View Article Online

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: S. Bandyopadhyay, M. S. Hossain, Sk. A. Rahaman, J. Hatai and M. Saha, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0CC00926A.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm

Published on 05 March 2020. Downloaded by Universiteit Utrecht on 3/5/2020 8:09:30 PM.

COMMUNICATION

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx **towards phosphorylated anions** Munshi Sahid Hossain,^a Sk. Atiur Rahaman,^a Joydev Hatai,^b Monochura Saha^a and Subhajit

Switching the recognition ability of a photoswitchable receptor

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

An azobenzene based photoswitchable macrocyclic receptor displays different binding affinities in its E and Z forms towards various phosphorylated coenzymes under physiological conditions with remarkable selectivity for ATP in the E-form while selectivity towards GTP in the photoisomerized Z-form. Linear discriminant analysis clearly separated the analytes using the E-form. An application of this method enabled monitoring the progress of an enzymatic phosphorylation with a tyrosine kinase enzyme.

Bandyopadhyay*^a

Photoswitchable molecules having efficient switching behavior can be exploited to regulate molecular functions reversibly using light.^{1, 2} Light-driven switches toggle reversibly between two or more states, which gives rise to species having different electronic structures, sizes, and shapes.³ Several photochromic systems are used for switching among which diarylethenes⁴, spiropyrans^{5, 6} acyclic as well as macrocyclic azobenzene⁷⁻¹¹ are the most frequently used.

The design and development of receptor for selective recognition of anions has received considerable attention in the recent years,^{12, 13} not only for academic interest but also because of their applications in diagnostics, imaging, and environmental sciences.^{14, 15} Among various anions, recognition of nucleotides, phosphates, pyrophosphates have significant role in a plethora of biological processes.^{16, 17} Adenosine triphosphate (ATP) is a multi-functional nucleotide that plays an important role in energy transduction in organisms and controls several metabolic processes.^{18–19} It also turns on and off the activity of a protein by phosphorylation. Selective recognition and discrimination of ATP and other phosphate containing coenzymes in aqueous media has emerged as an important area of research. Recently, a cationic pillar[6]arene that can selectively bind to ATP has been

reported.²⁰ Selective recognition of ATP has also been reported.²¹ A phosphate anion receptor was reported to achieve selectivity in affinity for ATP through π -stacking of pyrene-adenine-pyrene sandwitch.²² An acyclic azobenzene based dipicolylamine modified γ -CyD-Cu²⁺ complexes that can recognize ATP has been reported to display a high selectivity over other phosphoric acid derivatives.²³ Competitive molecular recognition of GTP and ATP by adenylate kinase has also been reported.²⁴ Thus, there exists a number of excellent probes for each of these coenzymes separately. It would be beneficial if a single probe can detect and discriminate among ATP, ADP, AMP, P_i and GTP anions.²⁵ This motivated us to design a switchable receptor that can detect these coenzymes via a cross-reactive sensor array that can alter the selectivity upon external inputs like light which could be cost effective and beneficial in term of practical applications. Recently, photomodulation of anion recognition through non-covalent interaction using photoswitchable system was reported by Flood and by our group.^{26, 27} A spirooxazine based photochromic sensor, differentiated among various metal ions via a cross-reactive sensor array.²⁸

Here we report a macrocyclic azobenzene based fluorescent receptor **1** that can recognize ATP selectively, preferentially in the **1***E* form and discriminate the rest of the anions as a cross-reactive sensor array. However, upon 366 nm light excitation, the photoisomerized **1***Z* form of the receptor showed altered selectivity towards GTP. Thus, the photoswitchable cross-reactive receptor stands as a unique example through photoisomerization that detected various phosphorylated anions via that linear discriminant analysis (LDA).^{29, 30}



^{a.} Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Kolkata, Mohanpur, Nadia, West Bengal 741246, India. E-mail: <u>sb1@iiserkol.ac.in</u>

^{b.} Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Duisburg-Essen, Universitatsstraße 745141, Essen, Germany.

E-mail: joydev.hatai@uni-due.de

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

 $[\]textbf{Scheme 1.} Photomodulation of the receptor \textbf{1}$

COMMUNICATION

As a potential application, this system was investigated for the easy monitoring of a phosphorylation reaction. Posttranslational modifications of proteins or peptides upon phosphorylation regulate cellular signalling pathways and protein-protein interactions. Generally progress of the reaction could be monitored by mass spectrometry in a biological experiment.³¹ However, recently a few luminescent probes having metal ions have also been employed for monitoring the kinase activity.³² In this work, a metal free probe, **1***E* has been used as an ATP-specific sensor to monitor the phosphorylation of tyrosine. This enabled fast and realtime *in vitro* monitoring of a kinase activity.

Initially, the receptor **1** was synthesized and characterized by spectroscopic and spectrometric methods and also via single crystal X-ray diffraction (CCDC number-1941103, see SI for detailed experiments and characterisation). The **1***E* \Rightarrow **1***Z* photoswitching behavior of the receptor was performed via UV-Vis as well as ¹HNMR spectroscopy, displayed characteristic of azobenzene photo switching properties (Fig. S1-S3).

The optical sensing of ATP, ADP, AMP, P_i and GTP anions were demonstrated by the fluorescent response of the receptor 1E as well as 1Z due to its high sensitivity over UV absorption (Fig. S4-S5). The influence of the anions (sodium salts) on the fluorescent emission spectrum of receptor 1 in both form (10 µM) was investigated in an aqueous solution of Tris (1 mM, buffered at pH 6.8) at 25 °C. Upon addition of ATP to an aqueous solution of 1E, the emission spectrum shifted (15 nm) towards the longer wavelengths. An increase in the ATP concentration from 0 to 10 equivalents resulted in a ~7 fold fluorescence enhancement. However, the addition of 10 equivalents of ADP and GTP to 1E showed only a 3.5 fold and 3.1 fold enhancement accompanied by a 16 nm and an 8 nm red shift respectively. In the case of AMP and P_i receptor, 1E showed only a subtle emission intensity enhancement (~2 fold and ~1.5 fold respectively) but with large red shifts (32 nm and 30 nm respectively) (Fig. 1A and Fig. S6 and S8A). The degree of fluorescence enhancement was ascertained to follow the order ATP> ADP> GTP> AMP> P_i. On the contrary, no appreciable spectral changes were observed upon addition of pyrophosphate $(P_2O_7^{4-})$ and a whole host of other common anions Cl⁻, AcO⁻, NO³⁻, NO²⁻, N³⁻, F⁻, HCO₃⁻, CO₃²⁻, S²⁻, SO₄²⁻ to the 1E isomer (Fig. S9). Therefore, it was interesting to note that the degree of enhancement along with the spectral shift of each of these phosphate species served as a unique fingerprint for their detection (see Table 1). This led us to the multivariate analysis of our data using linear discriminatory analysis (see later: multivariate analysis). Interestingly, upon exposure to the 366 nm light, ATP⊂1E complex underwent conversion to the ATP C1Z system. The switching from the E to the Z complex was marked by a significant decrease in the emission intensity. Similar, reduction of the fluorescence intensity was also observed for the ADP C1E, AMP 1E, GTP**C**1*E* as well as P_i**C**1*E* complexes upon exposure to the UV light, although the extent of the decrement in the intensity was much lesser. Quantitative measurement of the binding of the anions with 1Z was also checked by systematic titration. It was found that the addition of 10 equivalents of ATP and GTP



Fig. 1 Emission intensity of (A) 1*E* (10 μ M) (B) 1*Z* (10 μ M) with phosphate anions (10 equiv. each) in a Tris buffer of pH 6.8. The same scale have been maintained in all the figures for the ease of comparison. (C) Systematic ¹H NMR titration of 1*E* (5 mM) with ATP (0 to 1 equivalent).

to an aqueous solution of 1Z displayed only a modest enhancement in the emission intensity (1.5 fold and 1.9 fold respectively). However, addition of ADP, AMP and P_i (10 equivalents each) did not influence the emission spectra (Fig. 1B and Fig. S7-S8B). Therefore, these fluorescence enhancement and spectral shifts showed that the receptor 1E can easily detect and differentiate among these phosphate containing anions simultaneously through a combination of $\Delta\lambda$ and ΔF values (see Table 1) and at the same time display altered selectivity towards GTP upon isomerization. The binding of the ATP with **1***E* was also studied by ¹H NMR titration in deuterated solvent. Addition of ATP upto 1 equivalent displayed significant upfield shift of the aromatic as well as aliphatic protons of the 1E to some extent (Fig. 1C). This clearly demonstrated that the 1E form binds strongly to the anions. The Job's plot showed 1:1 binding stoichiometry $(\chi_{[ATP]} = 0.53)$ between **1***E* and the ATP (Fig. S10, binding constant for the analytes are given in the Table S1 and Fig. S11-S15).

The geometry of the host receptor **1** (in the *E* isomer as well as in the *Z* isomer), negative charge of the guest anions and the structure of the phosphate derivatives dictate the mode of interactions of the receptors with the various anions. Crystal structure of **1E** (crystalized from $1:1 \text{ v/v } \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2/\text{MeOH}$, CCDC No.-1941161) showed that it exists as a protonated form through the pyridine nitrogen even at a neutral pH (protonated form captured in presence of ClO_4^- counter anion, Fig. 2). Furthermore, the coenzymes ATP, ADP, AMP and GTP also exists as ATP⁴⁻, ADP³⁻, AMP²⁻ and GTP⁴⁻ respectively under neutral pH condition.³³ Receptor **1E** experiences strong affinity towards ATP⁴⁻ most likely via the coulombic interaction between the negatively charged oxygen of the anions and the positively charged protonated form of the receptor. In addition, H–bonding interaction of the guest anion with the amine group of the adenosine moiety and the –N=N– group of

Published on 05 March 2020. Downloaded by Universiteit Utrecht on 3/5/2020 8:09:30 PM

Journal Name

Published on 05 March 2020. Downloaded by Universiteit Utrecht on 3/5/2020 8:09:30 PM.

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

the receptor is also possible (Fig. S18). The binding mode of



Fig. 2 (A) Single crystal X-ray diffraction of 1E and (B) protonated 1E at 50% ellipsoid probability.

the anions with the receptor can be speculated from the crystal structure as well as from the optimized geometry of the receptor. The interactions mentioned earlier are most likely with the 1E form because of its open structure (Fig. 2A) which the guest anions can access. This can lead to H-bonding interactions between the lone pair of the azo -N=N- of the host and the NH moieties of the adenosine unit. The coulombic interaction plays a major role is intuitively envisaged since the host and the guest bear the opposite charges under the working pH of 6.8. The columbic interactions bring the guests and the host in the close proximity and thereby facilitate the H-bonding interactions between them. The weaker interaction of the GTP compared to ATP with 1E could stem from the lack of the H-bonding between the adenosinyl-NH₂ and the lone pair of the -N=N- of the host that is present for the later. This was supported by the DFT studies at the B3LYP level using the polarizable continuum model (PCM) (Fig. S18). Interestingly the 1Z form does not allow both the interactions because of the geometrical constrains. Therefore, the molecular recognition of 1E isomer with the guest anions is stronger than the corresponding 12 isomer (Fig. S17).

The unique spectral shift as well as change in fluorescent intensity in the presence of each of the anions further enabled the discrimination among the analytes. For a qualitative discrimination among the coenzymes, the fluorescence intensities of **1***E* (10 μ M) upon the addition of analytes in aqueous media were also recorded ($\lambda_{ex} = 292$ nm, Trisbuffered at pH 6.8 at 25 °C) (Fig. 1). The changes in the fluorescence intensities at different wavelength led to the generation of unique optical fingerprints (Fig. 3A) for each of

Table 1. Fluorescence enhancement and	wavelength shift of receptor 1 in E form as
well as in the Z form upon addition of the	e anions.

	1 <i>E</i>		1Z	
Anions	Fold (F/F₀)	Shift (Δλ)	Fold (F/F _o)	Shift (Δλ)
ATP	6.5	+15 nm	1.5	-6 nm
ADP	3.55	+16 nm	1.1	-3 nm
AMP	1.9	+32 nm	-	-
Pi	1.4	+30 nm	-	-
GTP	3.1	+8 nm	1.9	+4 nm

This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 20xx

the coenzymes. The emission pattern thus obtained subjected to the chemometric methods (LDA) to discriminate and the these patterns. The LDA mapping clearly indicated that sensor can detect and discriminate among the analytes. Even the discrimination between AMP and P_i that is difficult using univariate analysis, the LDA plot showed a clear discrimination with 100% cross-validation (Fig. 3D). The LDA plot was used to identify the 23 of 25 unknown samples of the analytes (ATP, ADP, AMP, GTP and P_i), indicating 92% accuracy (Table S5).

The two-dimensional score plot for the **1***E* exhibited a distinct separation among ATP, ADP and GTP with rest of the observables (AMP or P_i) but the clustered data of AMP and P_i in a close proximity. These observations were in conformity with the previous results for the **1***E* and hence, reinforced the capacity of differentiating among the phosphate based analytes with the azobenzene system (Fig. 3B). The introduction of another factor to the score plot enhanced the separation among the observables in the 3D plot which enabled a better separation. This result confirmed spectacular ability of the receptors to recognize and differentiate among the various analytes of biological importance (Fig. 3C).

The biological phosphate transfer reaction uses kinases and an ATP as the coenzyme producing a phosphorylated protein and the ADP. Since our system can detect and discriminate among the phosphate derivatives, to explore the real-life use of our system, a biological kinase reaction was carried out to monitor the kinase activity with the receptor 1E. Addition of tyrosine by itself to the receptor 1E (2.5 μ M, in Tris-buffered at pH 6.8) did not show any significant fluorescence signal prior to the addition of ATP. Upon addition of ATP (25 μ M), a drastic increase in the fluorescence signal with a sevenfold enhancement of the fluorescence intensity at 390 nm was observed. This is consistent with the response of 1E in the presence of ATP. For real-time monitoring of the kinase activity, the enzyme c-Src kinase was subsequently added and the time course of the fluorescence spectra of the mixture showed a gradual decrease at 390 nm corresponding to a



Fig. 3 (A) Recognition patterns for the analytes (10 equiv. each) based on the variation of fluorescence intensity of 1*E* (10 μ M) at different wavelengths; (B) 2D and (C) 3D score plot for an array of 1*E* discriminating the analytes; (D) The confusion matrix obtained (using LDA classifier) as a classification accuracy trained on the full data set for all the analytes in aqueous solution of Tris (buffered at pH 6.8) at 25 °C.



Fig. 4 Scheme of kinase activity with probe **1***E* (top); Kinase study of **1***E* (2.5 μ M) with ATP (25 μ M) in presence of Tyrosine upon addition of c-**Src** kinase (1 μ M) in Tris (buffered at pH 6.8) at 25 °C (bottom).

decrease in the concentration of ATP (Fig. 4).

In summary, an azobenzene based macrocyclic probe in the E-isomer showed strong binding affinity towards ATP whereas the selectivity towards GTP was displayed by the Zisomer. All the species showed distinct patterns in terms of the enhancement of their emission intensity (ΔF_{λ} values) and the spectral shifts ($\Delta\lambda$). Therefore, taking a combination of these fluorescence enhancements at various wavelengths and their spectral shifts with the receptors 1E, these phosphate containing anions can easily be detected and differentiated using the linear discrimination analysis method. On the contrary, the other photoisomerized form 1Z exhibited very poor discrimination ability towards the anions. Thus, one can tune the recognition and discrimination ability of the receptor 1 via light. The practical application of this probe was demonstrated with 1E as a fluorescent probe for the monitoring of the progress of an enzymatic phosphate` transfer reaction using a tyrosine kinase enzyme (c-Src kinase) which enabled a real time monitoring of the in vitro kinase activity.

This work is supported by DST-SERB (Grant No. EMR/2017/003720). The authors thank Ms. Piyali Mukherjee for her help with the DFT analysis.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 A. S. Lubbe, W. Szymanski and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 1052-1079.
- 2 (a) S. Kassem, T. van Leeuwen, A. S. Lubbe, M. R. Wilson, B. L. Feringa and D. A. Leigh, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, 46, 2592-2621; (b) M. Saha, S. Bandyopadhyay, *Chem. Commun.* 2019, 55, 3294-3297.
- 3 W. Szymański, J. M. Beierle, H. A. V. Kistemaker, W. A. Velema and B. L. Feringa, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 6114-6178.
- 4 M. Berberich, F. Würthner, *Chem. Sci.*, 2012, **3**, 2771-2777.
- 5 A. Koçer, M. Walko, W. Meijberg and B. L. Feringa, *Science*, 2005, **309**, 755-758.
- 6 R. Klajn, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 148-184.
- 7 (a) M. Hammerich, C. Schütt, C. Stahler, P. Lentes, F. Röhricht, R. Höppner and R. Herges, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016,

138, 13111-13114; (b) J. Trads, K. Hüll, B. S. Matsura, L. Laprell, T. Fehrentz, N. Görldt, K. A. Kozek<u>o</u> (b) MewAndee Online Klöcker, D. M. Barber and D. Trauner, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 15421-15428.

- 8 J. M. Mativetsky, G. Pace, M. Elbing, M. A. Rampi, M. Mayor and P. Samori, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 9192-9193.
- 9 M. Dong, A. Babalhavaeji, C. V. Collins, K. Jarrah, O. Sadovski, Q. Dai and G. A. Woolley, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13483-13486.
- P. K. Hashim and N. Tamaoki, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 11729- 11730.
- 11 Z. Yu, S. Weidner, T. Risse and S. Hecht, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 4156-4167.
- 12 (a) N. Busschaert, C. Caltagirone, W. V. Rossom and P. A. Gale, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 8038-8155; (b) X. Chi, W. Cen, J. A. Queenan, L. Long, V. M. Lynch, N. M. Khashab and J. L. Sessler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 6468-6472; (c) T. Saha, M. S. Hossain, D. Saha, M. Lahiri and P. Talukdar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 7558-7567.
- 13 J. R. Dobscha, S. Debnath, R. E. Fadler, E. M. Fatila, M. Pink, K. Raghavachari and A. H. Flood, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2018, 24, 9841-9852.
- 14 P. A. Gale, R. Pérez-Tomás and R. Quesada, Acc. Chem. Res., 2013, 46, 2801-2813.
- 15 B. S. Khakh and R. A. North, *Nature*, 2006, **442**, 527.
- 16 A. K. H. Hirsch, F. R. Fischer and F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 338-352.
- 17 A. Ojida, Y. Mito-oka, K. Sada and I. Hamachi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2004, 126, 2454-2463.
- 18 W. N. Lipscomb and N. Strater, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 2375-2434.
- 19 T. Tabary and L. J. Ju, Immunol. Methods, 1992, 156, 55-60.
- 20 G. Yu, J. Zhou, J. Shen, G. Tang and F. Huang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 4073-4078.
- (a) P. Mahato, A. Ghosh, S. K. Mishra, A. Shrivastav, S. Mishra and A. Das, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 9134-9136; (b) T. Yamada, S. Fujiwara, K. Fujita, Y. Tsuchido, T. Hashimoto and T. Hayashita, *Molecules*, 2018, 23, 635.
- 22 Z. Xu, N. J. Singh, J. Lim, J. Pan, H. N. Kim, S. Park, K. S. Kim and J. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 15528-15533.
- 23 K. Fujita, S. Fujiwara, T. Yamada, Y. Tsuchido, T. Hashimoto and T. Hayashita, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 976-981.
- 24 P. Rognea, M. Rosselina, C. Grundströma, C. Hedberga, U. H. Sauera and M. Wolf-Watza, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2018, **115**, 3012-3017.
- 25 J. L. Kolanowski, F. Liu and E. J. New, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2018, 47, 195-208.
- 26 (a) F. C. Parks, Y. Liu, S. Debnath, S. R. Stutsman, K. Raghavachari and A. H. Flood, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 17711-17723. (b) S. Lee and A. H. Flood, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 2013, 26, 79-86.
- 27 A. Rananaware, M. Samanta, R. S. Bhosale, M. A. Kobaisi, B. Roy, V. Bheemireddy, S. V. Bhosale, S. Bandyopadhyay and S. V. Bhosale, *Sci. Rep.*, 2016, 6, 22928.
- 28 Y. Huang, F. Li, C. Ye, M. Qin, W. Ran and Y. Song, Sci. Rep., 2015, 5, 9724.
- 29 J. Hatai, L. Motiei and D. Margulies, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 2136-2139.
- 30 J. Han, B. Wang, M. Bender, K. Seehafer and U. H. Bunz, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 20415-20421.
- 31 S. Morelli, C. Buitrago, G. Vazquez, A. R. D. Boland and R. Boland *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2000, **275**, 36021-36028.
- 32 (a) S. H. Hewitt, J. Parris, R. Mailhot and S. J. Butler, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 12626-12629; (b) E. A. Weitz, J. Y. Chang, A. H. Rosenfield, E. A. Morrow and V. C. Pierre, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 4052-4060.
- 33 R. B. Stockbridge and R. Wolfenden, J. Biol. Chem., 2009, 284, 22747-22757.