

Synthesis of Proline Analogues via Rh-Catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Addition

Emma K. Edelstein,* Danica A. Rankic, Caroline C. Dudley, Spencer E. McMinn, and Donovon A. Adpressa



KEYWORDS: rhodium, 1,4-addition, high-throughput experimentation, proline, unnatural amino acids

he incorporation of noncanonical amino acids into peptides and proteins can alter a variety of physical and biochemical properties, thus influencing the structure and function of the peptide or protein itself.¹ The ability to alter these parameters has become of importance to biomedical fields in recent years with the increase of nonsmall molecule modalities including peptides, peptidomimetics, antibodies, and hybrid molecules as therapeutics.² Through the incorporation of non-native amino acid building blocks, one can introduce structural diversity, influence function, and introduce drug-like properties to chemical matter within these less traditional modality classes. Among the amino acids, proline offers unique utility due to its pyrrolidine backbone that is capable of forming a tertiary amide bond upon incorporation into peptides, which results in conformational rigidity that can alter secondary protein structure.³ Consequently, analogues of proline have been used to impart changes in function and physiochemical properties of peptides and other biomolecules.4

Other than acting as proline replacements, pyrrolidine-based heterocycles are ubiquitous as structural constituents of natural products,⁵ organocatalysts,⁶ and small molecules.⁷ *trans*-3-Substituted proline frameworks in particular have been utilized in the discovery and development of CDK9,⁸ Rho Kinase,⁹ and peptide-base HCV protease¹⁰ inhibitors (Figure 1). Thus, the expedient, robust, and stereoselective synthesis of proline derivatives are of interest to the synthetic community and biomedical fields alike.

Whereas racemic syntheses of *trans*-3-substituted proline have been demonstrated,¹¹ only few enantioselective routes exist.¹² Such methods can provide reliable stereocontrol; however, multiple functional group interconversions are



Figure 1. Inhibitors containing trans-3-substituted proline scaffolds.

required to isolate the desired deprotected amino acid from a more functionalized scaffold. These sequences become prohibitively long when a large set of building blocks with

Received: October 26, 2020 Revised: December 23, 2020 Published: December 31, 2020



ACS Publications

© 2020 The Authors. Published by American Chemical Society variable 3-position substitutions are desired, such as for structure activity relationship (SAR) exploration in the context of a medicinal chemistry program. It was in this vein that we sought to develop an enantioselective, direct, and modular synthesis of *trans*-3-substituted prolines.

We were encouraged by the reported Cu-catalyzed addition of Grignard reagents to dehydroproline (Scheme 1).¹³ This

Scheme 1. Metal-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition Reactions with Dehydroproline Derivatives

Precedent: Cu-catalyzed 1,4-additions of Grignard reagents (ref. 13)



method allows for the synthesis of the desired scaffolds through the diversification of a starting material that is readily available from L-proline, albeit in racemic fashion or modest ee (70%) with chiral auxiliary. Equipped with the knowledge that dehydroproline electrophiles are reactive in metal-catalyzed 1,4-addition reactions, we sought to develop an enantioselective variation of this process to access the desired products and address the limitations of prior art. Herein we report a Rhcatalyzed asymmetric conjugate addition (Rh-ACA) of commercially available and shelf-stable organoboron reagents to prochiral electrophiles toward this effort (Scheme 1).

Rhodium complexes are commonly used as catalysts for enantioselective 1,4-addition.¹⁴ They can undergo transmetalation under mild conditions with readily commercially available and air-stable organoboron reagents which precludes the need to use sensitive and functional group intolerant organometallic reagents. Despite Rh-ACA's application to an

Table 1. Preliminary Results⁴

impressive number of substrates, to the best of our knowledge its use for the synthesis of enantioenriched α -amino acids has been limited to deyhydroalanine derivatives.¹⁵ Dehydroproline starting materials offer additional complications as both the α and β -carbons are prochiral, and therefore, contiguous stereocenters need to be set with control upon 1,4-addition in order to achieve both a diastereo- and enantioselective transformation. Although there are some examples of Rh-ACA on 5-membered exocyclic Michael acceptors, achieving high levels of enantio- and diastereoselectivity are highly substrate dependent, and examples are limited to electron-deficient alkenes, highlighting the challenges of such an approach.¹⁶ We began our investigations by first determining if an achiral Rhcatalyst could facilitate conjugate addition of a boronic acid to dehydroproline 1 (Table 1).

After investigating several achiral Rh-catalysts and reaction conditions, we found that 5 mol % $Rh(nbd)_2BF_4$ in toluene with aqueous base at 60 °C could catalyze the conjugate addition of phenylboronic acid to 1 to provide 2 as a 14:1 dr trans:cis mixture (Table 1, entry 1). We then turned to investigate chiral ligands commonly used in Rh-ACA to afford the enantioenriched product. Attempts to use (R)-BINAP (L1) with different rhodium catalysts resulted in 1:1 dr, and moderate enantioinduction was observed (entries 2 and 4).^{3,17,18} Chiral diene ligands could be used to afford a stereoselective reaction but required the use of a Rh-catalyst that did not give a background reaction (Table 1, entry 3). Using Ph-bod $(L2)^{19}$ resulted in improved yield and enantioselectivities, however, low diastereoselectivity slightly favoring the desired trans-isomer was observed (entry 5). DOLEFIN²⁰ (L3) gave slightly improved dr in low yield and low enantioselectivity (entry 6). Since these initial results showed that catalyst and ligand choice can have a dramatic effect on the diastereo- and enantiomeric outcome of the transformation, we aimed to survey a broader scope of ligands. Because of the abundance of bisphosphine ligands with vastly different steric and electronic properties, many of which have been applied to other Rh-catalyzed transformations,²¹ we began our study with different classes of these ligands. In order to examine a large array of ligands as quickly and efficiently as possible, we pursued a high throughput experimentation (HTE) campaign assessing 96 chiral bidentate phosphine ligands in parallel.²² Analysis of the crude reaction mixtures with SFC informed on relative amounts, diastereomeric ratio,

	OMe Fh-B(OH) ₂ (1 [Rh] (5.0 m Ligand (5.25 4M K ₃ PO ₄ (4, toluene [0 toluene [0 1 60 °C, 1	2 equiv) iol %) mol %) 0 equiv) 2 M] 6 h	Ph OMe Cbz 2	PPh ₂ PPh ₂ L1	Ph Ph He L2 L	Me Me Me
entry	[Rh]	ligand	LCAP of 2 (%)	dr (trans:cis)	ee (%) (trans)	ee (%) (cis)
1	$Rh(nbd)_2BF_4$	-	94	14:1	-	-
2	$Rh(nbd)_2BF_4$	L1	52	1:1	66	96
3	$[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2$	-	<5	-	-	-
4	$[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2$	L1	54	1:1	69	96
5	$[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2$	L2	78	2.2:1	89	91
6	$[Rh(C_2H_4)_2Cl]_2$	L3	17	3:1	47	nd

^aReactions were performed on 0.3 mmol scale. Liquid chromatography area percent (LCAP) determined by UPLC. dr and *ee* determined by SFC.



Figure 2. HTE screen of chiral bidentate phosphine ligands. (a) conditions used. (b) Hits from HTE screen. (c) results from HTE screen. Reactions were performed on 8 μ mol scale. Ratio of 2:internal standard (IS) was determined by integration of SFC peaks and normalized. ee and dr were determined by SFC. Alkylbisphosphines include ligands from the DIPAMP, BisP*, and miniPhos classes. Biarylbisphosphines include ligands from the BINAP, BIPHEP, Segphos, Garphos, and BINAM classes. Spirocyclic bisphosphines include ligands from the SDP and SKP classes. Ferrocene-based bisphosphines include ligands from the Josiphos, ferrolane, Walphos, JAFAphos, and Twinphos classes. See Supporting Information for details.

and enantioenrichment of the desired product. A summary of the HTE campaign is shown in Figure 2.

Ligands from several classes gave appreciable conversion to the desired product in varying levels of diastereo- and enantioselectivity, although only a few ligands were able to afford the desired product in >60% yield and >60% *ee* (shaded region). With the bisphosphine ligands surveyed, the *trans* isomer was consistently observed to be the major diastereomer. Of the 96 ligands screened, the best performing ligands were from the BPE/DuPhos ligand class (Figure 2, L4–L11, shown in red).²³ P-Chiral ligand QuinoxP* (L12, shown in orange) also performed well, although it gave slightly diminished dr (13:1) compared with the BPE/DuPhos ligands (all >15:1 dr).²⁴ Within the BPE and Duphos class, increasing enantioselectivities were observed with increased steric bulk of the alkyl-R group at the expense of product yield. This trend is seen with Me-BPE (L4), Et-BPE (L5), and iPr-BPE (L6), as well as Me-Duphos (L8), Et-Duphos (L9), and iPr-Duphos (L10). Ph-BPE (L7)²⁵ was the best performing ligand overall, affording the *trans*-diastereomer of product 2 in high yield and >95% *ee*. Both enantiomers of this ligand are readily available, allowing for the synthesis of both D- and L-proline analogues depending on the enantiomer of ligand used. Moving forward, we used the commercially available Ph-BPE-Rh complex, which gave comparable results to that observed in our screen and precludes the need to complex metal and ligand, simplifying the reaction setup.²⁶ With the optimal catalyst in hand, we sought to optimize the reaction conditions (see Supporting Information) and explore the substrate scope (Table 2).

We found the reaction to be general, and a variety of aromatic boronic acid nucleophiles were reactive under the reaction conditions to give the desired products in good yield with high dr and *ee*. The reaction could also be performed on pubs.acs.org/acscatalysis



^{*II*} Isolated yields of the diastereomeric mixtures are reported. dr determined by crude ¹H NMR (reported as *trans:cis* ratio). *ee* determined by SFC after purification. *ee* of only the major diastereomer is reported unless otherwise shown. Reactions were performed on 0.3–0.5 mmol scale. ^{*a*}4.0 mmol (1 g scale) with 0.5 mol % catalyst. ^{*b*}R-BF₃K used instead of R-B(OH)₂. ^{*c*}R-B(pin) used instead of R-B(OH)₂. ^{*d*}R-B(neo) used instead of R-B(OH)₂. ^{*c*}Collected as mixture of product and remaining starting material. ^{*f*}Yield after HPLC purification. ^{*g*}Cs₂CO₃ (2.0 equiv), dioxane [0.2 M], H₂O (10.0 equiv), 100 °C. ^{*h*}Achiral catalyst conditions: Rh(nbd)₂BF₄ (3.0 mol %), 2 M K₃PO₄ (2.0 equiv), dioxane [0.2 M], 60 °C.

746

gram-scale with 0.5 mol % catalyst while still maintaining high efficiency. Under optimized conditions, different organoboron reagents such as the boronic acid, potassium trifluoroborate salt, and pinacol and neopentyl esters were all competent nucleophiles to afford 2 in high yield and selectivity. The reaction was tolerant to substitution on the ester, although

slight variations in yield, dr, and ee were observed to give products 3, 4, and 5. Replacing the ester for a benzylamide was also tolerated to afford 6, indicating the potential for this method to be used toward the late stage-functionalization (LSF) of peptides.²⁷

Nitrogen protecting groups such as CBz and Boc (7) are tolerated; however, a *N*-nosylate-protected substrate did not afford product (not shown). Simple carbon-substituted benzene rings afforded products **8**, **9**, **10**, and **11** all with good selectivity. The reaction was successful with functionalized boronic acids as well. Using *p*-OMe and *p*-CF₃substituted phenylboronic acids yielded **12** and **13**. Organoboron nucleophiles bearing functional group handles such as halides or nitriles, allowing for further elaboration, were also tolerated in the reaction to afford products **14**, **15**, **16**, **17**, and **18**. Heterocyclic boronic acids also performed well in the reaction to afford compounds **19**, **20**, **21**, and **22**, although using quinolinyl nucleophiles resulted in lower yields and products with lower ee (**23** and **24**).

Under standard reaction conditions, however, reactivity was not observed with pyridyl organoboron reagents. Because these are important structural motifs in the context of pharmaceutically relevant chemical matter, we felt it was important to attempt to engage these nucleophiles in the reaction. We found more forcing conditions (dioxane as solvent, heating to 100 °C) were necessary for product formation. Increased yield was observed with increasing the boronic acid loadings to 3 equiv, using Cs₂CO₃ as base, and lowering the H₂O loading to 10 equiv. With these modifications to the reaction protocol, we were able to obtain products **25–28** in synthetically useful yields. In certain cases, with the 4-pyridyl substituted nucleophiles, low levels of diastereo- and enantioinduction were observed (**25**, **26**, and **27**).²⁸ Despite the diminished selectivity, these products are challenging to prepare otherwise.

Sterically encumbered substrates (29 and 30) gave low yields under our standard reaction conditions because of consumption of the organoboron reagent in an undesired protodeboronation pathway.^{14a} Whereas background reactions can cause protodeboronation, a competing protonation of a Rh(I)-aryl intermediate after transmetalation may contribute to the composition as well. With simple hydrocarbon boronic acid nucleophiles, we observed that protodeboronation only occurred in the presence of the Ph-BPE-Rh catalyst. This Rhcatalyzed protodeboration pathway becomes more competitive with sterically bulky substrates, likely because of the substrate (1) being unable to bind to a more hindered Rh-center. Higher yields of racemic mixtures of product were observed with these substrates with $Rh(nbd)_2BF_4$ to give the results shown. The reaction with cyclohexenyl boronic acid to give 31 was also low yielding under the optimized reaction conditions, but good yield of the racemate was obtained with the achiral catalyst.²⁹

To gain a better understanding of the high diastereoselectivities observed in the reaction, several additional experiments were performed. When single stereoisomer *cis*-2 is subjected to the standard reaction conditions, *trans*-2 is not detected, indicating that epimerization is not responsible for the observed diastereomeric ratios. DFT calculations show the difference between the ground state energies of *trans*-2 and *cis*-2 (ΔG) is approximately 0.18 kcal/mol, equating to a 1.3:1 dr at 60 °C (see Supporting Information). Furthermore, when single stereoisomer *trans*-2 is subjected to basic conditions, erosion of the diastereomeric ratio is observed, indicating that the diastereoselectivity seen in the catalytic transformation is kinetically driven.³⁰

We have developed a highly stereoselective Rh-ACA for the modular synthesis of *trans*-3-aryl proline derivatives, a chemical space which is difficult to access efficiently with current methods. High-throughput experimentation was used to screen

a large array of chiral ligands that identified a highly efficient and selective catalyst, and the optimized conditions were general, achieving good to excellent ee and drs for a variety of substrates. This transformation was suitable for the modification of a variety of dehydroamino ester derivatives, showcasing the robustness of the reaction and its potential for late-stage functionalization of complex biological targets, which is currently under further exploration. This method can be used as a reliable synthetic tool for the synthesis of analogues of both L- and D-amino acids and other substituted pyrrolidine scaffolds to enable the exploration of novel chemical matter.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge at https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acscatal.0c04648.

Instrumental information, optimization details, detailed experimental procedures, absolute stereochemistry determination, and product characterization (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

Emma K. Edelstein – Department of Process Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States; Occid.org/0000-0002-2413-3831; Email: emma.edelstein@merck.com

Authors

- Danica A. Rankic Department of Process Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States; © orcid.org/0000-0002-7326-8900
- Caroline C. Dudley Department of Process Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States
- Spencer E. McMinn Department of Discovery Chemistry, Merck & Co., Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States
- Donovon A. Adpressa Department of Process Research and Development, Merck & Co., Inc., Boston, Massachusetts 02115, United States

Complete contact information is available at: https://pubs.acs.org/10.1021/acscatal.0c04648

Author Contributions

All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Shaoguang Zhang for assistance with the highthroughput chiral ligand screen. We thank Aaron Sather, Michael Ardolino, Thomas Lyons, Emily Corcoran, Kelsey Poremba, Matthew Maddess, and L.-C. Campeau for helpful conversation, advice, and guidance during the preparation of this manuscript. We would also like to acknowledge the internship program which C.C.D. participated in.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Neumann-Staubitz, P.; Neumann, H. The Use of Unnatural Amino Acids to Study and Engineer Protein Function. *Curr. Opin.*

Struct. Biol. 2016, 38, 119-128. (b) Young, T. S.; Schultz, P. G. Beyond the Canonical 20 Amino Acids: Expanding the Genetic Lexicon. J. Biol. Chem. 2010, 285, 11039-11044. (c) Zhang, W. H.; Otting, G.; Jackson, C. J. Protein Engineering with Unnatural Amino Acids. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 2013, 23, 581-587. (d) van Maarseveen, J. H.; Back, J. W. Re-Engineering the Genetic Code: Combining Molecular Biology and Organic Chemistry. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5926-5928. (e) Li, X.; Liu, C. C. Biological Applications of Expanded Genetic Codes. ChemBioChem 2014, 15, 2335-2341. (f) Lang, K.; Chin, J. W. Cellular Incorporation of Unnatural Amino Acids and Bioorthogonal Labeling of Proteins. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 4764-4806. (g) Ngo, J. T.; Tirrell, D. A. Noncanonical Amino Acids in the Interrogation of Cellular Protein Synthesis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2011, 44, 677-685. (h) Zheng, S.; Kwon, I. Manipulation of Enzyme Properties by Noncanonical Amino Acid Incorporation. Biotechnol. J. 2012, 7, 47-60.

(2) Valeur, E.; Guéret, S. M.; Adihou, H.; Gopalakrishnan, R.; Lemurell, M.; Waldmann, H.; Grossmann, T. N.; Plowright, A. T. New Modalities for Challenging Targets in Drug Discovery. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 10294–10323.

(3) For a review see: Mothes, C.; Caumes, C.; Guez, A.; Boullet, H.; Gendrineau, T.; Darses, S.; Delsuc, N.; Moumné, R.; Oswald, B.; Lequin, O.; Karoyan, P. 3-Substituted Prolines: From Synthesis to Structural Applications, from Peptides to Foldamers. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 2307–2327.

(4) (a) Armishaw, C.; Jensen, A. A.; Balle, T.; Clark, R. J.; Harpsøe, K.; Skonberg, C.; Liljefors, T.; Strømgaard, K. Rational Design of α -Conotoxin Analogues Targeting a7 Nicotinic Acetylcholine Receptors: Improved Antagonistic Activity by Incorporation of Proline Derivatives. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 9498-9512. (b) Jackson, D. Y.; Quan, C.; Artis, D. R.; Rawson, T.; Blackburn, B.; Struble, M.; Fitzgerald, G.; Chan, K.; Mullins, S.; Burnier, J. P.; Fairbrother, W. J.; Clark, K.; Berisini, M.; Chui, H.; Renz, M.; Jones, S.; Fong, S. Potent $\alpha 4\beta 1$ Peptide Antagonists as Potential Anti-Inflammatory Agents. J. Med. Chem. 1997, 40, 3359-3368. (c) Jacquot, Y.; Broutin, I.; Miclet, E.; Nicaise, M.; Lequin, O.; Goasdoué, N.; Joss, C.; Karoyan, P.; Desmadril, M.; Ducruix, A.; Lavielle, S. High Affinity Grb2-SH3 Domain Ligand Incorporating C β -Substituted Prolines in a Sos-Derived Decapeptide. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2007, 15, 1439-1447. (d) Quancard, J.; Karoyan, P.; Lequin, O.; Wenger, E.; Aubry, A.; Lavielle, S.; Chassaing, G. Prolinoamino Acids as a Tool to Stabilize β -Turns with the Side Chain of Natural Amino Acids. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 623-625. (e) Tong, Y.; Fobian, Y. M.; Wu, M.; Boyd, N. D.; Moeller, K. D. Conformational Probes for Elucidating the Nature of Substance P Binding to the NK1 Receptor: Initial Efforts to Map the Phe7-Phe8 Region. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1998, 8, 1679-1682. (f) Zaminer, J.; Brockmann, C.; Huy, P.; Opitz, R.; Reuter, C.; Beyermann, M.; Freund, C.; Müller, M.; Oschkinat, H.; Kühne, R.; Schmalz, H.-G. Addressing Protein-Protein Interactions with Small Molecules: A Pro-Pro Dipeptide Mimic with a PPII Helix Conformation as a Module for the Synthesis of PRD-Binding Ligands. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7111-7115.

(5) (a) Stocking, E. M.; Martinez, R. A.; Silks, L. A.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F.; Williams, R. M. Studies on the Biosynthesis of Paraherquamide: Concerning the Mechanism of the Oxidative Cyclization of l-Isoleucine to β-Methylproline. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 3391-3392. (b) Stocking, E. M.; Sanz-Cervera, J. F.; Unkefer, C. J.; Williams, R. M. Studies on the Biosynthesis of Paraherquamide. Construction of the Amino Acid Framework. Tetrahedron 2001, 57, 5303-5320. (c) Tan, L. T.; Cheng, X. C.; Jensen, P. R.; Fenical, W. Scytalidamides A and B, New Cytotoxic Cyclic Heptapeptides from a Marine Fungus of the Genus Scytalidium. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 8767-8773. (d) Bhat, C.; Tilve, S. G. Recent Advances in the Synthesis of Naturally Occurring Pyrrolidines, Pyrrolizidines and Indolizidine Alkaloids Using Proline as a Unique Chiral Synthon. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 5405-5452. (e) O'Hagan, D. Pyrrole, Pyrrolidine, Pyridine, Piperidine and Tropane Alkaloids. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2000, 17, 435-446.

(6) Zhou, Z. S.; Flohr, A.; Hilvert, D. An Antibody-Catalyzed Allylic Sulfoxide–Sulfenate Rearrangement. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 8334–8341.

(7) (a) Damour, D.; Herman, F.; Labaudinière, R.; Pantel, G.; Vuilhorgne, M.; Mignani, S. Synthesis of Novel Proline and ψ -Lactam Derivatives as Non-Peptide Mimics of Somatostatin/Sandostatin®. *Tetrahedron* 1999, 55, 10135–10154. (b) Larsen, A. M.; Venskutonytė, R.; Valadés, E. A.; Nielsen, B.; Pickering, D. S.; Bunch, L. Discovery of a New Class of Ionotropic Glutamate Receptor Antagonists by the Rational Design of (2S,3R)-3-(3-Carboxyphenyl)-pyrrolidine-2-carboxylic Acid. ACS Chem. Neurosci. 2011, 2, 107–114. (c) Micheli, F.; Di Fabio, R.; Marchioro, C. Asymmetric Synthesis of Some Substituted-3-Phenyl Prolines. *Farmaco* 1999, 54, 461–464. (d) Cheng, T.-J. R.; Chan, T.-H.; Tsou, E.-L.; Chang, S.-Y.; Yun, W.-Y.; Yang, P.-J.; Wu, Y.-T.; Cheng, W.-C. From Natural Product-Inspired Pyrrolidine Scaffolds to the Development of New Human Golgi α -Mannosidase II Inhibitors. *Chem. - Asian J.* 2013, 8, 2600–2604.

(8) Dey, J.; Deckwerth, T. L.; Kerwin, W. S.; Casalini, J. R.; Merrell, A. J.; Grenley, M. O.; Burns, C.; Ditzler, S. H.; Dixon, C. P.; Beirne, E.; Gillespie, K. C.; Kleinman, E. F.; Klinghoffer, R. A. Voruciclib, a Clinical Stage Oral CDK9 Inhibitor, Represses MCL-1 and Sensitizes High-Risk Diffuse Large B-cell Lymphoma to BCL2 Inhibition. *Sci. Rep.* **2017**, *7*, 18007.

(9) Acetta, A.; Capelli, A. M.; Rancati, F.; Bhalay, G.; Cheguillaume, A. J. F. A.; Edwards, C.; Tisselli, P. Tyrosine Analogues Derivatives as Rho-Kinase Inhibitors. Patent WO2019048479A1. March 14, 2019.

(10) Perni, R. B.; Farmer, L. J.; Cottrell, K. M.; Court, J. J.; Courtney, L. F.; Deininger, D. D.; Gates, C. A.; Harbeson, S. L.; Kim, J. L.; Lin, C.; Lin, K.; Luong, Y.-P.; Maxwell, J. P.; Murcko, M. A.; Pitlik, J.; Rao, B. G.; Schairer, W. C.; Tung, R. D.; Van Drie, J. H.; Wilson, K.; Thomson, J. A. Inhibitors of Hepatitis C Virus NS3·4A Protease. Part 3: P2 Proline Variants. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2004**, *14*, 1939–1942.

(11) Waid, P. P.; Flynn, G. A.; Huber, E. W.; Sabol, J. S. Constrained Amino Acids. An Approach to the Synthesis of 3-Substituted Prolines. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 4091–4094.

(12) (a) Rios, R.; Ibrahem, I.; Vesely, J.; Sundén, H.; Córdova, A. Organocatalytic Asymmetric 5-Hydroxypyrrolidine Synthesis: a Highly Enantioselective Route to 3-Substituted Proline Derivatives. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 8695–8699. (b) Liu, Y.; Usui, A.; Shirakawa, S.; Maruoka, K. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of 3-Substituted Proline Derivatives by Using Phase-Transfer-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition. *Asian J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *1*, 180–186.

(13) Huy, P.; Neudörfl, J.-M.; Schmalz, H.-G. A Practical Synthesis of Trans-3-Substituted Proline Derivatives through 1,4-Addition. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 216–219.

(14) For reviews, see: (a) Fagnou, K.; Lautens, M. Rhodium-Catalyzed Carbon-Carbon Bond Forming Reactions of Organometallic Compounds. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 169-196. (b) Hayashi, T.; Yamasaki, K. Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,4-Addition and Its Related Asymmetric Reactions. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 2829-2844. (c) Heravi, M. M.; Dehghani, M.; Zadsirjan, V. Rh-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,4-Addition Reactions to α,β -Unsaturated Carbonyl and Related Compounds: an Update. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2016, 27, 513-588. (d) Sakai, M.; Hayashi, H.; Miyaura, N. Rhodium-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition of Aryl- or 1-Alkenylboronic Acids to Enones. Organometallics 1997, 16, 4229-4231. (e) Takaya, Y.; Ogasawara, M.; Hayashi, T.; Sakai, M.; Miyaura, N. Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,4-Addition of Aryl- and Alkenylboronic Acids to Enones. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5579-5580. (f) Tian, P.; Dong, H.-Q.; Lin, G.-Q. Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Arylation. ACS Catal. 2012, 2, 95-119.

(15) (a) Reetz, M. T.; Moulin, D.; Gosberg, A. BINOL-Based Diphosphonites as Ligands in the Asymmetric Rh-Catalyzed Conjugate Addition of Arylboronic Acids. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 4083–4085. (b) Chapman, C. J.; Wadsworth, K. J.; Frost, C. G. Enantioselective Rhodium-Catalysed Addition of Boronic Acids using C2-Symmetric Aryl Diphosphite Ligands. *J. Organomet. Chem.*

2003, 680, 206–211. (c) Hargrave, J. D.; Bish, G.; Köhn, G. K.; Frost, C. G. Rhodium-Catalysed Conjugate Addition of Arylboronic Acids to Enantiopure Dehydroamino Acid Derivatives. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2010**, 8, 5120–5125. (d) Navarre, L.; Darses, S.; Genet, J. P. Tandem 1,4-Addition/Enantioselective Protonation Catalyzed by Rhodium Complexes: Efficient Access to α -Amino Acids. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, 43, 719–723. (e) Navarre, L.; Martinez, R.; Genet, J.-P.; Darses, S. Access to Enantioenriched α -Amino Esters via Rhodium-Catalyzed 1,4-Addition/Enantioselective Protonation. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, 130, 6159–6169.

(16) (a) Hayashi, T.; Senda, T.; Ogasawara, M. Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric Conjugate Addition of Organoboronic Acids to Nitroalkenes. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 10716–10717. (b) Belyk, K. M.; Beguin, C. D.; Palucki, M.; Grinberg, N.; DaSilva, J.; Askin, D.; Yasuda, N. A Rapid Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis of 1,3,4-Trisubstituted Pyrrolidines. Tetrahedron Lett. 2004, 45, 3265–3268. (c) Dziechciejewski, W. J.; Weber, R.; Sowada, O.; Boysen, M. M. K. Cycloalkene Carbonitriles in Rhodium-Catalyzed 1,4-Addition and Formal Synthesis of Vabicaserin. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 4132–4135.

(17) Mothes, C. Prolino Amino Acides Substitués en Position 3. Synthèses, Applications Structurales et Pharmacologiques dans le Développement D'inhibiteurs D'interactions Peptide-Protéine et Protéine-Protéine; Université Pierre et Marie Curie: Paris, France, 2009.

(18) In 2009, the Karoyan group showed in a single example that 1 was reactive towards Rh-ACA with potassium phenyltrifluoroborate and $[Rh(OH)COD]_2/(S)$ -BINAP at high temperatures in methanol to afford 2 in 65% yield as a racemate. See refs 3,17.

(19) Hayashi, T.; Ueyama, K.; Tokunaga, N.; Yoshida, K. A Chiral Chelating Diene as a New Type of Chiral Ligand for Transition Metal Catalysts: Its Preparation and Use for the Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric 1,4-Addition. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 11508–11509.

(20) (a) Defieber, C.; Paquin, J.-F.; Serna, S.; Carreira, E. M. Chiral [2.2.2] Dienes as Ligands for Rh(I) in Conjugate Additions of Boronic Acids to a Wide Range of Acceptors. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 3873–3876. (b) Paquin, J.-F.; Defieber, C.; Stephenson, C. R. J.; Carreira, E. M. Asymmetric Synthesis of 3,3-Diarylpropanals with Chiral Diene–Rhodium Catalysts. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10850–10851. (c) Paquin, J.-F.; Stephenson, C. R. J.; Defieber, C.; Carreira, E. M. Catalytic Asymmetric Synthesis with Rh–Diene Complexes: 1,4-Addition of Arylboronic Acids to Unsaturated Esters. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3821–3824.

(21) Tang, W.; Zhang, X. New Chiral Phosphorus Ligands for Enantioselective Hydrogenation. *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 3029–3070.

(22) (a) Shevlin, M. Practical High-Throughput Experimentation for Chemists. ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2017, 8, 601–607. (b) Krska, S. W.; DiRocco, D. A.; Dreher, S. D.; Shevlin, M. The Evolution of Chemical High-Throughput Experimentation To Address Challenging Problems in Pharmaceutical Synthesis. Acc. Chem. Res. 2017, 50, 2976–2985.

(23) (a) Burk, M. J. C2-Symmetric Bis(phospholanes) and Their Use in Highly Enantioselective Hydrogenation Reactions. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 8518–8519. (b) Burk, M. J.; Feaster, J. E.; Harlow, R. L. New Electron-Rich Chiral Phosphines for Asymmetric Catalysis. Organometallics 1990, 9, 2653–2655. (c) Burk, M. J.; Feaster, J. E.; Harlow, R. L. New Chiral Phospholanes; Synthesis, Characterization, and Use in Asymmetric Hydrogenation Reactions. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1991, 2, 569–592.

(24) Imamoto, T.; Sugita, K.; Yoshida, K. An Air-Stable P-Chiral Phosphine Ligand for Highly Enantioselective Transition-Metal-Catalyzed Reactions. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 11934–11935.

(25) Pilkington, C. J.; Zanotti-Gerosa, A. Expanding the Family of Phospholane-Based Ligands: 1,2-Bis(2,5-diphenylphospholano)-ethane. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 1273–1275.

(26) CAS number for (R,R)-enantiomer: 528565-84-6. CAS number for (S,S)-enantiomer: 849950-53-4.

(27) (a) Bogart, J. W.; Bowers, A. A. Dehydroamino Acids: Chemical Multi-Tools for Late-Stage Diversification. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 3653–3669. (b) Chapman, C. J.; Matsuno, A.; Frost, C. G.; Willis, M. C. Site-Selective Modification of Peptides Using Rhodium and Palladium Catalysis: Complementary Electrophilic and Nucleophilic Arylation. *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 3903–3905. (c) Key, H. M.; Miller, S. J. Site- and Stereoselective Chemical Editing of Thiostrepton by Rh-Catalyzed Conjugate Arylation: New Analogues and Collateral Enantioselective Synthesis of Amino Acids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2017, *139*, 15460–15466. (d) Le, D. N.; Hansen, E.; Khan, H. A.; Kim, B.; Wiest, O.; Dong, V. M. Hydrogenation Catalyst Generates Cyclic Peptide Stereocentres in Sequence. *Nat. Chem.* 2018, *10*, 968–973.

(28) The addition of pyridine to a successful reaction is detrimental to conversion, although it has little effect on the stereochemical outcome; Adding two equivalents of pyridine to a reaction with phenylboronic acid as the substrate causes diminished conversion (50% conversion to 2), but only slightly eroded dr of 2 (15:1) is observed and high ee (96% ee) is maintained.

(29) Use of chiral diene ligand L2 for the synthesis of 30 and 31 resulted in lower conversions and products with low dr.

(30) When single stereoisomer *trans*-2 is subjected to 1 equiv of LiOMe in MeOH/THF at 60 $^{\circ}$ C for 5 h, approximately 35% saponification is observed, and the resulting 65% of material has epimerized to give 4.2:1 *trans*-2:*cis*-2.