## On the Synthesis of Bioisosters of *O*-Benzothiazolyloxybenzoic Acids and Evaluation as Aldose Reductase Inhibitors

#### Dietmar Rakowitz, Patric Muigg, Nicole Schröder, Barbara Matuszczak

Institute of Pharmacy, University of Innsbruck, Innsbruck, Austria

In continuation of our attempts to develop novel aldose reductase inhibitors (ARIs), a number of compounds characterized by bioisosteric replacement of pharmacophors were prepared. On the one hand, the acidic function was formally replaced by an oxime or a nitro group and on the other hand the lipophilic substituent was modified. The results of the biological evaluation of these derivatives enabled us to gain insight into structural features critical for the aldose reductase inhibition.

Keywords: Aldose reductase inhibitors; Enzyme inhibitors; Bioisosteric replacement; Diabetic complications Received: April 12, 2005; Accepted: May 27, 2005

## Introduction

Aldose reductase (EC 1.1.1.21, ALR 2) is a member of the NADPH-dependent aldo-keto reductase family which represents a super family of monomeric oxidoreductases. ALR 2 is the first and rate-limiting enzyme in the polyol pathway and catalyzes the reduction of glucose to sorbitol with the associated oxidation of NADPH to NADP<sup>+</sup>. A number of studies have suggested a correlation between the increased polyol pathway activity and the occurrence of chronic diabetic complications. Inhibiting aldose reductase and thus preventing the entry of glucose in the polyol pathway can decrease the damaging effects of late-onset diabetic complications such as neuropathy, nephropathy, retinopathy, and cataracts [1].

In several clinical studies the effects of aldose reductase inhibitors (ARIs), most notably Sorbinil, Tolrestat, Zopolrestat, and Zenarestat were demonstrated. However, these inhibitors were withdrawn from clinical trials due to lack of high efficacy or toxicity. To date, the only drug launched on the market is Epalrestat [2]. Another drug, AS-3201, has recently entered phase III trials to study safety and efficacy in the treatment of diabetic sensorimotor polyneuropathy [3].

ARIs primarily contain either a carboxylic acid or an ionisable hydantoin group suggesting that both can interact in a similar manner with the cationic site of the enzyme. Moreover, the potent inhibitors are characterized by a 5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazol-2-yl (*e.g.* Zopolrestat) or a 4-bromo-2fluorobenzyl residue (*e.g.* AS-3201, Minalrestat, and Zenarestat, see Figure 1). The X-ray structure of aldose reductase in complex with various inhibitors has indicated the presence of a hydrophobic pocket ('specificity pocket') in the target enzyme particularly suited for the above mentioned substituents [4-8]. Furthermore, the latter subunit was found to be effective for selectivity (*i.e.* differentiation between ALR 2 and the closely related enzyme aldehyde reductase) [1].

 $\begin{array}{ccc} & & & \\$ 



419

**Correspondence**: Barbara Matuszczak, Leopold-Franzens-Universität, Institute of Pharmacy, Innrain 52a, Innsbruck A-6020, Austria. Phone: +43 512 507-5262, Fax: +43 512 507-2940, e-mail: barbara.matuszczak@uibk.ac.at



Figure 2. General structure of compounds of type I.

Recently, we have reported the synthesis and aldose-reductase inhibition of a variety of benzothiazolyloxy substituted benzoic acid derivatives (*i.e.* compounds of type I with n = 0, see Figure 2). In this series, we have found that an acidic moiety is necessary for enzyme inhibition. However, no significant influence could be observed concerning the position of the benzothiazolyloxy moiety at the benzoic acid core. Furthermore, neither an additional substituent in the benzene ring (*e.g.* hydroxy, methoxy, or carboxylic acid) nor in the benzothiazolyl ring (*e.g.* 5-trifluoromethyl) showed any effect [9].

In the course of our ongoing studies devoted to the development of novel aldose reductase inhibitors, we now focused our attention on derivatives characterized by bioisosteric replacement of the carboxylic acid function by an oxime group. In order to investigate this structural modification on enzyme inhibition, only selected examples were prepared since in the series of benzoic acid derivatives the position of the benzothiazolyloxy moiety exhibited no influence on biological activity.

## **Results and discussion**

The target oximes 2a/b were prepared starting from the appropriate hydroxybenzaldehyde by heteroarylation followed by treatment with hydroxylamine hydrochloride in the presence of sodium acetate (Scheme 1). According to TLC and <sup>1</sup>H-NMR spectroscopy, in both cases only one product was isolated which could be determined as the *E*-isomer by means of NOE difference spectroscopy. Inhibitory activities of these compounds were evaluated in a spectrometric assay with D,L-glyceraldehyde as the substrate and NADPH as the cofactor.

According to the results obtained (Table 1), compounds 2a/b can be considered as aldose reductase inhibitors  $(IC_{50} = 44.9 \ \mu M \text{ and } 38\% \text{ at } 50 \ \mu M, \text{ respectively})$ . In contrast to the findings for the substituted benzoic acid derivatives [9], in this class the substitution pattern possess an influence on the biological activity. Moreover, considering the bioisosteric potential, the results reveal that formal replacement of the carboxylic acid group by an oxime function potentiates the aldose reductase inhibition from 36% at 117 µM for 3-[(5'-trifluoromethylbenzothiazol-2'-yl)oxy]benzoic acid 9 [9] to an IC<sub>50</sub> value of 44.9  $\mu$ M for 2a. Whereas it is well demonstrated that an acidic moiety is essential for interaction of the inhibitor with the aldose reductase, these results surprisingly demonstrate that there is no correlation between the enzyme inhibition and the strength of acidity. Thus, we assume that the enhancement of the biological activity results from steric effects. This explanation is supported by results obtained from compounds of type I with n > 0 and  $R^3 = H$  which will be presented in a subsequent paper.





(i): 1) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in dry DMF, 2) 2-chloro-5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazole, rt. or: 1) K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> in dry DMF, 2) (substituted) 4-bromobenzylbromide, rt.; (ii): NH<sub>2</sub>OH·HCl, CH<sub>3</sub>COONa in dry EtOH, rt.; (iii): 1) 2N NaOH in EtOH, rt.; 2) HCl.

421

Table 1. Biological data.

			4		
Compound	R	OR'	Position	<b>R</b> ″	Enzyme inhibition at concentration or IC <sub>50</sub> value (95% CL)
2a	CH(=NOH)		3	Н	44.9 μM (33.5-60.2)
2b	CH(=NOH)		4	Н	$38\%$ at 50 $\mu M$
3	$NO_2$		3	Н	26% at 50 μM
5a	СООН	-O Br	2	Н	$0\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5b	СООН	-O Br	3	Н	$7\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5c	СООН	-O Br	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	$0\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5d	СООН	-O Br	3	5-COOH	$30\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5e	СООН	-O	2	Н	$0\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5f	СООН	-O Br	3	Н	$3\%$ at 100 $\mu M$
5g	СООН	-O Br	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	26% at 100 µM
5h	СООН	-O Br	3	5-COOH	26% at 100 µM
7a	CH(=NOH)	-O Br	3	Н	$40\%$ at 50 $\mu M$
7b	CH(=NOH)	-O Br	4	Н	$46\%$ at 50 $\mu M$
8	NO <sub>2</sub>	-O F Br	3	Н	31.9 µM (28.8-35.2)
<b>9</b> [9]	СООН		3	Н	$36\%$ at 117 $\mu M$
	Sorbinil (used as	the reference)			1.2 µM (0.8–1.6)

In order to get further insight into structural features critical for aldose reductase inhibition, compound **3** became an object of interest, too. This target compound is characterized by bioisosteric replacement of the carboxylate anion of the deprotonated 9 by a nitro function. Despite the lack of an acidic function, such a compound should interact directly with the cationic site of the enzyme. This consideration may be supported by molecular docking experiments

recently published by Rastelli et al. [10]. The desired **3** was prepared by reaction of 3-nitrophenol with 2-chloro-5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazole in the presence of potassium carbonate in dry *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide (Scheme 1). Quantitatively, this structural modification does not result in a substantial enhancement of enzyme inhibition (26% at 50  $\mu$ M *versus* 36% at 117  $\mu$ M for **9**).

It is well known from the literature that introduction of a (substituted) benzothiazolyl or a (substituted) benzyl moiety leads to increased aldose reductase inhibition [1, 2]. Besides, we became interested in formal replacement of the lipophilic residue. This structural modification was planed for the oximes 2a/b, the nitro analogue 3, and for some of the recently published benzoic acid derivatives [9].

The derivatives with substituted benzyloxy subunit became accessible by reaction of the appropriate phenols (methyl hydroxybenzoates, hydroxybenzaldehydes, or 3-nitrophenol, respectively) with 4-bromobenzylbromide or 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzylbromide in the presence of base. Subsequently, alkaline hydrolysis of the benzoic acid derivatives 4a-h or treatment of the aldehydes 6a/b with hydroxylamine led to our desired compounds 5a-h and 7a/b, respectively (Scheme 1). The structures of these novel compounds were confirmed by elemental analyses, IR, and NMR spectroscopy as well as MS data.

In the class of benzoic acids, the formal exchange of the benzothiazolyl substituent turned out not to be beneficial. Almost no change of enzyme inhibition was found for the derivatives with isophthalic acid subunit (**5d** and **5h**) as well as for **5g**, however, (nearly) complete loss of the aldose reductase inhibitory activity (at 100  $\mu$ M) resulted in all other cases. Moreover, in the case of the oximes, this modification was found to be detrimental (3-substituted) or did not lead to a significant change in activity (4-substituted). On the other hand, starting from 3-(5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazol-2-yloxy)nitrobenzene **3** formal replacement of the heteroaryl subunit by 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzyl resulted in a remarkable

increase in activity (26% at 50  $\mu$ M versus IC<sub>50</sub> value of 31.9  $\mu$ M for 8).

## Conclusion

In continuation of our attempts to develop aldose reductase inhibitors, a number of bioisosters of recently reported benzothiazolyloxy-substituted benzoic acids were synthesized and tested for their biological activity. The findings described above allowed us to gain knowledge of structural features critical for the enzyme inhibition. Based on these results, we intend to expand the modifications within this class of compounds.

## **Experimental**

#### Chemistry

Melting points were determined with a Kofler hot-stage microscope (Reichert, Vienna; Austria) and are uncorrected. Infrared spectra (KBr pellets) were recorded on a Mattson Galaxy Series FTIR 3000 spectrophotometer (Mattson, Instruments, Inc., Madison, WI, USA). Mass spectra were obtained on a Finnigan MAT SSQ 7000 spectrometer (EI, 70 eV or CI, 200 eV, reactant gas: methane) (Thermo Electron. Corporation, Bremen, Germany). All NMR spectra were recorded in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> or CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution in 5 mm tubes at 30 °C on a Varian Gemini 200 spectrometer (199.98 MHz for <sup>1</sup>H; Varian Inc., Palo Alto, CA, USA) with the deuterium signal of the solvent as the lock and TMS as internal standard. Chemical shifts are expressed in parts per million. Reactions were monitored by TLC using Polygram<sup>®</sup> SIL G/UV<sub>254</sub> (Macherey-Nagel, Düren, Germany) plastic-backed plates (0.25 mm layer thickness). The yields given are not optimized. Light petroleum refers to the fraction of bp. 40-60 °C. Elemental analyses were performed by Mag. J. Theiner, 'Mikroanalytisches Laboratorium', Faculty of Chemistry, University of Vienna, Austria.

2-Chloro-5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazol was readily available by reaction of 2-chloro-5-trifluoromethylaniline with carbon disulfide in the presence of sodium hydride to give 5-trifluoromethyl-2-mercaptobenzothiazol [11], which was subsequently chlorinated with sulfuryl chloride in analogy to literature [12]. 4-Bromo-2-fluorobenzylbromide was synthesized by radical bromination of 4-bromo-

R	$\mathbf{R}'$	Equivalents							
		batch size	reaction condition	hydroxy derivative	<b>R'-X</b>	base			
СНО	5-CF <sub>3</sub> -benzothiazol-2-yl 4-Br-2-F-benzyl	8.19 mmol 2.05 mmol	50 °C rt.	1.1	1.0	2.2			
NO <sub>2</sub>	5-CF <sub>3</sub> -benzothiazol-2-yl 4-Br-2-F-benzyl	1.80 mmol 0.72 mmol	rt. rt.	1.1	1.0	2.2			
COOCH <sub>3</sub>	4-Br-benzyl 4-Br-2-F-benzyl	4.00 mmol 1.87 mmol	rt. rt.	2.0	1.0	4.0			

Table 2. General procedure data for *O*-substitution (compounds 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8).

rt.; room temperature

423

Table 3. Data of compounds 1-8.



Compound	R	<b>R</b> ′†	Position of OR'	R″	Solvent <sup>‡</sup> Yield Mp.	Formula <sup>§</sup> MS	Spectroscopic Data <sup>\$</sup>
1a	СНО	A	3	Н	DIPE 62% 92-94°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>8</sub> F <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> S ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 323 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1697 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 10.06 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.00–7.99 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.95–7.93 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.88–7.81 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.71–7.65 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.58–7.53 (m,
1b	СНО	А	4	Н	DIPE 56% 93-95°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>8</sub> F <sub>3</sub> NO <sub>2</sub> S ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 323 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IH, ArH) IR 1695 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 10.05 (s, 1H, CHO), 8.05–7.98 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.85 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.63–7.55 (m, 3H, ArH)
2a	CH(=NOH)	Α	3	Η	Et <sub>2</sub> O/LP 24% 153–155°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>9</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 339 (M+1 <sup>+</sup> )	IR 3178 cm <sup>-1</sup> (OH), 1616 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=N) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.15 (s, 1H, CH), 8.00 (s, 1H, OH), 7.80 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.65 ("d", $J = 1.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.55–7.37 (m, 5H, ArH)
2b	CH(=NOH)	Α	4	Η	DIPE 41% 142-144°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>9</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> S· 0.1 DIPE ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 339 (M+1 <sup>+</sup> )	IR 3266 cm <sup>-1</sup> (OH), 1614 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=N) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.16 (s, 1H, CH), 8.00 (br s, 1H, OH), 7.81 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.73-7.66 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.54 (dd, $J$ = 1.8 Hz, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.45-7.38 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.36 (s, 1H, ArH)
3	NO <sub>2</sub>	Α	3	Η	DIPE/LP 47% 142-144°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>7</sub> F <sub>3</sub> N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> S ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 340 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1523 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C-NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.35–8.33 (m, 1H, ArH), 8.24–8.18 (m, 1H, ArH), 8.01–7.99 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.86 (d, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.82–7.76 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.71–7.63 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.58 (dd, $J =$ 1.8 Hz, $J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, ArH)
4a	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	В	2	Н	DIPE 59% 70-71°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>13</sub> BrO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 320 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1718 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.83 (dd, $J$ = 1.8 Hz, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.54-7.36 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.05-6.96 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.13 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> )
4b	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	В	3	Н	DIPE 95% 75-78°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>13</sub> BrO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 320 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1716 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.68–7.62 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.55–7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.39–7.29 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.17–7.11 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.06 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.91 (s, 3H, OCH)
4c	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	В	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	DIPE/EA 95% 113-115°C	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>15</sub> BrO <sub>4</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 350 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1700 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.61 (dd, $J$ = 2.0 Hz, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.57 (d, $J$ = 2.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.52–7.44 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.33–7.26 (m, 2H, ArH), 6.86 (d, $J$ = 8.3 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.93 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>2</sub> )
4d	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	В	3	5-COOCH <sub>3</sub>	DIPE/EA 88% 124-128°C	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>15</sub> BrO <sub>5</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 378 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1725 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.31–8.29 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.81 (d, $J = 1.6$ Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.55–7.51 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.34–7.30 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.10 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.94 (s, 6H, 2 × OCH <sub>3</sub> )

 Table 3. (continued).



Compound	R	R'†	Position of OR'	R″	Solvent <sup>‡</sup> Yield Mp.	Formula <sup>§</sup> MS	Spectroscopic Data <sup>S</sup>
4e	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	С	2	Н	DIPE 95% 72-74°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> BrFO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 338 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1720 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.84 (dd, $J = 1.7$ Hz, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.66–7.24 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.07–7.00 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.18 (s, 2H, CH) = 3.90 (s, 3H, OCH)
4f	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	С	3	Н	DIPE 99% 102–104°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> BrFO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 338 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1716 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.69–7.64 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.44–7.26 (m, 4H, ArH), 7.18–7.11 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.12 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ) 3.92 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>2</sub> )
4g	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	С	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	DIPE 96% 108-115°C	C <sub>16</sub> H <sub>14</sub> BrFO <sub>4</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 368 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1716 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.63 (dd, $J = 1.7$ Hz, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.57 (d, $J = 1.7Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.44–7.26 (m, 3H, ArH),6.90 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.20 (s,2H, CH2), 3.93 (s, 3H, OCH3), 3.89 (s,3H, OCH3)$
4h	COOCH <sub>3</sub>	С	3	5-COOCH <sub>3</sub>	DIPE/EA 95% 125-129°C	C <sub>17</sub> H <sub>14</sub> BrFO <sub>5</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 396 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1725 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.32–8.31 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.83 (d, $J$ = 1.4 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.44–7.28 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.94 (s, 6H, 2 × OCH <sub>3</sub> )
5a	СООН	В	2	Н	DIPE 94% 116-117°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>11</sub> BrO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 306 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1700 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.64 (dd, $J = 1.8$ Hz, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.60–7.55 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.51–7.43 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.16 (d, $J = 7.6$ Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.04–6.96 (m,
5b	СООН	В	3	Н	DIPE/EA 96% 182-183°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>11</sub> BrO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 306 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR, AIH), 5.17 (8, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ) IR 1685 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.61–7.37 (m, 7H, ArH), 7.27–7.22 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.14 (c, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
5c	СООН	В	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	THF/EA 94% 224-225°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>13</sub> BrO <sub>4</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 336 (M <sup>+</sup> )	(5, 211, C112) IR 1684 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.61–7.38 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.10 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5 14 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ) 3 80 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>2</sub> )
5d	СООН	В	3	5-COOH	THF/EA 97% 114–116°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>11</sub> BrO <sub>5</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 350 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1685 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.09–8.07 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.72 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.61–7.57 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.45–7.41 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.22 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
5e	СООН	С	2	Н	DIPE 89% 135–137°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrFO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 324 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1702 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.68–7.43 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.21 (d, $J$ = 8.0 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.07–7.00 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.19 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
5f	СООН	С	3	Н	DIPE 90% 155–157°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrFO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 324 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1685 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.63–7.38 (m, 6H, ArH), 7.29–7.23 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.17 (s. 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
5g	СООН	С	4	3-OCH <sub>3</sub>	DIPE/EA 98% 194–196°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>12</sub> BrFO <sub>4</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 354 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1685 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.63–7.44 (m, 5H, ArH), 7.16 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, ArH), 5.16 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> ), 3.79 (s, 3H, OCH <sub>3</sub> )

425

Table 3. (continued).



Compound	R	<b>R</b> ′†	Position of OR'	<b>R</b> ″	Solvent <sup>‡</sup> Yield Mp.	Formula <sup>§</sup> MS	Spectroscopic Data <sup>s</sup>
5h	СООН	С	3	5-COOH	DIPE/EA 98% 292-300°C	C <sub>15</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrFO <sub>5</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 368 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1698 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (DMSO-d <sub>6</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.11–8.09 (m, 1H, ArH), 7.73 (d, $J$ = 1.2 Hz, 2H, ArH), 7.64–7.44 (m, 3H, ArH), 5.25 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
6a	СНО	С	3	Н	DIPE 43% 72-74°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrFO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 308 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1702 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 9.99 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.53–7.21 (m, 7H, ArH), 5.14 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
6b	СНО	С	4	Н	DIPE 73–77°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>10</sub> BrFO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 308 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1689 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=O) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 9.90 (s, 1H, CHO), 7.89–7.82 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.42–7.29 (m, 3H, ArH), 7.11–7.04 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.16 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
7a	CH(=NOH)	С	3	Η	DIPE/LP 45% 107-110°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>11</sub> BrFNO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 324 (M+1 <sup>+</sup> )	IR 3201 cm <sup>-1</sup> (OH), 1604 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=N) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.10 (s, 1H, CH), 7.44–7.22 (m, 6H, ArH, OH), 7.16 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, ArH), 7.02–6.96 (m, 1H, ArH), 5.10 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
7b	CH(=NOH)	С	4	Н	DIPE/LP 36% 93-95°C	C <sub>14</sub> H <sub>11</sub> BrFNO <sub>2</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 324 (M+1 <sup>+</sup> )	IR 3251 cm <sup>-1</sup> (OH), 1604 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C=N) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 8.08 (s, 1H, CH), 7.56–7.49 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.42–7.26 (m, 4H, ArH, OH), 7.00–6.93 (m, 2H, ArH), 5.10 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )
8	NO <sub>2</sub>	С	3	Н	DIPE 21% 69-70°C	C <sub>13</sub> H <sub>9</sub> BrFNO <sub>3</sub> ( <i>m</i> / <i>z</i> ) 325 (M <sup>+</sup> )	IR 1533 cm <sup>-1</sup> (C-NO <sub>2</sub> ) <sup>1</sup> H-NMR (CDCl <sub>3</sub> ) $\delta$ 7.90–7.82 (m, 2H, ArH), 7.50–7.30 (m, 5H, ArH), 5.15 (s, 2H, CH <sub>2</sub> )

<sup>†</sup> The following abbreviations are used: A: 5-trifluoromethylbenzothiazol-2-yl; B: 4-bromobenzyl; C: 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzyl.

<sup>‡</sup> DIPE: diisopropyl ether; LP: light petroleum; EA: ethyl acetate; THF: tetrahydrofurane.

 $^{\$}$  All compounds tested and the carboxylic esters of 4 were analyzed for C, H, N. Analytical results obtained for these elements were within  $\pm 0.4\%$  of the theoretical values.

<sup>§</sup> In the NMR spectra of compounds 5 (in DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>) no signal could be detected for the carboxylic acid proton(s).

2-fluorotoluene with N-bromosuccinimide and a catalytic amount of azobisisobutyronitrile in CCl<sub>4</sub> as described in the literature [13].

and evaporated to dryness. The residue thus obtained was purified by recrystallization (Table 3).

# General procedure for the O-substitution to prepare compounds of type 1, 3, 4, 6, and 8

Powdered potassium carbonate was added to a solution of the hydroxy derivative in dry *N*,*N*-dimethylformamide under an atmosphere of nitrogen. After stirring for 30 minutes at room temperature, the appropriate ar(alk)yl halide (2-chloro-5-trifluoromethylbenzo-thiazole, 4-bromobenzylbromide, or 4-bromo-2-fluorobenzylbromide) was added and stirring was continued until TLC indicated no further conversion (further information, Table 2). Then, the mixture was poured into cold 2N HCl and the product was extracted exhaustively with diethyl ether. The organic layer was washed with 2N NaOH, water, and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate

#### General procedure for the synthesis of the oximes 2a/b and 7a/b

A solution of one equivalent of the appropriate aldehyde derivative (**1a/b**: 3.71 mmol, **6a/b**: 0.81 mmol) in dry ethanol was treated with three equivalents of hydroxylamine hydrochloride and four equivalents of sodium acetate and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature until TLC indicated no further conversion. Then, the solvent was removed *in vacuo* and the residue was treated with a small amount of water. After neutralisation, the aqueous phase was then washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The resulting product was purified by recrystallization (Table 3).

#### General procedure for the synthesis of the carboxylic acids of type 5

A solution of the appropriate ester 4 (0.76-1.56 mmol) in ethanol was treated with 2N NaOH (1.1 equivalents) and stirred overnight at room temperature. The solvent was then evaporated, the residue treated with a small amount of water, and the pH adjusted to 5 with 2N HCl. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate, the organic layer washed with water and brine, dried over anhydrous sodium sulfate, and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The crystals thus obtained were purified by recrystallization (Table 3).

#### Aldose reductase inhibitory assay

NADPH, D,L glyceraldehyde, and dithiothreitol (DTT) were purchased from Sigma Chemical Co. (Sigma, Vienna, Austria) DEAEcellulose (DE-52) was obtained from Whatman (Whatman International, Ltd., Maidstone, UK). Sorbinil was a gift from Prof. Dr. Luca Costantino, University of Modena (Italy) and was used as standard [IC<sub>50</sub> =  $1.2 (\pm 0.4) \mu$ M]. All other chemicals were commercial samples of good grade. Calf lenses for the isolation of ALR 2 were obtained locally from freshly slaughtered animals. The enzyme was purified by a chromatographic procedure as previously described [14]. Briefly, ALR 2 was released by carving the capsule and the frozen lenses were suspended in potassium phosphate buffer pH 7 containing 5 mM DTT and stirred in an ice-cold bath for two hours. The suspension was centrifuged at 4000 rpm at 4°C for 30 minutes and the supernatant was subjected to ion exchange chromatography on DE-52. Enzyme activity was assayed spectrophotometrically on a Cecil Super Aurius CE 3041 spectrophotometer (Cecil Instruments, Inc., Cambridge, UK) by measuring the decrease in absorption of NADPH at 340 nm which accompanies the oxidation of NADPH catalyzed by ALR 2. The assay was performed at 37 °C in a reaction mixture containing 0.25 M potassium phosphate buffer, pH 6.8, 0.38 M ammonium sulfate, 0.11 mM NADPH, and 4.7 mM D,L-glyceraldehyde as substrate in a final volume of 1.5 mL. All inhibitors were dissolved in DMSO. The final concentration of DMSO in the reaction mixture was 1%. To correct for the nonenzymatic oxidation of NADPH, the rate of NADPH oxidation in the presence of all the components except the substrate was subtracted from each experimental rate. Each dose-effect curve was generated using at least three concentrations of inhibitor causing an inhibition between 20 and 80%. Each concentration was tested in duplicate and IC<sub>50</sub> values as well as the 95% confidence limits (95% CL) were obtained by using CalcuSyn software [15] for dose effect analysis.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge 'Metzgerei Otto Steiner', Stans/Tirol (Austria) for providing calf lenses.

#### References

- L. Costantino, G. Rastelli, G. Cignarella, P. Vianello, D. Barlocco, *Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents* 1997, 7, 843–858.
- [2] S. Miyamoto, Exp. Opin. Ther. Patents 2002, 12, 621-631.
- [3] National Institutes of Health, Study ID Number: AS-3201-253, ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT00101426; http://clinicaltrials.gov/show/NCT00101426.
- [4] D. K. Wilson, I. Tarle, J. M. Petrash, F. A. Quiocho, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1993, 90, 9847–9851.
- [5] A. Urzhumtsev, F. Tete-Favier, A. Mitschler, J. Barbanton, P. Barth, L. Urzhumtseva, J.-F. Biellmann, A. D. Podjarny, D. Moras, *Structure (London)* 1997, 5, 601–612.
- [6] T. Kinoshita, H. Miyake, T. Fujii, S. Takakura, T. Goto, *Acta Cryst.* 2002, D58, 622–626.
- [7] E. I. Howard, R. Sanishvili, R. E. Cachau, A. Mitschler, B. Chevrier, P. Barth, V. Lamour, M. Van Zandt, E. Sibley, C. Bon, D. Moras, T. R. Schneider, A. Joachimiak, A. Podjarny, *Proteins* **2004**, *55*, 792–804.
- [8] A. Podjarny, R. E. Cachau, T. Schneider, M. Van Zandt, A. Joachimiak, Cell. Mol. Life Sci. 2004, 61, 763–773.
- [9] D. Rakowitz, B. Hennig, M. Nagano, S. Steger, L. Costantino, B. Matuszczak, Arch. Pharm. Chem. Life Sci., in press.
- [10] G. Rastelli, A. M. Ferrari, L. Costantino, M. C. Gamberini, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2002, 10, 1437–1450.
- [11] J. Easmon, G. Heinisch, J. Hofmann, T. Langer, H. H. Grunicke, J. Fink, G. Pürstinger, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 1997, 32, 397–408.
- [12] N. S. Moon (Eastman Kodak Co.), US 2469697. 1949 [Chem. Abstr. 1949, 43, 6670c].
- [13] M. L. Quan, A. T. Chiu, C. D. Ellis, P. C. Wong, R. R. Wexler, P. B. M. W. M. Timmermans, J. Med. Chem. 1995, 38, 2938–2945.
- [14] L. Costantino, G. Rastelli, K. Vescovini, G. Cignarella, P. Vianello, A. Del Corso, M. Cappiello, U. Mura, D. Barlocco, J. Med. Chem. 1996, 39, 4396–4405.
- [15] T.-C. Chou, M. P. Hayball, CalcuSyn software version 1.1.1., Biosoft, Cambridge, UK, 1996.