Synthesis and Biological Evaluation of 1,3,4-Oxadiazole Fused Pyridine Derivatives as Antibacterial and Antifungal Agents¹

N. J. P. Subhashini^a*, B. Bhadraiah^{a,b}, and P. Janaki^a

^a Department of Chemistry, University College of Technology, Osmania University, Hyderabad, 500007 India *e-mail: njsubhashini@yahoo.co.in

^b Chemistry Services, GVK Biosciences Pvt.Ltd, IDA Nacharam, Hyderabad, 500076 India

Received December 31, 2016

Abstract—A series of novel 1,3,4-oxadiazole fused pyridine derivatives were synthesized **11a–11f** and their structures confirmed by IR, ¹H NMR and Mass spectral data. The compounds were evaluated for their antibacterial and antifungal activities against Gram negative and positive bacterial strains including *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 443), *K. Pneumoniae* (MTCC 109), *S.Aureus* (MTCC 96), *B. Subtilis* (MTCC 441) and *Sclerotium rolfsii, Macrophomina phaseolina*. Compounds **11d**, **11e**, and **11f** demonstrated high antibacterial activity.

Keywords: nicotinic acid, isoniazid, furamizole, antibacterial activity

DOI: 10.1134/S1070363217030276

INTRODUCTION

Compounds with the pyridine backbone possess a variety of biological activities including antimicrobial [1], herbicidal [2], insecticidal [3], antiprion [4],

antihepatitis B virus [5], antibacterial [6], and many others [7-9]. Some pyridine containing drugs such as Nicotinic acid (1) are used for treatment of cardiovascular disease [10]. Isoniazid (2) is used for tuberculosis [11] (Scheme 1).

Scheme 1.



1,3,4-Oxadiazole is another important five-member heterocyclic compound associated with potent pharmacological activity due to the presence of the -N=C-O- linkage [12].

In view of the above, we have synthesized a novel series of 1,3,4-oxadiazole incorporated pyridine derivatives **11a–11f**. The structures of products were confirmed by IR, ¹H NMR and mass spectra and their antibacterial and antifungal activities were evaluated. Synthesis of the compounds **11a–11f** is outlined in Scheme 2.

EXPERIMENTAL

All chemicals and reagents were obtained from Aldrich (Sigma–Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA), Lancaster (Alfa, Johnson Matthey Company, Ward Hill, MA, USA) and used without further purification. Reactions were monitored by TLC, performed on silica gel glass plates containing 60 F-254, and visualized by UV light or iodine vapor. Melting points were

¹ The text was submitted by the authors in English.

Scheme 2. Synthetic pathway to 11a–11f.



R = H (11a), 3-OH (11b), 4-OMe (11c), 2-CF₃ (11d), 4-CN (11e), 3,4-difluoro (11f). Reagents and conditions: (*1*) Ethanol, conc H₂SO₄, reflux, 12 h. (*2*) Ethanol, N₂H₄.H₂O, reflux, 12 h. (*3*) Ethanol, reflux, 12 h. (*4*) (Diacetoxyiodo)benzene, CH₂Cl₂, 40°C, 12 h. (*5*) DME, H₂O, Na₂CO₃, Pd(PPh₃)₄, 100°C, 10 min.

determined with an electro thermal melting point apparatus. IR spectra were recorded on a Schimadzu-FT-IR Spectrophotometer in KBr discs. ¹H NMR spectra were measured at 400 MHz using TMS as the theinternal standard. ESI spectra were measured on Micro mass, Quattro LC using ESI⁺ software with capillary voltage 3.98 kV and ESI mode positive ion trap detector.

Ethyl 4-bromopicolinate (5). To a stirred solution of 4-bromopicolinic acid 4 (20 g, 87.33 mmol) in ethanol (250 mL) cooled to 5°C, sulfuric acid (3 mL) was added and the reaction mixture was slowly cooled down to room temperature and then heated at 70°C for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction (TLC), the solvent was evaporated under vacuum. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (500 mL), washed with ice cold water (100 mL), saturated by sodium bicarbonate solution (100 mL) and brine solution (100 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate. The solvent was evaporated under vacuum and purified by column chromatography (hexane–ethyl acetate = 20 : 80) to give compound 5 (86%).

4-Bromopicolinohydrazide (6). To a stirred solution of ethyl 4-bromopicolinate **5** (15 g, 65.51 mmol) in ethanol (150 mL) was added hydrazine hydrate (6.55 g, 6.42 mL, 131.01 mmol). The reaction mixture

(E)-4-Bromo-N'-(2-nitrobenzylidene)picolinohydrazide (8). To a stirred solution of 4-bromo-

picolinohydrazide (6). To a suffed solution of 4-bronnopicolinohydrazide 6 (12 g, 55.82 mmol) in ethanol (120 mL) was added 2-nitrobenzaldehyde 7 (10.11 g, 66.99 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 70°C for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction (monitored by TLC), the solid precipitate was filtered off and washed with *n*-hexane (50 mL), and dried under vacuum to give compound **8** (82%).

was heated at 70°C for 12 h. Upon completion of the

reaction (TLC), the solid precipitate was filtered off

and dried under vacuum to give compound 6 (85%).

2-(4-Bromopyridin-2-yl)-5-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4oxadiazole (9). To a stirred solution of (*E*)-4-bromo-*N*⁻(2-nitrobenzylidene) picolinohydrazide **8** (10 g, 28.73 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (100 mL) was added diacetoxy iodobenzene (13.87 g, 42.69 mmol). The reaction mixture was heated at 40°C for 12 h. Upon completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was diluted with DCM (200 mL) and washed with water (50 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, filtered and evaporated under vacuum to give compound **9** (80.5%).

Synthesis of 1,3,4-oxadiazole fused pyridine derivatives 11a–11f. To a stirred solution of 2-(4-bromopyridin-2-yl)-5-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole 9 (100 mg,

Comp. no.	Conventional method		Microwave method	
	time, h	yield, %	time, min	yield, %
11a	10.00	51	20	75
11b	12.10	48	15	77
11c	14.30	55	10	83
11d	8.20	49	12	71
11e	9.30	56	30	80
11f	16.10	60	25	82

Table 1. Comparable studies of the Suzuki coupling reaction

0.28 mmol) were added a substituted arylboronic acid **10a–10f** (1.2 equiv.) in 1,2-dimethoxy ethane (5 mL), water (2 mL), Na₂CO₃ (3 eq). The mixture was degassed with Ar within 10 min and Pd(PPh₃)₄ (0.05 equiv.) was added. The reaction mixture was heated at 100°C for an appropriate period of time. Upon completion of the reaction (TLC), the mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL) and washed with water (2×5 mL). The organic layer was separated and dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate and the solvent evaporated under vacuum to give products **11a–11f**. The similar processes carried out under microwave irradiation using CEM microwave at 490 W led to higher overall yields (75–82%) of the products (Table 1).

2-(2-Nitrophenyl)-5-(4-phenylpyridine-2-yl)-1,3,4oxadiazole (11a). Yield 75%, mp 150–152°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3432, 2925, 1531, 1348, 857, 727. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 7.64–7.54 m (3H), 7.93–8.03 m (5H), 8.20 d (J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.26 d (J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.51 s (1H), 8.87 d (J = 4.8 Hz, 1H). ESI-MS: m/z = 345 [M + H]⁺.

3-(2-(5-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl)pyridin-4-yl) phenol (11b). Yield 77%, mp 145–150°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3209, 2923, 1601, 1535, 1365, 1220, 834, 719. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 6.95 d (*J* = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 s (1H), 7.41–7.33 m (1H), 7.53–7.65 m (2H), 8.26 d (*J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 8.19 d.d (*J* = 2.76 Hz, 2H), 8.42 s (1H), 8.85 d (*J* = 5.6 Hz, 1H), 9.81 s (1H). ESI-MS: *m/z* = 361.15 [*M* + H]⁺.

2-(4-(3-Methoxyphenyl)-pyridine-2-yl)-5-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazole (11c). Yield 83%, mp 201– 205°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3445, 2935, 1597, 1540, 1365, 1256, 828, 573. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 3.85 s (3H), 7.13 d (J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.91–8.03 m (5H), 8.19 d (J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.26 d (J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.46 s

	Gram negative		Gram positive		
Compound	<i>E.Coli</i> MTCC 443	K. Pneumoniae MTCC 109	<i>S.Aureus</i> MTCC 96	B. Subtilis MTCC 441	
	Zone of inhibition, mm				
11a	6	—	8	12	
11b	7	10	12	14	
11c	8	12	10	13	
11d	9	14	12	16	
11e	12	13	13	18	
11f	10	14	13	16	
Gentamycin	15	22	15	20	

Table 2. Antibacterial activity of compounds 11a-11f

(1H), 8.80 d (J = 5.2 Hz, 1H). ESI-MS: m/z = 375.20 $[M + H]^+$.

4-[2-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl]-2-[5-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl]pyridine (11d). Yield 71%, mp 195–200°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3434, 3085, 1551, 1529, 1353, 1316, 1170, 1118, 854, 775. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 7.58 d (J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.70 d (J =4.8 Hz, 1H), 7.76 t (J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.84 t (J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.94–8.02 m (3H), 8.16–8.20 m (2H), 8.25 d.d (J = 1.6,7.2 Hz, 1H), 8.91 d (J = 5.2 Hz, 1H). ESI-MS: m/z = 412.9 [M + H]⁺.

4-{2-[5-(2-Nitrophenyl)-1,3,4-oxadiazol-2-yl]pyridin-4-yl}benzonitrile (11e). Yield 80%, mp 165–170°C.

 Table 3. Anti-fungal activity of target compounds 11a–11f

	Zone of inhibition, cm			
Compound	Sclerotium rolfsii	Macrophomina phaseolina		
11a	0.1	0.2		
11b	0.2	0.1		
11c	0.2	0.2		
11d	0.3	0.2		
11e	0.1	0.3		
11f	0.3	0.2		
Mancozeb	0.4	0.4		

IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3409, 2919, 2228, 1599, 1363, 830. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 7.96–8.27 m (9H), 8.56 s (1H), 8.93 d (J = 5.2 Hz, 1H). ESI-MS: $m/z = 369.9 [M + H]^+$.

4-(3,4-Difluorophenyl)-2-[5-(2-nitrophenyl)-1,3,4oxadiazol-2-yl]pyridine (11f). Yield 82%, mp 135– 140°C. IR spectrum, v, cm⁻¹: 3855, 3422, 2920, 2228, 1599, 1537, 1363, 830, 725. ¹H NMR spectrum, δ , ppm: 7.62–7.69 m (1H), 7.87 m (1H), 7.96–8.06 m (3H), 8.13–8.21 m (2H), 8.27 d (J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.55 s (1H), 8.8 d (J = 4.8 Hz, 1H). ESI-MS: m/z = 380.9 $[M + H]^+$.

Antibacterial activity. The compounds 11a–11f were evaluated for their antibacterial activity against both Gram nagative and positives bacterial strains including *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 443), *K. Pneumoniae* (MTCC 109) and *S.Aureus* (MTCC 96), *B. Subtilis* (MTCC 441) (Table 2). Gentamycin was used as the control. Compounds 11d, 11e, and 11f demonstrated high activity.

Anti-fungal activity. The compounds **11a–11f** were screened *in vitro* for anti-fungal activity against two fungal strains, *Sclerotium rolfsii and Macrophomina phaseolina*, using Mancozeb as the standard control drug (Table 3). Compounds **11d**, **11e**, and **11f** demonstrated high activity.

CONCLUSIONS

A novel series of 1,3,4-oxadiazole fused pyridine derivatives **11a–11f** was synthesized and the structures were confirmed by IR, ¹H NMR and Mass spectra. All derivatives were evaluated for their antibacterial and antifungal activities against Gram nagative and positives bacterial strains, including *Escherichia coli* (MTCC 443), *K. Pneumoniae* (MTCC 109), *S.Aureus* (MTCC 96), *B. Subtilis* (MTCC 441) and *Sclerotium rolfsii, Macrophomina phaseolina.* Compounds **11d**, **11e**, and **11f** demonstrated high antibacterial activity.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The autohrs are thankful to GVK Bio sciences for constant encouragement in providing laboratory facilities and analytical data.

REFERENCES

- 1. Patel, N.B. and Patel, H.R., *Arab. J. Chem.*, 2012, vol. 5, p. 81. doi 10.1016/j.arabjc.2010.07.028
- Liu, Y.X., Wei, D.G., Zhu, Y.R., Liu, S.H., Zhang, Y.L., Zhao, Q.Q., Cai, B.L., Li, Y.H., Song, H.B., Liu, Y., Wang, Y., Huang, R.Q., and Wang, Q.M., *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2008, vol. 56, p. 204. doi 10.1021/ jf072851x
- Kang, S.H., Song, B.A., Wu, J., He, M., Hu, D.Y., Jin, L.H., Zeng, S., Xue, W., and Yang, S., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, vol. 67, p. 14. doi 10.1016/ j.ejmech.2013.06.023
- Perrier, V., Wallace, A.C., Kaneko, K., Safar, J., Prusiner, S.B., and Cohen, F.E., *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U.S.A., 2000, vol. 97, p. 6073.
- Chen, H., Zhang, W., Tam, R., and Raney, A.K., *PCT Int. Appl.*, WO 2005058315 A1 20050630, 2005.
- Levy, S.B., Alekshun, M.N., Podlogar, B.L., Ohemeng, K., Verma, A.K., Warchol, T., Bhatia, B., Bowser, T., and Grier, M., USA Patent Appl., 2005124678 A1 20050609, 2005.
- Anderson, D.R., Stehle, N.W., Kolodziej, S.A., and Reinhard, E., *J. PCT Int. Appl.*, WO 2004055015 A1 20040701, 2004.
- Prasanthi, G., Prasad, K.V., and Bharathi, K., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, vol. 73, p. 97. doi 10.1016/ j.ejmech.2013.12.001
- Brun, P., Dean, A., Di Marco, V., Surajit, P., Castagliuolo, I., Carta, D., and Ferlin, M.G., *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, vol. 62, p. 486. doi 10.1016/ j.ejmech.2013.01.024
- 10. Joule, J.A. and Mills, K., *Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Blackwell Publishing, 2000, 4th ed.
- Storr, S.J., Royle, L., Chapman, C.J., Hamid, U.M.A., Robertson, J.F., Murray, A., Dwek, R.A., and Rudd, P.M., *Glycobiology*, 2008, vol. 18, p. 456. doi 10.1093/ glycob/cwn022
- 12. Rigo, B. and Couturier, D., J. Heterocyclic Chem., 1985, vol. 22, p. 287. doi 10.1002/jhet.5570220209