## Accepted Manuscript

Novel pyrimidinic selenourea induces DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis in human breast carcinoma

Flavio A.R. Barbosa, Tâmila Siminski, Rômulo F.S. Canto, Gabriela M. Almeida, Nádia S.R.S. Mota, Fabiana Ourique, Rozangela Curi Pedrosa, Antonio Luiz Braga

PII: S0223-5234(18)30517-8

DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.06.026

Reference: EJMECH 10496

To appear in: European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry

Received Date: 12 December 2017

Revised Date: 7 June 2018

Accepted Date: 9 June 2018

Please cite this article as: F.A.R. Barbosa, Tâ. Siminski, Rô.F.S. Canto, G.M. Almeida, Ná.S.R.S. Mota, F. Ourique, R.C. Pedrosa, A.L. Braga, Novel pyrimidinic selenourea induces DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis in human breast carcinoma, *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry* (2018), doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2018.06.026.

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.





# Novel pyrimidinic selenourea induces DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, and apoptosis in human breast carcinoma

Flavio A. R. Barbosa<sup>a, §</sup>, Tâmila Siminski<sup>b, §</sup>, Rômulo F. S. Canto<sup>c</sup>, Gabriela M. Almeida<sup>b</sup>, Nádia S. R. S. Mota<sup>b</sup>, Fabiana Ourique<sup>b</sup>, Rozangela Curi Pedrosa<sup>b, \*</sup>, Antonio Luiz Braga<sup>a, \*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Laboratório de Síntese de Substâncias de Selênio Bioativas (LabSelen), Departamento de Química, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, SC, Brazil
<sup>b</sup>Laboratório de Bioquímica Experimental (LABIOEX), Departamento de Bioquímica, Universidade Federal de Santa Catarina (UFSC), Florianópolis, SC, Brazil
<sup>c</sup>Laboratório de Química Medicinal de Compostos de Selênio (QMCSe), Programa de pós-graduação em Ciências da Saúde, Universidade Federal de Ciências da Saúde de Porto Alegre (UFCSPA), Porto Alegre, RS, Brazil.

<sup>§</sup> These authors contributed equally to this work

\*Corresponding authors

E-mail address: braga.antonio@ufsc.br

E-mail address: rozangela.pedrosa@ufsc.br

## ABSTRACT

Novel pyrimidinic selenoureas were synthesized and evaluated against tumour and normal cell lines. Among these, the compound named **3j** initially showed relevant cytotoxicity and selectivity for tumour cells. Three analogues of **3j** were designed and synthesized keeping in view the structural requirements of this compound. Almost all

the tested compounds displayed considerable cytotoxicity. However, **8a**, one of the **3j** analogues, was shown to be highly selective and cytotoxic, especially for breast carcinoma cells (MCF-7) (IC<sub>50</sub> =  $3.9 \mu$ M). Furthermore, **8a** caused DNA damage, inhibited cell proliferation, was able to arrest cell cycle in S phase, and induced cell death by apoptosis in human breast carcinoma cells. Moreover, predictions of pharmacokinetic properties showed that **8a** may present good absorption and permeation characteristics for oral administration. Overall, the current study established **8a** as a potential drug prototype to be employed as a DNA interactive cytotoxic agent for the treatment of breast cancer.

**Keywords:** dihydropyrimidinones, organoselenium, cytotoxicity, DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, selenoureas

#### **1.Introduction**

Dihydropyrimidinones (DHPMs) are a very important class of six-membered heterocycles that are obtained by the Biginelli multicomponent reaction [1]. In the field of medicinal chemistry, DHPMs have been much explored due to the modular nature of the multicomponent reaction that enables the use of several aldehydes, dicarbonylic compounds, and ureas, which readily leads to the preparation of a diverse library of compounds. In addition, these compounds are known for presenting multiple biological activities, such as antioxidant action [2–4], acetylcholinesterase inhibition [5,6], and mPGES-1 inhibition [7] among other activities [8]. However, the anticancer activities of DHPMs are more pronounced, since various reports have described compounds such as Monastrol and its derivatives as good cytotoxic and antiproliferative agents [9–15]. Molecular hybrids containing the DHPM core are also reported as potential anticancer

agents, such as DHPM-Coumarin hybrids for breast tumours [16] and DHPMsemicarbazone hybrids with anticancer activity due to their ability to inhibit human DNA ligase 1 [17]. More recently, DHPMs containing a fatty acid moiety have been found to possess antiproliferative activity against C6 glioma cell line [18] and cytotoxic effects in the melanoma B16F10 cell line [19].

Organoselenium compounds are well known for their antioxidant activity with the ability to mimic selenoenzyme Glutathione Peroxidase (GPx-like activity) [20,21]; there is also emerging evidence indicating the potential of selenium (Se) compounds in cancer chemotherapy; these compounds are well known to inhibit cell proliferation and induce cell death in human cancer cells by apoptosis [22,23]. Se-compounds induce inhibition of proliferation and promote cellular apoptosis, presumably by decreased phosphorylation of Akt [24,25] which, through the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway, has been shown to inhibit apoptosis in cancer cells [26] and promote proliferation and angiogenesis [27]. Furthermore, Se-compounds are related to apoptotic processes, including cytochrome *c*-independent caspase-3 activation, downregulation of IAP family proteins, and Bax cleavage [28]. Moreover, Suzuki et al. [24], demonstrated that Se-induced apoptosis in some carcinoma cells is a caspase-dependent process involving p53 activation. In addition, Se-compounds are involved in the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), DNA damage, mitochondrial dysfunction, and imbalance of Bcl-2 family expression, which leads to cell death in several human cancer cells [29].

The pro-oxidant effects of Se-containing compounds have been used as new tools for developing novel anticancer molecules [30–32]. The insertion of selenium atom into biologically relevant nuclei such as as indoles [33], naphtoquinones [34,35], flavonoids [36], and acetylsalicylic acid [37] has been described in the recent years and

such modification leads to more cytotoxic compounds. The synthesis of molecular hybrids between ebselen and resveratrol also leads to very potent cytotoxic compounds with possible mechanisms of action related to inhibition of Thioredoxin Reductase (TrxR), another selenoenzyme involved in the redox balance, which leads to cell death by oxidative stress [38].

Selenoureas, isoselenoureas, and their derivatives have been reported as antioxidant and anticancer agents used mainly in the design of highly potent molecular hybrids [39–42]. To the best of our knowledge, the study of pyrimidinic selenoureas has only two reports in the literature. Klein and co-workers reported the synthesis of a single seleno-analogue of Monastrol and evaluated its ability to inhibit the Kinesin Eg5 enzyme, however, it caused a two-fold decrease in the activity when compared to Monastrol [43]. In the second report, Kolb and colleagues evaluated a pyrimidinic selenourea and its selenazolopyrimidinic derivative as CDC25B phosphatase inhibitors [44].

DNA is also an important target for the development of new antitumor drugs, because interactions between drugs and DNA can result in blockage of cell division and triggering of cell death [45]. DHPMs and their derivatives have potential to overlap with DNA base pairs or to bind to the grooves; therefore, they are regarded as potential new DNA-targeted drugs [46–48]. Organoselenium compounds are also able to cause DNA damage leading to cell death [49,50].

As part of our research program focused on the synthesis and biological evaluation of new seleno-DHPMs [51,52], we here report the design, synthesis, and anticancer evaluation of a series of pyrimidinic selenoureas. These compounds were designed through molecular hybridization [53] by merging the dihydropyrimidinone nucleus and the selenourea moiety (Scheme 1) to form one molecule that has the

pharmacological potential of both classes of compounds.



Scheme 1- Molecular hybridization towards pyrimidinic selenoureas

#### 2. Results and Discussion

Pyrimidinic selenoureas **3a-k** were synthesized via a three-step route (Scheme 2), following the previous literature [54], which is based on transforming thioureas into selenoureas using an iso-thiourea intermediate. Thioureas **1a-k** were prepared through the classical Biginelli multicomponent reaction, using different substituted aromatic aldehydes, ethyl acetoacetate, thiourea and HCl as catalyst [51]. Methylation of thioureas **1a-k** with methyl iodide followed by basic treatment leads to the formation of iso-thioureas **2a-k** with excellent yields. Finally, pyrimidinic selenoureas **3a-k** were obtained by the reaction of iso-thioureas **2a-k** with the nucleophilic selenium species NaSeH in refluxing ethanol for 15 hours. By this strategy, the Se anion adds to the carbon atom of iso-thiourea, followed by the elimination of methanethiolate as a leaving group. The compounds were obtained in good to excellent yields (65-99%). Compound **2d** bearing a nitro group was obtained as an aryl amine **3d** after reaction with NaSeH, this reduction was expected since sulphur species such as sodium sulphide have been used as reducing agents for aromatic nitro compounds [55].



Scheme 2 - *i*) HCl, 100 °C, neat, 55-99%; *ii*) MeI, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, then NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 72-99%; *iii*) NaSeH, EtOH, reflux, 15h, 65-99%.

Initially, pyrimidinic selenoureas **3a-k** were evaluated against two cancer cell lines, MCF-7 and HeLa, and the normal cell line McCoy. The cells were treated for different periods of time with different concentrations of the pyrimidinic selenoureas **3a-k** followed by screening for cell cytotoxicity by MTT assay. Table 1 shows values obtained from  $IC_{50}$  (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) for compounds **3a-k** and two standards, Monastrol and the anticancer drug Fluorouracil (5-FU), 72 h posttreatment. As previously demonstrated, both DHPMs and Se-compounds induced timedependent cytotoxicity and growth inhibition in different cancer cell lines [14,24].

-		IC <sub>50</sub> (µM)	
Compound	MCF-7	HeLa	МсСоу
3a	452.2	909.1	251.8
3b	92.9	205.1	175.9
3c	175.8	390.2	252.7
3d	207.1	512.3	289.1
3e	200.6	283.8	212.9
3f	301	254.3	199.1
3g	169.4	258.3	107.9
3h	247.8	149.1	135.6
<b>3i</b>	251.7	152.6	143.9
3ј	25.2	87.6	57.7
3k	181.1	224.3	194
Monastrol	59.1	176.9	26.8
<b>5-FU</b>	63.0	7.00	15.7

**Table 1 -**  $IC_{50}$  values of the pyrimidinic selenoureas **3a-k** in different cancer cell lines and in normal cells.

Cells were treated for 72 h with compounds  $(0.1 - 1000 \ \mu\text{M})$  and the cytotoxicity data were obtained by MTT assay. Data from three independent experiments.

As seen in Table 1, most of the compounds exhibited high  $IC_{50}$  values for both cancer cell lines, however, compound **3j** presented the lowest  $IC_{50}$  value among other pyrimidinic selenoureas, with  $IC_{50}$  of 25.2 µM for MCF-7 cells and 87.6 µM for HeLa, more than two times the activity of the standard Monastrol. Also, compound **3j** was 2,5 times more efficient than the 5-FU for MCF-7 cells.

Based on these results, we designed three new analogues of compound **3j**, keeping the important naphthyl moiety in the structure, aiming for an enhancement in antitumor activity. Two main modifications were planned for its structure: modification of the selenourea moiety in **3j** by methylation of the selenium atom, leading to isoselenourea **4a**, and lateral chain cyclization of the heterocycle, leading to compounds **8a-b** (Scheme 3). The latter modifications are well known for the structure-activity relationships in DHPM cores as antitumor agents, and these modifications usually lead to more active compounds [56].



Scheme 3 -Design of 3j analogues by methylation of selenium and lateral chain cyclization

Methylation of the selenium of **3j** occurred successfully applying the same methodology usually used for sulphur analogues, affording the product **4a** in 98% yield (Scheme 4).



Scheme 4 - iv) MeI, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, then NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 98%

Two other analogues, **8a-b**, were synthesized using the same strategy applied for selenoureas **3a-k**, replacing the ethyl acetoacetate by cyclohexanedione and dimedone. The desired compounds were obtained in good to excellent yields, except for **6b**, which was obtained in a moderate yield (Scheme 5).



Scheme 5 - *v*) HCl, 100°C, neat, 66-35%; *vi*) MeI, EtOH, reflux, 1 h, then NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 90-99%; *vii*) NaSeH, EtOH, reflux, 15 h, 92-86%.

With the three new analogues **4a** and **8a-b** in hand, it was possible to evaluate their activity against MCF-7 and HeLa cells; the results for their  $IC_{50}$  values are presented in Table 2. In general, the three modifications resulted in more cytotoxic compounds when compared to **3j**. Compound **4a** containing an isoselenourea showed an  $IC_{50}$  value lower than **3j**. However, **4a** also showed a decrease in selectivity, since it was very toxic to normal cells. According Badisa et al. [57], selectivity index (SI) demonstrates the differential activity of a compound for a tumour cell, and a SI value

greater than two indicate low toxicity for normal cells and high toxicity against tumour cell lines.

The compounds presenting the cyclized lateral chain also lead to more cytotoxic compounds (**8a** and **8b**). Compound **8a** was particularly interesting because the presence of a dimethyl group in the lateral chain caused a significant increase in cytotoxicity, leading to a decrease in viability of tumour cells, with  $IC_{50}$  values showing activities approximately 15 times more pronounced than Monastrol and 16 times more citotoxic when compared to the drug 5-FU for MCF-7 cells. In addition, these compounds showed substantial activity without further damage to normal cells (Table 2). To our delight, **8a** presented higher selectivity than the standards monastrol and 5-FU, showing its great potential for further studies. This structural alteration and potentiation of the antitumor effect for **8a** is in accord with that proposed by Müller et al. [58], who reported that enastron and dimethylenastron, both cyclized analogues of Monastrol, increased cytotoxic effects against human glioblastoma cells.

Table 2 - $IC_{50}$ and SI values of the pyrimidinicselenoureas4a,	8a-b	and 3	j in	different
cancer cell lines and in normal cells.				

	IC <sub>50</sub> (μM)			Selectivity	Index (SI)
Compound	MCF-7	HeLa	McCoy	MCF-7	HeLa
<b>4</b> a	8.8	11.2	0.01	0.001	0.0009
8a	3.9	12.2	425.8	109.1	34.9
8b	13.1	26.2	22.4	1.7	0.8
3j	25.2	87.6	57.7	2.2	0.6
Monastrol	59.1	176.9	26.8	0.4	0.2
<b>5-FU</b>	63.0	7.00	15.7	0.2	2.2

 $SI = IC_{50}$  of compound in normal cell line/IC<sub>50</sub> of the compound in cancer cell line. Data from the IC<sub>50</sub> mean of three independent experiments.

As seen that **8a** presented the most relevant results in terms of viability and selectivity, other biological assays were conducted in human breast carcinoma in order to elucidate the mechanisms of such effects.

The antiproliferative effect of **8a** (2.4  $\mu$ M) against MCF-7 cells was evaluated over 72 h of treatment and the results are presented in Fig. 2A. The results indicated that **8a** inhibited cell proliferation, as shown by the reduction in the number of colonies (40%) in comparison to non-treated cells. Guido et al. [59] reported that DHPMs have been associated with inhibition of proliferation and angiogenesis. These effects may be related to kinesin Eg5 [60], a protein involved in the formation and function of the mitotic spindle, and to DNA damage [61]. Compound **8a** was also able to induce MCF-7 cell death (Fig. 2B) by apoptosis and necrosis. Apoptosis, the most pursued goal in anticancer drug development [62], was significantly increased after treatment with compound **8a** (p < 0.001). This result is very interesting since MCF-7 cells may be resistant to apoptosis due to the possible absence of caspase-3 [63].

**Figure 2.** Antiproliferative effect (A) and induction of cell death (B) after treatment of MCF-7 with non-toxic concentrations of compound **8a** (2.4  $\mu$ M) for 72 h. Data were obtained from three independent experiments. (\*\*\*) denotes statistical differences at p < 0.001 compared to control cells (non-treated).



DNA is also a target for developing new potential drugs [64], and we investigated whether **8a** is able to interact with DNA. Figure 3A and 3B show data concerning the absorption scanning of CT-DNA alone and after treatment with compound **8a**. Electronic absorption spectroscopy is an effective method to examine the binding modes of drug with DNA. Hypochromism was observed when **8a**, in increasing concentrations, was placed in the presence of CT-DNA (Fig. 3A). This effect results

from contraction and changes in the conformation of DNA [65]. The possible DNA intercalation effect of **8a** was investigated (Fig. 3B). For this, propidium iodide was used because it displays an enhancement of DNA fluorescence efficiency due to its strong intercalation between adjacent base pairs. **8a** was able to remove propidium iodide and insert between the DNA strands, decreasing CT-DNA fluorescence, which is indicative of intercalation. Our results are in agreement with those obtained by Wang et al. [46] who showed that the potential antitumor effects of dihydropyrimidinone derivatives are related to its DNA binding properties.

Next, the ability of compound **8a** to cause DNA fragmentation in MCF-7 cells was evaluated by Comet assay and the results are shown in Figure 3C. After 72 h of treatment we observed a significant increase in the total DNA damage caused by **8a** (p < 0.001) in MCF-7 cells treated with non-toxic concentrations. Double-stranded DNA breaks have been shown to correlate with DNA-targeting chemotherapeutics leading to inhibition of proliferation and cell death [64]. Planar and non-planar, aromatic and heterocyclic compounds, such as dihydropyrimidinone derivatives have potential for overlap with DNA base pairs or binding to the grooves [46]. Also, Se-compounds may be involved in induction of DNA breakdown caused by generation of intracellular ROS [66]. Compounds that cause DNA damage and consequent cell cycle arrest have been considered promising agents for chemotherapy [67].

**Figure 3.** The interaction (A) and intercalating ability via fluorescence intensity of propidium iodide (300  $\mu$ M) and doxorubicin as a positive control for intercalation (B) of compound **8a** (0-350  $\mu$ M) with CT-DNA (150  $\mu$ M) were evaluated. MCF-7 cells were treated with **8a** (2.4  $\mu$ M) for 72 h. DNA damage index was determined by Comet assay (C) and DNA fragmentation scores are shown in the box below the graph. (\*\*\*) denotes statistically significant differences at p < 0.001 compared to control cells (non-treated).



The assessment of the cell cycle of cells treated with **8a** was realized and Figure 4A shows distribution of MCF-7 cells in different phases of the cell cycle. The treatment with **8a** leads to an increase in the sub-G1 area of 15.5% (indicative of apoptosis). Additionally, the number of cells was significantly reduced in S phase by up to 4.9%, as shown in Figure 4B. Therefore, **8a** showed a large safety to normal cells, and the cytotoxic effects on breast carcinoma cells are related to DNA damage and cell cycle arrest, which leads to cell death by apoptosis.

Figure 4. Changes in MCF-7 cell cycle induced by treatment were measured (A) and percentage of cell distribution in cap S was evaluated (B). Data were obtained from three independent experiments. (\*\*\*) denotes statistically significant differences at p < 0.001 compared to control cells (non-treated).



**DNA** content





Moreover, the pharmacokinetic properties for compound **8a** were predicted using the open-source software Molinspiration [68] and ChemBioDraw Ultra 11.0. According to Lipinski's rule of 5 [69] poor absorption or permeation will be more likely if a drug candidate presents molecular weight > 500, number of hydrogen bond donors > 5, number of hydrogen bond acceptors > 10 and Clog P > 5.0. Another two relevant properties in this type of analysis were introduced by Veber and co-workers [70], and these state that a drug prototype should not have more than 10 rotatable bonds and its topological polar surface area should be  $\leq 140 \text{ Å}^2$ .

As seen in Table 3, compound **8a** satisfied such criteria for presenting potentially- good absorption and permeation after oral administration, which enhance its potential as a drug prototype.

Value	
3.58	
383.35	
41.12	
3	
2	
1	
	Value 3.58 383.35 41.12 3 2 1

Table 3. Predictions of pharmacokinetic properties for compound 8a.

<sup>a</sup> tPSA, Topological polar surface area; <sup>b</sup> HBA, H-bond acceptors; <sup>c</sup> HBD, H-bond donors; <sup>d</sup> NRB, Number of rotatable bonds.

#### 3. Conclusion

DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, and induction of cell death by apoptosis are attractive strategies to selectively kill cancer cells. In this work, we demonstrated the synthesis of novel pyrimidinic selenoureas and their cytotoxicity against tumour cell lines. Among the compounds evaluated, **8a** appears to be promising for the development of an antitumor agent. This compound caused DNA damage, cell cycle arrest, antiproliferative effects and cell death by apoptosis. Compound **8a** also showed remarkable selective cytotoxicity for tumour cells, being more selective than the drug 5-FU, mainly for human breast carcinoma. The prediction of pharmacokinetic properties indicated that **8a** may present good absorption and permeation characteristics. Hence, compound **8a** is a potential drug prototype for the treatment of breast cancer. More indepth studies are currently being conducted in our laboratories to clarify and offer more molecular details concerning the mechanisms of action of compound **8a**.

#### 4.Materials and Methods

#### 4.1. Chemicals

NMR spectra (<sup>1</sup>H NMR and <sup>13</sup>C NMR) were recorded on a Varian AS-400 or Bruker Avance 200 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported (in ppm) relative to the TMS (<sup>1</sup>H NMR) and the solvent (<sup>13</sup>C NMR). APPI or APCI-micrOTOF-Q II measurements were performed with a micrOTOF Q-II (Bruker Daltonics) mass spectrometer equipped with an automatic syringe pump (KD Scientific) for sample injection. The mass spectrometer was operated in the positive ion mode. The sample was injected using a constant flow (3 µL/min). The solvent was a chloroform/methanol mixture. The APPI-micrOTOF-Q II instrument was calibrated in the mass range of 50– 3000 m/z using an internal calibration standard (low concentration tuning mix solution)

supplied by Agilent Technologies. Data were processed employing Bruker Compass Data Analysis software (version 4.0). Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was conducted using Merck Silica Gel GF254 (0.25 mm thickness). For visualization, the TLC plates were either placed under ultraviolet light or stained with iodine vapour or acidic vanillin. Melting points were determined using a microscope coverslip on a Micro Chemical MQA PF digital apparatus and are uncorrected. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM), foetal bovine serum (FBS) and antibiotics were purchased from Gibco (USA). The following material was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich: calf thymus DNA (CT-DNA; Cat. D4522), agarose (Cat. A6013), dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO; Cat. D8418), bovine serum albumin (BSA; Cat. A2153), propidium iodide (Cat. P4170), acridine orange (Cat. A6014), nocodazole (Cat. M1404) and 3-(4,5-dimethyl-2thiazolyl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide (MTT; Cat. M5655). All common reagents and solvents were used as purchased unless otherwise noted.

#### 4.2.Synthetic procedures

#### 4.2.1. General procedure for the synthesis of compounds *la-k* and *6a-b*:

The procedure described in the literature [51] was followed. To a two-necked round-bottom flask, the appropriated aldehyde (10 mmol), ethyl acetoacetate or dimedone or cyclohexanedione (10 mmol), thiourea (20 mmol) and 5 drops of concentrated HCl were added. The reaction mixture was stirred at 100°C for the time required for consumption of the starting materials, which was verified by TLC. After this time, the reaction mixture was poured into crushed ice and water. The precipitate was filtered and dried. The compounds were used in the next step without further purification.

4.2.1.1. Ethyl 6-methyl-4-phenyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (**1a**)

White solid, m.p. 204-207 °C [Lit. 210°C][44], 72% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.35 (s, 1H), 9.67 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2H), 7.28 – 7.22 (m, 3H), 5.19 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.30, 165.19, 145.10, 143.57, 128.62, 127.75, 126.47, 100.79, 59.67, 54.14, 17.25, 14.07.

4.2.1.2. Ethyl 4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1b**)

White solid, m.p. 150-153 °C [Lit. 152-154°C][44], 70% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.30 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H), 9.46 (bs, 1H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, 3H), 5.10 (d, J = 2.6 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 6.8 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.25, 165.28, 157.54, 144.89, 129.59, 117.11, 114.72, 113.34, 100.89, 59.72, 54.05, 17.27, 14.13.

4.2.1.3. *Ethyl* 4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1c**)

White solid, m.p. 231-233 °C [Lit. 238-239°C][12], 55% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.26 (s, 1H), 9.57 (s, 1H), 9.03 (bs, 1H), 6.79 (d, J = 1.9 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (dd, J = 8.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.09, 165.34, 147.41, 146.22, 144.70, 134.65, 118.62, 115.48, 110.98, 101.07, 59.64, 55.64, 53.76, 17.22, 14.17.

4.2.1.4. Ethyl 6-methyl-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1d**)

White solid, m.p. 200-205 °C [Lit. 206-209°C][71], 65% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.52 (s, 1H), 9.78 (s, 1H), 8.17 – 8.12 (m, 1H), 8.08 (s, 1H), 7.67 (d, J = 5.3 Hz, 2H), 5.34 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.07 – 3.95 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.56, 164.91, 147.86, 146.09, 145.56, 133.10, 130.47, 122.78, 121.25, 99.89, 59.86, 53.58, 17.31, 14.00.

4.2.1.5. Ethyl 4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1e**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 156-159 °C [Lit. 175°C][44], 70% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.32 (s, 1H), 9.61 (s, 1H), 6.87 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.72 – 6.65 (m, 2H), 5.99 (s, 2H), 5.09 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.05, 165.14, 147.41, 146.73, 145.04, 137.48, 119.67, 108.18, 106.75, 101.11, 100.73, 59.62, 53.72, 17.20, 14.07.

4.2.1.6. Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1f**)

Beige solid, m.p. 151-154 °C [Lit. 150-151°C][72], 99% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- d<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.29 (s, 1H), 9.60 (s, 1H), 7.13 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.12 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- d<sub>6</sub>) δ 174.04, 165.20, 158.77, 144.79, 135.74, 127.66, 113.91, 101.00, 59.60, 55.13, 53.49, 17.19, 14.08.

4.2.1.7. *Ethyl* 6-methyl-2-thioxo-4-p-tolyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1g**)

Beige solid, m.p. 180-182 °C [Lit. 185-188°C][73], 85% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.31 (s, 1H), 9.63 (s, 1H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 2H), 5.15 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.25 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.21, 165.20, 144.93, 140.66, 136.96, 129.11, 126.36, 100.88, 59.62, 53.81, 20.72, 17.21, 14.08.

4.2.1.8.Ethyl4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1h**)

Orange solid, m.p. 171-174 °C [Lit. 178°C][44], 72% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.32 (s, 1H), 9.62 (s, 1H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.71 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H).<sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.22, 165.28, 148.57, 148.38, 144.92, 136.02, 118.21, 111.83, 110.48, 100.88, 59.66, 55.56, 55.46, 53.65, 17.21, 14.15.

4.2.1.9. *Ethyl* 4-(3-bromophenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**1***i*)

White solid, m.p. 165-168 °C [Lit. 171°C][74], 71% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.43 (s, 1H), 9.69 (s, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (s, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.8Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 1H), 4.08 – 3.95 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 4H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  174.38, 164.99, 146.07, 145.63, 131.01, 130.62, 129.33, 125.46, 121.74, 100.15, 59.77, 53.64, 17.28, 14.04. 4.2.1.10. *Ethyl* 6-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4-

tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (1j)

White solid, m.p. 170-174 °C [Lit. 177°C][44], 76% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSOd<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.41 (s, 1H), 9.77 (s, 1H), 7.93 – 7.89 (m, 3H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.52 – 7.46 (m, 3H), 5.37 (s, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.35 (s, 3H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- d<sub>6</sub>) δ 174.24, 165.15, 145.27, 140.81, 132.65, 132.46, 128.53, 127.92, 127.52, 126.43, 126.16, 124.97, 124.82, 100.51, 59.60, 54.39, 17.27, 14.04.

4.2.1.11.Ethyl4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (1k)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 202-206 °C [Lit. 202°C][44], 73% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.25 (s, 1H), 9.56 (s, 1H), 9.46 (s, 1H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 6.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  173.95, 165.32, 157.01, 144.63, 134.23, 127.79, 115.26, 101.22, 59.64, 53.69, 17.25, 14.14.

## 4.2.1.12. 7,7-dimethyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4,7,8-

hexahydroquinazolin-5(6H)-one (6a)

White solid, m.p. above 250 °C, 66% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.65 (s, 1H), 9.80 (s, 1H), 7.92 – 7.88 (m, 3H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.53 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.40 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, *J* = 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (s, 2H), 2.25 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d, *J* = 16.1 Hz, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 193.66, 174.63, 148.81, 140.67, 132.61, 132.35, 128.38, 127.84, 127.48, 126.38, 126.08, 125.01, 124.68, 107.93, 52.54, 49.85, 38.55, 32.23, 28.77, 26.72. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3530, 3451, 3249, 3204, 3008, 2957, 2927, 2888, 1668, 1646, 1623, 1601, 1575, 1466,

1373. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for  $C_{20}H_{20}N_2OS$  [M+H] 337.1369; found 337.1368.

4.2.1.13. 4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-thioxo-1,2,3,4,7,8-hexahydroquinazolin-5(6H)-one

(**6b**)

Off white solid, m.p. above 250 °C, 35% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.66 (s, 1H), 9.78 (s, 1H), 7.92 – 7.87 (m, 3H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.53 – 7.48 (m, 2H), 7.42 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.51 – 2.50 (m, 2H), 2.32 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.03 – 1.77 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  193.93, 174.48, 150.85, 140.57, 132.60, 132.34, 128.35, 127.85, 127.42, 126.29, 126.03, 124.79, 108.82, 52.18, 36.32, 25.34, 20.49. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3261, 3212, 3182, 3106, 3055, 3021, 2958, 2886, 2860, 1622, 1568, 1507, 1458. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS [M+H] 309.1056; found 309.1052.

4.2.2. General procedure for the synthesis of isothioureas2a-k, 7a-b and isoselenourea4a

The procedures described previously in the literature [75] were followed. In a two-necked round-bottom flask, the appropriate thioureas **1a-k**, **6a-b** or selenourea **3j** (5 mmol) were solubilized in ethanol, followed by the addition of methyl iodide (6 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux temperature for the time required for consumption of the starting material, which was verified by TLC. After this time, the reaction mixture was treated with 20 ml of a NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (Sat.) solution. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate/water and the organic phase dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>

and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting products presented high purity and were used in the next step without further purification.

4.2.2.1. Ethyl 6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-4-phenyl-1,4-dihydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (2a)
White solid, m.p. 172-174 °C [Lit. 171-172°C][76], 99% vield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz,

White solid, hi.p. 172-174 °C [Lit. 171-172 C][76], 35% yield, H HARK (200 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ ) δ 9.59 (s, 1H), 7.32 – 7.20 (m, 5H), 5.49 (s, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.24 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ ) δ 166.21, 145.46, 128.15, 126.76, 126.43, 58.98, 14.07, 12.52.

4.2.2.2. Ethyl 4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2b**)

Beige solid, m.p. 201-204°C, 91% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.59 (s, 1H), 9.28 (s, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H), 6.66 (s, 1H), 6.63 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.43 (s, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO-  $d_6$ )  $\delta$  166.37, 157.28, 145.77, 129.09, 117.22, 113.48, 59.09, 14.20, 12.63. IR (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3290, 3192, 3070, 2978, 2931, 2811, 2713, 2592, 2468, 1664, 1591, 1485, 1452. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S 307.1111 [M+H]; found 307.1116.

4.2.2.3. *Ethyl* 4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2c**)

Beige solid, m.p. 163-164°C, 87% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- *d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 9.54 (s, 1H), 8.83 (s, 1H), 6.78 (s, 1H), 6.68 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (d, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 5.41

(s, 1H), 4.04 – 3.98 (m, 3H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- *d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 166.40, 147.17, 118.73, 115.25, 111.07, 58.96, 55.60, 14.17, 12.58. **IR** (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3304, 2994, 2930, 2831, 2737, 2592, 1658, 1611, 1595, 1519. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S 337.1217 [M+H]; found 337.1217.

4.2.2.4. Ethyl 6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-4-(3-nitrophenyl)-1,4-dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2d**)

White solid, m.p. 215-218 °C [Lit. 220-221°C][77], 99% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.17 (s, 1H), 8.08 (dd, J = 8.1, 2.3 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 7.49 – 7.45 (m, 1H), 5.78 (s, 1H), 4.18 – 4.10 (m, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.60, 148.28, 147.05, 133.42, 129.26, 122.12, 122.00, 60.15, 14.24, 13.48.

4.2.2.5. Ethyl 4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2e**)

Yellow solid, m.p. 118-121 °C, 95% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.82 (s, 1H), 6.72 (t, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 5.90 (s, 2H), 5.53 (s, 1H), 4.10 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.40 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  190.59, 166.98, 147.61, 146.63, 139.19, 120.13, 107.93, 107.60, 100.91, 59.87, 14.29, 13.47. IR (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3325, 3278, 3223, 3078, 2978, 2931, 2906, 2782, 1854, 1668, 1617, 1501, 1485. HRMS (APCI) m/z calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M+H] 335.1060; found 335.1059. 4.2.2.6. Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4-

dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2f)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 126-129 °C [Lit. 135-136°C][77], 91% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.23 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.55 (s, 1H), 4.09 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.77 (s, 3H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.20 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.00, 158.96, 137.47, 128.14, 113.86, 59.85, 55.34, 14.36, 13.59.

4.2.2.7. Ethyl 6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-4-p-tolyl-1,4-dihydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (**2g**)

Beige solid, m.p. 169-171 °C [Lit. 175-176°C][77], 99% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.22 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.61 (s, 1H), 4.10 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 166.88, 141.90, 137.05, 129.47, 129.18, 128.46, 126.92, 126.61, 59.90, 21.20, 14.34, 13.80, 13.65.

4.2.2.8. *Ethyl* 4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2h**)

Orange solid, m.p. 58-61 °C, 99% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.91 (s, 1H), 6.84 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.58 (s, 1H), 4.11 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.84 (s, 6H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.33 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  167.02, 148.88, 148.33, 137.87, 118.94, 111.21, 110.69, 59.83, 55.93, 14.36, 13.55. **IR** (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3594, 3427, 3217, 3078, 2986, 2962, 2929, 2904, 2833, 2042, 1850, 1648, 1593, 1513, 1477. **HRMS** (APCI) m/z calculated for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>S [M+H] 351.1373; found 351.1375. 4.2.2.9. Ethyl 4-(3-bromophenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2i**)

Yellow solid, m.p. 101-104 °C, 98% yield; <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.43 (s, 1H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.63 (s, 1H), 5.67 (s, 1H), 4.17 – 4.05 (m, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.71, 147.29, 130.21, 130.03, 125.78, 122.49, 60.03, 14.35, 13.62. **IR** (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3308, 3231, 3092, 3064, 2988, 2927, 1658, 1619, 1589, 1566, 1489. **HRMS** (APCI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>17</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S [M+H] 369.0267; found 369.0245.

4.2.2.10. Ethyl 6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**2j**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 127-130 °C, 98% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.82 – 7.78 (m, 3H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.54 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 7.46 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 5.82 (s, 1H), 4.09 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.42 (s, 3H), 2.36 (s, 3H), 1.19 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  166.99, 142.16, 133.38, 132.90, 128.32, 128.17, 127.63, 125.97, 125.73, 125.35, 77.48, 77.16, 76.84, 59.91, 14.32, 13.60. IR (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3326, 3218, 3051, 2994, 2965, 2953, 2933, 1652, 1474; HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S 341.1318 [M+H]; found 341.1319.

4.2.2.11. Ethyl 4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-(methylthio)-1,4-

dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (2k)

Beige solid, m.p. 193-195°C, 90% yield; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- d<sub>6</sub>) δ 9.49 (s, 1H), 9.21 (s, 1H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.66 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 3.99 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101

MHz, DMSO- *d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 166.29, 127.49, 114.82, 58.85, 14.08, 12.47. **IR** (KBr) (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3310, 3068, 2992, 2935, 2798, 2725, 2672, 2590, 2513, 2468, 1658, 1609, 1589, 1511. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>S 307.1111 [M+H]; found 307.1111.

4.2.2.12. 7,7-dimethyl-2-(methylthio)-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-4,6,7,8tetrahydroquinazolin-5(1H)-one (**7a**)

Beige solid, m.p. 125-128 °C, 90% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.88 – 7.84 (m, 3H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.53 – 7.41 (m, 3H), 2.44 – 2.35 (m, 5H), 2.22 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.05 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 1H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.92 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  132.67, 132.13, 127.77, 127.35, 126.03, 125.57, 124.57, 50.44, 32.16, 28.92, 12.58. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3249, 3182, 3110, 3076, 2951, 2925, 2888, 2867, 2817, 2798, 2731, 1740, 1709, 1656, 1630, 1605, 1487. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS [M+H] 351.1526; found 351.1525.

4.2.2.13. 2-(methylthio)-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-4,6,7,8-tetrahydroquinazolin-5(1H)one (**7b**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 232-234 °C, 99% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.90 – 7.83 (m, 3H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.52 – 7.45 (m, 3H), 5.64 (s, 1H), 2.51 – 2.45 (m, 2H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.26 – 2.23 (m, 2H), 1.93 – 1.85 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  194.99, 142.24, 132.73, 132.20, 128.07, 127.84, 127.36, 126.05, 125.70, 125.38, 124.56, 36.86, 20.89, 12.65. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3245, 3168, 3055, 2933, 2872, 1709, 1646, 1626, 1601, 1481. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OS [M+H] 323.1213; found 323.1215.

4.2.2.14. Ethyl 6-methyl-2-(methylselanyl)-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-1,4dihydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**4a**)

Yellowish oil, 98% yield. <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.72 (s, 1H), 7.87 – 7.83 (m, 3H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.50 – 7.44 (m, 3H), 5.70 (s, 1H), 4.00 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>**C NMR** (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  166.28, 145.83, 145.27, 142.77, 132.77, 132.18, 128.00, 127.78, 127.36, 126.00, 125.59, 124.53, 97.82, 59.72, 59.01, 17.55, 14.10, 5.89. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3241, 3053, 2955, 2925, 2870, 2853, 1697, 1685, 1648, 1464, 1369. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 389.0764; found 389.0762.

4.2.3. General procedure for the synthesis of pyrimidinicselenoureas3a-k and8a-b

The procedure previously reported [54] was followed with modifications. In a two-necked round-bottom flask at room temperature and under argon atmosphere,  $Se^0$  (5.0 mmol) and ethanol (20 mL) were added followed by addition of NaBH<sub>4</sub> in a portionwise manner (10 mmol). The mixture was stirred until the solution became colourless. After this time, the appropriate isothioureas**2a-k** were added (1mmol). The reaction temperature was allowed to reach reflux temperature and left stirring for 15 h. The reaction mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate/water and the organic phase was dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub> and concentrated under vacuum. The resulting products presented high purity and were used without further purification.

4.2.3.1. Ethyl 6-methyl-4-phenyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (**3a**)

White solid, m.p. 191 – 193°C, 92% yield. <sup>1</sup>HNMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.66 (s, 1H); 10.19 (s, 1H); 7.20 – 7.40 (m, 5H); 5.19 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H); 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H); 2.30 (s, 1H); 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.39; 165.21; 144.12; 143.05; 128.66; 127.85; 126.48; 101.25; 59.76; 54.22; 17.03; 14.01. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  279.35. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3326; 3153; 3094; 2977; 2871; 1670; 1574; 1466. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 325.0450; found 325.0455.

4.2.3.2.Ethyl4-(3-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3b**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 193-195 °C, 80% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.62 (s, 1H), 10.14 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 9.48 (s, 1H), 7.13 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.69 – 6.64 (m, 3H), 5.10 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.12 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.29, 165.27, 157.52, 144.37, 143.90, 129.59, 117.08, 114.78, 113.31, 101.31, 59.76, 54.13, 17.02, 14.13, 14.04. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  277.41. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3308, 3163, 3110, 3012, 2982, 2951, 2935, 2870, 2472, 2366, 2219, 1925, 1847, 1666, 1617, 1589, 1573, 1475. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Se [M+H] 341.0399; found 341.0400.

4.2.3.3. *Ethyl* 4-(4-hydroxy-3-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3c**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 180-183 °C, 95% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.56 (s, 1H), 10.09 (s, 1H), 9.03 (s, 1H), 6.79 (s, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 1H), 4.02 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.10, 165.33, 147.40, 146.29,

143.63, 134.13, 118.68, 115.47, 111.03, 101.58, 59.66, 55.62, 53.86, 16.95, 14.05. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  274.68. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3480, 3321, 3151, 3094, 3002, 2972, 2937, 2906, 2847, 2362, 2217, 2076, 1887, 1668, 1648, 1603, 1572, 1517. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se [M+H] 371.0505; found 371.0503.

4.2.3.4. Ethyl 4-(3-aminophenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3d**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 189-192 °C, 80% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.53 (s, 1H), 10.07 (s, 1H), 6.96 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.47 – 6.44 (m, 1H), 6.41 (dd, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.37 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (s, 2H), 5.03 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 2.29 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.02, 165.25, 148.67, 143.51, 143.40, 128.88, 113.98, 113.33, 111.78, 101.38, 59.53, 54.42, 16.88, 13.93. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  274.04. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3390, 3363, 3294, 3165, 2974, 2900, 2874, 1929, 1717, 1672, 1654, 1607, 1572. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 340.0559; found 340.0560.

4.2.3.5. Ethyl 4-(benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-yl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3e**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 173-175 °C, 99% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.63 (s, 1H), 10.13 (s, 1H), 6.88 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.73 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.68 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 6.00 (s, 2H), 5.11 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.05 – 3.98 (m, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 1.11 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.21, 165.18, 147.44, 146.81, 144.03, 136.99, 119.77, 108.17, 106.76, 101.28, 101.12, 59.70, 53.85, 16.98, 13.99. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  278.71. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3316,

3159, 3102, 3011, 2976, 2935, 2894, 2782, 2613, 2360, 1850, 1666, 1573, 1499. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se [M+H] 369.0349; found 369.0344.

4.2.3.6. Ethyl 4-(4-methoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3f**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 125-127 °C, 95% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.62 (s, 1H), 10.15 (s, 1H), 7.15 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.91 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.14 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.09, 165.26, 158.87, 143.85, 135.29, 127.75, 113.95, 101.52, 59.70, 55.13, 53.65, 17.00, 14.02. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  276.26. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3308, 3155, 3104, 2978, 2933, 2902, 2835, 2360, 2046, 1889, 1707, 1689, 1664, 1609, 1573, 1509. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O3Se [M+H] 355.0556; found 355.0551.

4.2.3.7. *Ethyl* 6-methyl-2-selenoxo-4-p-tolyl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyrimidine-5carboxylate (**3g**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 155-158 °C, 65% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.63 (s, 1H), 10.16 (s, 1H), 7.16 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2H), 5.16 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 2.30 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.28, 165.24, 143.96, 140.17, 137.11, 129.15, 126.40, 101.37, 59.72, 53.93, 20.70, 17.00, 14.02. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  277.74. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3325, 3153, 3096, 3021, 2978, 2931, 2872, 2729, 2486, 2364, 2225, 1899, 1675, 1575, 1509, 1466. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 339.0607; found 339.0603. 4.2.3.8. *Ethyl* 4-(3,4-dimethoxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3h**)

Orange solid, m.p. 108-110 °C, 91% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.63 (s, 1H), 10.15 (s, 1H), 6.93 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (dd, J = 8.3, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (q, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.73 (s, 6H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.13 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.29, 165.32, 148.59, 148.46, 143.93, 135.54, 118.30, 111.87, 110.51, 101.41, 59.74, 55.55, 55.47, 53.78, 17.00, 14.08. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  276.38. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3531, 3306, 3155, 2976, 2935, 2906, 2835, 2600, 2370, 2278, 2043, 1882, 1705, 1662, 1573, 1515, 1462. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>Se [M+H] 385.0662; found 385.0665.

4.2.3.9. *Ethyl* 4-(3-bromophenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3i**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 201-203 °C, 95% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.72 (s, 1H), 10.20 (s, 1H), 7.50 (ddd, J = 7.9, 2.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.38 (dd, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.22 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (d, J = 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.08 – 3.95 (m, 2H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 1.10 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.81, 164.92, 145.44, 144.43, 130.87, 130.57, 129.25, 125.34, 121.62, 100.67, 59.70, 53.66, 16.93, 13.84. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  286.76. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3300, 3164, 3098, 2980, 2923, 2866, 1978, 1940, 1872, 1666, 1576, 1562, 1464. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>15</sub>BrN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 402.9552; found 402.9549.

4.2.3.10. Ethyl 6-methyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3***j*)

Yellow solid, m.p. 176-179 °C, 95% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.74 (s, 1H), 10.32 (s, 1H), 7.96 – 7.86 (m, 3H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.54 – 7.42 (m, 3H), 5.40 (s, 1H), 4.01 (q, J = 6.9 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 1.08 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  170.47, 165.21, 144.33, 140.35, 132.64, 132.50, 128.61, 127.95, 127.55, 126.48, 126.25, 125.11, 124.80, 101.03, 59.72, 54.54, 17.10, 13.99. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  280.93. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3310, 3157, 3098, 3055, 2974, 2939, 2866, 1950, 1921, 1905, 1821, 1664, 1601, 1568, 1456. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>Se [M+H] 375.0607; found 375.0605.

4.2.3.11. Ethyl 4-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-6-methyl-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4tetrahydropyrimidine-5-carboxylate (**3k**)

Yellowish solid, m.p. 159-161 °C, 86% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  10.55 (s, 1H), 10.08 (s, 1H), 9.45 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H), 5.07 (d, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H), 4.03 – 3.96 (m, 2H), 2.28 (s, 3H), 1.09 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  169.93, 165.22, 156.96, 143.42, 133.62, 127.66, 115.15, 101.68, 59.53, 53.71, 16.87, 13.92. <sup>77</sup>Se NMR (76 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  274.33. IR (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3323, 3178, 3000, 2980, 2935, 2694, 2554, 2466, 2417, 1923, 1899, 1715, 1664, 1611, 1573. HRMS (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Se [M+H] 341.0399; found 341.0404.

4.2.3.12. 7,7-dimethyl-4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4,7,8hexahydroquinazolin-5(6H)-one (**8a**)

Off white solid, m.p. 236-238 °C, 92% yield. <sup>1</sup>**H NMR** (200 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 10.95 (s, 1H), 10.33 (s, 1H), 7.93 – 7.88 (m, 3H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.54 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.39 (dd,

J = 8.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (s, 2H), 2.26 (d, J = 16.2 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (d, J = 16.3 Hz, 1H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$ 194.05, 171.21, 147.75, 140.18, 132.59, 132.37, 128.45, 127.85, 127.49, 126.43, 126.16, 125.17, 124.64, 108.24, 52.81, 49.85, 38.37, 32.23, 28.78, 26.72. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3233, 3159, 3061, 2990, 2962, 2925, 2890, 2868, 2849, 1966, 1911, 1846, 1811, 1738, 1707, 1658, 1626, 1568, 1509, 1460. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OSe [M+H] 385.0814; found 385.0817.

4.2.3.13. 4-(naphthalen-2-yl)-2-selenoxo-1,2,3,4,7,8-hexahydroquinazolin-5(6H)one (**8b**)

Off white solid, m.p. 230-233 °C, 86% yield. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (200 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  11.00 (s, 1H), 10.36 (s, 1H), 7.93 – 7.86 (m, 3H), 7.70 (s, 1H), 7.53 – 7.49 (m, 2H), 7.43 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (d, J = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 2.54 – 2.47 (m, 2H), 2.32 – 2.25 (m, 2H), 2.02 – 1.80 (m, 2H). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (50 MHz, DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  194.33, 171.05, 149.85, 140.10, 132.61, 132.38, 128.44, 127.89, 127.46, 126.36, 126.12, 125.00, 124.78, 109.11, 52.47, 36.35, 25.21, 20.43. **IR** (KBr), (v, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3245, 3159, 3055, 2953, 2923, 2870, 1626, 1564, 1507, 1456. **HRMS** (APPI) m/z calculated for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>16</sub>N<sub>2</sub>OSe [M+H] 357.0501; found 357.0501.

## 4.3. Biological and molecular assays

#### 4.3.1. Cell culture

MCF-7 cells (human breast carcinoma), HeLa (human cervical adenocarcinoma) and McCoy (normal fibroblasts) were obtained from the Rio de Janeiro cell bank, Brazil, and were cultured at 37°C under 5% CO<sub>2</sub> atmosphere with 95% air humidity and

allowed to reach confluence. Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium used in cell culture was supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin (100 U/mL) and streptomycin (100  $\mu$ g/mL).

#### 4.3.2. Citotoxicity assay

The cytotoxic effects of pyrimidinic selenoureas on tumour and normal cells were measured using the tetrazolium salt method (MTT) as described previously by Mosmann [78].Briefly, MCF-7, HeLa and McCoy cells ( $10^4$ /well) were plated into 96-well plates. At confluence, the cells were exposed to pyrimidinic selenoureas and to a positive control agents (Monastrol and 5-FU) at increasing concentrations (0.1, 1, 10, 100 and 1000  $\mu$ M) for 72 h. In control wells, the cells were incubated in a medium containing 1%DMSO. The cells were then washed twice with PBS and incubated for 2 h with MTT (0.5 mg/mL). The formazan crystals were solubilized by adding DMSO (100  $\mu$ L/well), and the colored solutions were spectrophotometrically measured at 550 nm. Three independent experiments were conducted, and the results are presented as IC<sub>50</sub> values.

The degree of selectivity of pyrimidinic selenoureas for tumour cells was calculated according to Koch et al [39]:  $SI = IC_{50}$  of the compound in normal cell line/IC<sub>50</sub> of the compound in cancer cell line.

## 4.3.3. Antiproliferative effects

The effects on cell proliferation were examined by the colony formation assay, according to Franken et al [79]. MCF-7 cells (500/well) were allowed to set in six-well plates for 24 h. The medium was then replaced by one containing pyrimidinic selenoureas at non-cytotoxic concentrations and incubated for 72 h. In control wells,

cells were incubated in medium containing 1% DMSO. After treatment, cells were washed twice with warm PBS, and fresh medium was added. The cells were incubated for 15 days and then stained with crystal violet and colonies were counted.

#### 4.3.4. Cell death induced by pyrimidinic selenoureas

MCF-7 cells ( $2 \times 10^5$ /well) were plated into 6-well plates and, after confluence, received treatments with the pyrimidinic selenoureas at non-toxic concentrations for 72 h. After washing, cells were stained with a solution (6 µL, 1:1) of acridine orange (100 µg/mL) plus propidium iodide (100 µg/mL). Subsequently, cells (300/glass slide) from triplicates of each treatment were categorized through microscopy as being viable, apoptotic or necrotic [80].

#### 4.3.5. DNA binding and intercalation

DNA-binding experiments were performed by UV-Vis spectrometry [81]. Absorption titration experiments were done using different concentrations of pyrimidinic selenoureas (50-350  $\mu$ M), while keeping constant the concentration of CT-DNA (150  $\mu$ M). Spectra were obtained by reading the absorption from 230 to 800 nm in a Hitachi U-2910 spectrophotometer. General changes in terms of absorption as well as the displacement of maximum absorption were evaluated.

DNA intercalation was evaluated by fluorescence measurements using a TECAN Infinity M200 microplate reader, according to a protocol adapted from Silveiraet al [82]. CT-DNA (150  $\mu$ M) was saturated with the DNA-intercalating agent propidium iodide (300  $\mu$ M) in a 50 mM phosphate buffer containing 0.1 M NaCl (pH 7.4). Doxorubicin, an antitumor drug known for its ability to intercalate DNA base pairs, was used as a positive control. Fluorescence titrations were conducted by keeping

constant the concentration of CT-DNA and propidium iodide and varying the concentration of pyrimidinic selenoureas (0-350  $\mu$ M). The excitation and emission wavelengths were 535 nm and 617 nm, respectively.

#### 4.3.6. Evaluation of DNA fragmentation by Comet Assay

Cells treated with non-toxic concentrations of pyrimidinic selenoureas for 72 h were suspended in low-melting temperature agarose (0.75%) and then deposited on slides containing a thin layer of agarose (1%) and allowed to set for 10 min at room temperature. After this, the slides were submerged for 5-7 days in a lysis solution (2.5 mMNaCl, 100 mM EDTA, 1% Triton X-100, 10% DMSO, 10 mMTris, pH 10.0) at 4°C. Slides were then subjected to horizontal electrophoresis at 300 mA, 8°C, for 20 min in a tank with buffer (NaOH 300 mM, EDTA 1 mM, pH 13) and subsequently washed with a neutralizing solution (Tris-HCl 0.4 M, pH 7.5). A fixing solution (15% trichloroacetic acid, 5% ZnSO<sub>4</sub>, 5% glycerol) was added for 10 min, followed by washing and drying. The slides were stained with AgNO<sub>3</sub> (0.001 g/mL) and analysed under an optical microscope. The results are expressed as damage index (score 0-4) [83].

#### 4.3.7. Cell cycle arrest

The distribution of cells in phases of the cell cycle was assessed according to the cellular DNA content as measured by flow cytometry using a PI/RNAse solution kit from Immunostep<sup>®</sup> (Salamanca, Spain) and followed the procedure provided by the manufacturer. MCF-7 cells  $(2 \times 10^5)$  were plated in 6-well plates. After adhesion, cells were synchronized using nocodazole (30 ng/mL) for 12 h. Then, the medium was replaced by one containing pyrimidinic selenoureas at non-toxic concentrations and the

cells were incubated for 72 h. The cells were washed and fixed carefully in cold ethanol (70%) at -20 °C overnight. Again, the cells were washed with PBS, resuspended and incubated with PI/RNAse solution for 15 min at room temperature. Finally, cells were evaluated by FACSCanto II (BD Biosciences) flow cytometer. Data were processed using Flowing Software 2.5.

#### 4.3.8. Data analysis

Assays were performed in triplicate. Data were analysed with the ANOVA test followed by Bonferroni's and Tukey's test. Comparisons and  $IC_{50}$  values were processed using GraphPad Prism 5.0 software (San Diego, USA). Values of p < 0.05 were considered to be statistically significant.

#### **Conflict of interest**

The authors declare no conflicts of interest associated with this paper.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful for financial support from CAPES (Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior), CNPq Grant (Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico) Brazil and CEBIME(Laboratório Central de Biologia Molecular Estrutural) for mass analysis.

#### References

- P. Biginelli, Derivati Aldeiduredici Degli Eteri Acetil- e Dossal-Acetico, Gazz. Chim. Ital. 23 (1893) 360–416.
- [2] L. Ismaili, A. Nadaradjane, L. Nicod, C. Guyon, A. Xicluna, J.-F. Robert, B.

Refouvelet, **Synthesis** of and antioxidant activity evaluation new hexahydropyrimido[5,4-c]quinoline-2,5-diones and 2thioxohexahydropyrimido[5,4-c]quinoline-5-ones obtained by Biginelli reaction Eur. J. Med. Chem. 43 (2008)1270-1275. in two steps., doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2007.07.012.

- H.A. Stefani, C.B. Oliveira, R.B. Almeida, C.M.P. Pereira, R.C. Braga, R. Cella, V.C. Borges, L. Savegnago, C.W. Nogueira, Dihydropyrimidin-(2H)-ones obtained by ultrasound irradiation: a new class of potential antioxidant agents., Eur. J. Med. Chem. 41 (2006) 513–518. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2006.01.007.
- [4] D.L. Da Silva, F.S. Reis, D.R. Muniz, A.L.T.G. Ruiz, J.E. De Carvalho, A.A. Sabino, L. V. Modolo, Â. De Fátima, Free radical scavenging and antiproliferative properties of Biginelli adducts, Bioorganic Med. Chem. 20 (2012) 2645–2650. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2012.02.036.
- [5] H. Zhi, C. Zhang, Z. Cheng, Z. Jin, E. Huang, S. Li, H. Lin, D.C. Wan, C. Hu, 6-acetyl-5H-thiazolo[3,2-a]pyrimidine derivatives as the novel acetylcholinesterase inhibitors: design, synthesis, and biological activity., Med. Chem. (Los. Angeles).
  9 (2013) 703–9. http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23270368.
- [6] S. Arunkhamkaew, A. Athipornchai, N. Apiratikul, A. Suksamrarn, V. Ajavakom, Novel racemic tetrahydrocurcuminoid dihydropyrimidinone analogues as potent acetylcholinesterase inhibitors., Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 23 (2013) 2880–2. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2013.03.069.
- S. Terracciano, G. Lauro, M. Strocchia, K. Fischer, O. Werz, R. Riccio, I. Bruno,
   G. Bifulco, Structural insights for the optimization of dihydropyrimidin-2(1 H) one based mPGES-1 inhibitors, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 6 (2015) 187–191.
   doi:10.1021/ml500433j.

- [8] R. Kaur, S. Chaudhary, K. Kumar, M.K. Gupta, R.K. Rawal, Recent synthetic and medicinal perspectives of dihydropyrimidinones: A review, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 132 (2017) 108–134. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.03.025.
- [9] U. Soumyanarayanan, V.G. Bhat, S.S. Kar, J.A. Mathew, Monastrol mimic Biginelli dihydropyrimidinone derivatives: synthesis, cytotoxicity screening against HepG2 and HeLa cell lines and molecular modeling study, Org. Med. Chem. Lett. 2 (2012) 1. doi:10.1186/2191-2858-2-23.
- [10] F.M. Awadallah, G.A. Piazza, B.D. Gary, A.B. Keeton, J.C. Canzoneri, Synthesis of some dihydropyrimidine-based compounds bearing pyrazoline moiety and evaluation of their antiproliferative activity, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 70 (2013) 273– 279. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2013.10.003.
- B.S. Holla, B.S. Rao, B.K. Sarojini, P.M. Akberali, One pot synthesis of thiazolodihydropyrimidinones and evaluation of their anticancer activity, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 39 (2004) 777–783. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2004.06.001.
- [12] L.M. Ramos, B.C. Guido, C.C. Nobrega, J.R. Correa, R.G. Silva, H.C.B. De Oliveira, A.F. Gomes, F.C. Gozzo, B.A.D. Neto, The Biginelli Reaction with an Imidazolium–Tagged Recyclable Iron Catalyst: Kinetics, Mechanism, and Antitumoral Activity, Chem. A Eur. J. 19 (2013) 4156–4168. doi:10.1002/chem.201204314.
- T.U. Mayer, T.M. Kapoor, S.J. Haggarty, R.W. King, S.L. Schreiber, T.J. Mitchison, Small Molecule Inhibitor of Mitotic Spindle Bipolarity Identified in a Phenotype-Based Screen, Science. 286 (1999) 971–974. doi:10.1126/science.286.5441.971.
- [14] D. Russowsky, R.F.S. Canto, S.A.A. Sanches, M.G.M. D'Oca, A. de Fátima,R.A. Pilli, L.K. Kohn, M.A. Antônio, J.E. de Carvalho, Synthesis and differential

antiproliferative activity of Biginelli compounds against cancer cell lines: Monastrol, oxo-monastrol and oxygenated analogues., Bioorg. Chem. 34 (2006) 173–82. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2006.04.003.

- [15] B.R. Prashantha Kumar, G. Sankar, R.B. Nasir Baig, S. Chandrashekaran, Novel Biginelli dihydropyrimidines with potential anticancer activity: a parallel synthesis and CoMSIA study., Eur. J. Med. Chem. 44 (2009) 4192–4198. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2009.05.014.
- K. V. Sashindhara, S.R. Avula, K. Sharma, G.R. Palnati, S.R. Bathula, Discovery of coumarin-monastrol hybrid as potential antibreast tumor-specific agent, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 60 (2013) 120–127. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2012.11.044.
- [17] K. V Sashidhara, L.R. Singh, M. Shameem, S. Shakya, A. Kumar, T.S. Laxman, S. Krishna, M.I. Siddiqi, R.S. Bhatta, D. Banerjee, Design, synthesis and anticancer activity of dihydropyrimidinone–semicarbazone hybrids as potential human DNA ligase 1 inhibitors, Medchemcomm. 7 (2016) 2349–2363. doi:10.1039/C6MD00447D.
- [18] T.G.M. Treptow, F. Figueiró, E.H.F. Jandrey, A.M.O. Battastini, C.G. Salbego, J.B. Hoppe, P.S. Taborda, S.B. Rosa, L.A. Piovesan, C. da R.M. D'Oca, D. Russowsky, M.G.M. D'Oca, Novel hybrid DHPM-fatty acids: Synthesis and activity against glioma cell growth in vitro, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 95 (2015) 552– 562. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.03.062.
- [19] M.M. de Moraes, T.G.M. Treptow, W.K.O. Teixeira, L.A. Piovesan, M.G.M. D'Oca, A.P. de S. Votto, Fatty-monastrol derivatives and its cytotoxic effect against melanoma cell growth, Bioorg. Chem. 72 (2017) 148–155. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2017.04.011.
- [20] K.P. Bhabak, G. Mugesh, Functional mimics of glutathione peroxidase:

bioinspired synthetic antioxidants., Acc. Chem. Res. 43 (2010) 1408–19. doi:10.1021/ar100059g.

- [21] E.E. Alberto, V. Do Nascimento, A.L. Braga, Catalytic application of selenium and tellurium compounds as glutathione peroxidase enzyme mimetics, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 21 (2010) 2032–2041. doi:10.1590/S0103-50532010001100004.
- [22] R. Sinha, K. El-Bayoumy, Apoptosis is a Critical Cellular Event in Cancer Chemoprevention and Chemotherapy by Selenium Compounds, Curr. Cancer Drug Targets. 4 (2004) 13–28.
- [23] H. Rikiishi, Apoptotic cellular events for selenium compounds involved in cancer prevention, J. Bioenerg. Biomembr. 39 (2007) 91–98. doi:10.1007/s10863-006-9065-7.
- [24] M. Suzuki, M. Endo, F. Shinohara, Differential apoptotic response of human cancer cells to organoselenium compounds, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 66 (2010) 475–484. doi:10.1007/s00280-009-1183-6.
- [25] H. Hu, C. Jiang, G. Li, J. Lü, PKB/AKT and ERK regulation of caspase-mediated apoptosis by methylseleninic acid in LNCaP prostate cancer cells, Carcinogenesis. 26 (2005) 1374–1381. doi:10.1093/carcin/bgi094.
- [26] K.M. Nicholson, N.G. Anderson, The protein kinase B / Akt signalling pathway in human malignancy, Cell. Signal. 14 (2002) 381–395.
- [27] I. Shiojima, K. Walsh, Role of Akt signaling in vascular homeostasis and angiogenesis, Circ. Res. 90 (2002) 1243–1250. doi:10.1161/01.RES.0000022200.71892.9F.
- [28] J. Yeo, S. Cha, C. Cho, S. Kim, J. Cho, Se-methylselenocysteine induces apoptosis through caspase activation and Bax cleavage mediated by calpain in SKOV-3 ovarian cancer cells, Cancer Lett. 182 (2002) 83–92.

- [29] C. Fan, W. Zheng, X. Fu, X. Li, Y. Wong, T. Chen, Strategy to enhance the therapeutic effect of doxorubicin in human hepatocellular carcinoma by selenocystine, a synergistic agent that regulates the ROS-mediated signaling, Oncotarget. 5 (2014) 2853–2863.
- [30] L. Wang, Z. Yang, J. Fu, H. Yin, K. Xiong, Q. Tan, H. Jin, J. Li, T. Wang, W. Tang, J. Yin, G. Cai, M. Liu, S. Kehr, K. Becker, H. Zeng, Ethaselen: a potent mammalian thioredoxin reductase 1 inhibitor and novel organoselenium anticancer agent, Free Radic. Biol. Med. 52 (2012) 898–908. doi:10.1016/j.freeradbiomed.2011.11.034.
- [31] L. Zhang, L. Zhou, J. Du, M. Li, C. Qian, Y. Cheng, Y. Peng, J. Xie, D. Wang, Induction of Apoptosis in Human Multiple Myeloma Cell Lines by Ebselen via Enhancing the Endogenous Reactive Oxygen Species Production, Biomed Res. Int. 2014 (2014) 1 –10. doi:10.1155/2014/696107.
- [32] M. Doering, L. a Ba, N. Lilienthal, C. Nicco, C. Scherer, M. Abbas, A.A.P. Zada,
   R. Coriat, T. Burkholz, L. Wessjohann, M. Diederich, F. Batteux, M. Herling, C.
   Jacob, Synthesis and selective anticancer activity of organochalcogen based
   redox catalysts., J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 6954–63. doi:10.1021/jm100576z.
- [33] Z. Wen, J. Xu, Z. Wang, H. Qi, Q. Xu, Z. Bai, Q. Zhang, K. Bao, Y. Wu, W. Zhang, 3-(3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenylselenyl)-1H-indoles and their selenoxides as combretastatin A-4 analogs: Microwave-assisted synthesis and biological evaluation, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 90 (2015) 184–194. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.11.024.
- [34] E.H.G. da Cruz, M.A. Silvers, G.A.M. Jardim, J.M. Resende, B.C. Cavalcanti,I.S. Bomfim, C. Pessoa, C.A. De Simone, G. V Botteselle, A.L. Braga, D.K.Nair, I.N.N. Namboothiri, D.A. Boothman, E.N. da S. Júnior, Synthesis and

antitumor activity of selenium-containing quinone-based triazoles possessing two redox centres, and their mechanistic insights, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 122 (2016) 1 – 16. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.06.019.

- [35] A. Vieira, I.R. Brand, W.O. Valença, C.A. de Simone, B.C. Cavalcanti, C. Pessoa, T.R. Carneiro, A.L. Braga, E.N. da S. Júnior, Hybrid compounds with two redox centres : Modular synthesis of chalcogen-containing lapachones and studies on their antitumor activity, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 101 (2015) 254–265. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.06.044.
- [36] I.L. Martins, C. Charneira, V. Gandin, J.L. Ferreira da Silva, G.C. Justino, J.P. Telo, A.J.S.C. Vieira, C. Marzano, A.M.M. Antunes, Selenium-containing Chrysin and Quercetin Derivatives: Attractive scaffolds for cancer therapy., J. Med. Chem. 58 (2015) 4250–4265. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00230.
- [37] D. Plano, D.N. Karelia, M.K. Pandey, J.E. Spallholz, S. Amin, A.K. Sharma, Design, Synthesis, and Biological Evaluation of Novel Selenium (Se- NSAID) Molecules as Anticancer Agents, J. Med. Chem. 59 (2016) 1946–1959. doi:10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b01503.
- [38] J. Yan, Y. Guo, Y. Wang, F. Mao, L. Huang, X. Li, Design, synthesis, and biological evaluation of benzoselenazole- stilbene hybrids as multi-targetdirected anti-cancer agents, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 95 (2015) 220–229. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.03.030.
- [39] J.I. Olsen, G.B. Plata, J.M. Padrón, Ó. López, M. Bols, J.G. Fernández-Bolaños, Selenoureido-iminosugars : A new family of multitarget drugs, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 123 (2016) 155–160. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2016.07.021.
- [40] L.L. Romero-Hernandez, P. Merino-Montiel, S. Montiel-Smith, S. Meza-Reyes,J.L. Vega-Báez, I. Abasolo, S. Schwartz, O. López, J.G. Fernández-Bolaños,

Diosgenin-based thio(seleno)ureas and triazolyl glycoconjugates as hybrid drugs. Antioxidant and antiproliferative profile, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 99 (2015) 67–81. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2015.05.018.

- [41] P. Merino-Montiel, S. Maza, S. Martos, Ó. López, I. Maya, J.G. Fernández-Bolaños, Synthesis and antioxidant activity of O-alkyl selenocarbamates, selenoureas and selenohydantoins, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci. 48 (2013) 582–592. doi:10.1016/j.ejps.2012.12.016.
- [42] E. Ibáñez, D. Plano, M. Font, A. Calvo, C. Prior, J.A. Palop, C. Sanmartín, Synthesis and antiproliferative activity of novel symmetrical alkylthio- and alkylseleno-imidocarbamates., Eur. J. Med. Chem. 46 (2011) 265–74. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2010.11.013.
- [43] E. Klein, S. DeBonis, B. Thiede, D. a Skoufias, F. Kozielski, L. Lebeau, New chemical tools for investigating human mitotic kinesin Eg5, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 15 (2007) 6474–88. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2007.06.016.
- [44] S. Kolb, O. Mondesert, M.L. Goddard, D. Jullien, B.O. Villoutreix, B. Ducommun, C. Garbay, E. Braud, Development of novel thiazolopyrimidines as CDC25B phosphatase inhibitors, ChemMedChem. 4 (2009) 633–648. doi:10.1002/cmdc.200800415.
- [45] L.H. Hurley, DNA and its Associated Processes as Targets for Cancer Therapy, Nat. Rev. Cancer. 2 (2002) 188–200. doi:10.1038/nrc749.
- [46] G. Wang, X. Li, Y. Gou, Y. Chen, C. Yan, Y. Lu, DNA binding properties and biological evaluation of dihydropyrimidinones derivatives as potential antitumor agents, Spectrochim. Acta Part A Mol. Biomol. Spectrosc. 114 (2013) 214–219. doi:10.1016/j.saa.2013.05.078.
- [47] G. Wang, C. Yan, Y. Lu, Exploring DNA binding properties and biological

activities of dihydropyrimidinones derivatives, Colloids Surfaces B Biointerfaces. 106 (2013) 28–36. doi:10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.01.019.

- [48] G. Wang, C. Yan, D. Wang, D. Li, Y. Lu, Specific binding of a dihydropyrimidinone derivative with DNA: Spectroscopic, calorimetric and modeling investigations, J. Lumin. 132 (2012) 1656–1662. doi:10.1016/j.jlumin.2012.02.021.
- [49] D. Caeran Bueno, D.F. Meinerz, J. Allebrandt, E.P. Waczuk, D.B. Dos Santos, D.O.C. Mariano, J.B.T. Rocha, Cytotoxicity and genotoxicity evaluation of organochalcogens in human leucocytes: A comparative study between ebselen, diphenyl diselenide, and diphenyl ditelluride, Biomed Res. Int. 2013 (2013) 1–6. doi:10.1155/2013/537279.
- [50] A. Fuentes-Aguilar, L.L. Romero-Hernández, A. Arenas-González, P. Merino-Montiel, S. Montiel-Smith, S. Meza-Reyes, J.L. Vega-Báez, G.B. Plata, J.M. Padrón, Ó. López, J.G. Fernández-Bolaños, New selenosteroids as antiproliferative agents, Org. Biomol. Chem. 15 (2017) 5041–5054. doi:10.1039/c7ob00458c.
- [51] F.A.R. Barbosa, R.F.S. Canto, S. Saba, J. Rafique, A.L. Braga, Synthesis and evaluation of dihydropyrimidinone-derived selenoesters as multi-targeted directed compounds against Alzheimer's disease, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 24 (2016) 5762–5770. doi:10.1016/j.bmc.2016.09.031.
- [52] R.F.S. Canto, F.A.R. Barbosa, V. Nascimento, A.S. de Oliveira, I.M.C. Brighente, A.L. Braga, Design, synthesis and evaluation of selenodihydropyrimidinones as potential multi-targeted therapeutics for Alzheimer's disease, Org. Biomol. Chem. 12 (2014) 3470–7. doi:10.1039/c4ob00598h.
- [53] K. Nepali, S. Sharma, M. Sharma, P.M.S. Bedi, K.L. Dhar, Rational approaches,

design strategies, structure activity relationship and mechanistic insights for anticancer hybrids, Eur. J. Med. Chem. 77 (2014) 422–487. doi:10.1016/j.ejmech.2014.03.018.

- [54] D.L. Klayman, R.J. Shine, A New Synthesis of Selenoureas and Selenothiocarbamic Esters from Thioureas, J. Org. Chem. 34 (1969) 3549–3551.
- [55] D. Huber, G. Andermann, G. Leclerc, Selective reduction of aromatic / aliphatic nitro groups by sodium sulfide., Tetrahedron Lett. 29 (1988) 635–638. doi:10.1016/S0040-4039(00)80169-0.
- H.Y.K. Kaan, V. Ulaganathan, O. Rath, H. Prokopcová, D. Dallinger, C.O. [56] Kappe, F. Kozielski. Structural basis for inhibition of Eg5 by dihydropyrimidines: stereoselectivity of antimitotic inhibitors enastron, dimethylenastron and fluorastrol., J. Med. Chem. 53 (2010) 5676-83. doi:10.1021/jm100421n.
- [57] R.B. Badisa, S.F. Darling-reed, P. Joseph, S. John, L.M. Latinwo, C.B. Goodman, Selective Cytotoxic Activities of Two Novel Synthetic Drugs on Human Breast Carcinoma MCF-7 Cells, Anticancer Res. 29 (2009) 2993–2996.
- [58] C. Müller, D. Gross, V. Sarli, M. Gartner, A. Giannis, G. Bernhardt, A. Buschauer, Inhibitors of kinesin Eg5: Antiproliferative activity of monastrol analogues against human glioblastoma cells, Cancer Chemother. Pharmacol. 59 (2007) 157–164. doi:10.1007/s00280-006-0254-1.
- [59] B.C. Guido, L.M. Ramos, D.O. Nolasco, C.C. Nobrega, B.Y.G. Andrade, A. Pictaylor, B.A.D. Neto, J.R. Corrêa, Impact of kinesin Eg5 inhibition by various breast cancer cell features, BMC Cancer. 15 (2015) 283. doi:10.1186/s12885-015-1274-1.
- [60] X. Sun, X. Shi, X. Sun, Y. Luo, X. Wu, C. Yao, H. Yu, D. Li, M. Liu, J. Zhou,

Dimethylenastron suppresses human pancreatic cancer cell migration and invasion in vitro via allosteric inhibition of mitotic kinesin Eg5, Acta Pharmacol. Sin. 32 (2011) 1543–8. doi:10.1038/aps.2011.130.

- [61] Y. Liu, H. Lee, M. Lai, S. Pan, H. Huang, F. Kuo, M. Chen, J. Liou, Pyrimidinedione-mediated selective histone deacetylase 6 inhibitors with antitumor activity in colorectal cancer HCT116 cells, Org. Biomol. Chem. 13 (2015) 10226–10235. doi:10.1039/C5OB01509J.
- [62] C. Cerella, M. Teiten, F. Radogna, M. Dicato, M. Diederich, From nature to bedside : Pro-survival and cell death mechanisms as therapeutic targets in cancer treatment, Biotechnol. Adv. 32 (2014) 1111–1122.
- [63] F. Delom, A. Emadali, E. Cocolakis, J. Lebrun, A. Nantel, E. Chevet, Calnexindependent regulation of tunicamycin- induced apoptosis in breast carcinoma MCF-7 cells, Cell Death Differ. 14 (2007) 586–596. doi:10.1038/sj.cdd.4402012.
- [64] H.L. Borges, R. Linden, J.Y.J. Wang, DNA damage-induced cell death: Lessons from the central nervous system, Cell Res. 18 (2008) 17–26. doi:10.1038/cr.2007.110.
- [65] S. Kashanian, S. Askari, F. Ahmadi, K. Omidfar, S. Ghobadi, F.A. Tarighat, In Vitro Study of DNA Interaction with Clodinafop-Propargyl Herbicide, DNA Cell Biol. 27 (2008) 581–586.
- [66] P. Chakraborty, S. Singha, A. Basu, S. Bhattacharya, Sensitization of cancer cells to cyclophosphamide therapy by an organoselenium compound through ROSmediated apoptosis, Biomed. Pharmacother. 84 (2016) 1992–1999.
- [67] E. Senturk, J.J. Manfredi, p53 and Cell Cycle Effects After DNA Damage, Methods Mol. Biol. 962 (2013) 49–61. doi:10.1007/978-1-62703-236-0.
- [68] Molinspiration, (Online). http://www.molinspiration.com/cgi-bin/properties

(accessed July 2, 2016).

- [69] P.J. Lipinski, C.A.; Lombardo, F.; Dominy, B.W.; Feeney, Experimental and computational approaches to estimate solubility and permeability in drug discovery and development settings, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 46 (2001) 3–26.
- [70] D.F. Veber, S.R. Johnson, H. Cheng, B.R. Smith, K.W. Ward, K.D. Kopple, Molecular Properties That Influence the Oral Bioavailability of Drug Candidates, J. Med. Chem. 45 (2002) 2615–2623. doi:10.1021/jm020017n.
- [71] R.F.S. Canto, A. Bernardi, A.M.O. Battastini, D. Russowsky, V.L. Eifler-Lima, Synthesis of dihydropyrimidin-2-one/thione library and cytotoxic activity against the human U138-MG and Rat C6 glioma cell lines, J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 22 (2011). doi:10.1590/S0103-50532011000700025.
- [72] S. Das Sharma, P. Gogoi, D. Konwar, A highly efficient and green method for the synthesis of 3,4-dihydropyrimidin-2-ones and 1,5-benzodiazepines catalyzed by dodecyl sulfonic acid in water, Green Chem. 9 (2007) 153–157. doi:10.1039/b611327c.
- [73] H. Khabazzadeh, K. Saidi, H. Sheibani, Microwave-assisted synthesis of dihydropyrimidin-2(1H)-ones using graphite supported lanthanum chloride as a mild and efficient catalyst, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 18 (2008) 278–280. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2007.10.087.
- [74] A. Mobinikhaledi, N. Forughifar, Microwave-Assisted Synthesis of Some Pyrimidine Derivatives, Phosphorus. Sulfur. Silicon Relat. Elem. 181 (2006) 2653–2658. doi:10.1080/10426500600862977.
- [75] M. Matloobi, C.O. Kappe, Microwave-assisted solution- and solid-phase synthesis of 2-amino-4-arylpyrimidine derivatives., J. Comb. Chem. 9 (2007) 275–84. doi:10.1021/cc0601377.

- [76] C.O. Kappe, P. Roschger, Synthesis and reactions of Biginelli Compounds. Part I, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 26 (1989) 55–64.
- [77] K. Rana, B. Kaur, G. Chaudhary, S. Kumar, S. Goyal, Synthesis and Anti-ulcer Activity of Some Dihydropyrimidines, Int. J. Pharm. Sci. Drug Res. 3 (2011) 226–229.
- [78] T. Mosmann, Rapid colorimetric assay for cellular growth and survival: application to proliferation and cytotoxicity assays, J. Immunol. Methods. 65 (1983) 55–63.
- [79] N.A.P. Franken, H.M. Rodermond, J. Stap, J. Haveman, C. van Bree, Clonogenic assay of cells in vitro, Nat. Protoc. 1 (2006) 2315–2319. doi:10.1038/nprot.2006.339.
- [80] A.J. McGahon, S.J. Martin, R.P. Bissonnette, A. Mahboubi, Y. Shi, R.J. Mogil,
   W.K. Nishioka, D.R. Green, The end of the (cell) line: methods for the study of apoptosis in vitro., Methods Cell Biol. 46 (1995) 153–85.
- [81] M. Navarro, E.J. Cisneros-Fajardo, M. Fernandez-Mestre, D. Arrieche, E. Marchan, Synthesis, characterization, DNA binding study and biological activity against Leishmania mexicana of [Cu(dppz)2]BF4, J. Inorg. Biochem. 97 (2003) 364–369. doi:10.1016/S0162-0134(03)00290-3.
- [82] V.C. da Silveira, H. Benezra, J.S. Luz, R.C. Georg, C.C. Oliveira, A.M. da C. Ferreira, Binding of oxindole-Schiff base copper(II) complexes to DNA and its modulation by the ligand, J. Inorg. Biochem. 105 (2011) 1692–1703. doi:10.1016/j.jinorgbio.2011.09.016.
- [83] G.M. Ross, T.J. McMillan, P. Wilcox, A.R. Collins, The single cell microgel electrophoresis assay (comet assay): technical aspects and applications: Report on the 5th LH Gray Trust Workshop, Institute of Cancer Research, 1994, Mutat.

Res. Repair. 337 (1995) 57-60. doi:10.1016/0921-8777(95)00007-7.

- 14 Pyrimidinic selenoureas synthesized and evaluated against cancer cell lines
- Pyrimidinic selenourea **8a** caused DNA damage, inhibited cell proliferation and cell cycle arrest
- The pharmacokinetic parameters were favorable for compound **8a**