

Biocatalytic One-Pot Synthesis of L-Tyrosine Derivatives from Monosubstituted Benzenes, Pyruvate, and Ammonia

Alexander Dennig, Eduardo Busto,[†] Wolfgang Kroutil,* and Kurt Faber*

Department of Chemistry, Organic and Bioorganic Chemistry, University of Graz, Heinrichstrasse 28, A-8010 Graz, Austria

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: L-Tyrosine derivatives were obtained in >97% ee via a biocatalytic one-pot two-step cascade using substituted benzenes, pyruvate, and NH₃ as starting materials. In the first step, monosubstituted arenes were regioselectively hydroxylated in the o-position by monooxygenase P450 BM3 (using O2



as oxidant with NADPH-recycling) to yield the corresponding phenols, which subsequently underwent C-C coupling and simultaneous asymmetric amination with pyruvate and NH₃ using tyrosine phenol lyase to furnish L-DOPA surrogates in up to 5.2 g L^{-1} . Instead of analytically pure arenes, crude aromatic gasoline blends containing toluene were used to yield 3-methyl-Ltyrosine in excellent yield (2 g L^{-1}) and >97% ee.

KEYWORDS: tyrosine, lyase, phenol, monooxygenase, hydroxylation

 \square roteinogenic (canonical) and nonproteinogenic α -amino acids are among the top-five families of natural compounds used for the synthesis of materials, pharmaceuticals, and nutrients.¹ Enantiomerically pure L-tyrosine derivatives represent key precursors for anticancer drugs (e.g., saframycin A), biochemical markers, and are important noncanonical amino acids for synthetic biology.² In particular, 3-methoxy-L-tyrosine (L-4c) is a pro-drug of L-DOPA, as it is significantly more stable and shows beneficial properties in the treatment of Parkinson's disease.³ In addition, it constitutes an important biochemical marker for human L-amino-acid decarboxylase deficiency.^{2c,4} Chemical de novo synthesis of tyrosine derivatives proceeds through several steps and leads to racemic material,⁵ which requires late-stage racemate resolution. Direct functionalization of L-Tyr is based on protective group chemistry^{2e} or needs harsh reagents, such as F2 or HNO3.6 In order to shortcut chemical synthesis, a biocatalytic C-C coupling was developed employing tyrosine phenol lyase mutant M379V (TPL) from Citrobacter freundii.⁷ Despite the good yields and excellent stereoselectivity (conversions 27-99%, ee's >97%), this method depends on o-substituted phenols (3a-f) as indispensable starting materials. The latter are industrially produced by air-oxidation of substituted benzenes through the Hockprocess with low efficiency (\sim 5% yield).⁸ Due to the harsh reaction conditions (\sim 20 bar, > 100 °C, strong acids),^{8,9} isomer purification is necessary to obtain o-substituted phenols in high purity. Alternatively, phenol can be synthesized from benzene using a fungal peroxidase and H_2O_2 as oxidant.¹⁰ However, due to overoxidation issues and the use of H2O2, this method is unsuitable for a multienzyme cascade. Aiming at a more efficient process for synthesis of L-tyrosine derivatives, we designed a synthetic one-pot biocascade that utilizes the combined activity of a monooxygenase and a C-C lyase (Scheme 1).

In the first step, the regio- and chemoselective ohydroxylation of an arene (1a-f) is catalyzed by a mutant of P450 BM3 (variant M2: R47S, Y51W, I401M)^{11,12} with high oselectivity (3a-f vs 2a-f > 95/5). The use of atmospheric O₂ as oxidant allowed us to combine it in situ with the TPLcatalyzed C–C coupling of the o-phenolic intermediate (3a-f)to pyruvate under consumption of NH₃ to afford L-4a-f.⁷ The feasibility of this cascade was evaluated using 1c as model substrate.^{7a,12a} P450 BM3 and TPL were both employed as cellfree lyophilized lysate providing an "off-the-shelf" catalyst that can be stored over several months. Initial conversions of 1c using reported reaction conditions for TPL^{7a} yielded \sim 5% of L-4c, proving that both enzymatic steps can be performed simultaneously in a one-pot fashion (see Supporting Information (SI); Figure S1). However, the majority of intermediate 3c (83%) remained unconverted, thus identifying the C-C coupling (step 2) as bottleneck.

In order to avoid inhibition of TPL, the concentration of reaction components was varied to elucidate their effect on the cascade productivity and (chemo)selectivity (defined as % of L-4a-f in the product mixture). A substantial effect on the selectivity for L-4c was identified when varying concentrations of NADP⁺ and P450 BM3, whereas the presence of gluconic acid (<100 mM, derived from nicotinamide-recycling) or intermediate 2c had no influence on TPL activity (see SI). However, the most critical parameter for the insufficient coupling between steps 1 and 2 turned out to be the drop in pH due to release of gluconic acid during NADPHregeneration,¹³ which shifts the equilibrium of the TPL-reaction unfavorably toward C–C cleavage (pH \leq 7).^{7a,c} In order to maintain a slightly alkaline pH to support C-C coupling, the

Received: September 23, 2015

Revised: November 5, 2015

Scheme 1. One-Pot Two-Step Biocascade Employing P450 Monooxygenase BM3 Variant M2 (R47S, Y51W, I401M)¹¹ and Tyrosine Phenol Lyase Mutant M379V (TPL)^{7a} To Form L-Tyrosine Derivatives (L-4a-f) from Substituted Benzenes $(1a-f)^a$



^aMinor side products/reactions are highlighted in brackets. PLP = pyridoxal phosphate; GDH = glucose dehydrogenase.

KPi-buffer (pK, 7.2; 48% conversion of 1c; 18% L-4c) was exchanged by a Tris-system (pK_a 8.2; 21% conversion of 1c; 71% L-4c), which improved the formation of L-4c by a factor of 1.7 (Figure S2, SI). As alternative to the GDH/NADPH/ glucose-recycling system, the use of formate dehydrogenase/ NADH/formate¹⁴ was less efficient (14% conversion of 1c, 9.7% L-4c, SI; Figure S5), most likely due to the lower coupling efficiency of P450 BM3 with NADH as cofactor. Similarly, disappointing results were obtained with a NADH-dependent P450 BM3-variant¹⁵ in combination with the FDH-system (55% of the GDH system; 24% conversion of 1c; 19% L-4c). The isomeric p-phenolic side product (2c) was detected in trace amounts $(<5\%)^{12a}$ together with *p*-hydroquinone 5c as a consequence of secondary hydroxylation of 3c by the P450 catalyst.¹⁶ In order to monitor the reaction in more detail, a time study was performed (Figure 1). Substrate 1c was oxidized rapidly (57 μ M min⁻¹ of 3c formed) by the P450 catalyst and L-4c was already detectable after 10 min (20 μ M min⁻¹ of L-4c formed), indicating efficient coupling of both steps. 2c was produced only in traces (<5%) and 5c started to accumulate at



Figure 1. Time-dependent conversion of **1c** (10 mM) by the P450monooxygenase-TPL-lyase cascade employing 2 μ M P450 BM3 variant M2 (R47S, Y51W, I401M), 400 μ M NADP⁺, 3 mg TPL mutant M379V, 40 mM pyruvate, 1200 U catalase (supplemented for removal of traces of H₂O₂ formed by uncoupling of P450 BM3), 40 μ M PLP, 12 U GDH, 100 mM D-glucose, 1% (v/v) DMSO and 180 mM NH₄⁺ in Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.0, 0.1 M) in a final reaction volume of 1 mL.

detectable amounts (>5%) after 2 h. After 6 h, 75% of 1c was converted by P450 BM3 and L-4c peaked at 42% (0.88 g L^{-1}). To explore the substrate scope of the cascade, six arenes (1a-f)were converted at three different substrate concentrations (Table 1; see SI for 10 mM values). All substrates were converted to the respective L-amino acids with large variation in conversion of 1a-f(7-87%) and selectivity (26-82% of L-4af) (Table 1). The best substrate with regard to productivity was 1c (20 mM), generating 5.5 mM of L-4c (1.16 g L^{-1} ; 72% of 1c converted), whereas significant amounts (5.47 mM, 27%) of intermediate 3c remained unconverted by TPL. The highest selectivity in amino acid formation (82%, Table 1) was obtained for 1a, albeit at low conversion (7-18%). The successful transformation of 1a was unexpected, because P450 BM3 was reported to depend on a perfluorinated fatty acid (PFA) as a decoy molecule to catalyze phenol formation.¹⁷ p-Hydroquinone formation was particularly high for halogenated substrates, because 3e is a good substrate for second oxidation by P450 BM3^{16a} due to the lower pK_a of 3e (pK_a 8.5) compared to 3a $(pK_{a}, 10)$,¹⁸ which enhances the solubility and accessibility in the aqueous phase. To demonstrate the practical utility of the cascade, all substrates were converted at 30 mL scale and 40 mM 1a-f (Table 2, entries 1-6). As Tris base impedes the purification of L-4a-f, we switched to a KPi-system for prep-scale reactions and pH-stat control to maintain the pH at 8.0. With this reaction setup, the product concentration for L-4a-f was improved significantly (3.9 to 22.7 mM L-4a-f; conversion of la-f ranged between 10 to 68%). In addition to the enhanced productivity, the cascade displayed also a better selectivity for L-4b-f (53–99%; L-4a was slightly decreased) due to an equilibrium shift toward carboligation by TPL at slightly alkaline pH. The enhanced performance of step 2 efficiently removes phenols 3a-f and thereby decreases the formation of *p*-hydroquinones via overoxidation, which was very successul in particular for conversion of 1b and 1c. Best conversions in scale-up reactions were obtained for 1b (53%) and 1c (68%), yielding 3.51 g L^{-1} (18 mM) of L-4b and 5.2 g L^{-1} (22.7 mM) of L-4c. The product titer for L-4c (Table 2, entry 3) corresponds to a space time yield (STY) of 0.11 g L^{-1} h⁻¹ which is exceptionally high for a P450-supported reaction (0.01% catalyst loading).¹⁹ Although 1a and 1d-f displayed improved product formation for the target amino acids (3.9 to 5.8 mM); Table 2), product titers did not exceed 1.3 g L^{-1} (max. 28 % conversion of arene to amino acid). Overoxidation prevailed for 1e and 1f yielding 43 and 28% of 5e and 5f, respectively (Table 2, entries 5 and 6). In contrast, this could be

Table 1. Substrate Scope of the P450-TPL Cascade^a

entry	substrate	concn [mM]	3a-f [mM]	L-4a-f $[mM]$	5a-f [mM]	conv. [%] ^b	selectivity L-4a–f [%] ^{c}
1	1a	20	0.05	1.09	0.23	7	80
2	1a	5	0.06	0.74	0.1	18	82
3	1b	20	1.89	2.85	4.97	49	29
4	1b	5	0.24	1.45	2.65	87	33
5	1c	20	5.47	5.49	1.52	72	41
6	1c	5	0.38	3.01	0.25	82	74
7	1d	20	1.44	0.98	n.d.	12	40
8	1d	5	1.01	0.7	n.d.	34	41
9	1e	20	0.41	1.67	3.33	27	31
10	1e	5	0.16	1.19	2.25	72	33
11	1f	20	0.56	1.02	2.24	19	27
12	1f	5	0.28	0.77	1.94	60	26

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: Tris buffer (0.1 M; pH 8.0), 12 U mL⁻¹ GDH, 400 μ M NADP⁺, 2 μ M P450 BM3 variant M2 (5.43 mg mL⁻¹ freeze-dried lysate), 40 μ M PLP, 180 mM NH₄⁺, substrate (1a–f), 1% (v/v) DMSO (cosolvent), 100 mM D-glucose, 40 mM pyruvate, 1200 U ml⁻¹ catalase, and 3 mg of TPL. Experiments were done in triplicate in a final volume of 1 mL with 170 rpm shaking at RT and 6 h reaction time. ^{*b*}Calculated from the sum of products formed from 1a–f. ^{*c*}Selectivity = % L-4a–f in the final product mixture. n.d. = not determined.

Table 2. Preparative-Scale Reactions with the P450-TPL Cascade under Continuous pH Control

entry	substrate	3a-f [mM]	L-4a-f $[mM]$	5a-f [mM]	conv. ^b [%]	selectivity ^{c} [% L-4a-f]	isol. yield [%]	ee l- 4a –f [%]
1	1a	0.3	3.9	1.2	14	72	7.6	>97
2	1b	1.4	18.0	1.9	53	85	34	>97
3	1c	2.6	22.7	< 0.5*	68	84	49	>97
4	1d	< 0.25*	4.0	n.d.	10	>99	6.2	>97
5	1e	0.4	5.8	4.8	28	53	7.8	>97
6	1f	0.6	5.3	2.3	21	65	6.0	>97
7	1c (no TPL)	7.2	0	4.5	31	0		
8	$1c (90 mL)^{d}$	0.8	18.4	< 0.5*	52	89	43	>97
9	TN100 _{50%} ^e	< 0.25*	6.4	0.3	17	95 ^f	n.d.	n.d.
10	TN100 _{65%} ^e	0.5	15.5	0.1	40	96 ^f	26	>97

"All experiments were done in duplicate or triplicate, and reaction conditions are described in the experimental section (see SI). ^bSum of products obtained from 1a-f. ^cSelectivity is defined as % of L-4a-f in the final product mixture. ^d20 h of reaction time with external O₂ supply. ^eSubstrate addition led to a final concentration of 40 mM of 1b; TN100 is a crude technical grade fraction of a commercial gasoline blending (see SI) containing 50–65% 1b. ^fSelectivity calculation based on conversion of 1b in TN100 blend (28–39% HPLC area (280 nm) derived from unquantified side products; for details, see Figures S26–S29 in SI); n.d. = not determined. ^{*}Limit of detectability.

efficiently suppressed for 5b and was completely abolished in the case of 5c. Lower conversions for 1a and 1d (14 and 10%) can be attributed to the high volatility substrates and a low coupling efficiency of P450 BM3.^{12a,17,20} In the absence of TPL, the oxidation of 1c decreased by 54%, and formation of the overoxidation product 5c increased by 3.8-fold (Table 2, entry 7). From this, we conclude that TPL enhances the productivity of P450 BM3 by avoiding the accumulation of inhibiting phenolic intermediates (3a-f) and overoxidation products (5a-f). FMN-/FAD-containing multicomponent-enzymes, like P450 BM3,²⁰ are easily inhibited by electron-rich phenols/phydroquinones through formation of charge-transfer complexes with electron-deficient flavin species,²¹ which impede the binding of NAD(P)H.²² Products L-4a-f produced at 30 mL scale were purified yielding 14.9 to 124 mg (6 to 49% isolated yield) all in >97% ee. Reduced KOH consumption (pH stat) was observed upon scale-up of 1c (40 mM, 90 mL, Table 2, entry 8), indicating limitation in the P450 monooxygenase activity (H⁺ release from cofactor recycling; Scheme 1). We assumed limited availability of O2, 19b which was circumvented by external O_2 supply resulting in a ~3-fold increased KOH consumption rate.^{13a} Under these reaction conditions (90 mL scale, pH stat, O_2 supply), 3.88 g L⁻¹ of L-4c (330 mg, 18.4 mM, 89% selectivity) were produced within 20 h (30 mL scale reaction done for 48 h)which corresponds to a STY of 0.19 g $L^{-1} h^{-1}$ and a conversion of 52% of 1c (Table 2, entry 8). To challenge the system further, a technical-grade aromatic gasoline blend TN100 obtained from petroleum steam-cracking (containing 50 to 65% of 1b and other benzenes²³) was used as starting material. Although all ingredients of the latter are potential substrates of P450 BM3 oxidation,^{11,12b,20,24} against all expectations, the conversion of gasoline blend TN100 gave L-4b in 95–96% selectivity (based on conversion of 1b) and in good quantity (6.4–15.5 mM; 1.3 to 3 g L⁻¹, 17 to 40% conversion of 1b, Table 2, entries 9 and 10, see SI). Minor products and residual intermediates 2a or 2b (28–39% HPLC area, see SI) were simply removed by extraction. After purification, 60 mg of isolated L-4b (a precursor for antitumor agents, such as saframycin A or renieramycin H^{2c,f}) were obtained in >97% purity and ee > 97%.

In summary, a one-pot artificial biocascade employing a P450 monooxygenase and a C–C lyase allowed the preparative scale synthesis of L-tyrosine derivatives with product titers up to 5.2 g L^{-1} , a STY of up to 0.19 g L^{-1} h⁻¹ and close to perfect ee (>97%). The key to success was the in situ removal of inhibiting phenolic reaction intermediates during the course of the cascade.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acscatal.5b02129.

Catalyst preparation, cascade optimization, and analytical data (HPLC traces, LC-MS, ¹H-, ¹³C-, and ¹⁹F-NMR) for isolated and purified compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

*E-mail: Wolfgang.Kroutil@Uni-Graz.at.

*E-mail: Kurt.Faber@Uni-Graz.at.

Present Address

[†]Department of Organic Chemistry I, Universidad Complutense de Madrid, 28040 Madrid (Spain).

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

General reaction conditions: KPi buffer (0.1 M; pH 8.0), 12 U mL⁻¹ GDH, 400 μ M NADP⁺, 4 μ M P450 BM3 variant M2 (R47S, Y51W, I401M; cell-free lysate), 40 μ M PLP, 180 mM NH₄⁺, 40 mM substrate **1a**-**f**, 1% (v/v) DMSO (cosolvent), 1.18 g of D-glucose, 660 mg of pyruvate, 1200 U ml⁻¹ catalase, and 90 mg of TPL mutant M379V;²² (cell-free lysate). Conversions were done at 30 mL scale with 400 rpm stirring at RT and with pH stat control using 0.5 M KOH for 48 h.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Bernhard Hauer and co-workers (University of Stuttgart) are acknowledged for providing the plasmid containing the gene of P450 BM3. E.B. received funding from the European Commission by a Marie Curie Actions-Intra-European Fellowship (IEF) in the project "BIOCASCADE" (FP7-PEOPLE-2011-IEF, PIEF-GA-2011-298030).

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Najera, C.; Sansano, J. M. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 4584-4671. (b) Williams, R. M. Synthesis of optically active α -amino acids, Organic Chemistry Series Vol. 7; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 1989; preface pp 9-13. (2) (a) Lee, G. E.; Joshi, B. V.; Chen, W.; Jeong, L. S.; Moon, H. R.; Jacobson, K. A.; Kim, Y. C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 571-575. (b) Chen, P. W.; Lee, N. C.; Chien, Y. H.; Wu, J. Y.; Wang, P. C.; Hwu, W. L. Clin. Chim. Acta 2014, 431, 19-22. (c) Dong, W.; Liu, W.; Liao, X.; Guan, B.; Chen, S.; Liu, Z. J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 5363-5368. (d) Ohtake, K.; Yamaguchi, A.; Mukai, T.; Kashimura, H.; Hirano, N.; Haruki, M.; Kohashi, S.; Yamagishi, K.; Murayama, K.; Tomabechi, Y.; Itagaki, T.; Akasaka, R.; Kawazoe, M.; Takemoto, C.; Shirouzu, M.; Yokoyama, S.; Sakamoto, K. Sci. Rep. 2015, 5, 9762. (e) McCubbin, J. A.; Maddess, M. L.; Lautens, M. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2993-2996. (f) Chen, X.; Zhu, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3962-3965. (g) Seyedsayamdost, M. R.; Reece, S. Y.; Nocera, D. G.; Stubbe, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1569-1579. (h) Natarajan, A.; Schwans, J. P.; Herschlag, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 7643-7654. (i) Li, F.; Shi, P.; Li, J.; Yang, F.; Wang, T.; Zhang, W.; Gao, F.; Ding, W.; Li, D.; Li, J.; Xiong, Y.; Sun, J.; Gong, W.; Tian, C.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3958-3962.

(3) (a) Di Stefano, A.; Sozio, P.; Cerasa, L. S. *Molecules* 2008, 13, 46–68. (b) Pilling, J. B.; Baker, J.; Iversen, L. L.; Iversen, S. D.; Robbins, T. J. Neurol., Neurosurg. Psychiatry 1975, 38, 129–135.

(4) Swoboda, K. J.; Saul, J. P.; McKenna, C. E.; Speller, N. B.; Hyland, K. Ann. Neurol. **2003**, *54*, 549–555.

(5) (a) Badshah, A.; Khan, N. H.; Kidwai, A. R. J. Org. Chem. 1972, 37, 2916–2918. (b) Fennoy, L. V. J. Org. Chem. 1961, 26, 4696–4698.
(c) Jorgensen, E. C.; Wiley, R. A. J. Pharm. Sci. 1963, 52, 122–125.
(d) Kraft, K. Chem. Ber. 1951, 84, 150–156. (e) Palaniswamy, V. A.;

Gould, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1986**, 108, 5651–5652. (f) Shaw, K. N. F.; McMillan, A.; Armstrong, M. D. J. Org. Chem. **1958**, 23, 27–32.

(6) Vasdev, N.; Chirakal, R.; Ashique, R.; Schrobilgen, G. J.; Gulenchyn, K. J. Fluorine Chem. 2003, 121, 9–14.

(7) (a) Seisser, B.; Zinkl, R.; Gruber, K.; Kaufmann, F.; Hafner, A.; Kroutil, W. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2010**, 352, 731–736. (b) Demidkina, T. V.; Barbolina, M. V.; Faleev, N. G.; Sundararaju, B.; Gollnick, P. D.; Phillips, R. S. *Biochem. J.* **2002**, 363, 745–752. (c) Busto, E.; Simon, R. C.; Kroutil, W. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 10899–10902.

(8) Tlili, A.; Xia, N.; Monnier, F.; Taillefer, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8725–8728.

(9) Schmidt, R. J. Appl. Catal., A 2005, 280, 89-103.

(10) Karich, A.; Kluge, M.; Ullrich, R.; Hofrichter, M. AMB Express 2013, 3, 5.

(11) Dennig, A.; Marienhagen, J.; Ruff, A. J.; Guddat, L.; Schwaneberg, U. *ChemCatChem* **2012**, *4*, 771–773.

(12) (a) Dennig, A.; Lülsdorf, N.; Liu, H.; Schwaneberg, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 8459–8462. (b) Whitehouse, C. J.; Yang, W.; Yorke, J. A.; Rowlatt, B. C.; Strong, A. J.; Blanford, C. F.; Bell, S. G.; Bartlam, M.; Wong, L. L.; Rao, Z. ChemBioChem 2010, 11, 2549– 2556.

(13) (a) Neufeld, K.; Marienhagen, J.; Schwaneberg, U.; Pietruszka, J. *Green Chem.* 2013, *15*, 2408–2421. (b) Müller, C. A.; Dennig, A.; Welters, T.; Winkler, T.; Ruff, A. J.; Hummel, W.; Gröger, H.; Schwaneberg, U. *J. Biotechnol.* 2014, *191*, 196–204. (c) Müller, C. A.; Akkapurathu, B.; Winkler, T.; Staudt, S.; Hummel, W.; Gröger, H.; Schwaneberg, U. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* 2013, *355*, 1787–1798. (d) Pennec, A.; Hollmann, F.; Smit, M. S.; Opperman, D. J. *ChemCatChem* 2015, *7*, 236–239. (e) Staudt, S.; Burda, E.; Giese, C.; Müller, C. A.; Marienhagen, J.; Schwaneberg, U.; Hummel, W.; Drauz, K.; Gröger, H. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2013, *52*, 2359–2363.

(14) Busto, E.; Richter, N.; Grischek, B.; Kroutil, W. Chem. - Eur. J. **2014**, 20, 11225–11228.

(15) Maurer, S. C.; Kühnel, K.; Kaysser, L. A.; Eiben, S.; Schmid, R. D.; Urlacher, V. B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2005**, 347, 1090–1098.

(16) (a) Sulistyaningdyah, W. T.; Ogawa, J.; Li, Q. S.; Maeda, C.; Yano, Y.; Schmid, R. D.; Shimizu, S. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2005**, 67, 556–562. (b) Agudo, R.; Reetz, M. T. *Chem. Commun.* **2013**, 49, 10914–10916.

(17) Shoji, O.; Kunimatsu, T.; Kawakami, N.; Watanabe, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 6606–6610.

(18) (a) Ng, C. A.; Hungerbuhler, K. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2014, 48, 4637–4648. (b) Liptak, M. D.; Gross, K. C.; Seybold, P. G.; Feldgus, S.; Shields, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 6421–6427.

(19) (a) Schrewe, M.; Julsing, M. K.; Bühler, B.; Schmid, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2013**, *42*, 6346–6377. (b) Lundemo, M. T.; Woodley, J. M. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2015**, *99*, 2465–2483.

(20) Whitehouse, C. J.; Bell, S. G.; Wong, L. L. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2012, 41, 1218–1260.

(21) (a) Salonen, L. M.; Ellermann, M.; Diederich, F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 4808–4842. (b) Abramovitz, A. S.; Massey, V. J. Biol. Chem. 1976, 251, 5327–5336. (c) Stueckler, C.; Reiter, T. C.; Baudendistel, N.; Faber, K. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 663–667.

(22) (a) Clay, D.; Winkler, C. K.; Tasnadi, G.; Faber, K. *Biotechnol. Lett.* **2014**, *36*, 1329–1333. (b) Winkler, C. K.; Clay, D.; van Heerden, E.; Faber, K. *Biotechnol. Bioeng.* **2013**, *110*, 3085–3092.

(23) Dow Chem. Co. product sheet. Available at the following: http://msdssearch.dow.com/PublishedLiteratureDOWCOM/dh_ 090c/0901b8038090cbef.pdf?filepath=aromatics/pdfs/noreg/778-00801.pdf&fromPage=GetDoc.

(24) (a) Tee, K. L.; Schwaneberg, U. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 5380–5383. (b) Whitehouse, C. J.; Rees, N. H.; Bell, S. G.; Wong, L. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 6862–6868. (c) Whitehouse, C. J.; Bell, S. G.; Wong, L. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2008, 14, 10905–10908.