Multicomponent Catalysis

Versatile Homoallylic Boronates by Chemo-, $S_N 2'$ -, Diastereo- and Enantioselective Catalytic Sequence of Cu–H Addition to Vinyl-B(pin)/Allylic Substitution

Jaehee Lee, Sebastian Torker, and Amir H. Hoveyda*

Dedicated to Professor Samuel J. Danishefsky

Abstract: A highly chemo-, diastereo- and enantioselective catalytic method that efficiently combines a silyl hydride, vinyl-B(pin) (pin = pinacolato) and (E)-1,2-disubstituted allylic phosphates is introduced. Reactions, best promoted by a Cubased complex with a chiral sulfonate-containing N-heterocyclic carbene, are broadly applicable. Aryl-, heteroaryl-, alkenyl-, alkynyl- and alkyl-substituted allylic phosphates may thus be converted to the corresponding homoallylic boronates and then alcohols (after C–B bond oxidation) in 46–91 % yield and in up to >98 % $S_N 2':S_N 2$ ratio, 96:4 diastereomeric ratio and 98:2 enantiomeric ratio. The reasons why an NHC–Cu catalyst is uniquely effective (vs. the corresponding phosphine systems) and the basis for different trends in stereoselectivity are provided with the aid of DFT calculations.

Catalytic strategies for enantioselective preparation of organic molecules with a boron-substituted stereogenic carbon center are much sought after in organic chemistry.^[1] Notable examples are enantioselective boron-hydride additions to alkenes with Rh- or Ir-based complexes^[2] and related diboryl additions with Pt-, Pd- or carbohydrate-derived catalysts^[3] (Scheme 1 a). An alternative approach entails site- and enantioselective Cu–B(pin) (pin = pinacolato) addition to an olefin followed by in situ protonation (proto-boryl addition)^[4] or allylic substitution (boron-allyl addition)^[5] of the Cu–C bond; these transformations are typically promoted by a chiral Cu-based complex (Scheme 1 a).

One other disconnection would entail enantioselective Cu–H addition^[6] to commercially available vinyl-B(pin) and an ensuing S_N2' -selective allylic substitution involving a 1,2-disubstituted alkene (Scheme 1 b). Products bearing an allylic C-substituted and a homoallylic (pin)B-substituted carbon stereogenic center would be obtained. With G = Me simple oxidation to a secondary homoallylic alcohol could result in diastereo- and enantiomerically enrich product expected from crotyl addition to acetaldehyde, a transformation that, to the best of our knowledge, remains without a catalytic enantioselective variant.^[7] Nonetheless, the above plan might present several complications. A chiral catalyst must promote

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2016**, 55, 1–7

Rh- or Ir-based catalyst L₂BH Me stereogenic **B-substituted** C boryl-hydride addition BL₂ Pt-, Pd- or carbohydrate based catalyst, B₂(pin)₂ stereogenic B(pin) B-substituted C diboryl addition B(pin) Cu-based catalyst B₂(pin)₂/MeOH stereogenic B-substituted C B(pin) proto-borvl addition Cu-based catalyst Pd-based co-catalyst, B₂(pin)₂/allylic carbonate B(pin) stereogenic tertiary C boryl-allyl addition b. Strategy examined in this study: stereogenic Cu-based catalyst. B-substituted C B(pin) Ŗ(pin) R₃Si–H/allylic phosphate & stereogenic tertiary C allyl-hydride addition yield? S_N2':S_N2? L_nCu-H B(pin) d.r.? e.r.? Cul G OPO(OEt)2

a. Previously reported enantioselective methods:

Scheme 1. Related previous work, the key objectives of this study and the associated challenges. Abbreviations: pin = pinacolato; G, R = various functional groups; L = ligand.

efficient, diastereo- and enantioselective Cu–H addition followed by allylic substitution in preference to two potentially competing routes. One pathway could involve reaction of Cu-alkoxide with vinyl-B(pin) (vs. a hydride reagent) to yield a vinyl-Cu complex, which might then react with an allylic phosphate;^[8] alternatively, the Cu–H might react directly with the allyl electrophile.^[9]

Here, we demonstrate that a sulfonate-containing chiral NHC–Cu complex can efficiently promote the general transformation in Scheme 1 b with high chemo-, S_N2' -, diastereoand enantioselectivity.^[10] While this manuscript was being prepared, reactions involving (*E*)-1,2-disubstituted alkenyl-B(pin) substrates and allylphosphate with bis-phosphine-Cu catalysts were disclosed (to generate products with a single stereogenic center);^[11] processes were highly enantioselective

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library

1

^[*] J. Lee, Dr. S. Torker, Prof. A. H. Hoveyda Department of Chemistry, Merkert Chemistry Center, Boston College Chestnut Hill, MA 02467 (USA) E-mail: amir.hoveyda@bc.edu

Supporting information for this article can be found under: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201611444.



Communications



but the only reported case with an (E)-1,2-disubstituted allylic phosphate furnished the desired product in 78:22 diastereomeric ratio (d.r.) and 73:27 enantiomeric ratio (e.r.), hinting at the difficulty of the proposed class of reactions.

We started by gauging the effectiveness of various chiral bisphosphine ligands to promote the process involving vinyl-B(pin), (E)-1,2-disubstitued allylic phosphate 1a with polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS).^[12] In three cases, the product from $S_N 2$ mode of addition (3a) was the major component with moderate selectivity (phos-1, phos-2 and phos-5), and in two instances it was formed almost exclusively (phos-4 and phos-6). With every bis-phosphine ligand, 2a and 3a were generated in low d.r. and e.r. This was somewhat surprising since chiral phosphines-and some of these exact ligands-have been shown to be optimal in several transformations that begin with enantioselective Cu-H addition to an alkene (phos-2,^[13] phos-3,^[10] and phos-**6**).^[6f-h] We took these findings as an indication that the desired sequence of reactions demands a distinct set of catalysts.

Results were more encouraging with N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) systems (Scheme 2). With **imid-1**^[14] as NHC precursor, **2a** was the major component of the product mixture (**2a:3a**, 69:31) but stereoselectivity remained low. There was further improvement with the NHC-Cu complex derived from sulfonate-containing **imid-2**,^[15] which afforded **2a** exclusively and in appreciable



Scheme 2. Identification of an effective chiral catalyst. Conv. and d.r. determined by analysis of unpurified product mixtures by ¹H NMR analysis. Enantioselectivity determined by HPLC analysis. Yields are for purified products. Abbreviations: phos=phosphine ligand; imid=imidazolinium salt; nd=not determined. See the Supporting Information for details.

d.r. and/or e.r. [13:87 and 80:20 (for the major diastereomer), respectively]. Another unexpected observation was that the catalyst derived from **imid-3**,^[16] where the Mes unit (2,4,6-trimethylphenyl) is replaced by a 3,5-(2,4,6-triisopropoylphenyl) group, high **2a:3a** ratio persisted (94:6) with stereoselectivity improving greatly as well (94:6 d.r. and e.r. for major diastereomer of **2a**). Hence, there was a mechanistically suggestive reversal in diastereoselectivity along with substantially lower enantioselectivity with **imid-2** (vs. **imid-3**; see below for further discussion).

Many aryl-substituted allylic phosphates could be converted to isolable homoallylic boronates, which were converted to the corresponding alcohols after C–B bond oxidation (Scheme 3). Reactions were performed at ambient temperature with 5.5 mol % **imid-3** and 5.0 mol % CuCl along with three equivalents of inexpensive PMHS and with LiO*t*Bu as base (identified as optimal base with further screening);^[17] only a small excess of vinyl-B(pin) sufficed (1.1 equiv). γ -Addition products (S_N2' pathway) were uniformly high (2:3, 86:14 to > 98:2) as was the diastereo- and enantioselectivity with which 2a–21 were formed (90:10–96:4 d.r., 94:6–98:2 e.r.). Pure 2a–21 were obtained in 60–84 % yield after silica gel chromatography. Transformations proceeded with similarly high efficiency and selectivity regardless of whether the aryl group within the allylic phosphate was sterically hindered (cf., 2c–f), electron withdrawing (cf. 2k,I) or electron

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Communications

Angewandte Chemie

91:9 d.r.,

64% vield

84:16 d.r..

46% vield.

93:7 d.r.,

10

80% conv.,

>98:2 S_N2':S_N2,

92:8 d.r., 55% vield, 93:7 e.r.

Ref. 19a



Conv. and d.r. determined by analysis of unpurified product mixtures by ¹H NMR analysis (\pm 2%). Enantioselectivity determined by HPLC analysis (\pm 1%). Yields are for purified products (\pm 5%). See the Supporting Information for details.

donating (cf. 2d, 2h). The lower $S_N 2'$ selectivity with 21 may be attributed to direct alkylation of the exceptionally electrophilic p-nitrophenyl-substituted allylphosphate (vs. Cualkene complexation and allyl transfer; see below for further analysis).

Allyl electrophiles containing a heterocyclic substituent such as a pyridyl (4, Scheme 4) or a benzothiophene group can be used (5). However, $S_N 2':S_N 2$ and diastereoselectivities were somewhat lower in such instances and the final products at times contained a small amount of impurity from the S_N2 addition (cf. 4, Scheme 4). Similar results were obtained with a dienylphosphate (cf. 6, Scheme 4). The transformation with the corresponding enynylphosphate (cf. 7) was more $S_N 2'$ -(>98% vs. 87% for 6) and enantioselective (97:3 vs. 92:8 e.r. for 6). In the case of 6 none of the product from $S_N 2''$ mode of



Performed on gram scale

Meo

0

Me

Me

Me

products (\pm 5%). See the Supporting Information for details. reaction was detected, and the lower yield for 7 (46%) might

be due to competitive Cu-H addition to the alkynyl group.^[18]

tivity determined by HPLC analysis (\pm 1%). Yields are for purified

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

1b

These are not the final page numbers!

www.angewandte.org

Alkyl-substituted, and particularly Me-substituted allylic phosphates, are suitable substrates (Scheme 5). As high-lighted by synthesis of **8** and **9** (Scheme 5), while somewhat less enantioselective compared to when aryl-substituted allylic phosphates are utilized (Scheme 2), reaction with the larger cyclohexyl-substituted allylic phosphate was efficient with 7.0 mol % catalyst loading. In both cases S_N2' selectivity was exceptional (>98%), diastereoselectivities were high (92:8–93:7 d.r.) and pure products were obtained in 91% and 79% yield, respectively.

Of special value are the transformations involving Mesubstituted allylic phosphate **1b**, which, when performed on 5 mmol scale and with 2.0 mol% catalyst loading, afforded **10** in 55% yield (volatile compound), > 98:2 $S_N2':S_N2$, 92:8 d.r. and 93:7 e.r. after purification (Scheme 5). This is a valuable fragment that has been used in a total synthesis of a biolog-

a. Enantioselective Cu-H addition to vinyl-B(pin)

ically active analog of natural product chondramide C.^[19] Previously, however, preparation of enantiomerically pure **10** entailed the use of Brown's chiral auxiliary,^[20] necessitating somewhat forcing conditions along with the use of stoichiometric amounts of an exceptionally strong base (*n*BuLi/KO*t*Bu to give *n*BuK). Another functionalization procedure entails conversion of the homoallylic boronate formed by the reaction of allylic phosphate **1c** to the corresponding 2-furyl product [Eq. (1)].^[21]

For insight regarding the unique effectiveness of **imid-3**derived catalyst and the selectivity differences with **imid-2**, a series of DFT calculations were carried out. These studies indicate^[17] that the most favored mode of Cu–H addition to vinyl-B(pin) with **imid-3** probably arises from coordination of a pinacolato oxygen atom to the alkali metal counter-ion (**I**, Figure 1 a).^[22] For a detailed computational investigation of



Figure 1. Regarding the origin of diastereo- and enantioselectivity. Computations have been performed at the MN12SX/Def2TZVPP_{thf(SMD)} level after geometry optimization performed with the ONIOM method M06L/Def2SVP:UFF_{thf(PCM)}; $Ar = 2,6-(iPr)_2C_6H_3$. See the Supporting Information for details.

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



the role of the salt bridge on enantioselective Ch–H addition, see the Supporting Information. The corresponding mode of reaction with the NHC-Cu complex derived from **imid-2** (Scheme 2) suffers from steric repulsion between an *o*-methyl substituent of the ligand (**II**, Figure 1 a), resulting in diminished e.r.

Allylic substitution with imid-3 is most favorable with the allyl electrophile approaching such that chelation with the more Lewis acidic Li cation is most effective and there is less steric repulsion between its substituent (Ph) and the NHC's sizeable *N*-aryl moieties (**III**, Figure 1b).^[23] Another consequence of the sulfonate/Li/phosphate chelation is the exceptional $S_N 2'$ -selectivity; otherwise, as is the case with the transformations involving phosphine ligands, the linear products are generated preferentially (see 3a, Scheme 2). In IV and V, arising from the imid-2-based Cu complex (Figure 1c), the allyl electrophile is forced to coordinate with its CH₂OPO(OEt)₂ moiety pointing away from the large mesityl group.^[23] A different diastereomer is preferred compared to when imid-3 is used because the reaction proceeds via IV with the minor isomer being formed with the opposite sense of enantioselectivity (via V).

These investigations put forth a highly diastereo- and enantioselective protocol for direct catalytic access to an assortment of valuable homoallylic alcohols typically viewed as products of crotyl-type additions to acetaldehyde. By providing an efficient, chemo-, S_N2'-, diastereo- and enantioselective method for accessing otherwise difficult-to-prepare and readily alterable homoallylic boronate compounds, we provide further evidence regarding the importance of sulfonate-containing chiral NHC ligands. These Cu-based complexes have formerly proven optimal in catalyzing enantioselective allylic substitution reactions^[24] and conjugate addition processes^[15,25] with C-based nucleophiles as well as Cu-B(pin) additions to alkenes^[26] and allenes;^[27] this is however the first time that a member of this catalyst class has emerged as the most effective for enantioselective Cu-H additions to an alkene.

Acknowledgements

Financial support was provided by the NIH (GM-47480) and the National Science Foundation (CHE-1362763).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: boron · copper · enantioselective catalysis · enantioselective synthesis · multicomponent reactions

- [1] a) E. Hartmann, D. J. Vyas, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 7917–7932; b) J. Takaya, N. Iwasawa, *ACS Catal.* 2012, 2, 1993–2006.
- [2] A.-M. Carroll, T. P. O'Sullivan, P. J. Guiry, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2005, 347, 609-631.
- [3] a) H. E. Burks, J. P. Morken, *Chem. Commun.* 2007, 4717–4725;
 b) Ref. [1b]; c) J. R. Coombs, J. P. Morken, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2016, *55*, 2636–2649; *Angew. Chem.* 2016, *128*, 2682–2696;
 d) L. Fang, L. Yuan, F. Haeffner, J. P. Morken, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2016, *138*, 2508–2511.
- [4] a) Y. Lee, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 3160–3161; b) Y. Lee, H. Jang, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 18234–18235; c) R. Corberán, N. W. Mszar, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7079–7082; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7217–7220; d) F. Meng, H. Jang, A. H. Hoveyda, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 3204–3214.
- [5] T. Jia, P. Cao, B. Wang, Y. Lou, X. Yin, M. Wang, J. Liao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13760-13763.
- [6] For representative studies regarding catalytic processes that commence with an enantioselective Cu-H addition to an alkene, see: a) D. Noh, H. Chea, J. Ju, J. Yun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6062-6064; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6178-6180; b) Y. Miki, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, M. Miura, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 10830-10834; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 11030-11034; c) Y. Miki, K. Hirano, T. Satoh, M. Miura, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1498-1501; d) D. Nishikawa, K. Hirano, M. Miura, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 15620-15623; e) M. T. Pirnot, Y.-M. Wang, S. L. Buchwald, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 48-57; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 48-57; f) Y.-M. Wang, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5024-5027; g) J. S. Bandar, E. Ascic, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 5821-5824; h) Y. Yang, I. B. Perry, G. Lu, P. Liu, S. L. Buchwald, Science 2016, 353, 144-150.
- [7] For reports regarding related types of catalytic enantioselective additions to other types of aldehydes, see: a) I. S. Kim, S. B. Han, M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 2514–2520; b) X. Gao, I. A. Townsend, M. J. Krische, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 2350–2354; c) E. L. McInturff, E. Yamaguchi, M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20628–20631; d) J. R. Zbieg, E. Yamaguchi, E. L. McIntruff, M. J. Krische, Science 2012, 336, 324–327; e) T. Liang, W. Zhang, T.-Y. Chen, K. D. Nguyen, M. J. Krische, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 13066–13071.
- [8] a) F. Gao, J. L. Carr, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 6613-6617; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 6717-6721; b) F. Gao, J. L. Carr, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2149-2161.
- [9] T. N. T. Nguyen, N. O. Thiel, F. Pape, J. F. Teichert, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2455-2458.
- [10] For non-diastereo- and non-enantioselective catalytic methods for synthesis of similar types of products through reaction of 1,1diborylalkanes and allylic chlorides, see: a) J. Kim, S. Park, J. Park, S. H. Cho, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1498–1501; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 1520–1523; b) Z.-Q. Zhang, B. Zhang, X. Lu, J.-H. Liu, X.-Y. Liu, B. Xiao, Y. Fu, Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 952–955.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org



- [11] J. T. Han, W. J. Jang, N. Kim, J. Yun, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 15146–15149.
- [12] K. K. Senapati, Synlett 2005, 1960-1961.
- [13] a) S. Zhu, N. Niljianskul, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc.
 2013, 135, 15746-15749; b) S. Zhu, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 15913-15916; c) Ref. [6d].
- [14] H. Clavier, L. Coutable, L. Toupet, J.-C. Guillemin, M. Mauduit, J. Organomet. Chem. 2005, 690, 5237–5254.
- [15] M. K. Brown, T. L. May, C. A. Baxter, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1097–1100; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 1115–1118.
- [16] B. Jung, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 1490– 1493.
- [17] See the Supporting Information for details.
- [18] For examples of catalytic processes involving Cu–H addition to an alkyne, see: a) K. Semba, T. Fujihara, T. Xu, J. Terao, Y. Tsuji, *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2012**, *354*, 1542–1550; b) S.-L. Shi, S. L. Buchwald, *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, *7*, 38–44; c) M. R. Uehling, A. M. Suess, G. Lalic, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 1424–1427.
- [19] a) R. Tannert, L.-G. Milroy, B. Ellinger, T.-S. Hu, H.-D. Arndt, H. Waldmann, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 3063–3077; For another application to complex molecule synthesis involving fragment 10, see: b) J. Hassfeld, U. Eggert, M. Kalesse, Synthesis 2005, 1183–1199.
- [20] a) H. C. Brown, K. Bhat, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5919–5923; For a more recent chiral auxiliary based approach, see: b) J. L.-Y. Chen, H. K. Scott, M. J. Hesse, C. L. Willis, V. K. Aggarwal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 5316–5319.
- [21] A. Bonet, M. Odachowski, D. Leonori, S. Essafi, V. K. Aggarwal, *Nat. Chem.* **2014**, *6*, 584–589.

- [22] For representative reports where coordination of a Lewis acid to a B(pin) moiety has been suggested to play a key role, see: a) H. Yamamoto, K. Futatsugi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1924–1942; Angew. Chem. 2005, 117, 1958–1977; b) V. Rauniyar, H. Zhai, D. G. Hall, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 8481–8490; c) D. S. Barnett, P. N. Moquist, S. E. Schaus, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 8679–8682; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 8835–8838; d) H. Wang, P. Jain, J. C. Antilla, K. N. Houk, J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 1208–1215; e) F. W. van der Mei, H. Miyamoto, D. L. Silverio, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 4701–4706; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 4779–4784.
- [23] A similar mode of reaction was recently proposed (based on DFT calculations) for enantioselective allylic substitutions reactions involving propargylcopper intermediates and the same class of allylic phosphates. See: Y. Shi, B. Jung, S. Torker, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 8948–8964.
- [24] Y. Shi, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 3455– 3458; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 3516–3519 and references therein.
- [25] a) K. M. Peese, D. Y. Gin, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2008, *14*, 1654–1665;
 b) Y. Slutskyy, C. R. Jamison, G. L. Lackner, D. S. Müller, A. P. Dieskau, N. L. Untiedt, L. E. Overman, *J. Org. Chem.* 2016, *81*, 7029–7035.
- [26] F. Meng, H. Jang, A. H. Hoveyda, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2013, 19, 3204– 3214 and references therein.
- [27] H. Jang, B. Jung, A. H. Hoveyda, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4658-4661.

Manuscript received: November 22, 2016 Final Article published:



Communications



Communications



Chemo-, $S_N 2'$ -, Diastereo- and Enantioselective Catalytic Sequence of Cu–H Addition to Vinyl-B(pin)/Allylic Substitution A desirable combination: A silyl hydride and vinyl-B(pin) (pin = pinacolato), both commercially available, may be merged with readily accessible (E)-1,2-disubstituted allylic phosphates to afford an assortment of homoallylic boronates efficiently and with selectivity. A sulfonate-containing N-heterocyclic carbene ligand is found to be optimal.