12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

Received: March 31, 2000 [Z14927]

- [1] M. F. Lappert, P. P. Power, A. R. Sanger, R. C. Srivastava, *Metal and Metalloid Amides*, Ellis Horwood, Chichester, **1980**.
- [2] R. G. Pearson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 3533-3539.
- [3] a) W. Wojnowski, B. Becker, J. Saßmannshausen, E. M. Peter, K. Peters, H. G. von Schnering, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem. 1994, 620, 1417–1421; b) P. J. Bonasia, D. E. Gindelberger, J. Arnold, Inorg. Chem. 1993, 32, 5126–5131.
- [4] a) J. Beck, J. Strähle, Angew. Chem. 1986, 98, 106-107; Angew. Chem.
  Intl. Ed. Engl. 1986, 25, 95-96; b) E. Hartmann, J. Strähle, Z. Naturforsch. 1989, 446, 1-4.
- [5] H. Schmidbaur, Gold: Chemistry, Biochemistry and Technology, Wiley, New York, 1999.
- [6] a) K. Angermaier, H. Schmidbaur, Chem. Ber. 1995, 128, 817–822;
  b) A. Shiotani, H. Schmidbaur, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 7003–7004.
- [7] a) H. Schmidbaur, Gold Bull. 1990, 23, 11–21; b) P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 597.
- [8] a) P. B. Hitchcock, M. F. Lappert, L. J.-M. Pierssens, *Chem. Commun.* 1996, 1189–1190; b) P. Miele, J. D. Foulon, N. Hovnanian, J. Durand, L. Cot, *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.* 1992, 29, 573–583; c) for an aryl bridged example, see: S. Gambarotta, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Guastini, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1983, 1087–1089.

## **Umpolung of P-H Bonds\*\***

Dietrich Gudat,\* Asadollah Haghverdi, and Martin Nieger

Dedicated to Professor Gerd Becker on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Bonds between p-block elements E and hydrogen are employed as versatile synthons in numerous synthetic transformations.<sup>[1]</sup> Their reactivity follows a systematic course that is characterized by a change from hydridic (E–H bonds involving elements of Group 13) to protonic (E–H bonds involving elements of Groups 15–17) character of the hydrogen atom. Hydrogen compounds of Group 14 elements are a borderline case: whereas the protonic character of the hydrogen atom dominates for C–H bonds, Si–H bonds may react both as the source of a hydride or of a proton (Scheme 1).<sup>[1, 2]</sup>

Scheme 1. X = halogen, OR.

[\*] Priv.-Doz. Dr. D. Gudat, Dipl.-Chem. A. Haghverdi, Dr. M. Nieger Anorganisch-Chemisches Institut der Universität Gerhard-Domagk Strasse 1, 53121 Bonn (Germany) Fax: (+49) 228-73-53-27 E-mail: dgudat@uni-bonn.de

[\*\*] This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.wiley-vch.de/home/angewandte/ or from the author.

The reactivity of P–H bonds in phosphane derivatives is generally determined by the protonic character of the hydrogen atom (Scheme 1), even though in view of the similar electronegativities ( $\chi^{AR}(H)=2.2,\chi^{AR}(P)=2.06$ ) and resulting low bond polarities it appears conceivable to achieve an umpolung of the reactivity by means of suitable substituent effects.<sup>[3]</sup> Taking into account our observation that  $\pi$ -delocalization effects which can be described in terms of  $\sigma^*$  aromaticity<sup>[4]</sup> render the P–Cl bonds in *P*-chloro-1,3,2-diazaphospholenes 1 more polar and favor dissociation under formation of the cations 2,<sup>[5]</sup> it appeared of interest to establish if the same effects can also be applied to generate hydridic reactivity of the P–H bonds in *P*-hydrido-1,3,2-diazaphospholenes 3 (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2.  $R^1 = H$ ,  $R^2 = tBu$  (1a-3a), 2,4,6-Me<sub>3</sub> $C_6H_2$  (Mes) (1c-3c);  $R^1 = Cl$ ,  $R^2 = tBu$  (1b-3b), Mes (1d-3d).

The target compounds  $\bf 3a-d$  are formed in clean reactions upon treatment of  $\bf 1a-d$  with stoichiometric amounts of LiBEt<sub>3</sub>H or LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in THF and were isolated as light yellow, air- and moisture-sensitive oils or solids after distillative work-up ( $\bf 3a$ ,  $\bf b$ ) or crystallization ( $\bf 3d$ ). The constitution of all products can be deduced unequivocally from their spectroscopic data. The <sup>31</sup>P NMR signals ( $\bf \delta^{31}P=57.1$  ( $\bf 3a$ ), 71.6 ( $\bf 3b$ ), 64.0 ( $\bf 3c$ ), 75.8 ( $\bf 3d$ )) appear at slightly lower field than in the 1,3,2-diazaphospholidine  $\bf 4$  ( $\bf \delta^{31}P=57.9^{[6]}$ ). The P,H coupling constants display a remarkable substitution dependence:

whereas the couplings in the *N*-teet-butyl derivatives **3a, b** ( ${}^{1}J(P,H) = 181$  **(3a)**, 219 **(3b)** Hz) are clearly larger than in **4** ( ${}^{1}J(P,H) = 156$  Hz [6]), those in the *N*-mesityl compounds **3c, d** ( ${}^{1}J(P,H) = 139$  **(3c)**, 147

(3d) Hz) come close to the extremely low values of the four-membered heterocycles 5 (R = Me, Ph;  ${}^{1}J(P,H) = 125 - 127 \text{ Hz}^{[7]}$ ). Following common concepts, the decrease of  ${}^{1}J(P,H)$  can be related with decreasing p-character and lengthening of the P–H bond and should thus indicate a bond-weakening effect. This hypothesis is corroborated by the red shift of the P–H stretching vibration frequencies in  $3\mathbf{a} - \mathbf{d}$  ( $\tilde{v}(PH) = 2120 - 2202 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) as compared to those of known cyclic and acyclic diaminophosphanes( $\tilde{v}(PH) = 2220 - 2340 \text{ cm}^{-1[6, 8]}$ ), and by the result of a single-crystal X-ray diffraction study of  $3\mathbf{d}$ . [9]

The structure of crystalline **3d** is composed of isolated molecules that display no significant intermolecular interactions (Figure 1).<sup>[11]</sup> The five-membered ring features an "envelope" conformation with the phosphorus atom sticking out of the plane formed by the remaining ring atoms, and the attached hydrogen atom adopting a "flagpole" position. The

Figure 1. Molecular structure of  $\bf 3d$  in the crystal (50% probability thermal ellipsoids); important distances  $[\mathring{A}]$  and angles  $[^{\circ}]$ : P(1)-H(1) 1.51(4), P(1)-N(5) 1.709(3), P(1)-N(2) 1.722(3), N(2)-C(3) 1.407(5), C(3)-C(4) 1.327(5), C(3)-Cl(3) 1.720(4), C(4)-N(5) 1.410(5); N(5)-P(1)-N(2) 89.92(16), N(5)-P(1)-H(1) 97.8(14), N(2)-P(1)-H(1) 99.3(14).

P—H distance (1.51(4) Å) is notably longer than known P—H bond lengths in phosphanes (1.288  $\pm$  0.09 Å<sup>[12]</sup>). The endocyclic bond lengths (P—N 1.709(3), 1.722(3), C—N 1.407(5), 1.410(5), C=C 1.327(5) Å) range as in **1a**, **c** and **2a**<sup>[5,13]</sup> between those of genuine single and double bonds, even if the extent of bond length equalization decreases from **2a** over **1a**, **c** to **3d**.

In accord with model calculations<sup>[14]</sup> these findings may be interpreted by assuming that hyperconjugative interaction between the six  $\pi$  electrons in the  $C_2N_2$  unit and the  $\sigma^*(P-H)$  orbital results (as in the case of  $\mathbf{1}^{[5]}$ ) in partial delocalization of  $\pi$  electrons in the ring. The lower extent of this " $\sigma^*$  aromaticity" <sup>[4]</sup> in  $\mathbf{3d}$  as compared to that in  $\mathbf{1a}$ ,  $\mathbf{c}$  is consistent with the lower acceptor ability of the  $\sigma^*(P-H)$  as compared to that of a  $\sigma^*(P-Cl)$  orbital. Direct consequences of this  $\pi$  delocalization are a weakening of the P-H bond and a concomitant increase of charge density at the hydrogen atom (i.e. increasing hydridic character), which become immediately evident from comparison of computed P-H distances,  $\nu(PH)$  vibrational frequencies, and atomic charges of the model compounds  $\mathbf{A}-\mathbf{C}$  (Table 1).

Table 1. Computed (at the MP2/6-31 + g(d,p(P-H))-level)<sup>[14]</sup> P-H distances,  $\nu(P-H)$  vibrational frequencies, and atomic charges q(H) (from natural bond order (NBO) population analyses) in the model compounds  $\mathbf{A}$ - $\mathbf{C}$ .

	HN PH	H N PH N H	H <sub>3</sub> CN PH H <sub>3</sub> CN H
r(P-H) [Å] ν(P-H) [cm <sup>-1</sup> ] q(H)	1.447 2217 - 0.14	1.426 2361 - 0.11	1.406 2509 - 0.08

Chemical studies confirm that the enhancement of the hydridic character of the P–H bond, which has been inferred from spectroscopic and structural criteria, leads in fact to an umpolung of the reactivity. Thus,  $\bf 3d$  reacts with  $[Ph_3C]^+[BF_4]^-$  under hydride transfer to give a quantitative yield of triphenylmethane and the phosphenium ion  $\bf 2d$  (Scheme 3). The same cation is also formed upon treatment of  $\bf 3d$  with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid. The reaction proceeds with gas evolution  $\bf (H_2)$  and was found by  $\bf 31P$  NMR spectroscopic

Scheme 3. Reactions of 3d.

studies to occur spontaneously and without detectable intermediates even at  $-78\,^{\circ}\text{C}$  in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ . Evidence for a quaternization, which is typical for secondary or tertiary phosphanes, was obtained in no case, even if the formation of the stable BH<sub>3</sub> adduct 6 from 3d and BH<sub>3</sub>·THF suggests a sufficient basicity for the phosphorus atom.

Reaction of **3d** with the transition metal hydride [CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>H] affords as main product (ca. 50%) the phosphenium complex **7**,<sup>[16]</sup> which was spectroscopically identified, together with **1c** (ca. 25%) and further as yet not characterized by-products. Whereas the formation of **7** can be explained by condensation of the hydridic P–H and acidic W–H functionalities of **3d** and [CpW(CO)<sub>3</sub>H], respectively, which proceeds with cleavage of H<sub>2</sub> and subsequent elimination of CO, **1c** arises presumably from C–Cl/P–H metathesis between two molecules of **3d**.<sup>[18]</sup>

Beside the observation of  $H_2$  elimination in reactions with compounds containing acidic protons, the umpolung of the P–H bonds in **3** becomes further manifest in an inverse regioselectivity during the addition to carbonyl compounds. Thus, reaction of **3d** with benzaldehyde proceeds not as expected<sup>[19]</sup> with formation of a  $\alpha$ -hydroxybenzyl phosphane, but yields rather as the only product the benzyloxy derivative **8**, which was isolated as a yellow oil and characterized by spectroscopic techniques.<sup>[16]</sup> The regioselectivity of the addition can be deduced unequivocally from the appearance of the signal of a benzylic  $CH_2$  moiety in the <sup>13</sup> $C\{^1H\}$  DEPT spectrum, and the absence of a characteristic  $\nu(OH)$  band in the IR spectrum.

## Experimental Section

**3a** – **d**: A solution of **1a** – **d** (10 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added dropwise at 4 °C to a suspension of LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (2.5 mmol) in THF (10 mL). Stirring was continued for 1 h, the solvent removed in vacuo, and the oily residue dissolved in hexane (50 mL). The formed precipitate was filtered off over Celite and the solvent removed in vacuo. The crude product was characterized by NMR spectroscopy (**3c**), or purified by means of vacuum distillation (**3a**, **b**) or recrystallization from pentane at -20 °C (**3d**). **3a**: b.p. 50 °C (1 mbar), yield 65 %; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 57.1 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 181 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.14 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(P,H) = 0.9 Hz, 18 H), 5.97 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(P,H) = 4.0 Hz, 2 H), 6.07 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 181 Hz, 1 H); MS (16 eV): m/z (%): 200 (10) [M<sup>+</sup>], 199 (78) [M<sup>+</sup> – H], 143 (28)

## COMMUNICATIONS

[ $M^+$  – C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>], 87 (100) [ $M^+$  – 2C<sub>4</sub>H<sub>8</sub>]; IR (gas):  $\bar{\nu}$  = 2176 cm<sup>-1</sup> (P–H). **3b**: b.p. 55 °C (1 mbar), yield 78 %; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 71.6 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 219 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 0.99 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(P,H) = 0.7 Hz, 9H), 1.33 (d, <sup>4</sup>J(P,H) = 1.7 Hz, 9H), 6.16 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(P,H) = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 6.23 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 219 Hz, 1H); IR (Nujol):  $\bar{\nu}$  = 2202 cm<sup>-1</sup> (P–H). **3c**: <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 64.0 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 139 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.44 (s, 6H), 2.48 (s, 6H), 2.56 (s, 3H), 2.59 (s, 3H), 6.16 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(P,H) = 1.8 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (br, 4H), 7.13 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 139 Hz, 1H); **3d**: m.p. 87 – 89 °C, yield 72 %; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 75.8 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 147 Hz); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta$  = 2.09 (s, 6H), 2.23 (s, 3H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 5.87 (d, <sup>3</sup>J(P,H) = 0.9 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (s, 2 H), 6.70 (s, 1H), 6.72 (s, 1H), 7.17 (d, <sup>1</sup>J(P,H) = 147 Hz, 1H); MS (16 eV): m/z (%): 358(43) [M<sup>+</sup>], 357(100) [M<sup>+</sup> – H]; IR (gas):  $\bar{\nu}$  = 2120 cm<sup>-1</sup> (P–H).

Received: April 17, 2000 [Z14998]

- [1] Inorganic Reactions and Methods, Vol. 1 (Eds.: J. J. Zuckerman), VCH, Deerfield Beach, 1986.
- [2] S. Pawlenko in Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben-Weyl) 4th ed. 1952 Vol. 13/5, 1980, p. 272, 350.
- [3] For recent reports on the hydridic character of P-H bonds in hypervalent Lewis base adducts of phosphanes, see: a) F. Carré, C. Chuit, R. J. P. Corriu, A. Mehdi, C. Reyé, J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 529, 59; b) J.-P. Bezombes, F. Carré, C. Chuit, R. J. P. Corriu, A. Mehdi, C. Reyé, J. Organomet. Chem. 1997, 535, 81.
- [4] a) A. Göller, H.Heydt, T. Clark, J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5840; b) A. Göller, T. Clark, J. Mol. Model 2000, 6, 133.
- [5] D. Gudat, A. Haghverdi, M. Nieger, Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3414.
- [6] R. B. King, P. M. Sundaram, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1784.
- [7] E. Niecke, A. Nickloweit-Lüke, R. Rüger, *Phosphorus Sulfur* 1982, 12, 213.
- [8] E. Niecke, W. Güth, Z. Naturforsch. B 1985, 40, 1049.
- [9] Crystal structure determination of **3d**: C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>24</sub>ClN<sub>2</sub>P, yellow crystals, crystal size  $0.05 \times 0.10 \times 0.30 \text{ mm}$ ;  $M_{\rm r} = 358.8$ ; monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$  (no. 14), a = 8.4888(7), b = 7.0438(7), c = 30.940(3) Å,  $\beta = 93.629(5)^{\circ}, V = 1846.3(3) \text{ Å}^3, Z = 4, \mu(\text{Mo}_{\text{K}\alpha}) = 0.297 \text{ mm}^{-1}, T = 0.297 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ 123(2) K, F(000) = 760. Of 7837 reflections which were collected on a Nonius KappaCCD diffractometer using  $Mo_{K\alpha}$  radiation up to  $2\theta_{\rm max} = 50^{\circ}$ , 2857 were independent and used in all further calculations. The structure was solved with direct methods (SHELXS-97[10a]) and refined anisotropically against  $F^2$ ; the hydrogen atom at the phosphorus center was refined free and the remaining ones using a riding model (program: SHELXL-97<sup>[10b]</sup>). The final  $wR2(F^2)$  was 0.136 and the conventional R value R(F) = 0.065 for 227 parameters. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structure reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication no. CCDC-142914. Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB21EZ, UK (fax: (+44)1223-336-033; e-mail; deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).
- [10] a) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXS-97, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 1990, 46, 467; b) G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXL-97, Universität Göttingen, 1997.
- [11] For a structurally characterized diaminophosphane with intermolecular hydrogen bonds, see: M. M. Olmstead, P. P. Power, G. A. Sigel, *Inorg. Chem.* 1988, 27, 2045.
- [12] Average and standard deviation of the result of a query in the CCSD database for P–H distances in compounds  $H_n Y_{3-n} P$  (Y = substituent bound through a p-block element) with a three-coordinate phosphorus center.
- [13] M. K. Denk, S. Gupta, A. J. Lough, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1999, 41.
- [14] All computations were performed at the MP2/6-31 + g(d,p(P-H))-level with the Gaussian package of programs: Gaussian 98 (Rev. A.7), M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. A. Montgomery, R. E. Stratmann, J. C. Burant, S. Dapprich, J. M. Millam, A. D. Daniels, K. N. Kudin, M. C. Strain, O. Farkas, J. Tomasi, V. Barone, M. Cossi, R. Cammi, B. Mennucci, C. Pomelli, C. Adamo, S. Clifford, J.Ochterski, G. A. Petersson, P. Y. Ayala, Q. Cui, K. Morokuma, D. K. Malick, A. D. Rabuck, K. Raghavachari, J. B.Foresman, J. Cioslowski, J. V. Ortiz, B. B. Stefanov, G. Liu, A. Liashenko, P. Piskorz, I. Komaromi, R. Gomperts, R. L.Martin, D. J. Fox, T. Keith, M. A. Al-Laham, C. Y.

- Peng, A. Nanayakkara, C. Gonzalez, M. Challacombe, P. M. W. Gill, B. G. Johnson, W. Chen, M. W. Wong, J. L. Andres, M. Head-Gordon, E. S. Replogle, J. A. Pople, Gaussian, Inc., Pittsburgh PA, **1998**.
- [15] All products were identified by comparison of their <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>31</sup>P NMR data with those of authentic samples.
- [16] Characteristic spectroscopic data: **6**: <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 85.9$  (br d,  ${}^{1}J(PH) = 353 \text{ Hz}$ );  ${}^{1}H \text{ NMR}$  (300 MHz,  $C_{6}D_{6}$ ):  $\delta = 1.29$ (br, 3H; BH<sub>3</sub>), 2.03 (s, 9H), 2.13 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.43 (s, 3H), 5.32  $(d, {}^{3}J(P,H) = 10.3 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}), 6.62 (s, 1 \text{ H}), 6.67 (s, 1 \text{ H}), 6.70 (s, 2 \text{ H}), 8.01$ (d,  ${}^{1}J(P,H) = 353 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ H}$ );  ${}^{11}B\{{}^{1}H\} \text{ NMR } (96.2 \text{ MHz}, C_6D_6)$ :  $\delta = -34.3$ (d,  ${}^{1}J(P,B) = 52 \text{ Hz}$ ); 7:  ${}^{31}P$  NMR (121.5 MHz,  $C_{6}D_{6}$ ):  $\delta = 173$  (d,  ${}^{3}J(P,H) = 8 \text{ Hz}, {}^{1}J(W,P) = 765 \text{ Hz}); {}^{1}H \text{ NMR } (300 \text{ MHz}, C_{6}D_{6}): \delta =$ 2.05 (s, 3H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 6H), 2.29 (s, 6H), 4.52 (s, 5H;  $C_5H_5$ ), 5.93 (d,  ${}^3J(P,H) = 7.4 \text{ Hz}$ , 1H), 6.78 (s, 2H), 6.79 (s, 2H); IR (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\tilde{v} = 1841$ , 1959 cm<sup>-1</sup> (CO); **8**: <sup>31</sup>P NMR (121.5 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 121.3 \text{ (t, } {}^{3}J(P,H) = 9 \text{ Hz)}; {}^{1}H \text{ NMR (300 MHz, C}_{6}D_{6}): 2.12 \text{ (s, 6 H)},$ 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 4.50 (d,  ${}^{3}J(P,H) =$ 9.0 Hz, 2H; OCH<sub>2</sub>), 5.83 (d,  ${}^{3}J(P,H) = 0.7$  Hz, 1H; 5-H), 6.7 – 7.0 (m, 9H; m-H and Ph);  ${}^{13}C\{{}^{1}H\}$  NMR (75.4 MHz,  $C_6D_6$ ):  $\delta = 66.9$  (d,  $^{2}J(PC) = 25.6 \text{ Hz}; OCH_{2}; MS (16 \text{ eV}, 100 ^{\circ}C): m/z (\%): 464(12) [M^{+}],$  $357(14) [M^+ - OCH_2Ph].$
- [17] The formation of 6 rather than a conceivable product 2d[BH<sub>4</sub>] agrees with the observed addition reactions of phosphenium ions to BH<sub>4</sub><sup>-</sup>: M. Bürklin, E. Hanecker, H. Nöth, W. Storch, *Angew. Chem.* 1985, 97, 980; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1985, 24, 999; G. Jochem, A. Schmidpeter, H. Nöth, *Z. Naturforsch. B* 1996, 51, 267.
- [18] Evidence for the activity of 3d as a hydride transfer reagent was also obtained in further cases; thus, reaction of CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> with 3d was found to proceed within several hours at 20 °C with quantitative formation of the chlorination product 1d.
- [19] G. Elsner, Methoden Org. Chem. (Houben-Weyl) 4th ed. 1952 –, Vol. 13/E1, 1980, p. 122.

## Stereoselective Synthesis and Palladium-Catalyzed Transformations of 2-Alkylidene-5-vinyltetrahydrofurans\*\*

Peter Langer\* and Edith Holtz

Domino and sequential reactions are of interest in modern organic chemistry since they enable the rapid assembly of complex products. [1] In the course of our studies on the development of domino reactions of dianions and dianion equivalents, [2] we have recently reported the first cyclizations of dilithiated 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds with oxalic acid dielectrophiles. [3] These reactions allow an efficient, regioand stereoselective synthesis of the pharmacologically relevant substance class of  $\gamma$ -alkylidenebutenolides. Although a variety of simple condensation reactions of dianions with monofunctional alkyl halides are known, only a few domino dialkylation reactions of dianions with difunctional alkyl

<sup>[\*]</sup> Dr. P. Langer, E. Holtz Institut für Organische Chemie Georg-August-Universität Göttingen Tammannstrasse 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany) Fax: (+49)551-399475 E-mail: planger@uni-goettingen.de

<sup>[\*\*]</sup> This work was supported by the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie (Liebig scholarship and funds for P.L.) and by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. P.L. thanks Prof. Dr. A. de Meijere for his support.