Synthesis and Biological Activity of the Structural Analogues of (–)-Cabenegrin A-I

Katalin Gulácsi^{a)}, György Litkei^{a)}, Sándor Antus^{*a)}, Csaba Szántay^{b)}, László L. Darkó^{c)}, Judith Szelényi^{d)}, György Haskó^{d)}, and Szilveszter E. Vizi^{d)}

^{a)} Department of Organic Chemistry, University of Debrecen, P.O.Box 20, H-4010 Debrecen, Hungary

^{b)} Institute of Organic Chemistry, Technical University, P.O.Box 91, H-1111 Budapest, Hungary

^{c)} Farmacon Inc., 90 Grove Street, Suite 109 Ridgefield, Connecticut 06877-4118, USA

^{d)} Department of Pharmacology, Institute of Experimental Medicine, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, P.O.Box 67, H-1450 Budapest, Hungary

Key Words: Cabenegrin; structure-activity relationship; tumor necrosis factor

Summary

A series of phenylbutene and butanol derivatives (6a–j, 12, 13, 15, 17, 24b,c, 26, 27a,b) were prepared from the readily available resorcinol derivatives 2a–f and 7-hydroxy-chroman (18). The products were tested for inhibitory activity on the LPS-induced TNF- α production in the plasma in comparison with that of cabenegrin A-I (1a).

Introduction

Pterocarpans are naturally occurring plant products carrying a *cis*-fused benzofuranyl-benzopyran ring system ^[1], and many of them exhibit various biological effects, most particularly antifungal ^[2], antibacterial, and anti-HIV activity ^[3]. In 1982 one of us demonstrated that two representatives of these natural products, cabenegrin A-I [(–)-**1a**] and A-II [(–)-**1b**] (Figure 1) are the active components of a Brazilian folk medicine used against snake venoms ^[4,5]. Although the most active component, (–)-cabenegrin A-I (**1a**), is synthetically available both in racemic^[6] and optically pure forms,^[7] these multistep procedures are not suitable for industrial production.



Figure 1

In order to establish the pharmacophore of this molecule, it appeared reasonable to synthesize a series of phenylbutene and butanol derivatives (6a–j, 12, 13, 15, 17, 24b,c, 26, 27a,b) for a structure-activity relationship study, with the fact in mind that the A-ring of (–)-cabenegrin A-I [(–)-1a] carry-

ing a hydroxy isoprenyl side chain with E-geometry, seems to be the most essential for the biological activity.

Results and Discussion

Chemistry

A simple synthesis of (*E*)-but-2-ene derivatives (**6a**,**b**) could be achieved from the respective resorcinol derivatives



i: n-BuLi/cyclohexane, reflux; ii: (Me)₂C=CH-CH₂Br; iii: oxalic acid, MeOH, r.t; iv: Ac₂O/pyridine; v: SeO₂/Ac₂O, vi: NaOMe/MeOH or LiAlH₄/Et₂O r.t

Scheme 1

(**2a,b**). Our approach (Scheme 1) was based on the method described by Bohlmann et al. ^[8–10], who recognized that various C-3,3-dimethylallylphenols could be stereoselectively transformed by oxidation with selenium dioxide in acetic anhydride into the corresponding (*E*)-1-acetoxy-2-methylprop-2-en-1-yl derivatives in good yield.

Accordingly, resorcinol dimethyl ether (2a) was regioselectively lithiated with n-butyllithium in cyclohexane, followed by trapping of the formed lithium intermediate (3a) by addition of 3,3-dimethylallyl bromide to result 4a in a moderate yield (51%). In a good agreement with Bohlmann's observa-tions $^{[8-10]}$, oxidation of **4a** took place regioselectively in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of selenium dioxide to furnish the acetoxy derivative 5a, whose saponification with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature afforded 6a in 37% yield. As shown in Scheme 1, the same sequence $(2b \rightarrow 3b \rightarrow 4b)$ was used for the preparation of 4b. It is to be noted that oxidation of 4b with selenium dioxide under the conditions used for 4a resulted in a ca. 1:2 mixture of 5b and 5c based on the ¹H-NMR spectra. Although our attempts for the separation of this mixture were unsuccessful, reduction with lithium aluminium hydride yielded our target molecule (6b) in a good yield (70%). In the case of the dimethylallylphenol derivative 4e, prepared from 2c in four steps ($2c \rightarrow 3c$ \rightarrow 4c \rightarrow 4d \rightarrow 4e) according to the literature ^[11], oxidation with selenium dioxide provided also an astonishing result. Thus, introduction of the acetoxy group into the dimethylallyl side chain took place with an opposite regioselectivity (Z)than found in the case of 4a or 4b. The Z-geometry of the double bond of 5d and 6c (prepared by simple saponification of 5d with sodium methoxide) could be unequivocally detected by NOE experiments.

The intermediate **4a** was found to be an appropriate starting material also for the synthesis of **6d**. Thus, oxidation of **4a** with 2 molar equivalents of selenium dioxide for a fourfold prolonged period than used in the case of the transformation of **4a** or **4b**, resulted in the diacetate **5e**, which was isolated by means of column chromatography on silica gel with 14% yield. Saponification of this latter with sodium methoxide in methanol at room temperature gave **6d** in a moderate yield (41%).

Starting from 2a, the synthesis of the (E)-but-2-ene derivative **6a** could also be achieved by the Wittig methodology which had been previously used for the synthesis of the racemic and optically active cabenegrin A-I (1a) ^[6,7]. In the first step, the lithium salt 3a of 2a was alkylated with the commercially available bromoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal, and the resulting acetal was hydrolyzed under mild acidic conditions to the aldehyde 7a as shown in Scheme 2. According to earlier procedures ^[6,7], the Wittig reaction of the aldehyde 7a with α -ethoxycarbonyltriphenylphosphonium bromide ^[12] in the presence of sodium ethoxide in ethanol at room temperature furnished the respective α,β -unsaturated ester 8a. Finally, reduction of 8a with lithium aluminium hydride in ether at room temperature resulted in the allyl alcohol derivative 6a in a moderate yield (37%). In order to influence the lipophilicity of **6a**, and therefore to modify its pharmacological profile, its substituted derivatives (6e-j) were also synthesized by the Wittig methodology starting from the phenol derivatives 2d-g as depicted in Scheme 2. Among these starting materials resorcinol diethyl ether (2d)



Scheme 2

was commercially available, and **2e** and **2f** were prepared from the readily available resorcinol monomethyl ether and orcinol by simple alkylation according to the literature ^[13,14]. In the case of **2g**, we started from the readily available 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (**2h**), whose Grignard reaction with ethylmagnesium bromide in ether at room temperature resulted in the benzyl alcohol **2i** in good yield (88%). Finally, catalytic hydrogenation of **2i** in the presence of palladium on charcoal in acetic acid at room temperature afforded **2g** in 68% yield.



Scheme 3





Scheme 4



i: NaH/DMF at 0°C then allyl bromide; ii: in xylene at 200°C Δ ; iii: NaH/DMF then MeOCH₂CI r.t or DMSO₄/KOH; iv: OsO₄/NaIO₄ dioxane, r.t; v: Ph₃P@CH₂(Me)CO₂Et Br[©], NaOEt/EtOH r.t; vi: LiAlH₄/Et₂O, r.t vii: H[@]/H₂O, MeOH, r.t

Scheme 5

It seemed also to be interesting to find out the influence of the position of the double bond and the stereochemistry in the side chain of **6a** on the biological activity. Therefore, we prepared the structural analogues **12** and **13** from the ester **8a** as depicted in Scheme 3. Interconversion of the (*E*)-but-2-ene **8a** by photoisomerization in benzene at room temperature resulted in a mixture of the butene derivatives **9**, **10**, and **11**, whose separation could be achieved by column chromatography on silica gel. Reduction of the esters **9** and **10** gave the corresponding primary alcohols **12** and **13**, respectively. The allyl alcohol **12** was also formed in a moderate yield (23%) when **6a** was irradiated in benzene at room temperature.

The simple aldol condensation of the aldehyde 7a in the presence of sodium ethoxide in ethanol at room temperature gave the α , β -unsaturated aldehyde 14 in 56% yield, whose reduction with lithium aluminium hydride under standard conditions afforded the specifically substituted but-2-ene derivative 15. In the course of our detailed study on the structure-biological activity relationship, the chroman derivatives 24b,c, carrying a hydroxyisoprenyl side chain at C-8, were also synthesized from 7-hydroxychroman (18) according to our procedure employed for the total synthesis of (-)-cabenegrin A-I (1a).^[7] In the first step, 7-hydroxychroman (18) was transformed by alkylation with allyl bromide in the presence of sodium hydride in DMF into the allyl ether 19 in good yield (72%), whose thermal Claisen rearrangement in xylene at 200 °C gave the chroman derivative 20 in 50% yield. In the next step, 20 was alkylated with chloromethyl methyl ether $(20 \rightarrow 21a)$ and the product was reacted with osmium tetroxide, followed by oxidation with sodium metaperiodate in dioxane at room temperature to give aldehyde 22a in an overall yield of 85%. The last two steps of the synthesis (22a \rightarrow 23a \rightarrow 24a) were performed as in the case of 6a and 6e–f, and thus 24a could be isolated in 63% yield, whose deprotection under mild acidic conditions furnished the target molecule 24c. Its methyl ether 24b was also synthesized according to the same sequence $(20 \rightarrow 21b \rightarrow 22b \rightarrow 23b \rightarrow 24b)$ starting from the chroman derivative 20 in an overall yield of 31%.

Taking into consideration that cabenegrin A-II (**1b**) possesses a reduced antidote activity against the venom of *Bothrops atrox* as compared to cabenegrin A-I (**1a**), and also that they are different in the side chains located in ring-A, it



i: Pd(C)/MeOH, r.t; ii: LiAlH4/Et2O, r.t

Scheme 6

Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 334, 53-61 (2001)

seemed to be reasonable to prepare some analogues of cabenegrin A-II (1b), as well. The synthesis of these compounds (26, 27a,b) is depicted in Scheme 6. In the first step, 8a was reduced by catalytic hydrogenation over 10% palladium on charcoal to give the saturated esters 25 in good yield, whose reduction with lithium aluminium hydride in ether at room temperature resulted in the corresponding primary alcohol 26. The synthesis of 27a and 27b could be achieved by simple reduction of 24b and 24c over 10% palladium on charcoal in methanol, respectively.

Pharmacology

It is well known that several infections, particularly with Gram-negative bacteria, leads to septic shock ^[15]. Recently it has also become clear that the tumor necrosis factor (TNF- α) characterized originally by its antitumor activity and cytotoxic response^[16] occupies a key role in the phytophysiology associated with diverse inflammatory states and other severe illnesses including septic and endotoxic shocks, such as cachexia, as well as non-insulin-dependent diabetes [17]. Since TNF- α neutralization in the treatment of septic shock is now well accepted ^[18], therefore measuring of the immune response via modulating the endotoxin-induced TNF- α production seems to be an appropriate model also for the characterization of the pharmacological profile of the phenylbutene derivatives 6a-c, 6e-j, 12, 13 and 24b in comparison with that of *rac*.-cabenegrin (1a). The values of the TNF- α levels are given in Table 1.

Table 1. The inhibitory effects of 1a, 6a-c, 6e-j, 13, 15, and 24b on the LPS-induced TNF- α production in the plasma.

Compound	Dose		TNF-α level %
•	mg/kg	mmol/kg $\times 10^{-4}$	of control
1a	5	1.4	53 ± 10
	10	2.7	42 ± 8
	20	5.4	18 ± 3
6a	40	18.0	10 ± 7 **
6b	40	15.8	59 ± 8 *
6c	40	19.2	24 ± 6 *
6e	40	16.0	$58 \pm 10 *$
6f	40	16.9	51 ± 22
6g	40	16.9	57 ± 20
6h	40	15.1	$56 \pm 10 *$
6i	40	16.9	76 ± 15
6j	40	16.0	79 ± 18
12	40	18.0	68 ± 14
13	40	18.0	62 ± 20
15	40	11.6	37 ± 11 *
24b	40	16.1	6 ± 2 **

 \pm Standard error of means. Significance: *p>0.05; **p>0.01.

According to our assumption, cabenegrin A-I (1a) inhibited significantly and dose-dependently the increase of the plasma TNF- α level, and its activity is strongly connected with its A and B rings, since the 24b chroman derivative has been found to possess the same order of inhibitory activity as found for the *rac.*-1a itself. The inhibitory values of 6a, 6e, 12, and 13 have also clearly indicated that both of the presence of the

unsaturated side chain on A ring of **1a** and its *E*-geometry, as well as the position of the double bond is essential for the inhibitory activity. Since elongation of the side chain of **6a** with one or two carbon atoms (**6i**, **6j**) resulted in a significant loss of the inhibitory action of the molecule, therefore it is presumable that the four carbon atom length of the side chain of **1a** may also play an important role in its activity. In this context it is to be noted that substitution of the methyl group at C-2 of **6a** with a 2,6-dimethoxyphenyl group (**6a** \rightarrow **15**) gave rise to a remarkably smaller influence on the inhibition. Moreover, it is noteworthy that further analogues of **6a** (i.e. **6b**, **6c**, **6f**-**h**) possessing higher lipophilicity were found to be still less active in the inhibition of the endotoxin-induced TNF- α production, than **6a** itself.

The mechanism how cabenegrin A-I (**1a**) could suppress TNF- α synthesis is not known yet. A number of structurally unrelated compounds were reported to inhibit the endotoxin evoked TNF- α response affecting various steps of the signal transduction pathway. Inhibitors of the arachidonate metabolism like 4-aryl-5-pyridinylimidazoles^[19] and acetylsalicylic acid^[20] are affecting possibly the activation of the transcription factor NF-kB. There are literary data on the inhibitory effect on TNF- α production of phosphodiesterase inhibitors^[21] and that of p38 MAP kinase inhibitors as well, demonstrating the effectiveness of drugs with unrelated chemical structures ^[22].

On the basis of these results it is presumed that the pharmacological activity of cabenegrin (1a) may be closely related to its chroman moiety carrying a hydroxyisoprenyl side chain with *E*-geometry. Further work on the evaluation of this influence is currently in progress.

Acknowledgement

The authors thank the Ministry of Culture and Education (Grant No. 460/1977) and the National Science Foundation (OTKA, Grant No. T-029090 and 023687) for valuable financial support.

Experimental

Melting points were determined with a Kofler hot stage microscope and are uncorrected. The reactions and the purity of compounds were controlled by TLC using precoated Silica gel 60 F254 plates (Merck). Detection of the components was by UV light and/or treatment with molybdatophosphoric acid hydrate/EtOH. Preparative separations were performed by column or flash chromatography using Merck Silica gel 60 (0.063–0.200 nm). The 200-MHz ¹H-NMR spectra were recorded on a Brucker WP-200 SY spectrometer with TMS as internal standard ($\delta = 0$) in CDCl₃. Coupling constants (*J*) are given in Hz. Elemental analyses were determined by Carlo-Erba analysator Tpy 1106 and given within ±0.4% unless otherwise stated.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 4a-c

A solution of 29.7 mmol of resorcinol derivative in 100 ml absolute cyclohexane was cooled to 0 °C under N_2 atmosphere and 41 mmol of *n*-butyllithium (solution of 15% in hexane) were added under the above conditions. The reaction mixture was boiled for 30 minutes. After cooling to room temperature, 43.3 mmol of 3,3-dimethylallylbromide were added dropwise and the mixture boiled for 2 hours. After cooling the reaction mixture was poured in a saturated solution of NaHCO₃, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-ene (4a)

Eluent: hexane; colourless oil; yield 70%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.65 and 1.75 (s, 6H, 2×Me), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 5.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.55 (d, *J* = 10.0, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, *J* = 10.0, 1H, H-4, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₃H₁₈O₂.

4-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-ene (4b)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 9:1; colourless oil; yield 94%.-¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.6 and 1.75 (s, 6H, 2×Me), 3.25 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.75 (s, 9H, 3×OMe), 5.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.1 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₄H₂₀O₃.

4-[2-(2-Tetrahydropyranyloxy)-6-methoxyphenyl]-2-methylbut-2-ene (4c)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 20:1; yellow oil; yield 52%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.2, 1.55 and 1.8 (m, 6H, 3×CH₂), 1.6 and 1.8 (s, 6H, 2×Me), 3.3 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.55 and 3.85 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.75 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 5.35 (t, 1H, -OCHO-), 6.5 and 6.7 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.0 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₇H₂₄O₃.

2-(3-Methylbut-2-ene-1-yl)-3-methoxyphenol (4d)

4c (4.85 g, 0.0175 mol), 250 ml of methanol and oxalic acid (3.7 g, 0.041 mol) dissolved in 20 ml water were stirred at room temperature, for 1 hour. The methanol was evaporated, the residue was diluted with water, extracted with dichloromethane, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the oily residue was sufficiently pure for further use. Yield 98%. – ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H,CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.0 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 5.65 (s, 1H, Ar-*OH*), 6.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 4-,6-H, aromat.), 7.0 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₂H₁₆O₂.

1-(2-Acetoxy-6-methoxyphenyl)-3-methylbut-2-ene (4e)

4d (3.53 g, 0.018 mol), 20 ml of acetic anhydride and 0.5 ml abs. pyridine were kept on water bath for 1 hour. Then the mixture was poured in cold water, stirred 0.5 h, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with NaHCO₃ solution, water, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The obtained brown oil was sufficiently pure. Yield 87%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.65 and 1.75 (s, 6H, 2×Me), 2.3 (s, 3H, OAc), 3.3 (d, J = 7.5, 2H,CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 5.15 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.7–7.2 (m, 3H, 3-,4-,5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₄H₁₈O₃.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 5a-e

A mixture of 0.028 mmol prenylated compound, 70 ml of acetic anhydride and 0.68 mol SeO₂ was boiled for 30 minutes (4 days in case of $4a \rightarrow 5e$). The reaction mixture was poured in cold water, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, NaHCO₃ solution, water, dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

(E)-1-Acetoxy-4(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-ene (5a)

Eluent: hexane; yellow colourless oil; yield 38%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.8 (s, 3H, Me), 2.0 (s, 3H, OAc), 3.3 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.4 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 5.15 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.7 and 6.8 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-H, 5-H, aromat.), 7.2 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, H-4, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₅H₂₀O₄.

(E)-1-Acetoxy-4-(2,4,6-trimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-ene (**5b**) and (E)-4-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-ene-1-al (**5c**)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:2; colourless oil. **5b**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.8 (s, 3H, Me), 1.9 (s, 3H, OAc), 3.35 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 9H, 3×OMe), 4.4 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 5.5 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.15 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.). **5c**: ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.8 (s, 3H, Me), 3.6 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 9H, 3×OMe), 6.1 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 5.15 (t, J = 7.0, 1H, =CH), 9.35 (s, 1H, CHO).

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 4:1; colourless oil; yield 30%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.75 (s, 3H, Me), 2.10 (s, 3H, OAc), 3.3 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.45 (s, 2H, CH₂OAc), 5.50 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH-), 6.65–7.30 (m, 3H, ArH).– Anal. C₁₆H₂₀O₅.

1-Acetoxy-2-acetoxymethyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)but-2-ene (5e)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:2; oil; yield 14%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.05 and 2.1 (s, 6H, 2×OAc), 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.80 and 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.55 and 4.9 (s, 4H, 2×OCH₂), 5.9 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.5 and 6.6 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₇H₂₂O₅.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 6a-d

1. Preparation of 6a,c,d from 5a,d,e

The mixture of 1.78 mmol of acetate, 10 ml abs. methanol and 4 ml of 1N NaOCH₃ was stirred under N₂ atmosphere for 20 hours at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated, the residue diluted with water, neutralized with acetic acid, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6a)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; colourless oil; yield 35%.-¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 2.0 (brs, 1H, OH), 3.4 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂-O), 5.45 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.55 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₃H₁₈O₃.

(Z)-4-(2-Hydroxy-6-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6c)

Eluent: toluene-ethyl acetate 4:1; colourless oil; yield 40%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.88 (s, 3H, Me), 3.41 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.82 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.05 (s, 2H, -CH₂OH), 5.12 (s, 1H, OH), 5.55 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH-), 6.48 (t, J = 8.0, 2H, 3-,5-H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.0, 1H, 4-H).– Anal. C₁₂H₁₆O₃.

2-Hydroxymethyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)but-2-en-1-ol (6d)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 2:1; mp 71–73 °C; yield 41%.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.15 and 2.6 (brs, 2H, OH), 3.45 (d, $J = 8.0, 2H, CH_2Ar$), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.2 and 4.4 (s, 4H, 2×CH₂O), 5.65 (t, J = 8.0, 1H, =CH), 6.6 (d, J = 8.0, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, J = 8.0, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₃H₁₈O₄.

2. Preparation of 6b from 5b,c

LiAlH₄ (2.8 g, 0.073 mol) was suspended in 90 ml abs. ethyl ether, under N₂ atmosphere, at room temperature. 4.77 g of **5b,c** mixture dissolved in ether were added dropwise during 1 hour. The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min at room temperature, then ethyl acetate was added dropwise to decompose the excess of LiAlH₄. Then saturated solution of NH₄Cl was added, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water and dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

(E)-4-(2,4,6-Trimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6b)

Eluent: toluene-acetone 4:1; mp 51-53 °C; yield 47%.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 3.45 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 9H, 3×OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.45 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.2 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁4H₂₀O₄.

1-(3,5-Dimethoxyphenyl)-propane-1-ol (2i)

To the ethylmagnesiumbromide [prepared freshly from magnesium (0.6 g), ethylbromide (2 ml) and anhydrous ether (20 ml)] was dropped the solution of 3,5-dimethoxybenzaldehyde (**2h**, 4.15 g, 21 mmol) in anhydrous

Arch. Pharm. Pharm. Med. Chem. 334, 53-61 (2001)

ether (40 ml) with stirring at room temperature during 30 min. The reaction mixture was boiled for 2 hours. To the cooled mixture NH4Cl solution was added and then extracted with ether. The organic phase was washed, dried and evaporated yielding the crude product which was purified by flash column chromatography with hexane-ethyl acetate (5:1). 4.35 g (88%) of **2i** was obtained ($R_f = 0.4$).–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.95 (t, J = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.7 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.2 (s, 1H, OH), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.5 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, CH), 6.35 (dd, J = 1.0 and 1.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.), 6.5 (d, J = 2.0, 2H, 2-,6-H, aromat.).

3,5-Dimethoxy-n-propylbenzene (2g)

4.2 g (21.4 mmol) of **2i** was reduced with H₂ in glacial acetic acid (70 ml) in the presence of 10% Pd/C (3.5 g). After 30 hours (using 550 ml of H₂) the catalyst was filtered off, the solvent was evaporated and the residue was flash chromatographed on silica gel with hexane-ethyl acetate (5:2) as the eluent yielding 2.6 g (68%) of **2g**.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.0 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.7 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.55 (t, *J* = 8.0, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 6.3–6.4 (m, 3H, 2-,4-,6-H, aromat.).

General Procedure for the Preparation of 7a-e

To the solution of 0.05 mol resorcinol derivative in 200 ml anhydrous cyclohexane butyllithium (0.1 mol, 42 ml 15% solution in hexane) was added dropwise at 0 °C, N₂ atmosphere and stirring. The reaction mixture was boiled for 1.5 hours. After cooling, 0.0625 mol of bromoacetaldehyde diethyl acetal was added dropwise and the mixture boiled for another 1.5 hours. After cooling, the mixture was diluted with NaHCO₃ solution, extracted with dichloromethane, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent, the residue was distilled off, water was added and the mixture extracted with CH₂Cl₂, washed, dried over MgSO₄ and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

2,6-Dimethoxyphenylacetaldehyde (7a)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:1; mp 37–38 °C; yield 33%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 3.7 (d, *J* = 1.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 6.6 (d, *J* = 6.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.25 (t, *J* = 8.0, 1H, 4-H, aromat.), 9.5 (t, *J* = 1.5, 1H, CHO).– Anal. C₁₀H₁₂O₃.

2,6-Diethoxyphenylacetaldehyde (7b)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1; colourless oil; yield 50%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.4 (t, *J* = 7.5, 6H, 2×Me), 3.7 (d, *J* = 1.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.0 (q, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×CH₂), 6.5 (d, *J* = 5.0, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.), 9.6 (t, *J* = 1.0, 1H, CHO).- Anal. C₁₂H₁₆O₃.

2-Ethoxy-6-methoxyphenylacetaldehyde (7c)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1; colourless oil; yield 38%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.4 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 3.65 (d, *J* = 1.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.6 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.25 (t, *J* = 8.0, 1H, 4-H, aromat.), 9.65 (t, *J* = 1.5, 1H, CHO).- Anal. C₁₁H₁₄O₃.

2,6-Dimethoxy-4-methylphenylacetaldehyde (7d)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1; colourless oil; yield 34%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 3.65 (d, *J* = 1.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 6.4 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 9.5 (t, *J* = 1.0, 1H, CHO).- Anal. C₁₁H₁₄O₃.

2,6-Dimethoxy-4-n-propylphenylacetaldehyde (7e)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 9:1; colourless oil; yield 20%.-¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.0 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.7 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.55 (m, 2H, CH₂), 3.65 (d, *J* = 1.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 6.45 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 9.6 (t, *J* = 1.0, 1H, CHO).- Anal. C₁₃H₁₈O₃.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 8a-g

The mixture of 0.05 mol of aldehyde, 0.075 mol of phosphonium salt,^[23] 100 ml of anhydrous ethanol and 0.1 mol of 1N NaOC₂H₅ was stirred at room temperature under N₂ atmosphere for 2 hours. The solvent was partially evaporated, water was added and the solution extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, hexane-ethyl acetate 10:1 as eluent.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (8a)

Yellow oil; yield 75%. – ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 3.0 (s, 2H, Me), 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.8 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.). – Anal. C₁₅H₂₀O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-diethoxyphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (8b)

Thick oil; yield 66%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.2 (t, J = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.4 (t, J = 7.5, 6H, 2×Me), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 3.5 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.0 (q, J = 7.5, 4H, 2×CH₂), 4.1 (q, J = 7.5, 4H, CH₂), 6.5 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.8 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.15 (m, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₇H₂₄O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2-ethoxy-6-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (8c)

Colourless oil; yield 56%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.3 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.45 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 3.55 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.0 and 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×CH₂), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.0, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.75 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.1 (m, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₆H₂₂O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxy-4-methylphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (8d)

Colourless oil; yield 62%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 2.32 (s, 3H, Me), 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.35 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.75 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH).- Anal. C₁₆H₂₂O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxy-4-propylphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (8e)

Eluent: dichloromethane-benzene 3:1; colourless oil; yield 40%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.9 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.3 (s, 3H, Me), 1.7 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 2.6 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, CH₂), 3.5 (d, *J* = 6.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.2 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.4 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.8 (t, *J* = 6.0, 1H, =CH).- Anal. C₁₈H₂₆O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 2-ethyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)crotonate (8f)

Thick oil; yield 18%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.1 and 1.25 (t, J = 7.5, 6H, 2×OMe), 2.5 (q, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 3.55 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.15 (q, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂O), 6.55 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.7 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.2 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₆H₂₂O₄.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-propylcrotonate (8g)

Thick oil; yield 15%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.95 and 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.5, 6H, 2×OMe), 1.5 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 3.55 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.2 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂O), 6.0 (d, *J* = 3.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.8 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₇H₂₄O₄.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 6a,e-j

LiAlH₄ (1.0 g, 26 mmol) was suspended in 100 ml anhydrous ether and cooled at 0 °C, under N₂ atmosphere and stirring. A solution of esther (3.7 mmol) in abs. ether was added dropwise. After stirring for 2 hours at room temperature, ethyl acetate was added dropwise to decompose the excess of LiAlH₄. The mixture was diluted with a saturated solution of NH₄Cl, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed, dried, evaporated and purified by column chromatography on silica gel.

(E)-4-(2,6-Diethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6e)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:2; mp 74–76 °C; yield 71%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.35 (t, *J* = 7.5, 6H, 2×Me), 1.5 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 3.45 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.0 (q, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×CH₂), 4.05 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₅H₂₂O₃.

(E)-4-(2-Ethoxy-6-methoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6f)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:2; thick oil; yield 60%.-¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.4 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.5 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.0 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 5.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.05 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H).- Anal. C₁₄H₂₀O₃.

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxy-4-methylphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6g)

Eluent: hexane-ethyl acetate 5:2; thick oil; yield $30\%.-^{1}H$ -NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.5 (s, 1H, OH), 1.8 (s, 1H, Me), 2.35 (s, 3H, Me), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.0 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.45 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.4 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₄H₂₀O₃.

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxy-4-propylphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (6h)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 4:1; colourless oil; yield 50%.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.9 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.3 (brs, 1H, OH), 1.6 (m, 2H, CH₂), 1.8 (s, 3H, Me), 2.55 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.75 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.4 (s, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₆H₂₄O₃.

(E)-2-Ethyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)but-2-en-1-ol (6i)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; thick oil; yield 46%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.05 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.6 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.3 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.75 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.45 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.55 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 4.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).- Anal. C₁₄H₂₀O₃.

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-propylbut-2-en-1-ol (6j)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; thick oil; yield 75%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.95 (t, J = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.4 (brs, 1H, OH), 2.2 (m, 2H, CH₂), 2.2 (t, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 3.45 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.5 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.6 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₅H₂O₃.

General Method for the Photoisomerization of 8a and 6a

1.3 g of Compound dissolved in 280 ml anhydrous benzene were placed into a quartz pot and irradiated with a mercury lamp (450 W) for 3 hours. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed several times on silica gel column using hexane-methyl ethyl ketone 20:1 and toluene-methyl ethyl ketone 40:1 as eluent.

(Z)-Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylcrotonate (9)

Colourless oil; yield 13%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.35 (t, J = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.9 (s, 3H, Me), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 3.9 (d, J = 7.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.3 (q, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 5.9 (t, J = 7.0, 1H, =CH), 6.6 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).

(E)-2-Ethoxycarbonyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-ene (10)

Colourless oil; yield 11%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 3H, Me), 1.3 (d, *J* = 6.0, 3H, Me), 3.1 (m, 1H, CH), 3.75 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.15 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 5.9 (t, 1H, *J* = 10.0, H- α), 6.3 (d, 1H, *J* = 10.0, H- β), 6.6 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.3 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).

(Z)-2-Ethoxycarbonyl-4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-ene (11)

Colourless oil ; yield 3.5%.-¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.25 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.4 (d, 3H, Me), 3.25 (q, *J* = 7.5, 1H, CH), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe),

4.15 (q, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.5 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.55 (d, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.7 (d, 1H, J = 1.5, H- α), 6.75 (d, 1H, J = 1.5, H- β), 7.15 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).

(Z)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (12)

Prepared from **9** as described for **6b**. Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:1; colourless oil; yield 23%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 1.95 (s, 1H, OH), 3.45 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.25 (s, 2H, CH₂OH), 5.4 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.55 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).

(E)-4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbut-3-en-1-ol (13)

Prepared from **10** as described for **6b**. Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; colourless oil; yield 65%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.9 (d, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 2.0 (brs, 1H, OH), 3.3 (d, *J* = 8.0, 2H, OCH₂), 3.4 (m, 1H, CH), 3.75 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 5.5 (t, *J* = 10.0, 1H, H-β), 6.2 (d, *J* = 10.0, 1H, H-α), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).

2,4-[Bis(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)]but-2-ene-1-al (14)

7a (1.04 g, 5.7 mmol), anhydrous ethanol (50 ml) and 1N NaOC₂H₅ (15 ml) were stirred at room temperature for 2 hours. The solvent was distilled off, the residue extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄. After evaporation of the solvent the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, using hexane-ethyl acetate (5:1) as eluent, to give **14** as colourless prisms. Mp 133–134 °C; yield 56% (0.55 g).–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.7 and 3.8 (s, 12H, 4×OMe), 6.55 and 6.7 (d, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×3-,5-H, aromat.), 6.95 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 7.15 and 7.35 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 2×4-H, aromat.), 9.6 (s, 1H, CHO). MS (m/e): 342 (75), 313 (100), 151 (95), 91 (80).– Anal. C₂₀H₂₂O₅.

2,4-[Bis(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)]but-2-en-1-ol (15)

Prepared from **14** as described for **6b**. Mp 138–140 °C; yield 80% (0.2 g).– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.0 (brs, 1H, OH), 3.15 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.65 and 3.75 (s, 12H, 4×OMe), 4.25 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 6.05 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.45 and 6.65 (d, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.05 and 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 4-H, aromat.). MS (m/e): 344 (5), 327 (20), 313 (25), 151 (65), 91 (70).– Anal. C₂₀H₂₄O₅.

2,4-[Bis(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)]butanal (16)

The solution of **14** (0.3 g, 0.87 mmol) in glacial acetic acid (25 ml) was added to the suspension of 10% Pd/C catalyst (0.5 g) in glacial acetic acid (10 ml), previously saturated with hydrogen. The hydrogenation was continued for 20 hours using 50 ml of H₂. The catalyst was filtered off and the filtrate was evaporated in vacuo. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, using hexane-ethyl acetate (5:1) as eluent to give **16**. Yield 67% (0.23 g).– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.8 and 2.5 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 3.7 and 3.75 (s, 12H, 4×OMe), 4.05 (dd, *J* = 6.0 and 4.0, 1H, CH), 6.45 and 6.55 (d, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.1 and 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 2×4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₂₀H₂₄O₅.

2,4-[Bis(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)]butanol (17)

Prepared from **16** as described for **6b**. Mp 96–97 °C; yield 65% (0.15 g).– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.8 and 2.5 (m, 4H, 2×CH₂), 2.0 (s, 1H, OH), 3.65 (d, *J* = 7.0, 2H, CH₂), 3.7 and 3.8 (s, 12H, 2×OMe), 3.95 (m, 1H, CH), 6.5 and 6.6 (d, *J* = 7.5, 4H, 2×3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.1 and 7.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 2×4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₂₀H₂₆O₅.

7-Allyloxychroman (19)

To a stirred solution of **18** (10 g, 66.6 mmol) in anhydrous dimethylformamide (130 ml), NaH (3.4 g) was added under N₂ atmosphere and at 0 °C. After 15 min allylbromide (10.5 ml) in anh. DMF (15 ml) was added dropwise, during 30 min. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C 2 hours, then was diluted with water, extracted with ethyl ether, washed with 10% solution of NaOH, with water, dried over MgSO₄, evaporated and purified by column chromatography using toluene as eluent to afford **19** as colourless oil, 12.2 g (72%).– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.7 (t, $J = 7.5, 2H, 4-CH_2$), 4.1 (t, $J = 7.0, 2H, 2-CH_2$ O), 4.5 (d, $J = 6.0, 2H, CH_2$ -O), 5.35 (m, 2H, CH₂=), 6.0 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.4 (m, 2H, 6,8-H, aromat.), 6.9 (d, J = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₂H₁₄O₂.

8-Allyl-7-hydroxychroman (20)

7-Allyloxychroman **19** (6 g, 0.031 mol) in xylene (110 ml) was heated in a closed tube at 200 °C for 20 hours. The solvent was evaporated in vacuo and the residue purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, toluene as eluent, to give **20** as colourless oil, 3.12 g (50%).–¹H-NMR (CDCl3): δ (ppm) = 1.95 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.7 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 6.5, 2H, CH₂-Ar), 4.15 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.1 (m, 2H, CH₂=), 6.0 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.45 and 6.8 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 5-,6-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₂H₁₄O₂.

8-Allyl-7-Methoxymethoxychroman (21a)

To a solution of **20** (1.66 g, 8.7 mmol) in abs. DMF (20 ml), NaH (0.8 g) was added under N₂ atmosphere and at 0 °C and stirred for 15 min. Then chloromethyl methyl ether (2 ml) in abs. DMF (5 ml) was added dropwise and the mixture stirred overnight at room temperature. Then the mixture was diluted with water, extracted with ethyl ether, washed with a solution of 10% NaOH, water, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated to obtain an oil, (1.95 g, 95%), wich was sufficiently pure for further use.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.95 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.5 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.2 (d, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.0 (m, 2H, CH₂=), 5.15 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 6.0 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.6 and 6.85 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 5-,6-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₄H₁₈O₃.

8-Allyl-7-Methoxychroman (21b)

To the mixture of **20** (1.9 g, 0.01 mol), water (25 ml) and KOH (2.5 g, 0.044 mol) after stirring for 15 min at room temperature, dimethyl sulphate (3.5 ml, 0.037 mol) was added dropwise. The mixture was stirred 10 hours at room temperature, extracted with dichloromethane, washed with a solution of 10% KOH, water, dried over Na₂SO₄, evaporated to give on oil, (1.8 g, 90%), which was sufficiently pure for further use.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.95 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.7 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂=), 3.8 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.0 (m, 2H, CH₂=), 5.95 (m, 1H, =CH), 6.5 and 6.9 (d, 2H, 5-,6-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₃H₁₆O₂.

General Procedure for the Preparation of 22a,b

To 27.4 mmol of allyl-derivatives **21a,b** in 356 ml dioxane, 5.6 mmol OsO4 in 30 ml dioxane was added and the mixture was stirred in dark for 30 min at room temperature. Then NaIO₄ (0.065 mol) in 1070 ml water was added dropwise during 75 min. The mixture was diluted with water (200 ml), extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with a solution of 20% sodium thiosulphate, water and dried over MgSO₄. Evaporation of the solution gave an oil, which was purified by column chromatography using hexane-ethyl acetate 9:1 as eluent.

(7-Methoxymethoxychroman-8-yl) a cetaldehyde~(22a)

Colourless oil; yield 85%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.7 (d, *J* = 5.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.15 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 6.7 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.95 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.), 9.65 (t, *J* = 1.0, 1H, CHO).– Anal. C₁₃H₁₆O₄.

(7-Methoxychroman-8-yl)acetaldehyde (22b)

Colourless oil; yield 90%.- ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, *J* = 7.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.65 (d, *J* = 1.0, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 7.0 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.), 9.65 (t, *J* = 1.0, 1H, CHO).- Anal. C₁₂H₁₄O₃.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(7-methoxymethoxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylcrotonate (23a)

Prepared as described for **8a–g**. Eluent: hexane-acetone 10:1; oil; yield 70%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.3 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.95 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.45 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 4.15 (m, 4H, 2-CH₂, OCH₂), 5.2 (s, 2H, OCH₂O),

6.6 (d, J = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.75 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, CH=), 6.9 (d, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₈H₂₄O₅.

(E)-Ethyl 4-(7-methoxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylcrotonate (23b)

Prepared as described for **8a–g**. Eluent: hexane-acetone 10:1; oil; yield 60%. – ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.3 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.95 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.0 (s, 3H, Me), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.8 (s, 2H, OMe), 4.2 (m, 4H, 2-CH₂, OCH₂), 6.45 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.75 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, CH=), 6.9 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.). – Anal. C₁₇H₂₂O₄.

(E)-4-(7-Methoxymethoxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (24a)

Prepared as described for **6b**. Eluent: toluene-ethyl acetate 4:1; oil; yield 63%. – ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, J = 6.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (d, J = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.5 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂-O), 4.2 (t, J = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.2 (s, 2H, OCH₂O), 5.5 (t, J = 7.5, 1H, =CH), 6.6 (d, J = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.85 (d, J = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₆H₂₂O₄.

(E)-4-(7-Methoxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (24b)

Prepared as described for **6b**. Eluent: toluene-ethyl acetate 4:1; mp 56.5– 57.5 °C; yield 65%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.5 (t, 1H, OH, deuterable), 1.85 (s, 3H, Me, 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.35 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.75 (s, 3H, OMe), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂-O), 4.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, CH=), 6.45 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.9 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₅H₂₀O₃.

4-(7-Hydroxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylbut-2-en-1-ol (24c)

24a (0.7 g, 2.5 mmol) in methanol (20 ml) was stirred with 1:1 HCl (1 ml) at room temperature for 16 hours. The reaction mixture was diluted with water, extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over MgSO₄ and evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel, toluene-ethyl acetate (4:1) as eluent, to give **24c** (0.35 g, 60%), mp 84–86 °C.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.85 (s, 3H, Me), 2.0 (m, 2H, 3-CH₂), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 4-CH₂), 3.4 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.95 (s, 2H, CH₂O), 4.2 (t, *J* = 6.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 5.3 (brs, 1H, OH), 5.5 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.75 (d, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₄H₁₈O₃.

Procedures for Preparation of 25, 26, 27a, b, c

Reduction of **8a** to **25** as well as **24b**,**c** to **27a**,**b** was carried out as described at aldehyde **14**, using methanol instead of glacial acetic acid. Reduction of **25** to **26** was carried out with LiAlH₄ as described for **6b**.

Ethyl 4-(2,6-dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbutyrate (25)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; colourless oil; yield 85%.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.2 (t, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.3 (d, *J* = 6.0, 3H, Me), 1.6, 1.9 and 2.4 (m, 3H, CH, CH₂), 2.7 (t, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.75 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 4.1 (q, *J* = 7.5, 2H, CH₂), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₅H₂₂O₄.

4-(2,6-Dimethoxyphenyl)-2-methylbutanol (26)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; colourless oil; yield 83%.–¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 1.0 (d, *J* = 7.5, 3H, Me), 1.45, 1.65 and 2.75 (m, 5H, 1-CH₂, 2-CH, 3-CH₂), 1.9 (s, 1H, OH), 3.5 (m, 2H, CH₂Ar), 3.85 (s, 6H, 2×OMe), 6.5 (d, *J* = 7.5, 2H, 3-,5-H, aromat.), 7.1 (t, *J* = 7.5, 1H, 4-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₃H₂₀O₃.

4-(7-Methoxychroman-8-yl)-2-methylbutanol (27a)

Eluent: hexane-acetone 5:2; colourless oil.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.98 (d, J = 5.0, 3H, Me), 1.39–2.10 (bm, 3H, CH₂-CH-), 2.75 (m, 4H, 4-CH₂ and ArCH₂), 3.61 (t, $J = 4.0, CH_2OH$), 3.85 (s, 3H, OMe), 4.18 (t, J = 5.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 4.85 (s, 1H, OH), 6.45 (d, J = 6.0, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.87 (d, J = 6.0, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₅H₂₂O₃.

8-(3-Hydroxymethylbutyl)chroman-7-ol (27b)

Eluent: toluene-acetone 3:1; colourless oil; yield 22%.– ¹H-NMR (CDCl₃): δ (ppm) = 0.98 (d, *J* = 5.0, 3H, Me), 1.39–2.10 (bm, 3H, CH₂-CH-), 2.75 (m, 4H, 4-CH₂ and ArCH₂), 3.61 (t, *J* = 4.0, CH₂OH), 4.18 (t, *J* = 5.0, 2H, 2-CH₂), 4.85 (s, 1H, OH), 6.45 (d, *J* = 6.0, 1H, 6-H, aromat.), 6.87 (d, *J* = 6.0, 1H, 5-H, aromat.).– Anal. C₁₄H₂₀O₃.

Determination of TNF-a Production in the Plasma

Male BALB/c mice (20–25g) were purchased from Charles River Laboratories (Budapest) and kept in individual cages in the air conditioned room (22–24 °C) of the Animal Department at least for 7 days for adaptation before use. Animals received food and water *ad libitum*, and lightening was maintained on a 12 h cycle.

On the day of the experiment animals were injected intraperitoneally (i.p.) with the tested compounds in a volume of 0.1 ml/10g body weight, while control animals received the same amount of physiological saline completed with the solvent of the drug.

60 minutes later they were primed with 5 mg/kg LPS (055:B5 Sigma). Ninety minutes after LPS induction animals were humanly killed and their blood was collected in ice-cooled Eppendorf tubes containing heparin. After centrifugation the plasma was stored at -70 °C until assayed.

Plasma TNF- α levels were determined using solid-phase enzyme immunoassay (ELISA), employing the multiple Ab sandwich principle that specifically detects mouse TNF- α (Genzyme Cambridge MA). Absorbency was read by Bio-Rad Microplate Reader Model 450 and calculated as concentration (pg/ml) using standard curve by Microplate Manager/PC Data Analysis Software. Statistical analysis of the data were performed by one- or two-way analysis of variance. Comparison between groups were made by use of Dunett or Student's unpaired t test; p values less than 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

References

- P.M. Dewick, *Pterocarpanes in the Flavonoids: Advances in Research Since 1986*, J.B. Harborne (Ed.) Chapman and Hall, London, **1994**, pp. 166–180.
- [2] G.M. Boland, G.M.X. Donnelly, Nat. Prod. Rep. 1998, 15, 241–260, and previous reviews in these series.
- [3] T.A. Engler, K.O. LaTessa, R. Iyengar, W. Chai, K. Agrios, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1996, 4, 1755–1769.

- [4] M. Nakagawa, K. Nakanishi, L.L. Darko, J.A. Vick, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 3855–3858.
- [5] L.L. Darko, K. Nakanishi, M. Nakagawa, Eur. Pat. Appl. D.E.P. 89229 (1983). Chem. Abstr. 1984, 100, 39587.
- [6] M. Ishiguro, T. Tatsuoka, N. Nakatsuka, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1982, 23, 3859–3862.
- [7] A.L. Tokés, Gy. Litkei, K. Gulácsi, S. Antus, E. Baitz-Gács, Cs. Szántay, L.L. Darkó, *Tetrahedron* 55, 9283–9296.
- [8] F. Bohlmann, H. Franke, Chem. Ber. 1971, 104, 3229–3233.
- [9] F. Bohlmann, U. Bühmann, Chem. Ber. 1972, 105, 863–873.
- [10] F. Bohlmann, F.M. Stöhr, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1980, 185–191.
- [11] A.L. Coelho, M.L.A.A. Vasconcellos, A.B.C. Simas, J.A. Rabi, P.R.R. Costa, Synthesis, 1992, 914–916.
- [12] G.W. Dauben, J.M. Gerdes, R.A. Bunce, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4293–4295.
- [13] R. Spitz, Monatsh. Chem. 1984, 5, 489-491.
- [14] A. Robertson, R. Robinson, J. Sugiura, J. Chem. Soc. 1928, 1535.
- [15] J.E. Parrillo, M. Parker, C. Natason, Ann. Intern. Med. 1990, 113, 227–242.
- [16] P. Vandenabeele, W. Decleroq, R. Beyaert, W. Fiers, *Trends Cell Biol.* 1995, 5, 392.
- [17] B. Beutler, A. Cerami, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 1988, 57, 505-518.
- [18] J.E. Pennington, Clin. Infect-Dis. 1993, 17, 515–519.
- [19] J.C. Boehm, J.M. Smietana, M.E. Sorenson, R.S. Garigipati, T.F. Gallagher, P.L. Sheldrake, J. Bradbeer, A.M. Badger, J.T. Laydon, J.C. Lee, L.M. Hillegas, D.E. Griswold, J.J. Breton, M.C. Chabot-Flechter, J.L. Adams, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 3929–3937.
- [20] M. Grilli, M. Memo, P. Spano, Science 1996, 274, 1383–1385.
- [21] Z.H. Németh, G. Haskó, C. Szabó, E.S. Vizi, Shock, 1997, 7, 371–375.
- [22] A.M. Badger, D.E. Griswold, R. Kapadia, S. Blake, B.A. Swift, S.J. Hoffman, G.B. Stroup, E. Webb, D.J. Rieman, M. Gowen, J.C. Boehm, J.L. Adams, J.C. Lee, *Arthritis Rheum* **2000**, *43*, 175–183.
- [23] O. Issler, H. Gutmann, M. Montavon, R. Rüegg, G. Ryser, P. Zeller, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1957, 40,1242

Received: October 18, 2000 [FP525]