Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

# [Ethylene-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphine)-*P*,*P'*]dinitratoplatinum(II) and *cis*-bis[(diphenylphosphinomethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide-*O*,*P*]platinum(II) dinitrate dihydrate

Malcolm J. Arendse, Gordon K. Anderson and Nigam P. Rath\*

Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri – St Louis, 8001 Natural Bridge Road, St Louis, MO 63121, USA Correspondence e-mail: nigam\_rath@umsl.edu

Received 19 June 2000 Accepted 14 November 2000

In [Pt(dppe)(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], where dppe is ethylene-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphine) (C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>P<sub>2</sub>), the Pt atom is coordinated by the two P atoms and by two O atoms of the two nitrate ions. The molecule has a distorted square-planar geometry, with one of the nitrate groups directed on each side of the plane. The cation in *cis*-[Pt(dppmO-O,P)<sub>2</sub>](NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O, where dppmO is bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide (C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>22</sub>OP<sub>2</sub>), comprises two five-membered chelate rings, each dppmO ligand being coordinated to platinum through one P atom and the O atom. The larger P-Pt-P angle of 102.25 (4)° is due to steric interactions between the two phenyl groups on each P atom.

# Comment

The dinitratoplatinum(II) complexes  $[Pt(NO_3)_2(P-P)]$  [P–P is dppm, Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>, or dppe, Ph<sub>2</sub>P(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>] are useful intermediates in the synthesis of a wide variety of diphosphine–platinum complexes, since the weakly coordinated nitrates can be easily replaced by other ligands. They can be isolated or they may be generated *in situ* and used in further reactions without isolation (De Priest *et al.*, 1997). We prepared [ethylene-1,2-bis(diphenylphosphine)-*P*,*P'*]dinitratoplatinum(II), [Pt(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(dppe)], (I), as a precursor to the corresponding ascorbate complex by the reaction of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(dppe)] with silver nitrate in acetone solution (Arendse *et al.*, 1999). The only structure of a related platinum complex in the literature is that of *cis*-[Pt(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (Suzuki *et al.*, 1993).

Mixed phosphine–phosphine oxide ligands have been prepared by the reaction of  $Ph_2P(CH_2)_nPPh_2$  with benzyl bromide, followed by aqueous NaOH (Abatjoglou & Kapicek, 1981). Palladium and platinum complexes of the type  $[M{Ph_2-}P(CH_2)_nP(O)Ph_2-O,P]_2]^{2+}$  have been reported (Higgins *et al.*, 1987), although the dppmO ligand was reported to be formed in only low yield by the above method. The solid-state structure of  $[Pd(dppeO-O,P)_2](BF_4)_2$  has been reported recently by Coyle *et al.* (1998). We obtained *cis*-[bis(diphenylphosphinomethyl)diphenylphosphine oxide-O,P]platinum(II) dinitrate dihydrate, *cis*-[Pt{Ph\_2PCH\_2P(O)PPh\_2}\_2](NO\_3)\_2·2H\_2O, (II), as an unexpected by-product of the reaction between [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(dppm)] and silver nitrate in air.



The molecular structure of (I) is shown in Fig. 1. The coordination geometry around the Pt atom is distorted square planar, with P1-Pt-P2, O1-Pt-P1, O4-Pt-P2 and O1-Pt-O4 angles of 85.85 (8), 90.82 (17), 98.15 (17) and 85.4 (2)°, respectively. The Pt-O-N angles are 113.5 (5) and 116.2 (5)°, and the NO<sub>2</sub> groups lie on opposite sides of the PtO<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> plane. This feature was also observed in the structure of *cis*-[Pt(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>] (Suzuki *et al.*, 1993). The Pt-P distances of 2.215 (2) and 2.220 (2) Å, and the Pt-O distances of 2.111 (5) and 2.115 (5) Å, are similar to the corresponding distances in *cis*-[Pt(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>(PMe<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>].

The molecular structure of the cation in (II) is bidentate (Fig. 2), coordinating through one P atom and the O atom of the phosphine oxide to form two five-membered chelate rings. The Pt-O distances are 2.089 (2) and 2.094 (2) Å, and the Pt-P distances are 2.2168 (10) and 2.2178 (10) Å. These Pt-O and Pt-P bond lengths are similar to the values of 2.108 (10) Å and 2.213 (4) Å, respectively, found in *cis*-[Pt{Ph<sub>2</sub>PNHP(O)Ph<sub>2</sub>-*O*,*P*]<sub>2</sub>](BF<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 1996). The cation in the latter complex is analogous to that in (II), since it is also composed of two five-membered chelate



### Figure 1

A view of (I) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

rings. The Ph<sub>2</sub>PNHP(O)Ph<sub>2</sub> ligand is also isoelectronic with dppmO. In the present case, the coordination geometry about the Pt atom is distorted square planar. The O1-Pt-O2, O2-Pt-P3, O1-Pt-P2 and P2-Pt-P3 angles are 87.54 (10), 86.10 (7), 84.09 (7) and 102.25 (4)°, respectively, giving an angle sum of 359.98° around the metal. The larger P-Pt-P angle is due to the greater steric requirements of the phenyl groups on the two P atoms that lie cis to each other. The P-Pt-P angle in (II) is larger than that of 98.5 (2) $^{\circ}$  found in *cis*- $[Pt{Ph_2PNHP(O)Ph_2-O,P}_2]^{2+}$  (Bhattacharyya *et al.*, 1996); it is closer to the values of 103.2 (1) and 103.72 (9) $^{\circ}$  in the neutral complexes cis-[Pt{Ph<sub>2</sub>PNP(O)Ph<sub>2</sub>-O,P}] (Bhattacharyya et al., 1996) and cis-[Pt{Ph2PCH2C(O)(CF32-O,P2] (Montgomery et al., 1987).



#### Figure 2

A view of the cation of (II) showing the labelling of the non-H atoms. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level and H atoms are drawn as small circles of arbitrary radii.

# Experimental

Compound (I) was synthesized from [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(dppe)] (Anderson et al., 1983) by halide abstraction with silver nitrate. Silver nitrate (0.34 g, 2.0 mmol) in water (5 ml) was added to an acetone solution (40 ml) of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(dppe)] (0.66 g, 1.0 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 24 h in the dark at room temperature, and the precipitate of AgCl was collected by filtration through Celite. The filtrate was evaporated under reduced pressure and the products were obtained as a yellow powder (yield 0.40 g, 56%). Crystals of (I) suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained by slow evaporation from a CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution. Analysis calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>6</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Pt: C 43.51, H 3.35, N 3.91%; found: C 43.64, H 3.31, N 3.81%; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δP 33.0 (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>Pt,P</sub> = 3940 Hz). Compound (II) was prepared as follows: the reaction of [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(dppm)] (1.30 g, 2 mmol) with 2 equivalents of silver nitrate (0.68 g, 4.0 mmol) in acetone (100 ml) in the presence of air produced a 4:1 mixture of  $[Pt(NO_3)_2(dppm)]$  and *cis*- $[Pt(dppmO-O,P)_2]^{2+}$ , as determined by <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectroscopy [ $\delta$  4.6 (s, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Pt,P</sub> = 3810 Hz), 67.0 (br)]. On standing, crystals of (II) suitable for X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained.

## Compound (I)

#### Crystal data

$[Pt(C_{2}H_{2}P_{2})(NO_{2})_{2}]$	$D = 1.820 \mathrm{Mg}\mathrm{m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 717.50$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, Cc	Cell parameters from 8192
a = 10.4971(1) Å	reflections
b = 15.5281 (2) Å	$\theta = 2-26^{\circ}$
c = 16.3930 (2) Å	$\mu = 5.53 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 101.51 (1)^{\circ}$	T = 223 (2)  K
$V = 2618.36(5) \text{ Å}^3$	Plate, colourless
Z = 4	$0.30\times0.20\times0.04$ mm
Data collection	

4304 reflections with  $I > 2\sigma(I)$ 

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.10$  $\theta_{\rm max} = 26^{\circ}$ 

 $h = -12 \rightarrow 12$ 

 $k = -19 \rightarrow 19$  $l = -20 \rightarrow 20$ 

Intensity decay: <2%

Bruker CCD area-detector diffractometer  $\varphi$  and  $\varphi$  scans Absorption correction: empirical (SADABS; Blessing, 1995)  $T_{\min} = 0.28, \ T_{\max} = 0.80$ 25 459 measured reflections 2576 independent reflections (plus 2561 Friedel-related reflections)

#### Refinement

I 1

1

9

3 ł

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0148P)^2]$ where $P = (F^2 + 2F^2)/2$
$vR(F^2) = 0.069$	where $r = (r_o + 2r_c)/3$ $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
S = 1.03	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 1.31 \text{ e A}^{-3}$
34 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\min} = -0.59 \text{ e A}$ Absolute structure: Flack (1983)
H-atom parameters constrained	Flack parameter = $0.024$ (8)

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å,  $^{\circ}$ ) for (I).

Pt-O4	2.111 (5)	O2-N1	1.227 (8)
Pt-O1	2.115 (5)	O3-N1	1.236 (8)
Pt-P1	2.215 (2)	O4-N2	1.277 (9)
Pt-P2	2.220 (2)	O5-N2	1.222 (9)
O1-N1	1.261 (8)	O6-N2	1.194 (9)
O4-Pt-O1	85.4 (2)	O2-N1-O3	122.2 (8)
O1-Pt-P1	90.82 (17)	O2-N1-O1	120.9 (7)
O4-Pt-P2	98.15 (17)	O3-N1-O1	116.8 (7)
P1-Pt-P2	85.85 (8)	O6-N2-O5	121.6 (9)
N1-O1-Pt	116.2 (5)	O6-N2-O4	121.2 (8)
N2-O4-Pt	113.5 (5)	O5-N2-O4	117.3 (8)

# Compound (II) . . . . . .

9898 independent reflections

Crystal data	
$[Pt(C_{25}H_{22}OP_2)_2](NO_3)_2 \cdot 2H_2O$	$D_x = 1.590 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$M_r = 1155.87$	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Monoclinic, $P2_1/n$	Cell parameters from 8192
a = 12.9843 (2) Å	reflections
b = 16.3998 (2) Å	$\theta = 2.2-26.4^{\circ}$
c = 22.6979 (3) Å	$\mu = 3.10 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$\beta = 92.81 (1)^{\circ}$	T = 213 (2)  K
$V = 4827.47 (11) \text{ Å}^3$	Irregular, light yellow
Z = 4	$0.36 \times 0.28 \times 0.22 \text{ mm}$
Data collection	
Bruker CCD area-detector diffract-	7985 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
ometer	$R_{\rm int} = 0.06$
$\varphi$ and $\omega$ scans	$\theta_{\rm max} = 26.46^{\circ}$
Absorption correction: empirical	$h = -16 \rightarrow 16$
(SADABS; Blessing, 1995)	$k = -20 \rightarrow 20$
$T_{\min} = 0.37, T_{\max} = 0.51$	$l = -28 \rightarrow 28$
80 352 measured reflections	Intensity decay: <2%

Refinement on $F^2$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0237P)^2]$
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.031$	+ 8.7121P]
$wR(F^2) = 0.069$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
S = 1.09	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
9898 reflections	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.75 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
604 parameters	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.59 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$
H-atom parameters constrained	

#### Table 2

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °) for (II).

Pt-O2	2.089 (2)	P1-C1	1.804 (4)
Pt-O1	2.094 (2)	P2-C1	1.837 (4)
Pt-P3	2.2168 (10)	P4-O2	1.520 (3)
Pt-P2	2.2178 (10)	P4-C2	1.806 (4)
P1-O1	1.525 (3)	P3-C2	1.844 (4)
O2-Pt-O1	87.54 (10)	P3-Pt-P2	102.25 (4)
O2-Pt-P3	86.10(7)	O1-P1-C1	106.89 (16)
O1-Pt-P3	173.52 (7)	C1-P2-Pt	101.45 (13)
O2-Pt-P2	171.61 (7)	P1-O1-Pt	121.61 (14)
O1-Pt-P2	84.09 (7)	P1-C1-P2	106.00 (19)

H atoms were treated using appropriate riding models (AFIX = m3in *SHELXTL-Plus*; Sheldrick, 1999). For both compounds, C–H distances of 0.98 and 0.94 Å were used for CH<sub>2</sub> and C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>5</sub> groups, respectively. In the case of the solvent water molecules in (II), the Hatom positions were located from difference Fourier maps and were refined riding on their O atoms. For all H atoms,  $U(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C,O)$ . In (I), the largest peak in the final difference map is 0.88 Å from C19 and the deepest trough is 0.64 Å from the Pt atom. In (II), the largest peak is 1.67 Å from O6'.

For compounds (I) and (II), data collection: *SMART* (Bruker, 1999); cell refinement: *SMART*. For compound (I), data reduction: *SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1990); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997). For compound (II), data reduction: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1999); program(s) used to solve structure:

*SHELXTL-Plus* (Sheldrick, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXTL-Plus*. For compounds (I) and (II), molecular graphics: *SHELXTL-Plus*; software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXTL-Plus*.

This work was supported through a grant from the National Science Foundation (grant No. CHE-9508228) and a University of Missouri – St Louis Research Award. Thanks are expressed to the National Science Foundation for a grant to purchase the X-ray diffraction instrumentation.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BK1556). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### References

- Abatjoglou, A. G. & Kapicek, L. A. (1981). US Patent Appl. 293 145; (1983). Chem. Abstr. 98, 198452p.
- Anderson, G. K., Davies, J. A. & Shoeck, D. L. (1983). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 76, L251–252.
- Arendse, M. J., Anderson, G. K. & Rath, N. P. (1999). Inorg. Chem. 38, 5864– 5869.
- Bhattacharyya, P., Slawin, A. M. Z., Smith, M. B. & Woollins, J. D. (1996). *Inorg. Chem.* 35, 3675–3682.
- Blessing, R. H. (1995). Acta Cryst. A51, 33-38.
- Bruker (1999). SMART (Version 5.0) and SAINT (Version 6.0). Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Coyle, R. J., Slovokhotov, Y. L., Antipin, M. Y. & Grushin, V. V. (1998). Polyhedron, 17, 3059–3070.
- De Priest, J., Zheng, G. Y., Woods, C., Rillema, D. P., Mikirova, N. A. & Zandler, M. E. (1997). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 264, 287–296.
- Flack, H. D. (1983). Acta Cryst. A39, 876-881.
- Higgins, S. J., Taylor, R. & Shaw, B. L. J. (1987). Organomet. Chem. 325, 285– 292.
- Montgomery, C. D., Payne, N. C. & Willis, C. J. (1987). Inorg. Chem. 26, 519– 526.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1999). SHELXTL-Plus. Version 5.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Suzuki, Y., Miyamoto, T. K. & Ichida, H. (1993). Acta Cryst. C49, 1318-1320.