



#### Article

## Phosphine-Catalyzed Addition/Cycloaddition Domino Reactions of #'-Acetoxy Allenoate: Highly Stereoselective Access to 2-Oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane and Cyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine

Yiting Gu, Pengfei Hu, Chunjie Ni, and Xiaofeng Tong

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.5b03273 • Publication Date (Web): 23 Apr 2015
Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on May 3, 2015

#### **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



# Phosphine-Catalyzed Addition/Cycloaddition Domino Reactions of $\beta$ '-Acetoxy Allenoate: Highly Stereoselective Access to 2-Oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane and Cyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine

Yiting Gu, Pengfei Hu, Chunjie Ni and Xiaofeng Tong\*

Shanghai Key Laboratory of Functional Materials Chemistry, East China University of Science and Technology, Shanghai, 200237, China.


**ABSTRACT:** Two classes of phosphine-catalyzed addition/cycloaddition domino reactions of  $\beta$ '-acetoxy allenoate 1 have been developed. The reaction of 1 with 2-acyl-3-methyl-acrylonitrile 2 readily occurs to give 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane 3, furnishing the  $\beta$ '-addition/[4+4] cycloaddition domino sequence. In this sequence,  $\beta$ 'C of allenoate 1 is an electrophilic center and its  $\beta$ 'C and  $\gamma$ C serve as a 1,4-dipole. When the other reaction partner is switched to 2-acyl-3-(2-pyrrole)-acrylonitrile 8,  $\gamma$ -addition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction is instead observed, in which allenoate 1 exhibits dual electrophilic reactivity of  $\gamma$ C and 1,3-dipole chemical behavior of  $\beta$ C and  $\beta$ 'C. Furthermore, both of these two asymmetric variants have also been achieved with up to 93% ee. The domino reactions presented in this report are valuable for highly stereoselective construction of complex structures under mild reaction conditions.

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Since the pioneering report of Lu's [3+2] cycloaddition, the research paradigm of the phosphine-catalyzed cycloadditions of activated allenes has been well established.2 From a mechanistic point of view, this chemistry strongly depends on the zwitterionic intermediates, which are generated via 1,4-addition of phosphine catalyst to activated allenes and exhibit excellent dipolar-type cycloaddition reactivity toward various electrophiles. In addition to zwitterionic intermediate A for Lu's [3+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1a), zwitterionic intermediate B, which is reported by Kwon's group on the basis of αsubstituted activated allene, is prone to undergo [4+2] cycloadditions with activated alkenes and imines (Scheme 1b).3 Interestingly, Lu's group has also demonstrated that intermediate **B** is capable of reacting with  $\beta$ , $\gamma$ -unsaturated  $\alpha$ -keto ester, providing an alternative [4+2] cycloaddition (Scheme 1c).4 Moreover, Huang and Marinetti independently developed the phosphine-catalyzed [4+2] cycloadditions of y-substituted allenoates and activated alkenes, in which zwitterionic intermediate C has been proposed to be involved (Scheme 1d).5

Despite these contributions, the major limitation of this chemistry is the requirement of an electrophile as the other cycloaddition partner. The main issue is considered to be the preferential nucleophilic carbanion reactivity of the involved zwitterionic intermediates A-C. In contrast, we have developed the phosphine-catalyzed [4+1] cycloaddition of  $\beta$ -acetoxy allenoate 1, which features the utilization of a bisnucleophile as the other reaction partner (Scheme 1e).  $^6$ 

#### 1) Cycloaddition via the nucleophilic zwitterionic intermediates



2) Cycloaddition via the electrophilic intermediate

C=X: electron-poor alkene or imine, E = electron-withdrawing group

## Scheme 1. Variants of the Phosphine-Catalyzed Cycloadditions of Activated Allenes

The installation of an acetoxy group at  $\beta$ 'C of allenoate 1 is essential for the generation of the key double activated buta-1,3-diene intermediate  $\mathbf{D}$  via the process of 1,4-addition of phosphine followed by 1,2-elimination of the acetate group.<sup>7</sup> The electrophilicity of intermediate  $\mathbf{D}$  eventually allows the attack of the bisnucleophile, leading

to the formation of intermediate E.<sup>8</sup> Then, the carbanion of intermediate E is implemented as a base to promote intramolecular addition of the second nucleophilic center, thus furnishing this [4+1] cycloaddition (Scheme 1e).<sup>9</sup>

Scheme 2. Design Plan for the Addition/Cycloaddition Domino Reaction

The [4+1] cycloaddition via electrophilic intermediate **D** provides a complement to the aforementioned zwitterioninvolved reaction mode. Accordingly, we are encouraged to further design novel phosphine-catalyzed reactions on the basis of **D**. Our design plan is illustrated in Scheme 2. In conjunction with compound 2 bearing both a pronucleophile (NuH) and an electrophilic C=X group (activated alkene or imine), we envisioned that intermediate D would also be reliable for the Michaeltype addition of 2 to its  $\beta$ 'C and/or  $\gamma$ C. Such addition(s) might lead to the formation of zwitterionic intermediate(s) F and/or G. As a result, an intramolecular dipolar-type cycloaddition10 between the newly formed zwitterion moiety and the prearranged C=X group was anticipated (Scheme 2). Herein, we report the phosphine-catalyzed addition/cycloaddition domino reaction of allenoate 1 and compound 2 with the hope to having access to complex structures, which not only exploits potential of allenoate 1 but also extends the existing phosphine-catalyzed cycloaddition scope.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

1.  $PPh_3$ -Catalyzed  $\beta$ '-Addition/[4+4] Cycloaddition Domino Reaction. To challenge our hypothesis shown in Scheme 2, we commenced our study with the screening of appropriate compounds 2. After extensive screening, we were delighted to find that, with the help of 20 mol% PPh<sub>3</sub> and 1.2 equiv Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, compound 2a<sup>12</sup> indeed reacted with allenoate 1a in toluene at room temperature to give the bridged cycle 3a in 25% yield after 12 hours (Table 1, entry 1). The structural assignment of 3a was corroborated by the X-ray crystallography of compound 30 (Figure 1).<sup>13</sup> Apparently, the methyl group and oxo-diene moiety of 2a serve as our desired nucleophilic and electrophilic functions, respectively, providing a nice opportunity for the realization of β'-addition/[4+4] cycloaddition domino reaction with allenoate 1a. Then, the base additive was evaluated. The use of K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> slightly improved the yield of **3a** to 34% while KO<sup>t</sup>Bu led to a complex mixture (Table 1,

entries 2 and 3). Organic bases, such as Et<sub>2</sub>N and <sup>1</sup>Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt, gave similar results (Table 1, entries 4 and 5). Fortunately, the use of Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> afforded a promising result with 78% isolated yield of 3a (Table 1, entry 6). Furthermore, the examination of phosphine catalysts showed that neither electron-deficient nor electron-rich phosphines exhibited any superior performance over PPh<sub>3</sub> (Table 1, entries 7-10). Surprisingly, reducing the catalyst loading to 10 mol% provided a much cleaner reaction and the yield of 3a was further improved to 87% (Table 1, entry 11). These results suggested that keeping relatively lower concentration of catalyst might be beneficial for the reaction performance. Indeed, the yield of 3a dropped to 56% when 50 mol% PPh3 was used (Table 1, entry 12). Notably, the optimal conditions were found to be reliable for the gram-scale reaction of 1a (6.0 mmol) and 2a (5.0 mmol) without affecting the reaction outcome (Table 1, entry 13).

Table 1. Condition Optimization for the Phosphine-Catalyzed Reaction of 1a and  $2a^a$ 

AcO—BnO <sub>2</sub> C	+ 0 Ph CN Ph 2a (1.0 equiv	20 mol% cat. 1.2 equiv base PhMe, rt, 12 h	Ph O CN Ph E 3a (E = CO <sub>2</sub> Bn)
entry	cat.	base	yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Na <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	25
2	PPh <sub>3</sub>	$K_2CO_3$	34
3	PPh <sub>3</sub>	KO <sup>t</sup> Bu	complex
4	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Et <sub>3</sub> N	18
5	PPh <sub>3</sub>	<sup>i</sup> Pr <sub>2</sub> NEt	34
6	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	78
7	$P(2-furyl)_3$	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	28
8	$P(4-F-C_6H_4)_3$	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	72
9	PBu <sub>3</sub>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	56
10	$MePPh_{2}$	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	75
11 <sup>c</sup>	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	87
12 <sup>d</sup>	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	56
13 <sup>c, e</sup>	PPh <sub>3</sub>	Cs <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub>	81

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: to the solution of 2a (0.2 mmol), phosphine (0.04 mmol) and base (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL), was slowly added a solution of 1a (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL) over 30 minutes. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield. <sup>c</sup> 10 mol% PPh<sub>3</sub> was used. <sup>d</sup> 50 mol% PPh<sub>3</sub> was used. <sup>e</sup> The reaction was run in the 5.0 mmol scale.




Figure 1. X-ray Structure of 30

Table 2. Reaction Scope of Substrates 2 with Aromatic Substituents $^a$ 

entry	2 (Ar <sup>1</sup> , Ar <sup>2</sup> )	<b>3</b> /yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	$2a (Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = Ph)$	3 <b>a</b> /87
2	<b>2b</b> $(Ar^1 = 4-MeOC_6H_4, Ar^2 = Ph)$	<b>3b</b> /76
3	<b>2c</b> $(Ar^1 = 4-BrC_6H_4, Ar^2 = Ph)$	3c/74
4	<b>2d</b> $(Ar^1 = 2-BrC_6H_4, Ar^2 = Ph)$	<b>3d</b> /80
5	<b>2e</b> $(Ar^1 = 2$ -furyl, $Ar^2 = Ph)$	<b>3e</b> /81
6	<b>2f</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 4-MeOC_6H_4)$	<b>3f</b> /65
7	<b>2g</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 4-MeC_6H_4)$	<b>3g</b> /75
8	<b>2h</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 4-FC_6H_4)$	3h/72
9	<b>2i</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 4-ClC_6H_4)$	<b>3i</b> /75
10	<b>2j</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 4-BrC_6H_4)$	<b>3j</b> /73
11	$2k (Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 2-furan)$	<b>3k</b> /8o
12	<b>2l</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 2-thiophene)$	<b>31</b> /85
13	<b>2m</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = naphthalen-2-yl)$	<b>3m</b> /63
14	<b>2n</b> $(Ar^1 = Ph, Ar^2 = 3-BrC_6H_4)$	<b>3n</b> /54
15	<b>20</b> $(Ar^1 = 4 - BrC_6H_4, Ar^2 = 4 - BrC_6H_4)$	<b>30</b> /55

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: to the solution of  $\mathbf{2}$  (0.2 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.02 mmol) and Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL), was slowly added a solution of  $\mathbf{1a}$  (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL) over 30 minutes. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

Having established the optimal reaction conditions, we explored various substitution partners on compounds 2 to examine the substrate scope. The results are summarized in Table 2. When Ar<sup>2</sup> was a phenyl group, the Ar<sup>1</sup> groups with different electronic properties (e.g., electron-neutral, -rich, or -deficient) were well tolerated and the corresponding products 3a-3d were obtained in high yields (Table 2, entries 1-4). Even 2-furyl group could be introduced, giving 3e in 81% yield (Table 2, entry 5). On the other hand, when Ar<sup>1</sup> was a phenyl group, the electronic properties of the Ar<sup>2</sup> groups also had little influence on the yields of the corresponding products 3f-3l (Table 2, entries 6-12). However, the steric properties of the Ar<sup>2</sup> groups strongly affected the reaction yield. For instance, substrate 2m with a naphthalen-2-yl substituent gave the product 3m in 63% yield (Table 2, entry 13). Moreover, the yield of 3n with a meta-BrC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> substituent significantly dropped to 54% (Table 2, entry 14).

As shown in Table 3, the reaction scope was also successfully extended to substrates 2 with alkyl substituent(s). When R¹ was a phenyl group, various alkyl R² groups were tolerated, including methyl, isopropyl as well as cyclopropyl, and the products 3p-3r were obtained in high yields (Table 3, entries 1-3). However, for the case of 2v with an ethyl R² substituent, a regioselectivity issue appeared, leading to the isolation of two isomers 3v and 3v' with the former as majority (Eq 1). When R² was a me-

thyl group, either primary or secondary alkyl R¹ group was tolerated, affording the corresponding products **3s-3u** in somewhat lower yields (Table 3, entries 4-6). More interestingly, the PPh<sub>3</sub>-catalyzed domino reaction could be used to prepare more complex molecular architecture in addition to bridged rings **3a-3v**. Indeed, the reaction of substrate **2w** under the optimal conditions gave product **3w** in 63% yield (Eq 2).

Table 3. Reaction Scope of Substrates 2 with Alkyl Substituent(s)<sup>a</sup>

entry	2 (R <sup>1</sup> , R <sup>2</sup> )	<b>3</b> /yield (%) <sup>b</sup>
1	$2p (R^1 = Ph, R^2 = Me)$	3p/88
2	$2q (R^1 = Ph, R^2 = {}^{i}Pr)$	<b>3q</b> /86
3	$\mathbf{2r}$ (R <sup>1</sup> = Ph, R <sup>2</sup> = cyclopropyl)	<b>3r</b> /83
4	<b>2s</b> $(R^1 = C_5 H_{11}, R^2 = Me)$	<b>3s</b> /45
5	<b>2t</b> ( $R^1$ = cyclohexyl, $R^2$ = Me)	<b>3t</b> /61
6	<b>2u</b> ( $R^1$ = cyclopropyl, $R^2$ = Me)	<b>3u</b> /63

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: to the solution of  $\mathbf{2}$  (0.2 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.02 mmol) and  $\mathrm{Cs_2CO_3}$  (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL), was slowly added a solution of  $\mathbf{1a}$  (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL) over  $\mathbf{30}$  minutes. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield.

Unfortunately,  $\beta$ '-phenyl allenoate **1b** was found to be inert to **2a** under the catalytic systems (Eq 3), notably because of the steric hindrance of  $\beta$ '-phenyl group preventing the  $\beta$ '-addition event on the related intermediate **D**. When 1,3-dicarbonyl derivative **2x** was subjected to the standard conditions, no reaction was observed (Eq 4). This result clearly demonstrated the important role of the nitrile group of **2a** on the activation of the pronucleophile methyl group.

After having investigated the reaction scope, we turned our attention to the reaction mechanism. Two deuterium-labeled control experiments were conducted. When  $D_2O$  (0.5 mL) was introduced into the standard catalytic systems, product  $3a-d_4$ , containing 18%  $D^1$ , 31%  $D^2$ , 21%  $D^3$  and 52%  $D^4$ , was isolated in 65% yield (Eq 5). The results implied that intermediates with a carbanion at these four carbon centers should be involved. The somewhat higher deuterium content of  $D^4$  led us to speculate that the formation of intermediate H via the deprotonation of 2a might be a slow step. Indeed, the reaction of  $2a-d_3$  (58% D) under the standard conditions gave  $3a-d_1$  (69% D) with enriched deuterium content, showing a slight isotopic effect (Eq 6).

### Scheme 3. Plausible Mechanism of the Reaction of 1a and 2

On the basis of the above observations, a proposed mechanism of the β'-addition/[4+4] cycloaddition domino reaction of 1a and 2 is depicted in Scheme 3. Addition of PPh3 to 1a followed by 1,2-elimination of acetate group generates the electrophilic intermediate D, which is attacked by the carbanion of **H** at the β'C position to form the zwitterionic intermediate I. Likely due to the slow step of carbanion H formation and high activity of intermediate D, relatively lower concentration of D was supposed to be beneficial for the reaction performance. Then, the y-carbanion of I undergoes an intramolecular addition to yield the enolate intermediate J. The resulting enolate serves as a base to abstract a β'H to produce intermediate K1 which co-exists with its resonance form L1. After an Hshift process, intermediate L1 is converted to M1, which is followed by a  $S_{N2}$ '-type process to release product 3 and regenerate PPh<sub>3</sub> catalyst (Scheme 3).

Scheme 4. The Reactions of 1a with Substrates 2h-2j

It was worth mentioning that, for the cases of 2h-2j with an electron-deficient phenyl group, side products 4h-4j were isolated in ca. 10% yield along with the major products 3h-3j (Scheme 4). These observations fit well with the proposed reaction mechanism shown in Scheme 3. Indeed, the formation of side products 4 might arise from an alternative pathway starting from intermediate I which has two different activated H atoms, β'H and γH (Scheme 4). For most cases of substrates 2, the impediment to yH abstraction might be due to the steric congestion imposed by the neighboring quaternary carbon center and phosphonium. However, in the cases of 2h-2j, a small percentage of the corresponding yH atom was abstracted along with the major B'H abstraction, enabling the formation of intermediates K2 and L2. Then, following a similar reaction pathway to that of phosphinecatalyzed umpolung y-addition,15 the side product 4 is formed instead (Scheme 4).

#### Scheme 5. The Reaction of 1a and 5

In line with the observation of side products 4, the reaction of 1a and 5 afforded products 6 and 7 in 37% and 41% yields, respectively (Scheme 5). We surmised that, for the related intermediate **N**, a  $\gamma$ H would be selectively abstracted to afford intermediate **O**. Then, a 1,2-elimination process seemed to occur, <sup>16</sup> giving dicyanomethanide and intermediate **P**. The former underwent [4+1] cycloaddition with **D** to afford product 6. <sup>6</sup> Although the exact mechanism cannot be entirely concluded at this stage, a reasonable route to the benzannulation product 7 via intermediate **P** was illustrated in Scheme 5.

1

2. PPh<sub>3</sub>-Catalyzed y-Addition/[3+2] Cycloaddition **Domino Reaction.** The success of the  $\beta$ '-addition/[4+4] cycloaddition domino reaction between 1a and 2 clearly pointed out that the  $\beta$ 'C position of intermediate **D** was liable to be attacked by a C-nucleophile. On the other hand, the  $\gamma C$  is a potential reactive  $\beta$ -position of the vinylphosphonium moiety. Vinylphosphonium salts are important organophosphorus compounds and one of the most attractive transformations is aza-Michael addition.<sup>17</sup> Thus, to access the anticipated y-addition/cycloaddition domino reaction of 1a, several amphiphilic compounds bearing both N-nucleophiles and activated alkenes were examined.11 Finally, we found that 2-benzoyl-3-(2pyrrole)-acrylonitrile 8a was a suitable reaction partner for 1a with the help of 10 mol% PPh<sub>3</sub> and 1.2 equiv Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, giving tetrahydrocyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine product 9a in 47% yield. Further optimization of reaction conditions disclosed that the combination of 20 mol% PPh, and 1.2 equiv Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> ensured product **9a** being obtained in 90% yield and as one single isomer, thus furnishing yaddition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction with excellent diastereoselectivity.

**Scheme 6.** Substrate scope of the  $\gamma$ -addition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction. Reaction conditions: to the solution of **8** (0.24 mmol), PPh<sub>3</sub> (0.04 mmol) and Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.24 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL), was slowly added a solution of **1a** (0.2 mmol) in PhMe (2 mL) over 30 minutes. Isolated yields were presented.

Under the optimal reaction conditions, the substrate scope of the  $\gamma$ -addition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction was further explored and the results are summarized in Scheme 6. A range of substrates 8 with an aryl ketone moiety, including electron-neutral, electron-donating as well as electron-withdrawing arenes, reacted well with

allenoate 1a to give products 9a-9e in high yields. We were also delighted to find that substrates 8f-8i with an aliphatic ketone moiety exhibited better reaction performance, affording the corresponding products of-oi in almost quantitative yields. Moreover, the reaction could be applicable to substrate 8j, which was derived from the condensation of 2-pyrrolaldehyde and malononitrile, although product of was obtained in a lower yield (32%) due to some decomposition of 8j under the conditions. 3-Bromo-pyrrole substrate **8k** gave product **9k** in 75% yield. However, no reaction was observed for 2,3-dibromopyrrole substrate 81, likely due to the steric hindrance. It should be mentioned that all of these products 9a-9k were isolated as a single isomer. The relative stereochemistry of **9h** was determined by X-ray crystallography (Figure 2).13 The stereochemistry of other products 9 was assigned by analogy with **9h**.



Figure 2. X-ray Structure of 9h

#### Scheme 7. Reactions of Allenoates 1b and 1c

In sharp contrast to its inert reaction with substrate  $\mathbf{2a}$ ,  $\beta$ '-phenyl allenoate  $\mathbf{1b}$  reacted smoothly with  $\mathbf{8f}$  to afford product  $\mathbf{9fb}$  in 57% yield (Scheme 7). Again, only one isomer of  $\mathbf{9fb}$  was isolated, demonstrating the excellent diastereoselectivity of this reaction. However, relatively lower diastereoselectivity (10:3) was observed for the reaction of  $\beta$ '-ethyl allenoate  $\mathbf{1c}$  with  $\mathbf{8f}$ . These results clearly implied that the stereochemistry might be mainly determined by the  $\beta$ 'C substituent of allenoate and be fairly insensitive to the steric factor of the ketone moiety of  $\mathbf{8}$ . Indeed, the reaction of  $\mathbf{1c}$  and  $\mathbf{8a}$  gave  $\mathbf{9ac}$  with the same diastereoselectivity as that of  $\mathbf{9fc}$  (Scheme 7).

Scheme 8. Plausible Mechanism of the Reaction of 1a and 8

A plausible mechanism of the y-addition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction was illustrated in Scheme 8. With the assistance of Na,CO2, substrate 8 undergoes aza-Michael addition to yC of intermediate **D**, resulting in the formation of Q. Surprisingly, no deuterium incorporation into product 9a was observed when additional D<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 mL) was introduced into the reaction of 1a and 8a under the otherwise identical conditions (Eq. 7). This result implied that intermediate Q might not coexist with its resonance structure **R**.<sup>6</sup> This might be attributed to the stable ylide characteristic of intermediate Q. Another reason might stem from the concerted intramolecular [3+2] cycloaddition between dipole moiety and highly activated alkene in intermediate Q, affording product 9 directly. The stepwise pathway via intermediate **S** was proposed to be an alternative route to product q. However, the observed excellent diastereoselectivity of the reaction indicated that the concerted pathway would be more possible (Scheme 8).18

Figure 3. the Corresponding Intermediates D Derived from 1b and 1c

As shown in Figure 3, the cases of allenoates **1b** and **1c** would bring about an additional stereochemical issue related to the alkene configuration in the corresponding intermediates **D**.<sup>9, 19</sup> This issue might be an important element to account for the observed stereochemical outcome in products **9fb**, **9fc** and **9ac**.

**3.** The Development of Asymmetric Variants. The 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane and cyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine are two classes of ubiquitous frameworks in natural products and biologically active compounds.<sup>20</sup> Thus, the development of an efficient asymmetric catalysis for their enantioselective synthesis would be very attractive.

Scheme 9. Kwon's Phosphine-Catalyzed Asymmetric Reaction of 1a and 2

In a preliminary attempt, the asymmetric reaction of 1a and 2a was evaluated with several chiral phosphine catalysts. Fortunately, commercially available Kwon's phosphine 10 was found to work well, enabling the isolation of product 3a in 74% yield and with 93% ee (Scheme 9). Moreover, the reaction of 1a and 2p afforded 3p in 86% yield and with 82% ee. The smaller methyl substituent might impose negative effect on the asymmetric induction. Indeed, substrate 2r with a larger cyclopropyl substituent afforded product 3r with slightly higher enantioselectivity (86% ee).

We also examined the feasibility of phosphine 10 as catalyst for the asymmetric  $\gamma$ -addition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction. It was found that, using 20 mol% 10 as the catalyst under the otherwise identical conditions, products 9a and 9f were obtained with 83% ee and 87% ee, respectively (Eq 8).

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

In summary, we have developed two new classes of the phosphine-catalyzed addition/cycloaddition domino reactions of allenoates 1, which strongly relied on the electrophilic intermediate D. With the help of a base, 2-acyl-3methyl-acrylonitriles 2 well matched the reactivity of D to achieve the β'-addition/[4+4] cycloaddition domino sequence, which provided a facile access to 2oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane derivatives 3 under mild reaction conditions. In this sequence, allenoate 1 exhibits both electrophilic reactivity at the β'C position and 1,4-dipole chemical behavior of the  $\beta$ 'C and  $\gamma$ C. On the other hand, when 2-acyl-3-(2-pyrrole)-acrylonitriles 8 were instead employed as the reaction partner for allenoate 1, the vaddition/[3+2] cycloaddition domino reaction smoothly occurred, giving cyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine products 9 with excellent diastereoselectivity, wherein allenoate 1 exhibits high electrophilic reactivity at the γC and 1,3-dipole chemical behavior of the  $\beta$ 'C and  $\beta$ C. These results are believed to represent a new reaction mode of the phosphine catalysis. Furthermore, the asymmetric variants of these two reactions have also been realized with up to 93% ee using Kwon's phosphine 10 as catalyst. Further investigations on the asymmetric catalysis and synthetic applications are ongoing in our laboratories and will be reported in due course.

#### ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Detailed experimental procedures and characterization of new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

#### **AUTHOR INFORMATION**

#### **Corresponding Author**

tongxf@ecust.edu.cn

#### **Author Contribution**

 $^{\perp}$ Y. G. and P. H. contributed equally.

#### **Notes**

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This work is supported by NSFC (No. 21272066 and 21472042), the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities, and the Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NCET-12-0851).

#### **REFERENCES**

- (1) (a) Xu, Z.; Lu, X. Tetrahedron Lett. **1997**, 38, 3461. (b) Xu, Z.; Lu, X. J. Org. Chem. **1998**, 63, 5031.
- (2) For selected reviews, see: (a) Lu, X.; Zhang, C.; Xu, Z. Acc. Chem. Res. 2001, 34, 535. (b) Methot, J. L.; Roush, W. R. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2004, 346, 1035. (c) Lu, X.; Du, Y.; Lu, C. Pure Appl. Chem. 2005, 77, 1985. (d) Cowen, B. J.; Miller, S. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 3102. (e) Ye, L.-W.; Zhou, J.; Tang, Y. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1140. (f) Denmark, S. E.; Beutner, G. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 1560. (g) Fan, Y. C.; Kwon, O. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 11588. (h) Xiao, Y.; Sun, Z.; Guo, H.; Kwon, O. Beilstein J. Org. Chem. 2014, 10, 2089. (i) Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 2010, 43, 1005. (j) Wang, S.-X.; Han, X. Y.; Zhong, F. R.; Wang, Y. Q.; Lu, Y. Synlett 2011, 2766. (k) Zhao, Q.-Y.; Lian, Z.; Wei, Y.; Shi, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 1724. (l) Wang, Z.; Xu, X.; Kwon, O. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 2927.
- (3) (a) Zhu, X.-F.; Lan, J.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4716. (b) Tran, Y. S.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 12632. (c) Guo, H.; Xu, Q.; Kwon, O. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6318. (d) Wurz, R. P.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12234. (e) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Wang, H.-F.; Wang, X.-W.; Cao, D.-D.; Liu, W.; Lu, Y.-P.; Yang, Y.-Q.; Zhao, G. Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 10562. (f) Zhong, F.; Han, X.; Wang, Y.; Lu, Y. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 1231. (g) Xiao, H.; Chai, Z.; Cao, D.; Wang, H.; Chen, J.; Zhao, G. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 3195.
  - (4) Yao, W.; Dou, X.; Lu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 54.
- (5) (a) Meng, X.; Huang, Y.; Zhao, H.; Xie, P.; Ma, J.; Chen, R. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 991. (b) Zheng, J.; Huang, Y.; Li, Z. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5064. (c) Li, E.; Huang, Y.; Liang, L.; Xie, P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3138. (d) Li, E.; Jia, P.; Liang, L.; Huang, Y. ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 600. (e) Gicquel, M.; Gomez, C.; Retailleau, P.; Voituriez, A.; Marinetti, A. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 4002.
- (6) Zhang, Q.; Yang, L.; Tong, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 2550.
- (7) A related buta-1,3-diene intermediate has been detected by the ESI-MS analysis: Kramer, S.; Fu, G. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, 137, 3803.

- (8) Intermediate E arises from the attack of the nucleophile at  $\beta$ 'C of D. Actually, the attack of the nucleophile at  $\gamma$ C of D is also a workable pathway to this [4+1] cycloaddition (not shown in Scheme 1). For the detailed discussion, see Ref 6.
- (9) (a) Han, X.; Yao, W.; Wang, T.; Tan, Y. R.; Yan, Z.; Kwiatkowski, J.; Lu, Y. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 5643. (b) Ziegler, D. T.; Riesgo, L.; Ikeda, T.; Fujiwara, Y.; Fu, G. C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, 53, 13183.
- (10) (a) Wang, J.-C.; Ng, S.-S.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2003**, 125, 3682. (b) Henry, C. E.; Kwon, O. Org. Lett. **2007**, 9, 3069. (c) Ye, L.-W.; Sun, X.-L.; Wang, Q.-G.; Tang, Y. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **2007**, 46, 5951. (d) Wang, Q.-G.; Zhu, S.-F.; Ye, L.-W.; Zhou, C.-Y.; Sun, X.-L.; Tang, Y.; Zhou, Q.-L. Adv. Synth. Catal. **2010**, 352, 1914.
  - (11) For the details, see the Supporting Information.
- (12) In this work, substrates 2 were used with (*E*)- and (*Z*)-form mixtures while only (*E*)-8 were used.
- (13) The crystallographic coordinates of **30** and **9h** have been deposited with the deposition numbers CCDC 1008712 and CCDC 1054938, respectively. These data can be obtained free of charge from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, at deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk.
- (14) (a) Xia, Y.; Liang, Y.; Chen, Y.; Wang, M.; Jiao, L.; Huang, F.; Liu, S.; Li, Y.; Yu, Z.-X. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 3470. (b) Mercier, E.; Fonovic, B.; Henry, C.; Kwon, O.; Dudding, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 3617.
- (15) (a) Trost, B. M.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 10819. (b) Trost, B. M.; Li, C.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 3167. (c) Trost, B. M.; Dake, G. R. J. Org. Chem. 1997, 62, 5670. (d) Smith, S. W.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 14231. (e) Sun, J.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 4568. (f) Lundgren, R. J.; Wilsily, A.; Marion, N.; Ma, C.; Chung, Y. K.; Fu, G. C. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2525.
- (16) For representative examples, see: (a) Bernasconi, C. F.; Kanavarioti, A.; Killion, R. B. Jr. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, 107, 3612. (b) Yang, G.; Luo, C.; Mu, X.; Wang, T.; Liu, X.-Y. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, 48, 5880.
- (17) For representative examples, see: (a) Maryanoff, B. E.; Reitz, A. B. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, *863*. (b) Schweizer, E. E.; Light, K. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1964**, *86*, 2963. (c) Schweizer, E. E.; Smucker, L. D. *J. Org. Chem.* **1966**, *31*, 3146. (d) Kumarn, S.; Shaw, D. M.; Ley, S. V. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 3211. (d) Kumarn, S.; Shaw, D. M.; Longbottom, D. A.; Ley, S. V. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 4189.
- (18) (a) Wang, J.-C.; Krische, M. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5855. (b) Lee, S. Y.; Fujiwara, Y.; Nishiguchi, A.; Kalek, M.; Fu, G. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 4587.
- (19) Li, C.; Zhang, Q.; Tong, X. Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7828. (20) For 2-oxabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane frameworks, see: (a) Yokoyama, R.; Huang, J.-M.; Hosoda, A.; Kino, K.; Yang, C.-S.; Fukuyama, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2003, 66, 799. (b) Zhu, Q.; Tang, C.-P.; Ke, C.-Q.; Wang, W.; Zhang, H.-Y.; Ye, Y. J. Nat. Prod. 2009, 72, 238. For cyclopenta[a]pyrrolizine frameworks, see: (c) Hartmann, T.; Witte, L. In Alkaloids: Chemical and Biological Perspectives, Vol. 9; Pelletier, S. W., Ed.; Elsevier Science, Ltd.: Oxford, 1995; Chapter 4. (d) Liddel, J. R. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2002, 19, 773. (e) Schröder, F.; Sinnwell, V.; Baumann, H.; Kaib, K.; Francke, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 77.
- (21) (a) Henry, C. E.; Xu, Q.; Fan, Y. C.; Martin, T. J.; Belding, L.; Dudding, T.; Kwon, O. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 11890. (b) Andrews, I. P.; Kwon, O. *Chem. Sci.* **2012**, *3*, 2510.