Cite this: Green Chem., 2012, 14, 921

www.rsc.org/greenchem



Efficient and practical transition metal-free catalytic hydration of organonitriles to amides[†]

Tao Tu,* Zhixun Wang, Zelong Liu, Xike Feng and Qingyi Wang

Received 21st December 2011, Accepted 14th February 2012 DOI: 10.1039/c2gc16637b

 K_2CO_3 can act as an efficient catalyst for the hydration of organonitriles in aqueous conditions assisted by microwave irradiation, which represents an inexpensive, practical, atomeconomical, and straightforward transition metal-free protocol to various amides.

As versatile synthons in organic synthesis and essential intermediates in pharmaceutical and material sciences,^{1,2} amides played significant roles and exhibited a wide range of applications in academia and industry.³ In contrast with other methodologies, hydration of organonitriles constitutes a more atomeconomical and straightforward protocol to access various amides, which has been applied as an important technology in industry to produce a wide number of profitable fine chemicals such as acrylamide and nicotinamide.⁴ Moreover, industries generated enormous amounts of organonitrile chemical waste and directly disposed them into rivers, lakes and the sea, and they are now considered as a disastrous threat to the environment. Therefore, a practical and general protocol to convert organonitriles to amides is intriguing, especially in water media. Classic hydrations are traditionally carried out with strong acids or bases such as H₂SO₄ or NaOH in aqueous condition, which frequently resulted in over-hydrolysis to form undesirable carboxylic acids.⁵ Hence, the hydration precedents accelerated by transition metal homogeneous⁶ and heterogeneous⁷ catalysts as well as nanoparticles⁸ were developed and some of them demonstrated higher selectivity to form amides, even tolerating substrates with sensitive functional groups. However, in many cases harsh reaction conditions, long reaction time and oxygen-free techniques are requested. In addition, expensive transition metal precursors (such as cobalt,⁹ copper,¹⁰ gold,¹¹ rhodium,¹² ruthenium,¹³ palladium,¹⁴ platinum,¹⁵ etc.) or luxurious ligands (phosphines, NHC carbenes, etc.), high catalyst loading, toxic organic solvents or even oxidative reagents (DMSO, H2O2, etc.) as well as inconvenient catalyst/product separation procedures also hinder their application as practical protocols in industry. Therefore, the development of an efficient green catalytic hydration protocol in aqueous media, especially in water, is an important pursuit and challenge.

Although microbial enzymatic hydration of organonitriles offered a mild, highly selective and environmentally friendly option to produce amides,^{6c} low reactivity, narrow substrate scope and special handing of microorganisms restrained it as a practical protocol. However, various amides widely exist in the nature and amino bonds play crucial roles to construct peptides, proteins and enzymes, which are vital to organisms and arouse us to explore how to generate the first amide in the nature without the aid of enzymes. The hypothesis of a mineral-mediated origin of life, which assumes minerals could catalyze the chemical reactions in prebiotic time, has inspired us. Therefore, we reported in this communication an efficient and practical hydration of organonitriles catalyzed by inorganic salts with broad substrates scope.

Initially, various inorganic salts, such as CaCO₃, Cs₂CO₃, K₂CO₃, KOAc, K₃PO₄, *etc.*, were involved to test their potential ability to accelerate hydration of organonitriles in water. To our delight, with a stoichiometric amount of Cs₂CO₃ or K₂CO₃, when the hydration of *p*-chlorobenzonitrile **1a** in water was carried out under reflux conditions, *p*-chlorobenzamide **2a** was obtained in good isolated yield within 3 hours by simple filtration (Table 1, entries 1–2) and no corresponding acid was

Table 1 Microwave-assisted hydration of p-chlorobenzonitrileaccelerated by various inorganic bases^a

| CI CI 1a CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI CI | | | | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------|------|------------|--------|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| Entry | Base | Mol% | Temp. (°C) | Time | $\mathrm{Yield}^{b}\left(\%\right)$ | | |
| 1 | K ₂ CO ₃ | 100 | 100^{c} | 3 h | 92 | | |
| 2 | Cs ₂ CO ₃ | 100 | 100^{c} | 3 h | 97 | | |
| 3 | K ₂ CO ₃ | 100 | 100 | 5 min | 94 | | |
| 4 | K_2CO_3 | 5 | 100^{c} | 8 h | 29 | | |
| 5 | K_2CO_3 | 5 | 100 | 15 min | 14 | | |
| 6 | K_2CO_3 | 5 | 140 | 15 min | 44 | | |
| 7 | K_2CO_3 | 5 | 150 | 15 min | 91 | | |
| 8 | K_2CO_3 | 5 | 150 | 5 min | 50 | | |
| 9 | K_2CO_3 | 3 | 150 | 15 min | 44 | | |
| 10 | Cs_2CO_3 | 5 | 150 | 15 min | 98 | | |
| 11 | Na ₂ CO ₃ | 5 | 150 | 15 min | 24 | | |
| 12 | KOAc | 5 | 150 | 15 min | 11 | | |
| 13 | K_3PO_4 | 5 | 150 | 15 min | 82 | | |

^{*a*} All reactions were carried out under air in 1 mmol scale in water. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} The reaction mixture was heated in an oil bath.

Department of Chemistry, Fudan University, 220 Handan Road, 200433 Shanghai, China. E-mail: taotu@fudan.edu.cn; Fax: +86 21-65102412 †Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experiment details. See DOI: 10.1039/c2gc16637b

detected. This process can dramatically be expedited by microwave irradiation,¹⁶ and the hydration completed within 5 minutes (Table 1, entry 3). Furthermore, catalytic amounts still accelerated the hydration at 100 °C; however, with 5 mol% K₂CO₃, the yields of *p*-chlorobenzamide were relatively low even with microwave assistance (Table 1, entries 4-5). Further increasing the reaction temperatures, the yields of 2a were enhanced (Table 1, entries 6-7); the best result was observed when the hydration was carried out at 150 °C. By shortening the reaction time to 5 minutes or slightly decreasing the catalyst loading to 3 mol%, unsatisfying results were obtained (50% and 44%, Table 1, entries 8–9). When 5 mol% Cs₂CO₃ was applied, almost a quantitative yield was obtained (98%, Table 1, entry 10). The other inorganic salts such as Na₂CO₃, KOAc and K₃PO₄ still resulted in lower yields (11-82%, Table 1, entries 11 - 13).

Under the optimal reaction conditions, inexpensive K_2CO_3 was involved as a catalyst to test the scope of the protocol with

regard to various kinds of functionalized nitriles, although Cs₂CO₃ resulted in a better yield in the model reactions (Table 1, entry 10). The results are summarized in Table 2, which indicated that K₂CO₃ exhibited high catalytic activity for hydrations of active, inactive and heterocyclic nitriles to corresponding amides in aqueous media. A variety of benzonitriles were almost quantitatively hydrated in water (>99% conversions, determined by GC) and resulted in excellent isolated yields with 5-50 mol% K_2CO_3 (Table 2, entries 1–23). In the case of water-insoluble amides, the analytically pure white products could be easily isolated by simple filtration after cooling to ambient conditions; therefore, the isolated yields also depended on nuances of the solubility of the benzoamides. The other water-soluble or liquid amides were isolated by extraction with EtOAc. The relative position of substituents in benzonitriles slightly affected the efficiency of the hydration; *m*-substituted benzonitriles resulted in the best isolated yields in contrast to the other two isomers (Table 2, entries 2-3 and 8-10). And o-substituted benzonitriles

Table 2 Microwave-assisted hydration of various nitriles catalyzed by K₂CO₃ in aqueous media⁴

| | $R-CN \xrightarrow{K_2CO_3, \text{ Solvent}} R-CONH_2$ | | | | | | | | |
|----------------|--|---------------------------------------|------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| Entry | Amide | K ₂ CO ₃ (mol%) | Time (min) | $\mathrm{Yield}^{b}\left(\%\right)$ | Entry | Amide | K ₂ CO ₃ (mol%) | Time (min) | Yield ^b (%) |
| 1 | CONH ₂ 2b | 5 | 15 | 90 | 14 | 20 CONH ₂ 20 | 50 | 15 | 99 |
| 2 | CI CI 2c-d | 5 | 15 | 97(<i>o</i>) | 15 | | 20 | 15 | 99 |
| 3 | | 5 | 15 | >99(<i>m</i>) | 16 | | 20 | 15 | 96 |
| 4 | F 2e | 5 | 15 | 88 | 17 | CONH ₂ S 2r | 5 | 15 | 94 |
| 5 | Br 2f | 5 | 15 | 90 | 18 | N 2s | 5 | 15 | >99 |
| 6 | Ac 2g | 5 | 15 | 97 | 19 ^c | | 5 | 15 | 76 |
| 7 ^c | O ₂ N 2h | 5 | 15 | 99 | 20 | CONH ₂ 2u | 20 | 15 | 72 |
| 8 | Me 2i-k | 20 | 15 | 91(<i>o</i>) | 21 ^c | | 25 | 15 | 94 |
| 9 | | 20 | 15 | 96(<i>m</i>) | 22^d | CONH ₂ 2w | 15 | 15 | 92 |
| 10 | | 5 | 15 | 88(<i>p</i>) | 23 ^c | CONH ₂ 2x | 25 | 40 | 67 |
| 11 | MeO 2I | 20 | 20 | 97 | | | | | |
| 12 13 | H ₂ N 2m-n | 20 20 | 30 30 | >99(<i>o</i>) 93(<i>p</i>) | | | | | |

^{*a*} Microwave-assisted hydration reactions were carried out with 1 mmol nitrile and a catalytic amount of K_2CO_3 in H_2O at 150 °C. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} Reactions were carried out in aqueous media (*i*-PrOH– $H_2O = 1:2$). ^{*d*} Reactions were carried out in aqueous media (EtOH– $H_2O = 1:20$).

 Table 3
 The catalytic behaviour of the recycling filtrates^a

| | | | | Yield ^b (%) for each cycle | | |
|---------------------|--------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|-----------|----------|
| Entry | Nitrile | K ₂ CO ₃ (mol%) | Amide | 1st | 2nd | 3rd |
| 1 2 | 1a 10 | 5 50 | 2a 20 | 85 89 | 84 90 | 82 83 |
| ^a Microv | wave-assiste | ed hydration with 1 | mmol scale. | ^b Isolat | ed yield. | |

were usually less-active substrates than their *m*- and *p*-analogues, however, sterically more-demanding substrates like o-chlorobenzonitrile 1c and o-tolunitrile 1i afford better results than their p-analogues (1a and 1k). The substrates bearing electron-withdrawing groups were more reactive than those with electrondonating groups; for most electron-donating substituted substrates, 20 mol% K₂CO₃ was required to achieve satisfied conversions and yields (Table 2, entries 8-13). With 50 mol% K₂CO₃, sterically more demanding substrates like 1-naphthonitrile 10 were also tolerated (Table 2, entry 14). To our delight, di-ortho-substituted benzonitriles converted to the corresponding amides 2p and 2q even with 20 mol% K₂CO₃, indicating a broad substrate scope of the protocol (Table 2, entries 15 and 16). In addition, our protocol tolerated heterocyclic substrates such as 3-thiophenecarbonitrile 1r and 4-cyanopyridine 1s (Table 2, entries 17 and 18). For less soluble organonitriles, additional organic solvents such as i-PrOH or EtOH have to be involved to increase their solubility in water. With the help of i-PrOH, double hydration of dinitrile underwent conversion to diamides 2t within 15 minutes (76%, Table 2, entry 19). Under similar conditions, the protocol can successfully be extended for the hydration of 2-phenylacetonitrile 1u and 2-(naphthalen-1-yl) acetonitrile 1v to the corresponding amides 2u and 2v in 72% and 94% yields, respectively (Table 2, entries 20 and 21). Cinnamamide 2w was readily obtained with similar procedure in a 92% isolated yield (Table 2, entry 22), and a small amount EtOH was required in this case. Furthermore, hydration of aliphatic nitriles like octanenitrile 1x resulted in a 67% yield for 2xwith 25 mol% K₂CO₃, even when prolonging the reaction time to 40 minutes in the aqueous i-PrOH (Table 2, entry 23).

As a practical protocol with broad substrate scope, the possibility of catalyst recycling is considered as another important issue. With 5 mol% K₂CO₃, almost constant isolated yields for 2a were obtained by the hydration with the first three recycling filtrates (82-85%, Table 3, entry 1). Only trace amide 2a along with a big amount of starting material was obtained with the 4th filtrate. Similarly, the filtrate can also be recycled for another three times in the hydration of 10 with 50 mol% K_2CO_3 ; 82-90% yields were observed (Table 3, entry 2). The pH value of the 3rd filtrate of hydration of 1a is ca. 7.5, which is much lower than the pH value of solution of 5 mol% K₂CO₃ in water (pH = 8.5) and suggests that OH^{-} plays a crucial role in the hydration of organonitriles. Therefore, a possible weak base catalytic hydration mechanism is proposed in Fig. 1. However, if the catalytic route went along this mechanism, theoretically the filtrate should be recycled more than three times. By carefully acidification of the 3rd filtrate of the reaction mixture of 1a and



Fig. 1 A proposal mechanism for hydration of organonitriles in water.

extraction by EtOAc, less than 10% yield of *p*-chlorobenzoic acid was isolated, which suggested a part of *p*-chlorobenzamide **2a** was further converted to acid under the basic conditions after a long time of microwave irradiation. Due to only catalytic amount of K_2CO_3 being applied and high solubility of the corresponding potassium benzoate in water, a satisfactory isolated yield of amides was still afforded by simply filtration even after the 3rd recycle, which further indicated the protocol practicability and efficiency. In addition, with this negative charge mechanism, it is easy for us to understand that the organonitriles with electron-withdrawing groups show better reactivity than the electron-donating analogues in Table 2.

In summary, K_2CO_3 can act as an efficient catalyst for the hydration of organonitriles in aqueous conditions assisted by microwave irradiation, which represents an inexpensive, practical, atom-economical, and straightforward transition metal-free protocol to various amides. Besides various mono-, di- and even tri-substituted benzonitriles, heterocyclic and aliphatic substrates were also facilely converted to the corresponding amides in high selectivity, which demonstrated the broad substrate scope of the newly developed catalytic hydration system. In addition, a simple product separation procedure and recyclability of the filtrate as the catalyst highlight the applicability of the protocol.

Financial support from National Science Foundation of China (No. 20902001 and 21172045) and Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (B108) is gratefully acknowledged.

Notes and references

- (a) The Amide Linkage: Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry and Materials Science, ed. A. Greenberg, C. M. Breneman and J. F. Liebman, Wiley, New York, 2002; (b) The Chemistry of Amides, ed. J. Zabicky, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1970.
- 2 (a) S. Rivara, A. Lodola, M. Mor, A. Bedini, G. Spadoni, V. Lucini, M. Pannacci, F. Fraschini, F. Scaglione, R. O. Sanchez, G. Gobbi and G. Tarzia, J. Med. Chem., 2007, 50, 6618; (b) A. Bhattacharya, B. P. Scott, N. Nasser, H. Ao, M. P. Maher, A. E. Dubin, D. M. Swanson, N. P. Shankley, A. D. Wickenden and S. R. Chaplan, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 2007, 323, 665.
- 3 Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, ed. I. Johansson, Wiley, New York, 5th edn, 2004, vol. 2.
- 4 (a) R. Opsahl, in *Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology*, ed. J. I. Kroschwitz, Wiley, New York, 1991, vol. 2, p. 346; (b) K. Ingvosersen and J. Kamphuis, in *Enzyme Catalysis in Organic Synthesis*, ed. K. Drauz and H. Waldmann, VCH, Weinheim, 1995, vol. 1.
- 5 S. Sato, R. Takahashi, T. Sodesawa, K. Matsumoto and Y. Kamimura, J. Catal., 1999, 184, 180.
- 6 (a) T. J. Ahmed, S. M. M. Knapp and D. R. Tyler, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **255**, 949; (b) V. Y. Kukushkin and A. J. L. Pombeiro, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 1771; (c) P. K. Mascharak, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **225**, 201.

- 7 (a) K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Synlett*, 2010, 2365; (b) S. Sebti, A. Rhihil, A. Saber and N. Hanafi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 6555.
- 8 (a) A. Y. Kim, H. S. Bae, S. Park, S. Park and K. H. Park, *Catal. Lett.*, 2011, **141**, 685; (b) T. Mitsudome, Y. Mikami, H. Mori, S. Arita, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 3258; (c) V. Polshettiwar and R. S. Varma, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 1582.
- 9 J. H. Kim, J. Britten and J. Chin, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1993, 115, 3618.
- 10 R. Breslow, R. Fairweather and J. Keana, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1967, 89, 2135.
- 11 R. S. Ramón, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, Chem.-Eur. J., 2009, 15, 8695.
- 12 (a) K. Yamaguchi, M. Matsushita and N. Mizuno, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 1576; (b) M. C. K.-B. Dojoman and A. N. Ajjou, Tetrahedron Lett., 2000, 41, 4845.

- 13 (a) A. Goto, K. Endo and S. Saito, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 3607; (b) S. Murahashi, S. Sasao, E. Saito and T. Naota, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 2521.
- 14 (a) N. V. Kaminskaia and N. M. Kostić, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1996, 3677; (b) G. Villain, A. Gaset and Ph. Kalck, J. Mol. Catal., 1981, 12, 103.
- 15 (a) X. Jiang, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa and J. G. de Vries, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 2327; (b) T. Ghaffar and A. W. Parkins, J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem., 2000, 160, 249; (c) T. Ghaffar and A. W. Parkins, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 8657.
- 16 Recent examples of microwave-assisted syntheses: (a) A. Daştan, A. Kulkarni and B. Török, Green Chem., 2012, 14, 17; (b) S. Chitra, N. Paul, S. Muthusubramanian and P. Manisankar, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 2777; (c) S. Ding, M. Le-Nguyen, T. Xu and W. Zhang, Green Chem., 2011, 13, 847; (d) J. McNulty, P. Das and D. McLeod, Chem.– Eur. J., 2010, 16, 6756; (e) P. Das, D. McLeod and J. McNulty, Tetrahedron Lett., 2011, 52, 199.