

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Tzeng, C. Lin, M. NAKANO, C. Yang, W. Wan and L. Lai, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, DOI: 10.1039/C3DT52903G.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the RSC Publishing peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, which is prior to technical editing, formatting and proof reading. This free service from RSC Publishing allows authors to make their results available to the community, in citable form, before publication of the edited article. This *Accepted Manuscript* will be replaced by the edited and formatted *Advance Article* as soon as this is available.

To cite this manuscript please use its permanent Digital Object Identifier (DOI®), which is identical for all formats of publication.

More information about *Accepted Manuscripts* can be found in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics contained in the manuscript submitted by the author(s) which may alter content, and that the standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [ethical guidelines](#) that apply to the journal are still applicable. In no event shall the RSC be held responsible for any errors or omissions in these *Accepted Manuscript* manuscripts or any consequences arising from the use of any information contained in them.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

A semi-flexible aminotriazine-based bis-methylpyridine ligand for the design of nickel(II) spin clusters

Yen-Wen Tzeng,^a Chang-Jui Lin,^a Motohiro Nakano^b Chen-I Yang,^{*a} Wun-Long Wan,^c and Long-Li Lai^{*c}⁵ Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXX 20XX
DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

The self-assembly of a semi-flexible aminotriazine-based bis-methylpyridine ligand, *N*²,*N*²-dibenzyl-*N*⁴,*N*⁶-di(pyridylmethyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triamine (*H*₂L), with ¹⁰ *NiCl*₂ and *NiBr*₂ afforded two new nickel(II) clusters, (*H*₂NMe₂)₂[Ni₅(OH)₂(*H*₂L)₂Cl₁₀] (**1**) and [Ni₆(OH)₂(*H*₂L)₂Br₁₀(THF)₂] (**2**) showing a high spin ground state of *S* = 3.

The development of new molecule-based magnets is an ¹⁵ important research topic in the fields of chemistry and physics, due to their impressive structural diversity and intriguing physical properties as well as complicated magneto-structural correlations.¹ One of the major challenges in the area of molecular magnetism is the construction of ²⁰ polynuclear metal cluster that exhibits interesting magnetic properties, such as the high-spin ground state and/or single-molecule magnet (SMM) behavior.^{2–5} For the preparation of such metal clusters, using a polychelating ligand with an unused arm or donor site has been recognized.^{6–7} An ²⁵ alternative preparation is to utilize the flexidentate behavior of a multidentate ligand and judicious choice of bridging ligands, such as carboxylate, and azide.^{8,9} Among the bridging ligands, halide ions have been known for their versatile bridging coordination modes that generate polymeric compounds.¹⁰ ³⁰ Poly-pyridyl ligands had a major impact in the field of supramolecular chemistry for decades, which have led a variety of metal/ligand supramolecular ensembles to be obtained such as double and triple helices, grids, ladders, and so forth.¹¹ However, the flexible poly-pyridyl ligands are ³⁵ rarely exploited in the formation of polynuclear metal clusters; especially the resulting structures may potentially exhibit interesting magnetic properties.

We herein report the self-assembly of two Ni(II) clusters, (Me₂NH₂)₂[Ni₅(OH)₂(*H*₂L)₂Cl₁₀] (**1**) and ⁴⁰ [Ni₆(OH)₂(*H*₂L)₂Br₁₀(THF)₂] (**2**), using a semi-flexible aminotriazine-based bis-methylpyridine ligand, *N*²,*N*²-dibenzyl-*N*⁴,*N*⁶-di(pyridylmethyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triamine (*H*₂L). The designed ligand, *H*₂L (scheme 1), contains an aminotriazine ring and two flexible ⁴⁵ methylpyridine arms, which could chelate metal ions into clusters **1** and **2**, exhibiting an *S* = 3 spin ground state arising from the uncanceled spin arrangement of the antiferro- and ferromagnetic interactions in **1** and ferromagnetic interaction



in **2**, respectively.Scheme 1. Schematic representation of *H*₂L ligand.

Fig. 1. Crystal structure of the anion complex **1** (left) and its Ni^{II}₅ core structure (right). The Me₂NH₂ cations and H atoms were omitted for clarity.

X-ray crystal structure analysis showed that **1** and **2** crystallize in the monoclinic space groups *P*2₁/*n* and in the triclinic space groups *P* $\bar{1}$, respectively. In complex **1**, the geometry of the centrosymmetric Ni^{II}₅ cluster can be ⁶⁰ described as two corner-sharing μ_3 -OH-centred Ni^{II}₃ triangles with bowtie topology (Fig. 1). Two *H*₂L groups connect the central Ni^{II} atom (Ni1) and two peripheral metal ions in the two side of bowtie (Ni2 and Ni3) in a μ_3 -*H*₂L- κ^5 -N,N':N'':N''',N'''' coordination mode, in which two ⁶⁵ methylpyridine groups exhibit in a *trans*-conformation. The base (Ni2...Ni3) of each triangle are bridged by two μ_2 -Cl[–] anions. The μ_3 -OH[–] group links the central Ni1 to the two peripheral metal ions on either side of the molecule and the O atom of OH[–] lie out of the plane of the Ni₃ triangle about ⁷⁰ 0.402 Å. Peripheral ligations around each Ni centers are completed by terminal Cl[–] anions.

The structure of complex **2** reveals a dimer of [Ni^{II}₃(μ_3 -OH)(μ_3 -Br)(μ_2 -Br)₃]⁺ core which is connected by two bis-chelating *H*₂L ligands (Fig. 2). The structure [Ni^{II}₃(μ_3 -OH)(μ_3 -Br)(μ_2 -Br)₃]⁺ adopts a near-equilateral Ni^{II}₃ triangle ⁷⁵ core, which is bonded by a μ_3 -oxide (O1) and a μ_3 -Br[–] (Br1) on both sides of central planar where the central OH[–] and Br[–]

bridges are located 0.902 and 2.056 Å above the Ni₃ plane. Each base of the Ni^{II}₃ triangle is connected by a μ₂-Br⁻ anions (Br2–Br4). Two H₂L ligands in complex **2** exhibit μ₂-H₂L-κ⁴-N,N':N'',N''' coordination mode with *trans*-conformation of their two methylpyridine groups and connect two Ni₃ triangles into a hexanuclear dimer of Ni₃ structure. Peripheral ligations around each Ni^{II} centers are ended by one terminal Br⁻ anions and one THF molecule.




Fig. 2. Crystal structure of the complex **2** (left) and its Ni^{II}₃ core structure (right). The H atoms were omitted for clarity.




Fig. 3. Plots of $\chi_M T$ versus T for **1** in an applied field of 1 kOe from 2.0 to 300 K. The solid line represents a least-squares fit of the data (see text). The inset shows a 2 K magnetization isotherm collected between 0–50 kOe.

The solid-state, variable-temperature magnetic susceptibility measurements were performed on microcrystalline sample of complexes **1** and **2** in the 2–300 K range in a 1 kOe magnetic field, which was suspended in eicosane to prevent torquing.

For complex **1**, the $\chi_M T$ value of 6.01 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 300 K decreases gradually with decreasing temperature in the range of 300 to 70 K, then abruptly increases, reaching a maximum of 7.05 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 10 K, and decrease to 4.38 cm³ K mol⁻¹ at 2 K. (Fig. 3). The change in $\chi_M T$ value indicates antiferromagnetic dominated in Ni₅ unit with a non-canceled spin ground state and the $\chi_M T$ value at 10 K is consistent with $S = 3$ ($g = 2.2$). Below 10 K, the $\chi_M T$ values slowly decreases, probably due to weak intermolecular antiferromagnetic interactions, zero field splitting and/or small anisotropy.

In order to understand the magnetic coupling of complex **1**, the magnetic susceptibility data were fitted using a Ni^{II}₅ Heisenberg-van Vleck model. Based on the structure analysis. The number of magnetic interactions can be reduced significantly: J_1 for Ni^{II}...Ni^{II} through one μ₃-OH⁻ and one H₂L bridgings and J_2 for Ni^{II}...Ni^{II} through one μ₃-OH⁻ and two μ₂-Cl⁻ bridges (see Fig. S5 in the Supporting Information), hence the Hamiltonian can be written:

$$H = -2J_1(S_1S_2 + S_1S_3 + S_1S_4 + S_1S_5) - 2J_2(S_2S_3 + S_4S_5)$$

40

The $\chi_M T$ data could be well fitted by this Heisenberg-van Vleck model with the addition of an intermolecular interaction by the mean-field approximation (zJ'). The results from fitting the experimental data are shown as solid lines in Fig. 3, with final parameters being $g = 2.30$, $J_1 = -11.7$ cm⁻¹, $J_2 = 3.5$ cm⁻¹ and $zJ' = -0.10$ cm⁻¹. This set of parameters leads to the conclusion that the ground state is $S_T = 3$ and the first excited state is $S = 2$ at 24 cm⁻¹ above ground state (Fig. S6). The estimated values, for the intracluster magnetic exchange interactions, indicates that the antiferro- and ferromagnetic interactions are provided within the Ni^{II}₅ cluster in **1**, and are associated with an $S = 3$ spin ground state. Both interactions (J_1 and J_2) are close to the reported exchange interactions of Ni^{II}...Ni^{II} through the similar pathways.¹² The magnetization curve recorded at 2 K of complex **1** shows a continuous increase up to the saturation value of 6.3 $N\beta$ (Fig. 3 inset), which corresponds well to a ground-state spin $S = 3$, in agreement with the $\chi_M T$ data. However, this magnetization curve cannot be nicely fitted by the Brillouin equation for $S = 3$, probably due to the presence of intermolecular interaction, zero field splitting and/or anisotropy.




Fig. 4. Plots of $\chi_M T$ versus T for **2** in an applied field of 1 kOe from 2.0 to 300 K. The solid line represents a least-squares fit of the data (see text). The inset shows a 2 K magnetization isotherm collected between 0–50 kOe.

For complex **2**, the value of $\chi_M T$ increases steadily from 4.24 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 300 K as the temperatures decreases to reach a maximum of 6.03 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 18 K, and then decrease to 1.00 cm³ mol⁻¹ K at 2.0 K (Fig. 4). The $\chi_M T$ value at 300 K is slightly larger than 4.00 cm³ mol⁻¹ K, the expected value for a Ni^{II}₃ complex with noninteracting metal centers with $g = 2.3$. This behavior clearly indicates the ferromagnetic coupling within complex **2** and the decreasing in $\chi_M T$ at low temperature (< 28 K) is likely due to the intermolecular (Ni₃...Ni₃) interaction, the Zeeman effect or zero-field splitting in the ground state. In order to describe the coupling within the cluster, the magnetic susceptibility data were fitted using a Ni^{II}₃ Heisenberg-van Vleck model: $H = -2J(S_1S_2 + S_2S_3 + S_1S_3)$ with an interunit interaction by the mean-field approximation (zJ') (Fig. S7). The data below 20 K were omitted in the fitting, because zero-field splitting and Zeeman effect likely dominate in this temperature range. The fitting result of dc data in 1 kOe gave the best fit parameters of $g = 2.26$, $J = 8.10$ cm⁻¹ and $zJ' = -0.50$ cm⁻¹. This set of parameters give the ground state of $S_T = 3$ and the first excited state $S = 2$ at -48 cm⁻¹ above ground state (Fig. S8). Although,

the magnetic interaction between Ni^{II} ion through such bridges (one $\mu_3\text{-OH}$, one $\mu_3\text{-Br}$ and one $\mu_2\text{-Br}$) has not been reported in the literatures, it is believed that the ferromagnetic interactions ensue from 6-coordinate geometry and the Ni-X-Ni bridging angles close to 90° .¹³ The magnetization curve recorded at 2 K of **2** is shown in Fig. 4 inset, in which the magnetization slow increases with the increasing of field and becomes saturated around 50 kOe with value of $5.65 N\beta$. The less rapid saturation of magnetization at low field may results from the antiferromagnetic interaction of $\text{Ni}_3\cdots\text{Ni}_3$ interunit and the saturation magnetization value corresponds well to a ground-state spin $S = 3$, in agreement with the $\chi_{\text{M}}T$ data. Again, this magnetization curve cannot be well fitted by the Brillouin equation for $S = 3$, due to the presence of intermolecular interaction and/or zero field splitting.

To investigate whether **1** and **2** might be a SMM, ac susceptibility measurements were performed with a zero applied dc field. Representative results for **1** and **2** are shown in Figs. S9 and S10. At lower temperatures, the in-phase signal (χ_{M}''/T) increases to ~ 6.8 and $6.5 \text{ cm}^3 \text{ K mol}^{-1}$ for **1** and **2**, respectively, confirming the spin ground state of $S = 3$ for both complexes. For complex **1**, a weak χ_{M}'' signal appears below 5 K, which is indicative of a slow magnetic relaxation within **1**. However, the peak maxima clearly lie in the temperatures below 1.8 K, the operating limit of our instrument. These data thus suggest that compound **1** indeed exhibits a slow magnetic relaxation or long-range magnetic ordering at temperatures below 1.8 K. In contrast, the complex **2**, shows no SMM behavior from the absence of χ_{M}'' signal.


In conclusion, use of semi-flexible aminotriazine-based bis-methylpyridine ligands (H_2L) has allowed the access of two novel Ni clusters with interesting magnetic properties. The H_2L ligand represents a 'proof of feasibility' for the belief that such ligands may provide a rich source of new transition-metal clusters. Further studies are in progress.

Notes and references

- ^a Department of Chemistry, Tunghai University, Taichung 407, Taiwan. Fax: 886-4-23590426; E-mail: ciyang@thu.edu.tw
- ^b Division of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Osaka University, 2-1 Yamada-oka, Suita, 565-0871, Japan
- ^c Department of Applied Chemistry, National Chi Nan University, Nantou 545, Taiwan. E-mail: lilai@ncnu.edu.tw
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Detailed experimental procedures, additional crystallographic diagrams and magnetic diagram. CCDC 947250 and 947251. For ESI and crystallographic data in cif or other electronic format, See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
- ‡ The complexes analyzed as (C, H, N) **1**, calcd (found): C, 42.52 (42.17); H, 4.26 (4.79); N, 14.40 (14.35)% and **2**, calcd (found): C, 34.37 (34.03); H, 3.23 (3.34); N, 9.72 (9.72)%. Crystal-structure data for **1**, $\text{C}_{62}\text{H}_{70}\text{Cl}_{10}\text{N}_{18}\text{Ni}_5\text{O}_2$, $M = 1747.31$, monoclinic, $P2_1/n$, $a = 15.7038(12) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 9.9564(7) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 23.8274(18) \text{ \AA}$, $\beta = 93.4680(10)^\circ$, $V = 3718.7(5) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 150(2) \text{ K}$, $Z = 2$. ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0426$), 8215 parameters, $R(R_w) = 0.0382(0.0865)$ with $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$ and for **2**, $\text{C}_{66}\text{H}_{74}\text{Br}_{10}\text{N}_{16}\text{Ni}_6\text{O}_4$, $M = 2306.56$, triclinic, $P\bar{1}$, $a = 11.9623(7) \text{ \AA}$, $b = 13.3874(8) \text{ \AA}$, $c = 14.2964(9) \text{ \AA}$, $\alpha = 65.3520(10)^\circ$, $\beta = 72.9400(10)^\circ$, $\gamma = 75.1400(10)^\circ$, $V = 1965.5(2) \text{ \AA}^3$, $T = 150(2) \text{ K}$, $Z = 1$. ($R_{\text{int}} = 0.0291$), 9051 parameters, $R(R_w) = 0.0256(0.0481)$ with $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$.
- ¹ J. S. Miller and M. Drillon, Eds. *Magnetism: Molecules to Materials*, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, Germany, 2001–2004, Vols. I–V.

- (a) G. Christou, D. Gatteschi, D. N. Hendrickson and R. Sessoli, *MRS Bull.*, 2000, **25**, 66–71; (b) R. Sessoli, H.-L. Tsai, A. R. Schake, S. Wang, J. B. Vincent, K. Folting, D. Gatteschi, G. Christou and D. N. Hendrickson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 1804–1816; (c) A. Caneschi, D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli, A. L. Barra, L. C. Brunel and M. Guillot, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 5873–5874; (d) M. Nakano, H. Oshio, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 3239–3248.
- (a) R. Sessoli, D. Gatteschi, A. Caneschi and M. A. Novak, *Nature.*, 1993, **365**, 141–143; (b) D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and A. Cornia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2000, **2000**, 725–732; (c) Z. Sun, C. M. Grant, S. L. Castro, D. N. Hendrickson and G. Christou, *Chem. Commun.*, 1998, **1998**, 721–722; (d) E. C. Yang, D. N. Hendrickson, W. Wernsdorfer, M. Nakano, L. N. Zakharov, R. D. Sommer, A. L. Rheingold, M. Ledezma-Gairaud and G. Christou, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2002, **91**, 7382–7384; (e) C.-I. Yang, W. Wernsdorfer, Y.-J. Tsai, G. Chung, T.-S. Kuo, G.-H. Lee, M. Shieh and H.-L. Tsai, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 1925–1939.
- (a) G. Christou, *Polyhedron.*, 2005, **24**, 2065–2075; (b) D. Gatteschi, R. Sessoli and J. Villain, *Molecular Nanomagnets*; Oxford University Press: New York, 2006; (c) G. Aromi and E. K. Brechin, *Struct. Bonding (Berlin)*, 2006, **122**, 1 and references therein.
- (a) T. N. Nguyen, W. Wernsdorfer, K. A. Abboud and G. Christou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 20688–20691; (b) A. Saha, K. A. Abboud and G. Christou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **50**, 12774–12784; (c) Z. Wang, J. Van Tol, T. Taguchi, M. R. Daniels, G. Christou and N. S. Dalal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 17586–17589.
- (a) A. M. Ako, I. J. Hewitt, V. Mereacre, R. Clérac, W. Wernsdorfer, C. E. Anson and A. K. Powell, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 4926–4929; (b) S. S. Tandon, S. D. Bunge, J. Sanchiz and L. K. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 3270–3282; (c) Z. E. Serna, M. K. Urtiaga, M. G. Barandika, R. Cortés, S. Martín, L. Lezama, M. I. Arriortua and T. Rojo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2001, **40**, 4550–4555.
- (a) E. E. Moushi, C. Lampropoulos, W. Wernsdorfer, V. Nastopoulos, G. Christou and A. J. Tasiopoulos, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 16146–16155; (b) C. C. Stoumpos, O. Roubeau, G. Aromi, A. J. Tasiopoulos, V. Nastopoulos, A. Escuer and S. P. Perlepes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 359–361; (c) M. Murugesu, J. Raftery, W. Wernsdorfer, G. Christou and E. K. Brechin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 4203–4209; (d) T. C. Stamatatos, C. G. Efthymiou, C. C. Stoumpos and S. P. Perlepes, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **2009**, 3361–3391.
- (a) C. Papatriantafyllopoulou, T. C. Stamatatos, W. Wernsdorfer, S. J. Teat, A. J. Tasiopoulos, A. Escuer and S. P. Perlepes, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2010, **49**, 10486–10474; (b) M. Murugesu, M. Habrych, W. Wernsdorfer, K. A. Abboud and G. Christou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 4766–4767; (c) T. C. Stamatatos and G. Christou, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 3308–3322.
- (a) G. Aromi, M. J. Knapp, J.-P. Claude, J. C. Huffman, D. N. Hendrickson and G. Christou, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 5489–5499; (b) M. Charalambous, E. E. Moushi, C. Papatriantafyllopoulou, W. Wernsdorfer, V. Nastopoulos, G. Christou and A. J. Tasiopoulos, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 5410–5412; (c) J. Esteban, L. Alcázar, M. Torres-Molina, M. Monfort, M. Font-Bardia and A. Escuer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 5503–5505.
- (a) J.-M. Lehn, *Supramolecular Chemistry*, Wiley-VCH, New York, 1995; (b) C. Piguet, G. Berardinelli and G. Hopfgartner, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 2005–2062; (c) M. Albrecht, *Chem. Rev.*, 2001, **101**, 3457–3498.
- (a) J. Esteban, P. E. Ruiz, D. M. Font-Bardia, D. T. Calvet and A. Escuer, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 3637–3648; (b) P. L. Pawlak, A. Y. S. Malkhasian, B. Sjlivic, M. J. Tiza, B. E. Kucera, R. Loloee and F. A. Chavez, *Inorg. Chem. Comm.*, 2008, **11**, 1023–1026.
- G. N. Newton, H. Sato, T. Shiga and H. Oshio, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 6701–6704.

Table of Contents



The use of a semi-flexible aminotriazine-based bis-methylpyridine ligand, N^2,N^2 -dibenzyl- N^4,N^6 -di(pyridylmethyl)-1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triamine (H_2L),
s afforded two new nickel(II) clusters, $(H_2NMe_2)_2[Ni_5(OH)_2(H_2L)_2Cl_{10}]$ (**1**) and $[Ni_6(OH)_2(H_2L)_2Br_{10}(THF)_2]$ (**2**) showing a spin ground state of $S = 3$.