

Published on Web 08/23/2006

## On the Versatile and Unusual Coordination Behavior of Ambiphilic Ligands *o*-R<sub>2</sub>P(Ph)BR'<sub>2</sub>

Sébastien Bontemps,<sup>†</sup> Ghenwa Bouhadir,<sup>†</sup> Karinne Miqueu,<sup>\*,§</sup> and Didier Bourissou<sup>\*,†</sup>

Laboratoire Hétérochimie Fondamentale et Appliquée (UMR CNRS 5069), Université Paul Sabatier, 118, route de Narbonne, F-31062 Toulouse Cedex 09, France, and Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique et Physico-Chimie Moléculaire (UMR 5624-FR 2606), Avenue de l'Université, BP 1155, 64013 Pau Cedex, France

Received May 29, 2006; E-mail: dbouriss@chimie.ups-tlse.fr.

Transition metal complexes featuring Lewis acid moieties directly linked to the metal center or at the near periphery have attracted increasing interest over the past decade. In particular, the structural characterization of metallaboratranes **A** and **B** have allowed for a better understanding of the propensity of group 13 Lewis acids to behave as  $\sigma$ -acceptor ligands.<sup>1–3</sup> Ligands featuring pendant borane and alane moieties have also been investigated as promising candidates for organometallic catalysis, notably via intramolecular activation of M–X bonds.<sup>4,5</sup> Accordingly, Zargarian recently induced spectacular rate enhancement in PhSiH<sub>3</sub> dehydrogenative oligomerization with the bifunctional Me<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>AlMe<sub>2</sub> cocatalyst, which was proposed to form bridged Ni<sup>II</sup> complexes **C**.<sup>6</sup>

In this context, we have previously described the coordination of a preformed tridentate PBP ligand, leading to **D**-type complexes featuring Rh<sup>I</sup>  $\rightarrow$  B interactions.<sup>7</sup> Here we report the synthesis and structural characterization of **E**-type complexes, providing thereby the first evidence for M  $\rightarrow$  B interactions that are supported by a single donor buttress. The structural versatility of such ambiphilic monophosphine—borane ligands is also substantiated by the preparation of a related **E**'-type complex featuring a rare example of P  $\rightarrow$  M–X  $\rightarrow$  B coordination.<sup>8</sup>



The monophosphine—borane ligand **1a** was readily prepared in 77% yield by coupling the related (*o*-bromophenyl)phosphine with chlorodicyclohexylborane.<sup>9,10</sup> The propensity of **1a** to act as an ambiphilic bidentate ligand via  $P \rightarrow M-Cl \rightarrow B$  or  $P \rightarrow M \rightarrow B$  interactions was then investigated. With this in mind, PdCl(allyl) and AuCl were chosen as representative metal fragments of various electronic and geometric properties (d<sup>8</sup>/d<sup>10</sup> configuration and bent/ linear  $P \rightarrow M-Cl$  skeleton).

The dimeric precursor  $[Pd(\mu-Cl)(allyl)]_2$  was readily cleaved with **1a** (Scheme 1). The corresponding complex **2a** was isolated as a yellow powder in 56% yield and fully characterized by multinuclear NMR and mass spectrometry. The <sup>11</sup>B NMR resonance signal for **2a** (47 ppm) is shielded by 29 ppm compared to that of the free ligand **1a**. This supports a rather strong interaction of the boron

## Scheme 1



center with the metal fragment, whose nature was deduced from an X-ray diffraction study (Figure 1).<sup>9</sup> Complex **2a** adopts a sixmembered ring structure, the bidentate PB ligand bridging the Pd– Cl bond via P  $\rightarrow$  Pd and Cl  $\rightarrow$  B interactions. The latter contact is clearly supported by the short ClB distance (2.16 Å) and by noticeable pyramidalization of the boron environment ( $\Sigma B_{\alpha} =$ 349.1°). Complex **2a** affords a rare example of M–Cl  $\rightarrow$  B interaction.<sup>11</sup>

In addition, the phosphine-borane 1a readily displaced the labile dimethyl sulfide ligand from (Me<sub>2</sub>S)AuCl in dichloromethane at room temperature, as deduced from the mass, <sup>31</sup>P, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data of the resulting complex 3a (45% isolated yield). The <sup>11</sup>B NMR chemical shift remains almost identical upon coordination ( $\delta^{11}B$ 80 ppm for **3a** versus 76 ppm in **1a**), suggesting a weak, if any, interaction of the boron center with the metal fragment. The coordination mode of 3a was unambiguously established by an X-ray diffraction study (Figure 1).9,12 As a result of the nearly linear PAuCl arrangement (170.18°), the ClB distance (4.08 Å) is much higher than that observed in 2a, ruling out the presence of a  $Cl \rightarrow B$ interaction. The gold atom deviates from the mean plane of the ligand (torsion angle AuPCC of 30°), but the AuB distance remains rather short (2.90 Å).<sup>13</sup> This value is much smaller than the sum of the van der Waals radii (~3.7 Å) and suggests the presence of a gold-boron interaction, despite the marginally pyramidalized environment around boron ( $\Sigma B_{\alpha} = 358.6^{\circ}$ ).<sup>14</sup>

To confirm this unusual bonding situation, the related complex **3b** featuring a highly electrophilic borafluorene moiety<sup>15</sup> was prepared from the corresponding phosphine—borane **1b**. In marked contrast with that observed for **3a**, the presence of a gold—boron interaction in solution was indicated by the <sup>11</sup>B NMR resonance signal (**3b**, 55 ppm), which is shifted to high-field by about 10 ppm compared to that of free PhBFlu (64.5 ppm)<sup>16a</sup> and very similar to that recently reported for a borafluorene/ $\pi$ -complex (57 ppm).<sup>16b</sup> In the solid-state structure of **3b**,<sup>9,12</sup> the torsion AuPCC angle was found to be only 13°, resulting in a significantly shortened boron—gold distance (2.66 Å) and in a slightly pyramidalized environment around boron ( $\Sigma B_{\alpha} = 355.8^{\circ}$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Université Paul Sabatier. <sup>§</sup> Laboratoire de Chimie Théorique et Physico-Chimie Moléculaire.



*Figure 1.* Thermal ellipsoid diagram (50% probability) of **2a** (left) and **3b** (right). Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): (**2a**) P1–Pd1 2.296(5), Pd1–Cl1 2.352(1), Cl1–B1 2.165(2), Pd1–Cl 2.136(2), Pd1–C3 2.205(2), C5–B1–Cl6113.14(17), C5–B1–C22118.37(17), C16–B1–C22117.58(17); (**3b**) P1–Au1 2.242(2), Au1–Cl1 2.302(2), Au1–B1 2.663(8), P1–Au1–Cl1 170.18(6), C1–B1–Cl3 125.6(6), C1–B1–C24 127.8(7), C13–B1–C24 102.4(6).

**Table 1.** Experimental and Theoretical (\*) Data for Complexes **3a,b**: Selected Bond Length (Å), Boron Pyramidalization and Torsion Angle (deg), and Total Atomic and Fragment Charges Derived from NPA Analyses

	geometric data				NPA charges			
complex	AuB	$\Sigma B_{\alpha}$	AuPCC	Ρ	Au	CI	BR'2	
<b>3</b> a	2.90	358.6	30.0					
3b	2.66	355.8	13.1					
3a*	2.99	358.5	21.1	1.00	0.31	-0.58	0.29	
3b*	2.63	353.8	5.7	1.02	0.41	-0.57	0.14	

To gain further insight into the unusual AuB interactions encountered in complexes 3a,b, DFT calculations were carried out at the BP86/[LanL2DZ(Au),6-31G\*(C,P,B,Cl,H)] level of theory.9 The optimized geometries well reproduced those obtained experimentally (Table 1). Noteworthy, the shortening of the AuB distance from 3a to 3b is accompanied by a significant decrease of the total natural charge for the BR'<sub>2</sub> fragment (from 0.29 to 0.14), as derived from Natural Population Analyses (NPA).<sup>17</sup> The noticeable influence of the boron electrophilicity supports some transfer of electron density from the metal to the  $\sigma$ -acceptor ligand. This is further substantiated by the increase predicted for the charge of the gold atom from (*i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>PPh)AuCl (0.25) to **3a** (0.31) and **3b** (0.41). The contribution of such donor (Au)  $\rightarrow$  acceptor (B) interactions in complexes 3a,b was also evidenced by second-order perturbation theory analyses (NBO calculations).<sup>9</sup> So far,  $M \rightarrow B$  interactions have only been characterized in 16e or 18e complexes using tri- or tetra-dentate ligands.<sup>1,7</sup> Complexes 3 provide evidence for such interactions that occur in 14e complexes and that are supported by a single donor buttress.

In conclusion, monophosphine—boranes were shown to behave as bidentate ambiphilic ligands via  $P \rightarrow M - X \rightarrow B$  or  $P \rightarrow M \rightarrow$ B interactions. Further investigations are currently in progress (i) to evaluate the scope of such unusual bonding situations with regards to the metal and co-ligands involved, (ii) to precise the relative stability of the various coordination modes for a given complex, and (iii) to determine the influence of the boron coordination on the geometry and reactivity of the resulting complexes.<sup>18,19</sup>

**Acknowledgment.** We are grateful to the CNRS, UPS, and French Ministry of Research and New Technologies (Grant ACI JC4091) for financial support of this work. IDRIS (CNRS, Orsay, France) is acknowledged for calculation facilities.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental and computational details; spectroscopic and X-ray crystallographic data for 1-3(PDF, CIF). This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

## References

- For selected references, see: (a) Hill, A. F.; Owen, G. R.; White, A. J. P.; Williams, D. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 2759–2761. (b) Mihalcik, D. J.; White, J. L.; Tanski, J. M.; Zakharov, L. N.; Yap, G. P. A.; Incarvito, C. D.; Rheingold, A. L.; Rabinovitch, D. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2004, 1626–1634. (c) Crossley, I. R.; Hill, A. F.; Willis, A. C. Organometallics 2005, 24, 1062–1064. (d) Crossley, I. R.; Hill, A. F.; Willis, A. C. Organometallics 2006, 24, 1062–1064. (d) Crossley, I. R.; Hill, A. F.; Willis, A. C. Organometallics 2006, 27, 2006, 25, 289–299. (e) Landry, V. K.; Melnick, J. G.; Buccella, D.; Pang, K.; Ulichny, J. C.; Parkin, G. Inorg. Chem. 2006, 45, 2588–2597. (f) Blagg, R. J.; Charmant, J. P. H.; Connelly, N. G.; Haddow, M. F.; Orpen, A. G. Chem. Commun. 2006, 2350–2352.
- (2) Related M → B interactions have been pointed out in boryl-bridged complexes: (a) Curtis, D.; Lesley, M. J. G.; Norman, N. C.; Orpen, A. G.; Starbuck, J. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1999, 1687–1694. (b) Westcott, S. A.; Marder, T. B.; Baker, R. T.; Harlow, R. L.; Calabrese, J. C.; Lam, K. C.; Lin, Z. Polyhedron 2004, 23, 2665–2677. (c) Braunschweig, H.; Radacki, K.; Rais, D.; Whittell, G. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 1192–1193.
- (3) Related Ta → B interactions have been pointed out in borataalkene η<sup>2</sup>complexes: Cook, K. S.; Piers, W. E.; McDonald, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 5411-5418 and references therein.
- (4) For Cp complexes featuring boryl bridges or pendant boryl groups, see (a) Piers, W. E. Chem.-Eur. J. 1998, 4, 13-18. (b) Lancaster, S. J.; Al-Benna, S.; Thornton-Pett, M.; Bochmann, M. Organometallics 2000, 19, 1599-1608. (c) Braunschweig, H.; Breitling, F. M.; Gullo, E.; Kraft, M. J. Organomet. Chem. 2003, 680, 31-42. (d) Aldridge, S. A.; Bresner, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 244, 71-92. (e) Hill, M.; Erker, G.; Kehr, G.; Fröhlich, R.; Kataeva, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 11046-11057.
- (5) For a cooperative activation of dba between Pd and a phosphinethioether-borane ligand, see Emslie, D. J. H.; Blackwell, J. M.; Britten, J. F.; Harrington, L. E. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2412-2414.
- (6) Fontaine, F.-G.; Zargarian, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 8786-8794.
- (7) Bontemps, S.; Gornitzka, H.; Bouhadir, G.; Miqueu, K.; Bourissou, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2006**, 45, 1611–1614.
- (8) A related complex featuring a P → Ru-H → B interaction has been obtained by borane insertion into a Ru-C bond: Baker, R. T.; Calabrese, J. C.; Westcott, S. A.; Marder, T. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 8777-8784.
- (9) See Supporting Information for details.
- (10) According to <sup>31</sup>P and <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy, **1a** adopts a monomeric structure in solution, the steric hindrance around boron apparently preventing dimerization as well as solvent coordination.
- (11) According to the Cambridge Structural Database, the structural characterization of M−Cl → B interactions has so far been limited to a few titanium complexes featuring the CpB(C<sub>6</sub>F<sub>5</sub>)<sub>2</sub> ligand<sup>4b</sup> and to an osmium complex featuring an amido-borane ligand: Crevier, T. J.; Bennett, B. K.; Soper, J. D.; Bowman, J. A.; Dehestani, A.; Hrovat, D. A.; Lovell, S.; Kaminsky, W.; Mayer, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2001**, *123*, 1059–1071.
- (12) Large Au…Au distances (> 5.5 Å) were observed in 3a,b, precluding aurophilic interactions (Schmidbaur, H. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1995, 24, 391– 400).
- (13) Braunstein, Herberich et al. proposed that weak AuB interactions might be responsible for the sterically congested conformation adopted by a borole FeAu<sub>2</sub> cluster (AuB distances of 3.06 and 3.30 Å): Braunstein, P.; Herberich, G. E.; Neuschütz, M.; Schmidt, M. U.; Englert, U.; Lecante, P.; Mosset, A. Organometallics **1998**, *17*, 2177–2182.
- (14)  $M \rightarrow B$  interactions without boron pyramidalization have already been observed in boryl bridged complexes.<sup>2a,b</sup>
- (15) (a) Eisch, J. J.; Galle, J. E.; Kozima, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 379–385. (b) Chase, P. A.; Piers W. E.; Patrick, B. O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 12911–12912.
- (16) (a) Romero, P. E.; Piers, W. E.; Decker, S. A.; Chau, D.; Woo, T. K.; Parvez, M. Organometallics 2003, 22, 1266–1274. (b) Hoefelmeyer, J. D.; Solé, S.; Gabbaï, F. P. J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2004, 1254– 1258.
- (17) The same trend, AuB shortening and decrease of the BR'<sub>2</sub> charge, was predicted from 3b to its perfluorinated analogue [*i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>PPhBFlu(F<sub>8</sub>)]AuCl featuring a borafluorene moiety of higher electrophilicity but similar steric demand.
- (18) For theoretical investigations of the deviation of ML<sub>4</sub> complexes from planarity upon interaction with Lewis acids, see Aullón, G.; Alvarez, S. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 3137–3144. For theoretical investigations of coordination number and deformation energy in d<sup>10</sup> complexes of group 11 metals, see Carvajal, M. A.; Novoa, J. J.; Alvarez, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 1465–1477.
- (19) The critical role of acid cocatalysts in gold(I)-mediated transformations has been recently highlighted: (a) Dyker, G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2000, 39, 4237–4239. (b) Hoffmann-Röder, A.; Krause, N. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2005, 3, 387–391. (c) Hashmi, A. S. K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2005, 44, 6990–6993.

JA0637494