



Accepted Article

Title: Photocatalytic decarboxylative coupling of aliphatic N-hydroxyphthalimide esters with polyfluoroaryl nucleophiles

Authors: Xiangli Yi, Runze Mao, Lara Lavrencic, and Xile Hu

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.202108465

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.202108465

Photocatalytic Decarboxylative Coupling of Aliphatic N-hydroxyphthalimide Esters with Polyfluoroaryl Nucleophiles

Xiangli Yi, Runze Mao, Lara Lavrencic, Xile Hu*

X. Yi, Dr. R. Mao, L. Lavrencic, Prof. X. Hu

Laboratory of Inorganic Synthesis and Catalysis, Institute of Chemical Sciences and Engineering, Ecole Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL) ISIC-LSCI, BCH 3305, 1015 Lausanne (Switzerland)

E-mail: xile.hu@epfl.ch Website: https://lsci.epfl.ch

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

Abstract: Polyfluoroarenes are an important class of compounds in medical and material chemistry. The synthesis of alkylated polyfluoroarenes remains challenging. Here we describe a decarboxylative coupling reaction of N-hydroxyphthalimide esters of aliphatic carboxylic acids with polyfluoroaryl zinc reagents (Zn-Ar_F) via synergetic photoredox and copper catalysis. This method readily converts primary and secondary alkyl carboxylic acids into the corresponding polyfluoroaryl compounds, which could have a wide range of F-content (2F -5F) and variable F-substitution patterns on the aryl groups. Broad scope and good functional group compatibility were achieved, including on substrates derived from natural products and pharmaceuticals. Mechanistic study revealed that a [Cu-(Ar_F)₂] species could be responsible for the transfer of polyfluoroaryl groups to the alkyl radicals.

Polyfluoroarenes can form special intermolecular interactions, 1 such as $\pi\text{-}\pi_F$ and anion- π_F interactions, which lead to widespread applications in pharmaceuticals 2 and materials 1a,3 (Scheme 1a). The synthesis of polyfluoroaryl compounds from easily available simple polyfluoroarenes has drawn much recent attention. Strategies such as SN_{Ar} reactions 4 on polyfluoroarenes, reactions via polyfluoroaryl radicals 5 and radical addition to polyfluoroarenes 6 have been reported. However, these strategies generally require highly electron-deficient polyfluoroarenes, which makes them unsuitable for arenes with a lower F-content.

Metal catalyzed C-C cross-coupling of polyfluoroaryl reagents (X-Ar_F, H-Ar_F and M-Ar_F, where X is a halide, M is a metal), on the other hand, provides a more general and versatile approach to the synthesis of polyfluoroaryl compounds (Scheme 1b).7 Many examples of the coupling of polyfluoroaryls with aryl,8 alkenyl,9 alkynyl, 10 benzyl 11 and allyl 12 groups have been reported. However, the coupling of polyfluoroaryls with unactivated alkyl groups¹³ remains challenging, possibly due to the difficulty in the reductive elimination step as a result of a strong M-Ar_F bond¹⁴ and a facile β –H elimination reaction from many M-alkyl intermediates. In an important development, Chang and co-workers reported a method for the oxidative coupling polyfluoroarenes with alkanes (Scheme 1c). 13a This reaction involves the tert-butoxide-assisted C-H metalation of a polyfluoroarene to form an Cu^{II}-Ar_F species, which captured an alkyl radical to effect the coupling. Despite the advance, arenes with a low F-content (e.g. 2F and 3F) were not suitable substrates, likely because the Ar_F-H bonds of the low-F-content arenes were less acidic and resistant to base-assisted C-H metalation. The reaction also has relatively harsh conditions and suffers from the regionselectivity problem of C-H activation for many substrates.

a) Selected examples of important polyfluoroaryl compounds

SFM, superfluorinated material for liquid crystal display

b) Metal-catalyzed coupling reaction of polyfluoroaryls

R= Aryl, Alkenyl, Alkynyl, Benzyl or Allyl
 M= Pd, Ni, Rh, Cu

c) Cu-catalyzed coupling of polyfluoroarenes to alkanes (Chang et.al.)

$$F_{n} \xrightarrow{O^{l}Bu} \xrightarrow{F_{n}} C^{u^{\parallel}} \xrightarrow{Alkyl-H} Alkyl$$

$$^{t}BuOO^{t}Bu \xrightarrow{O^{t}Bu} O^{t}Bu \xrightarrow{Alkyl-H} Alkyl$$

d) Decarboxylative Polyfluoroarylation via alkyl radical addition (Ritter et.al.)

e) This work: Cu-catalyzed coupling of Ar_F -Zn with NHPI esters

- Variable F-content: 2F-5F
- Variable F-substitution patterns
- 1°,2° & some 3° alkyls
- Good functional group tolerance

Scheme 1. Examples of polyfluoroaryl compounds and their synthesis by the coupling reactions of polyfluoroaryl reagents.

The group of Ritter recently developed decarboxylative polyfluoroarylation of alkyl carboxylic acids based on radical addition to polyfluoroarenes followed by the elimination of ipsofluorine (Scheme 1d).⁶ This novel method still has some limitations such as regioselectivity of radical addition. The method was less efficient for polyfluoroarenes with 4F and not suitable for those with 3F or less.

Here we describe a metallophotoredox approach¹⁵ for the coupling of Ar_F-Zn reagents with aliphatic NHPI esters synthesized from NHPI (N-hydroxyphthalimide) and alkyl carboxylic acids (Scheme 1e). Unlike their unstable Lithium and Grignard analogues,¹⁶ the Zn-Ar_F reagents¹⁷ are more stable and less reactive, leading to high functional group tolerance. Using these preformed reagents, we were able to install Ar_F groups with a wide range of F-content (2F -5F) and with varied F-substitution patterns. Although the coupling reaction of NHPI esters is well established with many organometallic reagents,¹⁸ such coupling with weakly nucleophilic Ar_F-Zn reagents is hitherto unknown. Compared to the method of Ritter,⁶ our organometallic approach avoids the problem of regioselectivity and is suitable for polyfluoroaryls with low F-content.

We started our exploration using (diglyme) $Zn(C_6F_5)_2(b1)$ as the source of -Ar_F, which could be obtained as a stable solid from a simple reaction of pentafluoroiodobenzene with diethylzinc. After a thorough screening of reaction conditions (Figure S1-3, Table S1-2, SI), we found that with 1 mol% of Ir(dfppy)₂(ppy) as photocatalyst, 10 mol% of Cu(OTf)2 as catalyst and 20 mol% of dtbbpy (4,4'-di-tert-butylbipyridine) as ligand, the NHPI ester of 1benzoylpiperidine-4-carboxylic acid (a1) was coupled with b1 to give the desired product c1 in excellent yield (95%, Table1, entry 1). Selected examples of reaction optimization highlighting the influence of key reaction parameters are described in Table 1. Fe(OTf)₂ and NiCl₂(DME) (DME= dimethoxyethane) were not effective metal catalysts (Entries 2 and 3, Table 1). L1, which was the best ligand in Chang's work, 13a was not efficient for this reaction (Entry 4, Table 1). When 1 equivalent of C₆F₅-ZnCl was used as a source of -Ar_F, the side product from chlorination (44%) outweighed the coupling product c1 (22%, Entry 5, Table 1). To our delight, when we replace Ir(dfppy)₂(ppy) with 4CzIPN, an easily accessible and inexpensive organic photocatalyst, 19 the coupling was highly efficient as well, with a yield of 93% (Entry 6, Table 1). Thus, we used 4CzIPN as the final choice of photocatalyst. When light illumination or the photocatalyst was eliminated, no coupling occurred (Entry 7, Table 1), confirming the necessity of photocatalysis. When the copper catalyst was removed, the product could not be obtained neither (Entry 8, Table 1).

Based on the optimized conditions (Entry 6, Table 1), we evaluated the substrate scope of NHPI esters (Figure 1). For secondary alkyl NHPI esters, a large number of cyclic (c1-c7) and acyclic (c8- c10) alkyl groups, including those with considerable steric hindrance (e.g, c10) were coupled to polyfluoroaryls in good yields. Sulfonamide (c2), ether (c3), ketone (c5), and electronrich arene (c9) were compatible with the reaction conditions. Several pharmaceuticals, such as Ibuprofen, Ketoprofen and Naproxen, could be modified via this method into polyfluoroaryl compounds (c11-c13) in excellent yields. A substrate with an α -oxyalkyl group was not suitable for the reaction, with a GC yield of less than 5%, possibly due to facile direct oxidation of the α -oxyalkyl radical²⁰ in competition with the trapping by copper catalyst.

A wide range of primary alkyl NHPI esters were also suitable substrates for this reaction (d1-d14, Figure 1). Notably, the arylbromide (d1), alkylbromide (d2) and alkyliodide (d3) groups, which serve as electrophiles in many cross-coupling reactions,²¹ could be tolerated in this reaction, leaving a synthetic handle for further transformation. A hindered primary alkyl (d6) and primary benzyls (d7, d8) were also suitable for this transformation. Despite their high molecular weights, some polyfluoro products were volatile (d5, d6) and suffered from substantial loss of yields during separation (e.g. for d6, 72% GC yield but 36% isolated yield). Double polyfluoroarylation on the same substrate was demonstrated (d9). Reactions of NHPI esters derived from natural products and pharmaceuticals (d10-d14) also led to high coupling yields (d10-d13). The reaction of the NHPI ester of the structurally complicated dehydrocholic acid had a modest yield of 42% (d14). For the coupling of tertiary alkyl NHPI esters, the reaction was generally not productive (e.g. e1, e2). Some specific substrates, e.g., those with a cyclopropyl ring, were coupled in modest to good yields (e3, e4).

Table 1. Selected examples of reaction optimization highlighting the influence of key reaction parameters.^a

$$Bz = \begin{cases} O \\ NHP \\ O \\ NHP \end{cases} = \begin{cases} 1 \text{ mol} \% \text{ Ir}(\text{dfppy})_2(\text{ppy}), \\ 10 \text{ mol} \% \text{ Cu}(OTf)_2, \\ 20\text{mol} \% \text{ dtbbpy} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} C_6F_5 \\ Blue \text{ LED} \\ EtOAc, 20 \text{ h} \end{cases} = \begin{cases} C_6F_5 \\ C_1 \\ NHP \\ NC \\ NHP \\ NHO \\$$

Entry	Variations	Yield ^b / %
1	-	95
2	Fe(OTf) ₂ instead of Cu(OTf) ₂	15
3	NiCl ₂ (DME) instead of Cu(OTf) ₂	0
4	L1 instead of dtbbpy	22
5	$C_6F_5\text{-}ZnCl+1$ eq. diglyme instead of (diglyme)Zn($C_6F_5)_2$	23
6	4CzIPN instead of Ir(dfppy) ₂ (ppy)	93 (85°)
7	No radiation or no photocatalyst	0
8	4CzIPN instead of Ir(dfppy) ₂ (ppy), no Cu(OTf) ₂	0

^aReaction conditions: 0.1 mmol **a1**, 0.1 mmol **b1** and other additives in 0.5 mL EtOAc. Reaction under Blue LED for 20 h. ^bGC yield with mesitylene as internal standard. ^cIsolated yield.

We next explored the scope of polyfluoroaryl zinc reagents (Figure 2). Diaryl zinc reagents with different F-content and F-substitution patterns were prepared from polyfluoroaryl lithium reagents and used as solutions of ethyl acetate. We used two representative alkyl NHPI ester substrates, one with a primary alkyl and one with a secondary alkyl group, which upon coupling gave products **f** and **g**. The reactions of the 2,3,5,6-tetrafluoro-4-

iodophenyl zinc reagent gave **f1** and **g1** in moderate yields. On the *para* position, different groups including –CF₃, -OPh, ⁿhexyl, phenyl, silyl (TIPS, triisopropylsilyl), alkynyl were all tolerated in the reaction, leading to the corresponding products (**f2-f7**, **g2-g7**) in good yields. Then, the coupling to a set of aryls with varied F-content and F-substitution patterns was probed. All three isomers of tetrafluorophenyl could be coupled to a primary alkyl (**f8-f10**) or a secondary alkyl (**g8-g10**) group in good yields. Trifluorophenyl zinc reagents were successfully applied to the reaction as well (**f11-f13**, **g11-g13**), so was the 2,6-difluorophenyl zinc reagent

(f14, g14). The coupling of 2,4-difluorophenyl zinc was inefficient (30 % for g15 and <5% for f15). Likewise, the coupling of 2-fluorophenyl or 4-fluorophenyl zinc reagent was not successful (<5% for f16, f17, g16 and g17). In these cases, the relatively electron rich aryl zinc reagents were prone to homocoupling to give biaryls. The electron-deficient tetrafluoropyridyl zinc reagent was not suited to this reaction as well (29% for g18 and 0% for f18). Notably, 1 equivalent of diglyme could generally enhance the yields by 5-10% (Figure S3), possibly because diglyme acted as a ligand to promote the aryl transfer process.

Figure 1. Scope of alkyl NHPI esters. Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol a, 0.1 mmol b1 and other additives in 0.5 mL EtOAc. Reaction under Blue LED for 20 h. Isolated yields and GC yields (in bracket) are shown.




Figure 2. Scope of polyfluoroaryl zinc reagents. Reaction conditions: 0.1 mmol a, 0.1 mmol b1 and other additives in 0.5 mL EtOAc. Reaction under Blue LED for 20 h. Isolated yields and GC yields (in bracket) are shown.

Several experiments were conducted to shed light on the mechanism of the coupling. When the NHPI ester derived from 6-heptenoic acid (a2) was used as a substrate to couple with b1 under the standard conditions (eq. 1, Scheme 2), h1, a product formed via 5-exo-trig cyclization of 5-hexenyl radical, was obtained as the only cross-coupling product (60% yield). This result is consistent with the intermediacy of an alkyl radical formed from the alkyl NHPI ester. When (dtbbpy)Cu(OTf)2 was mixed with1 equivalent of **b1**, the homocoupling product C₆F₅-C₆F₅ was formed in 42% yield (eq. 2, Scheme 2). This result is consistent with previous reports that Cull species could oxidize Zn-Ar_F to Ar_F-Ar_F.²² Thus, the resting oxidation state of Cu in the catalytic system is likely Cu^{I} . The Cu^{I} complex [(bpy) $Cu(C_6F_5)$] synthesized and was found to be a competent catalyst as well (eg. 3, Scheme 2). However, the stoichiometric reaction of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] with **a1** under photochemical conditions didn't give any coupling product (eg. 4, Scheme 2). In a crossover experiment, a1 was treated with 50 mol% of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] and 100 mol% of **b1** (eq. 5, Scheme 2). Coupling with both -C₆F₅ and $-C_6F_4H$ occurred with a total yield of 52% ($-C_6F_4H$: $-C_6F_5$ =1:0.18 in the products, =1:0.25 in the starting materials). These results indicate that [(bpy)Cu(C $_6F_5$)] could enter the catalytic cycle and transfer the -C $_6F_5$ group on the copper into the product. However, it cannot directly transfer the -C $_6F_5$ group without a further transformation.

When **b1** was added to a solution containing [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)], an instant color change from orange to light yellow was observed. With UV-Vis spectroscopy, we observed that increasing the ratio of **b1** to [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] in dichloromethane led to a significant decrease of absorbance of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] (Figure S4), which could indicate a transmetallation process. Likewise, in the ¹⁹F-NMR spectra of the mixture of **b1** and [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)], a new peak **A** was generated and the peak **B** in the original spectrum of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] disappeared (Figure 3a). This suggests the conversion of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] to a new M-C₆F₅ species with the addition of **b1**. In the cyclic voltammograms (Figure 3b), a new oxidation peak emerged at -0.57 V vs. Fc⁺/Fc (Fc = ferrocene) when **b1** was added to [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)], which was 0.60 V lower than the oxidation peak of [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)]. These results could be attributed to the formation of a [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)₂] species upon

the addition of **b1** to [(bpy)Cu(C_6F_5)] (eq. 6, Scheme 2), which could be the species responsible for transferring the - C_6F_5 group to alkyl radicals.

Stern-Volmer quenching was used to probe the interaction of the excited photocatalyst with different reagents (Figure S5-7, SI). NHPI ester **a1** and the Zn reagent **b1** did not quench the emission of the excited photocatalyst, yet both $[(bpy)Cu(C_6F_5)]$ and the 1:1

mixture of **b1** with (bpy)CuC₆F₅ were effective quenchers. These data suggest that the reaction possibly starts by a reductive quenching of the excited 4CzIPN by the Cu^I species. Considering the large excess of **b1** to copper catalyst under the coupling conditions, the neutral [LCu(Ar_F)] are expected to have a very low concentration and [LCu(Ar_F)₂] is more likely to be the quencher of the excited 4CzIPN.




Figure 3. a) ^{19}F -NMR spectra of **b1**, [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)], and their mixtures. c(**b1**) = c[(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] = 0.002 M in CH₂Cl₂ solution. b) Cyclic voltammograms of **b1**, [(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)], and their mixtures in CH₂Cl₂-CH₃CN (2:1). c(**b1**) was 0.0027 M when alone. In all other samples, c[(bpy)Cu(C₆F₅)] was 0.0027 M and c(**b1**) was adjusted according to the given ratio. Conditions: Bu₄NBF₄ (0.03 M), glassy carbon disk as working electrode, Pt wire as counter electrode, Ag|AgCl, KCl(aq) as reference electrode (calibrated with Fc+/Fc). The scan rate: 100 mV/s.

Scheme 2. Mechanistic investigations.

Based on these results, we propose a plausible mechanism (Scheme 3). The reaction starts with the reductive quenching of the excited 4CzIPN by the [LCu^I(Ar_F)₂] species i to form the reduced 4CzIPN and a LCu^{II}(Ar_F)₂ species (j). The radical anion of 4CzIPN then reduces the NHPI ester a to give an alkyl radical after decarboxylation. The alkyl radical is captured by j to form a

formal Cu^{III} intermediate \mathbf{k} , which undergoes reductive elimination to give the coupling product and a LCu^I(Ar_F) species \mathbf{l} . Although there is no precedent for a polyfluoroaryl alkyl reductive elimination from such a Cu^{III} complex, reductive elimination from an analogous [(bpy)Cu(CF₃)₂(CH₃)] was reported to form CF₃CH₃ and [(bpy)Cu(CF₃)].²³ On the other hand, an out-sphere -Ar_F transfer process (in dash square) could not be ruled out. [LCu^I(Ar_F)] undergoes transmetallation with the Zn-Ar_F reagent to regenerate \mathbf{i} and closes the catalytic cycle.

Scheme 3. The proposed mechanism.

In summary, we have developed a dual photo- and Cucatalyzed method for the decarboxylative coupling of aliphatic

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

acids with polyfluoroaryl zinc reagents. This method allows the installation of polyfluoroaryls with variable F-content and F-substitution patterns on a primary or secondary alkyl group, with good compatibility of functional groups. Our strategy might be extended to the coupling of Zn-Ar_F reagents with alkyl radicals generated by other methods, leading to new methods in polyfluoroarylation.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation through the project 200021_181977, and NCCR Catalysis, a National Centre of Competence in Research funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation. We thank Prof. Jérôme Waser and Stephanie Amos (EPFL) for providing the derivatives of 4CzIPN for the optimizations of photocatalysts.

Keywords: aliphatic acid • polyfluoroarylation • copper • photocatalysis • reaction mechanisms

- [1] a) R. Berger, G. Resnati, P. Metrangolo, E. Weber, J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2011, 40, 3496-3508; b) D. M. Dalton, A. K. Rappe, T. Rovis, Chem. Sci. 2013, 4, 2062-2070; c) M. Giese, M. Albrecht, A. Valkonen, K. Rissanen, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 354-359.
- a) E. P. Gillis, K. J. Eastman, M. D. Hill, D. J. Donnelly, N. A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 8315-8359; b) N. A. Meanwell, J. Med. Chem. 2018, 61, 5822-5880; c) Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. N. Gu, S. N. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Acena, V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa, H. Liu, Chem. Rev. 2016, 116, 422-518.
- [3] a) K. Reichenbacher, H. I. Suss, J. Hulliger, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2005, 34, 22-30; b) E. A. Meyer, R. K. Castellano, F. Diederich, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 1210-1250.
- [4] a) G. M. Brooke, J. Fluorine Chem. 1997, 86, 1-76; b) X. H. Li, B. Fu, Q. Zhang, X. P. Yuan, Q. Zhang, T. Xiong, Q. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2020, 59, 23056-23060; c) W. Lu, J. Gao, J. K. Yang, L. Liu, Y. L. Zhao, H. C. Wu, Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 1934-1939; d) Y. Q. Sun, H. J. Sun, J. Jia, A. Q. Du, X. Y. Li, Organometallics 2014, 33, 1079-1081.
- a) A. Arora, J. D. Weaver, Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2273-2283; b) A.
 Dewanji, R. F. Bulow, M. Rueping, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 1611-1617; c) A.
 Singh, J. J. Kubik, J. D. Weaver, Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 7206-7212.
- [6] X. Sun, T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2021, 60, 10557-10562.
- a) T. Ahrens, J. Kohlmann, M. Ahrens, T. Braun, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 931-972; b) H. Amii, K. Uneyama, Chem. Rev. 2009, 109, 2119-2183; c)
 O. Eisenstein, J. Milani, R. N. Perutz, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 8710-8753; d)
 J. Weaver, S. Senaweera, Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 7413-7428.
- a) H. Q. Do, O. Daugulis, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 1128-1129; b)
 M. Lafrance, C. N. Rowley, T. K. Woo, K. Fagnou, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 8754-8756; c)
 Y. Nakamura, N. Yoshikai, L. Ilies, E. Nakamura, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3316-3319.
- [9] a) K. S. Kanyiva, N. Kashihara, Y. Nakao, T. Hiyama, M. Ohashi, S. Ogoshi, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, *39*, 10483-10494; b) Z. M. Sun, J. Zhang, R. S. Manan, P. J. Zhao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 6935-6937; c) .
 G. Zhang, S. L. Fan, C. Y. He, X. L. Wan, Q. Q. Min, J. Yang, Z. X. Jiang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, *132*, 4506-4507.
- [10] Y. Wei, H. Q. Zhao, J. Kan, W. P. Su, M. C. Hong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2522-2523.
- [11] a) S. L. Fan, C. Y. He, X. G. Zhang, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4926-4928; b) H. Q. Guo, F. Z. Kong, K. Kanno, J. J. He, K. Nakajima, T. Takahashi, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2045-2048; c) S. Xu, G. J. Wu, F. Ye, X. Wang, H. Li, X. Zhao, Y. Zhang, J. B. Wang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4669-4672.
- [12] a) A. C. Albeniz, P. Espinet, B. Martin-Ruiz, Chem.-Eur. J. 2001, 7, 2481-2489; b) S. L. Fan, F. Chen, X. G. Zhang, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011,

- 50, 5918-5923; c) T. Yao, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, M. Miura, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 2990-2994.
- [13] a) W. Xie, J. Heo, D. Kim, S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 7487-7496; b) W. L. Xie, D. Kim, S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 20588-20593.
- [14] a) A. C. Albeniz, P. Espinet, B. Martin-Ruiz, D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 11504-11505; b) E. Clot, C. Megret, O. Eisenstein, R. N. Perutz, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7817-7827.
- [15] a R. C. McAtee, E. J. McClain, C. R. J. Stephenson, *Trends Chem.* 2019, 1, 111-125; b) C. K. Prier, D. A. Rankic, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Chem. Rev.* 2013, 113, 5322-5363; c) J. Twilton, C. Le, P. Zhang, M. H. Shaw, R. W. Evans, D. W. C. MacMillan, *Nat. Rev. Chem.* 2017, 1, 1-18. d) R. Z. Mao, A. Frey, J. Balon, X. L. Hu, *Nat. Catal.* 2018, 1, 120-126.
- [16] a) P. L. Coe, R. Stephens, J. C. Tatlow, J. Chem. Soc. 1962, 3227-3231;
 b) R. J. Harper, C. Tamborski, E. J. Soloski, J. Org. Chem. 1964, 29, 2385-2389.
- [17] A. S. Vinogradov, V. I. Krasnov, V. E. Platonov, Russ. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 44, 95-102.
- [18] a) K. M. M. Huihui, J. A. Caputo, Z. Melchor, A. M. Olivares, A. M. Spiewak, K. A. Johnson, T. A. DiBenedetto, S. Kim, L. K. G. Ackerman, D. J. Weix, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5016-5019; b) T. Qin, J. Cornella, C. Li, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, S. Kawamura, B. D. Maxwell, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Science 2016, 352, 801-805. c) F. Toriyama, J. Cornella, L. Wimmer, T. G. Chen, D. D. Dixon, G. Creech, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11132-11135; d) X. J. Zeng, W. H. Yan, S. B. Zacate, T. H. Chao, X. D. Sun, Z. Cao, K. G. E. Bradford, M. Paeth, S. B. Tyndall, K. D. Yang, T. C. Kuo, M. J. Cheng, W. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 11398-11403.
- [19] a) T. Y. Shang, L. H. Lu, Z. Cao, Y. Liu, W. M. He, B. Yu, Chem. Commun.
 2019, 55, 5408-5419; b) G. Barzano, R. Z. Mao, M. Garreau, J. Waser,
 X. L. Hu, Org. Lett. 2020, 22, 5412-5416. c) F. Le Vaillant, M. Garreau,
 S. Nicolai, G. Gryn'ova, C. Corminboeuf, J. Waser, Chem. Sci. 2018, 9,
 5883-5889
- [20] M. K. Lakshman, P. K. Vuram, Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 5845-5888.
- [21] a) N. Miyaura, A. Suzuki, Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457-2483; b) R. Y. Shi,
 Z. K. Zhang, X. L. Hu, Acc. Chem. Res. 2019, 52, 1471-1483.
- [22] A. O. Miller, V. I. Krasnov, D. Peters, V. E. Platonov, R. Miethchen, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 3817-3819.
- [23] a) S. S. Liu, H. Liu, S. H. Liu, Z. H. Lu, C. H. Lu, X. B. Leng, Y. Lan, Q. L. Shen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2020, 142, 9785-9791; b) X. Q. Tan, Z. L. Liu, H. G. Shen, P. Zhang, Z. Z. Zhang, C. Z. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 12430-12433.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents

Decarboxylative coupling of aliphatic N-hydroxyphthalimide esters with polyfluoroaryl zinc reagents was achieved by dual photoredox and copper catalysis. This method allows the installation of alkyl groups on polyfluoroaryls with a wide range of F-content (2F -5F) and variable F-substitution patterns.

