide substituted by alkyl chain at the R<sup>1</sup> position. In this case **2n** was isolated in 71% yield and as Z/Eisomers with a ratio of 1:1. Among the oxazolidinone groups evaluated, the reactions were also found to be compatible with tosyl (2o-q) or mesyl (2r) as electron withdrawing group, and the latter being inferior in terms of yields. Table 2 indicated that oxazolidinone groups remain as the preferred protecting groups over tosyl or mesyl because of the satisfactory yields. This phenomenon could be attributed to a portion of the keteniminium ions protected by tosyl or mesyl underwent hydrolysis to deliver the byproducts.

In addition, to further test the efficiency of the TfOH-mediated reaction and push it to its limits, we next investigated the possibility of ynamide **1a** reacted with other nitriles in dichloromethane (Scheme 2). In consideration of the decrease of concentration of nitriles, we decided to raise the amount of TfOH to 2.0 equivalents to perform the reaction. Firstly, we focused our attention on alkyl nitriles. Not surprisingly, the valeronitrile and 2-(4-methoxyphenyl) acetonitrile performed excellently to afford the desired products **3a** and **3b** in 91% and 83% yields, respectively. Interestingly, when benzonitrile, 4-chlorobenzonitrile or cinnamonitrile were employed, phenylacetylamides **4a**–**c** were isolated in the yields of 28%–47%.

Further chemical transformation of the as synthesized *N*- vinyl amides **2a** or **2h** were also explored, as depicted in Scheme 3. For example, the reaction of amides with acetonitrile or valeronitrile in dichloromethane could furnish the corresponding pyrimidine derivative products in moderate yields. Phenylacetylene was also tested in the same conditions, afforded the pyridine derivatives **6a** in 33% yield or **6b** in 35% yield.<sup>12</sup> And when dichloroethane was used as solvent, the amides could generate the isoquinoline derivatives **7a** in 30% yield or **7b** in 31% yield at 80 °C.

#### 2.1. Proposed mechanism

We also proposed a plausible mechanism for the formation of **2** (**3**) and **4**. As depicted in Scheme 4, ynamide **1a** was activated by H<sup>+</sup> in the presence of TfOH to produce keteniminium ion **I**.<sup>13</sup> Nitriles attacked keteniminium ion **I** to form intermediate **II**. Then intermediate **II** was attacked by H<sub>2</sub>O to produce intermediate **III. 2(3)** were subsequently obtained through tautomerization. With arylnitriles (R<sub>3</sub> = Aryl) as the reactants, intermediate **III** was more stable due to the conjugated structure and afforded the imine **IV** in the presence of TfOH. Then H<sub>2</sub>O attacked the imine **IV**, forming the hydroxyl-substituted amides **V**. Finally, the departure of 2-oxazolidone of **V** gave the products **4**.

#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we had demonstrated an efficient, facile, and flexible strategy for the preparation of synthetically useful  $\alpha$ -acy-laminoenamides through TfOH-mediated amidation of ynamides with nitriles. This reactivity could be exploited for other notable features, providing a straightforward, modular access to desired

#### Table 2

Scope and limitations of ynamides reacted with Acetonitrile.<sup>a,b</sup>



<sup>a</sup>Reaction condition: ynamide **1** (0.15 mmol), TfOH (1.0 equiv),  $H_2O$  (2.0 equiv),  $CH_3CN$  (2 mL) and under  $N_2$  atmosphere for 0.5 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.

<sup>*c*</sup>Isolated yield of hydrolyzed product **20'**: 38%.

<sup>d</sup>Isolated yield of hydrolyzed product **2p'**: 20%.

<sup>e</sup>Isolated yield of hydrolyzed product 2q': 11%.



<sup>a</sup>Reaction condition: ynamide **1a** (0.15 mmol), TfOH (2.0 equiv),  $H_2O$  (2.0 equiv),  $R^3CN$  (2.0 equiv),  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 mL), and under  $N_2$  atmosphere for 0.5 or 3 h.

<sup>b</sup>Isolated yields.

Scheme 2. Amidation of other Nitriles with Ynamide 1a.<sup>a,b</sup>

products in a single operation and with a high atom economy.  $\alpha$ -Acylaminoenamides could be converted to highly substituted pyridine, 4-aminopyrimidine and isoquinoline cores under mild conditions.

#### 4. Experimental section

#### 4.1. General information

All reactions were performed in standard glassware. Solvents were distilled prior to use. All commercially available reagents were used as purchased without further purification. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on 500 MHz or 600 MHz spectrometers using CDCl<sub>3</sub> or DMSO– $d_6$  as solvent unless otherwise noted. Chemical-shift values were given in ppm and referenced to the internal standard TMS (tetramethylsilane). The peak patterns are indicated as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quadruplet; m, multiplet; dd, doublet of doublets, and br s, broad singlet. The coupling constants (*J*) are reported in hertz (Hz). Melting points were determined with a micromelting point apparatus without corrections. Low-resolution mass spectra were obtained using LC/MSD. High-resolution mass spectrometry (HRMS) was obtained on a Q-TOF microspectrometer. Flash column chromatography was

3

W.-S. Wang et al. / Tetrahedron xxx (2017) 1-9



<sup>a</sup>Isolated yields.

<sup>*b*</sup>Reaction condition: **2a** or **2h** (0.1 mmol), Tf<sub>2</sub>O (2.0 equiv), 2-Cl-pyridine (1.2 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) and under N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere at -78 to 45 °C.

 $^cReaction$  condition: 2a or 2h (0.1 mmol), Tf\_2O (2.0 equiv), 2-Cl-pyridine (1.2 equiv), DCE (2 mL) and under  $N_2$  atmosphere at -30 to 80  $^oC.$ 

Scheme 3. Further Transformation of α-Acylaminoenamides 2a and 2h.<sup>a</sup>



Scheme 4. Proposed Reaction Mechanism.

performed over silica gel 200-300 mesh.

# 4.2. General procedure 1 for the synthesis of ynamides $(1a-1d, 1f-1m, 1o, 1p, 1r)^{10c}$ and procedure 2 for $(1e, 1n, 1q)^{14}$

Procedure 1: To a solution of alkyne (9.80 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in acetone (10 mL) was added NBS (10.78 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and  $AgNO_3$  (0.98 mmol, 0.1 equiv). The resulting solution was stirred under nitrogen at room temperature for 4 h. After removing excess acetone the reaction was quenched with water and extracted with petroleum ether three times, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was eluted through a short silica column (petroleum ether) to obtain the bromoalkyne.

To a dried flask was added 2-oxazolidone (4.8 mmol, 1.2 equiv),  $CuSO_4 \cdot 5H_2O$  (100 mg, 0.4 mmol, 0.1 equiv), 1, 10-phenanthroline (144 mg, 0.8 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and  $K_2CO_3$  (1.38 g, 10.0 mmol, 2.5 equiv), bromoalkyne (4.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and this mixture was subsequently treated with anhydrous toluene (10 mL). The flask was charged with nitrogen, and the solution was heated at 80 °C overnight. After completion, the crude reaction mixture was cooled to room temperature, filtered and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the crude residue using silica gel flash column chromatography yielded the pure ynamides.

Procedure 2: In a 250 mL three-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a stir-bar, CuCl<sub>2</sub> (27.0 mg, 0.2 mmol, 0.2 equiv), 4-

methyl-*N*-phenylbenzenesulfonamide (1.2 g, 5.0 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (212 mg, 2.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were combined. The reaction flask was purged with oxygen gas for 15 min. A solution of pyridine (2.0 mmol) in 5.0 mL dry toluene was added to the reaction flask via a syringe. A balloon filled with oxygen gas was connected to the reaction flask via a needle. The flask was placed in an oil-bath and heated to 70 °C. A solution of 3, 3-dimethylbut-1-yne (82 mg, 1.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in 5.0 mL dry toluene was added to the flask over 4 h by using a syringe pump. After the addition of 3, 3-dimethylbut-1-yne/toluene solution, the reaction mixture was allowed to stir at 70 °C for another 4 h and then cooled to room temperature. After the crude mixture was concentrated under vacuum, the reaction mixture was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel [gradient eluent: EtOAc/petroleum ether] to yield the ynamides.

#### 4.2.1. 2-(phenylethynyl) isoxazolidin-3-one (1a)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 84 – 85 °C, 62%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45 – 7.43 (m, 2H), 7.31 – 7.30 (m, 3H), 4.48 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.90, 131.58, 128.31, 128.22, 122.16, 78.96, 71.21, 63.05, 47.06; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 210.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.2. 3-(P-tolylethynyl) oxazolidin-2-one (1b)

Following the general procedure1: white solid, mp: 124 – 126 °C, 52%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.34 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.97, 138.42, 131.63, 129.07, 118.99, 78.24, 71.22, 63.00, 47.10, 21.48; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 224.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.3. 3-((4-methoxyphenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1c)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 99 – 101 °C, 51%;  $R_f = 0.7$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.39 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H),  $\delta$  6.84 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.48 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  159.71, 156.03, 133.47, 114.05, 113.93, 77.56, 70.92, 62.95, 55.28, 47.12; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 240.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

# 4.2.4. 2-((4-(trifluoromethyl) phenyl) ethynyl) isoxazolidin-3-one (1d)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 96 – 97 °C, 45%;  $R_f = 0.4$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.52 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.05 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.59, 131.34, 130.10–129.32 (q, J = 32.5 Hz), 126.17, 125.28–125.29 (q, J = 3.75 Hz), 124.97, 81.31, 70.45, 63.11, 46.89; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  –62.82 (s, 3F); mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 278.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.5. 3-((4-nitrophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1e)

Following the general procedure 2: yellow solid, mp: 156–158 °C, 43%;  $R_f = 0.7$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.18 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.56 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 4.56–4.53 (m, 2H), 4.08–4.05 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.35, 146.71, 131.45, 129.47, 123.63, 84.32, 70.59, 63.23, 46.80; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 255.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.6. 3-((4-fluorophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1f)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 114–116 °C, 56%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz,

4

CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.00 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.49 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 4.00 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) 163.34–161.70 (d, *J* = 247.5 Hz), 155.92, 133.72–133.66 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz), 118.19–118.17 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz), 115.68–115.54 (d, *J* = 22.5 Hz), 78.58, 70.16, 63.07, 47.00; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 228.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.7. 3-((4-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1g)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 131–132 °C, 48%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.36 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.28 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.50 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.75, 134.20, 132.75, 128.64, 120.69, 79.81, 70.26, 63.07, 46.96; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 243.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.8. 3-((4-bromophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1h)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 152–153 °C, 50%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 4.49 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.01 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.74, 132.91, 131.56, 122.36, 121.18, 80.02, 70.34, 63.10, 46.94; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 288.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.9. 3-((2-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1i)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 119.0 – 119.8 °C, 75%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.50–7.48 (m, 1H),  $\delta$  7.41–7.39 (m, 1H),  $\delta$  7.26–7.19 (m, 2H), 4.52 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.54, 135.43, 133.00, 129.18, 129.06, 126.44, 122.28, 83.83, 68.52, 63.11, 47.01; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 244.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.10. 3-((3-chlorophenyl)ethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1j)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 129.8–130.8 °C, 68%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.44–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.31 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.23 (m, 2H), 4.51 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.64, 134.12, 131.22, 129.51, 128.37, 123.95, 80.07, 70.15, 63.06, 46.92; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 244.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.11. (S)-4-benzyl-3-(phenylethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1k)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 96–98 °C, 63%;  $R_f = 0.35$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.51–7.43 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.27 (m, 6H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 2H), 4.42–4.33 (m, 2H), 4.21–4.14 (m, 1H), 3.30 (d, J = 13.8 Hz, 1H), 3.06–2.98 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.49, 134.19, 131.67, 129.42, 129.09, 128.33, 128.29, 127.58, 122.17, 77.89, 73.32, 67.48, 58.53, 38.04; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 300.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.12. (S)-4-isopropyl-3-(phenylethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (11)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 83–84 °C, 49%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.45–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.29 (m, 3H), 4.43 (t, J = 8.5, 1H), 4.42–4.19 (m, 1H), 4.07–4.03 (m, 1H), 2.33–2.26 (m, 1H), 1.04 (d, J = 3.5, 3H), 1.03 (d, J = 3.5, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.95, 131.47, 128.26, 128.08, 122.35, 72.32, 64.85, 62.08, 29.32, 17.25, 15.28; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 252.0 (100) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.13. (S)-4-phenyl-3-(phenylethynyl)oxazolidin-2-one (1m) Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 144–146 °C,

50%;  $R_f = 0.4$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47–7.39 (m, 5H), 7.26–7.21 (m, 5H), 5.14 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 4.78 (t, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  155.61, 136.02, 131.48, 129.56, 129.35, 128.17, 128.09, 126.93, 122.10, 78.02, 72.84, 70.81, 62.22; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 286.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

#### 4.2.14. (R)-3-(hex-1-yn-1-yl)-4-phenyloxazolidin-2-one (1n)

Following the general procedure 2: yellow oil, 50%;  $R_f = 0.7(30\%)$ EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.46–7.39 (m, 3H), 7.35–7.33 (m, 2H), 5.00 (dd, J = 7.0 Hz, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (t, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 4.22 (dd, J = 7.0 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 1.36–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.22–1.14 (m, 2H), 0.77 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  156.28, 136.38, 129.29, 129.15, 126.88, 72.85, 70.54, 69.03, 62.12, 30.57, 21.53, 17.95, 13.45; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 266.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

# 4.2.15. 4-methyl-N-phenyl-N-(phenylethynyl)benzenesulfonamide (10)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 103–105 °C, 55%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.61 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 7.39–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.30 (m, 10H), 2.44 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  145.01, 138.93, 132.87, 131.46, 129.53, 129.12, 128.31, 128.28, 127.99, 126.31, 122.61, 82.97, 70.48, 21.76; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 370.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>;

#### 4.2.16. N-phenyl-N-(phenylethynyl)methanesulfonamide (1p)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 70–72 °C, 43%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.80 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 7H), 7.25–7.24 (m, 5H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 2.46 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.60, 134.69, 134.43, 131.10, 129.69, 128.86, 128.50, 128.31, 128.16, 127.75, 127.63, 122.80, 82.66, 71.37, 55.70, 21.65; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 384.0 (100) (M + Na)<sup>+</sup>;

# 4.2.17. N-(3,3-dimethylbut-1-yn-1-yl)-4-methyl-N-phenylbenzenesulf-onamide (1q)

Following the general procedure 2: white solid, mp: 94–95 °C, 43%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.55 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.33–7.24 (m, 7H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 1.24 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  144.56, 139.47, 132.70, 129.14, 128.82, 128.37, 127.72, 125.92, 78.46, 73.06, 31.00, 27.51, 21.66; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 350.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>;

#### 4.2.18. N-methyl-N-(phenylethynyl)methanesulfonamide (1r)

Following the general procedure 1: white solid, mp: 58–60 °C, 61%;  $R_f = 0.45$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.42–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.30 (m, 3H), 3.30 (s, 3H), 3.13 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  131.54, 128.34, 128.12, 122.33, 82.98, 69.46, 39.26, 36.78; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 232.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>.

# 4.3. Experimental characterization data for 2a-2r, 3a, 3b, 4a-4c, 5a, 5b, 5c, 6a, 6b, 7a, 7b

## 4.3.1. General procedure A for TfOH-mediated reaction of ynamides with acetonitrile (2a-2r)

To a suspension of ynamide (0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and  $H_{2}O$  (0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in dry acetonitrile (2.00 mL) was added TfOH dropwise (13.0  $\mu$ L, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv) via a syringe pump at -40 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When progress appeared to be completed after about 0.5 h at the same temperature, the saturated sodium bicarbonate solution was added to the mixture and the resulting mixture was

6

### **ARTICLE IN PRESS**

W.-S. Wang et al. / Tetrahedron xxx (2017) 1–9

extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with sat aq NaCl and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Filtration and concentration of the mixture in vacuo afforded the crude product that was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography [gradient eluent: EtOAc/ petroleum ether] to obtain the corresponding products.

4.3.1.1. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylvinyl)acetamide (**2a**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 32–33 °C, 86%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.63 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 1H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.34 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.28, 155.90, 134.91, 129.20, 129.03, 128.08, 127.24, 114.11, 63.03, 44.73, 23.93; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 269.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>14</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 269.0902, found 269.0897.

4.3.1.2. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-*cyclopropyl*-1-(4-*methyl*-*N*-*phenyl*-*phenylsulfonamido*)-*vinyl*)*acetamide* (**2b**). Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 92%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.61 (s, 1H), 7.18 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (s, 1H), 4.36–4.33 (m, 2H), 3.64–3.61 (m, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.95 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  169.19, 155.92, 136.56, 131.95, 129.64, 128.57, 128.00, 114.22, 63.01, 44.68, 23.88, 21.21; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 283.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 283.1059, found 283.1054.

4.3.1.3. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(4-*methoxyphenyl*)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl) aceta-mide (**2c**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 111–113 °C, 66%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); 1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.57 (s, 1H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (s, 1H), 4.35 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H),  $\delta$  3.74 (s, 3H), 3.62 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.94 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.10, 158.57, 155.98, 129.40, 127.58, 127.13, 114.52, 114.27, 63.00, 55.49, 44.63, 23.84; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 299.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>299.1008 found 299.1003.

4.3.1.4. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(4-ethylphenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl) acetam-ide (2d). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 99–102 °C, 83%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.77 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.51 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.68 (s, 1H), 4.40–4.37 (m, 2H), 3.70–3.67 (m, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.53, 155.69, 139.55, 131.16, 128.58, 127.47–126.71 (q, *J* = 31.25 Hz), 125.83–125.74 (q, *J* = 3.75 Hz), 123.65, 112.16, 63.11, 44.80, 23.99; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  –61.00 (s, 3F); mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 337.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>13</sub>F<sub>3</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 337.0776, found 337.0770.

4.3.1.5. *N*-(2-(4-bromophenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl)acetamide (**2e**). Following the general procedure A: yellow solid, mp: 211–213 °C, 71%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); NOE see SI (S 58) (*E*) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.85 (s, 1H), 8.16 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.60 (d, *J* = 8.5, Hz, 2H), 6.26 (s, 1H), 4.34 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.86 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.99 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.86, 154.67, 145.50, 143.13, 133.02, 128.99, 124.04, 110.40, 62.14, 45.63, 23.23; (*Z*) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.85 (s, 1H), 8.15 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.54 (d, *J* = 9.0, Hz, 2H), 6.71 (s, 1H), 4.42 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.00 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.70, 155.54, 145.71, 142.76, 132.36, 128.88, 124.25, 111.41, 63.18, 44.84, 24.07; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 314.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>5</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>314.0753 found 314.0747. 4.3.1.6. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(4-fluorophenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl) acetam-ide (**2f**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 47–49 °C, 87%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); NOE see SI (S 60) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.64 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.16–7.12 (m, 2H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.37–4.34 (m, 2H), 3.65–3.62 (m, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.30, 162.25–160.30 (d, *J* = 243.75 Hz), 155.90, 131.42–131.39 (d, *J* = 3.75 Hz), 130.04–129.98 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz), 129.07, 115.95–115.78 (d, *J* = 21.25 Hz), 113.12, 63.04, 44.69, 23.89; <sup>19</sup>F NMR (470 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  –115.04 (s, 1F); mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 287.0 (100) (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>287.0802 found 287.0783.

4.3.1.7. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(4-chlorophenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl) acetam-ide (**2g**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 135–136 °C, 76%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.68 (s, 1H), 7.36 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31 (d, J = 9.0, Hz, 2H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.36 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.36, 155.79, 133.99, 131.45, 129.81, 129.77, 128.99, 112.71, 63.07, 44.72, 23.94; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 302.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>303.0512 found 303.0507.

4.3.1.8. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(4-bromophenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl) acetam-ide (**2h**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 135–137 °C, 61%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.68 (s, 1H), 7.5 (d, *J* = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (d, *J* = 8.5, Hz, 2H), 6.56 (s, 1H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.65 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.36, 155.77, 134.37, 131.90, 130.08, 129.87, 119.99, 112.73, 63.08, 44.73, 23.95; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 346.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>347.0007 found 347.0000.

4.3.1.9. *N*-(2-(2-chlorophenyl)-1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)vinyl)acetamide **(2i)**. Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 67%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); NOE see SI (S 68) (Z) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.75 (s, 1H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 2H), 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 3.60 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.44 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.51, 154.99, 133.38, 132.60, 131.03, 129.76, 129.06, 128.85, 127.73, 109.67, 64.58, 45.04, 22.91; (E) <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.66 (s, 1H), 7.50–7.48 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.25–7.21 (m, 1H), 6.30 (s, 1H), 4.32 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.90 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.08, 155.63, 133.48, 132.69, 131.43, 129.70, 129.52, 128.75, 127.49, 109.25, 63.00, 45.48, 24.04; mass spectrum (ESI): m/ e (% relative intensity) 303.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>303.0512 found 303.0511.

4.3.1.10. (*Z*)-*N*-(2-(3-*chlorophenyl*)-1-(2-*oxooxazolidin*-3-*yl*)*vinyl*) *acetam-ide*(**2***j*). Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 81%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.71 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.30 (m, 2H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H), 6.58 (s, 1H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.97 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.42, 155.72, 137.39, 133.61, 130.81, 130.37, 127.73, 126.90, 126.44, 112.43, 63.12, 44.78, 23.96; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 303.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>13</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>ClNa<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 303.0512, found 303.0514.

4.3.1.11. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(4-benzyl-2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylvinyl) acetam-ide **(2k)**. Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 80%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz,

DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.58 (s, 1H), 7.35–7.31 (m, 4H), 7.24–7.20 (m, 4H), 7.02 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 2H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 4.27 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.15 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.08–4.05 (m, 1H), 2.90–2.86 (m, 1H), 2.72–2.66 (m, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.28, 155.71, 136.42, 135.09, 129.16, 129.06, 129.00, 128.93, 128.37, 127.47, 127.32, 127.18, 68.30, 56.90, 38.44, 24.06; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 359.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 359.1372, found 359.1366.

4.3.1.12. (S,Z)-N-(1-(4-isopropyl-2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)-2phenylvinyl)ace-tamide(**21**). Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 85%;  $R_f = 0.4$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.57 (s, 1H), 7.30–7.20 (m, 4H), 7.20–7.17 (m, 1H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 4.33 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 4.07 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.77–3.73 (m, 1H), 1.97 (s, 3H), 1.75–1.68 (m, 1H), 0.75 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 0.64 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H),; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.42, 156.80, 135.09, 128.83, 128.67, 127.37, 115.88, 65.55, 60.84, 30.57, 24.05, 18.65, 17.04; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity)311.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 311.1372, found 311.1367.

4.3.1.13. (R,Z)-N-(1-(2-oxo-4-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylvinyl) aceta-mide (**2m**). Following the general procedure A: colorless oil, 73%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (20% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.54 (s, 1H), 7.31 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.26–7.18 (m, 8H), 6.45 (s, 1H), 4.94–4.90 (m, 1H), 4.71–4.67 (m, 1H), 4.23–4.19 (m, 1H), 1.94 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.28, 155.81, 136.59, 134.90, 129.11, 128.92, 128.76, 128.52, 128.37, 127.74, 127.24, 115.04, 70.02, 60.25, 23.86; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 361.0 (100) (M+K)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 345.1215, found 345.1212.

4.3.1.14. (R)-N-(1-(2-oxo-4-phenyloxazolidin-3-yl)hex-1-en-1-yl) acetam-ide (2n). Following the general procedure A: NOE see SI (S 80) (*Z*): colorless oil, 35%;  $R_f = 0.3$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) δ 9.03 (s, 1H), 7.37-7.33 (m, 5H), 5.27-5.24 (m, 1H), 4.94-4.90 (m, 1H), 4.68-4.64 (m, 1H), 4.23-4.17 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.84–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.23–1.13 (m, 4H), 0.80 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ ) δ 168.86, 155.50, 138.00, 129.06, 129.01, 128.20, 126.47, 117.55, 69.66, 59.48, 31.39, 26.33, 23.45, 22.34, 14.27; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 325.2 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_3Na^+$  (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>325.1528, found 325.1510. (E) colorless oil, 36%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.20 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.30 (m, 5H), 4.99 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.89-4.86 (m, 1H), 4.63-4.60 (m, 1H), 3.97-3.93 (m, 1H), 1.86 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.82 (m, 2H), 1.16-1.07 (m, 4H), 0.75 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H);  ${}^{13}C$ NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 168.83, 156.50, 139.18, 131.96, 129.14, 128.70, 127.66, 127.01, 69.80, 59.82, 31.13, 25.99, 22.97, 21.73, 14.16; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 325.1 (100)  $(M+Na)^+$ ; HRMS calcd for  $C_{17}H_{22}N_2O_3Na^+$   $(M+Na)^+325.1528$ , found 325.1512.

4.3.1.15. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(4-methyl-*N*-phenylphenylsulfonamido)-2-phenylvinyl)-acetamide (**20**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 163–164 °C, 50%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.17 (s, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.36–7.30 (m, 6H), 7.24–7.08 (m, 6H), 6.77 (s, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.31, 144.47, 139.97, 136.98, 134.09, 130.11, 129.97, 129.17, 128.71, 128.61, 128.02, 127.81, 126.35, 124.39, 121.60, 24.01, 21.53; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 429.2 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 429.1243, found 429.1224.

4.3.1.16. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(*N*-benzyl-4-methylphenylsulfonamido)-2-phenylvinyl)-acetamide (**2p**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 138–140 °C, 66%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (10% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  8.73 (s, 1H), 7.66 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.12–7.06 (m, 10H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 4.47 (s, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 1.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.13, 144.08, 136.71, 135.09, 134.32, 130.07, 130.00, 128.61, 128.24, 128.10, 128.06, 127.53, 127.34, 122.75, 52.04, 23.80, 21.51; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 443.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 443.1405, found 443.1401.

4.3.1.17. (*Z*)-*N*-(3,3-*dimethyl*-1-(4-*methyl*-*N*-*phenyl*-*phenylsulfonamido*)-*but*-1-*en*-1-*yl*)*acetamide* (**2q**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 157–159 °C, 78%; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.25 (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  8.66 (s, 1H), 7.59 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.31–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.12–7.10 (m, 1H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.87 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  168.79, 144.33, 141.21, 136.58, 135.84, 129.98, 129.25, 128.11, 126.17, 125.20, 122.35, 32.58, 30.01, 23.72, 21.43; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 409.2 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 409.1556, found 409.1540.

4.3.1.18. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(*N*-methylmethylsulfonamido)-2-phenylvinyl)acetamide (**2r**). Following the general procedure A: white solid, mp: 111–113 °C, 41%; R<sub>f</sub> = 0.2 (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  9.54 (s, 1H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.34 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.24 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 6.51 (s, 1H), 2.97 (s, 3H), 2.90 (s, 3H), 1.99 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO–*d*<sub>6</sub>)  $\delta$  169.78, 134.51, 131.76, 128.94, 128.46, 127.76, 118.54, 39.76, 36.21, 23.69; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 291.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>SNa<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 291.0779, found 291.0772.

4.3.2. General procedure B for TfOH-mediated amidation of ynamides with other nitriles (3a, 3b)

To a stirring suspension of ynamide (0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv), nitriles (0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (2.00 mL) was added TfOH dropwise (26.0  $\mu$ L, 0.3 mmol) via a syringe pump at -40 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When progress appeared to be completed after about 0.5 h at the same temperature, the saturated sodium bicarbonate solution was added to the mixture and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with sat aq NaCl and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Filtration and concentration of the mixture in vacuo afforded the crude product that was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography [gradient eluent: EtOAc/petroleum ether] to obtain corresponding products.

4.3.2.1. (*Z*)-*N*-(1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylvinyl)pentanamide(**3a**). Following the general procedure B: colorless oil, 91%;  $R_f = 0.6$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.59 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.29 (m, 4H), 7.21–7.18 (m, 1H), 6.57 (s, 1H), 4.35 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.64 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.21 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 1.55–1.49 (m, 2H), 1.35–1.25 (m, 2H), 0.88 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  172.27, 155.86, 134.95, 129.20,129.03, 128.06, 127.21, 114.06, 63.02, 44.73, 36.12, 27.56, 22.22, 14.18; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 311.2 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 311.1366, found 311.1348.

4.3.2.2. (*Z*)-2-(4-methoxyphenyl)-*N*-(1-(2-oxooxazolidin-3-yl)-2-phenylvi-nyl) acetamide (**3b**). Following the general procedure B:

white solid, mp: 147–148 °C, 83%;  $R_f = 0.2$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.83 (s, 1H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 4H), 7.22–7.18 (m, 3H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.60 (s, 1H), 4.37–4.34 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.63 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 3.48 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO– $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.42, 158.50, 155.86, 134.84, 130.59, 129.09, 129.04, 128.09, 127.96, 127.30, 114.49, 114.18, 63.03, 55.49, 44.69, 42.37; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 375.2 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 375.1315, found 375.1295.

# 4.3.3. General procedure C for TfOH-mediated reaction of ynamides with other nitriles (**4a**–**4c**)

To a stirring suspension of ynamide (0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv), nitriles (0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in dry dichloromethane (2.00 mL) was added TfOH dropwise (26.0  $\mu$ L, 0.3 mmol, 2.0 equiv) via a syringe pump at -40 °C under nitrogen atmosphere. The reaction was monitored by TLC. When progress appeared to be completed after about 3 h at the same temperature, the saturated sodium bicarbonate solution was added to the mixture and the resulting mixture was extracted with EtOAc. The organic layers were washed with sat aq NaCl and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Filtration and concentration of the mixture in vacuo afforded the crude product that was purified by flash silica gel column chromatography [gradient eluent: EtOAc/petroleum ether] to obtain corresponding products.

4.3.3.1. *N*-(2-*phenylacetyl*)*benzamide* (**4a**). Following the general procedure C: white solid, mp: 122–124 °C, 28%;  $R_f = 0.7$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.82 (s, 1H), 7.84 (d, *J* = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 7.63–7.58 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.46 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 4H), 7.33–7.28 (m, 1H), 4.34 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.84, 165.48, 133.65, 133.25, 132.63, 129.78, 128.97, 128.61, 127.69, 127.22, 43.91; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 262.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 262.0838, found 262.0828.

4.3.3.2. 4-Chloro-N-(2-phenylacetyl)benzamide (**4b**). Following the general procedure C: white solid, mp: 166–169 °C, 37%;  $R_f = 0.8$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.91 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.39–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.35–7.30 (m, 3H), 4.32 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  173.88, 164.54, 139.78., 133.49, 130.95, 129.77, 129.27, 129.16, 128.64, 127.31, 43.95; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 296.0 (100)(M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>12</sub>ClNO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 296.0454, found 296.0457.

4.3.3.3. *N*-(2-phenylacetyl)cinnamamide (**4c**). Following the general procedure C: white solid, 47%; mp: 122–124 °C R<sub>f</sub> = 0.6 (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.60 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.56 (m, 2H), 7.42–7.37 (m, 5H), 7.34–7.31 (m, 3H), 7.22 (d, *J* = 15.5 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (s, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  172.23, 166.02, 146.30, 134.24, 133.30, 130.77, 129.61, 128.92, 128.52, 127.56, 119.21, 44.43; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 288.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 288.0968, found 288.0978.

# 4.3.4. General procedure *D* for reaction of amides with other nitriles or phenylacetylene. (**5a**, **5b**, **5c**, **6a**, **6b**)<sup>12</sup>

Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (60  $\mu$ L, 0.40 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added via syringe over 1 min to a stirred mixture of amide **2a** (50 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 2-chloropyridine (23  $\mu$ L, 0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in dichloromethane (2 mL) at -78 °C. After 5 min, the reaction mixture was placed in an icewater bath and warmed to 0 °C, the nitrile or phenylacetylene (0.22 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added via syringe, and the resulting

solution was allowed to warm to ambient temperature for 5 min before the reaction vessel was placed into a preheated oil bath at 45 °C and maintained at that temperature. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (1 mL, 1 N) was introduced to neutralize the trifluoromethanesulfonate salts. Ethyl acetate (5 mL) was added to dilute the mixture and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine (2 mL), was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and was filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography to give the product.

4.3.4.1. 3-(2,6-dimethyl-5-phenylpyrimidin-4-yl)oxazolidin-2one(**5a**). Following the general procedure D: white solid, 39%; mp: 119–121 °C.  $R_f = 0.5$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.44–7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38–7.35 (m, 1H), 7.27–7.24 (m, 2H), 4.29 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.87, 166.26, 155.82, 154.55, 134.46, 129.29, 128.48, 128.01, 126.52, 62.59, 45.62, 25.60, 23.09; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 292.1 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 292.1062, found 292.1058.

4.3.4.2. 3-(6-butyl-2-methyl-5-phenylpyrimidin-4-yl)oxazolidin-2one (**5b**). Following the general procedure D: colorless oil, 40%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.44–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.27–7.24 (m, 2H), 4.28 (t, *J* = 8.0, 2H), 3.89 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.73 (s, 3H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 1.58–1.52 (m, 2H), 1.26–1.20 (m, 2H), 0.78 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  171.62, 166.55, 156.02, 154.74, 134.19, 129.51, 128.37, 128.01, 126.60, 62.58, 45.73, 34.92, 31.31, 25.68, 22.56, 13.67; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 312.1 (100) (M+H)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 334.1531, found 334.1532.

4.3.4.3. 3-(5-(4-bromophenyl)-2,6-dimethylpyrimidin-4-yl)oxazolidin-2-one (**5c**). Following the general procedure D: yellow oil, 42%;  $R_f = 0.4$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.55 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.36 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 4.06 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 2.35 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.61, 166.45, 155.74, 154.32, 133.61, 131.68, 131.08, 125.26, 122.22, 62.65, 45.48, 25.57, 23.07; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 347.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>15</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 348.0348, found 348.0346.

4.3.4.4. 3-(6-*methyl*-3,4-*diphenylpyridin*-2-*yl*)*oxazolidin*-2-*one*(**6***a*). Following the general procedure D: white solid, 33%; mp: 166–168 °C.  $R_f = 0.5$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.25–7.19 (m, 7H), 7.13–7.12 (m, 2H), 7.05–7.03 (m, 2H), 4.23 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.63 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.55, 156.72, 152.05, 148.35, 138.38, 135.42, 131.16, 130.01, 129.14, 128.04, 127.96, 127.69, 127.42, 124.63, 62.53, 46.28, 23.98; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 353.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 353.1266, found 353.1262.

4.3.4.5. 3-(3-(4-bromophenyl)-6-methyl-4-phenylpyridin-2-yl)oxazolidin-2-one (**6**b). Following the general procedure D: yellow oil, 35%;  $R_f = 0.5$  (50% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.37–7.35 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.19 (m, 4H), 7.03–6.99 (m, 3H), 4.31 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.85 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  157.77, 156.50, 152.04, 148.24, 138.09, 134.54, 131.73, 131.22, 129.58, 129.07, 128.15, 127.88, 124.58, 121.68, 62.58, 46.28, 23.96; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 430.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sup>+</sup><sub>2</sub> (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 409.0552, found 409.0548.

#### W.-S. Wang et al. / Tetrahedron xxx (2017) 1-9

#### 4.3.5. General procedure E for the preparation of **7a**, **7b**<sup>12</sup>

Trifluoromethanesulfonic anhydride (60 µL, 0.40 mmol, 2.0 equiv) was added via syringe over 1 min to a stirred mixture of amide 2a (50 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 2-chloropyridine (23  $\mu$ L, 0.24 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in dichloroethane (2 mL) at -30 °C. After 5 min, the reaction mixture was placed in an ice-water bath and warmed to 0 °C, and then the reaction vessel was placed into a preheated oil bath at 80 °C and maintained at that temperature. After 2 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to cool to ambient temperature and aqueous sodium hydroxide solution (1 mL, 1 N) was introduced to neutralize the trifluoromethanesulfonate salts. Ethyl acetate (5 mL) was added to dilute the mixture and the layers were separated. The organic layer was washed with brine (2 mL), was dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and was filtered. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography to give the product.

4.3.5.1. 3-(1-methylisoquinolin-3-yl)oxazolidin-2-one (7a)Following the general procedure E: white solid, 30%; mp: 155–157 °C.  $R_f = 0.6$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta 8.34 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 8.04 \text{ (d, } J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}), 7.82 \text{ (d, } J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 7.82 \text{ (d, } J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 7.82 \text{ (d, } J = 8.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{Hz}), 7.82 \text{ (d, } J = 8.$ J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 4.54-4.51 (m, 2H), 4.45-4.41 (m, 2H), 2.92 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 157.44, 155.26, 144.67, 137.85, 130.24, 127.49, 125.62, 125.38, 124.69, 106.00, 62.08, 44.52, 22.02; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 251.0 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for C<sub>13</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Na<sup>+</sup> (M+Na)<sup>+</sup> 251.0796, found 251.0791.

4.3.5.2. 3-(7-bromo-1-methylisoauinolin-3-yl)oxazolidin-2-one (7b). Following the general procedure E: white solid, 31%; mp: 176–178 °C.  $R_f = 0.5$  (30% EtOAc/Petroleum Ether); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 8.33 (s, 1H), 8.19 (s, 1H), 7.71-7.67 (m, 2H), 4.53  $(t, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 4.41 (t, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{H}), 2.87 (s, 3\text{H}); {}^{13}\text{C} \text{ NMR}$ (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) & 156.58, 155.17, 145.15, 136.33, 133.59, 129.13, 127.72, 125.60, 118.97, 105.55, 62.10, 44.41, 22.07; mass spectrum (ESI): m/e (% relative intensity) 328.9 (100) (M+Na)<sup>+</sup>; HRMS calcd for  $C_{13}H_{12}BrN_2O_2^+$  (M+H)<sup>+</sup> 307.0082, found 307.0074.

#### Acknowledgments

We thank Professor Richard P. Hsung [University of Wisconsin at Madison] for invaluable discussions. Y.T. thanks National Natural Science Foundation of China [21572154] and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities [201612013] for financial support.

#### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data related to this article can be found at http:// dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2017.03.060.

#### References

- 1. (a) Kuranaga T, Sesoko Y, Inoue M. Nat Prod Rep. 2014;31:514;
- (b) Evano G, Blanchard N, Toumi M. Chem Rev. 2008;108:3054; (c) Mutoh H, Sesoko Y, Kuranaga T, Itoh H, Inoue M. Org Biomol Chem. 2016;14:
- 4199

(d) Kuranaga T, Mutoh H, Sesoko Y, Goto T, Matsunaga S, Inoue M. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137:9443;

- (e) Yamashita T, Kuranaga T, Inoue M. Org Lett. 2015;17:2170;
- (f) Yasuno Y, Nishimura A, Yasukawa Y, Karita Y, Ohfune Y, Shinada T. Chem Commun. 2016;52:1478;
- (g) Fürstner A, Dierkes T, Thiel OR, Blanda G. Chem Eur J. 2001;7:5286;
- (h) Galinis DL, McKee TC, Pannell LK, Cardellina JH, Boyd MR. J Org Chem.

#### 1997:62:8968:

- (i) McKee TC, Galinis DL, Pannell LK, et al. J Org Chem. 1998;63:7805; (j) Kim JW, Shin-ya K, Furihata K, Hayakawa Y, Seto H. J Org Chem. 1999;64:153. (a) Matsubara R, Kobayashi S. Acc Chem Res. 2008;41:292;
- (b) Gopalaiah K, Kagan HB. Chem Rev. 2011;111:4599; (c) Masson G, Courant T, Dagousset G. Synthesis. 2015;47:1799; (d) Suzumura KI, Takahashi J, Matsumoto H, et al. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997:38: 7573. (e) Dekker KA, Aiello RJ, Hirai HI, Yamauchi Y, Kojima NJ. Antibiot. 1998;51:14; (f) Clark AJ, Curran DP, Fox DJ, et al. J Org Chem. 2016;81:5547; (g) Zhao MN, Yu L, Hui RR, Ren ZH, Wang YY, Guan ZH, ACS Catal, 2016:6:3473:
- (h) Zhou X, Yan H, Ma C, et al. J Org Chem. 2016;81:25;
- (i) He L, Zhao L, Wang D, Wang M. Org Lett. 2014;16:5972; (j) Li P, Zhao J, Xia C, Li F. Org Lett. 2014;16:5992;
- (k) Zhao MN, Ren ZH, Wang YY, Guan ZH. Org Lett. 2014;16:608. 3. (a) Kondo T, Tanaka A, Kotachi S, Watanabe YJ. Chem Soc Chem Commun. 1995: 413.
- (b) Kim SM, Lee D, Hong SH. Org Lett. 2014;16:6168;
- (c) Kuai CS, Wang LH, Cui HY, Shen JH, Feng YD, Cui XL. ACS Catal. 2016;6:186; (d) Panda N, Mothkuri R. J Org Chem. 2012;77:9407;
- (e) Feng C, Loh TP. Org Lett. 2014;16:3444.
- (a) Snider BB, Song F. Org Lett. 2000;2:407;
- (b) Cesati G, Dwyer RR, Jones RC, Hayes MP, Yalamanchili P, Casebier DS. Org Lett. 2007:9:5617:
- (c) Delforge A, Georgiou I, Kremer A, Wouters J, Bonifazi D. Org Lett. 2016;18: 4844.
- (d) Jiang L, Job GE, Klapars A, Buchwald SL. Org Lett. 2003;5:3667;
- (e) Panda N, Jena AK, Raghavender M. ACS Catal. 2012;2:539;
- (f) Pan X, Cai Q, Ma D. Org Lett. 2004;6:1809;
- (g) Kozawa Y, Mori M. J Org Chem. 2003;68:3064;
- (h) Wallace DJ, Klauber DJ, Chen C, Volante RP. Org Lett. 2003;5:4749.
- Boeckmann RK, Goldstein SW, Walters MA. J Am Chem Soc. 1988;110:8250.
- 6 For leading reviews on ynamide chemistry, see: (a) DeKorver KA, Li H, Lohse AG, et al. Chem Rev. 2010;110:5064; (b) Wang XN, Yeom HS, Fang LC, et al. Acc Chem Res. 2014;47:560; (c) Evano G, Coste A, Jouvin K. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010;49:2840 (For recent examples, see); (d) Jadhav AM, Pagar VV, Huple DB, Liu RS. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2015;54:3812; (e) Tokimizu Y, Wieteck M, Rudolph M, et al. Org Lett. 2015;17:604; (f) Chen L, Yu L, Deng Y, et al. Adv Synth Catal. 2016;358:480; (g) Yabuuchi Y, Kuzuguchi T, Yoshimura T, Matsuo JI. Org Lett. 2016;18:4951; (h) Yang Y, Liu H, Peng C, et al. Org Lett. 2016;18:5022. 7. (a) Liu H, Yang Y, Wang S, Wu J, Wang XN, Chang J. Org Lett. 2015;17:4472; (b) Ghosh N, Nayak S, Sahoo AK. Chem Eur J. 2013;19:9428; (c) Walker PR, Campbell CD, Suleman A, Carr G, Anderson EA. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2013:52:9139: (d) Kiruthika SE, Nandakumar A, Perumal PT. Org Lett. 2014;16:4424; (e) Prabagar B, Nayak S, Prasad R, Sahoo AK. Org Lett. 2016;18:3066; (f) Pirwerdjan R, Becker P, Bolm C. Org Lett. 2016;18:3307; (g) Shu C, Wang YH, Shen CH, Ruan PP, Lu X, Ye LW. Org Lett. 2016;18:3254; (h) Pan F, Shu C, Ye LW. Org Biomol Chem. 2016;14:9456;
  - (i) Wezeman T, Zhong S, Nieger M, Bräse S. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2016;55:3823;
  - (j) Duret G, Quinlan R, Martin RE, et al. Org Lett. 2016;18:1610.
- 8. Hu L, Xu SL, Zhao ZG, et al. J Am Chem Soc. 2016;138:13135. (a) Madelaine C, Valerio V, Maulide N. Chem Asian J. 2011;6:2224;
- (b) Lecomte M, Evano G. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2016;55:4547;
- (c) Madelaine C, Valerio V, Maulide N. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2010;49:1583;
- (d) Peng B, OlDonovan DH, Jurberg ID, Maulide N. Chem Eur J. 2012;18:16292;
- (e) Peng B, Geerdink D, Maulide N. J Am Chem Soc. 2013;135:14968;
- (f) Peng B, Geerdink D, FarÀs C, Maulide N. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014;53:5462;
- (g) Schotes C, Mezzetti A. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2011;50:3072.
- 10. (a) Zhang J, Zhang Q, Xia B, Wu J, Wang XN, Chang J. Org Lett. 2016;18:3390; (b) Xie LG, Niyomchon S, Mota AJ, Gonzalez L, Maulide N. Nat Commun. 2016;7: 10914;
  - (c) Karad SN, Liu RS. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014;53:9072;
  - (d) Wang Y, Song LJ, Zhang XH, Sun JW. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2016;55:9704; (e) Chen YL, Sharma P, Liu RS. Chem Commun. 2016;52:3187;
- (f) Liang HS, Bi SW, Liu YX, Tang YN, Liu CC. Org Biomol Chem. 2016;14:2637.
- 11. Chen P, Song CX, Wang WS, Yu XL, Tang Y. RSC Adv. 2016;6:80055.
- 12. (a) Movassaghi M, Hill MD. J Am Chem Soc. 2006;128:14254; (b) Movassaghi M, Hill MD, Ahmad OK. J Am Chem Soc. 2007;129:10096; (c) Kaiser D, Maulide N. J Org Chem. 2016;81:4421; (d) Wu J, Xu W, Yu ZX, Wang J. J Am Chem Soc. 2015;137:9489;
- (e) Bechara SW, Khazhieva IS, Rodriguez E, Charette AB. Org Lett. 2015;17:1184. 13. (a) Peng B, Huang X, Xie LG, Maulide N. Angew Chem Int Ed. 2014;53:8718;
- (b) Ghosh N, Nayak S, Sahoo AK. Chem Eur J. 2013;19:9428; (c) Compain G, Jouvin K, Martin-Mingot A, Evano G, Marrot J, Thibaudeau S. Chem Commun. 2012;48:5196.
- 14. Hamada T, Ye X, Stahl SS. J Am Chem Soc. 2008;130:833.