

Ligand-Controlled Regiodivergence in the Copper-Catalyzed [2,3]- and [1,2]-Rearrangements of Iodonium Ylides

Bin Xu, and Uttam K Tambar

J. Am. Chem. Soc., **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b08624 • Publication Date (Web): 07 Sep 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on September 7, 2016

Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.

Ligand-Controlled Regiodivergence in the Copper-Catalyzed [2,3]- and [1,2]-Rearrangements of Iodonium Ylides

Bin Xu and Uttam K. Tambar*

Department of Biochemistry, The University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center at Dallas, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas 75390-9038, United States


Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: Despite the importance of allylic ylide rearrangements for the synthesis of complex molecules, the catalyst control of [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements remains an unsolved problem. We developed the first regiodivergent [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides that are controlled by copper catalysts bearing different ligands. In the presence of a 2,2'-dipyridyl ligand, diazoesters and allylic iodides react via a [2,3]-rearrangement pathway. Alternatively, a phosphine ligand favors the formation of the [1,2]-rearrangement product. A series of α -iodoesters containing a broad range of functional groups were obtained in high yields, regioselectivities, and diastereoselectivities. Deuterium-labeling studies suggest distinct mechanisms for the regioselective rearrangements.

Sigmatropic rearrangements of ylides represent a powerful set of reactions for the synthesis of complex and valuable products.¹ Unfortunately a challenge remains, since this class of substrates can often access multiple products via divergent reaction pathways. For example allylic ylides undergo [2,3]- or [1,2]-rearrangements depending on the sterics and electronics of the substrate (Scheme 1a).² To the best of our knowledge, there are no ligand-controlled catalytic methods for selecting one pathway over another,³ which may be attributed to the high reactivity of allylic ylides and the lack of any models for catalyst control over the divergent processes. The ability to impart a high level of control over this class of rearrangements would greatly increase their synthetic utility.

In this Communication, we describe the first example of a switch in selectivity from [2,3]-rearrangements to [1,2]-rearrangements of allylic ylides that is controlled by the ligand of the metal catalyst. We selected iodonium ylides⁴ to showcase this novel divergence in reactivity (Scheme 1b). The products of these transformations are α -iodoesters that are synthetically challenging to access in high selectivity by other known methods.

Scheme 1. Regiodivergent [2,3]- and [1,2]-Rearrangements



Due to the high reactivity of iodonium ylides, we hypothesized that the *in situ* generation of these substrates from allylic iodides and diazoesters would provide the ideal platform for developing regiodivergent metal-catalyzed rearrangements.⁴ This tandem ylide generation/rearrangement would obviate the need for isolating reactive iodonium ylides. Furthermore, the same metal catalyst could be utilized to generate the iodonium ylide and control the selectivity of the rearrangement. Although examples of copper- and rhodium-catalyzed iodonium ylide generation/[2,3]-rearrangement have been reported,⁴ rhodium carbenoids are thought to dissociate more readily from ylide intermediates generated from diazoesters.⁵ Therefore, copper was selected to maximize the likelihood of observing unprecedented ligand-control of regioselectivity in the rearrangement step.⁶

We first optimized the copper-catalyzed [2,3]-rearrangement of iodonium ylides (Table 1). In the presence of commercially available [Cu(MeCN)₄]PF₆ and in the absence of an external ligand, *E*-cinnamyl iodide (**E**-**1a**) and benzyldiazoester **2a** yielded an unremarkable mixture of [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangement products **3** and **4** (61:39 *r*), and **3** was formed in poor diastereoselectivity (entry 1). The effect of various ligands on the regioselectivity and diastereoselectivity of this process was examined (entries 2-6). [2,3]-Rearrangement product **3** was generated with low levels of selectivity in the pres-

Formatted: Font: Arno Pro, 10.5 pt, Bold, Kern at 10.5 pt

ence of catalytic dipyridin-2-ylmethane (**L1**), 1,10-phenanthroline (**L2**), 2,2'-bipyridyl (**L3**), and 6,6'-dimethoxy-2,2'-dipyridyl (**L4**). However, a catalyst composed of $[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$ and 6,6'-dibromo-2,2'-dipyridyl (**L5**) furnished the [2,3]-rearrangement product **3** in high regioselectivity (>95:5 rr), albeit in poor diastereoselectivity (48:52 dr). The use of *Z*-cinnamyl iodide (**Z**)-**1a** enhanced the diastereoselectivity of the process (entry 7) without affecting the regioselectivity. A screen of other copper sources identified $[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$ as the optimal pre-catalyst for the reaction (entries 7-9). An examination of temperature revealed that a higher regioselectivity and yield are obtained at lower temperatures (-20°C) (entries 10-12). To increase the diastereoselectivity to a more synthetically useful level, we utilized more sterically encumbered *t*-butyldiazoester **2b** to afford [2,3]-rearrangement product in 91% yield, >95:5 rr, and 94:6 dr (entry 13).

Next, we optimized the formation of [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** by first identifying a class of ligands that favor this alternate reaction pathway (Table 2). Bidentate phosphine ligands **L6-L8** and tridentate phosphine ligand **L13** furnished almost exclusively [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** as a single *E*-olefin isomer (entries 1-3, 8). In the presence of monodentate trialkylphosphine ligand **L12**, an unfavorable mixture of regioisomers was formed (entry 7). However, monodentate triarylphosphine ligands **L9-L11** facilitated the formation of [1,2]-rearrangement product **4** in >95:5 rr (entries 4-6). To emphasize the regiodivergence of these ligand-controlled rearrangements, we utilized the same *t*-butyldiazoester **2b** in the [1,2]-rearrangement that was optimal for the [2,3]-rearrangement. This bulky diazoester did not have any impact on the efficiency or selectivity of the [1,2]-rearrangement (entry 9). By performing the reaction at the elevated temperature of 45°C in the presence of monodentate phosphine ligand **L11**, we observed a drastically improved yield of 92% for the formation of [1,2]-rearrangement product, which was isolated in 100:0 rr (entry 10). Interestingly, when pure *Z*-cinnamyl iodide (**Z**)-**1a** was subjected to these optimized conditions, [1,2]-rearrangement product was isolated as a 37:63 mixture of *Z*:*E* olefin isomers (entry 11), which provides insight into the mechanism of this process (*vide infra*).

With optimized reaction conditions in hand for both the copper-catalyzed [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides, we explored the functional group compatibility of these regiodivergent rearrangements (Table 3). Both reaction conditions exhibited similar tolerance to aromatic systems with a broad range of substituents (**5a-5i** and **6a-6i**, Table 3A). Products were universally generated in good yields, high regioselectivities, and high diastereoselectivities. Substitution at the *ortho*-, *meta*-, and *para*- positions of the aromatic rings did not affect the efficiency of the reactions. Products contain-

ing heteroaromatic rings were also accessible with high regioselectivities (**5j** and **6j**).

Table 1. Optimization of [2,3]-Rearrangement^a

Entry	Ligand	[Cu]	T (°C)	Yield (%)	3 : 4	anti:syn (3)
1	None	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	13	61 : 39	36 : 64
2	L1	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	65	45 : 55	29 : 71
3	L2	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	64	20 : 80	25 : 75
4	L3	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	60	14 : 86	20 : 80
5	L4	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	64	62 : 38	40 : 60
6	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	69	>95 : 5	48 : 52
7 ^b	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	25	81	>95 : 5	80 : 20
8 ^b	L5	$(\text{CuOTf})_2\text{PhMe}$	25	53	89 : 11	76 : 24
9 ^b	L5	CuCl	25	< 5	--	--
10 ^b	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	45	71	94 : 6	80 : 20
11 ^b	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	0	85	>95 : 5	81 : 19
12 ^b	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	-20	92	>95 : 5	81 : 19
13 ^{b,c}	L5	$[\text{Cu}(\text{MeCN})_4]\text{PF}_6$	-20	91	>95 : 5	94 : 6

Table 2. Optimization of [1,2]-Rearrangement^a

Entry	Ligand	T (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	3 : 4	Z:E (4)
1	L6	25	24	34	5 : >95	0 : 100
2	L7	25	24	64	5 : >95	0 : 100
3	L8	25	24	56	5 : >95	0 : 100
4	L9 ^d	25	24	60	5 : >95	0 : 100
5	L10 ^d	25	24	56	5 : >95	0 : 100
6	L11 ^d	25	24	69	5 : >95	0 : 100
7	L12 ^d	25	36	18	70 : 30	0 : 100
8	L13	25	48	56	5 : >95	0 : 100
9 ^c	L11 ^d	25	18	68	5 : >95	0 : 100
10 ^c	L11 ^d	45	6	92	0 : 100	0 : 100
11 ^{b,c}	L11 ^d	45	6	91	5 : >95	37 : 63

^a Reaction conditions: allylic iodide (**E**)-**1a** (0.4 mmol), benzyldiazoester **2a** (1.2 equiv), copper catalyst (5 mol%), ligand (6 mol%), CH_2Cl_2 (0.1M). ^b (**Z**)-**1a** was utilized. ^c *t*-Butyldiazoester **2b** was utilized. ^d 12 mol% ligand.


We expanded the scope of the [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements to alkyl substituted allylic iodides (**5k-5o** and **6k-6o**, Table 3B). Products were generated in high yields, regioselectivities, and diastereoselectivities in the presence of several functional groups, including a silyl ether, chloride, azide, and protected amine.

Notably, we subjected poly-substituted allylic iodides to the regiodivergent rearrangements, which furnished products with significantly different architectures that

would be difficult to access by traditional methods (**5p-5t** and **6p-6t**, Table 3C). These examples highlight the potential of iodonium ylide rearrangements to generate a diverse collection of products from the same set of starting materials.

Gratifyingly, the efficiency of [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements was maintained on gram scale, as we formed 1.28 g of **5a** (90% yield) and 1.37 g of **6a** (94% yield) under the optimized conditions.

Table 3. Substrate Scope of Copper-Catalyzed [2,3]- and [1,2]-Rearrangements of Iodonium Ylides




We propose two possible mechanisms for the copper-catalyzed regiodivergent [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides (Scheme 2a). In both scenarios, we

surmise that the diazoester and allylic iodide combine to form copper-coordinated iodonium ylide **7**. This intermediate can undergo a concerted charge-induced rear-

rearrangement (path a). Alternatively, the rearrangement products can be formed through a stepwise oxidative addition/reductive elimination mechanism (path b), which proceeds through interconverting copper(III)-allyl complexes **8**, **9**, and **10**.²

We devised deuterium-labeled experiments to distinguish between these two possible mechanisms (Scheme 2b). Diazoester **2b** and deuterated allylic iodide **11** were subjected to both reaction conditions. Terminal allylic iodide **11** was selected to avoid any steric bias that may exist for a substituted allylic iodide. Whereas the optimized [2,3]-rearrangement conditions led to the formation of product **13** with fidelity in the transposition of deuterium, the optimized [1,2]-rearrangement conditions yielded a mixture of deuterated products **12** and **13**.

Scheme 2. Mechanistic Studies



Based on these experiments, we propose that the copper-catalyzed [2,3]-rearrangement in the presence of 2,2'-bipyridyl ligand **L5** proceeds through a concerted charge-induced rearrangement mechanism (path a), and the copper-catalyzed [1,2]-rearrangement with monodentate phosphine ligand **L11** proceeds through a copper(III)-allyl complex that is formed via a stepwise oxidative addition/reductive elimination mechanism (path b). We hypothesize that the diminished σ -donicity of 2,2'-bipyridyl ligand **L5** decreases the reactivity of metal-coordinated iodonium ylide **7** toward oxidative addition, which favors the formation of the [2,3]-rearrangement product via the concerted charge-induced rearrangement mechanism. Alternatively, the strong σ -donating phosphine ligand **L11** facilitates oxidative addition of metal-coordinated iodonium ylide **7** to form copper(III)-allyl complexes **8-10**,² which leads to the formation of the [1,2]-rearrangement product via subsequent reductive elimination.

In conclusion, we have developed regiodivergent copper-catalyzed [2,3]- and [1,2]-rearrangements of iodonium ylides. These results represent the first example of

switching selectivity from [2,3]-rearrangements to [1,2]-rearrangements of allylic ylides that is controlled by the ligand of the metal catalyst. Both reactions demonstrate a broad substrate scope and functional group tolerance of aryl and aliphatic allylic iodides. Mechanistic studies are consistent with different mechanisms for the regiodivergent rearrangements. We are currently exploring enantioselective versions of these processes and their application to the synthesis of complex target molecules.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental procedures and characterization data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

uttam.tambar@utsouthwestern.edu

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Financial support was provided by W. W. Caruth, Jr. Endowed Scholarship, Welch Foundation (I-1748), National Institutes of Health (R01GM102604), National Science Foundation (1150875), and Sloan Research Fellowship.

REFERENCES

- (a) Ildardi, E. A.; Stivala, C. E.; Zakarian, A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3133-3148. (b) Sweeney, J. B. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 1027-1038. (c) Seashore-Ludlow, B.; Somfai, P. In *Sigmatropic Rearrangements in Stereoselective Synthesis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc.: **2013**; pp 475-499. (d) Jones, A. C.; May, J. A.; Sarpong, R.; Stoltz, B. M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 2556-2591. (e) *Molecular Rearrangements in Organic Synthesis*; Rojas, C. M., Ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New Jersey, **2016**. (f) Pine, S. H. In *Org. React.*; Dauben, W. G., Ed.; Wiley: Huntington, NY, **1970**; Vol. 18, pp 403-464.
- (a) Biswas, B.; Collins, S. C.; Singleton, D. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 3740-3743, and references therein. (b) Biswas, B.; Singleton, D. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 14244-14247. (c) West, T. H.; Spoehrle, S. S. M.; Kasten, K.; Taylor, J. E.; Smith, A. D. *ACS Catal.* **2015**, *5*, 7446-7479. (d) Hoffmann, R. W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1979**, *18*, 563-572. (e) Brückner, R. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis*; Fleming, I., Ed.; Pergamon: Oxford, 1991, pp 873-908.
- Isolated examples of controlling regioselectivity for [2,3]- or [1,2]-rearrangements by modifying substrates or reaction conditions. For example: (a) Pine, S. H.; Munemo, E. M.; Phillips, T. R.; Bartolini, G.; Cotton, W. D.; Andrews, G. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1971**, *36*, 984-991. (b) Tanaka, T.; Shirai, N.; Sugimori, J.; Sato, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1992**, *57*, 5034-5036. (c) Narita, K.; Shirai, N.; Sato, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **1997**, *62*, 2544-2549. (d) Tayama, E.; Kimura, H. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2007**, *46*, 8869-8871. (e) Tayama, E.; Takedachi, K.; Iwamoto, H.; Hasegawa, E. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 9389-9395.
- (a) Giddings, P. J.; D. Ivor, J.; Thomas, E. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1980**, *21*, 395-398. (b) Doyle, M. P.; Tamblyn, W. H.; Bagheri, V. *J. Org. Chem.* **1981**, *46*, 5094-5102. (c) Giddings, P. J.; John, D. I.; Thomas, E. J.; Williams, D. J. *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1* **1982**, 2757-2766. (d) Doyle, M. P.; Forbes, D. C.; Vasbinder, M. M.; Peterson, C. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 7653-7654. (e) Doyle, M. P.; Hu, W.; Phillips, I. M.; Moody, C. J.; Pepper, A. G.; Slawin, A. G. Z. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2001**, *343*, 112-117. (f) Krishnamoorthy, P.; Browning, R. G.; Singh, S.; Sivappa, R.; Lovely, C. J.; Dias, H. V. R. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 731-733. (g) Deng, Q.-H.; Chen, J.; Huang, J.-S.; Chui, S. S.-Y.; Zhu, N.; Li, G.-Y.; Che, C.-M. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 10707-10712.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

⁵ Liang, Y.; Zhou, H.; Yu, Z.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 17783-17785.

⁶ For discussions of the role of both structures of metal bound ylides depicted in Scheme 1b, see: (a) Li, Z.; Boyarskikh, V.; Hansen, J. H.; Autschbach, J.; Musaev, D. G.; Davies, H. M. L. *J. Am. Chem.*

Soc. **2012**, *134*, 15497-15504. (b) Guo, X.; Hu, W. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2013**, *46*, 2427-2440. (c) Xu, B.; Zhu, S.-F.; Zhang, Z.-C.; Yu, Z.-X.; Ma, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L. *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 1442-1448. (d) Ref. 5.

⁷ Yoshikai, N.; Nakamura, E. *Chem. Rev.* **2012**, *112*, 2339-2372.

