View Article Online View Journal

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Wang, J. Yang, X. Liu, L. Zhao, D. Yang, J. Zhou, D. Wang, L. Mu and R. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/C7OB01115F.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/obc

Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



COMMUNICATION

Endomorphin-1 analogs containing α -methyl- β -amino acids exhibit potent analgesic activity after peripheral administration

Yuan Wang, Junxian Yang, Xin Liu, Long Zhao, Dongxu Yang, Jingjing Zhou, Dan Wang, Lingyun

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Published on 18 May 2017. Downloaded by RUTGERS STATE UNIVERSITY on 19/05/2017 16:20:51

This study describes the design and synthesis of endomorphin-1 analogs containing a C-terminal aromatic α -methyl- β -amino acids and an N-terminal native tyrosine or 2,6-dimethyl-tyrosine. We show that, in comparison with the parent peptide, these analogs exhibit improved bioactivity and blood-brain barrier penetration after intravenous administration, and have a lower tendency to induce constipation and sedation than morphine.

Mou, Rui Wang*

Pain significantly affects life quality, requiring the development of safe and efficient pain killers. Despite the ongoing search for new treatments, opioids are still the golden standard for alleviating moderate to severe pain. However, the chronic use of opioids causes undesired side effects, including respiratory depression, constipation, tolerance development, and addiction.¹⁻³ Opioid drugs primarily exert their analgesic effect by binding to μ - (MOP), δ - (DOP) and κ -opioid receptors (KOP). Among them, MOP is the main pharmacological target for alleviating pain, with a large number of available opioid analgesics binding to it.4,5 As alternative pain killers, endogenous opioid peptides exhibit better side-effect profiles, opening up new possibilities for analgesic drug development.⁶⁻ Endomorphins (EMs) are opioid peptides isolated from bovine brain and human frontal cortex, which have attracted the attention of peptide chemists/pharmacologists, ^{10,11} due to their high MOP affinities and specificities as compared with those for DOP and KOP. Furthermore, EMs are believed not to exhibit some of the undesirable side effects of morphine. However, similar to other peptides, EMs have poor metabolic stability and are unable to cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), with peripheral EMs administration thus producing almost no detectable analgesic effects.^{12,13} Thousands of EM analogs have been synthesized to understand the corresponding structure-activity relationships (SARs) and thus improve their

pharmacological profiles,¹³⁻¹⁸ with β -amino acid substituted opioid peptides attracting increased attention due to their improved metabolic stability, remarkable physicochemical properties and bioactivities.¹⁹⁻²⁴ As a subclass of β -amino acids, $\beta^{2, 3}$ -amino acids are important building blocks of natural products, which makes their incorporation into opioid peptides a practical strategy for bioactivity and bioavailability improvement.²⁵⁻²⁸ Previously, we have developed a series of novel $\beta^{2,3}$ -amino acids (2-methylene-3-aminopropanoic acids) containing a methylene group at the C_{α} position, with SAR studies demonstrating that their incorporation at the C-terminus of endomorphin-1 (EM-1) increases the biological activity of the corresponding peptides.²⁹



Figure 1. Unnatural amino acids and structure of EM-1 analogs.

Herein, we describe the synthesis and pharmacological evaluation of novel EM-1 analogs containing α -methyl- β -phenylalanine (AMBP) or α -methyl- β -furylalanine (AMBF) by investigating the influence of introducing these residues at C-terminus and determining the binding affinity and functional activity of the synthesized analogs. *In vivo* activities after

^{a.} Key Laboratory of Preclinical Study for New Drugs of Gansu Province, Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, School of Basic Medical Sciences, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou, 730000, P. R. China. Email: wangrui@lzu.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Published on 18 May 2017. Downloaded by RUTGERS STATE UNIVERSITY on 19/05/2017 16:20:51

Table 1. Analytical Data for EM-1 Analogs

Journal Name

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/C7OB01115

no.	sequence	TOF MS [M+H] ⁺		retention time ^a (min)	mp (°C)	purity ^b (%)
analog 1	H-Tyr-Pro-Trp-(<i>R,R</i>)-AMBP-NH ₂	625.3094	625.3192	17.1	133-135	98
analog 2	H-Tyr-Pro-Trp-(<i>S,S</i>)-AMBP-NH₂	625.3094	625.3045	17.0	129-133	97
analog 3	H-Tyr-Pro-Trp-(<i>R,R</i>)-AMBF-NH₂	615.2886	615.2964	17.9	138-140	96
analog 4	H-Tyr-Pro-Trp-(<i>S,S</i>)-AMBF-NH ₂	615.2886	615.2834	18.0	131-133	99
analog 5	H-Dmt-Pro-Trp-(<i>R</i> , <i>R</i>)-AMBP-NH ₂	653.3407	653.3489	17.5	149-152	99
analog 6	H-Dmt-Pro-Trp-(<i>S,S</i>)-AMBP-NH ₂	653.3407	653.3501	17.5	152-155	99
analog 7	H-Dmt-Pro-Trp-(<i>R,R</i>)-AMBF-NH ₂	643.3199	643.3255	17.4	143-147	98
analog 8	H-Dmt-Pro-Trp-(<i>S</i> , <i>S</i>)-AMBF-NH ₂	643.3199	643.3284	17.3	151-154	98

 a t_R with Delta-Park C18 column (4.6 mm × 250 mm, 5 μ m), A:B = 10:90 to A:B = 90:10 for 30 min, A:B = 90:10 to A:B = 10:90 for 5 min. ^bPurity determination based on analytical RP-HPLC.

intracerebroventricular (i.c.v.) and intravenous (i.v.) administration were evaluated by tail-flick and formalin test, and BBB permeability was determined using a peripherally restricted opioid antagonist. Furthermore, the effects of the above analogs on locomotor and gastrointestinal functions were evaluated (experimental details are provided in the Supplementary Information).

The (S,S)/(R,R)-AMBP and (S,S)/(R,R)-AMBF (Fig. 1) were obtained by addition of titanium enolates to tert-butanesulfinyl imine,^{30,31} and subsequently incorporated into EM-1 to afford analogs 1-8. Other non-natural amino acids were sourced from commercially suppliers. All compounds were prepared by solution-phase synthesis, with 1-ethyl-3-(3dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide (EDC) and hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) used for peptide coupling (experimental details are provided in the Supplementary Information).³² Crude peptides were purified by semireversed-phase preparative high-performance liauid chromatography (RP-HPLC) and identified by electrospray ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (ESI-TOF MS), with their purities determined as >95%. Detailed properties of the above analogs are provided in Table 1.

A radioligand binding assay was employed to examine the binding affinities and receptor selectivities of the synthesized peptides in whole-cell preparations from HEK293 cells, which stably expressed MOP, DOP or KOP. [³H]DAMGO, [³H]DPDPE and [³H]U69,593 were used as the radioligand for MOP, DOP and KOP, respectively. The results are summarized in Table 2. Analogs 1-4 exhibited significant MOP affinities, with the corresponding K_i values ranging from 6.3 to 21.2 nM. Among these four species, analogs 2 and 4 containing (S,S)-AMBP⁴/AMBF⁴ exhibited higher MOP binding affinities than their (R,R)-stereoisomers did. Replacement of Phe⁴ with AMBP⁴/AMBF⁴ resulted in decreased DOP binding affinity and thus greatly increased MOP selectivity. Subsequent replacement of Tyr¹ with 2, 6-dimethyl-Tyr¹ (Dmt¹) afforded analogs 5-8 with subnanomolar MOP affinities. Specifically, analog 8 exhibited the highest MOP affinity among all synthesized compounds, corresponding to a K_i value of 0.12 nM. Although analogs containing Dmt¹ exhibited increased

DOP affinities, their MOP-over-DOP selectivity was still acceptable. Interestingly, Dmt¹ substitution was reported to enhance affinity to all three opioid receptor subtypes, resulting in decreased selectivity.^{14,33} All synthesized analogs exhibited very low KOP binding affinities.

The functional activity profiles of EM-1 analogs were assessed by an accumulation of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) assay using MOP-expressing HEK293 cells. The tested analogs inhibited forskolin-stimulated cAMP in a dose-dependent manner, as shown in Table 2, with cAMP accumulation assay results being in good agreement with those of the radioligand binding assay. Analogs 1–4 exhibited potencies between 10.5 and 22.9 nM, whereas combination of Dmt¹ and AMBP⁴/AMBF⁴ substitution produced analogs 5–8 with improved subnanomolar-range potencies. The cAMP accumulation assay indicated that all investigated species behaved as MOP agonists, with the highest potency observed for analogs 7 and 8.

The metabolic stability of EM-1 and its analogs was assessed in mouse brain homogenate, with the corresponding half-lives listed in Table 2. While EM-1 showed a short half-life of 16.9 min, substitution of Phe^4 with $AMBP^4/AMBF^4$ resulted in improved stability, with analogs 1–4 exhibiting half-lives ranging from 112 to 142 min. Moreover, subsequent Dmt^1 substitution increased the metabolic stability even further (analog 5-8), e.g. analog 5 exhibited a ~16-fold enhanced metabolic stability compared with that of EM-1. Thus, insertion of unnatural amino acids into EM-1 probably impedes protease recognition of peptide cleavage sites.³⁴

In vivo antinociceptive effects of analog 7 and 8 were further evaluated in the mouse tail-flick test after i.c.v. administration and expressed as a percentage of maximum possible effect (%MPE). As shown in Figure 2a–2c, all analogs exhibited dose- and time-dependent inhibition of heatinduced analgesia and exhibited better antinociceptive activities than the parent peptide did. The ED₅₀ value of EM-1 equalled 0.304 nmol,²⁹ whereas analog 7 and 8 were Published on 18 May 2017. Downloaded by RUTGERS STATE UNIVERSITY on 19/05/2017 16:20:51

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

View Article Online 10.1039/C7OB01115F

Table 2. C	pioid Receptor B	inding Affinities,	Functional Activity	/ and Half-Lives o	f EM-1 and its Analogs.

	Binding Affinities ^a				Functional Activity ^e		Halflives
No.	$K_i^{\mu} \left(nM \right)^b$	$K_i^{\delta}(nM)^{c}$	K_i^{κ} (nM) ^d	K_i ratio (μ/δ)	EC₅₀(nM)	E _{max} (%)	(min)
EM-1	2.60 ± 0.21	6080 ± 640	>10000	1/2338	14.4 ± 0.6	83.1 ± 4.1	16.9 ± 2
analog 1	21.2 ± 3.71	>10000	>10000	-	22.9 ± 1.14	68.9 ± 3.3	112 ± 9
analog 2	7.7 ± 1.94	>10000	>10000	-	18.8 ± 2.01	70.1 ± 5.4	142 ± 11
analog 3	9.3 ± 2.58	>10000	>10000	-	13.7 ± 1.42	72.7 ± 6.2	133 ± 12
analog 4	6.3 ± 0.31	>10000	>10000	-	10.5 ± 0.67	79.2 ± 5.2	127 ± 8
analog 5	0.75 ± 0.03	551 ± 38	>10000	1/735	0.28 ± 0.039	88.2 ± 7.3	276 ± 21
analog 6	0.31 ± 0.02	273 ± 12	>10000	1/881	0.23 ± 0.026	90.9 ± 4.2	254 ± 15
analog 7	0.47 ± 0.02	297 ± 14	>10000	1/632	0.16 ± 0.021	90.6 ± 3.9	163 ± 7
analog 8	0.12 ± 0.008	165 ± 3.4	>10000	1/1375	0.12 ± 0.011	93.1 ± 2.7	202 ± 6

^{*a*} Displacement was done using whole cell preparations from transfected HEK293 cells expressing μ-opioid receptor, δ-opioid receptor or κ-opioid receptor, respectively. ^{*b*} Displacement of [³H]DAMGO (K_d = 0.6 nM, μ-selective). ^{*c*} Displacement [³H]DDPE (K_d = 2.8 nM, δ-selective). ^{*d*} Displacement [³H]U69,593 (K_d = 2.9 nM, κ-selective). K_i values were calculated according to the Cheng–Prusoff equation: K_i = EC₅₀/(1 + [ligand]/ K_d), where the shown K_d values were taken from isotope saturation experiments. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM, each performed in triplicate. ^{*e*}Effects of peptides on forskolin stimulated cyclic AMP accumulation by μ opioid receptor. HEK293 cells expressing MOR were stimulated with increasing concentrations of the indicated peptides. EC₅₀ and E_{max} values were calculated by using the GraphPad Prism software. Data are expressed as the mean ± SEM, each performed in triplicate.

significantly more potent, showing ED₅₀ values of 0.019 (0.006–0.036) and 0.016 (0.005–0.032) nmol, respectively. Pretreatment of opioid antagonist naloxone (Nlx, 0.2 nmol, i.p.) significantly reduced the activities of tested compounds, confirming the central role of the opioid system in determining the effect. In addition, pretreatment with a MOP antagonist β -funaltrexamine (β -FNA, 0.2 nmol, i.c.v.) significantly reduced the analgesic activity of EM-1 analogs, indicating that they mainly targeted the MOP (Figure 2D). Thus, these results were in good agreement with binding affinity and functional activity assays.



Figure 2. Tail-flick test versus time curves of the antinociceptive effect of analog 7 (A) and analog 8 (B) after i.c.v. administration. Dose-response curves for the analgesic effects were presented as AUC data over the period 0 to 30 min (C). The antinociceptive effect induced by analogs after injection of naloxone (i.p.) and β -FNA

(i.c.v.) (D). Each value represents the mean \pm SEM for 8–12 mice. The asterisk indicates that the response is significantly different from control (p < 0.05).

The antinociceptive effect of EM-1 analogs was tested upon peripheral administration by i.v. injection and was reexamined using the mouse tail-flick test. As shown in Figure 3a-3c, the tested analogs exhibited potent antinociceptive activities, with ED₅₀ values for analogs 7 and 8 equalling 0.089 (0.048-0.13) and 0.016 (0.009-0.024) mg, respectively. Conversely, EM-1 displayed no obvious analgesic effect under the same conditions. Naloxone methiodide (Nlx-M), a peripherally restricted opioid antagonist, was used to determine the action site of the tested analogs. Pretreatment with NIx-M (0.2 nmol, i.c.v.) significantly reduced the antinociceptive effect of the above two compounds, whereas the i.v. injection (0.2 mg) of this antagonist did not attenuate their activity (Figure 3d). These results indicate that analogs 7 and 8 can penetrate the highly selective BBB and elicit their activity in the central nervous system (CNS). The promising pharmacological properties of EMs are offset by their lack of activity upon peripheral administration and short action duration, which limits their potential clinical usage. This work, relying on the use of AMBP/AMBF as a building block, indicated that incorporation of $\beta^{2,3}$ -amino acids may improve the BBB penetration ability of peptides, in agreement with our previous reports.³⁵ In addition, the multiple site modification method used in this study may serve as a useful strategy for future EM optimization: the aromatic $\beta^{2,3}$ -amino acids substituted at the C-terminus afford the peptide with BBB permeability, and the Dmt¹ replacement at the Nterminus provids increased binding affinity and functional activity.



Figure 3. Tail-flick test versus time curves of the antinociceptive effect of analog 7 (A) and analog 8 (B) after i.v. administration. Dose-response curves for the analgesic effects were presented as AUC data over the period 0 to 30 min (C). The antinociceptive effect induced by analogs after injection of naloxone (i.p.) and naloxone methiodide (i.p. and i.c.v.). Each value represents the mean \pm SEM for 8–12 mice. The asterisk indicates that the response is significantly different from control (p < 0.05).

The warm water tail flick assay was used to test the acute pain-killing activity, with analog 7 and 8 subsequently evaluated in the formalin test (persistent pain model). As shown in Figure 4, analog 8 exhibited higher analgesic activity upon intravenous administration than analog 7 did in both first (0-5 min) and second phase (15-30 min) of formalin test, with the respective ED_{50} values in phase I equalling 0.021 (0.004–0.042) and 0.11 (0.07–0.151) mg, and ED_{50} values in phase II equalling 0.013 (0.002–0.029) 0.061 and (0.041–0.08) mg, respectively.



Page 4 of 5

Figure 4. Dose-related analgesic effects of i.v. administration of analog 7 (A) and analog 8 (B) in the formalin test. Dose-response curves for the analog 8 (B) in the formalin test. Dose-response curves for the analog 8 (B) in the formalin test analogs at different doses in the phases I (C) and phases II (D). Each value represents the mean \pm SEM for 8-12 mice. The asterisk indicates that the response is significantly different from the saline-treated group (p < 0.05).

Although previous reports showed that the introduction of β -Phe/ β -homo-Phe into EM-1 results in low MOP affinity,^{20,21} the current work indicates that additional methyl group modification at the α -carbon of the β -amino acid imparts nanomolar MOP activity and improved bioavailability after peripheral administration. Besides, it is reported that incorporation of α -hydroxy- β -phenylalanine into EMs afforded peptides with prolonged stability and varied activity toward opioid receptors.²⁷ Thus, these findings suggest that tuning the C $_{\alpha}$ site structure of β -amino acids may afford EM analogs with improved pharmacological profiles.



Figure 5. The effect of i.v. administrations of analog 7, analog 8 and morphine on the locomotor activity in the rotarod test, and are expressed as the endurance time (A and B). The effect of i.v. administrations of analog 7, analog 8 and morphine on the fecal number (C) and fecal dry weight (D). Data are the mean \pm SEM of 8–12 mice. The asterisk indicates that the response is significantly different from control group (p < 0.05).

Short-term side effects of analogs 7 and 8 were examined and compared with those of morphine, using rotarod test to determine the locomotor behaviour of mice after i.v. administration of these compounds. Equal antinociceptive doses affording MPE >95% were used for morphine (0.2 mg) and EM-1 analogs (0.6 mg). As shown in Figure 5, morphine administration significantly impaired the motor function throughout the course of the examination, in contrast, analogs 7 and 8 only interfered with motor function up to 20 min and 10 min after administration, respectively. These results indicated that the analogs exhibited a lower tendency to induce sedation effect. Since opioid-induced constipation (OIC) is a common side effect of classical opioids, the

Journal Name

propensity of the synthesized analogs to induce OIC was determined by assessing the fecal pellet output of mice, showing that their i.v. administration reduced the pellet number and dry weight in a dose-dependent manner. Compared with morphine (0.2 mg), analogs 7 and 8 (0.6 mg) exhibited a significantly lower influence on gastrointestinal mobility.

In summary, a number of EM-1 analogs containing aromatic $\beta^{2,3}$ -amino acids were synthesized and characterized by several *in vitro* and *in vivo* assays, which showed that such modification can improve the bioactivity and bioavailability of these peptides. The prepared EM-1 analogs were effective in alleviating pain after peripheral administration, with *in vivo* assays employing naloxone methiodide showing that they may elicit their antinociceptive effect in the CNS. Additionally, the above analogs displayed a low propensity to induce locomotor impairment and constipation. Thus, this study demonstrates the potential application of aromatic $\beta^{2,3}$ -amino acids in EMs optimization, with their further modification possibly affording effective novel building blocks for the development of EMs compounds with better pharmacological profiles.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful for the grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Nos. 21432003, 21402076, 81473095, 81502904), the Program for Changjiang Scholars and Innovative Research Team in University (No. IRT_15R27), and Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Grant Izujbky-2015-K11, Izujbky-2015-275, Izujbky-2015-232, Izujbky-2016-ct01).

Notes and references

(1) P. J. Christo, D. Mazloomdoost, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 2008, **1138**, 278-298.

(2) J. Parsells Kelly, S. F. Cook, D. W. Kaufman, T. Anderson, L. Rosenberg, A. A. Mitchell, *Pain*, 2008, **138**, 507-513.

(3) S. Dalal, E. Bruera, *Nat. Rev. Clin. Oncol.*, 2013, **10**, 108-116.
(4) A. A. Pradhan, M. L. Smith, B. L. Kieffer, C. J. Evans, *Br. J. Pharmacol.*, 2012, **167**, 960-969.

(5) P. J. Goodwin, E. Bruera, M. Stockler, *J. Clin. Oncol.*, 2014, **32**, 1637-1639.

(6) A. Janecka, R. Perlikowska, K. Gach, A. Wyrebska, J. Fichna, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2010, **16**, 1126-1135.

(7) J. V. Aldrich, J. P. McLaughlin, *Drug Discov. Today Technol.*, 2012, **9**, e23-e31.

(8) S. M. Hall, Y. S. Lee, V. J. Hruby, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2016, **8**, 165-177.

(9) M. Remesic, Y. S. Lee, V. J. Hruby, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2016, 23, 1288-1303.

(10) J. E. Zadina, L. Hackler, L. J. Ge, A. J. Kastin, *Nature*, 1997, **386**, 499-502.

(11) J. E. Zadina, M. R. Nilges, J. Morgenweck, X. Zhang, L. Hackler, M. B. Fasold, *Neuropharmacology*, 2016, **105**, 215-227.

(12) J. Fichna, A. Janecka, J. Costentin, J. C. Do Rego, *Pharmacol. Rev.*, 2007, **59**, 88-123.

(13) A. Janecka, L. Gentilucci, *Future Med. Chem.*, 2014, 6 2093-2101. DOI: 10.1039/C7OB01115F

(14) A. Keresztes, A. Borics, G. Toth, *ChemMedChem*, 2010, **5**, 1176-1196.

- (15) P. W. Schiller, *Life Sci.*, 2010, **86**, 598-603.
- (16) P. W. Schiller, *AAPS J.*, 2005, **7**, E560-565.

(17) P. Varamini, J. T. Blanchfield, I. Toth, *Curr .Med. Chem.*, 2013, **20**, 2741-2758.

(18) J. Piekielna, A. Kluczyk, L. Gentilucci, M. C. Cerlesi, G. Calo, C. Tomboly, K. Lapinski, T. Janecki, A. Janecka, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 6039-6046.

(19) G. Lesma, S. Salvadori, F. Airaghi, E. Bojnik, A. Borsodi, T. Recca, A. Sacchetti, G. Balboni, A. Silvani, *Mol. Divers*, 2013, **17**, 19-31.

(20) G. Cardillo, L. Gentilucci, A. R. Qasem, F. Sgarzi, S. Spampinato, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2002, **45**, 2571-2578.

(21) G. Cardillo, L. Gentilucci, P. Melchiorre, S. Spampinato, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2000, **10**, 2755-2758.

(22) A. Borics, J. R. Mallareddy, I. Timari, K. E. Kover, A. Keresztes, G. Toth, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 8418-8428.

(23) J. R. Mallareddy, A. Borics, A. Keresztes, K. E. Kover, D. Tourwe, G. Toth, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, **54**, 1462-1472.

(24) G. Cardillo, L. Gentilucci, A. Tolomelli, M. Calienni, A. R. Qasem, S. Spampinato, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2003, **1**, 1498-1502.

(25) F. D. Horgen, E. B. Kazmierski, H. E. Westenburg, W. Y. Yoshida, P. J. Scheuer, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2002, **65**, 487-491.

(26) L. Kiss, M. Cherepanova, F. Fulop, *Tetrahedron*, 2015, **71**, 2049-2069.

M. Hu, M. A. Giulianotti, J. P. McLaughlin, J. Shao, G.
Debevec, L. E. Maida, P. Geer, M. Cazares, J. Misler, L. Li, C. Dooley,
M. L. Ganno, S. O. Eans, E. Mizrachi, R. G. Santos, A. B. Yongye, R.
A. Houghten, Y. Yu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **92**, 270-281.

(28) G. Lesma, S. Salvadori, F. Airaghi, T. F. Murray, T. Recca, A. Sacchetti, G. Balboni, A. Silvani, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **4**, 795-799.

(29) Y. Wang, Y. Xing, X. Liu, H. Ji, M. Kai, Z. Chen, J. Yu, D. Zhao, H. Ren, R. Wang, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 6224-6236.

(30) T. P. Tang, J. A. Ellman, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 12-13.

(31) T. P. Tang, J. A. Ellman, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 67, 7819-

7832. (22) X Liu X Wang X Xing L Vu H li M Kai 7 Wa

(32) X. Liu, Y. Wang, Y. Xing, J. Yu, H. Ji, M. Kai, Z. Wang, D. Wang, Y. Zhang, D. Zhao, R. Wang, J. Med. Chem., 2013, 56, 3102-3114.

(33) W. X. Liu, R. Wang, Med. Res. Rev., 2012, 32, 536-580.

(33) W. X. Ed, R. Wang, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2012, 32, 350-38
 (34) A. Janecka, R. Staniszewska, K. Gach, J. Fichna,

Peptides, 2008, **29**, 2066-2073.

(35) Y. Wang, X. Liu, D. Wang, J. Yang, L. Zhao, J. Yu, R. Wang, *Neuropharmacology*, 2015, **97**, 312-321.