THF was treated as described above to give an inseparable diastereomeric mixture of products as a red oil (0.075 g, 69.4%, ratio 50:50): IR (thin film) 2993, 1649, 1545, 1449, 1242, 1083, 850 cm⁻¹; MS, m/z (relative intensity) 470 (M⁺, 12), 455 (7), 412 (100), 395 (21), 384 (15), 339 (53), 311 (21); ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 4.44 (br s, 2 H), 4.25 (m, 1 H), 4.22 (m, 1 H), 4.00 (br s, 1 H), 3.81 (br s, 1 H), 3.50 (br s, 2 H), 3.45 (m, 2 H), 3.16 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.98 (dd, J = 14.0, 13.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.63 (dd, J = 14.5, 5.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.47 (m, 3 H), 2.29 (dd, J = 14.5, 12.0 Hz, 1 H), 2.12 (m, 5 H), 1.85 (m, 8 H), 1.74 (s, 3 H), 1.68 (s, 3 H), 1.61 (m, 4 H), 1.51 (br s, 6 H), 1.38 (s, 3 H), 1.34 (s, 3 H), 0.42 (s, 9 H), 0.38 (s, 3 H); 1³C NMR (75.5 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 111.2, 111.1, 104.3, 96.5, 95.5, 95.3, 94.9, 94.2, 81.8, 81.6, 79.3, 78.7, 78.6, 78.4, 78.2, 78.1, 76.9, 76.8, 30.1, 27.6, 27.5, 27.3, 27.0, 26.5, 25.1, 24.8, 24.7, 24.6, 23.4, 23.3, 22.8, 22.6, 22.5, 22.2, 15.8, 7.6, 6.9, 0.3, 0.2.

Diene Complexes 35. A solution of 23 (0.153 g, 0.62 mmol) in toluene (5 mL) was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles and then added under nitrogen to 34 (0.215 g, 0.56 mmol) in a 15-mL round-bottom flask equipped with a reflux condenser. The mixture was heated at reflux and irradiated by a projector lamp (GE-ENH 250 W) for 10 h. The solvent was removed under vacuum and the residue filtered through activity 3 alumina with pentane to provide an inseparable mixture of two isomers of 35 (0.285 g, 87.3%, ratio 58:42) as a red oil (0.143 g, 69.4%): IR (thin film) 2961, 1604, 1501, 1452, 1253, 838, 707 cm⁻¹; MS, m/z (relative intensity) 584 (M⁺, 9), 511 (6), 369 (17), 338 (29), 280 (8), 229 (13), 214 (3), 169 (8), 119 (25), 105 (10), 97 (17), 83 (20), 71 (30), 57 (100); HRMS calcd for C₃₇H₅₃CoSi, 584.3248, found, 584.3266; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) for major isomer, δ 7.05-7.23 (m, 5 H), 4.87 (br s, 1 H), 3.86 (br s, 1 H), 3.61 (br s, 2 H), 2.78 (m, 1 H), 2.40-2.52 (m, 2 H), 2.33 (m, 3 H), 1.87-2.18 (m, 4 H), 1.40-1.85 (m, 12 H), 1.31 (s, 3 H), 1.11 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H), 1.10 (s, 3 H), 0.85-1.0 (m, 2 H), 0.40-0.55 (m, 2 H), 0.29 (s, 9 H), for minor isomer, δ 7.05-7.23 (m, 5 H), 4.29 (br s, 1 H), 4.27 (br s, 1 H), 4.08 (br s, 1 H), 3.97 (br s, 1 H), 2.97 (m, 1 H), 2.40-2.52 (m, 2 H), 2.33 (m, 3 H), 1.87-2.18 (m, 4 H), 1.40-1.85 (m, 12 H), 1.28 (s, 3 H), 1.07 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 3 H), 1.03 (s, 3 H), 0.85-1.0 (m, 2 H), 0.40-0.55 (m, 2 H), 0.30 (s, 9 H); ^{13}C NMR (75.5 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 152.6, 128.2, 125.9, 125.1, 125.0, 111.9, 108.7, 93.9, 87.4, 83.0, 81.2, 80.1, 79.4, 78.7, 78.6, 56.0, 55.7, 49.1, 48.1, 41.4, 40.8, 40.6, 40.0, 39.9, 36.3, 36.1, 36.0, 35.9, 34.8, 34.1, 34.0, 33.8, 33.7, 32.9, 30.5, 30.4, 29.9, 29.4, 29.3, 29.1, 28.0, 27.6, 24.9, 24.4, 24.2, 24.1, 24.0, 23.6, 23.2, 22.9, 22.5, 22.3, 0.8, 0.7.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by NIH-GM22479. R.L.H. was the recipient of a University of California Regents' Fellowship (1982–1984). K.P.C.V. was a Miller Research Professor in residence (1985–1986).

Registry No. 3, 112505-23-4; 4, 59581-87-2; 5, 106509-11-9; 7, 97371-54-5; 8, 104870-75-9; 10, 112505-24-5; 11, 65253-04-5; 12, 112505-25-6; 13, 112505-26-7; 14, 112505-27-8; 15, 464-49-3; 16, 106509-10-8; 17, 106566-51-2; 18, 112505-28-9; 19, 112505-29-0; 20, 112505-30-3; 21, 37002-45-2; 22, 112572-77-7; 23, 112505-34-7; 24, 112505-35-8; 25a, 106564-05-0; 26a, 106564-06-1; 27, 112505-33-6; 28, 106563-88-6; 30, 2039-93-2; 31, 135-98-8; 32 ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathrm{Si}(\mathbb{C})$ - $H_3)_3$, $R^2 = H$), 83182-85-8; 32 ($R^1 = Si(CH_3)_3$, $R^2 = CH_3$), 112505-31-4; 32 (R = Si(CH₃)₃, R² = CH₂CH₃), 112505-32-5; 32 $(R^1 = Me, R^2 = H), 4116-92-1; 33 (R^1 = Si(CH_3)_3, R^2 = H, from$ (23), 112505-38-1; 33 ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{CH}_3)_3$, from 23), 112572-79-9; 33 ($R^1 = Si(CH_3)_3$, $R^2 = CH_3$, from 23), 112505-39-2; 33 ($R^1 = CH_3$, $R^2 = Si(CH_3)_3$, from 23), 112572-80-2; 33 ($R^1 = Si(CH_3)_3$, $R^2 =$ Et, from 23), 112505-40-5; 33 (R = Et, $R^2 = Si(CH_3)_3$, from 23), 112572-81-3; 33 ($\mathbb{R}^1 = \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{R}^2 = \mathbb{M}e$, from 23), 112505-41-6; 33 (\mathbb{R}^1 = Me, R^2 = H, from 23), 112572-82-4; 33 (R^1 = H, R^2 = Et, from 23), 112505-42-7; 33 (\mathbb{R}^1 = Et, \mathbb{R}^2 = H, from 23), 112572-83-5; 33 $(R^1 = Si(CH_3)_3, R^2 = Et, from 28), 112572-85-7; 33 (R^1 = Et, R^2)$ = Si(CH₃)₃, from 28), 112505-44-9; 34, 74585-57-2; 35 (isomer 1), 112505-43-8; 35 (isomer 2), 112572-84-6; 1,7-decadiyne, 63815-29-2; TiCl₃, 7705-07-9; Co₂(CO)₈, 10210-68-1; ICo(CO)₄, 15976-97-3; cyclopentadiene, 542-92-7; (2R,4R)-4-methyl-2-(2-phenyl-2propyl)cyclohexanone, 104870-79-3; (1R,2R,5R)-5-methyl-2-(2phenyl-2-propyl)cyclohexanol, 104870-80-6; cyclopentadienylsodium-dimethoxyethane, 62228-16-4.

Synthesis, Reactivity, and X-ray Crystal Structure of an Anionic, Mixed-Metal Ketenylidene Cluster: $[PPN][Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]$

Stanton Ching, ^{1a} Elizabeth M. Holt, ^{1b} Joseph W. Kolis, ^{1a,c} and Duward F. Shriver*^{1a}

Departments of Chemistry, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois 60201, and Oklahoma State University, Stillwater, Oklahoma 74078

Received August 4, 1987

The anionic mixed-metal ketenylidene cluster [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] (1) can be prepared in high yield by a facile metal substitution reaction between [PPN]₂[Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)] and Co₂(CO)₈ under a CO atmosphere. This cluster is structurally similar to [Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)]²⁻, but its reactivity more closely resembles that of the cationic cluster [Co₃(CO)₉(CCO)]⁺. Compound 1 undergoes protonation at the α -carbon atom to give Fe₂Co(CO)₁₀(CH) but is inert to electrophilic attack by carbocationic reagents. Nucleophilic reagents such as LiCH₃, NaOCH₃, and KBEt₃H attack at the β -carbon atom to afford dinegatively charged species [Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CC(O)R)]²⁻ (R = CH₃, OCH₃, H). Compound 1 crystallizes in the space group C2/c with a = 27.231 (7) Å, b = 17.670 (6) Å, c = 23.072 (10) Å, β = 126.54 (3)°, V = 8919.9 (70) Å³, and Z = 8.

Introduction

Ketenylidene (CCO) ligands on trinuclear metal clusters are known to undergo diverse chemistry with respect to C-C bond cleavage, C-O activation, C-C and C-O bond formation, and cluster building.²⁻¹³ An important factor in determining the reactivity of the CCO ligand is the charge on the cluster. Ketenylidene ligands on cationic $^{2-4}$

^{(1) (}a) Northwestern University. (b) Oklahoma State University. (c) Current address: Department of Chemistry, Clemson University, Clemson, SC 29631.

⁽²⁾ Seyferth, D.; Hallgren, J. E.; Eschbach, C. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 1730.

⁽³⁾ Seyferth, D.; Williams, G. H.; Nivert, C. L. Inorg. Chem. 1977, 16, 758.

⁽⁴⁾ Mlekuz, M.; D'Agostino, M. F.; Kolis, J. W.; McGlinchey, M. J. J. Organomet. Chem. 1986, 303, 361.
(5) Sievert, A. C.; Strickland, D. S.; Shapley, J. R.; Steinmetz, G. R.;

⁽⁵⁾ Sievert, A. C.; Strickland, D. S.; Shapley, J. R.; Steinmetz, G. R.; Geoffroy, G. L. Organometallics 1982, 1, 214.

⁽⁶⁾ Shapley, J. R.; Strickland, D. S.; St. George, G. M.; Churchill, M. R.; Bueno, C. Organometallics 1983, 2, 185.
(7) Holmgren, J. S.; Shapley, J. R. Organometallics 1984, 3, 1322.

and neutral clusters⁵⁻⁷ show electrophilic character while those on dinegatively charged clusters⁸⁻¹¹ behave as nucleophiles. Of the numerous ketenylidene clusters now known, $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$ and $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ are among the most thoroughly studied.^{2,3,8,9,12} The difference in reactivity between these two clusters can be rationalized in terms of their significant difference in charge. Cationic $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$ readily reacts with nucleophiles at the β -carbon atom of the CCO ligand to give neutral products with the general formula $Co_3(CO)_9(CC(0)Nu)^{2,3}$ (eq 1).¹⁴



are examples

In contrast, the dinegatively charged ketenylidene cluster $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ reacts with electrophiles at either the α -carbon⁸ or the CCO oxygen atom⁹ to afford clusters with capping alkylidyne and acetylide ligands (eq 2). In the



present research, the reactivity of [Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)]⁻ was investigated to clarify the influence of charge on the reactions of the CCO ligand. The synthesis of [PPN][Fe₂- $Co(CO)_9(CCO)$] (1) has been previously communicated,¹³ but an improved procedure is reported here.

Experimental Section

General Procedures. All manipulations were carried out under a purified N_2 atmosphere by using standard Schlenk and syringe techniques 15 or in a Vacuum Atmospheres drybox. $\rm CH_2Cl_2$ was dried over P_2O_5 . THF and Et_2O were dried over Na/benzophenone ketyl. Pentane was dried over Na. MeOH and i-PrOH were dried over Mg/I₂. All solvents were distilled prior to use and deaerated with N_2 .

HSO₃CF₃ (Aldrich) was distilled before use. LiCH₃ (1.2 M in Et₂O, Aldrich) and KBEt₃H (1.0 M solution in THF, Aldrich) were

(10) (a) Sailor, M. J.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1476. (b) Sailor, M. J.; Brock, C. P.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press. (11) Went, M. J.; Sailor, M. J.; Bogdan, P. L.; Brock, C. P.; Shriver,

D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press (12) Hriljac, J. A.; Swepston, P. N.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1985. 4. 158.

(13) Kolis, J. W.; Holt, E. M.; Hriljac, J. A.; Shriver, D. F. Organo-

(14) A tilted CCO has been proposed for $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$, but no crystallographic evidence has been presented to date: D'Agostino, M. F.; Mlekuz, M.; Kolis, J. W.; Sayer, B. G.; Rodger, C. A.; Halet, J.-F.; Saillard, J.-Y.; McGlinchey, M. J. Organometallics 1986, 5, 2345.

(15) Shriver, D. F.; Drezdzon, M. A. The Manipulation of Air-Sensitive Compounds, 2nd ed.; Wiley: New York, 1986.

deaerated before use. NaOCH₃ (anhydrous powder, Aldrich) was used as received as was [PPN]Cl (Alfa Products). Co₂(CO)₈ (Strem) was freshly sublimed before use.

NMR spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX-270 spectrometer (¹H, 269.65 MHz; ¹³C, 67.80 MHz). CD₂Cl₂ and THF-d₈ were vacuum distilled from P_2O_5 and Na, respectively. All chemical shifts are reported positive if downfield from Me₄Si and are obtained indirectly by using residual solvent protons $({}^{1}H)$ or solvent (¹³C) as the internal references. IR spectra were recorded on either a Model 283 or 399 Perkin-Elmer spectrometer using solution cells with 0.1-mm path lengths and CaF₂ windows or Nujol mulls pressed between KBr plates. The mass spectrum on Fe₂Co(CO)₁₀(CH) was obtained by Dr. D. Hung of the Northwestern University Analytical Services Laboratory on a HP5985A spectrometer using 70-eV ionization. Elemental analyses were performed by Elbach Laboratories (Germany).

[PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] (1). A 2.50-g (1.63-mmol) sample of [PPN]₂[Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)]⁸ and 0.60 g (1.75 mmol) of Co₂(CO)₈ were slurried in 15 mL of THF under a CO atmosphere. The sparingly soluble [PPN]₂[Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)] rapidly dissolved as the THF-soluble [PPN][$Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)$] was produced. After 20 min, the solvent and volatile $Fe(CO)_5$ were removed by vacuum, and the resulting oil was dissolved in 20 mL of Et_2O . The orange/brown solution was filtered to remove [PPN][Co(CO)₄], and 20 mL of 2-propanol was added. The solvents were removed by vacuum yielding the black/purple, microcrystalline product which was then washed thoroughly with three 20-mL portions of pentane and dried by vacuum. Yield: 1.37 g (84.1%). IR: ν_{CO} (Et₂O) 2068 (w), 2001 (s), 1990 (s, sh), 1966 (m, sh), 1930 (w) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C47H30NO10Fe2Co: C, 56.38; H, 3.02; N, 1.40; Fe, 11.15; Co, 5.89. Found: C, 56.24; H, 3.12; N, 1.37; Fe, 11.80; Co, 6.24. $[Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]^-$ can be obtained with ¹³C enrichment at all carbon atoms by using enriched $Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-8}$ as starting material. It is also possible to obtain compound 1 which is selectively enriched at all carbon atoms except for the α -carbon atom by stirring a sample of 1 in CH_2Cl_2 under 1 atm of ¹³CO for 4 days.

 $Fe_2Co(CO)_{10}(CH)$ (2). A 0.20-g (0.20-mmol) sample of $[PPN][Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]$ was dissolved in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 , and 0.020 mL (0.23 mmol) of HSO₃CF₃ was added with stirring. The solution turned purple/red, and after 10 min the solvent was removed by vacuum. The resulting oil was extracted with 25 mL of pentane and filtered. Removal of the solvent left a gummy, black/purple solid which, despite many attempts, could not be crystallized. IR: v_{CO} (pentane) 2108 (w), 2051 (vs), 2035 (s), 2013 (m), 1853 (m) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for $C_{11}HO_{10}Fe_2Co$: C, 28.47; H, 0.22. Found: C, 27.73; H, 0.64. Mass spectrum: parent ion at m/e 464 with successive loss of 10 carbonyl units down to the $\rm Fe_2CoCH^+$ core. The isotope pattern for the parent ion was analyzed by using MASPAN.^{16} Calculated and observed intensities for the two most intense peaks associated with the parent ion and the Fe_2CoCH ion core: parent (m/e 465, 464) calcd 12.73, 72.85; obsd 13.19, 75.82; core (m/e 184, 183) calcd 69.04, 15.27; obsd 67.02, 14.01. The isotopic fit of the parent and core ions gave agreement factors of 10.9% and 8.4%, respectively. [PPN]₂[Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CC(O)CH₃)] (3a). A 0.10-g (0.10-mmol)

sample of [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] was dissolved in 10 mL of Et₂O and the solution cooled to 0 °C. A 0.10-mL (0.12-mmol) sample of LiCH₃ (1.2 M) in Et₂O was then added, leading to the immediate formation of a black precipitate and leaving a light orange solution. The solvent was removed by filtration and the solid washed with two 10-mL portions of Et₂O before being redissolved in 10 mL of CH_2Cl_2 containing 0.060 g (0.10 mmol) of [PPN]Cl. The orange/brown solution was filtered, concentrated to 2 mL, and layered with 4 mL of Et_2O . After 4 h, the product crystallized as black needles that were isolated by filtration and washed with 10 mL of MeOH followed by two 10-mL washings with Et₂O. Yield: 0.10 g (64%). IR: ν_{CO} (CH₂Cl₂) 2000 (w), 1944 (s, sh), 1930 (vs), 1868 (m) cm⁻¹; ν_{CO} (Nujol) 2002 (w), 1926 (vs), 1902 (s), 1860 (m), 1565 (w) cm^{-1} . Anal. Calcd for $C_{84}H_{63}N_2O_{10}P_4Fe_2Co: C, 64.88; H, 4.05; N, 1.80; Fe, 7.18; Co, 3.79.$ Found: C, 64.01; H, 4.01; N, 1.80; Fe, 7.63; Co, 3.75.

[PPN]₂[Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO₂CH₃)] (3b). A 0.10-g (0.10-mmol) sample of [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)], 0.10 g (1.9 mmol) of NaO-

⁽⁸⁾ Kolis, J. W.; Holt, E. M.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 7307.

^{(9) (}a) Hriljac, J. A.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1985, 4, 2225. (b) Hriljac, J. A.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc., in press

⁽¹⁶⁾ MASPAN computer program version 4 by M. Andrews and H. D. Kaesz, UCLA, 1977.

 Table I. Crystal Data for [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)]

formula	Fe ₂ CoP ₂ C ₄₇ O ₁₀ H ₃₀ N
mol wt	1001.1
a, Å	27.231 (7)
b, Å	17.670 (6)
c, Å	23.072 (10)
β , deg	126.54 (3)
V, Å ³	8919.9 (70)
F(000)	4064
μ (Mo K _a), cm ⁻¹	11.36
λ (Mo K _a), Å	0.71069
$D_{\rm calcd}$, g cm ⁻³	1.491
Z	8
measd reflctn	5872
obsd reflctn	2761
$R/R_{\rm w}$, %	8.6/12.6
space group	C2/c
largest residual in diff Fourier, e/Å ³	0.4

CH₃, and 0.070 g (0.13 mmol) of [PPN]Cl were dissolved in 10 mL of MeOH to give an orange/brown solution. After 2 h, the solvent was removed by vacuum and the resulting solid was dissolved in 10 mL of CH₂Cl₂. The solution was then filtered, concentrated to 2 mL, and layered with 4 mL of Et₂O. After 4 h, the product crystallized as black plates which were isolated by filtration and washed with 10 mL of MeOH followed by two 10-mL washings with Et₂O. Yield: 0.12 g (76%). IR: ν_{CO} (CH₂Cl₂) 2001 (w), 1944 (s), 1836 (m) cm⁻¹; ν_{CO} (Nujol) 2003 (w), 1935 (vs), 1904 (s), 1877 (m), 1858 (m), 1634 (w) cm⁻¹. Anal. Calcd for C₈₄H₆₃N₂O₁₁P₄Fe₂Co: C, 64.22; H, 4.01; N, 1.78; Fe, 7.11; Co, 3.75. Found: C, 63.83; H, 4.01; N, 1.75; Fe, 7.68; Co, 3.85.

[PPN]₂**[Fe**₂Co(CO)₉(CC(O)H)] (3c). A 0.10-g (0.10-mmol) sample of [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] was dissolved in 12 mL of THF and the solution cooled to -23 °C. A 0.15-mL (0.15-mmol) sample of KBEt₃H (1.0 M) in THF was then added to the orange/brown solution. After 1 h, the solution had become red/brown. The solvent was removed by vacuum and the resulting oil dissolved in 15 mL of CH₂Cl₂ containing 0.060 g (0.10 mmol) of [PPN]Cl. The solution was filtered, concentrated to 2 mL, and layered with 6 mL of Et₂O. When the solution was left sitting overnight, an oily solid could be isolated by filtration and washed twice with 10 mL of MeOH followed by two 10-mL washings with Et₂O. No elemental analysis was performed since the compound could not be isolated in a pure state. IR: ν_{CO} (THF) 2002 (w), 1946 (s), 1888 (m) cm⁻¹; ν_{CO} (Nujol) 2002 (w) 1928 (vs), 1901 (s), 1864 (m), 1577 (w) cm⁻¹.

Low-Temperature ¹H and ¹³C NMR Spectra of 3c. A 0.20-g (0.20-mmol) sample of ¹³C-enriched 1 was placed in a 10-mm NMR tube under N₂ and capped with a rubber septum. The sample was dissolved in 1.8 mL of THF- d_8 and cooled to -63 °C. A 0.30-mL (0.30-mmol) sample of KBEt₃H (1.0 M) in THF was then added via syringe, and the solution was allowed to sit for 8 h at -63 °C. The NMR tube was then transferred to the NMR probe that was precooled to -65 °C. The spectroscopic yield of 3c was approximately 50% based on comparison of the CC(O)H resonance with those of PPN⁺ in the ¹H NMR spectrum. Strong resonances resulting from the KBEt₃H and undeuteriated THF were present, but these signals were upfield of 4 ppm and did not interfere with the integration of the PPN⁺ (7.5 ppm) and CC(O)H (8.9 ppm) resonances.

X-ray Crystallography of [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] (1). Crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray analysis were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into an Et₂O solution containing the cluster. A purple/black, rhombohedral crystal of 1, in a stream of N_2 gas and at ambient temperature, was mounted on a Syntex P3 automated diffractometer. Unit cell dimensions (Table I) were determined by least-squares refinement of the best angular positions for 15 independent reflections ($2\theta > 15^{\circ}$). A total of 5872 data points $(\pm h, k, l)$ were collected by using a variable scan rate, a θ -2 θ scan mode, and a scan width of 1.2° below K α_1 and 1.2° above $K\alpha_2$ to a maximum value of 45°. Backgrounds were measured at each side of the scan for a combined time equal to the total scan time. The intensities of three standard reflections were measured after every 97 reflections, and since the intensities of these reflections showed less than 8% variation, corrections for decomposition were deemed unnecessary. Data were corrected

Table II. Positional Parameters for the Cluster Anion of [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)]^a

	1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
atom	<i>x</i>	· y	z
Co1	0.2859 (1)	0.0334 (2)	0.7834 (2)
Fe1	0.2830(1)	0.1776(2)	0.7725(2)
Fe2	0.2114(1)	0.0953 (2)	0.6611(2)
01	0.3283 (8)	0.0974(10)	0.6451 (8)
C1	0.3011(12)	0.0991(11)	0.7304(13)
C2	0.3118(11)	0.0972 (11)	0.6831(11)
011	0.1082(7)	0.0960 (8)	0.6710 (8)
O12	0.1752(6)	-0.0413 (8)	0.5734 (7)
O13	0.1659 (7)	0.2104 (8)	0.5481 (8)
O21	0.2020 (8)	0.2068 (9)	0.8128 (9)
O22	0.3969 (8)	0.2097 (9)	0.9130 (9)
O23	0.2664(8)	0.3177 (8)	0.6931 (9)
O31	0.2915 (8)	-0.1164 (8)	0.7328 (8)
O32	0.1992(7)	0.0020 (8)	0.8176 (8)
O33	0.3984(9)	0.0260 (10)	0.9259 (9)
C11	0.1503(10)	0.0951 (11)	0.6681(12)
C12	0.1894 (10)	0.0135 (11)	0.6064(12)
C13	0.1833 (10)	0.1651(11)	0.5929 (11)
C21	0.2339 (9)	0.1959 (11)	0.7968 (13)
C22	0.3536 (10)	0.1980 (13)	0.8577 (13)
C23	0.2714(11)	0.2620(13)	0.7223(11)
C31	0.2881(10)	-0.0582(11)	0.7520 (12)
C32	0.2357 (12)	0.0162 (10)	0.8053 (13)
C33	0.3516(13)	0.0275(15)	0.8683(12)

^aEstimated standard deviations given in parentheses.

for Lorentz, polarization, and background effects. After removal of redundant and space group forbidden data, 2761 reflections were considered observed $[I > 3.0\sigma(I)]$. The structure was solved by direct methods using MULTAN 80.¹⁷ The MULTAN solution showed three peaks of high intensity of which the highest was assigned as Co. Refinement of scale factors and positional and anisotropic thermal parameters for all non-hydrogen atoms was carried out to convergence.¹⁸ The refinement did not permit differentiation between Co and Fe. The metal-metal distances show only minor differences [range 2.540 (4)-2.557 (4) Å] and metal-carbonyl carbon distances are all within experimental error. Metal-CCO distances were not informative. Thus, the crystallographic refinement does not establish the specific positioning of the metals; indeed the possibilities of disorder cannot be discounted. The final cycle of refinement (function minimized, $\sum (|F_o| - |F_c|)^2$ led to a final agreement factor, R = 8.6% (R = $\sum ||F_0| - |F_c|| / \sum |F_0| \times 100$. Anomalous dispersion corrections were made for Fe and Co. Scattering factors were taken from Cromer and Mann.¹⁹ Unit weights were used throughout. An absorption correction was not considered necessary and, therefore, not applied since the crystal was block-shaped $(0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm})$ and the linear absorption coefficient was quite small ($\mu = 11.36 \text{ cm}^{-1}$). Positional parameters are listed in Table II.

Results and Discussion

Synthesis and Properties of $[PPN][Fe_2Co(CO)_9(C-CO)]$. A metal substitution reaction occurs between $[PPN]_2[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]$ and $Co_2(CO)_8$ in THF under a CO atmosphere (eq 3) to produce the anionic, mixed-metal



⁽¹⁷⁾ Main, P.; Fiske, S. J.; Hull, S. E.; Lessinger, L.; Germain, G.;
DeClerq, J. P.; Woolfson, M. M., University of York, England, 1980.
(18) Stewart, J. M., Ed. X-Ray system-Version of 1980, Technical Report TR446 of the Computer Center; University of Maryland: College Park, MD.

⁽¹⁹⁾ Cromer, D. T.; Mann, I. B. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A: Cryst. Phys., Diffr., Theor. Gen. Crystallogr. 1968, A2, 321.



Figure 1. ¹³C NMR spectrum of $[PPN][Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]$ (1) at -90 °C in CD₂Cl₂. Peaks marked with an X are due to the PPN cation.

ketenylidene [PPN][Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO)] (1), which can be isolated with a yield of 84%. The side products [PP-N][Co(CO)₄] and Fe(CO)₅ are produced in equimolar amounts. The yield of 1 is considerably lower (35%) when the reaction is run under N₂. This result agrees with the requirement of gaseous CO in eq 3. Good yields of 1 are also obtained by using acetone, CH₃CN, and CH₂Cl₂ as solvents. Metal substitution reactions have been studied in several laboratories and are now fairly well-known among clusters with a capping ligand, especially those containing Fe and Co.^{20,21} Reaction 3 goes to completion upon mixing at room temperature, whereas other reactions of this type require heating and longer reaction times.²⁰

The IR and NMR spectra of 1 are analogous to those of $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$, with the expected shifts to higher $\nu_{\rm CO}$ in the IR and upfield shifts in the ¹³C NMR spectra relative to [Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)]²⁻. The ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 at -90 °C (Figure 1; Table IV) contains only three resonances originating from the cluster. The terminal carbonyl groups are highly fluxional and exhibit only one signal at 213.5 ppm. This signal is broadened by partial coupling to the quadrupolar ⁵⁹Co nucleus. The resonance due to the α -carbon atom of the ketenylidene ligand is found at 82.8 ppm and is also broadened by Co. At room temperature, this signal broadens into the base line. However, the β -carbon is one atom removed from Co and displays a relatively sharp resonance at 172.5 ppm, so that ¹³C coupling satellites can be easily seen. Selective irradiation of the broad resonance at 82.8 ppm results in the collapse of the satellites at 172.5 ppm, confirming the C–C coupling.²² The assignments of the α - and β -carbon atoms were also confirmed by obtaining a ¹³C NMR spectrum of 1 which had been selectively enriched at all carbon atoms of the cluster except for the α -carbon atom. Selectively ¹³C-enriched 1 could easily be obtained by stirring 1 under a ¹³CO atmosphere in CH_2Cl_2 for 4 days. The fact that the β -carbon atom is enriched indicates that it participates in CO exchange with the terminal carbonyl groups, but this exchange is slower than the NMR time scale. This same phenomenon is seen for $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-.6}$

X-ray Structure of [PPN][$Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)$] (1). The compound [PPN][$Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)$] (1) (PPN = bis(triphenylphosphine)nitrogen(1+) cation) crystallizes in a centrosymmetric unit cell.^{13,23} This assignment was



Figure 2. An ORTEP drawing of the cluster anion in [PPN]- $[Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]$ (1).

Table III.	Bond	Distances	(Å) and	Bond	Angles	(deg)	for
the C	luster	Anion of	PPNIF	e-Colf		0)1	

2.557 (4)	Fe2-C11	1.76 (3)
2.555 (4)	Fe2-C12	1.77 (2)
2.540 (4)	Fe2-C13	1.77 (2)
1.92 (3)	Co1-C31	1.79 (2)
1.97 (2)	Co1-C32	1.74 (4)
1.90 (3)	Co1-C33	1.69 (2)
1.29(5)	C11-011	1.18 (4)
1.20(4)	C12-012	1.15(2)
2.97(3)	C13-013	1.16(2)
2.46(3)	C21-O21	1.14 (4)
3.01 (3)	C22-022	1.12(2)
1.76(3)	C23-023	1.15(3)
1.78(1)	C31-O31	1.10(3)
1.79(2)	C32-O32	1.11(0) 1.21(4)
10 (2)	C33-O33	1.21(4) 1 17(9)
	000 000	1.17 (2)
59.6 (1)	Fe2-Co1-C33	154.8 (11)
60.2 (1)	C31-Co1-C32	100.4 (12)
60.2(1)	C31-Co1-C33	98.4 (11)
82 (1)	C32-Co1-C33	97.2 (15)
82 (1)	Fe1-C21-O21	179 (2)
84 (2)	Fe1-C22-O22	177 (3)
173 (2)	Fe1-C23-O23	177(2)
134.8 (17)	Fe2-C11-O11	178 (2)
96.2 (15)	Fe2-C12-O12	177 (2)
140.8 (16)	Fe2-C13-O13	179 (2)
98.9 (6)	Co1-C31-O31	178 (2)
153.8 (8)	Co1-C32-O32	177(2)
95.1 (6)	Co1-C33-O33	176 (3)
49.6 (7)	C1-Co1-C32	143.2 (10)
47.7 (9)	C1-Co1-C33	107.2(14)
140.0 (11)		
105.7(12)	C1-Fe2-C11	135.0 (13)
105.9 (13)	C1-Fe2-C12	109.6 (10)
97.8 (7)	C1-Fe2-C13	111.6(11)
98.2 (7)	Co1-Fe2-C11	94.0 (7)
150.9 (9)	Co1-Fe2-C12	98.5 (6)
97.8 (13)	Co1-Fe2-C13	157.2 (6)
100.2 (13)	C11-Fe2-C12	97.2 (12)
101.7 (10)	C11-Fe2-C13	98.7 (11)
96.1 (7)	C12-Fe2-C13	98.6 (10)
155.6 (6)	Fe1-Co1-C31	150.2 (10)
99.5 (6)	Fe1-Co1-C32	102.6 (7)
48.0 (8)	Fe1-Co1-C33	97.2 (9)
47.8 (9)	Fe2-Co1-C1	50.1 (7)
97.9 (6)	Fe1-Co1-C1	48.2 (8)
98.7 (7)	C1-Co1-C31	102.7 (13)
	$\begin{array}{c} 2.557 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 2.555 \ (4) \\ 1.90 \ (3) \\ 1.90 \ (3) \\ 1.29 \ (5) \\ 1.20 \ (4) \\ 2.97 \ (3) \\ 2.46 \ (3) \\ 3.01 \ (3) \\ 1.76 \ (3) \\ 1.78 \ (1) \\ 1.79 \ (2) \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccccc} 2.557 \ (4) & \mbox{Fe2-C11} \\ 2.555 \ (4) & \mbox{Fe2-C12} \\ 2.540 \ (4) & \mbox{Fe2-C13} \\ 1.92 \ (3) & \mbox{Co1-C31} \\ 1.97 \ (2) & \mbox{Co1-C32} \\ 1.90 \ (3) & \mbox{Co1-C33} \\ 1.29 \ (5) & \mbox{C11-O11} \\ 1.20 \ (4) & \mbox{C12-O12} \\ 2.97 \ (3) & \mbox{C13-O13} \\ 2.46 \ (3) & \mbox{C22-O22} \\ 1.76 \ (3) & \mbox{C23-O23} \\ 1.78 \ (1) & \mbox{C31-C31} \\ 1.79 \ (2) & \mbox{C32-O32} \\ & \mbox{C33-O33} \\ \hline \\ & \mbox{59.6 \ (1)} & \mbox{Fe2-Co1-C33} \\ 60.2 \ (1) & \mbox{C31-Co1-C32} \\ 60.2 \ (1) & \mbox{C31-Co1-C33} \\ 82 \ (1) & \mbox{C32-Co1-C33} \\ 82 \ (1) & \mbox{Fe1-C22-O22} \\ 173 \ (2) & \mbox{Fe1-C22-O22} \\ 173 \ (2) & \mbox{Fe1-C23-O23} \\ 134.8 \ (17) & \mbox{Fe2-C11-O11} \\ 96.2 \ (15) & \mbox{Fe2-C12-O12} \\ 140.8 \ (16) & \mbox{Fe2-C13-O13} \\ 98.9 \ (6) & \mbox{Co1-C32-O32} \\ 95.1 \ (6) & \mbox{Co1-C33-O33} \\ 49.6 \ (7) & \mbox{C1-Fe2-C13} \\ 105.9 \ (13) & \mbox{C1-Fe2-C13} \\ 98.2 \ (7) & \mbox{Co1-Fe2-C13} \\ 98.2 \ (7) & \mbox{Co1-Fe2-C13} \\ 98.2 \ (7) & \mbox{Co1-Fe2-C13} \\ 99.5 \ (6) & \mbox{Fe1-Co1-C33} \\ 48.0 \ (8) & \mbox{Fe1-Co1-C33} \\ 47.8 \ (9) & \mbox{Fe2-C01-C1} \\ 98.7 \ (7) & \mbox{C1-Co1-C31} \\ 98.7 \ (7) & \mbox{C1-C01-C31} \\ 98.7 \ (7$

confirmed by the satisfactory refinement of the structure in C2/c. The structure of the cluster anion shows a ketenylidene ligand bound to the face of a metal triangle with each metal atom of the triangle further bonded to three terminal carbonyl groups (Figure 2). Bond distances and angles for the anionic cluster are listed in Table III. The

^{(20) (}a) Beurich, H.; Blumhofer, R.; Vahrenkamp, H. Chem. Ber. 1982, 115, 2409.
(b) Epstein, R. A.; Withers, H. W.; Geoffroy, G. L. Inorg. Chem. 1979, 18, 942.

⁽²¹⁾ Horwitz, C. P.; Holt, E. M.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1985, 4, 1117.

⁽²²⁾ This work was performed at the University of Illinois NSF Regional Instrumentation Facility.

⁽²³⁾ The X-ray crystal structure of 1 was previously determined incorrectly in the space group $P\overline{1}$. In this redetermination of the structure reported here, we found that the correct space group is C2/c.

metal-metal distances [range 2.540 (4)-2.557 (4) Å] are consistent with those observed in other iron-containing trimeric clusters: $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$, average 2.569 (4) Å, and [Fe₃(CO)₁₀(CH)]⁻, average 2.548 (4) Å.⁸ In 1 the ketenylidene ligand is bound asymmetrically to the face of the trimetallic cluster with some degree of tilt of the CCO ligand toward one of the metal atoms [Co1-C1, 1.90](3) Å; Fe1-C1, 1.92 (3) Å; Fe2-C1, 1.97 (3) Å; metal-C1-C2 angles Co1, 140.8 (16)°, Fe1, 134.8 (17)°, Fe2, 96.2 (15)°] and shorter nonbonded interactions of the β -carbon atom with one metal atom of the cluster [Fe2–C2, 2.46 (3) Å; Fe1-C2, 2.97 (3) Å; Co1-C2, 3.01 (3) Å]. The CCO ligand is slightly nonlinear $(173 (2)^{\circ})$, and the least-squares line through the ligand (std. dev. 0.04) shows it to be tilted 29.8° from the perpendicular. Although the Fe and Co atoms could not be distinguished in the refinement of the X-ray data, a Mössbauer spectroscopy study of 1 showed that the cluster contains two distinct Fe sites, which agrees with the formulation of 1 in which the CCO ligand tilts toward one of the Fe atoms.²⁴

The arrangement of ligands around the trinuclear metal framework of 1 resembles that of its dinegatively charged parent cluster, $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$, which also has a ketenylidene ligand asymmetrically bonded to the face of a metal triangle (33° angle between the least-squares line through the CCO ligand and the perpendicular to the metal plane). The CCO angle is 172.8 (23)° for [Fe₃- $(CO)_9(CCO)$ ²⁻, which is similar to that of 1. By contrast, the ketenylidene ligand of the uncharged cluster H_2Os_3 - $(CO)_9(CCO)$ is perpendicular to the plane of the three Os atoms with approximately equal Os-C distances, and the CCO is linear.⁶ Comparison of the corresponding C-C and C-O bond lengths for these three structures reveals them to be the same within error. The only other mixed-metal ketenylidene that has been structurally characterized is $[MnCo_2(CO)_9(CCO)]^-$, which, like 1, also has a 1- charge.²⁵ The structure of the MnCo₂ cluster shows the CCO to be almost linear (177.7 (3)°) and tilted toward a Co atom by 14°.

Reactions with Electrophiles. Upon treatment of 1 with acid, the α -carbon atom is protonated and the carbonyl fragment of the CCO ligand migrates to the metal framework, giving Fe₂Co(CO)₁₀(CH) (2) (eq 4). The re-



sulting CO disposition includes a bridging CO across the Fe–Fe bond. Similar reactivity has been observed for anionic ketenylidene clusters with first-row transition metals. Both $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ and $[Co_2Mn(CO)_9(CCO)]^{-}$ form methylidyne clusters upon protonation.^{8,25} The ¹H NMR spectrum of 2 (Table IV) shows a low-field proton signal typical of μ_3 -methylidynes.^{8,20,25} The ¹³C NMR spectrum at -90 °C displays a downfield doublet ($J_{CH} = 170.5$ Hz) which becomes a singlet upon selective ¹H decoupling of the methylidyne resonance, thus confirming the attachment of the hydrogen. The terminal CO region shows two signals of relative intensity 2:1. This spectrum agrees with C_s symmetry for 2 if it is assumed that on the

Table IV. NMR Spectroscopic Data

	¹ H NMR,	
compound	ppm	¹³ C NMR, ppm
$[Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]^- (1)^a$		213.5, 172.5 (CCO), 82.8 (CCO); J _{CC} = 79.4 Hz
$Fe_2Co(CO)_{10}(CH)$ (2) ^a	12.72	269.8 (CH), 250.3 (μ -CO), 207.3 [Fe(CO) ₃], 200.0 [Co(CO) ₃]; $J_{CH} =$ 170.5 Hz
[Fe ₂ Co(CO) ₉ (CC(O)CH ₃)] ²⁻ (3a) ^a	2.30	255.4 (CC(0)CH ₃), 218.3, 214.7 (CC(0)CH ₃), 30.8 (CH ₃); $J_{CC} = 44.0$ Hz
[Fe ₂ Co(CO) ₉ (CCO ₂ CH ₃)] ²⁻ (3b) ^a	3.52	235.0 (CCO_2CH_3), 218.7, 186.8 (CCO_2CH_3), 50.3 (OCH_3); $J_{CC} =$ 66.0 Hz
$[\mathbf{Fe}_2\mathbf{Co}(\mathbf{CO})_9(\mathbf{CC}(\mathbf{O})\mathbf{H})]^{2-} (\mathbf{3c})^b$	8.93	243.2 (CC(0)H), 217.6, 164.7 (CC(0)H); $J_{CC} =$ 51.4 Hz, $J_{CH} =$ 174.4 Hz

^{*a*}CD₂Cl₂, -90 °C. ^{*b*}THF-*d*₈, -65 °C.

NMR time scale, the terminal carbonyl groups on each metal undergo a fast turnstile rotation but no metal-tometal CO exchange occurs. The IR spectrum of 2 contains a bridging CO band at 1853 cm⁻¹ and closely resembles that of an analogous mixed-metal ethylidyne cluster, $Fe_2Co(C-O)_{10}(CCH_3)$, which is proposed to have the same type of structure.²⁶

Unlike dinegatively charged ketenylidene clusters, 1 does not react with electrophiles other than H⁺. Exposure of solutions of 1 to tenfold excesses of strong carbocation reagents such as CH₃OSO₂CF₃, (CH₃)₃OBF₄, CH₃I, and CH₃C(O)Cl for periods of up to 2 days gives no signs of reaction. The inertness of 1 toward methylation at the α -carbon atom is somewhat surprising given that [Fe₃(C-O)₁₀(CCH₃)]⁻ can be prepared by methylation of [Fe₃-(CO)₉(CCO)]²⁻ and that [Fe₂CO(CO)₁₀(CCH₃) is a known compound.^{8,26} Cluster 1 does not react further with Co₂(CO)₈ nor has it shown the propensity to undergo cluster-building reactions that are characteristic of [Fe₃-(CO)₉(CCO)]^{2-.12,13} Metal-containing electrophiles such as Fe₂(CO)₉, [Mn(CO)₃(CH₃CN)₃][PF₆], and Cr(CO)₃(CH₃C-N)₃ do not react with 1 while [Rh(CO)₂Cl]₂ reacts to give an insoluble, uncharacterizable red solid. The preceding experiments thus demonstrate that 1 is considerably less nucleophilic than the dinegatively charged ketenylidenes.

Reactions with Nucleophiles. Nucleophilic reagents such as LiCH₃, NaOCH₃, and KBEt₃H attack 1 at the β -carbon atom of the ketenylidene ligand to afford the dinegatively charged products [Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CC(O)R)]²⁻ (R = CH₃, OCH₃, H; **3a-c**) (eq 5). This reactivity pattern



(26) Ros, J.; Mathieu, R. Organometallics 1983, 2, 771.

⁽²⁴⁾ Benson, C. G.; Long, G. J.; Kolis, J. W.; Shriver, D. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1985, 107, 5297.

⁽²⁵⁾ Crespi, A. M.; Shriver, D. F. Organometallics 1986, 5, 1750.



Figure 3. ¹³C NMR spectrum of [PPN]₂[Fe₂Co(CO)₉(CCO₂CH₃)] (**3b**) at -90 °C in CD₂Cl₂. Peaks due to minor impurities are denoted by an asterisk.

is well-known for cationic and neutral ketenylidene clusters, but the reactions shown in eq 5 demonstrate that the CCO ligand can maintain electrophilicity in an anionic cluster as well. Compounds **3a–c** are the anionic analogues of the large number of $Co_3(CO)_9(CC(O)R)$ neutral clusters that can be synthesized by the reaction of $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$ with nucleophiles.^{2,3}

Compounds 3a and 3b can both be crystallized and isolated in yields of 64% and 76%, respectively. The NMR spectra of these clusters are in full agreement with the proposed structures (Table IV). The ¹H NMR spectra of 3a and 3b contain singlets at 2.30 and 3.52 ppm, respectively, which are in the expected regions for methyl ketones and esters. These values also compare favorably with their counterparts in the $Co_3(CO)_9(CC(O)R)$ series of compounds.²⁷ Resonances in the ¹³C NMR spectra of 3a and **3b** (Figure 3) at -90 °C are sharp in comparison to 1. Apparently, the effect of quadrupolar line broadening by Co is diminished for these dinegatively charged clusters. In both 3a and 3b, the terminal carbonyl groups are highly fluxional as indicated by the observation of a single ¹³C resonance in the terminal CO region down to the lowest temperature investigated at -90 °C. The resonances due to the α -carbon atoms of the CC(O)R ligands are shifted substantially downfield relative to 1, 255.4 ppm for 3a and 235.0 ppm for **3b**, while the β -carbon atoms give signals at 214.7 and 186.8 ppm. The location of the α - and β carbon resonances are reasonable for clusters of this sort,²⁸ and the assignments are further verified by spectra of 3a and 3b obtained from selectively ¹³C-enriched [Fe₂Co- $(C*O)_9(CC*O)$]⁻. The decrease in the C-C coupling between the α - and β -carbon atoms (44.0 Hz for **3a** and 66.0 Hz for **3b**) relative to 1 suggests a lowering of the C_{α} - C_{β} bond order. The IR spectra of 3a and 3b are of interest because of their low stretching frequencies for the μ_3 -CC-(O)R carbonyl groups (1565 and 1634 cm⁻¹, respectively). Presumably these $\nu_{\rm CO}$ values are low compared to those of the $Co_3(CO)_9(CC(O)R)$ analogues²⁷ because the negative charge is delocalized onto the capping ligand. These low CO stretching frequencies suggest that the oxygen atom of the μ_3 -CC(O)R ligands might be very basic.

Compound **3c** could not be isolated in pure form but gave IR and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopies analogous to those of **3a** and **3b**. The spectroscopic yield for **3c** is in the range of 50%. The ¹H NMR spectrum of **3c** shows a downfield resonance at 8.93 ppm, which is similar to that reported for $Co_3(CO)_9(CC(O)H)$.³ The ¹³C NMR spectrum of **3c** at -65 °C exhibits a resonance at 243.2 ppm showing C–C coupling satellites of 51.4 Hz, which is assigned to the α -carbon, and a doublet at 164.7 ppm assigned to the β -carbon atom (${}^{1}J_{CH} = 174.4$ Hz). Upon ${}^{1}H$ decoupling, the β -carbon doublet becomes a pseudotriplet, with C–C coupling satellites of 51.4 Hz which were not resolved in the ${}^{1}H$ -coupled ${}^{13}C$ NMR spectrum.

A few attempts were made to utilize 1 in cluster-building reactions with metal-containing nucleophiles. The IR spectra of products obtained by the interaction of 1 with Na[Fe(η -C₅H₅)(CO)₂] or Na₂[Fe(CO)₄] in THF showed predominantly starting material and lower frequency ν_{CO} absorptions due to some new species. These compounds could only be isolated in small quantities as black, impure solids so these reactions were not pursued further.

Although 1 reacts with nucleophiles to give products that are analogous to those obtained from cationic and neutral ketenylidenes, its reactions are much less facile, as might be expected for an anionic electrophile. Alkylation reactions of $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$ with organolithium reagents lead mostly to decomposition, and the milder reagents such as organozinc halides and organotin compounds are required for smooth alkylation.² In contrast, the reaction of 1 with LiCH₃ proceeds rapidly and smoothly to give **3a**. Likewise, $[Co_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$, $[H_3M_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^+$ (M = Os, Ru),^{5–7} and H₂Os₃(CO)₉(CCO)⁵ react with CH₃OH to give neutral organometallic esters while 1 is stable in CH₃OH and requires a large excess of NaOCH₃ in CH₃OH to achieve optimum yields of $[Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO_2CH_3)]^{2-}$.

On the basis of its negative charge and structural similarity to $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$, one would predict that 1 might show nucleophilic behavior and react analogously to $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^2$. However, the results presented here along with those previously reported for cationic and neutral ketenylidene clusters suggest that there is a strong preference for the CCO ligand to undergo attack by nucleophiles at the β -carbon site. Such reactivity is consistent with the behavior shown by organic ketenes toward nucleophilic attack.²⁹ The reaction of 1 with H⁺ demonstrates that the cluster maintains some nucleophilicity at the α -carbon atom, but this nucleophilicity is clearly very weak. The small size and high charge density of the proton are probably important factors in its reaction with 1. In the case of dinegatively charged ketenylidene clusters, the increased negative charge appears to be sufficient to make the CCO ligand a more effective nucleophile. Extended Hückel molecular orbital calculations were performed on 1 and $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ to determine if the charge distribution along the CCO ligand of these clusters might rationalize their differences in reactivity.³⁰ However, the calculations reveal only slight differences in the net charges of the α - and β -carbon atoms between 1 (C_{α}, -0.334; C_{β}, +0.933) and $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ (C_a, -0.330; C_b, +0.916). Firm conclusions cannot be drawn from these results, and thus the calculated charge distribution on the ketenylidene ligand cannot explain the different reactivity of these two clusters.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the NSF Synthetic Inorganic and Organometallic Chemistry Program. S.C. thanks Joseph A. Hriljac for helpful discussions.

Registry No. 1, 88657-64-1; 1 (¹³C labeled), 112682-04-9; 2,

⁽²⁷⁾ Seyferth, D.; Hallgren, J. E.; Hung, P. L. K. J. Organomet. Chem. 1973, 50, 165.

⁽²⁸⁾ Aime, S.; Milone, L.; Valle, M. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1976, 18, 9.

⁽²⁹⁾ Blake, P. In The Chemistry of Ketenes, Allenes, and Related Compounds; Patai, S., Ed.; Wiley: New York, 1980; Chapter 9.

⁽³⁰⁾ The calculations were carried out by using the structures of $[Fe_2Co(CO)_9(CCO)]^-$ and $[Fe_3(CO)_9(CCO)]^{2-}$ as defined by their X-ray coordinates. The weighted H_{ij} formula was used, and parameters for Fe and Co were obtained from: Hoffman, D. M.; Hoffmann, R.; Fisel, C. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 3858.

88657-66-3; **3a**, 112682-00-5; **3b**, 112682-02-7; **3c**, 112712-03-5; [PPn]₂[Fe₃(CO)₉(CCO)], 87710-96-1; Co₂(CO)₈, 15226-74-1; Fe, 7439-89-6; Co, 7440-48-4.

Supplementary Material Available: Listings of positional

parameters and bond angles and distances for the PPN cation of 1 and a complete listing of anisotropic thermal parameters for 1 and a numbering scheme for PPN (8 pages); a listing of observed and calculated structure factors for 1 (44 pages). Ordering information is given on any current masthead page.

Activation of C–H and C–C Bonds of 4-Octyne in the Gas Phase by Bare Transition-Metal Ions, M^+ (M = Cr, Mn, Fe)[†]

Christian Schulze, Thomas Weiske, and Heimut Schwarz*

Institut für Organische Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin, D-1000 Berlin 12, F.R.G.

Received August 3, 1987

Organometallic complexes of 4-octyne with bare Cr⁺ were generated in the gas phase and the unimolecular dissociations studied by means of tandem mass spectrometry. A detailed comparison is made with the previously reported $M^+/4$ -octyne systems (M = Mn, Fe). All transition-metal ions (presumably in excited states) were found to activate C–H and C–C bonds, though the nature and amounts of neutrals eliminated differ for the various metal ions. While Fe⁺ nearly exclusively generates C_2H_4 , both Cr^+ and Mn^+ form, in addition to C_2H_4 , molecular hydrogen, and methane. Moreover, Mn^+ causes loss of C_3H_6 . The study of labeled isotopomers provides a relatively detailed insight into the mechanistic variants of the various activation steps, and it is demonstrated that all metal ions behave distinctly, with the chemistry of the $Fe^+/4$ -octyne complex as the least complicated one. An unprecedented 1,6-elimination process across the C-C triple bond is reported for the Mn⁺-induced demethanation of 4-octyne. This mechanism is not operative for the analogous elimination of CH₄ from the Cr⁺/4-octyne complex; the latter reaction follows a straightforward 1,2-elimination mode, which is associated with some remarkable kinetic isotope effects. Distinct mechanisms are also operative for the metal ion induced dehydrogenation of 4-octyne, which for $M = Mn^+$ follows a formal 1,2-elimination (involving the terminal methyl and the adjacent CH₂ group). In contrast, for $M = Cr^+$ this process contributes to only a minor extent (ca. 22%); the major part (ca. 78%) of H₂ originates from C(2)/C(3). The kinetic isotope effects associated with $H_{2-x}D_x$ (x = 0, 1) losses are practically identical for the two routes (i.e. C(1)/C(2) versus C(2)/C(3)); this may indicate that the reductive elimination step is rate-determining. The analysis further suggests that Cr⁺-induced reductive elimination of hydrogen proceeds through a symmetric transition structure with respect to the metalhydrogen bonds to be cleaved. Ethylene loss from $M^+/4$ -octyne, while being similar for $M = Cr^+$ or Fe⁺. must have some metal-specific features that are also reflected in the isotope effects associated with the elimination of C_2H_4 .

Introduction

Gas-phase experiments with bare transition-metal ions offer an unique possibility to probe, in the absence of any solvation and ion-pairing effects, the inherent properties of reactive organometallic species and to evaluate the potential role these remarkable transients may well play in the initial stages of the activation of C-H and C-C bonds. This topic is, not surprisingly, of fundamental interest in catalysis and has attracted considerable attention in the last decade.^{1,2} While many transition-metal ions M⁺ readily undergo oxidative insertion (eq 1) in C-X bonds (X = hydrogen, carbon, halogen, nitrogen, oxygen, etc.¹), some metals like Mn⁺ and Cr⁺ show a distinctly lower reactivity toward these substrates.^{3,4}

$$M^{+} + \bigvee_{X}^{C} \rightleftharpoons M \swarrow_{X}^{C}$$
(1)

The decreased reactivity⁵ has been explained by the particular ground-state electronic configurations of Cr^+ (3d⁵) and Mn⁺ (3d⁵4s¹), which are not favorable for the formation of M-X bonds. It has been suggested that in

those cases in which reactions of Cr^+ and Mn^+ with organic substrates are observed, the insertion processes may be

[†]Dedicated to Professor Emanuel Vogel, Köln, on the occasion of the 60th birthday.

⁽¹⁾ Selected references: (a) Müller, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1972, 11, 653. (b) Parshall, G. W. Catalysis 1977, 1, 335. (c) Beauchamp, J. L.; Stevens, A. E.; Corderman, R. R. Pure Appl. Chem. 1979, 51, 976. (d) Collman, J. P.; Hegedus, L. Principles and Applications of Organotransition Metal Chemistry; University Science Books: Mill Valley, CA, 1980. (e) Haggin, J. Chem. Eng. News 1982, 60, 13. (f) Gregor, I. K.; Guilhaus, M. Mass Spectrom. Rev. 1984, 3, 39. (g) Allison, J. Prog. Inorg. Chem. 1986, 34, 627. (h) Shilov, A. E. Activation of Saturated Hydrocarbons by Transition Metal Complexes; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, 1984. (i) Green, M. L.; O'Hare, D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1985, 57, 1897. (j) Bandry, D.; Ephritikine, M.; Felkin, H.; Fillebeen-Khan, T.; Gault, Y.; Holmes-Smith, R.; Yingrui, L.; Zakrzewski, J. In Organic Synthesis; Streith, J., Prinzbach, H., Schill, G., Eds.; Blackwell Scientific Publications: Oxford, 1985; p 25. (k) Periana, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7332. (1) Armentrout, P. B. In Structure/Reactivity and Thermochemistry of Ions; Ausloos, P., Lias, S. G., Eds.; D. Reidel: Dordrecht, 1987; No. 193, p 97. (m) Ridge, D. P. Ibid 1987; No. 193, p 165. (n) Simoes, J. A.; Beauchamp, J. L. Chem. Rev., in preparation. (o) Beauchamp, J. L. ACS Symp. Ser., in press. (p) Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Rev. 1985, 85, 245. (q) Freiser, B. S. Talanta, 1985, 32, 697.

⁽²⁾ More than 100 references on the reactions of bare transition-metal ions with organic substrates, together with a detailed description of the reactions of gaseous octyne isomers with Fe⁺, may be found in: Schulze, C.; Schwarz, H.; Peake, D. A.; Gross, M. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 2368.