ORGANOMETALLICS

ARTICLE

Half-Sandwich Ruthenium(II) Picolyl-NHC Complexes: Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Activity in Transfer Hydrogenation Reactions

Francys E. Fernández, M. Carmen Puerta,* and Pedro Valerga*

Departamento de Ciencia de los Materiales e Ingeniería Metalúrgica y Química Inorgánica, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Cádiz, 11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz, España

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ruthenium(II) complexes with picolyl-functionalized N-heterocyclic carbenes $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})-Ru(L)(CH_{3}CN)][PF_{6}]$ (L = 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene (1a), 3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene (1b), 3-phenyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene (1c), 3-mesityl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene (1e), 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)benzoimidazol-2-ylidene (1e), 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazol-2-ylidene (1f)) have been synthesized and characterized. Compounds 1a,b were recrystallized as



 BAr_4^{F} salts (anion BAr_4^{F-} = tetrakis(3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl)borate), giving **2a**,**b**. X-ray crystal structures of the acetonitrile adduct **2a** and the dioxygen compound **2b** are also reported. Furthermore, carbonyl derivatives **3a**-**f** have been prepared, characterized, and used to study the donor properties of the picolylcarbene ligands (L) via infrared spectroscopy. Compounds **1a**-**f** show catalytic activity in transfer hydrogenation of ketones. Notably, complex **1a** was found to be a very efficient and versatile catalyst toward transfer hydrogenation of a wide range of ketones and imines.

■ INTRODUCTION

In recent years, N-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) have been widely used in organometallic chemistry as an alternative to wellknown phosphine ligands for the synthesis of homogeneous catalysts.¹ Many efforts have been placed in the design of new NHC ligands to tune their steric and electronic properties toward enhancing the catalytic activity of their complexes. NHCs functionalized with an additional donor group have become an important group of ligands due to the potential hemilability of the new donor group, capable of reversible dissociation from the metal center. Many reports have been published with phosphine,² pyrimidine,³ ether,⁴ thioether,⁵ carboxylate,⁶ indenyl,⁷ oxazoline,⁸ and pyridine⁹ as donor groups. Ligands with nitrogen donors have attracted the most attention; particularly, metal complexes bearing pyridine-functionalized NHCs of Ir,¹⁰ Ag,¹¹ Pd,¹² Ru,¹³ and Ni¹⁴ have been synthesized. Those complexes ¹⁰ Ag,¹¹ have shown catalytic activity in olefin polymerization reactions,¹⁵ C-C coupling reactions,¹⁶ transfer hydrogenation of ketones,¹⁷ hydrosilylation reactions,¹⁸ and reduction of nitroarenes.¹⁹ Among nitrogen donors, picoline has been used to generate N-picolyl-NHC ligands which can be easily synthesized with different substitution patterns on the picoline ring and the NHC.²⁰ This leads to a versatile ligand group for the study of coordination properties.

Ruthenium compounds with cyclopentadienyl type ligands have been greatly studied because they are able to give rise to metastable 16-electron species with many potential catalytic applications.²¹ Nolan and co-workers have synthesized half-sandwich ruthenium complexes bearing monodentate NHCs proven to be active toward olefin metathesis and racemization of chiral alcohols.²² In addition, the synthesis of Cp ruthenium complexes (Cp = cyclopentadienyl) with NHCs which showed catalytic activity for alkyne dimerization has been reported.²³ Recently, Peris reported the synthesis and catalytic activity of two complexes of ruthenium with Cp-NHC-functionalized ligands.²⁴ Jin et al. synthesized a half-sandwich ruthenium containing 1,2-dichalcogenolato-1,2-dicarba-*closo*-dode-carborane and a picolyl-NHC ligand noncoordinated by the pyridyl arm (Figure 1).²⁵ However, to the best of our knowledge there are no reports of cyclopentadienyl Ru picolyl-NHC complexes.

Transfer hydrogenation reactions of C=O and C=NR groups using metal complexes as catalysts have been extensively studied and continue to generate a high degree of interest, given the need to develop environmentally friendly and simple processes.²⁶ NHC complexes of iridium,²⁷ rhodium,²⁸ and ruthenium²⁹ have demonstrated good activity in those reactions, particularly showing significant applications in asymmetric reductions³⁰ and racemization of chiral alcohols.³¹ Recently, Morris and co-workers reported the hydrogenation of substituted acetophenones using a pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ruthenium(II) NHC complex.³²

Our group has broad experience with the stoichiometric chemistry of pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ruthenium complexes bearing P,P and P,N ligands.^{33,34} We aim to replace the

```
        Received:
        July 22, 2011

        Published:
        October 12, 2011
```

phosphine fragment by an NHC, pursuing less toxic complexes toward greener chemistry. Here we report a series of novel halfsandwich ruthenium(II) picolyl-NHC complexes in which the ligands have been varied systematically to study the influence of the wingtip substituent as well as the substituents at the C-4 and C-5 carbons of the imidazole ring on the steric and electronic properties of the metal center. To obtain further information on the donor strength of the carbene ligands, CO analogues were synthesized and analyzed by infrared spectroscopy. The new ruthenium compounds are active toward transfer hydrogenation of a wide variety of ketones and imines under mild conditions with almost quantitative conversions and short reaction times.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Synthesis of Precursors of Picolyl-NHC Ligands. A series of picolylimidazolium salts (a-f, Scheme 1) have been prepared as



Figure 1. (a) $[Ru(Cp-NHC)(CO)I]^{24}$ and (b) $[(p-cymene)Ru-(Cab^{S,S})(3-methyl-1-picolylimidazolin-2-ylidene)].^{25}$



Scheme 2

ligand precursors following literature procedures^{35–38} and, in the case of 3-(phenyl)-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide and 3-(methyl)-1-(2-picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazolium bromide, by reaction of the alkyl imidazolium and picolyl bromide in a nonpolar solvent. The salts were isolated in high yields and characterized by ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectra of **c** and **f** in DMSO-*d*₆ showed a characteristic low-field resonance for the C₂ imidazolium proton at 10.95 and 10.81 ppm, respectively.

Synthesis of η^5 -Pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Picolyl-NHC Ru(II) Complexes. The Ru(II) cationic complexes 1a-f (Scheme 2) have been prepared as hexafluorophosphate salts upon treatment of the metal precursor $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ru-(CH_3CN)_3][PF_6]$ with a THF solution of the appropriate ligand, generated in situ by the reaction of the picolylimidazolium bromide a-f and an excess of K^tBuO. The reaction is complete after 12 h at 65 °C. All products were purified by column chromatography on Al₂O₃, with CH₃CN as eluent, and isolated in good yields. The new Ru(II) compounds were characterized by ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR and elemental analysis. All the ruthenium picolyl-NHC complexes are very soluble in THF, acetone, and chlorinated solvents but insoluble in nonpolar solvents such as hexane, Et₂O, and petroleum ether.

¹H NMR spectra of compounds 1a-f lack the C₂ imidazolium proton resonance signals at 10-12 ppm, indicating the coordination of the C_2 carbone carbon to the metal center. Also, there are two characteristic AB doublet signals at 5-6 ppm with coupling constants of 14-15 Hz corresponding to the methylene bridge protons, which become diastereotopic after coordination of the ligands to the Ru atom, given the κ^2 -C₁N coordination. Similar NMR features for $(\eta^5 - C_5 Me_5)$ Ru complexes with κ^2 -P,N chelating phosphinopicoline ligands have been observed. 34b Furthermore, this behavior has been reported by Xue and coworkers in the synthesis of Ru(II) carbonyl Py-NHC complexes.³⁹ The $^{13}C{^{1}H}$ NMR signals of the carbone carbons atoms of 1a-f (191–209 ppm) are located as expected for $(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Ru(NHC)$ compounds.^{22,23,32} It is interesting to note the displacement to lower field, up to 209 ppm, of the NMR resonance of the C₂ carbon atom corresponding to the benzoimidazole analogue 1e. It is possible to explain this observation due to destabilization in the imidazolium ring conjugation produced by the attached benzene ring, which leads to a lower electron density at the C₂ carbon.

Many attempts to crystallize compounds 1a-f were made in different solvent combinations. However, it was not possible to



Scheme 3







Figure 2. ORTEP view of the cationic complex in **2a**, $[\text{Ru}(\eta^{5}-\text{C}_{5}\text{Me}_{5})-(\text{NHC}-\kappa^{2}\text{C},N)(\text{NCCH}_{3}-\kappa^{1}N)][B(\text{Ar}^{F})_{4}]$ (NHC = 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-cyclopentadienyl (centroid) = 1.811(2), Ru(1)-C(19) = 2.059(5), Ru(1)-N(1) = 2.106(4), Ru(1)-N(4) = 2.047(5), C(19)-N(2) = 1.343(7), C-(19)-N(3) = 1.356(7); N(4)-C(11) = 1.128(7); C(19)-Ru(1)-N(1) = 84.3(2), C(19)-Ru(1)-N(4) = 86.9(2), N(1)-Ru(1)-N(4) = 91.8(2), N(2)-C(19)-N(3) = 105.3(5); N(4)-C(11)-C(12) = 172.4(8).

obtain crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction. Hence, an anion exchange process of compounds **1a**,**b** from PF₆ to BAr₄^F (Ar^F = 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl) was completed. The anion exchange was accomplished quantitatively by stirring an ether solution of **1a** and NaBAr₄^F under argon for 24 h at room temperature. The product **2a** was then filtered through Celite to remove NaPF₆ to yield a brown-red solid. After recrystallization on Et₂O/petroleum (1/2) crystals that allowed us to unambiguously determine the molecular structure of **2a** by X-ray diffraction were obtained. On the other hand, with complex **1b** the anion exchange process was completed in air, and after following an experimental procedure similar to that previously mentioned the η^2 -dioxygen complex **2b** was obtained. Crystals of **2b** suitable for

Figure 3. ORTEP view of the cationic complex in 3b, $[\text{Ru}(\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{5}\text{Me}_{5})$ -(NHC- $\kappa^{2}C_{i}N(\eta^{2}\text{-}O_{2})][B(Ar^{F})_{4}]$ (NHC = 3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene). Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Ru(1)-cyclopentadienyl (centroid) = 1.863(2), Ru(1)-C(11) = 2.051(3), Ru(1)-N(3) = 2.155(3), Ru(1)-O(1) = 1.995(2), Ru(1)-O(2) = 2.029(3), O(1)-O(2) = 1.390(4), C(11)-N(1) = 1.349(4), C(11)-N(2) = 1.347(4); O(1)-Ru(1)-O(2) = 40.41(11), O(1)-Ru(1)-C(11) = 115.81(12), O(2)-Ru(1)-C(11) = 85.68(12), O(1)-Ru(1)-N(3) = 83.54(12), O(2)-Ru(1)-N(3) = 107.20(11), C(11)-Ru(1)-N(3) = 84.33(12), N(1)-C(11)-N(2) = 104.6(3).

X-ray diffraction were obtained after recrystallization on $Et_2O/$ petroleum (1/2) (Scheme 3).

The η^2 -dioxygen complex **2b** is stable in the solid state as well as in solutions of Et₂O and CH₂Cl₂. In previous work our group reported dioxygen compounds containing the fragment {(η^{5} -C₅Me₅)Ru}, but in those cases the dissolution of the complexes was unstable and led to transfer of dioxygen to the phosphino ligand.^{40a} However, oxygen transfer to the imidazolidene was not observed, giving the possibility to explore in the future the oxygen transfer capabilities of **2b** to other substrates. The stability of **2b** is remarkable, as it is inverse to that of other reported dioxygen complexes containing imidazolidene ligands that easily undergo oxidation on the metal center.^{40b}

Complexes **2a**,**b** were unambiguously characterized by X-ray diffraction studies (Figures 2 and 3).

Both complexes adopt a piano stool geometry about the ruthenium center, with the corresponding chelating NHC and acetonitrile (**2a**) or η^2 -dioxygen ligands (**2b**). The Ru-C_{carbene} bonds, 2.059(5) Å in **2a** and 2.051(3) Å in **2b**, are slightly larger than that of the complex [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(C-NH₂)(py)]PF₆, 2.03(1) Å,³² the only previously reported structure of a (η^5 -C₅Me₅)Ru cationic complex containing a chelating NHC ligand, but it is in the expected range of other Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(NHC)L₂ complexes.^{6a,23b,24} The Ru-N_{pyridyl} lengths found in **2a,b**, 2.106(4) and 2.155(3) Å, respectively, are also comparable to the 2.156(8) Å found for Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(C-NH₂)(py)]PF₆.³² The acetonitrile ligand in **2a** bonds linearly with characteristics similar to those found for other Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅) acetonitrile complexes such as [$(\eta^5$ -C₅Me₅)Ru(MeCN)(κ^2 -3-Ph₂P-2-Me₂N-indene)][SO₃CF₃]^{41a} and [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(MeCN)(^{Me}Tpm)]-[PF₆] (^{Me}Tpm = tris(3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl)methane).^{41b}

Scheme 4



Table 1. Selected IR Data (Nujol, cm⁻¹) for Compounds 3a-f and $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)(^iPr_2PCH_2Py)]BPh_4$

| | ν (C=O) |
|---|-------------|
| 3a | 1925 |
| 3b | 1920 |
| 3c | 1929 |
| 3d | 1928 |
| 3e | 1929 |
| 3f | 1937 |
| $[(\eta^{5}\text{-}C_{5}\text{Me}_{5})\text{Ru}(\text{CO})(^{i}\text{Pr}_{2}\text{PCH}_{2}\text{Py})]\text{BPh}_{4}^{44}$ | 1937 |

Parameters for the dioxygen ligand in **2b** indicate a η^2 -symmetrical disposition. Also, the O₁-O₂ bond distance is intermediate between those of free dioxygen and peroxide ligand, as well as close to those found in Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅) complexes containing bidentate phosphines such as [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(O₂)(dippe)]-[BPh₄] (dippe = 1,2-bis(diisopropylphosphino)ethane),^{42a} [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(O₂)(dppm)][BPh₄] (dppm = 1,1-bis(diphenylphosphino)methane),^{42b} and [Ru(η^5 -C₅Me₅)(O₂)(κ^2 -P,N-ⁱPr₂-PCH₂Quin)][BAr'₄].^{42c}

Synthesis of Carbonyl Derivatives. Several attempts have been made to establish the donor properties of NHCs,⁴³ and the carbonyl derivatives of pentamethylcyclopentadienyl Ru(II) complexes provide the opportunity to assess the basicity of NHCs via infrared and NMR spectroscopy. The new carbonyl complexes 3a-f can be easily synthesized by bubbling CO through a DCM solution of the acetonitrile precursors 1a-f (Scheme 4).

Infrared absorption energies corresponding to CO stretching from compounds 3a-f provide valuable information regarding the donor strength of the picolyl-NHC ligands (Table 1). The difference in wingtip substituent does not significantly affect the CO absorption energies. On the other hand, compound 3f exhibits the highest stretching frequency, indicative of less back-donation to CO from the metal center and a less electron donating ligand set; this is consistent with the inclusion of Cl on the NHC. It is interesting to note that compounds 3a-e show significantly lower stretching frequencies on comparison with those of 3f and the $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ru(CO)(Pr_2PCH_2Py)]BPh_4$ analogue; this experimental evidence reinforces the fact that NHCs are stronger σ donors in comparison to phosphines. It is necessary to modify the NHC backbone via the inclusion of a chloride atom on the NHC, as observed for 3f, to diminish the donating power of the ligand up to being comparable with phosphines.



Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation. A few ruthenium NHC complexes have been reported as catalysts for the transfer hydrogenation of ketones.^{3b,45} Therefore, complexes **1a,b,e,f** were tested as catalysts for this transformation. The ruthenium picolylcarbene complexes catalyze the transfer hydrogenation of ketones and imines from ⁱPrOH with KOH as the initiator (Scheme 5).

The reduction of acetophenone to 1-phenylethanol was used as a representative reaction to screen the performance of Ru picolylcarbene catalysts. The results given in Table 2 show the influence of the wingtip and imidazole backbone substituents on the catalytic activity. Catalysts containing a Me (entries 1, 5, and 6) as a wingtip substituent are more active than those containing isopropyl or mesityl (entries 3 and 4). This behavior indicates that steric effects may be playing an important role in the catalytic activity. However, it is important to note that the lower donor character of the ligand with the chloro substituents on the imidazole backbone in compound 1f (entry 6) shows a significantly lower activity than its analogue 1a (entry 1) under the same reaction conditions. Thus, electronic properties may also account for the catalytic activity, although not as much as steric effects. Compound 1a showed the best activity even with catalyst loadings as low as 0.1 mol %, giving a TOF up 100 min⁻¹.

Catalyst 1a proved to be the most efficient of these complexes in the transfer hydrogenation of acetophenone. Hence, several aromatic and aliphatic ketones as well as imines were chosen to explore the activity of 1a toward transfer hydrogenations (Table 3). Aromatic halo substituents have an enhancing effect on the catalytic activity, showing quantitative results on the formation of the corresponding alcohols (entries 2 and 3). However, MeO-substituted acetophenones showed lower yields (entries 4-6), indicating a less efficient hydrogenation process. Thus, electron-withdrawing groups on acetophenones benefit the catalytic activity while electron donating groups decrease it. On the other hand, benzophenone is quantitatively reduced to 1,1-diphenylmethanol (entry 7).

Complex 1a was demonstrated to be very active toward a variety of alkyl ketones. Particularly, cyclohexanone was reduced to cyclohexanol almost quantitatively (entry 8). Also 1a showed activity for the synthesis of 2-(1-hydroxyethyl)cyclohexanol (entry 9), which is an important building block in organic chemistry. However, the presence of two ketone groups diminishes the reaction yields, even with an increased reaction time. Unsaturated ketones are interesting substrates for TH reactions because they may undergo reduction on the carbonyl and/or olefin moiety. Nonetheless, 1a proved to selectively reduce the carbonyl moiety on 6-methylhept-5-en-2-one (entry 10). Moreover, 2-acetylpyridine is efficiently hydrogenated (entry 11) almost quantitatively with an increased reaction time of 3 h. Furthermore, complex 1a works as an efficient catalyst in the hydrogenation of N-benzylideneaniline (entry 12), demonstrating the versatility of the new catalyst toward the hydrogenation of ketones and imines. Our catalyst system has exhibited much better catalytic activity and works on a wider scope of substrates than do previously reported ruthenium pyridyl-NHC

 Table 2. Influence of Wingtips and Backbone Substituents on the Catalytic Activity of Ru Picolylcarbene Complexes^a

| entry | catalyst | cat. R wingtip group | cat. R ₁ backbone group | $t (\min)^b$ | TOF_{50} $(\mathrm{min}^{-1})^c$ |
|-------|----------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| 1 | 1a | Me | Н | 10 | 62 |
| 2 | 1a | Me | Н | 60 | 100^d |
| 3 | 1b | ⁱ Pr | Н | 40 | 16 |
| 4 | 1d | mesityl | Н | >1000 | 0.38 |
| 5 | 1e | Me | -CH=CH- | 30 | 16 |
| | | | CH=CH- | | |
| 6 | 1f | Me | Cl | 15 | 20 |
| a | | | | | |

^{*a*} Transfer hydrogenation of acetophenone reaction conditions: 2.00 mmol of acetophenone, KOH (10 mol %), catalyst (1 mol %) in 4 mL of *i*PrOH. ^{*b*} Reaction time required for conversions >90%. ^{*c*} Turnover frequency at 50% conversion. ^{*d*} 0.1 mol % of catalyst loading.

complexes.⁴⁶ Furthermore, compound **1a** has shown better performance when compared with $(C_5Me_5)Ir(NHC)$ complexes, as evidenced in the lower reaction times and catalyst loadings needed to complete the reactions.⁴⁷ It is reasonable to assume that catalytic transfer hydrogenation reaction of **1a** occurs via a monohydride mechanism, as has been reported for other ruthenium Cp analogues.⁴⁸

CONCLUSION

We have reported the synthesis and characterization of novel $[(\eta^5 \cdot C_5 Me_5)Ru(picolyl-NHC)(CH_3CN)][PF_6]$ (1a-f) complexes in which the substituents on the NHC backbone and wingtip have been modified. The crystal structures of two of the complexes prepared (2a,b) after completing an anion exchange process have been described. The reactivity of such complexes toward CO led to the production of carbonyl derivatives 3a-f. An infrared spectroscopy study of the CO stretching frequencies of carbonyl complexes 3a-f has shown that picolyl-NHC ligands a-d are stronger donors than the analogous picolyl-phosphine ligand. Furthermore, the inclusion of chloride on the NHC backbone is needed to obtain a less donating ligand (f) comparable to phosphines.

The catalytic study of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Ru(picolyl-NHC)(CH_{3}CN)]$ [PF₆] complexes **1a**-f toward transfer hydrogenation reactions of acetophenone was completed, showing high activity in most cases. The results also showed that picolylcarbenes are tunable ligands that with small changes can lead to an increase of catalytic activity. Particularly, complex **1a** has proved to be a versatile and efficient transfer hydrogenation catalyst with outstanding activity in comparison to its analogues and other ruthenium and iridium complexes.^{46,47} Furthermore, **1a** has shown high tolerance to functional groups on the reduction of a wide range of ketones and imines.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Unless otherwise stated, all manipulations were carried out under dry nitrogen or argon using conventional Schlenk techniques. Tetrahydrofuran, diethyl ether, and petroleum ether (boiling range 40-60 °C) were obtained free of oxygen and water with an Innovative Technology Inc. solvent purification system. Acetonitrile and dichloromethane were of anhydrous quality and used as received. All solvents were degassed immediately prior to use. 3-Methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (a),³⁵ 3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (b),³⁶ 3-mesityl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (d),³⁷

Table 3. Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation

| Entry | Substrate | Product | t (h) | TON | % yield |
|-------|---------------------|----------------------|-------|-------------|-----------|
| 1 | | OH | 1 | 930 | 93 |
| 2 | F | P OH | 1 | 1000 | > 99 |
| 3 | Br | Br | 1 | 1000 | > 99 |
| 4 | MeO | OH MeO | 1 | 740 | 74 |
| 5 | MeO MeO | MeO MeO | 1 | 820 | 82 |
| 6 | OMe O MeO MeO | OMe OH MeO MeO | 1 | 830 | 83 |
| 7 | | OH | 1 | 1000 | > 99 |
| 8 | ° (| OH | 1 | 1000 | > 99 |
| 9 | | OH OH | 1 (3) | 100 (270) | 10 (27) |
| 10 | | OH | 1 | 950 | 95 |
| 11 | N O | OH N | 1 (3) | 740 (> 990) | 74 (> 99) |
| 12 | | HN | 1 | 1000 | > 99 |

^{*a*} Transfer hydrogenation reaction conditions: 2.00 mmol of substrate, KOH (10 mol %), catalyst (0.1 mol %) in 4 mL of ^{*i*}PrOH at 82 °C. ^{*c*} Product yield determined by GC-MS using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. Turnover number (TON) = (mmol of product)/(mmol of catalyst) after time *t*.

3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)benzoimidazolium bromide (e),³⁸ [(η^{5} -C₅Me₅)-Ru(CH₃CN)₃][PF₆],⁴⁹ and NaBAr^F₄⁵⁰ were prepared using slightly modified versions of the published procedures. All other reagents were purchased from commercial sources and used without further purification.

NMR spectra were recorded using Varian INOVA 400 MHz and Varian Inova 600 MHz spectrometers, and chemical shifts are reported relative to TMS for ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} and 85% H₃PO₄ for ³¹P{¹H}. Assignments of ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectra were made on the basis of 2D NMR experiments. IR spectra were recorded in Nujol mulls with a Perkin-Elmer FTIR Spectrum 1000 spectrophotometer. Microanalyses were performed with a LECO CHNS-932 elemental

analyzer by Servicios Centrales de Ciencia y Tecnología, Universidad de Cádiz. GC-MS analyses were recorded in an Agilent 6890N device.

3-Phenyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium Bromide (c). 2-(Bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide (1.01 g, 4 mmol) was neutralized using a saturated aqueous solution of Na2CO3. The released 2-(bromomethyl)pyridine was extracted using ice-cold diethyl ether (3 \times 30 mL). The solution was dried with Na₂SO₄ and filtered into a dissolution of 1-phenylimidazole (0.58 g, 4 mmol) in 1,4-dioxane (30 mL). Diethyl ether was removed under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture refluxed for 12 h. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, and the oily residue was purified by repetitive precipitation from CH₂Cl₂/Et₂O. The resulting light brown solid was dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.76 g, 60%. ¹H NMR (DMSO-d₆, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 10.95 (s, 1H, NCHN), 8.45 (d, ³J_{HH} = 4.69 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.85 (s, 1H, $H_{imid backbone}$), 7.82 (d, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.97 Hz, 1H, H_{pv}), 7.78 (s, 1H, $H_{imid backbone}$), 7.68 (m, 2H, H_{Ph}), 7.65 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.76 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.46 (m, 3H, H_{Ph}), 7.21 (m, 1H, H_{py}), 5.92 (s, 2H, H_{bridge}). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H{}$ NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 152.25 (C_{py}), 149.64 (C_{py}), 137.50 (C_{py}), 135.76 (C_{imid}), 134.27 (C_{Ph}), 130.42 (C_{Ph}), 130.09 (C_{py}), 124.11 (C_{py}) , 123.88 (C_{imid}) , 123.7289 (C_{imid}) , 121.62 (C_{Ph}) , 120.28 (C_{Ph}) , 53.91 (CH₂). Anal. Calcd for C₁₅H₁₄BrN₃: C, 56.98; H, 4.46; N, 13.29. Found: C, 56.95; H, 4.41; N, 13.33.

3-Methyl-1-(2-picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazolium Bromide (f). 2-(Bromomethyl)pyridine hydrobromide (1.01 g, 4 mmol) was neutralized using a saturated aqueous solution of Na2CO3. The liberated 2-(bromomethyl)pyridine was extracted using ice-cold diethyl ether $(3 \times 30 \text{ mL})$. The solution was dried with Na₂SO₄ and filtered into a dissolution of 1-methyl-4,5-dichloroimidazole (0.60 g, 4 mmol) in CH₃CN (30 mL). Diethyl ether was removed under reduced pressure and the reaction mixture refluxed for 3 days. The reaction mixture was filtered, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow solid was washed with $Et_2O(3 \times 10 \text{ mL})$ and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.52 g, 40%. ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 10.81 (s, 1H, NCHN), 8.33 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 4.10 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.63 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.48 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH}$ = 7.61 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.14 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 4.10 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}_{\text{py}}$), 5.71 (s, 2H, CH₂), 3.94 (s, 3H, NCH₃). ${}^{13}\text{C}\{{}^{1}\text{H}\}$ NMR (DMSO- d_{6} , 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 150.68 (C_{py}), 149.48 (C_{py}) , 138.42 (C_{imid}) , 137.90 (C_{py}) , 124.01 (C_{py}) , 123.02 (C_{py}) , 119.92 (C_{imid}), 119.57 (C_{imid}), 52.56 (CH₂), 35.57 (NCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₁₀H₁₀BrCl₂N₃: C, 37.18; H, 3.12; N, 13.01. Found: C, 37.16; H, 3.08; N, 13.02.

Representative Procedure for the Synthesis of Metal Complexes (1a–f). A suspension of the appropriate picolylimidazolium bromide (a–f) and potassium *tert*-butoxide in THF was stirred at room temperature for 5 h. $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ru(CH_3CN)_3][PF_6]$ was added to the mixture and stirred under reflux for 12 h. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Na₂SO₄ to remove the bromide salt and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was dissolved in acetonitrile and eluted through a neutral Al₂O₃ column with CH₃CN as a solvent. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the solid washed with petroleum ether and dried under vacuum.

Acetonitrile(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^2 -C,N-3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (**1a**). The reaction was carried out with 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (**a**; 0.25 g, 1 mmol) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.33 g, 3 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)Ru-(CH_3CN)_3][PF_6]$ (0.40 g, 0.8 mmol). The product was a yellowish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.31 g, 66%. ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.97 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.57 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.89 (t, 1H, ³J_{HH} = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.64 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.47 (d, ³J_{HH} = 1.76 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.39 (t, ³J_{HH} = 6.41 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.31 (d, ³J_{HH} = 1.76 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 5.58 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.23 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.75 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.23 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 3.92 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.45 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.61 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 193.28 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.61 (C_{py}), 157.79 (C_{py}), 138.00 (C_{py}), 125.25 (C_{py}), 125.14 (C_{py}), 122.70 (C_{imid}), 122.56 (C_{imid}), 83.10 (C₅-(CH₃)₅), 55.07 (CH₂), 36.97 (NCH₃), 10.31 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 3.57 (CH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1590, 1458, 1402, 1377, 1301, 1246, 1222, 1180, 1107, 1075, 1027, 947, 843, 762, 724, 687. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₉F₆N₄PRu: C, 44.37; H, 4.91; N, 9.41. Found: C, 44.35; H, 4.94; N, 9.38.

Acetonitrile(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^2 -C,N-3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (1b). The reaction was carried out with 3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (b; 0.30 g, 1.1 mmol) and potassium tertbutoxide (0.35 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Ru(CH_{3}CN)_{3}][PF_{6}]$ (0.45 g, 0.9 mmol). The product was a reddish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.41 g, 73%. ¹H NMR (acetone d_{6} , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.98 (d, $^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5.27 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.88 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.62$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.65 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 7.61$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.51 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 2.05$ Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.42 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 1.76$ Hz, 1H, $H_{imid backbone}$), 7.40 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.03 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.48 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 14.94 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 5.07 (m, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.74$ Hz, 1H, NCH(CH₃)₂), 4.81 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\rm HH} = 14.64$ Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 2.48 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.64 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\rm HH} = 6.74$ Hz, 3H, NCH(CH₃)₂), 1.59 (s, 15H, (C_5 -(CH₃)₅), 1.38 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.74$ Hz, 3H, NCH $(CH_3)_2$). ¹³C $\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 191.42 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.45 (C_{py}), 157.44 (C_{py}), 137.76 (C_{py}), 124.97 (C_{py}), 124.93 (C_{imid}), 122.96 (C_{imid}), 117.46 (C_{py}), 95.64 (NCCH₃), 82.85 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 54.77 (CH₂), 51.52 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 24.70 $(NCH(CH_3)_2)$, 23.46 $(NCH(CH_3)_2)$, 10.09 $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$, 3.54 (NCCH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): v 1732, 1644, 1602, 1590, 1566, 937. Anal. Calcd for C₂₄H₃₃F₆N₄PRu: C, 46.23; H, 5.33; N, 8.98. Found: C, 46.19; H, 5.36; N, 8.96.

Acetonitrile(η^{5} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^{2} -C,N-3-phenyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (1c). The reaction was carried out with 3-phenyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (c; 0.35 g, 1.1 mmol) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.35 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^5 C_5Me_5$ Ru(CH₃CN)₃][PF₆] (0.50 g, 1 mmol). The product was a reddish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.44 g, 67%. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.98 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5.58 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.93 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.70 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.71 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.69 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 1.96 Hz, 1H, $H_{imid backbone}$), 7.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 8.84 Hz, 2H, H_{Ph}), 7.61 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7.86$ Hz, 2H, H_{Ph}), 7.50 (m, 1H, H_{Ph}), 7.51 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 1.96$ Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.44 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.44 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.61 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 14.88 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.94 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 14.69 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 2.50 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.35 (s, 15H, $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone- d_6 , 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 193.62 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.20 (C_{py}), 157.28 (C_{py}), 141.57 (NC_{Ph}), 137.91 (C_{py}), 129.44 (C_{Ph}), 128.74 (C_{Ph}), 127.38 (C_{Ph}), 125.20 (C_{py}), 125.03 (C_{py}), 123.80 (C_{imid}), 123.21 (C_{imid}), 83.21 $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$, 55.49 (CH₂), 9.91 ($C_5(CH_3)_5$), 4.91 (NCCH₃). Anal. Calcd for C₂₇H₃₁F₆N₄PRu: C, 49.31; H, 4.75; N, 8.52. Found: C, 49.27; H, 4.74; N, 8.55.

Acetonitrile(η⁵-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ²-C,N-3-mesityl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(ll) Hexafluorophosphate (**1d**). The reaction was carried out with 3-mesityl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazolium bromide (d; 0.39 g, 1.1 mmol) and potassium *tert*-butoxide (0.35 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^5 - C_5Me_5)Ru(CH_3CN)_3][PF_6]$ (0.50 g, 1 mmol). The product was a reddish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.58 g, 84%. ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.91 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 5.57 Hz, 11H, H_{py}), 7.91 (t, 11H, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.62 Hz, 11H, H_{py}), 7.75 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 8.19 Hz, 11H, H_{py}), 7.74 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 2.05 Hz, 11H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.38 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.03 Hz, 11H, H_{py}), 7.13 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 2.05 Hz, 11H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.09 (s, 11H, H_{mes}), 7.01 (s, 11H, H_{mes}), 5.65 (d, ²*J*_{HH} = 14.94 Hz, 11H, H_{bridge}), 4.87 (d, ²*J*_{HH} = 14.64 Hz, 11H, H_{bridge}), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.33 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.08 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.51 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.41 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 193.25 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.97 (C_{py}), 157.18 (C_{py}), 139.27 (NC_{mes}), 138.01 (C_{py}), 137.70 (C_{mes}), 137.29 (C_{mes}), 135.75 (C_{mes}), 129.27 (C_{mes}), 128.69 (C_{mes}), 125.41 (C_{py}), 124.99 (C_{py}), 124.27 (C_{imid}), 123.40 (C_{imid}), 83.10 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 56.08 (CH₂), 21.01 (CH₃), 19.17 (CH₃), 18.04 (CH₃), 10.15 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 4.44 (NCCH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 2258, 2177, 1592, 1452, 1371, 1021, 837. Anal. Calcd for C₃₀H₃₇F₆N₄PRu: C, 51.50; H, 5.33; N, 8.01. Found: C, 51.54; H, 5.29; N, 7.98.

Acetonitrile(η^{5} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^{2} -C,N-3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)benzoimidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (1e). The reaction was carried out with 3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)benzoimidazolium bromide (e; 0.33 g, 1.1 mmol) and potassium tertbutoxide (0.35 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Ru(CH_{3}CN)_{3}][PF_{6}]$ (0.50 g, 1 mmol). The product was a yellowish orange microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.49 g, 75%. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.95 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5.60 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.89 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.60 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.82 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.60 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.74 (m, 1H, $H_{benzimid}$), 7.51 (m, 1H, $H_{benzimid}$), 7.41 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.44$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.27 (m, 2H, $H_{benzimid}$), 5.94 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.23 Hz, 1H, $\begin{array}{l} H_{bridge} \ \), \ 4.92 \ (d, \ ^2J_{HH} = 15.23 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H_{bridge} \), \ 4.13 \ (s, \ 3H, \ NCH_3), \\ 2.42 \ (s, \ 3H, \ CH_3), \ 1.63 \ (s, \ 15H, \ (C_5(CH_3)_5). \ ^{13}C\{^1H\} \ NMR \ (acetone$ d_{6} , 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 208.65 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.27 (C_{py}), 157.74 (C_{py}), 138.28 (C_{py}), 136.57 ($C_{benzimid}$), 135.62 ($C_{benzimid}$), 125.46 (C_{py}), 125.43 (C_{py}), 123.09 (C_{benzimid}), 122.98 (C_{benzimid}), 110.40 (C_{benzimid}), 109.78 (Cbenzimid), 84.27 (C5(CH3)5), 68.00 (NC), 51.20 (CH2), 34.23 (NCH₃), 10.28 ($C_5(CH_3)_5$), 3.60 (NCCH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): v 2256, 2199, 2062, 1951, 1593, 1451, 1368. Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₃₁-F₆N₄PRu: C, 48.37; H, 4.84; N, 8.68. Found: C, 48.40; H, 4.86; N, 8.71.

Acetonitrile(η^{3} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^{2} -C,N-3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (1f). The reaction was carried out with 3-methyl-1-(2picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazolium bromide (f; 0.36 g, 1.1 mmol) and potassium tert-butoxide (0.35 g, 3.2 mmol) in THF (30 mL) followed by the addition of $[(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})Ru(CH_{3}CN)_{3}][PF_{6}]$ (0.50 g, 1 mmol). The product was a yellowish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.51 g, 77%. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.98 (d, ³ J_{HH} = 5.13 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.94 (t, 1H, $^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.79 (d, $^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.61 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.45 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.52 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.58 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.23 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}^{1}), 4.75 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.23 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 3.97 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 2.45 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.63 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (acetoned₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 196.52 (C_{imid}Ru), 157.61 (C_{py}), 156.91 (C_{py}), 138.21 (C_{py}), 125.46 (C_{py}), 124.88 (C_{py}), 116.60 (C_{imid}), 115.65 (C_{imid}), 83.65 (C_5 (CH₃)₅), 52.45 (CH₂), 35.71 (NCH₃), 9.96 (C_5 (CH₃)₅), 3.38 (NCCH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 2255, 2188, 2079, 2044, 1944, 1594, 1456, 1376, 722. Anal. Calcd for C₂₂H₂₇Cl₂F₆N₄PRu: C, 39.77; H, 4.10; N, 8.43. Found: C, 39.79; H, 4.06; N, 8.40.

Procedure for Anion Exchange Reactions (2a,b). A suspension of the appropriate metal complex 1a,b and 1 equiv of $NaBAr_4^F$ in ether was stirred at room temperature for 24 h. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting solid was washed with petroleum ether and dried under vacuum.

 $(\eta^2 - O_2)(\eta^5$ -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^2 -C,N-3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) BAr₄^F (**2b**). A suspension of **1b** (0.19 g, 0.3 mmol) and NaBAr₄^F (0.26 g, 0.3 mmol) was stirred in air at room temperature for 24 h. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite and the solvent evaporated under reduced pressure. The product was obtained as a brown microcrystalline solid. Yield: 0.29 g, 73%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 9.22 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.85 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.85 (t, 1H, ³J_{HH} = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.72 (br s, 8H, B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 7.56 (br s, 4H, B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 7.49 (t, ³J_{HH} = 6.45 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.15 (d, ³J_{HH} = 15.82 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.73 (m, ³J_{HH} = 6.66 Hz, 1H, NCH(CH₃)₂), 4.41 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.82 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 1.70 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6.45 Hz, 3H, NCH(CH₃)₂), 1.60 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.03 Hz, 3H,

NCH(CH₃)₂), 1.44 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅), ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 180.22 (C_{imid}Ru), 162.11 (q, ¹J_{B-C} = 49.72 Hz, B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 154.42 (C_{py}), 152.51 (C_{py}), 140.31 (C_{py}), 135.17 (B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 129.26 (q, ²J_{C-F} = 31.60 Hz, B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 125.87 (C_{py}), 125.83 (C_{imid}), 124.96 (q, ¹J_{C-F} = 272.24 Hz, B(C₆H₃C₂F₆)₄), 124.24 (C_{py}), 121.16 (C_{imid}), 104.15 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 54.39 (CH₂), 53.29 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 26.08 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 24.10 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 9.16 (C₅(CH₃)₅). Anal. Calcd for C₅₄H₄₂BF₂₄N₃O₂Ru: C, 48.66; H, 3.18; N, 3.15. Found: C, 48.63; H, 3.22; N, 3.21.

Representative Procedure for the Synthesis of Carbonyl Metal Complexes (3a–f). A solution of the metal complex 1a-f in CH_2Cl_2 was stirred under CO (1 atm) for 2 h. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure; the resulting solid was washed with petroleum ether and dried under vacuum.

Carbonyl(η⁵-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ²-C,N-3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(ll) Hexafluorophosphate (**3a**). The reaction was carried out with **1a** (60 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 55 mg, 97%. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.52 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.85 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.77 (t, 1H, ³J_{HH} = 7.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.72 (d, ³J_{HH} = 7.03 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.42 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2.05 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.17 (t, ³J_{HH} = 6.44 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.00 (d, ³J_{HH} = 2.05 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 5.52 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.82 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.51 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.82 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 3.68 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.61 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CDCl₃, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 203.86 (CO), 178.93 (C_{imid}Ru), 158.44 (C_{py}), 156.51 (C_{py}), 139.54 (C_{py}), 127.02 (C_{py}), 124.72 (C_{py}), 123.65 (C_{imid}), 122.99 (C_{imid}), 94.78 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 54.19 (CH₂), 37.21 (NCH₃), 10.18 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1925 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₆F₆N₃OPRu: C, 43.30; H, 4.50; N, 7.21. Found: C, 43.32; H, 4.47; N, 7.17.

Carbonyl(η^5 -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^2 -C,N-3-isopropyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (3b). The reaction was carried out with 1b (63 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 61 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.90 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 5.54 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 8.07 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.66 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.83 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.66 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 2.12$ Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.58 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 1.85$ Hz, 1H, $H_{imid backbone}$), 7.47 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.61 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.69 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.58 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.82 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.58 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}) 4.75 (m, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.74 Hz, 1H, $NCH(CH_3)_2$), 1.77 (s, 15H, $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$, 1.60 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 6.87 Hz, 3H, NCH(CH_3)₂), 1.41 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.60 Hz, 3H, NCH(CH_3)₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 205.06 (CO), 177.96 (C_{imid}Ru), 159.88 (C_{py}), 157.34 (C_{py}), 140.31 (C_{py}), 127.15 (C_{py}), 125.72 (C_{py}), 124.55 (C_{imid}), 119.07 (C_{imid}), 95.58 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 54.61 (CH₂), 52.54 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 24.07 (NCH(CH₃)₂), 23.23 (NCH-(CH₃)₂), 9.87 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): v 1920 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C23H30F6N3OPRu: C, 45.25; H, 4.95; N, 6.88. Found: C, 45.21; H, 4.92; N, 6.91.

Carbonyl(η⁵-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ²-C,N-3-phenyl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(ll) Hexafluorophosphate (**3c**). The reaction was carried out with **1c** (66 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 62 mg, 95%. ¹H NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.89 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 8.11 (t, 1H, ³J_{HH} = 7.69 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.88 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.62 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.82 (d, ³J_{HH} = 1.97 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.60 (d, ³J_{HH} = 4.34 Hz, 2H, H_{Ph}), 7.57 (m, 3H, H_{Ph}), 7.55 (d, ³J_{HH} = 1.78 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 7.50 (t, ³J_{HH} = 6.30 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.82 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.57 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.99 (d, ²J_{HH} = 15.58 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 1.56 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone-*d*₆, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 206.27 (CO), 180.01 (C_{imid}Ru), 160.08 (C_{py}), 159.98 (C_{Ph}), 157.39 (C_{py}), 140.60 (C_{py}), 129.75 (C_{Ph}), 128.38 (C_{Ph}), 127.2 (C_{py}), 125.99 (C_{imid}), 124.26 (C_{imid}), 95.43 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 55.32 (CH₂), 9.79 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1929 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C₂₆H₂₈F₆N₃OPRu: C, 48.45; H, 4.38; N, 6.52. Found: C, 48.44; H, 4.35; N, 6.54.

 Table 4. Summary of Crystallographic Data for 2a,b

| | 2a | 2b |
|--|--------------------------|---|
| formula | C54H41BF24- | C56.32H47.53 |
| | N ₄ Ru | BC _{11.12} - |
| | | F ₂₄ N ₃ O _{2.44} Ru |
| fw | 1313.79 | 1412.93 |
| <i>T</i> (K) | 100 | 100 |
| cryst size (mm) | | 0.53 \times 0.36 \times |
| | | 0.11 |
| cryst syst | triclinic | monoclinic |
| Space group | $P\overline{1}$ | $P2_{1}/c$ |
| cell params | | |
| a (Å) | 12.427(3) | 12.498(3) |
| b (Å) | 12.814(3) | 17.896(4) |
| c (Å) | 17.884(4) | 26.458(5) |
| α (deg) | 91.85(3) | 90.00 |
| β (deg) | 100.30(3) | 90.67(3) |
| γ (deg) | 104.44(3) | 90.00 |
| $V(Å^3)$ | 2704.5(9) | 5917(2) |
| Ζ | 2 | 4 |
| $ ho_{ m calcd}~(m g~ m cm^{-3})$ | 1.613 | 1.585 |
| μ (Mo K $lpha$) (mm ⁻¹) | 0.415 | 0.436 |
| F(000) | 1316 | 2840.8 |
| max-min transmission | 0.955-0.788 | 1.000 - 0.792 |
| factors | | |
| θ range for data | 1.16 < θ < | 1.91 < θ < |
| collection (deg) | 25.09 | 25.04 |
| no. of rflns collected | 18 176 | 12 034 |
| no. of unique rflns | 9179 | 10 356 |
| | $(R_{\rm int} = 0.0437)$ | $(R_{\rm int} = 0.0363)$ |
| no. of obsd rflns $(I > 2\sigma_I)$ | 7324 | 9256 |
| no. of params | 784 | 876 |
| final R1, wR2 values ($I > 2\sigma_I$) | 0.0671, | 0.0497, |
| | 0.1670 | 0.1196 |
| final R1, wR2 values (all data) | 0.0843, | 0.0570, |
| | 0.1783 | 0.1242 |
| residual electron | +0.341, -0.634 | +1.110, -0.863 |
| density peaks (e $Å^{-3}$) | | |

Carbonyl(η^{5} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^{2} -C,N-3-mesityl-1-(2-picolyl)imidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (3d). The reaction was carried out with 1d (70 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 65 mg, 96%. ¹H NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.59 (d, ³J_{HH} = 5.57 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.94 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.69 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.85 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.61 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.68 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 2.06 Hz, 1H, $H_{imid \ backbone}$), 7.27 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 6.51 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.06 (s, 1H, H_{mes}), 6.97 (s, 1H, H_{mes}), 6.95 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 1.76 Hz, 1H, H_{imid backbone}), 5.63 (d, ${}^{2}J_{HH}$ = 15.53 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.73 (d, ² J_{HH} = 15.23 Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 2.35 (s, 3H, CH₃), 2.13 (s, 3H, CH₃), 6.68 (s, 3H, CH₃), 1.57 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (CD₂Cl₂, 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 205.19 (CO), 182.07 (C_{imid}Ru), 159.07 (C_{py}), 156.88 (C_{py}), 139.910 (C_{py}), 139.88 (NC_{mes}), 136.91 (C_{mes}), 135.78 (C_{mes}), 134.69 (C_{mes}), 129.55 (C_{mes}) , 128.94 (C_{mes}) , 127.17 (C_{py}) , 125.25 (C_{py}) , 124.47 (C_{imid}) , 124.16 (C_{imid}), 95.23 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 55.54 (CH₂), 21.19 (CH₃), 18.84 (CH₃), 18.62 (CH₃), 10.16 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1928 (CO). Anal. Calcd for $C_{29}H_{34}F_6N_3OPRu: C, 50.73; H, 4.99; N, 6.12.$ Found: C, 50.70; H, 5.02; N, 6.15.

Carbonyl(η^{5} -pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(κ^{2} -C,N-3-methyl-1-(2-picolyl)benzoimidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexafluorophosphate (**3e**). The reaction was carried out with **1e** (65 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 62 mg, 99%. ¹H NMR (acetone- d_6 , 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.95 (d, ³ J_{HH} = 5.57 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 8.12 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.61 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 8.04 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH}$ = 7.91 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.91 (m, 1H, H_{benzimid}), 7.69 (m, 1H, H_{benzimid}), 7.51 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6.15$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.41 (m, 2H, H_{benzimid}), 6.19 (d, $^{2}J_{\rm HH} = 15.81$ Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 5.00 (d, $^{2}J_{\rm HH} = 15.81$ Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.13 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.85 (s, 15H, $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$. ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone- d_6 , 100 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 204.61 (CO), 194.70 (C_{benzimid}Ru), 160.24 (C_{py}), 157.41 (C_{py}), 140. 75 (C_{py}), 136.39 (NC_{benzimid}), 135.48 (NC_{benzimid}), 127.60 (C_{py}), 126.21 (C_{py}), 124.28 (C_{benzimid}), 124.12 (C_{benzimid}), 111.59 (C_{benzimid}), 110.89 (C_{benzimid}), 96.29 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 51.44 (CH₂), 34.95 (NCH₃), 10.18 $(C_5(CH_3)_5)$, 4.91 (NCCH₃). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1929 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C25H28F6N3OPRu: C, 47.47; H, 4.46; N, 6.64. Found: C, 47.45; H, 4.49; N, 6.67.

 $\begin{aligned} & Carbonyl(\eta^{5}\text{-}pentamethylcyclopentadienyl)(\kappa^{2}\text{-}C,N-3\text{-}methyl-1-(2-picolyl)-4,5-dichloroimidazol-2-ylidene)ruthenium(II) Hexa-fluorophosphate ($ **3f**). The reaction was carried out with**1f** $(65 mg, 0.1 mmol). The product was a brownish microcrystalline solid. Yield: 61 mg, 98%. ¹H NMR (acetone-<math>d_{6}$, 600 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.92 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 5.48$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 8.12 (t, 1H, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.74$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.99 (d, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 7.63$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.52 (t, ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}} = 6.55$ Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 5.77 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 15.96$ Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 4.79 (d, ${}^{2}J_{\text{HH}} = 15.72$ Hz, 1H, H_{bridge}), 3.92 (s, 3H, NCH₃), 1.83 (s, 15H, (C₅(CH₃)₅). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (acetone- d_{6} , 150 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 204.34 (CO), 183.34 (C_{imid}Ru); 160.27 (C_{py}), 156.31 (C_{py}), 140.87 (C_{py}), 127.86 (C_{py}), 126.43 (C_{py}), 118.51 (C_{imid}), 117.68 (C_{imid}), 96.16 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 52.88 (CH₂), 36.76 (NCH₃), 10.08 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1937 (CO). Anal. Calcd for C₂₁H₂₄Cl₂F₆N₃OPRu: C, 38.72; H, 3.71; N, 6.45. Found: C, 38.76; H, 3.68; N, 6.49. \\ \end{aligned}

Crystal Structure Analysis. Crystals of 2a,b suitable for X-ray structural determination were mounted on glass fibers and then transferred to the cold nitrogen gas stream of a Bruker Smart APEX CCD three-circle diffractometer (T = 100 K) with a sealed-tube source and graphite-monochromated Mo K α radiation ($\alpha = 0.71073$ Å) at the Servicio Central de Ciencia y Tecnología de la Universidad de Cádiz. Four sets of frames were recorded over a hemisphere of the reciprocal space by ω scans with $\delta(\omega) = 0.30$ and an exposure of 10 s per frame. Correction for absorption was applied by scans of equivalents using the SADABS program.⁵¹ An insignificant crystal decay correction was also applied. The structures were solved by direct methods and refined on F^2 by full-matrix least squares (SHELX97) by using all unique data.⁵² All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically with hydrogen atoms included in calculated positions (riding model). In each case two disordered CF₃ groups in the anion were refined split into two complementary orientations using displacement parameter restraints. For 2b dichloromethane (56%) and diethyl ether (44%) solvates were found disordered with complementary occupation factors. The program ORTEP-3 was used for plotting.⁵³ Table 4 summarizes the crystal data and data collection and refinement details for 2a,b. CCDC 833091-833092 contain supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data request/ cif.

Typical Procedure for Catalytic Transfer Hydrogenation. Ketone or imine (2.0 mmol), catalyst 0.1% (0.002 mmol), KOH (0.2 mmol), 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (0.5 mmol), and ^{*i*}PrOH (5 mL) were placed in a 10 mL vial and stirred on a prehetaed oil bath (82 °C). Aliquots (0.2 mL) were taken at fixed times, quenched in Et₂O (3 mL), and filtered through a short pad of SiO₂. The filtrate was subjected to GC-MS and ¹H NMR analysis. For TOF₅₀ measurements the catalyst loading was 1% (0.02 mmol). The substrate was preheated at 82 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 min before catalyst and base were added. All data reported are averages of at least two runs.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Table giving catalytic screening results and CIF files giving crystallographic data for compounds **2a,b**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author *E-mail: pedro.valerga@uca.es.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the Spanish MICINN (Projects CTQ2007-60137/ BQU and CTQ2010-15390) and "Junta de Andalucía" (PAI-FQM188 and Project of Excellence P08-FQM-03538) for financial support and Johnson Matthey plc for generous loans of ruthenium trichloride. F.E.F. acknowledges the Spanish MI-CINN for an FPI fellowship (BES-2008-006635).

REFERENCES

 (a) Díez-González, S.; Marion, N.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 3612–3676.
 (b) Hahn, F. E.; Jahnke, M. C. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3122–3172.
 (c) Nolan, S. P.; Clavier, H. Annu. Rep. Prog. Chem., Sect. B 2007, 103, 193–222.
 (d) Nolan, S. P. N-Heterocyclic Carbenes in Synthesis; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006; ISBN 978-3-527-31400-3.
 (e) Herrmann, W. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 1290–1309.
 (f) Bourissou, D.; Guerret, O.; Gabbai, F. P.; Bertrand, G. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 39–91.

(2) (a) Cabeza, J. A.; Damonte, M.; García-Álvarez, P.; Kennedy, A. R.; Pérez-Carreño, E. Organometallics **2011**, 30, 826–833. (b) Hahn, F. E.; Naziruddin, A. R.; Hepp, A.; Pape, T. Organometallics **2010**, 29, 5283–5288. (c) Song, G.; Li, X.; Song, Z.; Zhao, J.; Zhang, H. Chem. Eur. J. **2009**, 15, 5535–5544. (d) Lee, C.; Ke, W.; Chan, K.; Lai, C.; Hu, C.; Lee, H. Chem. Eur. J. **2007**, 13, 582–591. (e) Danopoulos, A. A.; Tsoureas, N.; Macgregor, S. A.; Smith, C. Organometallics **2007**, 26, 253–263. (f) Lee, H. M.; Chiu, P. L.; Zeng, J. Y. Inorg. Chim. Acta **2004**, 4313–4321.

(3) (a) Warsink, S.; Chang, I.; Weigand, J. J.; Hauwert, P.; Chen, J.; Elsevier, C. J. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 4555–4561. (b) Gnanamgari, D.; Sauer, E. L. O.; Schley, N. D.; Butler, C.; Incarvito, C. D.; Crabtree, R. H. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 321–325.

(4) (a) Nielsen, D. J.; Cavell, K. J.; Skelton, B. W.; White, A. H. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 4850–4856. (b) Herrman, W. A.; Gooben, L. J.; Spiegler, M. J. Organomet. Chem. **1997**, *547*, 357–366.

(5) (a) Cross, E. D.; Bierenstiel, M. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2011, 255, 574–590. (b) Fliedel, C.; Braunstein, P. Organometallics 2010, 29, 5614–5626. (c) Fliedel, C.; Sabbatini, A.; Braunstein, P. Dalton Trans. 2010, 8820–8828. (d) Huynh, H. V.; Yeo, C. H.; Chew, Y. X. Organometallics 2010, 29, 1479–1486. (e) Wolf, J.; Labande, A.; Daran, J.; Poli, R. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 5069–5079.

(6) (a) Gandolfi, C.; Heckenroth, M.; Neels, A.; Laurenczy, G.; Albretch, M. Organometallics **2009**, 28, 5112–5121. (b) Moore, L. R.; Cooks, S. M.; Anderson, M. S.; Schanz, H.; Griffin, S. T.; Rogers, R. D.; Kirk, M. C.; Shaughnessy, K. H. Organometallics **2006**, 25, 5151–5158.

(7) (a) Takaki, D.; Okayama, T.; Shuto, H.; Matsumoto, S.;
Yamaguchi, Y.; Matsumoto, S. *Dalton Trans.* 2011, 1445–1447. (b) Downing,
S. P.; Pogorzelec, P. J.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Cole-Hamilton, D. J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2009, 1816–1824. (c) Xie, L.; Sun, H.; Hu, D.; Liu, Z.;
Shen, Q.; Zhang, Y. *Polyhedron* 2009, 28, 2585–290. (d) Wang, B.;

Wang, D.; Cui, D.; Gao, W.; Tang, T.; Chen, X.; Jing, X. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3167–3172. (e) Downing, S. P.; Conde Guadaño, S.; Pugh, D.; Danopulos, A. A.; Bellabarba, R. M.; Hanton, M.; Smith, D.; Tooze, R. P. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3762–3770. (f) Sun, H.; Hu, D.; Wang, Y.; Shen, Q.; Zhang, Y. J. Organomet. Chem. 2007, 692, 903–907. (g) Downing, S. P.; Danopoulos, A. A. Organometallics 2006, 25, 1337–1340.

(8) (a) Makino, T.; Yamasaki, R.; Azumaya, I.; Masu, H.; Saito, S. Organometallics 2010, 29, 6291–6297. (b) Amar, H. B.; Hassine, B. B.; Fischmeister, C.; Dixneuf., P. H.; Bruneau, C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 4752–4756. (c) Schneider, N.; Kruck, M.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 493–500. (d) Schneider, N.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Wadepohl, H.; Gade, L. H. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2008, 5587–5598. (e) Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Gade, L. H. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 718–725. (f) Poyatos, M.; Maisse-François, A.; Bellemin-Laponnaz, S.; Peris, E.; Gade, L. J. Organomet. Chem. 2006, 691, 2713–2720.

(9) (a) Pažiký, M.; Loos, A.; Ferreira, M. J.; Serra, D.; Vinokurov, N.; Rominger, F.; Jäkel, C.; Hashmi, A. S. K.; Limbach, M. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 4448–4458. (b) Binobaid, A.; Iglesias, M.; Beetstra, D. J.; Kariuki, B.; Dervisi, A.; Fallis, I. A.; Cavell, K. J. Dalton Trans. **2009**, 7099–7112. (c) Kaufhold, O.; Hahn, F. E.; Pape, T.; Hepp, A. J. Organomet. Chem. **2008**, *693*, 3435–3440. (d) Veige, A. S. Polyhedron **2008**, *27*, 3177–3189. (e) Pugh, D.; Boyle, A.; Danopoulos, A. A. Dalton Trans. **2008**, 1087–1094. (f) Baya, M.; Eguillor, B.; Esteruelas, M. A.; Oliván, M.; Oñate, E. Organometallics **2007**, *26*, 6556–6563. (g) Wright, J. A.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Motherwell, W. B.; Carroll, R. J.; Ellwood, S. J. Organomet. Chem. **2006**, *691*, 5204–5210. (h) Tulloch, A. A. D.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Kleinhez, A.; Light, M. E.; Hursthouse, M. B.; Eastham, G. Organometallics **2001**, *20*, 2027–2031.

(10) (a) Stylianides, N.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Tsoureas, N. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5948–5958. (b) Song, G.; Zhang, Y.; Li, X. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1936–1943. (c) Danopoulos, A. A.; Pugh, D.; Wright, J. A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9765–9767.

(11) (a) Kascatan-Nebioglu, A.; Panzner, M. J.; Tessier, C. A.; Cannon, C. L.; Youngs, W. J. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 2007, 251, 884–895.
(b) Catalano, V.; Etogo, A. O. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 6041–6050.

(12) (a) Tulloch, A. A. D.; Winston, S.; Danopuolos, A. A.; Eastham, G.; Hursthouse, M. B. *Dalton Trans.* **2003**, 699–708. (b) Tulloch, A. A. D.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Tooze, R. P.; Cafferkey, S. M.; Kleinhenz, S.; Hursthouse, M. B. *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1247–1248.

(13) (a) Pozo, C.; Iglesias, M.; Sánchez, F. Organometallics 2011, 30, 2180–2188. (b) Chang, W.; Chen, H.; Li, T.; Hsu, N.; Tingare, Y. S.; Li, C.; Liu, Y.; Su, C.; Li, W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 8161–8164.
(c) Son, S. U.; Park, K. H.; Lee, Y.; Kim, B. Y.; Choi, C. H.; Lah, M. S.; Jang, Y. H.; Jang, D.; Chung, Y. K. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6896–6898.

(14) (a) Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Weng, L.; Jin, G. J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 2934–2940. (b) Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Jin, G. Organometallics 2004, 23, 6002–6007.

(15) (a) McGuiness, D. Dalton Trans. 2009, 6915–6923. (b) Wang,
X.; Liu, S.; Weng, L.; Jin, G. Organometallics 2006, 25, 3565–3569.
(c) Xiao, X; Jin, G. Organometallics 2008, 693, 3363–3368.

(16) (a) Jarusiewicz, J.; Yoo, K. S.; Jung, K. W. Synlett 2009, 482–486. (b) Inamoto, K.; Kuroda, J.; Kwon, E.; Hiroya, K.; Doi, T. J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 389–396. (c) Xi, Z.; Liu, B.; Chen, W. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 73, 3954–3957. (d) Xi, Z.; Zhang, X.; Xhen, W.; Fu, S.; Wang, D. Organometallics 2007, 26, 6636–6642.

(17) (a) Mata, J. A.; Poyatos, M.; Peris, E. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2009, 251, 841–859. (b) Zeng, F.; Yo, Z. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6025–6028. (c) Danopoulos, A. A.; Winston, S.; Motherwell, W. B. Chem. Commun. 2002, 1376–1377.

(18) Mas-Marzá, E.; Sanaú, M.; Peris, E. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 44, 9961–9967.

(19) Wang, C.; Fu, C.; Liu, Y.; Peng, S.; Liu, S. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 5779–5786.

(20) Warsink, S.; M. S. van Abel, C.; Weigand, J. J.; Liu, S. T.; Elsevier, C. J. *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2010**, 5556–5562. (21) (a) Huang, J.; Schanz, H.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics 1999, 18, 2370–2375. (b) Gemel, C.; Huffman, J. C.; Caulton, K. G.; Mauthner, K.; Kirchner, K. J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 593–594, 342–353. (c) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Mereiter, K.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 11230–11231. (d) Aneetha, H.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 628–635. (e) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2004, 17–32. (f) Bosson, J.; Poater, A.; Cavallo, L.; Nolan, S. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13146–13149.

(22) (a) Huang, J.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P.; Petersen, J. L. J. Am.
 Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 2674–2678. (b) Huang, J.; Jafarpour, L.; Hillier,
 A. C.; Stevens, E. D.; Nolan, S. P. Organometallics 2001, 20, 2878–2882.

(23) (a) Baratta, W.; Herrmann, W. A.; Rigo, P.; Scharwz, J. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2000**, 593–594, 489–493. (b) Baratta, W.; Herdweck, E.; Herrmann, W. A.; Rigo, P.; Schawrz, J. *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 2101–2106.

(24) Pontes da Costa, A.; Mata, J. A.; Royo, B.; Peris, E. Organometallics **2010**, *29*, 1832–1838.

(25) Wang, X.; Liu, S.; Weng, L.; Jin, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2007, 13, 188–195.

(26) (a) Morris, R. H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2282–2291.
(b) Ikariya, T.; Blacker, J. Acc. Chem. Res. 2007, 40, 1300–1308.

(27) (a) Gnanamgari, D.; Sauer, E. L. O.; Schley, N. D.; Butler, C.; Incarvito, C. D.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 2009, 28, 321–325.
(b) Gnanamgari, D.; Moores, A.; Rajaseelan, E.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 2007, 26, 1226–1230. (c) Miecznikowski, J. R.; Crabtree, R. H. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 2857–2872. (d) Albretch, M.; Miecznikowski, J. R.; Samuel, A.; Faller, J. W.; Crabtree, R. H. Organometallics 2002, 21, 3596–3604.

(28) (a) Mata, J. A.; Poyatos, M.; Peris, E. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2007**, 251, 841–859. (b) Albretch, M.; Crabtree, R. H.; Mata, J.; Peris, E. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 32–33.

(29) (a) Ding, N.; Hor, T. S. A. Dalton Trans. 2010, 10179–10185.
(b) W. N. O, W.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. Organometallics 2009, 28,6755–6761. (c) Dragutan, V.; Dragutan, I.; Delaude, L.; Demonceau, A. Coord. Chem. Rev. 2007, 251, 765–794.

(30) Duan, W.; Shi, M.; Rong, G. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2916–2917.
(31) (a) Nolan, S. P.; Bosson, J. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 2039–2043.

(b) Coberán, R.; Peris, E. Organometallics 2008, 27, 1954-1958.

(c) Marr, A. C.; Pollock, C. L.; Saunders, G. C. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3283–3285.

(32) W. N. O., W.; Lough, A. J.; Morris, R. H. Chem. Commun. 2010, 8240–8242.

(33) (a) Bustelo, E.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2006, 25, 4019–4025. (b) Aneetha, H.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1779–1782.
(c) Aneetha, H.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 5334–4346. (d) Bustelo, E.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Mereiter, K.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2002, 21, 1903–1911. (e) De los Rios, I.; Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 549, 221–232.

(34) (a) Hyder, I.; Jimenez-tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Organometallics 2011, 30, 726–737. (b) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 6035–6057. (c) Macias-Arce, I.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 1767–1776. (d) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Palacios, M. D.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1001–1012. (e) Jimenez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2005, 2631–2640.

(35) McGuinness, D. S.; Cavell, K. J. Organometallics 2000, 19, 741-748.

(36) Gruendemann, S.; Kovacevic, A.; Albrecht, M.; Faller, J. W.; Crabtree, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, *124*, 10473–10481.

(37) Jahnke, M. C.; Pape, T.; Hahn, F. E. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2009, 1960–1969.

(38) Tulloch, A. A. D.; Danopoulos, A. A.; Winston, S.; Kleinhenz, S.; Eastham, G. Dalton Trans. 2000, 4499–4506.

(39) Cheng, Y.; Xu, H.; Sun, J.; Li, Y.; Chen, X.; Xue, Z. Dalton Trans. 2009, 7132–7140.

(40) (a) Palacios, M. D.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P.; Lledós, A.; Veilly,
E. *Inorg. Chem.* 2007, 46, 6958–6967. (b) Häller, L. J. L.; Mas-Marzá, E.;
Moreno, A.; Lowe, J. P.; MacGregor, S. A.; Mahon, M. F.; Pregosin, P. S.;
Whittlesey, M. K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 9618–9619.

(41) (a) Lundgren, R. J.; Rankin, M. A.; McDonald, R.; Stradiotto, M. Organometallics **2008**, 27 (2), 254–258. (b) Kuzu, I.; Nied, D.; Breher, F. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. **2009**, 872–879.

(42) (a) De los Ríos, I.; Jiménez Tenorio, M.; Padilla, J.; Puerta, M. C.; Valerga, P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1996, 377–381.
(b) Guochen Jia, G.; Sang Ng, W.; Shing Chu, H.; Wong, W.-T.; Yu, N.-T.; Williams, I. D. Organometallics 1999, 18, 3597–3602. (c) Jiménez-Tenorio, M.; Puerta, C.; Valerga, P.; Moncho, S.; Ujaque, G.; Lledós, A. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 49, 6035–6057.

(43) (a) Mercs, L.; Labat, G.; Neels, A.; Ehlers, A.; Albretch, M. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 5648–5656. (b) Viciano, M.; Mas-Marza, E.; Sanau, M.; Peris, E. *Organometallics* **2006**, *25*, 3063–3069.

(44) Work in progress. Relevant spectral data are as follows. ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 400 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 8.00 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 5.27 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.45 (br s, 8H, BPh₄), 7.27 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.32 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 7.00 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.32 Hz, 8H, BPh₄), 6.87 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.17 Hz, 4H, BPh₄), 6.82 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 6.59 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 6.69 (d, ³*J*_{HH} = 7.91 Hz, 1H, H_{py}), 2.83, 2.69 (m, 1H each, PCH₂), 2.29, 2.21 (m, 1H each, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 1.73 (d, 15 H, ⁴*J*_{HP} = 1.46 Hz, C₅(CH₃)₅), 1.12 (m, 6H, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 0.97 (m, 3H, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 0.60 (m, 3H, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 0.97 (m, 3H, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 0.60 (m, 3H, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 1.10 MHz, SiMe₄): δ 205.30 (d, ²*J*_{CP} = 15.61 Hz, CO), 164.08 (q, ¹*J*_{BC} = 49.37 Hz, BPh₄), 162.12 (C_{py}), 154.31 (C_{py}), 138.88 (C_{py}), 136.20 (BPh₄), 125.46 (BPh₄), 124.60 (C_{py}), 124.05 (C_{py}), 121.63 (BPh₄), 96.38 (C₅(CH₃)₅), 35.74 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 24.47 Hz, PCH₂), 25.68 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 27.43 Hz, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 23.79 (d, ¹*J*_{CP} = 19.84 Hz, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 18.70, 18.01, 17.71, 16.74 (s, P(CH(CH₃)₂)₂), 10.38 (C₅(CH₃)₅). IR (Nujol, cm⁻¹): ν 1937 (CO).

(45) Cheng, Y.; Sun, J.; Yang, H.; Xu, H.; Li, Y.; Chen, X.; Xue, Z. Organometallics **2009**, *28*, 819–823. (b) Chiu, P. L.; Lee, H. M. Organometallics **2005**, *24*, 1692–1702.

(46) (a) Cheng, Y.; Xu, H.; Sun, J.; Li, Y.; Chen, X.; Xue, Z. Dalton Trans. 2009, 7132–7140. (b) Zeng, F.; Yu, Z. Organometallics 2008, 27, 6025–6028.

(47) (a) Pontes da Costa, A.; Viciano, M.; Sanaú, M.; Merino, S.; Tejeda, J.; Peris, E.; Royo, B. Organometallics **2008**, 27, 1305–1309.

(b) Coberán, R.; Sanaú, M.; Peris, E. Organometallics 2007, 26, 3492–3498.
(48) Samec, J: S.; Backvall, J.; Andersson, P.; Brandt, P. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2006, 35, 237–248.

(49) Steinmetz, B.; Schenk, W. A. Organometallics **1999**, 18, 943–946.

(50) Bahr, S. R.; Boudjouk, P. J. Org. Chem. 1992, 57, 5545-5547.

(51) Sheldrick, G. M. SADABS, 2001 version; University of Göttingen, Göttingen, Germany, 2001.

(52) (a) Sheldrick, G. M.SHELXTL version 6.10, Crystal Structure Analysis Package; Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, 2000. (b) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Crystallogr. 2008, A64, 112–122.

(53) Farrugia, L. J. ORTEP-3 for Windows, version 1.076. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 1997, 30, 565.