# Nickel-Catalyzed Dearomative trans-1,2-Carboamination

Lucas W. Hernandez, Ulrich Klöckner, Jola Pospech, Lilian Hauss, and David Sarlah

## Just Accepted

# Nickel-Catalyzed Dearomative *trans*-1,2-Carboamination

Lucas W. Hernandez, Ulrich Klöckner, Jola Pospech, Lilian Hauss, David Sarlah*

Roger Adams Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois, Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States.

*Supporting Information Placeholder*

**ABSTRACT:** We describe the development of an arenophile-mediated, nickel-catalyzed dearomative *trans*-1,2-carboamination protocol. A range of readily available aromatic compounds was converted to the corresponding dienes using Grignard reagents as nucleophiles. This strategy provided products with exclusive *trans*-selectivity and high enantioselectivity was observed in case of benzene and naphthalene. The utility of this methodology was showcased by controlled and stereoselective preparation of small, functionalized molecules.

The preparation of amines plays a crucial role in the synthesis of natural products, polymers, and pharmaceuticals. Therefore, ongoing efforts in modern organic synthesis includes the development of novel catalytic methods that could streamline their preparation, including functionalization of π-systems.[1] Carboamination is one of the most powerful π-functionalization strategies for the synthesis of amines, as it results in a formation of C–C and C–N bonds with high atom- and step-economy.[2] The last decade has seen significant developments in this area, and now several classes of these processes exists for alkenes,[3] alkynes,[4] and dienes.[5] On the other hand, aromatic compounds could also be considered as viable substrates,[6] especially when considering their availability and the synthetic versatility of the corresponding unsaturated products.[7] However, due to their characteristic stability and reactivity, dearomative carboamination of arenes is virtually nonexistent.[8] To the best of our knowledge, only transition-metal-catalyzed ring-opening of azabicyclic alkenes can provide products resembling those of formal dearomative 1,2-carboamination (Scheme 1A).[9] Nevertheless, these reactions require more elaborate, benzyne-derived starting materials and cannot provide products resembling those obtained from mononuclear arenes.

To this end, we have recently disclosed a Pd-catalyzed dearomative *syn*-1,4-carboamination[10] as well as a total synthesis of pancratistatins,[11] which involved enantioselective, Ni-catalyzed dearomative *trans*-1,2-carboamination of benzene as the first step (Scheme 1B). The common design for both strategies involved photochemical dearomative cycloaddition[12] between an arene and arenophile *N*-methyl-1,2,4-triazoline-3,5-dione (MTAD, **1**),[13] which also served as a nitrogen source. Since the reactivity of Pd and Ni are intrinsically different, subsequent *in situ* transition-metal-catalyzed ring-opening of MTAD-arene cycloadduct **I** with carbon nucleophiles provided complementary *syn*-1,4- or *trans*-1,2-carboaminated products. Thus, the observed selectivity is likely the result of the outer-sphere attack of the enolate on Pd η[3]-intermediate **II**[14] and inner-sphere delivery of the Grignard reagent in the case with cationic Ni η[5]-complex **III**.[15]

Considering the lack of dearomative difunctionalizations, as well as the noteworthy synthetic potential of the resultant products, we wondered if the Ni-catalyzed process could be translated into a general dearomative method. In addition to examining the scope, the motivation for this work also included the development of a more practical and glovebox-free enantioselective procedure. Herein we report these efforts, resulting in general and efficient dearomative *trans*-1,2-carboamination. The enantioselective protocol uses low catalyst loadings of an air-stable Ni(II) precursor and permits the application of a series of Grignard reagents. Moreover, a range of aromatic precursors provides products with exclusive *trans*-selectivity. Finally, the synthetic utility of the method is demonstrated by selective elaboration of dearomatized products into functionalized molecules.

## Scheme 1. Dearomative Carboaminations



(A) Formal dearomative 1,2-carboaminations:

(B) Arenophile-mediated dearomative carboamination:

We commenced our investigations by repeating our initially reported conditions[11] involving 10 mol% of [Ni(cod)$_2$] and 20 mol% of ($R$,$R_p$)-$i$Pr-Phosferrox, which was identified as the optimal ligand for the desymmetrization of benzene (entry 1, Table 1). Thus, using benzene and phenylmagnesium bromide, the desired product **2a** was formed in 70% yield and 95:5 er. Though lowering catalyst loadings to 5/10 mol% did not result in significant erosion in efficiency (entry 2), we decided to evaluate a range of Ni(II) salts, which in combination with Grignard reagent could serve as a precursor to Ni(0).[16] Gratifyingly, a variety of Ni(II) salts and complexes proved competent for this process, including NiCl$_2$ (42%, 90:10 er, entry 3), [Ni(dmg)$_2$] (51%, 90:10 er, entry 4), [NiCl$_2$×glyme] (55%, 91:9 er, entry 5), and [Ni(acac)$_2$] (59%, 93:7 er, entry 6, see also Supporting Information for full details). Interestingly, lowering catalyst loading from 10/20 mol% resulted in beneficial effects on both yield and enantioselectivity (entries 7–10). Thus, the most optimal conditions found involved application of precatalyst consisting from 1.5 mol% of [Ni(acac)$_2$] and 2.0 mol% of ($R$,$R_p$)-$i$Pr-Phosferrox, delivering diene product **2a** in 70% yield and 97:3 er (entry 9).

### Table 1. Selected Optimization Studies[a]



| entry | [Ni] | x (mol%) | y (mol%) | yield (%)[b] | er[c] |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1 | [Ni(cod)$_2$] | 10 | 20 | 70 | 95:5 |
| 2 | [Ni(cod)$_2$] | 5.0 | 10 | 67 | 95:5 |
| 3 | NiCl$_2$ | 10 | 20 | 42 | 90:10 |
| 4 | [Ni(dmg)$_2$] | 10 | 20 | 51 | 90:10 |
| 5 | [NiCl$_2$•glyme] | 10 | 20 | 55 | 91:9 |
| 6 | [Ni(acac)$_2$] | 10 | 20 | 59 | 93:7 |
| 7 | [Ni(acac)$_2$] | 10 | 12 | 51 | 95:5 |
| 8 | [Ni(acac)$_2$] | 5.0 | 7.0 | 65 | 95:5 |
| 9 | **[Ni(acac)$_2$]** | **1.5** | **2.0** | **70** | **97:3** |
| 10 | [Ni(acac)$_2$] | 1.0 | 1.4 | 68 | 96:4 |

[a]Standard reaction conditions: MTAD (**1**, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv), benzene (5 mmol, 10 equiv), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.20 M), visible light, −78 °C, 12 h; then PhMgBr (3M in THF, 1.25 mmol, 2.5 equiv), solution of catalyst [Ni precursor (x mol%), ($R$,$R_p$)-$i$Pr-Phosferrox (y mol%), CH$_2$Cl$_2$], −45 °C→0 °C, 3 h. [b]Isolated yield of pure **2a** after purification by flash chromatography. [c]Determined using HPLC analysis.

Having identified the optimal conditions for enantioselective dearomative *trans*-1,2-carboamination, we then examined the scope of Grignard reagents (Table 2). In addition to phenylmagnesium bromide (**2a**), a range of *para*-substituted analogues delivered products with high enantioselectivities (≥96:4 er, **2a**–**2g**). Thus, halogens (**2b**–**2d**), an electron-rich phenol and aniline derivative (**2e** and **2f**), as well as benzyl alcohol derivative (**2g**) proved compatible with this process. Noteworthy, no side products resulting from potential Ni-catalyzed Kumada-type coupling[16] were observed under these conditions. Desymmetrization of benzene was also tested using more sterically demanding, *ortho*-substituted aryl Grignard reagents (**2h**–**2j**), which delivered products with comparable yields and selectivities. Moreover, a 3,4-methylenedioxyphenyl group, a key moiety for pancratistatins and other Amaryllidaceae alkaloids,[17] was installed in 74% yield and 97:3 er (**2k**). This result is comparable with our previous conditions (75%, 98:2 er, see Scheme 1B, bottom) where higher loadings of [Ni(cod)$_2$]/($R$,$R_p$)-$i$Pr-Phosferrox (10/20 mol%) were needed. In addition, an aryl

Grignard containing an olefin (**2l**) and 2-naphthalenemagnesium bromide (**2m**) proved to be good substrates as well. Notably, this difunctionalization strategy also enables the installation of alkene moiety, as demonstrated using terminally (**2n**) and internally (**2o**) substituted vinyl Grignard reagents.[18] In addition to benzene, also naphthalene underwent the desired asymmetric carboamination, delivering product **2p** in 60% yield and 94.5:5.5 er. It is important to note that in all cases we consistently obtained products as a single diastereoisomer. Finally, the scalability of this enantioselective protocol was tested on a gram scale by examining two reactions, using normal glass media bottles surrounded with LEDs as photoreactors.[19] Thus, products **2a** and **2k** were obtained in 65% and 68% yield on multigram scale and without any erosion in enantioselectivity.

### Table 2. Ni-Catalyzed Enantioselective Dearomative *trans*-1,2-Carboamination[a]



[a]All reactions were run on 0.5 mmol scale under the standard conditions. For **2p**, 1 mmol of naphthalene (2.0 equiv) was used. Reported yields are of isolated products and er was determined by HPLC analysis. [b]Run on 3.0g (26.5 mmol) scale. [c]Run on 6g (53.1 mmol) scale.

Next, we sought to explore if substituted arenes could be suitable precursors for dearomative *trans*-1,2-carboamination (Table 3). Unfortunately, in this case, the Ni(II) precatalyst performed with notably lower efficiency compared to Ni(0). Thus, we found that application of [Ni(cod)$_2$] and 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphino)ferrocene (dppf) as a ligand gave consistently the best results. Though these substrates are not amenable to enantioselective desymmetrization, we were excited to find that a set of monosubstituted benzene derivatives as well as polynuclear arenes showed the desired reactivity. For example, substituted benzene derivatives containing an alkyl side chain (**3a**), pivaloate-protected alcohols (**3b** and **3c**), trifluoromethyl (**3d**), and trimethylsilyl group (**3e**) were tolerated, all delivering products with high selectivity. Only in two cases, did we observe small amounts of constitutional isomers (9:1 for **3b** and 11:1 for **3c**). This high site-selectivity is consistent with reported examples of nucleophile additions into stoichiometric cationic cyclohexadienyl complexes, where addition preferentially occurs at unsubstituted termini.[20] Moreover, substituted polynuclear arenes were also successful substrates (**3f**–**3k**), though lower

yields as well as constitutional isomers were observed with monosubstituted naphthalenes (**3i**–**3k**). Thus, electron-rich pivaloate-protected 2,3- and 1,4-dihydroxynaphthalene (**3f** and **3g**), as well as *bis*-acetal protected naphthalene-1,4-dicarbaldehyde (**3h**) delivered the desired products with modest yields. The observed ratio of constitutional isomers in monosubstituted naphthalene series was highly dependent on the position of the substituent. Thus, 1-substituted naphthalenes, bearing more proximal substituents to the arene-MTAD cycloadduct (**3i** and **3k**) gave higher selectivity compared to more distal, 2-substituted (**3j**). Finally, in addition to naphthalenes, heteroarene such as quinoline derivative (**3l**), was also permitted under these conditions.

**Table 3. Arene Scope of the Dearomative *trans*-1,2-Carboamination[a]**



[a]Reactions with mononuclear arenes were run on 0.5 mmol scale under the standard conditions and with 10/20 mol% of [Ni(cod)$_2$]/dppf. For polynuclear arenes, 1 mmol (2.0 equiv) starting arene was used. Reported yields are of isolated products and the ratio of constitutional isomers (in parenthesis) was determined by ^{1}H NMR of the crude reaction mixtures.

This dearomative functionalization strategy sets the stage for further elaborations as the corresponding products contain several modifiable regions, including olefin and urazole motifs. For example, benzene-derived product **2a** could be converted to a fully saturated aminocyclohexane **4** through diene hydrogenation with Pt(S)/C and conversion of urazole to amine.[21] Unsaturated tetrasubstituted aminocyclitol derivative **5** was obtained through singlet oxygen hetero-Diels–Alder reaction, subsequent thiourea-mediated reduction of the corresponding endoperoxide, and urazole cleavage. Similarly, diene **2a** underwent [4+2]-cycloaddition with MTAD, and double urazole fragmentation/N–N-bond reduction to furnished unsaturated triamide **6**. Also

naphthalene-based carboaminated product **2p** was amenable to further manipulations. It was converted to saturated amine **7** using the same sequence as before. Alternatively, the arenophile moiety could serve as an oxygen surrogate, as the urazole could be readily converted to a ketone by simple oxidation with bleach,[22] delivering arylketone **8**. Finally, complete removal of the urazole was accomplished through Birch reduction, furnishing 2-phenyltetralin, a compound belonging to a class of potential drugs for treatment of arrhythmias and rhinoviral infections.[23]



**Figure 1.** Derivatization of benzene- and naphthalene-derived products **2a** and **2o**. Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) α-bromoacetophenone, K$_2$CO$_3$, 94%; (ii) H$_2$, Pt(s)/C (cat.), 91%; then KOH, 73%; (b) (i) α-bromoacetophenone, K$_2$CO$_3$, 94%; (ii) TPP, O$_2$, visible-light, 65%; (iii) thiourea, then KOH, 73%; (c) (i) α-bromoacetophenone, K$_2$CO$_3$, 94% (ii) MTAD, 68%; (iii) KOH, then BzCl, then SmI$_2$, 67%; (d) (i) α-bromoacetophenone, K$_2$CO$_3$, 91%; (ii) H$_2$, Pt(s)/C (cat.), 92%; (iii) KOH, 82%; (e) (i) H$_2$, Pd/C (cat.), 95%; (ii) NaOCl, 53%; f) (i) H$_2$, Pd/C (cat.), 95%; (ii) Li, NH$_3$, 69%.

In summary, we have reported a dearomative 1,2-*trans*-carboamination, involving dearomative cycloaddition with an arenophile and subsequent Ni-catalyzed substitution with a Grignard reagent. A range of arenes and aryl or vinyl Grignard reagents delivered products with exclusive 1,2-*trans* selectivity, and high enantioselectivity when using benzene or naphthalene as substrates. In addition to expanding the currently available toolbox of dearomative transformations, this process also provides a different disconnection approach when it comes to the preparation of small, functionalized molecules. Considering no other chemical or biological equivalent for dearomative 1,2-carboamination exists, we anticipate the application of this difunctionalization strategy in the preparation of natural products and high-value added intermediates.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

    Experimental procedures and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)
    Crystallographic data (CIF)

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

*sarlah@illinois.edu

### Notes
The authors declare no competing financial interests.

## REFERENCES

(1) For recent reviews, see: (a) Pirnot, M. T.; Wang, Y.-M.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 48. (b) Huang, L.; Arndt, M.; Gooßen, K.; Heydt, H.; Gooßen, L. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2015**, *115*, 2596. (c) McDonald, R. I.; Liu, G.; Stahl, S. S. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 2981. (d) Hesp, K. D.; Stradiotto, M. *ChemCatChem* **2010**, *2*, 1192. (e) Müller, T. E.; Hultzsch, K. C.; Yus, M.; Foubelo, F.; Tada, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2008**, *108*, 3795. (f) Hong, S.; Marks, T. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2004**, *37*, 673. (g) Müller, T. E.; Beller, M. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 675.

(2) For recent reviews, see: (a) Newhouse, T.; Baran, P. S.; Hoffmann, R. W. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2009**, *38*, 3010. (b) Burns, N. Z.; Baran, P. S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 205. (c) Wender, P. A.; Verma, V. A.; Paxton, T. J.; Pillow, T. H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2008**, *41*, 40.

(3) For recent examples, see: (a) Gockel, S. N.; Buchanan, T. L.; Hull, K. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 58. (b) Qian, B.; Chen, S.; Wang, T.; Zhang, X.; Bao, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 13076. (c) Liu, Z.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Z.; Zeng, T.; Liu, P.; Engle, K. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11261. (d) White, D. R.; Hutt, J. T.; Wolfe, J. P. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 11246. (e) Piou, T.; Rovis, T. *Nature* **2015**, *527*, 86. (f) Liwosz, T. W.; Chemler, S. R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2020.

(4) For recent examples, see: (a) Davis-Gilbert, Z. W.; Yao, L. J.; Tonks, I. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 14570. (b) Kajita, Y.; Matsubara, S.; Kurahashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6058. (c) Fürstner, A.; Davies, P. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2005**, *127*, 15024. (d) Shimada, T.; Nakamura, I.; Yamamoto, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 10546. (e) Ruck, R. T.; Zuckerman, R. L.; Krska, S. W.; Bergman, R. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 5372.

(5) For recent examples, see: (a) Chen, S.-S.; Wu, M.-S.; Han, Z.-Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 6641. (b) Liu, Y.; Xie, Y.; Wang, H.; Huang, H. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2016**, *138*, 4314. (c) Houlden, C. E.; Bailey, C. D.; Ford, J. G.; Gagné, M. R.; Lloyd-Jones, G. C.; Booker-Milburn, K. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 10066.

(6) For recent reviews on dearomatizations, see: (a) Roche, S. P.; Porco, J. A. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4068. (b) Pouységu, L.; Deffieux, D.; Quideau, S. *Tetrahedron* **2010**, *66*, 2235. (c) Lewis, S. E. *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 2821. (d) Pape, A. R.; Kaliappan, K. P.; Kündig, E. P. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 2917. For recent reviews on catalytic asymmetric dearomatizations, see: (e) Zhuo, C.-X.; Zhang, W.; You, S.-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 12662. (f) Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. *Chem*, **2016**, *1*, 830.

(7) For selected recent examples involving dearomatizations: (a) Good, S. N.; Sharpe, R. J.; Johnson, J. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 12422. (b) Wilson, K. B.; Myers, J. T.; Nedzbala, H. S.; Combee, L. A.; Sabat, M.; Harman, W. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 11401. (c) Nakayama, H.; Harada, S.; Kono, M.; Nemoto, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 10188. (d) Zheng, J.; Wang, S.-B.; Zheng, C.; You, S.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 4880. (e) García-Fortanet, J.; Kessler, F.; Buchwald, S. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 6676. (f) Zhuo, C.-X.; You, S.-L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 10056. (g) Phipps, R. J.; Toste, F. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1268. (h) Oguma, T.; Katsuki, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20017.

(8) Only certain dearomative reactions based on stoichiometric use of Cr, Mo, W, and Os, can provide carbofunctionalized products, for examples see: (a) Liebov, B. K., Harman, W. D. *Chem. Rev.* **2017**, *117*, 13721. (b) Keane, J. M.; Harman, W. D. *Organometallics* **2005**, *24*, 1786. (c) See also Ref. 6d.

(9) For selected reviews involving ring-opening of unsaturated, strained heterobicycles, see: (a) Lautens, M.; Fagnou, K.; Hiebert, S. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2003**, *36*, 48. (b) Rayabarapu, D. K.; Cheng, C.-H. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2007**, *40*, 971. (c) Woo, S.; Keay, B. A. *Synthesis* **1996**, *6*, 669. (d) Chiu, P.; Lautens, M. *Top. Curr. Chem.* **1997**, 190. For selected examples of formal dearomative 1,2-carboamination, see: (e) Zhang, L.; Le, C. M.; Lautens, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 5951. (f) Nishimura, T., Kawamoto, T., Sasaki, K., Tsurumaki, E., and Hayashi, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 1492; (g) Lautens, M.; Dockendorff, C. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3695; (h) Ogura, T.; Yoshida, K.; Yanagisawa, A.; Imamoto, T. *Org. Lett.* **2009**, *11*, 2245; (i) Chen, C.-L.; Martin, S. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 4810; (j) Wu, M.-S.; Rayabarapu, D. K.; Cheng, C.-H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 8407. For an early example of Ni-catalyzed ring opening of strained oxabicyclic compounds using Grignard reagents, see: (k) Lautens, M.; Ma, S. *J. Org. Chem.* **1996**, *61*, 7246.

(10) Okumura, M.; Shved, A. S.; Sarlah, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 17787.

(11) Hernandez, L. W.; Pospech, J.; Klöckner, U.; Bingham, T. W.; Sarlah, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2017**, *139*, 15656.

(12) For reviews on dearomative cycloadditions, see: (a) Remy, R.; Bochet, C. G. *Chem. Rev.* **2016**, *116*, 9816. (b) Wender, P. A., Ternansky, R., DeLong, M., Singh, S., Olivero, A., and Rice, K. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1990**, *62*, 1597. (c) McCullough, J. J. *Chem. Rev.* **1987**, *87*, 811.

(13) (a) Hamrock, S. J.; Sheridan, R. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 9247. (b) Southgate, E. H.; Pospech, J.; Fu, J.; Holycross, D. R.; Sarlah, D. *Nat. Chem.* **2016**, *8*, 922.

(14) For reviews involving Pd-allyl intermediates, see: (a) Weaver, J. D.; Recio, A., III; Grenning, A. J.; Tunge, J. A. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1846. (b) Lu, Z.; Ma, S. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 258. (c) Trost, B. M.; Crawley, M. L. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 2921. (d) Trost, B. M.; Van Vranken, D. L. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 395.

(15) For reviews involving cationic cyclohexadienyl intermediates, see: (a) Pike, R. D.; Sweigart, D. A. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1999**, *187*, 183. (b) Pearson, A. J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1980**, *13*, 463. (c) Davies, S. G.; Green, M. L. H.; Mingos, D. M. P. *Tetrahedron* **1978**, *34*, 3047.

(16) (a) Tamao, K.; Sumitani, K.; Kumada, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1972**, *94*, 4374. (b) Corriu, R. J. P.; Masse, J. P. *Chem. Commun.* **1972**, 144.

(17) For a recent review describing these natural products, see: Ghavre, M.; Froese, J.; Pour, M.; Hudlicky, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2016,** *55,* 5642.

(18) Aliphatic, alkynyl, and heteroaromatic Grignard reagents, as well as organomagnesium reagents prepared by magnesium-halogen exchange or magnesiations, did not react under the described reaction conditions.

(19) For full descriptions and pictures of the photochemical setups, see the Supplementary Information.

(20) For example, see: (a) Pearson, A. J.; Gelormini, A. M.; Pinkerton, A. A. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 936; (b) Bandara, B. M. R.; Birch, A. J.; Kelly, L. F. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 2496.

(21) Adam, W.; Pastor, A.; Wirth, T. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1295.

(22) (a) Wilson, R. M.; Hengge, A. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 197. (b) Wilson, R. M.; Hengge, A. C.; Ataei, A.; Ho, D. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 7240.

(23) For examples, see: (a) Koskelainen, T.; Otsomaa, L.; Karjalainen, A.; Kotovuori, P.; Tenhunen, J.; Rasku, S.; Nore, P.; Tiainen, E.; Tormakangas, O. WO Patent 2003/006452, **2003**. (b) Hodson, H. F.; Batchelor, J. F.; Selway, J. W. T.; Vinter, J. G.; Iyer, R. EP Patent 19800105462, **1984**.

- readily available starting material
- enantioselective desymmetrization
- scalable
- exclusive 1,2-*trans* selectivity
- rapid and controlled formation of molecular complexity

>25 examples